Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2019 June ; 50: 37–44. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.02.005.

Developing zebrafish disease models for *in vivo* small molecule screens

Pui-Ying Lam, Randall T. Peterson

College of Pharmacy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Abstract

The zebrafish is a model organism that allows *in vivo* studies to be performed at a scale usually restricted to *in vitro* studies. As such, the zebrafish is well suited to *in vivo* screens in which thousands of small molecules are tested for their ability to modify disease phenotypes in zebrafish disease models. Numerous approaches have been developed for modeling human diseases in zebrafish, including mutagenesis, transgenesis, pharmacological approaches, wounding, and exposure to infectious or cancerous agents. We review the various strategies for modeling human diseases in zebrafish and discuss important considerations when developing zebrafish models for use in *in vivo* small molecule screens.

Introduction

The zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) has proven to be a powerful vertebrate model for disease modeling and chemical screening. The advantages of the zebrafish model include the high degree of conservation between zebrafish and human genomes and molecular signaling networks, its small size, external fertilization, rapid development, optical transparency at early developmental stages, high reproduction rate, and low maintenance cost. Together, these attributes enable large-scale *in vivo* chemical screening via mass production of zebrafish, systematic exposure to small molecules in multi-well plates, and rapid phenotypic assessment. Dozens of successful *in vivo* screens ranging from hundreds to tens-of-thousands of small molecules have been reported[1,2]. It is worth noting that some small molecules identified through zebrafish screens have been shown to be effective across different animal species and have even led to human clinical trials (Table 1).

One particularly useful approach is to model a human disease in zebrafish, then screen small molecule libraries for compounds that suppress the disease phenotype. This review aims to provide an overview of the different approaches taken in generating zebrafish disease models for the purpose of phenotypic chemical screening (Figure 1). By no means comprehensive, we will discuss only a few examples to illustrate the various approaches. The advantages, limitations and suitability of each approach will also be discussed.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Genetic models

Perhaps the most obvious approach for creating screenable disease models in zebrafish is a genetic approach. Approximately 82% of human disease-related genes (listed in OMIM) have a zebrafish ortholog[3], and thousands of zebrafish gene mutations have already been generated and cataloged. Identifying small molecule suppressors for the hundreds of known human genetic disorders is a daunting task, but the efficiencies of effort and cost provided by the zebrafish make it possible to imagine such an audacious undertaking.

The earliest zebrafish genetic mutant used for a chemical screen was the *gridlock* mutant, which harbors a mutation in the *hey2* gene. *Gridlock* mutant embryos produce insufficient arterial progenitor cells, and as a result develop an aortic constriction resembling human coarctation of the aorta[4]. Independent small molecule screens identified compounds that completely and permanently rescued the aortic defect by stimulating arteriogenesis[5,6], an effect that was conserved in mice[7]. Since then, several other zebrafish genetic models have been used for chemical screening, including models of Long QT syndrome, cardiac arrhythmia, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, and Dravet syndrome[8–11].

The gridlock suppressor screen took advantage of the fact that homozygous gridlock mutants are viable and fertile, allowing homozygous breeding pairs to be established. Such is not always the case; many mutants are homozygous lethal, so new homozygotes can only be produced by incrossing of heterozygotes. This phenomenon represents a major practical limitation for zebrafish screening because only 25% of a heterozygous incross are homozygous mutants, making it difficult to distinguish between a homozygous mutant that has been ‘rescued’ by a small molecule and its unaffected heterozygous or wild-type sibling. Various approaches can be used to overcome this lethality problem. Stern *et al.* screened the progeny of a heterozygous incross of *crash-and-burn* mutants, but rather than screening just a few animals per compound as is common in zebrafish screens, they screened each compound against a pool of ~20 zebrafish so that the probability of any pool containing at least one homozygous embryo was high[12]. Although effective, this approach necessitated the screening of many more animals per compound than is typically necessary when all animals are homozygous. Other groups have overcome the lethality issue by transplanting mutant germ cells into wild-type animals to create fertile, viable breeding pairs that produce uniformly homozygous mutant offspring[13]. Similarly, Mullapudi et al transplanted wild-type endoderm into non-viable *insulin* mutants to enable them to survive to sexual maturity and produce large numbers of homozygous offspring for a chemical screen for insulin-independent modulators of glucose homeostasis[14]. Additionally, recent advances in generating conditional genetic alleles in zebrafish will likely enable circumvention of the lethality problem in chemical screening, greatly expanding the number of genetic disorders that can be tackled[15].

Most of the chemical suppressor screens undertaken to date have utilized genetic mutations identified through forward genetic screens and large-scale mutagenesis projects. Importantly, the advent of robust reverse genetics in zebrafish using TALENs and CRISPR-Cas9 dramatically expands the possibilities for disease modeling[16–18]. It is now relatively simple to generate null alleles for genes of interest when such alleles are adequate disease

models. However, mimicking specific human disease alleles, including specific point mutations and gain-of-function (GOF) mutations, remains more challenging. Various approaches for precise production of non-INDEL alleles have been developed, but further improvement in efficiency is needed before widespread adoption can occur[19,20]. In addition, most genetic models used to date produce a relatively profound, embryonic phenotype, whereas genetic mutations that produce subtle or adult phenotypes have largely eluded chemical screening. Development of technologies for screening subtle, adult phenotypes that are relevant for many genetic disorders remains an important frontier.

Transgenesis

Transgenesis can be used effectively to model human diseases by creating zebrafish lines that enable regulated expression of a disease-causing gene. Unlike the basic mutagenesis and genome editing approaches described above, which have mostly focused on disrupting endogenous zebrafish genes, transgenesis enables expression of genes with a wide variety of activities including GOF alleles, translocation products, and even human disease genes not present in the zebrafish genome. In one elegant example, Asimaki et al. created a transgenic line with inducible, cardiac-specific expression of the 2057del2 mutation of the human plakoglobin gene[21]. This specific mutation causes Naxos disease in humans; overexpression in zebrafish causes related phenotypes, including natriuretic peptide b overexpression, cardiac contractility defects, cardiomegaly, and eventually death. The authors successfully used the transgenic model in a small molecule screen that identified SB216763, a compound that reversed the Naxos-related phenotypes in transgenic zebrafish and rat cardiomyocytes expressing 2057del2[21]. Several other examples exist. A transgenic line in which the human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) translocation product AML1-ETO is expressed in zebrafish from the heat shock promoter was used to screen for potential AML therapeutics[22]. Similarly, a transgenic zebrafish line expressing the human protein TAU-P301L in its neurons was used to identify compounds that reduced pathologic hyperphosphorylation of TAU in vivo[23].

The examples above demonstrate various advantages of the transgenesis approach including the ability to make the disease phenotype conditional using inducible promoters or multi-component systems such as Gal4:UAS, thereby circumventing lethality associated with disease gene expression. In addition, they provide control over the location, timing, and quantity of disease gene expression, enabling titration of phenotype severity. This capability can be extremely helpful in ensuring that the disease phenotype is highly penetrant and observable without being too severe to rescue.

One precaution should be noted when using transgene-based disease models: small molecules that appear to be improving a disease phenotype may simply be reducing expression of the transgene. This phenomenon was observed by Yeh et al., who found that some false positive hits from their screen inhibited the heat shock response in zebrafish, thereby blocking expression of the AML1-ETO transgene[22].

Pharmacological models

In some instances, pharmacological treatments cause robust zebrafish phenotypes that are mechanistically linked to human diseases. For example, the GABA antagonist pentylenetetrazole causes neuronal hyperexcitability that has been shown to model some aspects of epilepsy in rodents and zebrafish such as epilepsy-like electrographic discharges and clonus-like convulsions[24]. The β -adrenergic receptor agonist isoproterenol and the chemotherapeutic agent doxorubicin both cause cardiac dysfunction, cardiomyocyte death, and heart failure in zebrafish, much as they do in rodents and humans, thereby serving as pharmacological models of heart failure[25–27]. Other examples include pharmacological models of biliary atresia, hyperglycemia, and cyanide intoxication [28–32]. Such pharmacological models are generally relatively easy to develop and scale up because they can be performed using wild-type animals. Unfortunately, validated pharmacological inducers of disease phenotypes do not exist for most human conditions. When they do, it should be remembered that small molecule ‘hits’ that appear to suppress the disease phenotype may be functioning by influencing the metabolism or distribution of the pharmacological agent.

Wounding

Zebrafish have robust regenerative ability [33,34] and leukocyte immune response to tissue injury [35–37]. These attributes make zebrafish wounding (physically or chemically induced) an attractive approach to screen for modulators of regeneration and inflammation. Physically induced wounds are easily achieved with a dissecting microscope and a fine hypodermic needle or scalpel blade [38–42]. For example, to identify compounds that accelerate resolution of inflammation, Robertson *et al* performed a screen on tail-transected larvae then assessed neutrophil numbers in the injury region [40]. Chemically induced wounding utilizes cell type specific toxins [43–45]. d’Alençon *et al* used copper sulfate to selectively damage sensory hair cells then identified immunomodulatory compounds by quantifying the magnitude of the inflammatory reaction [45]. Using a similar approach, Namdaran *et al* damaged hair cells with neomycin then screened for compounds that modulated their regeneration [44]. Commonly, transgenic zebrafish expressing fluorescent proteins in cells or tissues of interest are used for quantification. Manual wounding offers discrete onset of cellular damage, yet the labor involved often limits the sample size available to screen. Chemically induced cell ablation facilitates larger sample sizes, but damage is often slow to develop and caution needs be taken to identify non-specific cell loss. Cell-specific expression of nitroreductase along with exposure to the prodrug metronidazole is another method to induce cell ablation [46–48]. This approach has already been applied to cell types such as rod photoreceptors [49,50], pancreatic beta cells [51] and hepatocytes [52]. It should be noted that the majority of these wounding approaches are used on early developmental stages when only the innate immune system is functional. The adaptive immune system in zebrafish is not present until 4–6 weeks post fertilization [53]. Furthermore, since zebrafish naturally regenerate most damaged tissue, any inhibitory pathways identified in zebrafish may not translate to agents that promote regeneration in humans.

Exposure to foreign agents

A wide variety of pathogens have been used to generate zebrafish infection models and include bacteria, fungi and viruses [54–57]. Injecting these pathogens directly into the circulation or into different body cavities is most common. High throughput chemical screening can be facilitated using an automated pathogen microinjection system [58], flow-based fluorescence infection quantification [58] and bioluminescence based bacterial load measurements [59]. Alternatively, direct exposure is sufficient for certain pathogens. For example, Dalton *et al* found that larvae become naturally infected after immersion in media containing *Mycobacterium marinum*, a surrogate of the tuberculosis causing pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [59]. If using fish-based infection models, one must consider the lower rearing temperature used for zebrafish (28°C) when interpreting results and the physiological relevance of the particular immune response under investigation. For example, the zebrafish paralogues of the TLR4 pattern-recognition receptors do not sense LPS [60,61]. Currently, most chemical screening is performed using zebrafish bacterial infection models. Undoubtedly, future work can be extended to include fungal and viral-based models.

Zebrafish-based xenotransplantation models are primarily geared towards developing novel cancer treatments and for personalized medicine [62–65]. Xenotransplantation in zebrafish needs only a small number of cancer cells. This approach offers the advantage of *in vivo* monitoring of cancer cell invasion and metastasis. A variety of zebrafish xenograft models have been established by injecting patient-derived primary cancer cells, patient-derived tumor tissue explants or human cell lines into different sites or into fish at different developmental stages [66–70]. Some of the limitations of this approach include differences between zebrafish and humans with respect to tumor microenvironment [71] and the temperature required for optimal cell growth [72]. With these techniques, the lack of an acquired immune system in early larval stages and immunocompetency issues in the adult must be addressed. The immunocompetency issue can be overcome when clonal syngeneic strains or immune compromised zebrafish are used for allograft cancer cell transplantation. Even though zebrafish cells are used for allogenic transplantations, this approach can interrogate the mechanisms of cancer self-renewal, progression and metastasis [63]. Overall, xenograft and allograft proof of principle show tremendous potential for chemical screening and precision oncology. These models will most certainly aid in the discovery of effective treatments for various cancers in the near future.

Using wild-type animals to screen for disease modifiers

Many diseases arise from the aberrant up- or down- regulation of signaling pathways important for normal development and function. When phenotypic readouts relevant to the pathway of interest are established, wild-type animals can be used in chemical screens to identify modulators of the disease state. The phenotypic readout could be the over or under production of specific genes or cell types, abnormal development of a phenotype known to be caused by specific signaling pathways, or behaviorally phenocopying a disease state. For example, using *in situ* hybridization to detect changes in expression of mRNAs important for hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) development, North *et al* discovered that prostaglandin E2

(PGE2) is an efficient inducer of HSC self-renewal [73]. This discovery has led to the initiation of a clinical trial using PGE2 in umbilical cord blood transplantations. Another example is screening for compounds that cause disruption of dorsoventral axis formation in wild-type larvae. This approach yielded the discovery of a small molecule inhibitor of BMP signaling, dorsomorphin [74], and an inhibitor of β -catenin function, windorphen [75]. Behavioral responses to different stimuli [76,77] or learning behavior [78] can also be used as screening readouts to discover neuroactive drugs and cognitive modulators, respectively. The obvious advantage of using wild-type animals is that no additional time and effort are needed to generate the model. The feasibility of this approach, however, is limited by the availability of observable and quantifiable phenotypic outcomes generated from a wild-type genetic background after compound treatment.

Conclusion

As with all model systems, the zebrafish comes with its own set of advantages and limitations. When selecting or designing a zebrafish disease model for chemical screening, careful considerations should be made regarding the scalability, potential false positive rate, and especially the physiological relevance of the disease model used. The choice of chemical library can also be a critical factor for the success of a screen, but that topic is beyond the scope of this review. Given the numerous established approaches to disease modeling in zebrafish, as reviewed above, and a proliferation of tools for high-throughput embryo handling, robotic injection, and automated image acquisition and analysis, zebrafish-based screening is increasingly becoming a powerful and accessible technology for small molecule discovery.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding from the National Institutes of Health, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Merck, Hoffmann La-Roche, and the L. S. Skaggs Presidential Endowed Chair.

References

1. Rennekamp AJ, Peterson RT: 15 years of zebrafish chemical screening. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* (2015) 24:58–70. [PubMed: 25461724]
2. MacRae CA, Peterson RT: Zebrafish as tools for drug discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* (2015) 14(10):721–731. [PubMed: 26361349]
- 3. Howe K, Clark MD, Torroja CF, Torrance J, Berthelot C, Muffato M, Collins JE, Humphray S, McLaren K, Matthews L, McLaren S et al. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. *Nature* (2013) 496(7446):498–503. [PubMed: 23594743]
Sequencing, assembly, and annotation of the zebrafish genome has helped highlight the degree of similarity between zebrafish and human genomes, including the existence of zebrafish orthologs for 82% of human disease-related genes.
4. Zhong TP, Rosenberg M, Mohideen MA, Weinstein B, Fishman MC: Gridlock, an *hlh* gene required for assembly of the aorta in zebrafish. *Science* (2000) 287(5459):1820–1824. [PubMed: 10710309]
5. Hong CC, Peterson QP, Hong JY, Peterson RT: Artery/vein specification is governed by opposing phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase and map kinase/erk signaling. *Curr Biol* (2006) 16(13): 1366–1372. [PubMed: 16824925]
- 6. Peterson RT, Shaw SY, Peterson TA, Milan DJ, Zhong TP, Schreiber SL, MacRae CA, Fishman MC: Chemical suppression of a genetic mutation in a zebrafish model of aortic coarctation. *Nat Biotechnol* (2004) 22(5):595–599. [PubMed: 15097998] This study identified, by *in vivo* small

molecule screening, compounds that permanently rescue the vascular defect caused by the zebrafish *gridlock* mutation.

7. Ren B, Deng Y, Mukhopadhyay A, Lanahan AA, Zhuang ZW, Moodie KL, Mulligan-Kehoe MJ, Byzova TV, Peterson RT, Simons M: Erk1/2-akt1 crosstalk regulates arteriogenesis in mice and zebrafish. *J Clin Invest* (2010) 120(4):1217–1228. [PubMed: 20237411]
- 8. Dinday MT, Baraban SC: Large-scale phenotype-based antiepileptic drug screening in a zebrafish model of dravet syndrome. *eNeuro* (2015) 2(4).Screening a collection of clinically approved drugs against a genetic zebrafish model of Dravet syndrome identified several new candidate antiepileptics, some of which were tested directly in human Dravet patients. This study highlights the utility of zebrafish behavior-based phenotypes for drug screening and demonstrates the potential to translate zebrafish screening data directly to human studies.
9. Kawahara G, Karpf JA, Myers JA, Alexander MS, Guyon JR, Kunkel LM: Drug screening in a zebrafish model of duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2011) 108(13):5331–5336. [PubMed: 21402949]
10. Shimizu H, Schredelseker J, Huang J, Lu K, Naghdi S, Lu F, Franklin S, Fiji HD, Wang K, Zhu H, Tian C et al. Mitochondrial Ca^{2+} uptake by the voltage-dependent anion channel 2 regulates cardiac rhythmicity. *Elife* (2015) 4:e04801.
11. Peal DS, Mills RW, Lynch SN, Mosley JM, Lim E, Ellinor PT, January CT, Peterson RT, Milan DJ: Novel chemical suppressors of long qt syndrome identified by an in vivo functional screen. *Circulation* (2011) 123(1):23–30. [PubMed: 21098441]
12. Stern HM, Murphey RD, Shepard JL, Amatruda JF, Straub CT, Pfaff KL, Weber G, Tallarico JA, King RW, Zon LI: Small molecules that delay s phase suppress a zebrafish bmyb mutant. *Nat Chem Biol* (2005) 1(7):366–370. [PubMed: 16372403]
13. Ciruna B, Weidinger G, Knaut H, Thisse B, Thisse C, Raz E, Schier AF: Production of maternal-zygotic mutant zebrafish by germ-line replacement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2002) 99(23):14919–14924. [PubMed: 12397179]
14. Mullapudi ST, Helker CS, Boezio GL, Maischein HM, Sokol AM, Guenther S, Matsuda H, Kubicek S, Graumann J, Yang YHC, Stainier DY: Screening for insulin-independent pathways that modulate glucose homeostasis identifies androgen receptor antagonists. *Elife* (2018) 7:e42209. [PubMed: 30520733]
15. Burg L, Palmer N, Kikhi K, Miroshnik ES, Rueckert H, Gaddy E, MacPherson Cunningham C, Mattonet K, Lai SL, Marin-Juez R, Waring RB et al. Conditional mutagenesis by oligonucleotide-mediated integration of loxp sites in zebrafish. *PLoS Genet* (2018) 14(11):e1007754. [PubMed: 30427827]
- 16. Huang P, Xiao A, Zhou M, Zhu Z, Lin S, Zhang B: Heritable gene targeting in zebrafish using customized talens. *Nat Biotechnol* (2011) 29(8):699–700. [PubMed: 21822242] Published back-to-back with Sander et al. [18], this paper established the utility of TALENs for genome editing in zebrafish.
- 17. Hwang WY, Fu Y, Reyon D, Maeder ML, Tsai SQ, Sander JD, Peterson RT, Yeh JR, Joung JK: Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a crispr-cas system. *Nat Biotechnol* (2013)31(3):227–229. [PubMed: 23360964] This study was the first to report genome editing in an animal using CRISPR-Cas9. The advent of CRISPR-based genome editing has transformed zebrafish research in many ways, including expansion of possibilities for modeling human genetic disorders.
- 18. Sander JD, Cade L, Khayter C, Reyon D, Peterson RT, Joung JK, Yeh JR: Targeted gene disruption in somatic zebrafish cells using engineered talens. *Nat Biotechnol* (2011) 29(8):697–698. [PubMed: 21822241] Published back-to-back with Huang et al. [16], this paper established the utility of TALENs for genome editing in zebrafish.
19. Simone BW, Martinez-Galvez G, WareJoncas Z, Ekker SC: Fishing for understanding: Unlocking the zebrafish gene editor's toolbox. *Methods* (2018) 150:3–10. [PubMed: 30076892]
20. Albadri S, Del Bene F, Revenu C: Genome editing using crispr/cas9-based knock-in approaches in zebrafish. *Methods* (2017) 121-122:77–85. [PubMed: 28300641]
- 21. Asimaki A, Kapoor S, Plovie E, Karin Arndt A, Adams E, Liu Z, James CA, Judge DP, Calkins H, Churko J, Wu JC et al. Identification of a new modulator of the intercalated disc in a zebrafish model of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. *Sci Transl Med* (2014) 6(240):240ra274.This study used transgenic expression of a mutant human gene associated with Naxos syndrome to model

arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy in zebrafish. The transgenic line enabled large-scale screening to identify a small molecule that rescued the disease phenotype in zebrafish hearts and cultured mammalian cardiomyocytes.

22. Yeh JR, Munson KM, Elagib KE, Goldfarb AN, Sweetser DA, Peterson RT: Discovering chemical modifiers of oncogene-regulated hematopoietic differentiation. *Nat Chem Biol* (2009) 5(4):236–243. [PubMed: 19172146]
23. Paquet D, Bhat R, Sydow A, Mandelkow EM, Berg S, Hellberg S, Falting J, Distel M, Koster RW, Schmid B, Haass C: A zebrafish model of tauopathy allows in vivo imaging of neuronal cell death and drug evaluation. *J Clin Invest* (2009) 119(5):1382–1395. [PubMed: 19363289]
24. Baraban SC, Taylor MR, Castro PA, Baier H: Pentylentetrazole induced changes in zebrafish behavior, neural activity and c-fos expression. *Neuroscience* (2005) 131(3):759–768. [PubMed: 15730879]
25. Liu Y, Asnani A, Zou L, Bentley VL, Yu M, Wang Y, Delleire G, Sarkar KS, Dai M, Chen HH, Sosnovik DE et al.: Visnagin protects against doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy through modulation of mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase. *Sci Transl Med* (2014) 6(266):266ra170.
26. Shin JT, Pomerantsev EV, Mably JD, MacRae CA: High-resolution cardiovascular function confirms functional orthology of myocardial contractility pathways in zebrafish. *Physiol Genomics* (2010) 42(2):300–309. [PubMed: 20388839]
27. Kossack M, Hein S, Juergensen L, Siragusa M, Benz A, Katus HA, Most P, Hassel D: Induction of cardiac dysfunction in developing and adult zebrafish by chronic isoproterenol stimulation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* (2017) 108:95–105. [PubMed: 28554511]
28. Nath AK, Shi X, Harrison DL, Morningstar JE, Mahon S, Chan A, Sips P, Lee J, MacRae CA, Boss GR, Brenner M et al.: Cisplatin analogs confer protection against cyanide poisoning. *Cell Chem Biol* (2017) 24(5):565–575 e564. [PubMed: 28416275]
29. Nath AK, Ryu JH, Jin YN, Roberts LD, Dejam A, Gerszten RE, Peterson RT: Ptpmt1 inhibition lowers glucose through succinate dehydrogenase phosphorylation. *Cell Rep* (2015) 10(5):694–701. [PubMed: 25660020]
30. Matsuda H, Mullapudi ST, Yang YHC, Masaki H, Hesselson D, Stainier DYR: Whole-organism chemical screening identifies modulators of pancreatic beta-cell function. *Diabetes* (2018) 67(11):2268–2279. [PubMed: 30115653]
31. Olsen AS, Sarras MP Jr., Leontovich A, Intine RV: Heritable transmission of diabetic metabolic memory in zebrafish correlates with DNA hypomethylation and aberrant gene expression. *Diabetes* (2012) 61(2):485–491. [PubMed: 2228713]
32. Lorent K, Gong W, Koo KA, Waisbourd-Zinman O, Karjoo S, Zhao X, Sealy I, Kettleborough RN, Stemple DL, Windsor PA, Whittaker SJ et al.: Identification of a plant isoflavonoid that causes biliary atresia. *Sci Transl Med* (2015) 7(286):286ra267.
33. Gemberling M, Bailey TJ, Hyde DR, Poss KD: The zebrafish as a model for complex tissue regeneration. *Trends Genet* (2013) 29(11):611–620. [PubMed: 23927865]
34. Goessling W, North TE: Repairing quite swimmingly: Advances in regenerative medicine using zebrafish. *Dis Model Mech* (2014) 7(7):769–776. [PubMed: 24973747]
35. de Oliveira S, Rosowski EE, Huttenlocher A: Neutrophil migration in infection and wound repair: Going forward in reverse. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2016) 16(6):378–391. [PubMed: 27231052]
36. Deng Q, Huttenlocher A: Leukocyte migration from a fish eye's view. *J Cell Sci* (2012) 125(Pt 17):3949–3956. [PubMed: 23104739]
37. Lam PY, Huttenlocher A: Interstitial leukocyte migration in vivo. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* (2013) 25(5):650–658. [PubMed: 23797028]
38. Oppedal D, Goldsmith MI: A chemical screen to identify novel inhibitors of fin regeneration in zebrafish. *Zebrafish* (2010) 7(1):53–60. [PubMed: 20384483]
39. Bremer J, Skinner J, Granato M: A small molecule screen identifies in vivo modulators of peripheral nerve regeneration in zebrafish. *PLoS One* (2017) 12(6):e0178854. [PubMed: 28575069]
40. Robertson AL, Holmes GR, Bojarczuk AN, Burgon J, Loynes CA, Chimen M, Sawtell AK, Hamza B, Willson J, Walmsley SR, Anderson SR et al.: A zebrafish compound screen reveals modulation

of neutrophil reverse migration as an anti-inflammatory mechanism. *Sci Transl Med* (2014) 6(225):225ra229.

41. Choi WY, Gemberling M, Wang J, Holdway JE, Shen MC, Karlstrom RO, Poss KD: In vivo monitoring of cardiomyocyte proliferation to identify chemical modifiers of heart regeneration. *Development* (2013) 140(3):660–666. [PubMed: 23293297]
42. Mathew LK, Sengupta S, Kawakami A, Andreasen EA, Lohr CV, Loynes CA, Renshaw SA, Peterson RT, Tanguay RL: Unraveling tissue regeneration pathways using chemical genetics. *J Biol Chem* (2007) 282(48):35202–35210. [PubMed: 17848559]
43. North TE, Babu IR, Vedder LM, Lord AM, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR, Zon LI, Goessling W: Pge2-regulated wnt signaling and n-acetylcysteine are synergistically hepatoprotective in zebrafish acetaminophen injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2010) 107(40):17315–17320. [PubMed: 20855591]
44. Namdaran P, Reinhart KE, Owens KN, Raible DW, Rubel EW: Identification of modulators of hair cell regeneration in the zebrafish lateral line. *J Neurosci* (2012) 32(10):3516–3528. [PubMed: 22399774]
45. d'Alencon CA, Pena OA, Wittmann C, Gallardo VE, Jones RA, Loosli F, Liebel U, Grabher C, Allende ML: A high-throughput chemically induced inflammation assay in zebrafish. *BMC Biol* (2010) 8:151. [PubMed: 21176202]
46. White DT, Mumm JS: The nitroreductase system of inducible targeted ablation facilitates cell-specific regenerative studies in zebrafish. *Methods* (2013) 62(3):232–240. [PubMed: 23542552]
47. Pisharath H: Validation of nitroreductase, a prodrug-activating enzyme, mediated cell death in embryonic zebrafish (*danio rerio*). *Comp Med* (2007) 57(3):241–246. [PubMed: 17605338]
48. Mathias JR, Zhang Z, Saxena MT, Mumm JS: Enhanced cell-specific ablation in zebrafish using a triple mutant of *escherichia coli* nitroreductase. *Zebrafish* (2014) 11(2):85–97. [PubMed: 24428354]
49. White DT, Sengupta S, Saxena MT, Xu Q, Hanes J, Ding D, Ji H, Mumm JS: Immunomodulation-accelerated neuronal regeneration following selective rod photoreceptor cell ablation in the zebrafish retina. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2017) 114(18):E3719–E3728. [PubMed: 28416692]
50. Montgomery JE, Parsons MJ, Hyde DR: A novel model of retinal ablation demonstrates that the extent of rod cell death regulates the origin of the regenerated zebrafish rod photoreceptors. *J Comp Neurol* (2010) 518(6):800–814. [PubMed: 20058308]
51. Pisharath H, Rhee JM, Swanson MA, Leach SD, Parsons MJ: Targeted ablation of beta cells in the embryonic zebrafish pancreas using *e. coli* nitroreductase. *Mech Dev* (2007) 124(3):218–229. [PubMed: 17223324]
52. Choi TY, Ninov N, Stainier DY, Shin D: Extensive conversion of hepatic biliary epithelial cells to hepatocytes after near total loss of hepatocytes in zebrafish. *Gastroenterology* (2014) 146(3):776–788. [PubMed: 24148620]
53. Novoa B, Figueras A: Zebrafish: Model for the study of inflammation and the innate immune response to infectious diseases. *Adv Exp Med Biol* (2012) 946:253–275. [PubMed: 21948373]
54. Torracca V, Mostowy S: Zebrafish infection: From pathogenesis to cell biology. *Trends Cell Biol* (2018) 28(2):143–156. [PubMed: 29173800]
55. Kanther M, Rawls JF: Host-microbe interactions in the developing zebrafish. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2010) 22(1):10–19. [PubMed: 20153622]
56. Emily E Rosowski BPK, Archambault Linda S., Huttenlocher Anna, Keller Nancy P., Wheeler Robert T., and Muse Davis J: The zebrafish as a model host for invasive fungal infections. *Journal of Fungi* (2018) 4(4):26.
57. Varela M, Figueras A, Novoa B: Modelling viral infections using zebrafish: Innate immune response and antiviral research. *Antiviral Res* (2017) 139:59–68. [PubMed: 28025085]
- 58. Veneman WJ, Stockhammer OW, de Boer L, Zaat SA, Meijer AH, Spaink HP: A zebrafish high throughput screening system used for *staphylococcus epidermidis* infection marker discovery. *BMC Genomics* (2013) 14:255. [PubMed: 23586901] This paper describes the development of a platform for high-throughput injection, sorting, and analysis of infection in zebrafish. Platforms such as this one are enabling large-scale studies of infection, including small molecule screens for anti-infective agents.

59. Dalton JP, Uy B, Okuda KS, Hall CJ, Denny WA, Crosier PS, Swift S, Wiles S: Screening of anti-mycobacterial compounds in a naturally infected zebrafish larvae model. *J Antimicrob Chemother* (2017) 72(2):421–427. [PubMed: 27798206]
60. Sepulcre MP, Alcaraz-Perez F, Lopez-Munoz A, Roca FJ, Meseguer J, Cayuela ML, Mulero V: Evolution of lipopolysaccharide (lps) recognition and signaling: Fish tlr4 does not recognize lps and negatively regulates nf-kappab activation. *J Immunol* (2009) 182(4):1836–1845. [PubMed: 19201835]
61. Sullivan C, Charette J, Catchen J, Lage CR, Giasson G, Postlethwait JH, Millard PJ, Kim CH: The gene history of zebrafish tlr4a and tlr4b is predictive of their divergent functions. *J Immunol* (2009) 183(9):5896–5908. [PubMed: 19812203]
62. Astone M, Dankert EN, Alam SK, Hoepfner LH: Fishing for cures: The allure of using zebrafish to develop precision oncology therapies. *NPJ Precis Oncol* (2017) 1(39):1–14. [PubMed: 29872691]
63. Moore JC, Langenau DM: Allograft cancer cell transplantation in zebrafish. *Adv Exp Med Biol* (2016) 916:265–287. [PubMed: 27165358]
64. Wertman J, Veinotte CJ, Dellaire G, Berman JN: The zebrafish xenograft platform: Evolution of a novel cancer model and preclinical screening tool. *Adv Exp Med Biol* (2016) 916:289–314. [PubMed: 27165359]
65. Veinotte CJ, Dellaire G, Berman JN: Hooking the big one: The potential of zebrafish xenotransplantation to reform cancer drug screening in the genomic era. *Dis Model Mech* (2014) 7(7):745–754. [PubMed: 24973744]
66. Fior R, Pova V, Mendes RV, Carvalho T, Gomes A, Figueiredo N, Ferreira MG: Single-cell functional and chemosensitive profiling of combinatorial colorectal therapy in zebrafish xenografts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2017) 114(39):E8234–E8243. [PubMed: 28835536]
67. Hill D, Chen L, Snaar-Jagalska E, Chaudhry B: Embryonic zebrafish xenograft assay of human cancer metastasis. *F1000Res* (2018) 7:1682. [PubMed: 30473782]
68. Marques IJ, Weiss FU, Vlecken DH, Nitsche C, Bakkers J, Lagendijk AK, Partecke LI, Heidecke CD, Lerch MM, Bagowski CP: Metastatic behaviour of primary human tumours in a zebrafish xenotransplantation model. *BMC Cancer* (2009) 9:128. [PubMed: 19400945]
69. Mercatali L, La Manna F, Groenewoud A, Casadei R, Recine F, Miserocchi G, Pieri F, Liverani C, Bongiovanni A, Spadazzi C, de Vita A et al.: Development of a patient-derived xenograft (pdx) of breast cancer bone metastasis in a zebrafish model. *Int J Mol Sci* (2016) 17(8).
70. Bentley VL, Veinotte CJ, Corkery DP, Pinder JB, LeBlanc MA, Bedard K, Weng AP, Berman JN, Dellaire G: Focused chemical genomics using zebrafish xenotransplantation as a pre-clinical therapeutic platform for t-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica* (2015) 100(1):70–76. [PubMed: 25281505]
71. Wyatt RA, Trieu NPV, Crawford BD: Zebrafish xenograft: An evolutionary experiment in tumour biology. *Genes (Basel)* (2017) 8(9).
72. Cabezas-Sainz P, Guerra-Varela J, Carreira MJ, Mariscal J, Roel M, Rubiolo JA, Sciara AA, Abal M, Botana LM, Lopez R, Sanchez L: Improving zebrafish embryo xenotransplantation conditions by increasing incubation temperature and establishing a proliferation index with zftool. *BMC Cancer* (2018) 18(1):3. [PubMed: 29291719]
- 73. North TE, Goessling W, Walkley CR, Lengerke C, Kopani KR, Lord AM, Weber GJ, Bowman TV, Jang IH, Grosser T, Fitzgerald GA et al.: Prostaglandin e2 regulates vertebrate haematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. *Nature* (2007) 447(7147): 1007–1011. [PubMed: 17581586] This study utilized in situ expression analysis of hematopoietic markers as the basis of a screen for chemical modulators of stem cell homeostasis. A compound emerging from the screen, dimethyl-prostaglandin E2, has become the subject of extensive further study in animal models and a clinical trial testing its ability to enhance hematopoietic stem cell transplant in the setting of leukemia.
- 74. Yu PB, Hong CC, Sachidanandan C, Babitt JL, Deng DY, Hoyng SA, Lin HY, Bloch KD, Peterson RT: Dorsomorphin inhibits bmp signals required for embryogenesis and iron metabolism. *Nat Chem Biol* (2008) 4(1):33–41. [PubMed: 18026094] This study used phenotypic changes in the zebrafish embryo as the basis of a screen to identify dorsomorphin, the first small molecule inhibitor of the BMP signaling pathway. Dorsomorphin and its more selective derivatives have

become widely-used research tools and candidate therapies for treating fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva.

75. Hao J, Ao A, Zhou L, Murphy CK, Frist AY, Keel JJ, Thorne CA, Kim K, Lee E, Hong CC: Selective small molecule targeting beta-catenin function discovered by in vivo chemical genetic screen. *Cell Rep* (2013) 4(5):898–904. [PubMed: 24012757]
- 76. Kokel D, Bryan J, Lagner C, White R, Cheung CY, Mateus R, Healey D, Kim S, Werdich AA, Haggarty SJ, Macrae CA et al.: Rapid behavior-based identification of neuroactive small molecules in the zebrafish. *Nat Chem Biol* (2010) 6(3):231–237. [PubMed: 20081854] This paper, along with Rihel et al. [77] demonstrated the potential of collecting rich, quantitative behavioral phenotypes from small molecule-treated zebrafish larvae, and then using the behavioral phenotypes to identify novel neuroactive compounds and predict their mechanisms of action.
- 77. Rihel J, Prober DA, Arvanites A, Lam K, Zimmerman S, Jang S, Haggarty SJ, Kokel D, Rubin LL, Peterson RT, Schier AF: Zebrafish behavioral profiling links drugs to biological targets and rest/wake regulation. *Science* (2010) 327(5963):348–351. [PubMed: 20075256] This paper, along with Kokel et al. [76] demonstrated the potential of collecting rich, quantitative behavioral phenotypes from small molecule-treated zebrafish larvae, and then using the behavioral phenotypes to identify novel neuroactive compounds and predict their mechanisms of action.
78. Wolman MA, Jain RA, Liss L, Granato M: Chemical modulation of memory formation in larval zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2011) 108(37):15468–15473. [PubMed: 21876167]
79. Chowdhury S, Owens KN, Herr RJ, Jiang Q, Chen X, Johnson G, Groppi VE, Raible DW, Rubel EW, Simon JA: Phenotypic optimization of urea-thiophene carboxamides to yield potent, well tolerated, and orally active protective agents against aminoglycoside-induced hearing loss. *J Med Chem* (2018) 61(1):84–97. [PubMed: 28992413]
80. Owens KN, Santos F, Roberts B, Linbo T, Coffin AB, Knisely AJ, Simon JA, Rubel EW, Raible DW: Identification of genetic and chemical modulators of zebrafish mechanosensory hair cell death. *PLoS Genet* (2008) 4(2):e1000020. [PubMed: 18454195]
81. Bruni G, Rennekamp AJ, Velenich A, McCarroll M, Gendele L, Fertsch E, Taylor J, Lakhani P, Lensen D, Evron T, Lorello PJ et al.: Zebrafish behavioral profiling identifies multitarget antipsychotic-like compounds. *Nat Chem Biol* (2016) 12(7):559–566. [PubMed: 27239787]
82. Ridges S, Heaton WL, Joshi D, Choi H, Eiring A, Batchelor L, Choudhry P, Manos EJ, Sofla H, Sanati A, Welborn S et al.: Zebrafish screen identifies novel compound with selective toxicity against leukemia. *Blood* (2012) 119(24):5621–5631. [PubMed: 22490804]

Fig. 1.
Schematic representation of the six different general approaches for generating zebrafish disease model for chemical screening.

Table 1.

Selected small molecules discovered from zebrafish small molecule screens.

Compound	Biological activity and status	Reference
<p>Dorsomorphin</p>	Dorsomorphin and its derivatives are inhibitors of Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) receptors. These compounds were selected by US National Institutes of Health for preclinical development for fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP) and anemia of inflammation.	[74]
<p>ORC-0001 (PROTO-1)</p> <p>ORC-13661</p>	ORC-0001 and its derivative ORC-13661 were discovered to be protective against aminoglycoside antibiotic (AGA)-induced hair cell death. A clinical trial of ORC-13661 is underway.	[79,80]
<p>Fenfluramine</p>	Fenfluramine, a serotonin reuptake inhibitor, demonstrated efficacy against spontaneous seizures in <i>scn1lab^{s52}</i> mutants. It is currently in Phase III clinical trials for Dravet Syndrome.	[8]
<p>Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2)</p> <p>dmPGE2</p>	PGE2 was found to induce stem-cell production. The long-acting derivative of PGE2, 16,16-dimethyl-PGE2 (dmPGE2), has now advanced to a phase II clinical trial as a therapy for patients undergoing umbilical cord blood transplantation.	[73]
<p>Visnagin</p>	Identified to protect against doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy in zebrafish and mouse models.	[25]
<p>Finazine</p>	Finazine was found in a screen of zebrafish behaviors to elicit a behavioral profile similar to other antipsychotics, suggesting it might also have antipsychotic activity, a hypothesis confirmed in a mouse model.	[81]

Compound	Biological activity and status	Reference
<p>Lenaldekar</p>	Lenaldekar (LDK) was discovered to selectively eliminate immature T cells in the developing zebrafish and as a potential treatment for leukemia.	[82]
<p>SB216763</p>	SB216763 is a glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) inhibitor. From a zebrafish screen, SB216763 was found to improve features of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy.	[21]