Published in final edited form as:

GeroPsych (Bern). 2019 March ; 32(1): 31–39. doi:10.1024/1662-9647/a000200.

The Functional Ability of MCI and Alzheimer's Patients Predicts Caregiver Burden

Jose Lara-Ruiz,

UT Health Science Center at San Antonio, University of Texas at San

Kaitlyn Kauzor,

Department of Psychology, Utah State University

Marina Nakhala,

SDSU-UCSD Joint Doctoral Program in Clinical Psychology

Dayana Banuelos,

Department Psychology, California State University

Ellen Woo,

Department of Neuology, University of California, Los Angeles

Liana G. Apostolova,

Department of Neurology, Department of Radiology and Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics Indiana University

Jill Razani

Department of Psychology, California State University, Northridge

Abstract

Sixty patients and their caregivers participated in this study. Patients completed activities of daily living tasks and several neuropsychological tests assessing memory, abstract reasoning, and language. Caregivers completed self-report measures assessing caregiver burden and psychological distress. Results revealed that the mAD caregivers endorsed greater physical burden and feelings of missing out on life compared to MCI caregivers. The mAD caregivers indicated greater depression and anxiety relative to MCI caregivers. Stepwise regression found that fewer patient neuropsychological scores predicted caregiver burden, as compared to patients' daily functioning. Overall, mAD displayed more severe types of burden and psychological distress relative to MCI caregivers and patients' daily functional abilities better predicted caregivers' burden and psychological distress than patients' neuropsychological functioning.

Keywords

mild cognitive impairment; Alzheimer's disease; caregiver burden; cognitive ability; functioning ability

Introduction

Caregiver burden has been defined as the adverse effect on a caregiver's life, including emotional, social, financial and physical functioning as a result of caring for a patient (Zarit, Todd, & Zarit, 1986). According to a report by the National Alliance for Caregiving and AARP (2009), approximately 90% of long-term, in home care, is provided by unpaid caregivers, usually family members and friends.

There is a large body of research demonstrating that providing care for a patient with dementia results in burden and psychological distress for caregivers (Adelman, Tmanova, Delgado, Dion, & Lachs, 2014; Razani et al. 2007; Razani et al., 2014). Caregiver burden in some cases is associated with lack of social interactions and/or physical activity. Accordingly, research had demonstrated that symptoms of depression and anxiety are a common finding in caregivers of those with dementia (Adelman, Tmanova, Delgado, Dion, & Lachs, 2014; Garand, Dew, Eazor, DeKosky, & Reynolds, 2005). In addition, studies have found that caregivers of patients also experience significant levels of hostility (Cooper, Balamurali, Selwood, & Livingston, 2007; Razani et al., 2014; Razani et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2010).

In contrast to the abundance of research on the caregiving experiences of those with dementia, there is a dearth of information on caregivers of individuals with MCI. MCI is believed to be a transitory state between normal aging and dementia for many individuals (Teng, Becker, Woo, Cummings, & Lu, 2010). During this period of time some mild levels of cognitive decline will occur, such as memory loss, but most aspects of activities of daily living (ADLs) are believed not to be so severely disrupted as to interfere with functioning (Petersen, 2004). Thus, it is unclear how much informal caregiving takes place for those with MCI by spouses and/or other next-of-kin. As such, little is known about the experiences of those who provide any level of caregiving or assistance to MCI individuals.

In one of a few studies assessing burden in caregivers of individuals with MCI, Paradise et al. (2015) found that these individuals experience twice the level of burden relative to caregivers on non-MCI individuals. In a different study, 30% of children or spouses of those with MCI reported significant levels of caregiver burden, with their burden rating relating to specific patient factors, including memory impairment and length of symptom presentation (Bruce et al., 2008). Another study investigating caregiving of individuals with MCI provided by their spouses, found lower levels caregiver burden and distress relative to those reported by caregivers of dementia patients in past research (Garand, Dew, Eazor, DeKosky, & Reynolds, 2005). Similarly, Fisher and colleagues (2011) found that caregivers of cognitively impaired patients spend far more hours caring for the patient relative to caregivers of medically ill, noncognitively impaired individuals.

Even fewer studies have compared caregiver experiences of those with MCI to those caring for patient diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease. In the single study found, Ryan et al. (2012) assessed the neuropsychological performance of MCI, AD and normal controls as well as their respective caregivers on a host of caregiver burden, support and psychological factors. Among other findings, they reported that 1) MCI performed better than AD, but worse than

controls on neuropsychological tests, 2) Caregivers of MCI caregivers reported significantly less caregiving burden relative to AD, but more than controls, 3) MCI and AD caregivers displayed similarities in some areas of emotional, physical and social burden, and 4) that patient neuropsychiatric and executive function scores were correlated with caregiver burden.

To better understand the findings of Ryan et al. (2012), we use both an observation-based functional ability test (which allows for assessment of specific domains of daily functions) as well as traditional neuropsychological tests with MCI and AD patients. The earlier in the disease stage that such predictions can be made about caregiver burden and psychological well-being from patient cognitive and functional abilities, the greater the chances are of implementing interventions to improve quality of life for both patient and caregiver.

While the psychological distress experienced by caregivers of Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients has been well characterized (Farias et al., 2009; Pernesczky et al., 2006), the same is not true of MCI. A study by Lu et al. (2007) found the prevalence rate of depressed mood in caregivers of MCI individuals to be 24.6% in a sample of over 700 individuals. Similarly, Springate and Tremont (2013) found a negative relationship between caregiver depression and patients' IADLs. In this same study, patients' behavioral symptoms were also correlated with caregivers' level of depression, such that higher uninhibited behaviors in patients were associated with higher depression levels in their caregivers. While these studies have examined relationships between MCI behavioral issues and depression in their caregivers, they have not assessed the role of specific, observed ADL deficits, and their effect on caregiver burden and psychological distress. Additionally, given that MCI is a transitory state, likely to lead to AD when it progresses, it is important to understand the similarities and differences in caregiving experiences of those with MCI and mild AD (mAD). Studies of patients with mAD have demonstrated that increased levels of caregiver burden and psychological distress are associated with decreased functional abilities in patients with dementia (Kim, Chang, Rose, & Kim, 2012; Razani et al., 2007; Razani et al., 2014).

The purpose of the present study was multifold. First, we aimed to examine the differences in burden and psychological distress between MCI and mAD caregivers. We hypothesized that caregivers of MCI would report burden and psychological distress in some areas, but not all. Second, we were interested in examining how functional abilities, as assessed by an observation-based measure, in individuals with MCI and mAD account for the burden and psychological distress experienced by their caregivers. Given past reporting, we hypothesized that patient specific domains of functioning in patients would predict circumscribed aspects of caregiver burden and psychological stress. Finally, we were interested in how well patient neuropsychological functioning would predict aspects of caregiver experiences (burden) and psychological well-being. Based on previous findings (Ryan et al, 2012), we hypothesized that very few patient neuropsychological test scores would predict caregiver scores, but the few that did would be those assessing executive functioning.

Method

Participants

Sixty older adults and their caregivers participated in this study (50 spouses, 2 child, 6 other kin and 2 not related). Specifically, 40 participants were caregivers to patients diagnosed with mAD and 20 were caregivers to patients with MCI.

Patients in the MCI group were recruited from the University of California, Los Angeles Alzheimer's Disease Research Center. These participants were referred to the study with a research diagnosis of MCI based on a multi-disciplinary evaluation conducted at the UCLA ADRC using the modified Petersen criteria (Petersen, 2004): 1) reports of essentially intact ADLs, 2) individuals do not meet clinical criteria for dementia (CITE McKahn), and 3) objective cognitive impairment. The latter was defined as performing at least 1.5 *SD* below the age- and education-adjusted norms on at least one of the neuropsychological measures.

The mAD patients were recruited from an Alzheimer's Association Center, a geriatric center, and a Veterans Administration healthcare center. Participants were diagnosed with AD by their primary physician and/or neurologist using the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Diseases and Stroke-Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA; McKhann et al., 1984) criteria for probable AD, prior to being referred to the study (Razani et al., 2007).

Measures

The following assessments were included in larger battery of neuropsychological tests. Patients were administered tests assessing cognitive and functional ability. Caregivers were asked to complete a shorter battery of self-reports assessing caregiver burden and psychological distress.

Patient Neuropsychological Measures

Phonemic [FAS] and Category (Animals) Fluency; Benton & Hamsher, 1989).—

This test evaluates the spontaneous production of words. For the phonemic fluency test, participants are required to produce words that begin with the letters F, A, and S in 60 seconds. Likewise, for the category fluency portion, participants were asked to produce as many animals as they could in 60 seconds. For each test, an outcome score of the number of original words produced in the allotted time.

Rey-Osterrieth a Complex Figure Test (Rey-O; Meyers & Meyers, 1995).—This is a test of visuo-construction and visual memory. Participants are required to copy a geometric design and then draw the same design from memory 3 minutes later.

California Verbal Learning Test-second edition Short Form (CVLT-II; Delis, Kramer, Kaplan, & Ober, 2000).—The CVLT-II SF is a comprehensive and detailed assessment of episodic verbal learning and memory in which participants learn 9 words over 4 trials and then recall items after a 10-minute delay. For the purpose of this study, percentage of savings score was calculated and used as the outcome score for this test for

each participant using the following formula: [words recalled after delay / words recalled on the last learning trial] * 100.

Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, 64-item version (WCST-64; Kongs, Thompson, Iverson & Heaton, 2000).—This test is used to assess executive functioning such as abstract reasoning (Berg, 1948). Participants are required to sort 64 cards to stimulus cards based on three principles: color, form, and shape. The outcome measures of total categories correctly sorted, total errors committed and overall percent conceptual understanding was used.

Patients Activities of Daily Living Measure

Direct Assessment of Functional Status (DAFS; Loewenstein et al., 1989).—

The DAFS is a direct observation assessment of activities of daily living assessing the following seven functional domains: (1) Time Orientation (total of 16 points): a) ability to *tell time* presented on a clock (0–8 points) and b) *Orientation* to person, place, and date (0–8 points); (2) Communication Skills (total of 14 points): a) ability to use a *telephone* when presented with names and phone numbers and instructed to dial specific individuals (0–8 points), and b) prepare a letter to mail by writing the correct location of addresses, place a stamp, and seal the envelope (0–6 points); (3) Transportation Skills (13 total points): a) ability to *identify road signs* requires that they describe the use of specific signs presented (0–10 points), and b) knowing *driving rules* requires defining specific rules (0–3 points); (4) Financial Skills (19 points): a) ability to *identify currency* in coins and bills (0–7 points), b) *count currency* in specified amounts (0–4 points), c) *write a check* by placing the numeric and written amounts, date and signature on a check (0–4 points), and *balance a checkbook* on a ledger when instructed of specified purchase amounts (0–4 points); (5) Shopping Skills (17 points): a) ability to *freely recall* a list of six grocery shopping items that were verbally presented 10 minutes prior (0–6 points), b) “shop” by *recognizing* shopping items at a mock grocery store (0–6 points), c) “shop” for items *with a list* (0–4 points), d) *make correct change* when purchasing the mock grocery items (0–1 point). The last two subscales were not included as most participants were able to complete all the tasks and received perfect scores.

The reported inter-rater reliability coefficient for the DAFS have been in the mid .9 range and test-retest reliabilities for individual subscales have been relatively high, with cohens ks ranging from .57 to .92 (Loewenstein et al., 1989).

Caregiver Measures

Caregiver Burden Inventory (CBI; Novak, & Guest, 1989).—The CBI is a 24-item multidimensional self-report questionnaire assessing caregiver burden. Each item on the CBI is rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all descriptive) to 4 (very descriptive), with higher scores indicating greater feelings of burden. The following five subscales were used as outcome scores: 1) *Time Dependence*: assesses perceived burden due to restrictions on a caregiver’s time imposed by the demands of caring for the care receiver; 2) *Developmental Burden*: assesses perceived feelings by the caregiver feelings of missing out on life; 3) *Physical Burden*: assesses fatigue and physical health associated with caring

for the care receiver; 4) *Social Burden*: assesses conflicts with other family members about care decision, or feelings of isolation such as not having time to maintain social relationships; 5) *Emotional Burden*: assesses negative feelings toward their care receivers.

Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI; Derogatis, & Spencer, 1987).—The Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) is a 53-item, multidimensional measure of psychiatric symptomatology that has been shown to assess caregiver distress in previous studies. Participants are asked to rate how much in the previous week specific symptoms distressed them on a scale from not at all (0) to extremely (4). The following 3 subscale outcome scores were used: *depression*, *anxiety*, and *hostility*.

Procedures

Patients were administered the DAFS and the neuropsychology test battery, and their caregivers completed the CGI, CBI, and BSI. All assessments were administered by trained research assistants following standardized administration either in the participants' homes or on the California State University, Northridge (CSUN) campus. This study had institutional review board approval from CSUN. All patients consented to voluntary participation.

Data Analyses

In order to assess the differences between the experienced burden and psychological distress of caregivers' of mAD and MCI, one-way ANOVAs were used. Stepwise regression analyses were performed for the combined mAD and the MCI groups in order to assess which neuropsychological tests and DAFS subscales best predicted specific caregiver burdens and psychological distress.

Results

Demographic, functional and neuropsychological performance for patients is shown in Table 1. Demographic information, caregiver burden, and psychological burden for caregivers are shown in Table 2. The MCI and mAD groups were well matched in terms of education and age, but differed in sex both groups included more males than females. The majority of patients regardless of diagnosis were Caucasian, 90%. Table 2 also shows the average burden and psychological distress experienced by caregivers. Caregivers reported moderate levels of burden on the Caregiver Burden Inventory (CBI) and low levels of psychological distress on the Brief Symptom Inventory (BS depression, anxiety and hostility subscales).

Group Comparisons

The differences between the specific types of burden and psychological distress experienced by mAD and MCI caregivers are presented in Table 2. Caregivers of mAD patients report higher levels of CBI Developmental Burden relative to those caring for MCI patients, $F(1, 64) = 4.03$, $p < .05$. The two groups of caregivers demonstrated no differences in any other areas of caregiving.

Caregivers of patients with mAD endorsed higher levels of depression on the BSI Depression subscale, $F(1, 64) = 5.27$, $p < .05$, and the BDI, $F(1, 64) = 4.56$, $p < .05$.

Additionally, the caregivers of the mAD endorsed higher levels of Anxiety on the BSI, $F(1, 64) = 6.15, p < .05$). However, both caregiver groups endorsed the same degree of hostility (BSI Hostility subscale; see Table 1).

Demographic, neuropsychological and DAFS scores for patients are presented in Table 2. While patients did not differ on key demographic variables, such as age and education level, they did differ on memory and language variables. Additionally, they differed on all of the DAFS subscale, with the MCI outperforming the mAD.

Regression Analyses

Stepwise multiple regression results assessing the best DAFS subscale predictors of caregiver burden and psychological distress subscales in the pooled sample are presented in Table 3. These findings revealed that the patients combined scores of Communications and Shopping subscales of the DAFS accounted for 38% of the caregivers Time Dependence subscale scores of the CBI. Additionally, the Communications subscales of the DAFS alone accounted for significant proportion of variability in Physical and Emotional caregiver burden (19% and 11%, respectively). Finally, the Transportation subscale of the DAFS accounted for 21% of the variability in the Developmental burden subscale of the CBI, while the Orientation subscale of the DAFS accounted for 27% of Social burden caregiver scores.

Table 4 shows the ability of patients' cognitive performance to predict their and caregiver's level of burden and psychological distress. The findings reveal that only a few patient neuropsychological scores predict caregiver burden and psychological distress. Essentially, the WCST accounted for significant proportion of the variability in Time Dependence and Physical burden in caregivers (24% and 23%, respectively). Also, the Rey-O delay recall scores of patients accounts for depression scores (18% of BSI caregiver depression scores and 17% of BDI caregiver scores).

Discussion

Much interest has been paid to MCI in the past decade, as it is often found to be the precursor to diagnosable dementia (Petersen, 2004; Morris et al., 2001; Windbald et al, 2004). The progression for MCI to dementia (often AD) results in an increased decline of daily functional and cognitive abilities in patients (Avila et al., 2015; Bangen et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2010), but how these impairments affect caregiver burden and psychological distress remain unclear. The purpose of the current study was to investigate the association between MCI and mild AD patients' functional abilities and cognitive abilities, and the degree to which these factors predict burden and psychological distress experienced by their caregivers. Our findings indicate that significant differences in the experiences of caregivers of MCI and mAD are evident.

First, we found that mAD caregivers report greater feelings of missing out on life/activities (developmental burden) as a result of caring for the patient as compared to MCI caregivers. Similarly, mAD caregivers report greater physical burden relative to MCI caregivers. However, no differences between the two groups of caregivers were found in burden as it relates to restriction of time (time dependence), conflicts with family members such as care

decisions (social burden) or feelings of isolation (social dependence, or negative emotions toward the patient (emotional burden) in caregivers of mAD or MCI. Our findings are similar to that of Ryan et al (2012) given that they also found their AD caregivers to report greater developmental burden compared to MCI caregivers. However, whereas in the Ryan et al. study they also found AD caregivers to report more time dependence burden, we found our sample to report greater physical burden, relative to MIC caregivers. Taken together, our findings suggest that as MCI patients progress to full diagnosis of AD, caregivers may be likely to find the greatest increase in burden in the areas of restriction in their life activities and physical care of the patient. Other studies supporting this conclusion have found that progression of dementing disease is a significant factor in determining caregiver burden (Razani et al., 2014) and that functional decline and dependence on care are most predictive of caregiver burden in patients (Schulz, O'Brien, Bookwala & Fleissner, 1995).

Second, high levels of depression (Lu et al., 2007) and anxiety among caregivers has been reported in the literature (Schulz et al., 1995), with a comprehensive review study finding that those caring for MCI individuals display depressed symptoms, albeit, not as severe as those caring for more advanced dementia (Seeher et al., 2013). The current study expands this area of research by demonstrating that caregivers of MCI patients report less depression and anxiety than those of patients diagnosed with mild AD. These results are also consistent with the previous literature highlighting that 30%–36% of caregivers of MCI patients have clinically significant levels of depression (Bruce et al., 2008; Paradise et al., 2015) and add to that literature by showing worsening of symptoms for caregivers of mild AD relative to those of MCI patients. Interestingly, the current study did not find any differences in the level of hostility between the two caregiver groups. While there are qualitative studies showing that negative emotion such as frustration and anger are common in caregivers of MCI (Blieszner, Roberto, Wilcox, Barham, & Winston, 2007; Lu & Haase, 2009), there is no quantitative reporting of hostility in MCI caregivers. We (Razani et al., 2007; Razani et al., 2014) and others (Wright et al., 2010) have reported significant levels of hostility in caregivers of AD patients and in most cases, the hostility is related to functional decline in the patient. Our current findings seem to suggest that caregivers of MCI and mild AD are experiencing the same levels of hostility. Perhaps differences in the levels of hostility would emerge if MCI caregivers are compared to those of AD patients in more severe stages of dementia.

In terms of patient functional abilities that best predict caregiver burden, it appears that communication skills are one of the best predictors. The worse the patients' communication skills, the greater caregiver burden is in terms of time restrictions, physical assistance and emotional connection with others. Similarly, transportation skills in patients is a predictor of caregiver feelings of missing out on life experiences, while functional skills that rely on long- and short-term memory tasks, specifically shopping and orientation tasks in patients were best predictors of feeling out of sync with peers and social interactions in caregivers.

It is important to note that patients' deficits in financial skills best predicted depression, while communication skills predicted hostility in caregivers. This may partially be explained by the continuous need for caregivers to communicate for the patient in many situations, contributing to the caregiver feeling like their life revolves around the patient, and

consequently, resulting in feeling irritated, annoyed and arguments (Derogatis & Spencer, 1987). Our findings are in line with past studies which have demonstrated that caring for MCI and dementia participants can increase household responsibilities, which show a clear relationship to subjective caregiver burden and psychological distress (Garland et al. 2005; Razani et al., 2007, Razani et al., 2014; Wright et al, 2010).

Finally, consistent with the findings of Springate and Tremont (2011), the patients' neuropsychological test scores were not strong (and in most cases, not statistically significant) predictors of caregiver burden or psychological distress. Of the neuropsychological domains, patients' impaired executive functioning was the best predictor of burden and psychological distress in their caregivers. Ryan et al. (2012) found similar relationships between MCI executive functioning and caregiver distress and concluded that executive dysfunction in MCI may be an early indicator regarding the needed caregiver resources. In the case of our findings, it indicates that as patients become less capable of planning and organizing tasks on their own, caregivers are likely to become more burdened by time needed to care for their loved one and need to provide more physical assistance. Additionally, language fluency, as measured by the category test (naming animals) was the best predictor of hostility and verbal memory predicted depression in caregivers. However, taken together, these results suggest that while specific aspects of neurocognitive compromise in patients predict caregiver burden and psychological distress, the majority of neuropsychological tests scores are poorer predictors relative to actual patient daily functional abilities.

There may be a few reasons for why neuropsychological performance in these patients did not predict caregiver experiences as well as patient daily functional abilities. Since the DAFS assesses *actual abilities* for performing routine, daily tasks in these very mildly impaired patients, it is likely to directly tap into the experiences and frustrations that caregivers experience in assisting patients with these tasks. On the other hand, neuropsychological tests capture more abstract, underlying processes of the dysfunction and so may not directly relate to caregiver experiences. Additionally, at this mild stages of illness, the MCI and AD patients may not display a great range of impairment on the various cognitive domains and as such it is difficult to capture those skills that lead to frustration for their caregivers. As such, it appears that, at least during these mild stages of cognitive impairment, actual functional ability of patients better predicts specific burden/distress a caregiver are likely to experience.

There are, of course, some limitations to the current study, including the relatively small sample size, particularly of MCI patients and caregivers. Also, the majority of our patients were males and as such the majority of caregivers were female. The burdens experienced by the different genders may vary and as such should be examined more closely in future studies.

Implications

An important finding from this study indicates the need for caregivers to have more support and resources in their caring for a patient (Cooper, Balamurali, Selwood, & Livingston, 2007). Specifically, it is important to provide them with treatment for depression and overall

psychological distress. There needs to be an outlet for caregivers to express their feelings and burden they are experiencing. This treatment is necessary as a healthier, physically and psychologically, caregiver will then be able to provide a higher quality of care for a patient.

Findings from this study can be used by practitioners working with MCI and early stage AD patients and their caregivers to provide psychoeducation to caregivers about what types of cognitive and functional impairments that are common in patients with MCI and mAD and how these may possibly worsen over time. Information can be provided as to the burden and psychological distress (particularly feelings of hostility) that is common among caregivers. This information will help the caregivers be more aware of their psychological state and seek specific treatments if necessary, as research has found that more psychological distress increases caregiver burden (Schulz et al. 2002). Lastly, information can also be provided to caregivers on finding resources, support groups and/or treatment for any current or future psychological distress.

Acknowledgments

This study was conducted at California State University, Northridge and was supported by supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) Grant GM094051, as well as NIGMS Grant GM63787 (Minority Biomedical Research Support Program-Research Initiative for Scientific Enhancement) and Building Infrastructure Leading to Diversity (BUILD) grant from the NIH, #5JL4GM118977. Additional funding was provided by NIA P50 AG16570, NIA R01 AG040770 and the Easton Consortium for Alzheimer's Drug Discovery and Biomarker Development.

References

- Adelman RD, Tmanova LL, Delgado D, Dion D, & Lachs MS (2014) Caregiver Burden A Clinical Review. *The Journal of the American Medical Association* 311(10), 1052–60. [PubMed: 24618967]
- Avila J, Flowers A, Scott T, Quilici J, Apostolova LG, Woo E, Ringman, & J., Razani J (2015). Daily activity abilities in MCI, Alzheimer's disease and healthy controls. *Journal of Gerontopsychology and Geriatric Psychiatry*, 28, 191–200. [PubMed: 27366145]
- Bangen KJ, Jak AJ, Schiehser DM, Delano-Wood L, Tuminello E, Han SD, ... Bondi MW (2010). Complex activities of daily living vary by mild cognitive impairment subtype. *Journal of International Neuropsychological Society*, 16(4), 630–639.
- Benton AL, & Hamsher K (1989). *Multilingual Aphasia Examination*. Iowa City, Iowa: AJA Associates.
- Berg L (1948). A simple objective treatment for measuring flexibility in thinking. *Journal of General Psychology*; 3(9), 15–22.
- Blieszner R, Roberto KA, Wilcox KL, Barham EJ, & Winston BL (2007). Dimensions of ambiguous loss in couples coping with mild cognitive impairment. *Family Relations*, 56(2), 196–209
- Bruce JM, McQuiggan M, Williams V, et al. (2008) Burden among spousal and child caregivers of patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Dementia*, 25, 385–390.
- Cooper C, Balamurali TBS, Selwood A, & Livingston G (2007). A systematic review of intervention studies about anxiety in caregivers of people with dementia. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22(3), 181–188. [PubMed: 17006872]
- Delis DC, Kramer JH, Kaplan E, & Ober BA (2000). *California Verbal Learning Test – second edition: Adult version manual*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Derogatis LR, & Spencer PM. (1987). *The Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI): Administration and Procedures Manual-1*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Clinical Psychometric Research Unit.
- Farias ST, Cahn-Weiner DA, Harvey DJ, Reed BR, Mungas D, Kramer JH, & Chui H (2009). Longitudinal changes in memory and executive functioning are associated with longitudinal

changes in instrumental activities of daily living in older adults. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 23, 226–461.

- Fisher G, Franks M, Plassman B, Brown S, Potter G, et al. (2011). Caring for individuals with dementia and cognitive impairment, not dementia: Findings from the aging, demographics, and memory study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 59(3), 488–494. [PubMed: 21391939]
- Garand L, Dew M, Eazor L, DeKosky S, & Reynolds C (2005). Caregiving burden and psychiatric morbidity in spouses of persons with mild cognitive impairment. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 20(6), 512–522. [PubMed: 15920711]
- Goldberg TE, Koppel J, Keehlisen L, Christen E, Dreses-Werringloer U, Conejero-Goldberg C, ... Davies P (2010). Performance-based measures of everyday function in mild cognitive impairment. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167(7), 845–853. [PubMed: 20360320]
- Kim H, Chang M, Rose K, & Kim S (2012). Predictors of caregiver burden in caregivers of individuals with dementia. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 68(4), 846–855. [PubMed: 21793872]
- Loewenstein DA, Amigo E, Duara R, Guterman A, Hurwitz D, Berkowitz N, . . . Eisdorfer C (1989). A new scale for the assessment of functional status in Alzheimer's Disease and related disorders. *Journal of Gerontology*, 44, 114–121.
- Lu YFY, Austrom MG, Perkins SM, Bakas T, Farlow MR, He F et al. (2007). Depressed mood in informal caregivers of individuals with mild cognitive impairment. *Am J Alzheimer Dis Other Dement.*, 22: 273–285.
- Lu Y-FY, & Haase JE (2009). Experience and perspectives of caregivers with mild cognitive impairment. *Current Alzheimer's Research*, 6(4), 384–391.
- Kongs KS, Thompson LL, Iverson GL and Heaton RK (2000). Wisconsin card sorting test-64 card version (WCST-64). Psychological Assessment Resources, Odessa.
- McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM (1984). Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*, 34(7), 939–44. [PubMed: 6610841]
- Meyers J, & Meyers K (1995). The Meyers Scoring System for the Rey Complex Figure and the Recognition Trial: Professional manual. Odessa, Fla: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Mohamed S, Rosenbeck R, Lyketsos CG, Schneider LS (2010). Caregiver burden in Alzheimer disease: Cross-sectional and longitudinal patient correlates. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 18, 917–927. [PubMed: 20808108]
- Morris JC, Storandt M, Miller P, et al. (2001). Mild cognitive impairment represents early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *Archives of Neurology*, 58, 397–405. [PubMed: 11255443]
- National Alliance for Caregiving and AARP. (2009). Caregiving in the U.S, National Alliance for Caregiving, Washington D.C. <http://www.caregiving.org/data/04finalreport.pdf>. Retrieved, March 27, 2016.
- Novak M, & Guest C (1989). Application of a multidimensional caregiver burden inventory. *The gerontologist*, 29(6), 798–803. [PubMed: 2516000]
- Paradise M, McCade D, Hickie IB, Diamond K, Lewis S, Naismith S (2015). Caregiver burden in mild cognitive impairment. *Aging & Mental Health*, 19(1), 72–78. [PubMed: 24866046]
- Pernesczky R, Pohl C, Sorg C, et al. (2006). Complex activities of daily living in mild cognitive impairment: conceptual and diagnostic issues. *Age and Ageing*, 35, 140–145.
- Petersen RC (2004). Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 256, 183–194. [PubMed: 15324362]
- Petersen R (2004). Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 256(3), 183–194. [PubMed: 15324362]
- Razani J, Kakos B, Orieta Barbalace C, Wong JT, Casas R, Lu P, ... & Josephson K (2007). Predicting caregiver burden from daily functional abilities of patients with mild dementia. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 55(9), 1415–1420. [PubMed: 17767684]
- Razani J, Corona R, Quilici J, Matevosyan A, Funes C, Larco A, ... & Lu P (2014). The effects of declining functional abilities in dementia patients and increases in psychological distress on caregiver burden over a one-year period. *Clinical gerontologist*, 37(3), 235–252. [PubMed: 24839349]

- Ryan KA, Weldon A, Persad C, Heidebrink JL, Barbas N, Giordani B (2012). Neuropsychiatric symptoms and executive functioning in patients with mild cognitive impairment relationship to caregiver burden. *Dementia & Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 34(3–4), 206–215. [PubMed: 23128102]
- Schulz R, O'Brien A, Bookwala, Fleissner (1995). Psychiatric and physical morbidity effects of dementia caregiving: prevalence, correlates, and causes. *Gerontologist*, 35(6), 771–791. [PubMed: 8557205]
- Schulz R, O'Brien A, Czaja S, Ory M, Norris R, Martire LM, Belle SH, Burgio L, Gitlin L, Coon D, Burns R, Gallagher-Thompson D, Stevens A (2002) Dementia caregiver intervention research: In search of clinical significance. *The Gerontologist*, 42, 589–602. [PubMed: 12351794]
- Seehera K , Lowa L, Reppermundc S , Brodatya H (2013). Predictors and outcomes for caregivers of people with mild cognitive impairment: A systematic literature review. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 9, 346–355.
- Springate B, & Tremont G (2013). Caregiver burden and depression in mild cognitive Impairment. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 32(6), 765–775. [PubMed: 25474798]
- Teng E, Becker B, Woo E, Cummings J, & Lu P (2010). Subtle deficits in instrumental activities of daily living in subtypes of mild cognitive impairment. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 30(3), 189–197. [PubMed: 20798539]
- Tombaugh TN, Kozak J, & Rees L (1999). Normative data stratified by age and education for two measures of verbal fluency: FAS and animal naming. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 14, 167–177. [PubMed: 14590600]
- Wright MJ, Battista MA, Pate DS, Hierholzer R, Mogelof J, & Howsepian AA (2010). Domain-specific associations between burden and mood state in dementia caregivers. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 33(3), 237–247.
- Windbald B, Palmer K, Kivipelto M, et al. (2004). Mild cognitive impairment: beyond controversies, toward a consensus: report of the International Working Group on mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 256, 240–246. [PubMed: 15324367]
- Zarit SH, Todd PA, & Zarit JM (1986). Subjective burden of husbands and wives as caregivers: A longitudinal study. *The Gerontologist*, 26(3), 260–266 [PubMed: 3721233]

Key Points

Predicting caregiver burden from Alzheimer's disease and MCI patients functional abilities; Daily functioning of Alzheimer's disease and MCI patients

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1. Comparison of mAD and MCI patient demographic, neuropsychological and functional ability scores.

Variables	mAD	MCI	F value	p value
<i>Demographics</i>				
Age	75.57 (± 9.79)	73.96 (± 9.36)	.43	.52
Education	15.00 (± 4.23)	16.68 (± 9.73)	.60	.45
Gender (M/F)	29/11	13/7		
<i>Neuropsychological Test Scores</i>				
Mini Mental State Exam	21.80 (± 5.33)	26.10 (± 4.44)	10.31	<.01
Phonemic Fluency (FAS)	24.20 (± 12.02)	31.95 (± 16.21)	4.79	.32
Category Fluency (Animals)	8.76 (± 4.84)	19.00 (± 14.03)	20.75	<.01
CVLT % Savings	75.25 (± 37.62)	45.34 (± 53.10)	5.55	.02
Rey-O % Savings	82.95 (± 21.20)	63.22 (± 22.91)	10.83	<.01
WCST Categories Completed	1.36 (± 1.19)	1.89 (± 1.49)	1.89	.18
WCST Total Errors	26.84 (± 10.35)	24.33 (± 10.83)	.66	.42
WCST % Conceptual	24.38 (± 12.57)	29.00 (± 17.85)	1.15	.29
<i>Direct Assessment of Functional Status</i>				
Total Score	68.22 (± 15.51)	78.90 (± 9.89)	8.48	.01
Orientation	11.42 (± 4.70)	15.05 (± 1.36)	11.93	<.01
Communication	10.90 (± 3.28)	12.43 (± 2.34)	3.75	.06
Transportation	11.12 (± 2.55)	12.33 (± 1.93)	3.81	.06
Financial	14.66 (± 3.92)	17.00 (± 2.47)	6.40	.01
Shopping	6.80 (± 3.16)	8.86 (± 3.64)	5.74	.02

CVLT = California Verbal Learning Test; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test

Table 2.

Comparison of mAD and MCI caregiver burden and psychological distress.

Variables	Caregivers of			F value	p value
	mAD	MCI			
Age	69.00 (± 14.58)	70.21 (± 15.47)		0.22	.66
Education	14.58 (± 2.70)	15.47 (± 2.87)		1.40	.24
Gender (M/F)	13/27	5/15			
<i>Caregiver Burden Inventory Subscale</i>					
Time Dependence Burden	6.98 (± 5.29)	5.06 (± 5.34)		1.62	.21
Developmental Burden	6.83 (± 5.78)	3.83 (± 3.84)		4.00	.05
Physical Burden	3.23 (± 4.18)	1.44 (± 1.76)		3.01	.09
Social Burden	2.45 (± 4.22)	.89 (± 1.49)		2.31	.13
Emotional Burden	2.18 (± 3.33)	1.39 (± 2.12)		0.85	.36
<i>Brief Symptom Inventory Subscale</i>					
Depression	2.62 (± 2.56)	1.11 (± 1.57)		5.27	.03
Anxiety	2.90 (± 2.90)	1.11 (± 1.37)		6.15	.02
Hostility	2.10 (± 2.59)	1.89 (± 2.49)		0.09	.77
<i>Beck Depression Inventory</i>	9.67 (± 7.26)	5.63 (± 6.96)		4.56	.04

Table 3.
Stepwise regression using DAFS subscales to predict caregiver burden and psychological distress

Caregiver subscale measure	DAFS Variable Entered	R ² Change	β	F value
<i>Caregiver Burden Inventory Subscale</i>				
Time Dependence Burden	Communication	.30	-.40	21.90**
	Shopping	.08	-.32	6.70**
Developmental Burden	Transportation	.21	-.43	14.16**
Physical Burden	Communication	.19	-.43	11.86**
Social Burden	Orientation	.27	-.52	19.14**
Emotional Burden	Communication	.11	-.34	6.57**
<i>Brief Symptom Inventory Subscale</i>				
Depression	--	--	--	--
Anxiety	Financial	.09		4.95*
Hostility	Communications	.08		4.25*
<i>Beck Depression Inventory</i>	Financial	.10		5.65*

* p < .5

** p < .01

Table 4.
Stepwise regression using neuropsychological measures to predict caregiver burden and psychological distress

Caregiver subscale measure	Neuropsychological Variable Entered	R ² Change	β	F value
<i>Caregiver Burden Inventory Subscale</i>				
Time Dependence Burden	WCST-Concept	.24	-.49	8.79**
Developmental Burden	--	--	--	--
Physical Burden	WCST--Cat Completed	.23	-.46	7.28*
Social Burden	--	--	--	--
Emotional Burden	--	--	--	--
<i>Brief Symptom Inventory Subscale</i>				
Depression	Rey-O 3 min	.18	-.42	5.61*
Anxiety	--	--	--	--
Hostility	Animals	.19	.44	6.07*
<i>Beck Depression Inventory</i>	Rey-O 3 min	.17	-.41	5.56*

* p < .05

** p < .01