Published in final edited form as:

ChemMedChem. 2013 March ; 8(3): 426–432. doi:10.1002/cmdc.201200577.

Identification of a Small-Molecule Inhibitor of HIV-1 Assembly that Targets the Phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate Binding Site of the HIV-1 Matrix Protein

Isaac Zentner^[a], Luz-Jeannette Sierra^[b], Ayesha K. Fraser^[a], Lina Maciunas^[a], Marie K. Mankowski^[c], Andrei Vinnik^[d], Peter Fedichev^[d], Roger G. Ptak^[c], Julio Martín-García^[b], Simon Cocklin^[a]

^[a]Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Drexel University College of Medicine, 245 North 15th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102 (USA)

^[b]Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Drexel University College of Medicine, 245 North 15th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102 (USA)

^[c]Department of Infectious Disease Research, Southern Research Institute, 431 Aviation Way, Frederick, MD 21701 (USA)

^[d]QuantumLead, Quantum Pharmaceuticals, Kosmonavta Volkova 6A-606, Moscow 125171 (Russia)

Abstract

The development of drug resistance remains a critical problem for current HIV-1 antiviral therapies, creating a need for new inhibitors of HIV-1 replication. We previously reported on a novel anti-HIV-1 compound, *N*²-(phenoxyacetyl)-*N*-[4-(1-piperidinyl-carbonyl)benzyl]glycinamide (**14**), that binds to the highly conserved phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P₂) binding pocket of the HIV-1 matrix (MA) protein. In this study, we re-evaluate the hits from the virtual screen used to identify compound **14** and test them directly in an HIV-1 replication assay using primary human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. This study resulted in the identification of three new compounds with antiviral activity; 2-(4-([3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,2,4-oxadiazol-5-yl]methyl))-1-piperazinyl)-*N*-(4-methylphenyl)-acetamide (**7**), 3-(2-ethoxyphenyl)-5-[[4-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl]methyl]-1,2,4-oxadiazole (**17**), and *N*-[4-ethoxy-3-(1-piperidinylsulfonyl)phenyl]-2-(imidazo[2,1-*b*][1,3]thiazol-6-yl)acetamide (**18**), with compound **7** being the most potent of these hits. Mechanistic studies on **7** demonstrated that it directly interacts with and functions through HIV-1 MA. In accordance with our drug target, compound **7** competes with PI(4,5)P₂ for MA binding and, as a result, diminishes the production of new virus. Mutation of residues within the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site of MA decreased the antiviral effect of compound **7**. Additionally, compound **7** displays a broadly neutralizing anti-HIV activity, with IC₅₀ values of 7.5–15.6 μM for the group M isolates tested. Taken together, these results point

scocklin@drexelmed.edu.

[+][†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.201200577>.

towards a novel chemical probe that can be used to more closely study the biological role of MA and could, through further optimization, lead to a new class of anti-HIV-1 therapeutics.

Graphical Abstract

PIP₂-ing HIV-1 to the post! The discovery and characterization of a new small-molecule inhibitor (shown) of HIV-1 replication that targets the HIV-1 matrix (MA) protein is described. This novel agent exhibits a broad therapeutic spectrum, inhibiting all of the group M isolates tested, and functions via the novel mechanism of disrupting the critical phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI[4,5]P₂)-MA interaction.

Keywords

antiviral agents; HIV-1; matrix proteins; phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphates; virtual screening

Introduction

Owing to the emergence of drug-resistant strains and the cumulative toxicities associated with current therapies, demand remains for new inhibitors of HIV-1 replication. The HIV-1 matrix (MA) protein, encoded as the N-terminal portion of Gag, is critically involved in the late, assembly stages in the life cycle of HIV-1. Firstly, HIV-1 MA is proposed to act as a scaffold that brings together Env and Gag proteins in infected cells. Several lines of evidence support an interaction of MA with the gp41 cytoplasmic tail during assembly and a role for MA in facilitating the incorporation of Env into virus particle.^[1] Until recently, this interaction was thought to be direct;^[1e,2] however, other studies have implicated the participation of a cellular factor in both the interaction between Env and Gag, and the process of envelope incorporation.^[3]

Secondly, the HIV-1 MA protein directs the localization of Gag to the plasma membrane. Specific interaction of MA with cellular transport proteins has been implicated in the trafficking of Gag to the plasma membrane. Once at the membrane, membrane binding of HIV-1 Gag is mediated by two signals in MA: the N-terminal myristic acid and the conserved basic region between amino acids 17 and 31.^[4] The myristate moiety is

considered to be regulated by a mechanism termed a myristoyl switch, whereby the N-terminal myristate is sequestered in the MA globular domain, but a structural change exposes the myristate and enhances Gag membrane binding.^[5] The MA basic domain is also involved in specific localization of Gag to the plasma membrane, with mutations in this domain shifting Gag localization from the plasma membrane to intracellular vesicles in HeLa and T cells.^[6] Perhaps most critically, however, the basic domain of MA has been demonstrated to interact with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI[4,5]P₂), a minor phospholipid that is concentrated primarily on the cytoplasmic leaflet of the plasma membrane.^[5f,7] PI(4,5)P₂ binding to HIV-1 MA is thought to serve two functions: inducing the conformational change that triggers myristate exposure^[5f] and acting as a site-specific membrane anchor, allowing the targeting of Gag to the plasma membrane.^[8,7c]

The structure of the PI(4,5)P₂–MA complex has been solved by NMR spectroscopy.^[5f] The PI(4,5)P₂ binding site resides in a cleft in the HIV-1 MA globular head domain and is composed of a large number of charged residues. The majority of these residues are highly conserved across the HIV-1 subtypes, highlighting the functional importance of the PI(4,5)P₂–MA interaction in the HIV-1 replication cycle. Given its functional importance and its high degree of conservation across isolates, we propose that the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site might represent a new, attractive antiviral target. Using a combination of virtual and surface plasmon resonance (SPR)-based screening, we have previously identified a compound (Enamine ID T5872535; see Table S1 in the Supporting Information) that interacts with HIV-1 MA in the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site and displays broad-range antiviral activity.^[9] In this study, we re-evaluated the compounds identified from the initial virtual screening, this time, however, using antiviral efficacy as the primary screen. This approach resulted in the identification of three new compounds with antiviral activity. Mechanistic studies of the lead compound (**7**), demonstrated that it interacts with the MA protein, disrupts the interaction between MA and PI(4,5)P₂, and possesses broad-spectrum anti-HIV-1 activity.

Results and Discussion

Identification of compound 7

To identify small molecules capable of binding to and inhibiting the functions of HIV-1 MA, we previously coupled a structure-based in silico screening with a surface plasmon resonance (SPR)-based primary screen, which identified one active hit compound (**14**).^[9] Therefore, to identify other potential hits that were not identified in the SPR-based screen, but still represent matrix-targeted inhibitors of HIV-1 replication, we evaluated the 19 compounds identified from the initial virtual screen (Table S1 in the Supporting Information) in a standardized HIV-1 replication assay (Table S2 in the Supporting Information). This led to the discovery of the novel antiviral compounds **7**, **17**, and **18**, as well as confirmation of compound **14** as a genuine hit. The lead compound from this analysis, compound **7**, inhibited the replication of the primary isolate HIV-1_{89BZ167} with the lowest IC₅₀ value, showed minimal toxicity, and did not inhibit the replication of a panel of non-retroviruses (Table 1; see also Table S3 in the Supporting Information). In addition, compound **7** inhibited the multi-drug-resistant (MDR) strain HIV-1_{MDR769},^[10] suggesting

that it functions in a different manner than drugs currently in use as part of the “highly active antiretroviral therapy” (HAART) cocktail.

The genetic diversity of HIV can pose certain problems for the development of new antiviral drugs. Therefore, in order to be clinically viable, a compound must be able to inhibit the replication of genetically diverse isolates, especially isolates from the most globally prevalent subtypes (A, B, and C). Therefore, the antiviral efficacy of compound **7** was further evaluated in a standardized PBMC-based anti-HIV-1 assay with a panel of HIV-1 clinical isolates from different geographic locations that included HIV-1 group M subtypes A–G (Table 1). The panel included CCR5-tropic (R5), CXCR4-tropic (X4), and dual-tropic (R5X4) viruses. Compound **7** inhibited the replication of all the group M viruses tested, further highlighting the potential of targeting the MA protein.

Compound **7** exerts its action in the late, assembly stages of the HIV-1 life cycle

To determine the stage at which compound **7** exerts its antiviral effect, we made use of a single-round infection assay. The modular nature of this assay allows the determination of whether a compound acts on the early, preintegration events, or on the late, assembly events in the virus life cycle. Effects on assembly were identified by incubating the viral producer cells in the absence or presence of various concentrations of compound **7**. Supernatants containing HIV-1_{HxBc2} envelope-pseudotyped virus (which encodes for firefly luciferase as a reporter gene) were then diluted tenfold and used to infect U87–CD4–CXCR4 target cells. Compound-induced effects are manifested as a decrease in infectivity in the target cells, compared with those infected with virus from untreated cells. Effects on early-stage events were determined by using virus produced in the absence of compound for infection of target cells in the absence or presence of various concentrations of compound **7**. As can be seen in Figure 1, compound **7** displays effects only on the late, assembly stages of the HIV-1 replication cycle. Quantification of the virus produced in the presence of 100 mM of the compound indicates that it functions by decreasing the amount of virus released from the cells, as judged by p24 content (see the Supporting Information). These observations are in line with the proposed action of compound **7** through interaction with the HIV-1 MA protein.

Compound **7** binds to HIV-1 MA and competes with PI(4,5)P₂

Having determined that compound **7** has antiviral activity and exerts its effect in the late stages of the viral replication cycle by decreasing the total amount of virus produced, we next sought to investigate the proposed interaction of compound **7** with the HIV-1 MA protein. To explore the interaction of compound **7** with HIV-1 MA, we employed SPR. Using SPR and a novel HaloTag method of orientation, compound **14** was previously shown to be capable of interacting with HIV-1 MA in the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site.^[9] However, the HaloTag ligand (chloroalkane) can nonspecifically interact with compounds that display hydrophobic characteristics.^[9] Therefore, we decided to immobilize HIV-1 MA directly on the sensor chip surface. In order to preserve the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site and create a more active protein surface, the immobilization of the MA protein was performed in the presence of compound **14**. As can be seen in Figure 2a, compound **7** interacts with HIV-1 MA with an equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of 22.6 (± 3.1) μM. This value is in remarkable

agreement with the IC_{50} value derived from the single-round infection assay ($IC_{50} = 21.2 \mu M$).

Having demonstrated that compound **7** interacts with HIV-1 MA, we next sought to establish whether compound **7** can compete with PI(4,5)P₂ for binding to MA. To accomplish this, we established an SPR assay that allowed monitoring of the interaction of HIV-1 MA with a soluble form of PI(4,5)P₂. The soluble, biotinylated PI(4,5)P₂ was attached to a sensor chip, and purified MA protein (1 μM) in the presence or absence of compound **7** (75 μM) was passed over this surface. Using the affinity of the compound **7**–MA interaction, we established the fraction of MA bound to compound **7** under the experimental conditions and normalized the results to the unbound fraction (for details, see the Experimental Section). As can be seen in Figure 2b, the presence of compound **7** decreased the ability of MA to interact with PI(4,5)P₂ by 89% under the assay conditions. This result, coupled with the decrease in the amount of virus produced in the presence of compound **7**, suggests a mechanism of action whereby compound **7** disrupts the interaction of Gag with PI(4,5)P₂ at the plasma membrane, resulting in a failure of the new virions to bud correctly.

Mutation of residues in the PI(4,5)P₂-binding site of HIV-1 MA reduces the efficacy of compound **7**

Having demonstrated that compound **7** binds to HIV-1 MA and competes with PI(4,5)P₂ for binding, we next sought to demonstrate that the antiviral effect is mediated through this interaction. Changes to residues within the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site can render the virus nonfunctional. However, mutations in L21 have been previously described to have no effect on virus particle production,^[6a] and a virus harboring the T81A mutation is replication competent.^[11] As both of these residues are in proximity to compound **7** in the original docking model,^[9] we changed the codons in the *gag* gene present in the proviral backbone that code for residues L21 and T81 to code for alanine residues and produced the mutant viruses in the presence or absence of compound **7** (100 μM). The effect of compound **7** on the production of both wild-type (WT) and the L21A and T81A mutant viruses was assessed by p24 content in the supernatants after 48 h. Figure 3a shows the results of this analysis. Compound **7** decreased the amount of WT virus produced to approximately $39 \pm 2.5\%$ of the control with no compound (DMSO only). However, changing residues L21 and T81 to alanine completely abrogated the effects of compound **7**.

We next sought to refine the docking model and to identify the most probable binding mode of compound **7** to see whether or not the model could offer any insight into the mutational results. Exhaustive dockings to multiple conformations of HIV-1 MA resulted in the binding pose shown in Figure 3b. This docking model suggests plausible explanations of why mutation of residues L21 and T81 residues abolishes the antiviral activity compound **7**. In this model, the carbonyl oxygen of the acetamide linker of compound **7** points towards the hydroxy group of T81 in the base of the binding groove to form a hydrogen bond, whereas L21 forms a weak hydrophobic contact with the aliphatic carbons of the piperazine moiety of compound **7**. Disrupting either of these interactions would result in decreased affinity of compound **7** for the target MA protein and the concomitant decrease in potency observed in the single round infection assay. Future structural studies on compound **7** or higher affinity

analogues in complex with MA will ultimately determine the validity of this proposed model.

Conclusions

We have identified a novel compound (**7**) that binds to and functions through the conserved PI(4,5)P₂ binding site on HIV-1 MA, inhibits the production of new virus, and exhibits broad-spectrum anti-HIV activity with IC₅₀ values in the range of 7.5 to 15.6 μ M for the group M isolates tested (Table 1). This compound provides a novel chemical probe to investigate the roles of MA in the HIV-1 replication cycle and could serve as a good starting point for the development of a new class of HIV therapeutics through optimization by medicinal chemistry approaches.

Experimental Section

Virtual screening

The in silico screening was previously reported.^[9] Refinement of the docking model of compound **7** was performed with QUANTUM software utilities (Quantum Pharmaceuticals, Moscow, Russia)^[12] on a total of 40 different conformations of the MA protein derived from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and from molecular dynamic simulations. In addition, several analogues of compound **7** that have antiviral activity (Cocklin et al., unpublished data) were similarly docked into the 40 protein conformers and consensus positions were used to establish the most probable binding mode of compound **7**.

Chemistry

All chemicals were purchased from Enamine Ltd and were 95% pure or greater. Compound **7** was resynthesized to 98% purity using the route shown in Scheme 1. Briefly, diisopropylethylamine (208 mg, 1.612 mmol) was added dropwise to a mixture of piperazine **I** (342 mg, 1.465 mmol) and compound **II** (312 mg, 1.465 mmol) in dry DMF (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was sonicated in an ultrasonic bath at 60°C for 3 h. The mixture was then diluted with water (10 mL), and the resultant precipitate was isolated by filtration and washed with EtOH (1 mL) to give compound **III** (513 mg, 86%).

Biology

Anti-HIV efficacy evaluation in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells: All viral isolates were obtained from the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), US National Institutes of Health (NIH), and used to perform antiviral evaluations in human PBMCs as described previously.^[9,13]

Determination of the antiviral spectrum of MA-targeted compounds: To determine the spectrum of antiviral activity of compound **7**, cytopathic effect assays against a panel of viruses from different classes were performed as described previously.^[13]

Cells: Human embryonic kidney 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), L-glutamine, and antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin). Human astrogloma U87 cells stably transfected for the expression of CD4 and CXCR4 (obtained from Prof. Hongkui Deng (Peking University) and Prof. Dan Littman (New York University, USA), through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH)^[14] were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, L-glutamine, penicillin streptomycin, puromycin and G418 (Gibco BRL Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA).

Production of pseudotypes: Single-round infectious envelope-pseudotyped luciferase-reporter viruses were produced in 293T cells co-transfected by calcium phosphate precipitation (Profection Mammalian Transfection System) with the envelope-deficient HIV-1 NL4-3 vector (pNL4-3-LucR⁺E⁻; a gift from Prof. Nathaniel R. Landau, New York University, USA),^[15] which carries the luciferase-reporter gene, and the HxBc2 envelope-expressing vector (obtained from Dr. Kathleen Page (University of Southern California, USA) and Prof. Littman through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH).^[16] All MA mutations within the pNL4-3-LucR⁺E⁻ vector were synthesized and validated by Genescript (Piscataway, NJ, USA). After 6 h of incubation at 37 °C, the DNA-containing medium was removed, cells were washed, and fresh medium with or without compound **7** (at concentrations between 3.1 and 100 μm) was added. Supernatants containing the envelope-pseudotyped viruses were collected two days later, clarified by centrifugation, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C until use. Pseudotype stocks were quantified by p24^{gag} content.

Single-round infection assays: To determine whether compound **7** affected early stages of the viral life cycle, 50 μL medium alone or containing compound **7** at twice the desired final concentration (between 3.1 and 100 μm) was added to the U87.CD4.CXCR4 target cells plated in 96-well plates, and 50 μL of pseudotype stocks (not treated during production in 293T cells) was added immediately. Alternatively, to study the inhibitory effects of compound **7** during the late stages of the viral life cycle, untreated or compound **7**-treated pseudotype stocks were diluted 1:10 with fresh medium, and an aliquot of 100 μL was used for infection of U87.CD4.CXCR4 target cells; this dilution ensured that any effect observed was due to the concentration of the compound present during viral production and not to the residual amount of compound present during infection of target cells. Assessment of the effects of compound **7** on the late stages of infection with pseudotype stocks produced with a luciferase-reporter vector encoding a mutant MA was performed in the same manner. Infections were performed for two days and quantified by measuring luciferase activity in cell lysates (Luciferase Assay System, Promega) using a microplate luminometer (GloMax, Promega).

Compound cytotoxicity: Supernatants from target cells treated with or without compound **7** to test its effects at early stages, and pseudotype stocks produced with or without compounds to evaluate their effects at late stages, were used for analysis of cytotoxicity. Using a colorimetric assay (Takara Bio Inc., Japan), these effects were

estimated through the presence in culture supernatants of lactate dehydrogenase (a stable cytoplasmic enzyme), which would indicate plasma membrane damage.

p24 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs): p24 ELISAs were performed to determine the amount of virus produced in the presence or absence of compound **7**. The ELISA kits used were obtained from either Zeptometrix Corp. (Buffalo, NY, USA) or Advanced Bioscience Laboratories (Kensington, MD, USA).

Recombinant protein production and purification: The MA region of the HIV-1 *gag* gene was amplified from plasmid pLAI (a generous gift from Drs. Evelyn Kilaeski and Brian Wigdahl, both Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, USA) using primers designed to facilitate ligation-independent cloning into the vector pETHSUL.^[17] This vector is designed for the insertion of genes of interest in frame with an N-terminal small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) tag.^[17] The recombinant pETHSUL plasmid was verified for the presence of MA insert by restriction digestion and sequence analysis (Genewiz, Inc., South Plainfield, NJ, USA). The resultant vector was designated pSUMO-MA. The purification of H₆SUMO-MA was achieved via immobilized metal affinity chromatography using a TALON cobalt resin affinity column (ClonTech).

The *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3) Codon +RIL (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for expression of H₆SUMO-MA from pSUMO-MA. Luria-Bertani broth (2 mL) containing 100 µg MI⁻¹ ampicillin and 50 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol was inoculated with a single transformed colony, and the colony was allowed to grow at 37°C for 9 h. A 100 µL aliquot of the preculture was used to inoculate 100 mL of the autoinducing media ZYP-5052^[18] containing 100 µg mL⁻¹ ampicillin and 34 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol. The culture was grown at 30°C for 16 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1076×*g* for 20 min at 4 °C, and the pellet was suspended in 30 µL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Roche, Nutley, NJ, USA) containing 2.5 mM imidazole. Cells were lysed by sonication, and the supernatant clarified by centrifugation at 11,952×*g* (SS-34, Sorvall RC 5C Plus) for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and applied to a TALON cobalt resin affinity column (ClonTech), previously equilibrated with PBS.

Loosely bound proteins were removed via seven-column volumes of PBS containing 7.5 mM imidazole. Tightly associated proteins were eluted in three-column volumes of PBS containing 250 mM imidazole. The eluates were then pooled and made 1 mM with respect to ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). To this pooled sample, 10 µg of a recombinant His₆-tagged form of the catalytic domain (dtUD1) of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* SUMO hydrolase was added.^[17] Cleavage was allowed to proceed for 4 h at 18°C. Following cleavage, the sample was dialyzed at 4°C overnight against 2 L of PBS to remove any imidazole. After dialysis, the dtUD1-catalyzed cleavage reaction was subjected to a second cobalt affinity purification using the TALON cobalt resin affinity column. In this purification step, however, the cleaved MA protein passes straight through the column owing to removal of the His₆ tag. Subsequently, the subtractively purified MA was dialyzed overnight at 4°C against 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 containing 10% glycerol. This dialyzed sample was then filtered and loaded onto a 5 mL Hi-TrapQ HP column (GE Healthcare, Chalfont, UK). The

flowthrough, containing the MA protein, was concentrated, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C .

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR): Interaction analyses were performed on a ProteOn XPR36 SPR Protein Interaction Array System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). ProteOn GLH sensor chips were preconditioned and equilibrated as previously described.^[9] HIV-1_{LAI} MA was immobilized to the flow cells of a GLH sensor chip to high density (8000 RU) using standard amine coupling. However, in order to preserve the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site and create a more active protein surface, the immobilization of the MA protein was performed in the presence of 1.5 mM compound **14**. A reference surface was similarly created by immobilizing a nonspecific antibody ARC4033 (antimouse/rat interferon- γ : BioSource; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Binding assays were performed with PBS-T with 3% DMSO as the running buffer. Samples of compound **7** were prepared as previously described^[9] and injected across the MA and antibody reference surfaces at a flow rate of $100\ \mu\text{L min}^{-1}$, for a 1 min association phase, followed by a 5 min dissociation phase using the “one-shot kinetics” capability of the Proteon instrument.^[19] Specific regeneration of the surfaces between injections was not needed owing to the nature of the interaction. Data were analyzed using the ProteOn Manager software version 3.0 (Bio-Rad). The responses of a buffer injection and the responses from the reference flow cell were subtracted to account for nonspecific binding and injection artifacts. The experimental data could not be adequately fitted to a simple 1:1 binding model as the individual on and off rates were outside of the dynamic range of the instrument. Therefore, the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) was obtained by fitting equilibrium binding data on the ProteOn Manager software, using the four-parameter Equation (1), where R_{high} is the response value at high analyte concentrations, R_{low} is the response at an analyte concentration of zero, A_1 is the midrange concentration and is equivalent to the equilibrium constant (K_D), and A_2 is the slope factor.

$$\text{Response} = R_{\text{high}} + \frac{(R_{\text{high}} - R_{\text{low}})}{1 + \left(\frac{\text{concn}}{A_1}\right)^{A_2}} \quad (1)$$

For PI(4,5)P₂ competition assays, biotin-PI(4,5)P₂ (Echelon Biosciences, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT, USA) was captured on the surface of a GLC chip, to which streptavidin had been immobilized using standard amine coupling. A reference surface with captured biotin-PI(3)P was also created. MA protein ($1\ \mu\text{M}$) in the presence or absence of compound **7** ($75\ \mu\text{M}$) was passed over this surface and the response recorded. The average responses from five data sets were used. To normalize the competition data to the degree of free MA, the concentration of free ligand and degree of saturation of the HIV-1 MA were calculated directly using Equations (2) and (3). The relation between the total ligand concentration $[X]_T$ and free ligand concentration $[X]$ is given by Equation (2), where K_A is the association constant, $[P]$ is the concentration of macromolecule, and n is the stoichiometry. After

determining the free concentration of MA, the fraction bound (F_b) was calculated using Equation (3).

$$[X]_T = [X] + \frac{[X] \times K_A \times n \times [P]}{1 + K_A \times [X]} \quad (2)$$

$$F_b = \frac{K_A [X]}{1 + K_A \times [X]} \quad (3)$$

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH)/US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (1R03AI078790-01A1 and 1R21AI087388-01A1 to S.C.), and by the US NIH/US National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)(R01NS065727 to J.M.-G.). Andrei Vinnik and Peter Fedichev are supported by the Skolkovo Foundation (Russian Federation). The authors thank Diana Winters (Academic Publishing Services, Drexel University College of Medicine) for proofreading the manuscript and Dr. Timothy Pyrkov (QuantumLead, Quantum Pharmaceuticals, Moscow, Russia) for the help in setting up the docking calculations and valuable discussions.

References

- [1]a). Dorfman T, Mammano F, Haseltine WA, Göttinger HG, J. Virol. 1994, 68, 1689–1696; [PubMed: 8107229] b) Freed EO, Martin MA, J. Virol. 1995, 69, 1984–1989; [PubMed: 7853546] c) Freed EO, Martin MA, J. Virol. 1996, 70, 341–351; [PubMed: 8523546] d) Murakami T, Freed EO, J. Virol. 2000, 74, 3548–3554; [PubMed: 10729129] e) Wyma DJ, Kotov A, Aiken C, J. Virol. 2000, 74, 9381–9387. [PubMed: 11000206]
- [2]. Cosson P, EMBOJ. 1996, 15, 5783–5788.
- [3]a). Bauby H, Lopez-Verges S, Hoeffel G, Delcroix-Genete D, Janvier K, Mammano F, Hosmalin A, Berlioz-Torrent C, Traffic 2010, 11, 455–467; [PubMed: 20070608] b) Lopez-Verges S, Camus G, Blot G, Beauvoir R, Benarous R, Berlioz-Torrent C, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006, 103, 14947–14952. [PubMed: 17003132]
- [4]a). Bryant M, Ratner L, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1990, 87, 523–527; [PubMed: 2405382] b) Göttinger HG, Sodroski JG, Haseltine WA, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1989, 86, 5781–5785; [PubMed: 2788277] c) Zhou W, Parent LJ, Wills JW, Resh MD, J. Virol. 1994, 68, 2556–2569. [PubMed: 8139035]
- [5]a). Hermida-Matsumoto L, Resh MD, J. Virol. 1999, 73, 1902–1908; [PubMed: 9971769] b) Ono A, Freed EO, J. Virol. 1999, 73, 4136–4144; [PubMed: 10196310] c) Paillart J-C, Göttinger HG, J. Virol. 1999, 73, 2604–2612; [PubMed: 10074105] d) Resh MD, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 417–418; [PubMed: 14707265] e) Saad JS, Loeliger E, Luncsford P, Liriano M, Tai J, Kim A, Miller J, Joshi A, Freed EO, Summers MF, J. Mol. Biol. 2007, 366, 574–585; [PubMed: 17188710] f) Saad JS, Miller J, Tai J, Kim A, Ghanam RH, Summers MF, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006, 103, 11364–11369; [PubMed: 16840558] g) Spearman P, Horton R, Ratner L, Kuli-Zade I, J. Virol. 1997, 71, 6582–6592; [PubMed: 9261380] h) Tang C, Loeliger E, Luncsford P, Kinde I, Beckett D, Summers MF, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 517–522; [PubMed: 14699046] i) Zhou W, Resh MD, J. Virol. 1996, 70, 8540 – 8548. [PubMed: 8970978]

- [6]a). Freed EO, Orenstein JM, Buckler-White AJ, Martin MA, J. Virol. 1994, 68, 5311–5320; [PubMed: 8035531] b) Hermida-Matsumoto L, Resh MD, J. Virol. 2000, 74, 8670 – 8679; [PubMed: 10954568] c) Ono A, Freed EO, J. Virol. 2004, 78, 1552–1563; [PubMed: 14722309] d) Ono A, Orenstein JM, Freed EO, J. Virol. 2000, 74, 2855–2866; [PubMed: 10684302] e) Yuan X, Yu X, Lee TH, Essex M, J. Virol. 1993, 67, 6387–6394. [PubMed: 8411340]
- [7]a). Chukkapalli V, Hogue IB, Boyko V, Hu WS, Ono A, J. Virol. 2008, 82, 2405–2417; [PubMed: 18094158] b) Shkriabai N, Datta SA, Zhao Z, Hess S, Rein A, Kvaratskhelia M, Biochemistry 2006, 45, 4077–4083; [PubMed: 16566581] c) Ono A, Ablan SD, Lockett SJ, Nagashima K, Freed EO, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 14889–14894. [PubMed: 15465916]
- [8]a). Inlora J, Chukkapalli V, Derse D, Ono A, J. Virol. 2011, 85, 3802–3810; [PubMed: 21289126] b) Monde K, Chukkapalli V, Ono A, J. Virol. 2011, 85, 3584–3595. [PubMed: 21270152]
- [9]. Zentner I, Sierra L-J, Maciunas L, Vinnik A, Fedichev P, Mankowski MK, Ptak RG, Martín-García J, Cocklin S, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 23, 1132–1135. [PubMed: 23305922]
- [10]. Palmer S, Shafer RW, Merigan TC, AIDS 1999, 13, 661–667. [PubMed: 10397560]
- [11]. Parry CM, Kolli M, Myers RE, Cane PA, Schiffer C, Pillay D, Anti-microb. Agents Chemother. 2011, 55, 1106–1113.
- [12]a). Timakhov RA, Fedichev PO, Vinnik AA, Testa JR, Favorova OO, Acta Naturae 2011, 3, 47–51; [PubMed: 22649693] b) Fedichev P, Timakhov R, Pyrkov T, Getmantsev E, Vinnik A, PLoS Curr. 2011, 3, RRN1253;c) Joce C, Stahl JA, Shridhar M, Hutchinson MR, Watkins LR, Fedichev PO, Yin H, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 5411–5413. [PubMed: 20709548]
- [13]. Kortagere S, Madani N, Mankowski MK, Schon A, Zentner I, Swaminathan G, Princiotta A, Anthony K, Oza A, Sierra LJ, Passic SR, Wang X, Jones DM, Stavale E, Krebs FC, Martin-Garcia J, Freire E, Ptak RG, Sodroski J, Cocklin S, Smith AB III, J. Virol. 2012, 86, 8472–8481. [PubMed: 22647699]
- [14]. Björndal A, Deng H, Jansson M, Fiore JR, Colognesi C, Karlsson A, Albert J, Scarlatti G, Littman DR, Fenyö EM, J. Virol. 1997, 71, 7478–7487. [PubMed: 9311827]
- [15]. Connor RI, Chen BK, Choe S, Landau NR, Virology 1995, 206, 935–944. [PubMed: 7531918]
- [16]. Page KA, Landau NR, Littman DR, J. Virol. 1990, 64, 5270–5276. [PubMed: 2214018]
- [17]. Weeks SD, Drinker M, Loll PJ, Protein Expression Purif. 2007, 53, 40–50.
- [18]. Studier FW, Protein Expression Purif. 2005, 41, 207–234.
- [19]. Bravman T, Bronner V, Lavie K, Notcovich A, Papalia GA, Myszkowski DG, Anal. Biochem. 2006, 358, 281–288. [PubMed: 16962556]

Figure 1.

Compound **7** functions in the late stages of HIV-1 replication. a) Effects of compound **7** on early-stage events were determined by producing virus via transfection of 293T cells (as described in the Experimental Section) for infection of U87.CD4.CXCR4 target cells in the absence or presence of various concentrations of compound **7**. b) To assess the effect of compound **7** on late-stage events, recombinant virus was produced from 293T cells either in the absence or presence of compound **7**, and the culture supernatants containing pseudotype stocks were diluted tenfold and then used to infect target cells in the absence of test

compound. Compound-induced effects are manifested as a decrease in infectivity in the target cells (measured as luciferase activity), normalized against the infectivity of virus from untreated cells. Data are the mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM) from at least four independent experiments (each performed in triplicate). Compound **7** (early stages): IC₅₀ = not applicable; Compound **7** (late stages): IC₅₀ = 21.2 μ M. The antiviral effect of compound **7** was determined to be due to a specific effect on the virus as the compound was not cytotoxic to 293T cells up to a test concentration high of 1 mM (cf. Figure S2 in the Supporting Information), giving a therapeutic index in this assay of >47.

Figure 2.

a) Sensorgrams depicting the interaction of compound **7** with immobilized HIV-1_{MA}. Compound concentrations of 0, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 mM were tested and are represented as orange, magenta, green, blue, cyan and red lines, respectively. The insert shows the equilibrium plot used to derive the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D). Compound **7**–MA interaction: $K_D = 22.6 (\pm 3.1) \mu\text{M}$. b) Compound **7** competes with PI(4,5)P₂ for binding to HIV-1 MA. MA protein (1 μM) in the presence or absence of

compound **7** (75 μ M) was passed over a PI(4,5)P₂ surface, and the response was recorded. To allow comparison, the responses were normalized with respect to the degree of free MA.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 3.

a) Effect of compound **7** on the production of HIV-1 wild-type and mutant pseudotypes as judged by supernatant p24 content. 293T cells were transfected for production of luciferase-reporter pseudotypes (as described in the Experimental Section) in the absence or in the presence of compound **7** (100 μ M), and the culture supernatants containing pseudotype stocks were then analyzed by p24 ELISA. Shown are the mean values of four independent productions, with error bars depicting the standard error of the mean (SEM). The indicated p value was derived from a two-tailed paired t-test analysis. b) Refined predicted binding

mode of compound **7** in the PI(4,5)P₂ binding site of HIV-1 MA. Binding site residues (L21, R22, W36, R76, T81, and K98) are represented as dark sticks, whereas compound **7** is shown in green sticks. Residues highlighted in blue are thought to be critical for compound binding. Yellow dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of compound **III** : *Reagents and conditions*: *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (1.1 equiv), DMF, 60°C, 3 h, 86%.

Table 1.Therapeutic spectrum of compound **7** against HIV-1 subtypes.^[a]

7

HIV-1 group	M isolate	IC ₅₀ [μm]	Antiviral index (TC ₅₀ /IC ₅₀)
92UG031 ^[b]	(clade A)	11.7 ± 0.6	> 8.6
92BR030 ^[b]	(clade B)	7.5 ± 1.7	> 13.3
89BZ167 ^[c]	(clade B)	12.3 ± 2.9	> 8.1
MDR769 ^[e]	(clade B)	15.6 ± 3.8	> 6.4
92BR025 ^[b]	(clade C)	7.8 ± 2.1	> 12.8
93IN101 ^[b]	(clade C)	11.0 ± 2.8	> 9.1
92UG024 ^[c]	(clade D)	8.5 ± 0.8	> 11.8
CMU08 ^[c]	(clade E)	11.7 ± 6.2	> 8.6
93BR020 ^[d]	(clade F)	13.0 ± 0.1	> 7.7
G3 ^[b]	(clade G)	12.5 ± 6.8	> 8.0

^[a]TC₅₀ values for all compounds were determined to be > 100 μm in this study. IC₅₀ values are the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates.

^[b]CCR5-tropic.

^[c]CXCR4-tropic.

^[d]CCR5/CXCR4 dual-tropic.

^[e]Clinical isolate presumed to be group M.