Published in final edited form as:

Ergonomics. 2008 October ; 51(10): 1594–1605. doi:10.1080/00140130802216925.

Wrist strength is dependent on simultaneous power grip intensity.

Na Jin Seo,

Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan, 1205 Beal Ave. Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

Thomas J. Armstrong,

Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan, 1205 Beal Ave. Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

James A. Ashton-Miller,

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, 2350 Hayward Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

Don B. Chaffin

Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan, 1205 Beal Ave. Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA

Abstract

The effect of grip activities on wrist flexion/extension strength was examined. Twelve healthy subjects performed maximum wrist flexion/extension exertions with one of five levels of simultaneous grip effort: minimum effort, preferred effort, 30%, 60%, and 100% MVC. As grip force increased from the minimum to the maximum effort, average wrist flexion strength increased 34%, and average wrist extension strength decreased 10%. It appears that the finger flexor tendons on the volar aspect of the wrist act agonistically in wrist flexion, and act antagonistically to wrist extension. When an object gripped by the hand is fragile or uncomfortable, the reduced finger flexor activity will limit wrist flexion strength. Gripping a slippery object which requires high grip effort will result in reduced wrist extension strength. Grip force should be controlled during measurement of wrist flexion or extension strength.

Keywords

Wrist flexion - extension; grip force; wrist moment; hand biomechanics; wrist biomechanics

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Human strength data are used as an ergonomic guideline in product design or workstation design. They are used to ensure that muscular efforts required to use a product or complete a

given task do not exceed the strength capability of users or workers, and to further prevent overexertion, localized fatigue (Rohmert, 1973; Bystrom and Fransson-Hall, 1994), injury, and cumulative trauma disorders (Armstrong et al., 1993; Bernard, 1997; Kumar, 2001; NRC, 1999; NRC and IOM, 2001). Because gripping an object and applying torque with the hand is a ubiquitous task in our daily lives, many investigators have reported grip strength data and wrist strength data (Delp et al., 1996; Fong and Ng, 2000; Gonzalez et al., 1997; Hallbeck, 1994; Jung and Hallbeck, 2002; Lehman and Calhoun, 1990; Marley and Thomson, 2000; Morse et al., 2007; Zellers and Hallbeck, 1995). Grip strength has also been used to assess the efficacy of hand surgery or rehabilitation programs (Anderson et al., 1990; Boissy et al., 1999; Mercier and Bourbonnais, 2004).

In many studies that measured wrist strengths, however, simultaneous grip force measurements were not reported, even though the anatomy of the wrist suggests that wrist strength should be influenced by finger flexor muscle activities. Finger flexor tendons are located on the volar aspect of the wrist flexion-extension rotation axis – the same side as wrist flexor tendons in reference to the wrist joint (Brand and Hollister, 1993; Holzbaur et al., 2005). Thus, contraction of the finger flexor muscles will automatically generate a wrist flexion moment. Therefore, the aim of this study was to demonstrate and quantify the effect of a simultaneous grip force on wrist flexion/extension strength by systematically varying a grip force level.

1.2 Wrist model

We propose a modified wrist moment model that can explain the effect of a simultaneous grip force on wrist flexion/extension strength. Previously, a wrist flexion/extension moment has been modeled by Gonzalez et al. (1997), Holzbaur et al. (2005), and Buchanan et al. (1993). Gonzalez et al. (1997) and Holzbaur et al. (2005) calculated wrist flexion moment by summing products of all flexor muscle forces and their moment arms, as shown in Equation 1. Wrist extension moment was calculated by summing products of all extensor muscle forces and their moment arms. This model assumed that, during maximum wrist flexion exertions, all flexor muscles (including finger flexor muscles) are maximally activated whereas all extensor muscles are 0% activated. For maximum wrist extension exertions, the model assumed that all extensor muscles are maximally activated and all flexor muscles are idle, including finger flexor muscles.

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{muscle force}_i r_i \quad (1)$$

where M is the applied external moment, m is the number of muscles involved in generating a moment, and r is the muscle moment arm vector. Muscle force was calculated by a product of the physiologic cross-sectional area and the scale factor (Gonzalez et al., 1997; Holzbaur et al., 2005).

Buchanan et al. (1993) used EMGs for two flexors and three extensors to estimate wrist moments using the following equation, where ρ is the force-EMG coefficient. They did not include finger flexors or extensors in the model.

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{EMG}_i \rho_i r_i \quad (2)$$

Our proposed wrist model predicts a wrist moment (M) as a sum of products of muscle forces and moment arms (r), discounted by relative EMG levels (0–1.0), for muscles involved in generating a moment (m).

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{muscle force}_i r_i \text{EMG}_i \quad (3)$$

This expression means that the wrist moment depends on each muscle's activity. In particular, the wrist flexion moment may increase with increasing finger flexor muscle activities, i.e., flexor digitorum superficialis (FDS) and flexor digitorum profundus (FDP). The wrist extension moment may increase with decreasing FDS and FDP muscle activities. Given that wrist exertions are rarely performed without grip exertions, it is important to quantify how much wrist flexion/extension strength is affected by a simultaneous grip force.

1.3 Hypothesis

Based on the wrist model (Equation 3), we hypothesized that wrist flexion strength should increase with an increasing grip force, and wrist extension strength should decrease with an increasing grip force.

2. Methods

2.1 Procedures

An experiment was conducted where maximum wrist flexion and extension strengths were measured while exerting grip forces at five different levels. Four pairs of bipolar surface EMG electrodes were placed over two finger flexor muscles, one wrist flexor muscle, and one wrist extensor muscle. Specifically, one pair of electrodes was placed parallel to the proximal muscle belly fibers of FDP on the medial side of the right forearm (Garland and Miles, 1997). The second pair was placed over distal muscle belly fibers of FDS. The other two pairs were placed over flexor carpi radialis (FCR) and extensor carpi radialis (ECR) on the middle of the volar and dorsal right forearm, respectively (Basmajian, 1989).

Subjects were seated with their right elbow flexed at about 90°, the forearm semi-prone resting horizontally on a table, and the wrist neutral. Subjects grasped a grip dynamometer with their right hand in a power grip, with the major axis of the force gauge perpendicular to the subjects' forearm (see Figure 1). First, subjects performed maximum isometric grip

exertions to determine their grip strength. Then, subjects were instructed to perform the maximum isometric wrist flexion or extension exertions while maintaining their grip forces as follows: 1) “as little as possible”, 2) “as high as possible”, 3) at 30% or 4) 60% of their maximum grip force, and 5) “at a preferred level”. For wrist exertions with 30% or 60% of maximum grip force, a target grip force and an actual grip force were displayed on a computer screen so that the subjects could match their grip force to the target level. For the preferred level grip, subjects were left to decide for themselves whatever grip force they preferred to use during maximum wrist exertions. Thus subjects could focus on wrist exertions. We were concerned that maintaining a specified percent effort while exerting maximum wrist flexion or extension torque was an unnatural exertion and that the recruitment of agonistic and antagonistic muscles might be altered. The preferred grip enabled us to see how the natural exertion compared with the contrived exertion used in this experiment. It also enables us to see how natural grip force was affected by the wrist flexion or extension exertion.

Subjects were instructed to keep their forearm and elbow on the table during the exertions to minimize involvement of the upper arm or torso. A cushioned wrist support was provided to steady the forearm while producing wrist moments. Subjects were instructed to keep the fingers on the grip dynamometer in a power grip posture, as opposed to flattening the hand, even during wrist exertions with minimum grip effort. Subjects were allowed to practice before testing. Each exertion lasted for 5 seconds. Conditions (grip force level) were presented to subjects in a random order. Each condition was tested twice. A two-minute break was provided between successive trials. The wrist flexion or extension moment, grip force and EMGs were recorded simultaneously, and averaged over two seconds during the maximum wrist flexion or extension exertions. Wrist moment and grip force were measured at 5 Hz.

2.2 Apparatus

Grip force was measured using a grip dynamometer which consisted of a force gauge connecting two parallel bars. The grip dynamometer had a width of 3.84 cm. Grip span was adjusted so that subjects could place their 3rd metacarpophalangeal joint aligned with the center of the grip dynamometer and the direction of flexion/extension force, as shown in Figure 1a. The mean grip span was 4.25 cm, with a mean handle circumference of 13.5 cm.

For wrist flexion strength measurements, the bottom of the grip dynamometer was attached to a load cell which measured wrist flexion force from the metacarpophalangeal joints. The active side of the grip dynamometer was placed below the fingers so that finger gripping force could be measured by the grip dynamometer (see Figure 1a). The wrist flexion moment was determined by multiplying the wrist flexion force by a moment arm. The moment arm was the shortest distance between the wrist joint and the flexion force vector (which is the distance between the wrist joint and the center of the grip dynamometer).

For wrist extension strength measurements, the grip dynamometer was not attached to a fixture, but grasped by the hand. Subjects placed their metacarpophalangeal joints against the middle of a cushioned pad that was attached to the load cell (Figure 1b), and performed maximum wrist extension exertions. The load cell measured wrist extension force. The wrist

extension moment was determined by multiplying the wrist extension force by a moment arm. The moment arm is, again, the shortest distance between the wrist joint and the extension force vector.

Muscle EMGs were collected using surface EMG electrodes (AMBU Neuroline 720 Wet Gel Ag/AgCl). The EMG instrumentation had a pre-amplifier gain of 100 and CMRR of 115 dB. The raw signal was converted to real time RMS values with a 55 ms time constant. Among all maximum exertions, the highest EMG value was used to normalize other EMG values as a percentage of maximum voluntary contraction.

Analysis of variance was performed to examine the relationship between the wrist strengths and the various grip forces, as well as the relationship between wrist strength and muscle activities. MINITAB ® (Release 14) was used to perform statistical analyses.

2.3 Subjects

Twelve healthy subjects (6 males and 6 females, age between 18 and 35 years, mean age: 27.1 ± 5.4) participated in the experiment. They were students or office workers. All participants were right-handed and were free of any upper extremity disorders. Each gave written informed consent prior to testing.

The subjects' hand length measured using the method by Garrett (1971) ranged from 6th percentile to 86th percentile for males, and 1st percentile to 78th percentile for females, based on air force population data from Garrett (1971). The subjects' right hand grip strength determined with a Jamar dynamometer with a grip span of 49 mm ranged from the 24th to 96th percentile for males, and 14th to 88th percentile for females, based on population data from Mathiowetz et al. (1985).

2.4 Model prediction

Wrist flexion and extension strengths were calculated using the model in Equation 3. Measured FDP, FDS, FCR, and ECR EMGs were input into the model. Other wrist flexor muscles (flexor carpi ulnaris, palmaris longus) were assumed to have the same EMG level as FCR, based on Mogk and Keir (2003). Similarly, other wrist extensor muscle, extensor carpi ulnaris, was assumed to have the same EMG level as ECR, based on Mogk and Keir (2003). Thumb muscles that generate flexion moments (abductor pollicis longus, extensor pollicis longus, and flexor pollicis longus) were assumed to be maximally active during wrist flexion exertions, and not active during wrist extension exertions, as in Gonzalez et al. (1997) and Holzbaur et al. (2005). Likewise, thumb and finger muscles that generate extension moments (extensor digitorum communis, extensor digiti minimi, extensor indicis proprius, and extensor pollicis brevis) were assumed to be not active during wrist flexion exertions, and maximally active during wrist extension exertions, as in Gonzalez et al. (1997) and Holzbaur et al. (2005). Thus, the main difference in the present model compared to the previous one in Gonzalez et al. (1997) and Holzbaur et al. (2005) was that activities for wrist flexor muscles, wrist extensor muscles, and finger flexor muscles varied for each condition.

Muscle forces were adopted from Holzbaur et al. (2005). Moment arms (r in Equation 3) for each muscle were adopted from Brand and Hollister (1993). The model prediction was compared to the measured wrist flexion/extension strengths using paired T-test.

3. Results

Maximum grip strength and wrist flexion and extension strengths for each grip force are summarized in Table 1 along with EMGs recorded for each condition. The average grip strength measured using the grip dynamometer was 462 ± 61 N for males and 211 ± 58 N for females (337 ± 142 N for all subjects). The average wrist flexion strength in the neutral posture was 13.7 ± 3.5 Nm for males and 6.8 ± 2.7 Nm for females. The average wrist extension strength in the neutral posture was 8.4 ± 2.8 Nm for males and 4.2 ± 1.1 Nm for females. The average wrist strength was 63% greater for flexion than for extension. The average wrist flexion and extension strengths for males were twice those for females.

The average wrist flexion strength increased 34% from minimum to maximum grip force. The average wrist extension strength increased 10% from maximum to minimum grip force. The highest grip force observed during maximum wrist flexion was, on average, 85% of the subjects' grip strength. The highest grip force observed during maximum wrist flexion was significantly less than the subjects' grip strength ($p < 0.01$). The highest grip force observed during maximum wrist extension was, on average, 54% of their grip strength. The average preferred grip force observed when the subjects were not instructed to exert a specific grip force level during maximum wrist flexion exertions was 51% of grip strength (95% confidence interval = (43%, 59%)). The average preferred grip force during maximum wrist extension exertions was 29% of grip strength (95% confidence interval = (22%, 36%)).

Wrist flexion and extension strengths are plotted as a function of grip force in Figure 2. Increasing grip force was associated with increasing wrist flexion strength ($p < 0.01$). For wrist extension exertions, increasing grip force was associated with decreasing wrist extension strength ($p < 0.01$). The amount of wrist strength change per 10 N increase in grip force was, on average, +0.12 Nm for flexion, and -0.07 Nm for extension.

In Figure 3, wrist flexion and extension strengths are plotted as a function of finger flexor (FDS, FDP) muscle activities. Wrist flexion strength increased significantly with increasing FDS EMG or increasing FDP EMG ($p < 0.01$). Wrist flexion strength was not significantly correlated with FCR or ECR EMG ($p > 0.05$). Wrist extension strength decreased with increasing FDS or FDP EMG ($p < 0.01$) and increased with increasing ECR EMG ($p < 0.01$). Wrist extension strength was also not significantly correlated with FCR EMG ($p > 0.05$). During wrist extension exertions, a significant negative correlation was found between the finger flexor (FDS, FDP) EMGs and ECR EMGs.

Predicted wrist flexion and extension strengths compared favorably as shown in Figure 4, when plotted as a function of grip force using a normalized strength scale. The model predicts that wrist flexion strength increases with increasing grip force, and wrist extension strength decreases with increasing grip, as observed experimentally. The predicted wrist flexion and extension strengths were not significantly different from the measured wrist

flexion and extension strengths in normalized values (normalized to each subject's maximum strength) ($p<0.05$). In absolute values, predicted wrist strengths were, on average, 19% less than the measured ($p<0.05$).

4. Discussion

The data support the proposed hypothesis: wrist flexion strength increased by 34% with a simultaneous grip activity, whereas wrist extension strength decreased by 10% with a simultaneous grip activity (Table 1; Figure 2; Figure 3). Thus, the data support the proposed model in Equation 3. The average predicted wrist strength was 19% less than the measured, probably because subjects who participated in this study were stronger than the average. The average grip strength measured using a Jamar dynamometer for these subjects was 16% higher than the norm provided in Mathiowetz et al. (1985). When wrist strength values were normalized to the maximum strength for each subject, the model could predict the measured wrist strength well, as shown in Figure 4. It indicates that the relationship between grip force and wrist strength predicted by the model (Equation 3) was empirically demonstrated.

The present study has following distinctions from previous studies. Unlike Gonzalez et al. (1997) and Holzbaur et al. (2005), the present model accounts for antagonistic and agonistic muscle activities (EMG) when predicting wrist strength. Given that pure wrist flexion/extension exertions are seldom performed in our daily lives, the data provided in this study can have greater impacts on ergonomic design and analysis.

When subjects were allowed to decide for themselves how much grip force to use, their preferred grip force was 51% of grip strength for wrist flexion exertions and 29% of grip strength for wrist extension exertions. These reflect grip force levels that subjects can generate without concentrating on grip force. The average preferred grip force during wrist flexion exertions was greater than that during wrist extension exertions, probably because grip helps flex the wrist.

The present findings also extend the study of Zellers and Hallbeck (1995) who measured wrist flexion and extension strengths with or without a simultaneous grip. They did not find a statistically significant effect of simultaneous grip on wrist strength. It is probably because grip force levels were not systematically controlled in their study. In addition, potential reasons for why wrist strength would be affected by a simultaneous grip exertion were not provided in their study. The model proposed in the present study (Equation 3) provides a biomechanical basis to explain the effect of grip force on wrist strength.

The present findings imply that, when both grip and wrist extension exertions are required, such as loosening a screw using a screwdriver, wrist extension strength may be significantly limited by the simultaneous grip effort due to muscle antagonism (0.07 Nm decrease in extension moment per 10 N increase in grip force). On the other side, when the grip effort must be limited for a fragile, delicate or an uncomfortable object, reduced finger flexor activity can limit wrist flexion strength (0.12 Nm decrease in flexion moment per 10 N decrease in grip force).

When analyzing a task that involves both grip and wrist exertions, use of grip or wrist strength values that were measured during only grip exertions, or only wrist exertions, may not correctly estimate the true grip and wrist strength, as grip and wrist exertions interact with each other. In addition, the finding indicates that, when wrist strength is the outcome measure used to assess strength capacity or the efficacy of hand surgery or rehabilitation programs, then finger flexor activity should be controlled. Recording finger flexor activities or providing instructions to subjects in regard to grip effort will help ensure consistent and relevant results.

From a theoretical perspective, wrist strength can be maximized by activating 100% of all muscles that generate a desired wrist moment, and turning off all other muscles that generate the opposite wrist moment. However, activation of antagonistic muscles is often observed in maximal effort tasks. Specifically, some ECR EMG activity was observed during maximum wrist flexion. Also, some FCR EMG activity was observed during maximum wrist extension. This co-contraction of antagonistic muscles that are unnecessary for generating the prescribed moment has been observed in previous studies (Delp et al., 1996; Buchanan et al., 1993) and has been discussed as a strategy used to increase the stiffness and stability of a joint (Cholewicki and McGill, 1996; Kornecki et al., 2001; Milner et al., 1995; Milner, 2002).

For wrist extension, the ECR EMG increased with a decreasing FDS and FDP EMGs. It may be that controlling finger and wrist muscles independently was unnatural to subjects. Activating two muscle groups that create an opposite wrist moment might have been particularly difficult. The ECR and finger flexor muscles (FDS, FDP) may have inhibited each other by a central nervous system mechanism (Aymard et al., 1997; Carroll et al., 2005; Sherrington, 1906). In fact, the grip force measured during maximum wrist extension with maximum grip effort was only 54% of the subjects' grip strength, and FDS and FDP EMG levels were only 30% and 28%, respectively. This decreased grip force with simultaneous wrist extension agrees with Zellers and Hallbeck (1995). This finding implies that human force capabilities are sensitive to the context as well as the activities of synergist and antagonist muscles, and that they cannot be estimated by superposition of individual strengths of multiple muscles.

Consistent with previous studies, wrist strength was greater for males than for females (Hallbeck 1994; Morse et al., 2007; Zellers and Hallbeck, 1995), and for flexion than for extension (Delp et al., 1996; Gonzalez et al., 1997; Marley and Thomson, 2000; Morse et al., 2007; Hallbeck 1994). Wrist strengths measured in this study were not significantly different from those reported by others (Anderson et al., 1990; Delp et al., 1996; Ketchum et al., 1978; Holzbaur et al., 2007).

The exertions performed in this study were isometric. Muscle lengths remained constant throughout the study. Muscle lengthening velocities were zero. For tasks that involve wrist movements, changing muscle lengths and muscle shortening and lengthening will significantly influence both grip and wrist strengths (Fong and Ng, 2000; Fong and Ng, 2001; Loren et al., 1996).

In this study, EMGs were measured for four muscles – two finger flexor muscles (FDS, FDP), one wrist flexor muscle (FCR), and one wrist extensor muscle (ECR). Although these four muscle EMGs were sufficient to demonstrate the effect of grip on wrist strength (Figure 4), measurement of EMGs for more muscles, especially the finger extensor (EDC), is expected to improve the accuracy of model prediction. The contraction of the EDC muscle may contribute to generating a wrist extension moment based on its tendon location at the wrist (Brand and Hollister, 1993; Holzbaur et al., 2005). Not being able to maximally contract EDC during grip could have been associated with reduced wrist extension strength. In addition, intrinsic hand muscles or the thumb contribute to grip. Future studies may investigate the contribution of EDC and the thumb to wrist and grip exertions.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded in part by joint funds from the UAW-GM National Joint Committee on Health and Safety and by NIOSH pilot grant. The results presented herein represent the conclusions and opinions of the authors. Its publication does not necessarily imply endorsement by the International Union, UAW, General Motors Corporation, or NIOSH.

References

- Anderson PA, Chanoski CE, Devan DL, McMahon BL, Whelan EP, 1990 Normative study of grip and wrist flexion strength employing a BTE Work Simulator. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 15A (3) 420–425.
- Aymard C, Chia L, Katz R, Lafitte C, Penicaud A, 1995 Reciprocal inhibition between wrist flexors and extensors in man: a new set of interneurons? *Journal of Physiology*, 487, 221–235. [PubMed: 7473251]
- Armstrong TJ, Buckle P, Fine LJ, Hagberg M, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Kuorinka IA, Silverstein BA, Sjogaard G, Viikari-Juntura ER, 1993 A conceptual model for work-related neck and upper-limb musculoskeletal disorders. *Scandinavian Journal of Work Environment and Health*, 19 (2) 73–84.
- Basmajian JV, 1989 *Biofeedback: principles and practice for clinicians*. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore.
- Bernard BP, 1997 *Musculoskeletal disorders and workplace factors*. Cincinnati, OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
- Boissy P, Bourbonnais D, Carlotti MM, Gravel D, Arsenault BA, 1999 Maximal grip force in chronic stroke subjects and its relationship to global upper extremity function. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 13, 354–362. [PubMed: 10460123]
- Brand PW, Hollister A, 1993 *Clinical Mechanics of the Hand*. 2nd Ed. Mosby-Year Book.
- Buchanan TS, Moniz MJ, Dewald JPA, Rymer WZ, 1993 Estimation of muscle forces about the wrist joint during isometric tasks using an EMG coefficient method. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 26 (4/5) 547–560. [PubMed: 8478356]
- Bystrom S, Fransson-Hall C, 1994 Acceptability of intermittent handgrip contractions based on physiological response. *Human Factors*, 36 (1) 158–71. [PubMed: 8026838]
- Carroll TJ, Baldwin ERL, Collins DF, 2005 Task dependent gain regulation of spinal circuits projecting to the human flexor carpi radialis. *Experimental Brain Research*, 161, 299–306. [PubMed: 15551085]
- Cholewicki J and McGill SM, 1996 Mechanical stability of the in vivo lumbar spine: implications for injury and chronic low back pain. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 11, 1–15. [PubMed: 11415593]
- Delp SL, Grierson AE and Buchanan TS, 1996 Maximum isometric moments generated by the wrist muscles in flexion-extension and radial-ulnar deviation. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 29 (10) 1371–1375. [PubMed: 8884484]
- De Serres SJ, Milner TE, 1991 Wrist muscle activation patterns and stiffness associated with stable and unstable mechanical loads. *Experimental Brain Research*, 86, 451–458. [PubMed: 1756819]

- Fong PWK, Ng GYF, 2000 Effect of wrist positioning on isokinetic performance and repeatability of measurement for wrist flexors and extensors. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*, 16, 169–176.
- Fong PWK, Ng GYF, 2001 Effect of wrist positioning on the repeatability and strength of power grip. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 55 (2) 212–216. [PubMed: 11761138]
- Garland SJ, Miles TS, 1997 Control of motor units in human flexor digitorum profundus under different proprioceptive conditions. *Journal of Physiology*, 502, 693–701. [PubMed: 9279818]
- Garrett JW, 1971 The Adult Human Hand: Some Anthropometric and Biomechanical Considerations. *Human Factors*, 13 (2) 117–131. [PubMed: 5550584]
- Gonzalez RV, Buchanan TS, Delp SL, 1997 How muscle architecture and moment arms affect wrist flexion-extension moments. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 30 (7) 705–712. [PubMed: 9239550]
- Hallbeck MS, 1994 Flexion and extension forces generated by wrist-dedicated muscles over the range of motion. *Applied Ergonomics*, 25, 376–385.
- Holzbaumer KRS, Murray WM, Delp SL, 2005 A model of the upper extremity for simulating musculoskeletal surgery and analyzing neuromuscular control. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 33 (6) 829–840. [PubMed: 16078622]
- Holzbaumer KRS, Delp SL, Gold GE, Murray WM, 2007 Moment-generating capacity of upper limb muscles in healthy adults. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 40, 2442–2449. [PubMed: 17250841]
- Jung MC, Hallbeck MS, 2002 The effect of wrist position, angular velocity, and exertion direction on simultaneous maximal grip force and wrist torque under the isokinetic condition. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 29, 133–143.
- Ketchum LD, Brand PW, Thompson D, Pocock GS, 1978 The determination of moments for extension of the wrist generated by muscles of the forearm. *Journal of Hand Surgery*, 3, 205–210. [PubMed: 659816]
- Lehman SL, Calhoun BM, 1990 An identified model for human wrist movements. *Experimental Brain Research*, 199–208.
- Loren GJ, Shoemaker SD, Burkholder TJ, Jacobson MD, Friden J, Lieber RL, 1996 Human wrist motors: biomechanical design and application to tendon transfers. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 29 (3) 331–342. [PubMed: 8850639]
- Kornecki S, Keibel A, & Siemiński A, 2001 Muscular co-operation during joint stabilisation, as reflected by EMG. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 84, 453–461. [PubMed: 11417435]
- Kumar S, 2001 Theories of musculoskeletal injury causation. *Ergonomics*, 44 (1) 17–47. [PubMed: 11214897]
- Marley RJ, Thomson MR, 2000 Isokinetic strength characteristics in wrist flexion and extension. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 25, 633–643.
- Mathiowetz V, Kashman N, Volland G, Weber K, Dowe M, Rogers S, 1985 Grip and Pinch Strength: Normative Data for Adults. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 66, 69–72. [PubMed: 3970660]
- Mercier C, Bourbonnais D, 2004 Relative shoulder flexor and handgrip strength is related to upper limb function after stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 18, 215–221. [PubMed: 15053131]
- Milner TE, Cloutier C, Leger AB, Franklin DW, 1995 Inability to activate muscles maximally during cocontraction and the effect on joint stiffness. *Experimental Brain Research*, 107, 293–305. [PubMed: 8773247]
- Milner TE, 2002 Adaptation to destabilizing dynamics by means of muscle cocontraction. *Experimental Brain Research*, 143 (4) 406–16. [PubMed: 11914785]
- Mogk JPM, Keir PJ, 2003 Crosstalk in surface electromyography of the proximal forearm during gripping tasks. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 13, 63–71. [PubMed: 12488088]
- Morse JL, Jung M-C, Bashford GR, Hallbeck MS, 1988 Maximal dynamic grip force and wrist torque: The effects of gender, exertion direction, angular velocity, and wrist angle. *Applied Ergonomics*, 19 (6) 737–742.
- NRC and IOM, 2001 *Musculoskeletal Disorders and the Workplace: Low Back and Upper Extremities*. Washington, DC, National Academy Press.
- NRC, 1999 *Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a review of the evidence*. Washington, DC, National Academy Press.

- Rohmert W, 1973 Problems in determining rest allowances. *Applied Ergonomics*, 4 (2) 91–95.
[PubMed: 15677120]
- Sherrington C, 1906 *The Integrative Action of the Nervous System*. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, USA.
- Zellers KK, Hallbeck MS, 1995 The effect of gender, wrist and forearm position on maximum isometric power grasp force, wrist force, and their interactions. *Proceeding of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 39th Annual Meeting, 543–547.

Statement of Relevance

When analyzing a task that involves both grip and wrist exertions, use of grip/wrist strength values that were measured during grip exertions only, or wrist exertions only, may incorrectly estimate the true grip/wrist strength, as grip and wrist activities significantly interact with each other as demonstrated in this paper.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1.

The top view (top) and the front view (bottom) of apparatus for measuring flexion strength are on the left, a, and those for extension strength are on the right, b. The major axis of the force gauge in the grip dynamometer was perpendicular to the forearm. For flexion strength measurements, the active side of the grip dynamometer was placed where the fingertips were, and the grip dynamometer was attached to the load cell. Wrist flexion and extension forces were measured by the load cell. A cushioned wrist support was provided to subjects for leverage.

Response: **Flexion strength (%)**

Predictor	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
Constant	61.80	0.00
Grip force (%)	0.36	0.00
$R^2 = 50\%$		

Response: **Extension strength (%)**

Predictor	Coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
Constant	93.29	0.00
Grip force (%)	-0.25	0.00
$R^2 = 26\%$		

Figure 2.

Wrist flexion and extension strengths (% , normalized to the maximum flexion or extension strength for each subject) are plotted as a function of grip force level (% , normalized to the maximum grip force for each subject) (6 males and 6 females data pooled).

Figure 3.

Wrist flexion and extension strengths (%) are plotted as a function of finger flexors (FDS, FDP) EMGs. Wrist flexion and extension strengths were normalized to each subject's maximum wrist flexion and extension strengths, respectively. Twelve subjects' data are pooled. Wrist flexion and extension strengths (%) significantly varied with finger flexor EMGs.

Figure 4.

Comparison between measured (the bold segmented regression line, no data point shown) and predicted (the thin solid regression line and data points) wrist moment normalized to each subject's maximum strength

Table 1.

Maximum grip strength (second row) and maximum wrist flexion and extension strengths for different grip force levels with EMGs of the wrist flexor (FCR), wrist extensor (ECR), and finger flexors (FDS, FDP) (Mean $\pm$ SD, 6 males and 6 females' data are pooled)

	Grip level	Grip force (N)	Wrist strength (Nm)	FCR (%)	ECR (%)	FDS (%)	FDP (%)
Max Grip	Max grip	337 $\pm$ 142					
Wrist Flexion	Min	56 $\pm$ 54	9.1 $\pm$ 4.2	68 $\pm$ 18	11 $\pm$ 5	32 $\pm$ 16	64 $\pm$ 19
	30%	111 $\pm$ 57	9.1 $\pm$ 4.4	67 $\pm$ 17	11 $\pm$ 5	40 $\pm$ 16	62 $\pm$ 16
	Preferred	165 $\pm$ 115	10.6 $\pm$ 4.9	76 $\pm$ 17	12 $\pm$ 6	57 $\pm$ 25	76 $\pm$ 16
	60%	189 $\pm$ 81	10.4 $\pm$ 4.8	71 $\pm$ 20	12 $\pm$ 6	57 $\pm$ 20	68 $\pm$ 14
	Max	289 $\pm$ 125	12.2 $\pm$ 5.5	84 $\pm$ 12	13 $\pm$ 5	85 $\pm$ 16	90 $\pm$ 12
Wrist Extension	Min	38 $\pm$ 38	-6.6 $\pm$ 3.3	10 $\pm$ 7	75 $\pm$ 17	11 $\pm$ 5	12 $\pm$ 6
	30%	95 $\pm$ 42	-6.5 $\pm$ 3.6	14 $\pm$ 10	71 $\pm$ 16	14 $\pm$ 7	16 $\pm$ 8
	Preferred	102 $\pm$ 65	-6.4 $\pm$ 3.2	11 $\pm$ 8	79 $\pm$ 14	19 $\pm$ 9	17 $\pm$ 11
	60%	162 $\pm$ 77	-5.8 $\pm$ 2.5	21 $\pm$ 17	81 $\pm$ 11	28 $\pm$ 12	25 $\pm$ 11
	Max	181 $\pm$ 72	-6.0 $\pm$ 2.8	23 $\pm$ 21	85 $\pm$ 15	30 $\pm$ 16	28 $\pm$ 18