Published in final edited form as:

Nanotechnology. 2019 July 05; 30(27): 275302. doi:10.1088/1361-6528/ab11ad.

Layer-by-layer thinning of MoS₂ via laser irradiation

Bien-Cuong Tran-Khac¹, Ryan M White², Frank W DelRio², Koo-Hyun Chung¹

¹School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 44610, Republic of Korea

²Applied Chemicals and Materials Division, Material Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO 80305, United States of America

Abstract

Layer-by-layer thinning of molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) via laser irradiation was examined using Raman spectroscopy and atomic force microscopy. In particular, the effects of number of layers, laser conditions, and substrate were systematically identified. The results demonstrated the presence of nanoparticles on the MoS₂ at sufficient laser treatment conditions prior to layer-by-layer thinning. The volume of nanoparticles was found to increase and then decrease as the number of MoS₂ layers increased; the non-monotonic trend was ascribed to changes in the thermal conductivity of the film and interfacial thermal conductance between the film and substrate with number of layers. Moreover, the volume of nanoparticles was found to increase as the magnification of the objective lens decreased and as laser power and exposure time increased, which was attributed to changes in the power density with laser conditions. The effect of substrate on nanoparticle formation and layer-by-layer thinning was investigated through a comparison of freestanding and substrate-supported MoS₂ subjected to laser irradiation; it was illustrated that freestanding films were thinned at lower laser powers than substrate-supported films, which highlighted the function of the substrate as a heat sink. For conditions that elicited thinning, it was shown that the thinned areas exhibited triangular shapes, which suggested anisotropic etching behavior where the lattice of the basal plane was preferentially thinned along the zigzag direction terminated by an Mo- or S-edge. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy of freestanding MoS₂ revealed the presence of a 2 nm thick amorphous region around the laser-treated region, which suggested that the crystalline structure of laser-treated MoS₂ remained largely intact after the thinning process. In all, the conclusions from this work provide useful insight into the progression of laser thinning of MoS₂, thereby enabling more effective methods for the development of MoS₂ devices via laser irradiation.

Keywords

atomic force microscopy; layer-by-layer thinning; molybdenum disulfide; nanoparticles; laser irradiation

1. Introduction

Transition metal dichalcogenides have received considerable interest in recent history [1–4]. In particular, atomically-thin MoS₂ has been extensively studied due to its unique electrical, mechanical, frictional, and optical properties [5–7]. Based on these properties, atomically-thin MoS₂ has been vital in the development of next-generation electronics and optoelectronics [8]; electrochemical energy storage [9]; therapeutic, bioimaging, and biosensing [10]; and environmental [11] applications. In electronics and optoelectronics, the structure, symmetry, and thickness-dependent evolution of the electronic and phononic structure have facilitated advancements in field-effect transistors [12], heterostructure junctions [13], photodetectors [14], photovoltaic cells [15], and gas sensors [16]. In energy storage, the relationship between morphology and electrochemical performance in MoS₂-based nanocomposites enabled novel solutions with high capacities and stable cycle performances for lithium ion batteries [17], sodium ion batteries [18], and supercapacitors [19]. Similarly, MoS₂-based nanomaterials have exhibited promise in therapeutic and diagnostic modalities such as photothermal therapy [20], drug delivery [21], gene therapy [22], and biosensing [23]. Moreover, adsorption, photodegradation, semiconducting, and antifouling capabilities have resulted in environmental benefits previously unattainable in two-dimensional (2D) materials, leading to water-related functions for contaminant adsorption [24], photocatalysis [25], membrane-based separation [26], and disinfection [27]. These seemingly incongruent areas are connected by a common theme—the tunability of the underlying properties is often brought about by discrete changes to the atomically-thin MoS₂ thickness. Therefore, to use atomically-thin MoS₂ to its full potential in micro- and nanoscale devices and extend its usage into other applications, methods to obtain high-quality MoS₂ with controllable shape, size, and thickness are required.

Several top-down and bottom-up methods have been developed to fabricate atomically-thin MoS₂. The top-down methodologies include mechanical [28] and solution-based [29] exfoliation. The former approach produces high-quality atomically-thin MoS₂, but suffers from low yield and reproducibility, while the latter method enables improved yield, but at the expense of residual chemicals from the solution [30]. In contrast, bottom-up methods have been established via chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [31]. CVD has shown the ability to produce large-area atomically-thin MoS₂ with similar or superior properties to exfoliated methods, but the resulting samples often require a substrate transfer to enable the specific application area. In all, it is clear that significant progress has been made in the quest to fabricate high-quality MoS₂, but that more work is required to improve on the deficiencies described above and to enable MoS₂ with controllable shape, size, and thickness. To this end, more recent work has focused on layer-by-layer thinning of MoS₂. One approach involved thermal annealing of MoS₂ in air [32] and argon [33]; in both cases, the MoS₂ was peeled layer-by-layer and the remaining layers exhibited similar structure and properties, but stopped short of using the approach to dictate lateral dimensions. Such control over lateral dimensions was achieved using argon plasma [34] and XeF₂ gas [35] with standard lithographic techniques to generate 2D heterostructures with alternating MoS₂ layer thicknesses. Another fabrication method for obtaining such MoS₂ features was based on layer-by-layer thinning via laser irradiation [36]. Recent studies have shown that atomically-

thin MoS₂ with different thicknesses and surface patterns can be obtained from laser thinning [37, 38]. The properties of laser-thinned monolayers were found to be comparable to those of pristine MoS₂ single layers [36, 37], thereby providing a viable path towards the fabrication of three-dimensional devices [38]. Despite the recent success, however, there are still several aspects of the laser thinning process that are not yet fully understood. For instance, recent reports have shown that nanoparticles are formed on the laser-treated areas, which have tentatively been attributed to the oxidation, etching, and redeposition of MoS₂ [39, 40]. Also, it is uncertain if the temperature increase from laser irradiation has deleterious effects on the structure of the surrounding MoS₂ [41]. As such, more detailed and systematic studies are needed to completely elucidate the effects of the laser irradiation process on MoS₂.

In this work, layer-by-layer thinning of MoS₂ via laser irradiation is studied using Raman spectroscopy and atomic force microscopy. In more detail, the effects of number of layers, laser conditions, and substrate on nanoparticle formation and thinning characteristics are identified. For number of layers, the volume of nanoparticles and thinning behavior are characterized as a function of MoS₂ thickness; the observed trends are explained via changes to the thermal behavior of the film and substrate. For laser conditions, the volume of nanoparticles and thinning behavior are studied for different objective lens magnifications, laser powers, and exposure times and interpreted through the associated changes in the laser power density. For substrate, both substrate-supported and freestanding specimens are examined and the differences in thinning rates are explained by the changes to the heat dissipation mechanisms. Finally, transmission electron microscopy is used to assess the crystalline structure of the MoS₂ away from and next to the laser-treated regions, thereby enabling a mechanistic understanding of the etch process in the in-plane and through-thickness directions.

2. Materials and methods

Atomically-thin and bulk MoS₂ were produced from single-crystal MoS₂ (SPI) using the micromechanical exfoliation method. The specimens were repeatedly exfoliated from the bulk crystal using an adhesive tape. The exfoliated specimens were gently pressed against and transferred to an SiO₂/Si substrate; in previous work, the roughness of single-layer MoS₂ was found to be close to that of the SiO₂/Si substrate, suggesting good flexibility and conformity to the underlying substrate [42, 43]. The thickness of the thermally-grown SiO₂ layer on the Si substrate was ≈ 300 nm. Optical microscopy (VK-X200, Keyence), atomic force microscopy (AFM) (MFP-3D, Asylum Research), and Raman spectroscopy (Alpha300R, Witec) were employed to examine the topographies and thicknesses of the specimens prior to the laser treatments. In detail, after identification of locations of the specimens using optical microscopy, topographic images were obtained with intermittent-contact mode AFM using Si probes with a nominal normal spring constant of 2 N m^{-1} (AC240, Olympus). Raman spectroscopy measurements were performed using an excitation laser wavelength of 532 nm. The Raman spectra were collected through a $100\times$ objective (NA ≈ 0.9) with a laser spot size of about 720 nm, and the spectra resolution was set to be about 1.4 cm^{-1} ($1800 \text{ lines mm}^{-1}$ grating). The laser power was kept below 0.5 mW with an

acquisition time of 10 s to avoid laser-induced thermal effects and nanoparticle formation on the specimens [39].

The laser thinning process of MoS₂ was then systematically investigated with Raman spectroscopy and AFM measurements. The laser treatments were achieved using the 532 nm continuous-wave laser with a given objective lens, laser power, and exposure time after identifying one-layer (1L), three-layer (3L), seven-layer (7L), and bulk MoS₂ flakes and focusing the Raman laser spot on the specimen surfaces. Two different Raman objective lenses were used in this work, including the 50× (Nikon) (NA ≈ 0.8) and 100× (NA ≈ 0.9) objective lens; the working distances of the 50× (NA ≈ 0.8) and 100× (NA ≈ 0.9) lenses were estimated to be about 0.54 and 1.0 mm, respectively. For the laser power experiments, the power varied from 10 to 40 mW for a constant exposure time of 60 s, while for the exposure time experiments, the time ranged from 10 to 100 s at a constant laser power of 25 mW. All Raman and AFM measurements were conducted in ambient conditions (25 °C, 40% RH).

The laser thinning process was also investigated with high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The goals of the TEM study were two-fold: (1) to examine the effects of substrate on nanoparticle formation and laser thinning and (2) to examine the shape and crystalline structure of laser-treated regions. To this end, an MoS₂ specimen was deposited onto a TEM Cu grid (Pelco, Ted Pella). The thickness of this free standing MoS₂ specimen was determined to be about 480 nm via AFM. The freestanding specimen was then exposed to laser powers ranging from 10 to 20 mW for 60 s and imaged at atomic resolution with a JEOL ARM 200F aberration corrected scanning transmission microscope at 200 keV. The convergence angle was 22.7 mrad and the collection angle (HAADF detector) was in the range of 90 to 370mrad. The microscope was focused and aligned away from the areas of interest to prevent beam damage.

3. Results

Figure 1(a) shows optical microscopy images of 1L, 3L, 7L, and bulk MoS₂ specimens on a SiO₂ substrate. AFM topographic images of the MoS₂ specimens are shown in figure 1(b). The images clearly indicate that the specimen surfaces were initially clean and particle-free. From the cross-sectional height profiles in figure 1(b), it was also determined that the thicknesses of the as-deposited specimens were about 0.7, 2.2 and 4.6 nm, which correspond to those of 1L, 3L, and 7L MoS₂ (assuming a theoretical thickness of 0.62 nm for 1 L MoS₂). In addition, it was observed that the thickness of the bulk MoS₂ specimen was ≈100 nm. Raman spectra of the MoS₂ specimens are also provided in figure 1(c), which clearly reveal a dependence of Raman characteristic peak position on thickness. As the number of layers increased, the E_{2g}^1 peak frequency from in-plane vibrations of Mo-S atoms decreased and the A_{1g} peak frequency from out-of-plane vibrations of S atoms increased, in agreement with previous work [44].

Figure 2 shows AFM topographic images and cross-sectional height profiles of 1L, 7L, and bulk MoS₂ specimens after laser treatments with 50× and 100× objective lenses at 5 mW for 60 s. In all cases, the data clearly show the formation of nanoparticles on the top surfaces

after the laser treatment process; in previous work, the nanoparticles were attributed to oxidation, etching, and redeposition of MoS₂ [40]. However, it is demonstrated here that the extent of nanoparticle formation is highly dependent on (1) the MoS₂ thickness and (2) objective lens magnification. On point (1), the volume of nanoparticles was found to increase and then decrease with number of layers as shown in figure 2(c); the non-monotonic trend was ascribed to changes in thermal conductivity of the film and interfacial thermal conductance between the film and substrate with number of layers [39]. For atomically-thin MoS₂, the interfacial thermal conductance is the dominant heat transfer mechanism. As the number of layers increases, interfacial heat transfer decreases and inplane heat transfer increases, which results in an increase in nanoparticle volume. In contrast, the thermal conductivity of the film is the dominant heat transfer mechanism for bulk MoS₂. The local heat from the laser dissipates more effectively in the lateral direction due to the greater thermal conductivity for bulk MoS₂ than that for atomically-thin MoS₂, which leads to a decrease in nanoparticle volume and the nonmonotonic trend. Interestingly, the 7L specimens exhibited the largest nanoparticle volume and the only indication of laser thinning at this power (a height decrease of ≈ 0.3 nm), suggesting that nanoparticle formation is a precursor to thinning. On point (2), the nanoparticle volume was found to increase as the objective lens magnification decreased as shown in figure 2(c). Moreover, thinning was only observed with the 100 $\times$ lens, as previously noted. The differences in nanoparticle volume and laser thinning were due to differences in laser spot size, which were ≈ 810 nm and 720 nm for the 50 $\times$ and 100 $\times$ lenses, respectively. The larger spot size for the 50 $\times$ lens translated to a larger nanoparticle area (and hence volume), whereas the smaller spot size for the 100 $\times$ lens allowed for a larger power density and higher temperature at the treated area (and hence thinning) [45]. Moreover, given that the onset of etching was witnessed for 7L MoS₂ with the 100 $\times$ lens at 5 mW for 60 s, it is likely that only a slight increase to power or time would be required to observe the same behavior with the 50 $\times$ lens.

Figure 3(a) shows AFM topographic images and cross-sectional height profiles of bulk MoS₂ after laser treatments at 25 mW for exposure times from 10 to 100 s. As the exposure time increased, the nanoparticle volume also increased, until laser thinning was finally observed at 100 s. Assuming a Gaussian distribution for the laser intensity profile [45], it is likely that the surface temperature reached a maximum at the center of the treated area and decreased radially outward, which explains the non-uniform height distributions. In addition, it was found that the nanoparticle height remained relatively constant (1.9 to 2.2 nm), but that the nanoparticle area increased significantly, as the exposure time increased. This suggests that the through-thickness heat transfer becomes saturated, but that the in-plane heat transfer continues to increase with time, which again highlights that the thermal conductivity is the dominant heat transfer mechanism for bulk MoS₂. Figure 3(b) shows AFM topographic images and height profiles of bulk MoS₂ after laser treatments for 60 s at powers from 10 to 40 mW. No significant change in topography was observed at 10 mW. However, at 20 mW, laser-induced nanoparticle formation was observed, but with no significant thinning of the MoS₂ layers. At 30 mW, up to three layers of MoS₂ (≈ 1.9 nm) were removed, as evident from the cross-sectional profiles. Interestingly, the shape of the thinned area at the center of the laser-treated area was triangular, in contrast to the circular shape of the nanoparticles removed from the center of the thinned area. In addition, it was

observed that the orientation of the triangular pattern on the second top layer was 180° different than that on the top layer, but in alignment with that on the third layer. At 40 mW, >150 layers of MoS_2 (≈ 100 nm) were thinned from the laser treatment. In this case, the thinned area retained a hexagonal shape, which was likely formed from multiple triangular patterns as etching progressed through the layers.

Figure 4 shows the optical microscopy and TEM images of the freestanding specimen on the TEM grid after laser treatments at 10 and 20 mW for 60 s. At both powers, thinning through the entire specimen was observed. The diameter of the hole for 20 mW was larger than that for 10 mW, but both holes exhibited hexagonal shapes as with the substrate-supported films. In contrast, the thinning rates for the free-standing and substrate-supported films were vastly different. For example, at 10 mW, the substrate-supported MoS_2 exhibited no nanoparticle formation or laser thinning, while the freestanding MoS_2 was completely thinned. High-resolution TEM was utilized to assess the crystalline structure of the MoS_2 away from and next to the laser-treated regions; the locations are denoted in figure 4(b). Away from the region, the MoS_2 demonstrated a defect-free hexagonal structure, with a fringe lattice spacing of 0.27 nm indicative of the (100) plane. In contrast, the MoS_2 exhibited a 2 nm thick amorphous region around the laser-treated region, most likely an artifact of the irradiation process and a precursor to layer-by-layer thinning. An amorphous region of similar thickness was also observed at 20 mW, suggesting the presence and size of the layer is invariant with laser power. This confirms that laser thinning can be used to create devices with pristine layers, given that the crystalline structure remains largely intact.

4. Discussion

The systematic investigation of MoS_2 laser thinning presented herein enables a mechanistic understanding of the etching process. The results demonstrate the presence of nanoparticles on the MoS_2 at sufficient laser treatment conditions prior to layer-by-layer thinning; previous work submits that the nanoparticles are due to the oxidation, etching, and redeposition of the MoS_2 [39, 40]. It is hypothesized here that the nanoparticles act as nucleation sites for the subsequent layer-by-layer thinning. The schematic diagrams in figure 5 illustrate the thinning process in both the in-plane and through-thickness directions. In figure 5(a), it is shown that the thinned areas exhibit triangular shapes, which suggests anisotropic etching behavior where the lattice of the basal plane is preferentially thinned along a zigzag direction terminated by an Mo- or S-edge depending on stability. Determination of the more stable edge termination here is complicated, given its dependence on sulfiding conditions, chemical environment, and substrate [46–48]. The formation of triangular pits on an MoS_2 surface was also observed in a previous study [41], in which the atomically-thin MoS_2 was thermally annealed from 300 °C to 340 °C in an oxygen environment. It should also be noted that the changes in the orientations of the triangular patterns could be accredited to trigonal prismatic crystal structures such as the 2H- and 3R-phases for MoS_2 . Particularly, for the trigonal prismatic coordination, 2H- MoS_2 consists of two layers of MoS_2 per unit cell stack in the hexagonal symmetry, while 3R- MoS_2 has three layers of MoS_2 per unit cell stack in the rhombohedral symmetry [49]. Therefore, the 180° rotation of the triangular lattices for adjacent layers in figure 3(b) is indicative of the 2H- MoS_2 and not the 3R- MoS_2 phase [50, 51]. In general, the 2H-phase is more stable and

dominant in nature [52], providing further evidence for its presence here. Interestingly, the triangular orientations on the second and third layers are similar, which suggests that the stacking order is a hybrid of the 2H-MoS₂ and 3R-MoS₂ phases [53]. In figures 5(b) and (c), the effect of the substrate on thinning rate is highlighted. For substrate-supported MoS₂, the laser-induced heat is readily dissipated from the laser-treated area through the MoS₂ layers to the substrate, which acts as a heat sink. Hence, the substrate can prevent or delay the occurrence of laser thinning, depending on the laser conditions. In contrast, heat accumulates at the laser-treated area in the freestanding MoS₂, enabling a faster thinning rate. This finding is in agreement with results from previous studies [36, 54] and opens the door to patterned substrates with variable thermal properties to enable three-dimensional MoS₂ devices.

5. Conclusions

In summary, layer-by-layer thinning of MoS₂ via laser irradiation was investigated via Raman spectroscopy, AFM, and TEM on substrate-supported and freestanding specimens. In all cases, the results clearly showed the formation of nanoparticles on the top surfaces after the laser treatment process; previous work attributed the nanoparticles to oxidation, etching, and redeposition of MoS₂ [40]. The extent of nanoparticle formation was highly dependent on the number of layers, laser conditions, and substrate. For conditions that elicited thinning, the thinned areas exhibited triangular shapes, which suggests anisotropic etching behavior where the lattice of the basal plane was preferentially thinned along the zigzag direction terminated by an Mo- or S-edge. The orientation of the triangular pattern on the second top layer was 180° different than that on the top layer, but in alignment with that on the third layer, which implies that the stacking order is a hybrid of the 2H-MoS₂ and 3R-MoS₂ phases [53]. Finally, the MoS₂ demonstrated a defect-free hexagonal structure with a fringe lattice spacing of 0.27 nm away from the laser-treated region, but also exhibited a 2 nm thick amorphous region around the laser-treated region. The presence and size of the region was invariant with laser power. Altogether, the results suggest that laser thinning has great potential for selective and effective fabrication of high-quality MoS₂ devices with desired lateral dimensions and thicknesses. However, more work is required to further elucidate the role that the MoS₂ layers and substrate play in the thinning process. Particularly, different MoS₂ fabrication methods and substrates need to be investigated. In addition, the thermal behavior of the nanoparticles and their role in laser thinning needs to be more clearly identified. Furthermore, potential application areas for MoS₂ with controllable shape, size, and thickness need to be investigated. Nevertheless, the outcomes of this work provide useful insight into the laser thinning of MoS₂, and contribute to the development of laser thinning technologies for high-quality MoS₂ devices.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (NRF-2017R1A2B4009651). Specific commercial equipment, instruments, and materials that are identified in this report are listed in order to adequately describe the experimental procedure and are not intended to imply endorsement or recommendation by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

References

- [1]. Feldman Y, Wasserman E, Srolovitz DJ and Tenne R 1995 High-rate, gas-phase growth of MoS₂ nested inorganic fullerenes and nanotubes *Science* 267 222–5 [PubMed: 17791343]
- [2]. Rapoport L, Bilik Y, Feldman Y, Homyonfer M, Cohen SR and Tenne R 1997 Hollow nanoparticles of WS₂ as potential solid-state lubricants *Nature* 387 791–3
- [3]. Qian X, Liu J, Fu L and Li J 2014 Quantum spin Hall effect in two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides *Science* 346 1344–7 [PubMed: 25504715]
- [4]. Manzeli S, Ovchinnikov D, Pasquier D, Yazyev OV and Kis A 2017 2D transition metal dichalcogenides *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2 17033
- [5]. Kuc A, Zibouche N and Heine T 2011 Influence of quantum confinement on the electronic structure of the transition metal sulfide *TS₂* *Phys. Rev. B* 83 245213
- [6]. Bertolazzi S, Brivio J and Kis A 2011 Stretching and breaking of ultrathin MoS₂ *ACS Nano* 5 9703–9 [PubMed: 22087740]
- [7]. Lee C, Li Q, Kalb W, Liu X, Berger H, Carpick RW and Hone J 2010 Frictional characteristics of atomically thin sheets *Science* 328 76–80 [PubMed: 20360104]
- [8]. Jariwala D, Sangwan VK, Lauhon LJ, Marks TJ and Hersam MC 2014 Emerging device applications for semiconducting two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides *ACS Nano* 8 1102–20 [PubMed: 24476095]
- [9]. Wang T, Chen S, Pang H, Xue H and Yu Y 2017 MoS₂-based nanocomposites for electrochemical energy storage *Adv. Sci* 4 1600289
- [10]. Yadav V, Roy S, Singh P, Khan Z and Jaiswal A 2019 2D MoS₂-based nanomaterials for therapeutic, bioimaging, and biosensing applications *Small* 15 1803706
- [11]. Wang Z and Mi B 2017 Environmental applications of 2D molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) nanosheets *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51 8229–44 [PubMed: 28661657]
- [12]. Radisavljevic B, Radenovic A, Brivio J, Giacometti V and Kis A 2011 Single-layer MoS₂ transistors *Nat. Nano* 6 147–50
- [13]. Britnell L et al. 2012 Field-effect tunneling transistor based on vertical graphene heterostructures *Science* 335 947–50 [PubMed: 22300848]
- [14]. Choi W et al. 2012 High-detectivity multilayer MoS₂ phototransistors with spectral response from ultraviolet to infrared *Adv. Mater.* 24 5832–6 [PubMed: 22903762]
- [15]. Fontana M, Deppe T, Boyd AK, Rinzan M, Liu AY, Paranjape M and Barbara P 2013 Electron-hole transport and photovoltaic effect in gated MoS₂ Schottky junctions *Sci. Rep* 3 1634 [PubMed: 23567328]
- [16]. Late DJ et al. 2013 Sensing behavior of atomically thin-layered MoS₂ transistors *ACS Nano* 7 4879–91 [PubMed: 23713986]
- [17]. Jiang H, Ren D, Wang H, Hu Y, Guo S, Yuan H, Hu P, Zhang L and Li C 2015 2D monolayer MoS₂-carbon interoverlapped superstructure: engineering ideal atomic interface for lithium ion storage *Adv. Mater.* 27 3687–95 [PubMed: 25989160]
- [18]. Bang GS, Nam KW, Kim JY, Shin J, Choi JW and Choi S 2014 Effective liquid-phase exfoliation and sodium ion battery application of MoS₂ nanosheets *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 6 7084–9 [PubMed: 24773226]
- [19]. Acerce M, Voiry D and Chhowalla M 2015 Metallic 1T phase MoS₂ nanosheets as supercapacitor electrode materials *Nat. Nanotechnol* 10 313–8 [PubMed: 25799518]
- [20]. Chou SS, Kaehr B, Kim J, Foley BM, De M, Hopkins PE, Huang J, Brinker CJ and Dravid VP 2013 Chemically exfoliated MoS₂ as near-infrared photothermal agents *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52 4160–4
- [21]. Li BL, Setyawati MI, Chen L, Xie J, Ariga K, Lim C, Garaj S and Leong DT 2017 Directing assembly and disassembly of 2D MoS₂ nanosheets with DNA for drug delivery *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 9 15286–96 [PubMed: 28452468]
- [22]. Kim J, Kim H and Kim WJ 2016 Single-layered MoS₂-PEI-PEG nanocomposite-mediated gene delivery controlled by photo and redox stimuli *Small* 12 1184–92 [PubMed: 26389712]

- [23]. Wu S, Zeng Z, He Q, Wang Z, Wang SJ, Du Y, Yin Z, Sun X, Chen W and Zhang H 2012 Electrochemically reduced single-layer MoS₂ nanosheets: characterization, properties, and sensing applications *Small* 8 2264–70 [PubMed: 22532527]
- [24]. Ai K, Ruan C, Shen M and Lu L 2016 MoS₂ nanosheets with widened interlayer spacing for high-efficiency removal of mercury in aquatic systems *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 26 5542–9
- [25]. Midya A, Ghorai A, Mukherjee S, Maiti R and Ray SK 2016 Hydrothermal growth of few layer 2H-MoS₂ for heterojunction photodetector and visible light induced photocatalytic applications *J. Mater. Chem. A* 4 4534–43
- [26]. Li W, Yang Y, Weber JK, Zhang G and Zhou R 2016 Tunable, strain-controlled nanoporous MoS₂ filter for water desalination *ACS Nano* 10 1829–35 [PubMed: 26800095]
- [27]. Yang X, Li J, Liang T, Ma C, Zhang Y, Chen H, Hanagata N, Su H and Xu M 2014 Antibacterial activity of two-dimensional MoS₂ sheets *Nanoscale* 6 10126–33 [PubMed: 25042363]
- [28]. Novoselov KS, Jiang D, Schedin F, Booth TJ, Khotkevich VV, Morozov SV and Geim AK 2005 Two-dimensional atomic crystals *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 102 10451–3 [PubMed: 16027370]
- [29]. Coleman JN et al. 2011 Two-dimensional nanosheets produced by liquid exfoliation of layered materials *Science* 331 568–71 [PubMed: 21292974]
- [30]. Zeng Z, Yin Z, Huang X, Li H, He Q, Lu G, Boey F and Zhang H 2011 Single-layer semiconducting nanosheets: high-yield preparation and device fabrication *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 50 11093–7
- [31]. van der Zande AM, Huang PY, Chenet DA, Berkelbach TC, You Y, Lee G, Heinz TF, Reichman DR, Muller DA and Hone JC 2013 Grains and grain boundaries in highly crystalline monolayer molybdenum disulphide *Nat. Mater* 12 554–61 [PubMed: 23644523]
- [32]. Wu J, Li H, Yin Z, Li H, Liu J, Cao X, Zhang Q and Zhang H 2013 Layer thinning and etching of mechanically exfoliated MoS₂ nanosheets by thermal annealing in air *Small* 9 3314–9 [PubMed: 23983108]
- [33]. Lu X, Utama MIB, Zhang J, Zhao Y and Xiong Q 2013 Layer-by-layer thinning of MoS₂ by thermal annealing *Nanoscale* 5 8904–8 [PubMed: 23921911]
- [34]. Liu Y, Nan H, Wu X, Pan W, Wang W, Bai J, Zhao W, Sun L, Wang X and Ni Z 2013 Layer-by-layer thinning of MoS₂ by plasma *ACS Nano* 7 4202–9 [PubMed: 23548109]
- [35]. Huang Y et al. 2013 An innovative way of etching MoS₂: characterization and mechanistic investigation *Nano Res.* 6 200–7
- [36]. Castellanos-Gomez A, Barkelid M, Goossens AM, Calado VE, van der Zant HSJ and Steele GA 2012 Laser-thinning of MoS₂: on demand generation of a single-layer semiconductor *Nano Lett.* 12 3187–92 [PubMed: 22642212]
- [37]. Sunamura K, Page TR, Yoshida K, Yano T and Hayamizu Y 2016 Laser-induced electrochemical thinning of MoS₂ *J. Mater. Chem. C* 4 3268–73
- [38]. Hu L, Shan X, Wu Y, Zhao J and Lu X 2017 Laser thinning and patterning of MoS₂ with layer-by-layer precision *Sci. Rep* 7 15538 [PubMed: 29138427]
- [39]. Tran Khac BC, Jeon K, Choi ST, Kim YS, DelRio FW and Chung K 2016 Laser-induced particle adsorption on atomically thin MoS₂ *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 8 2974–84 [PubMed: 26795729]
- [40]. Alrasheed A, Gorham JM, Tran Khac BC, Alsaffar F, DelRio FW, Chung K and Amer MR 2018 Surface properties of laser-treated molybdenum disulfide nanosheets for optoelectronic applications *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 10 18104–12 [PubMed: 29732876]
- [41]. Yamamoto M, Einstein TL, Fuhrer MS and Cullen WG 2013 Anisotropic etching of atomically thin MoS₂ *J. Phys. Chem. C* 117 25643–9
- [42]. Sener Sen H, Sahin H, Peeters FM and Durgun E 2014 Monolayers of MoS₂ as an oxidation protective nanocoating material *J. Appl. Phys.* 116 083508
- [43]. Tran Khac B, DelRio FW and Chung K 2018 Interfacial strength and surface damage characteristics of atomically thin h-BN, MoS₂, and graphene *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 10 9164–77 [PubMed: 29464947]
- [44]. Li H, Zhang Q, Yap CCR, Tay BK, Edwin THT, Olivier A and Baillargeat D 2012 From bulk to monolayer MoS₂: evolution of Raman scattering *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 22 1385–90

- [45]. Judek J, Gertych AP, winiarski M, Łapińska A, Dużyńska A and Zdrojek M 2015 High accuracy determination of the thermal properties of supported 2D materials Sci. Rep 5 12422 [PubMed: 26179785]
- [46]. Bollinger MV, Jacobsen KW and Nørskov JK 2003 Atomic and electronic structure of MoS₂ nanoparticles Phys. Rev. B 67 085410
- [47]. Helveg S, Lauritsen JV, Lægsgaard E, Stensgaard I, Nørskov JK, Clausen BS, Topsøe H and Besenbacher F 2000 Atomic-scale structure of single-layer MoS₂ nanoclusters Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 951–4 [PubMed: 11017413]
- [48]. Moses PG, Hinnemann B, Topsøe H and Nørskov JK 2007 The hydrogenation and direct desulfurization reaction pathway in thiophene hydrodesulfurization over MoS₂ catalysts at realistic conditions: a density functional study J. Catal. 248 188–203
- [49]. Beal AR, Knights JC and Liang WY 1972 Transmission spectra of some transition metal dichalcogenides: II. Group VIA: trigonal prismatic coordination J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5 3540–51
- [50]. Wang QH, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kis A, Coleman JN and Strano MS 2012 Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides Nat Nano 7 699–712
- [51]. Chhowalla M, Shin HS, Eda G, Li L, Loh KP and Zhang H 2013 The chemistry of two-dimensional layered transition metal dichalcogenide nanosheets Nat. Chem. 5 263–75 [PubMed: 23511414]
- [52]. Han B and Hu YH 2016 MoS₂ as a co-catalyst for photocatalytic hydrogen production from water Energy Sci. Eng 4 285–304
- [53]. Shinde SM, Dhakal KP, Chen X, Yun WS, Lee J, Kim H and Ahn J 2018 Stacking-controllable interlayer coupling and symmetric configuration of multilayered MoS₂ NPG Asia Mater. 10 e468
- [54]. Han GH et al. 2011 Laser thinning for monolayer graphene formation: heat sink and interference effect ACS Nano 5 263–8 [PubMed: 21174409]

Figure 1.

(a) Optical microscopy images, (b) AFM topographic images with cross-sectional height profiles, and (c) Raman spectra for 1L, 3L, 7L, and bulk MoS₂ specimens on an SiO₂ substrate. The white dashed lines in (b) denote the locations where the cross-sectional height profiles were taken. The black dashed lines in (c) denote the Raman characteristic peak positions for 1L MoS₂.

Figure 2.

AFM topographic images and cross-sectional height profiles of 1L, 7L, and bulk MoS₂ specimens after laser treatments with (a) 50× and (b) 100× objective lenses at 5 mW for 60 s. (c) Nanoparticle volume as a function of number of layers and objective lens magnification. The white dashed lines in (a) and (b) denote the locations where the cross-sectional height profiles were taken.

Figure 3.

AFM topographic images and cross-sectional height profiles of bulk MoS₂ specimens after laser treatments with a 100× objective lens at (a) 25 mW for 10 to 100 s and (b) 10 to 40 mW for 60 s. The white dashed lines in (a) and (b) denote the locations where the cross-sectional height profiles were taken.

Figure 4.

(a) Optical microscopy image of a freestanding MoS₂ specimen after laser treatment with a 100× objective lens at 10 and 20 mW for 60 s. (b) Low-resolution TEM image of the 10 mW laser-treated region. High-resolution TEM images of the 10 mW laser-treated region (c) away from and (d) next to the hole. The red square in (a) denotes the location where the low-resolution TEM image was taken. The red marks in (b) denote the locations where the high-resolution TEM images were taken.

Figure 5. Schematic diagrams of the MoS₂ thinning process in the (a) in-plane and (b)–(c) through-thickness directions. The blue and yellow dashed lines in (a) represent the Mo and S edges, respectively. The green circles and red arrows in (b) and (c) denote the nanoparticles on the top surface and main heat transfer paths through the film and substrate, respectively.