Applications of photobiomodulation in hearing research: from bench to clinic

Jae-Hun Lee^{1,2,4} · Sehwan Kim^{1,2,4} · Jae Yun Jung^{1,2,3,4} · Min Young Lee^{1,2,3,4}

Received: 17 January 2019 / Revised: 28 April 2019 / Accepted: 4 June 2019 / Published online: 18 June 2019
© Korean Society of Medical and Biological Engineering 2019

Abstract

Hearing loss is very common and economically burdensome. No accepted therapeutic modality for sensorineural hearing loss is yet available; most clinicians emphasize rehabilitation, placing hearing aids and cochlear implants. Photobiomodulation (PBM) employs light energy to enhance or modulate the activities of specific organs, and is a popular non-invasive therapy used to treat skin lesions and neurodegenerative disorders. Efforts to use PBM to improve hearing have been ongoing for several decades. Initial *in vitro* studies using cell lines and *ex vivo* culture techniques have now been supplanted by *in vivo* studies in animals; PBM protects the sensory epithelium and triggers neural regeneration. Many reports have used PBM to treat tinnitus. In this brief review, we introduce PBM applications in hearing research, helpful protocols, and relevant background literature.

Keywords Photobiomodulation · Low-level laser therapy · Hearing loss

1 Introduction

Hearing disabilities, hypertension, and arthritis are the three most common human medical conditions [1]. Hearing may degenerate with age, or may be compromised at birth or when young by noise exposure, ototoxic drugs, or genetic problems. Several large cohort studies found that over 45% of all subjects suffered from hearing loss [2–4]; a recent study reported that the prevalence of hearing loss in the young is increasing [5, 6]. Over 75% of those aged 60–69 years may suffer from high-frequency hearing loss [5, 6]. Poor hearing causes communication difficulties and social withdrawal, affecting both the patient and his/her family [6, 7]. Hearing must be preserved to facilitate social engagement as individuals now tend to live longer.

Hearing is of great psychosocial importance; however, currently, only hearing aids and cochlear implants are available as treatments.

Photobiomodulation (PBM) and low-level laser therapy (LLLT) use light to reduce pain [8–10] and inflammation [11–15], induce analgesia [16], and promote nerve and tissue repair [17–21]. As the laser power is low, tissue temperature does not rise [22]. After PBM was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), diverse applications were reported. PBM is non-invasive and thus associated with minimal side-effects; however, the lack of a recognized therapeutic mechanism has hindered wider use. Both basic and clinical studies on the use of PBM to protect against hearing loss, tinnitus, and vestibular dysfunction in animals and patients have been published. Here, we review these studies and suggest future PBM applications.

✉ Min Young Lee
Eyeglass210@gmail.com

¹ Interdisciplinary Program for Medical Laser, Dankook University, Cheonan, South Korea

² Beckman Laser Institute Korea, Dankook University, Cheonan, South Korea

³ Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Dankook University Hospital, Cheonan, South Korea

⁴ College of Medicine, Dankook University, Cheonan, South Korea

2 Cochlear anatomy and hearing loss

Hearing can be compromised by damage to various auditory organs. The organ of Corti, the peripheral structure associated with signaling transition, is the principal target of external auditory stimulation. The inner hair cells (IHCs) and outer hair cells (OHCs) of the organ of Corti are critical for hearing. One row of IHCs and three rows of OHCs transmit

sounds. Endolymph ions flow into hair cells via channels that open at the tips of the stereocilia [23], inducing cellular depolarization that in turn releases neurotransmitters within synaptic vesicles in ventral cellular regions [24]. The neurotransmitters bind to post-synaptic receptors and the signals are thus further transduced to the spiral ganglion neurons that connect the hair cells with the cochlear nucleus of the brainstem, via nerve fibers [25, 26]. All of these peripheral inner ear organs (Fig. 1) can be damaged by external insults. Hair cells and stereocilia are the primary targets of noise [27–30] and ototoxic drugs [31–39]. Damage to these components blocks signal transfer from the external ear to the brain, causing hearing loss. A recent study found that the ribbon synapse was the most vulnerable part of the peripheral auditory system [40]. High-level noise or ototoxic drugs can trigger IHC synaptopathy, reducing the peak amplitude of the auditory brainstem response (ABR) without changing the threshold [41–44]. The auditory and spiral ganglion neurons can also be either primary or secondary targets of external insults [45, 46]. Damage to the myelin or satellite cells of nerve fibers delays signal transport, triggering encoding deficits or rendering temporal processing inadequate [47]. All of these systems can be targeted by PBM to prevent or reverse hearing loss.

3 PBM therapy for hearing loss

3.1 Lasers and light-emitting diodes

A laser (light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation) transmits highly focused amplified light at specific wavelengths [48]. Both continuous-wave (CW) and pulsed lasers are available. Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) emit light of various colors when current flows through semiconductors [49]; LEDs last longer than general light sources, switch more rapidly, and consume less energy [50]. LEDs

and lasers exhibit several differences (Fig. 2). LEDs generate light spontaneously; lasers must be stimulated to emit radiation [51]. Lasers generate light of coherent wavelengths but LEDs output light of substantially greater energy [52]. Laser light features a wide emission spectrum, whereas LED light is monochromatic, thus lying within a narrow spectral band [53]. Laser spots are tightly circumscribed; LED beams are wider, thus exhibiting more light-scattering than laser beams, rendering LEDs useful for irradiating larger areas [52]. Thus, lasers and LEDs differ in terms of spectral distribution, absorption, and interaction with photoreceptors, as reflected in their different therapeutic applications.

3.2 Parameters relevant to PBM

Light irradiation and dose parameters are critical for PBM applications. Light is wave-like; wavelength is measured in nanometers and light of wavelength 400–700 nm is visible. PBM uses visible light; chromophores absorbing at different wavelengths exhibit various biological effects. Red and near-infrared (NIR) light of wavelength 600–1,100 nm is commonly used; such light penetrates tissue to depths greater than light of other wavelengths [54–58] and activates cytochrome c oxidase; this is the aim of most PBM therapies. The unit of power is energy; both peak and average energy can be relevant, depending on whether a CW or pulsed laser is used. Beam area is also critical, but is often incorrectly reported. The beams of diode lasers usually exhibit Gaussian distributions, i.e., brighter at the center and dimmer toward the edges; the precise amount of energy that reaches a target can be unclear. The beam combiner of Kim (Fig. 2) smooths energy distribution across the beam; this will greatly aid future research. Irradiance (or power density, mW/cm^2) is the power divided by the beam area, and is also often misreported. Thus, careful calculation of PBM irradiance and power are essential when comparing studies.

Fig. 1 Schematic anatomy of the cochlea (a) and the organ of Corti (b). Stereocilia at the apex of one line of IHC (yellow cell), and three lines of OHC (blue cells) are attached at tectorial membrane. At the bottom of IHC, synaptic ribbon (red) which is paired with post synaptic

receptor (light green) transmits the auditory signal to spiral ganglion neuron (green cell) through auditory fiber (green lines). (Color figure online)

Fig. 2 Multi-LD-based LLLT system for single well culture (**a–d**) and LED-based LLLT system for multi-well culture (**e–h**). **a** Photo of multi-LD-based LLLT system with three wavelengths (633, 780, 804 nm); **b** light output design composed of diffuser and collimator to guarantee uniform irradiation; **c** light beam pattern of the 633 nm

laser diode (LD) at 3 cm height; **d** block diagram of multi-LD-based LLLT system; **e**, **f** photo of LED-based LLLT system with single 808 nm LED; **g** graphic user interface (GUI) for multi-well culture with different powers; **h** block diagram of LED-based LLLT system

3.3 PBM parameters relevant to hearing loss

When PBM is used to address hearing problems, the unique anatomy of the ear must be considered, because it affects the wavelength required for penetration. The light must pass through the tympanic membrane to reach the cochlea of the middle ear. Light of wavelength 680–850 nm is used most commonly [46, 59–65]. PBM must impart maximal benefits with minimal side-effects. Tympanic membrane status is important. Moon et al. [64] assessed PBM safety in an animal model using an 830-nm laser operating at different powers; PBM was delivered through the tympanic membrane for 30 min daily over 14 consecutive days. Histological changes in the tympanic membrane when the laser power was 250 mW included edema, vascular congestion, and inflammation; Only few lymphocytes were observed when the power was 200 mW [64] (Fig. 3).

PBM therapy is critically dependent on the power of the laser that reaches the cochlea; a detailed understanding of ear anatomy from the external ear to the cochlea is

indispensable. Coronal computed tomography was used to define the laser irradiation angle in an animal study [66]. Customized devices featuring protractors facilitate precise laser irradiation (Fig. 4).

4 Studies using PBM to prevent hearing loss

4.1 Previous in vitro and in vivo studies

Previous in vitro PBM studies are listed in Table 1. Organ of Corti tissue cultures and the HEI-OC1 cell line have been used to explore the effects of PBM after stress was imparted in vitro [62, 65, 67]. Diode lasers of similar wavelengths (808 and 810 nm) and powers (8–15 mW/cm²) were used to deliver light either once or repeatedly. PBM enhanced gene transfection [67], reduced inflammatory cytokine levels [65], and protected against cell loss after aminoglycoside treatment [62]. PBM using an 808-nm diode laser increased mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) and adenosine

Fig. 3 Histological changes in tympanic membrane after low-level laser therapy. Lymphocytes (*arrowhead*), edema (*arrowed line*), and vacular congestion (*arrow*) were found in the group with 250 mW laser while, only few lymphocytes were observed in the group with 200 mW [64]

Fig. 4 PBM system for in vivo study was customized for accurate laser irradiation. Frontal (a) and lateral (b) view of PBM therapy tool for animal. c SD rat with laser tip attached at right ear. Frontal (d) and lateral (e) view of computed tomography scans of laser irradiation

Table 1 PBM parameters of previous in vivo studies

Laser type	Wave-length (nm)	Animal	Power (mW/cm ²)	Irradiation time (min)	# of treatment (# per day)	Year	Reference number
Diode laser	830	SD rat	200	60	10 (1)	2013	[66]
Diode laser	808	SD rat	110, 165	30	5 (1)	2015	[72]
Diode laser	830	SD rat	200, 250, 300	30	14 (1)	2016	[64]
Diode laser	808	SD rat	165	30	5 (1)	2016	[63]
Diode laser	808	Gerbil	200	60	7 (1)	2016	[46]
Diode laser	808	SD rat	165	60	15 (1)	2016	[59]

triphosphate (ATP) levels, and decreased the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by stimulating the Bcl-2, JNK, and c-Jun pathways (unpublished data), consistent with the findings of previous studies showing that PBM increased intracellular ATP levels and the MMP, and reduced ROS levels [68–71]. We were the first to evaluate PBM (using a low-level 808-nm laser that penetrated the tympanic membrane)

in a rat model (Male, 6 weeks) (Table 2). As noise exposure is the most common cause of hearing loss, we explored the protective effect of PBM after noise exposure [61]. After 14 days of once-daily irradiation, treated rats exhibited better hearing thresholds and less hair cell loss than controls [61]. Tamura et al. [72] delivered LLLT to the noise-exposed cochlea using our protocol, at two different powers (110 and

Table 2 PBM parameters in previous in vitro and ex vivo studies

Laser type	Wave-length (nm)	Cell line	Power (mW)	Irradiation time	# of treatment (# per day)	Year	Reference number
Diode	810	OC culture	8	60 min	6 (1)	2012	[62]
Diode	810	HEI-OC1	9.5	100 s	1 (1)	2016	[65]
Diode	808	HEI-OC1	15	15 min	2 (2)	2018	[67]

165 mW); the higher power was same to what we delivered previously (165 mW) and was associated with an improved hearing threshold and less hair cell loss. Histologically, PBM inhibited apoptosis and iNOS expression [72]. In a later study using the same laser conditions, PBM reduced apoptosis and oxidative stress by activating the NF-KB signaling pathway [63]. We administered unilateral/bilateral laser irradiation over 14 consecutive days after noise exposure, as above [59]. The bilateral group evidenced faster hearing threshold recovery than the unilateral group [59]. We did not explore cochlear effects, but PBM protected hair cells from the effects of noise exposure. We then explored the effect of PBM on spiral ganglion neurons [46]. Ouabain, a neurotoxin, was directly applied to the round window membranes of gerbils, greatly elevating the hearing threshold and triggering complete loss of spiral ganglion neurons but with retention of intact organs of Corti. PBM (an 808-nm laser) was applied for 7 consecutive days commencing 24 h after ouabain treatment. Test animals evidenced less spiral ganglion neuron and post-synaptic receptor loss than controls [46]. Histology revealed major effects of PBM on auditory nerve fibers; PBM protected the cochlea.

PBM (low-level 830-nm laser irradiation) was protective in an animal model of salicylate-induced tinnitus; the gap pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle (GPIAS) served as a measure of tinnitus. After PBM, the GPIAS normalized, indicating that PBM was effective, but the mechanism remained unclear. We investigated the effect of PBM on vestibular function after an aminoglycoside insult [60]. Gentamicin was injected intraperitoneally into rats (Male, 12 weeks) to induce vestibulopathy. PBM (808-nm diode laser irradiation) was performed on 7 consecutive days. PBM treatment normalized the vestibule, while control vestibules remained compromised [60]. Thus, PBM of the cochlea aided recovery of both hearing and balance after application of external stress.

4.2 Previous human studies

Clinically, PBM has been used principally to treat tinnitus (Table 3). In the 1990s, He–Ne and Ga–Al–As lasers operating at 632 and 904 nm were used to this end [73–78]. Most studies reported positive effects [73, 74, 76, 77] but two using a similar [75] and a different [78] laser

Table 3 PBM parameters of previous clinical studies

Laser type	Wavelength	Treatment target	Power (mW)	Irradiation time (min)	# of treatment (##/day)	Year	Reference number
He–Ne, Ga–Al–As	632, 904	Tinnitus	12, 2–20	10	12 (1/1 day)	1993	[73]
He–Ne, Ga–Al–As	632, 904	Tinnitus	12, 30	8	8 (1/1 day)	1993	[74]
He–Ne, Ga–Al–As	632, 904	Tinnitus	12, 9–20	10	12 (1/1 day)	1995	[75]
He–Ne, Ga–Al–As	632, 904	Tinnitus	12, 30	8	8 (1/1 day)	1995	[76]
He–Ne, Diode	632, 830	Tinnitus	20, 100	9	10 (1/1 day)	1996	[77]
Diode	830	Tinnitus	40	9	10 (1/1 day)	1997	[79]
Ga–Al–As	830	Tinnitus	50	10	15 (1/1 day)	1999	[78]
Diode	635, 690, 780, 830	Tinnitus	50	Not provided	10 (1/1 day)	2003	[80]
Diode	650	Tinnitus	5	20	90 (1/1 day)	2008	[83]
Diode	650	Tinnitus	5	15	7 (1/1 day)	2008	[84]
Diode	650	Tinnitus	5	20	90 (1/1 day)	2008	[81]
Diode	650, 808, 904	Hyperacusis, SSNH	35, 490, 120	16–28, 4–16, 7	10–20 (2/7 days)	2010	[85]
Diode	650	Tinnitus	5	20	20 (1/1 day)	2011	[87]
Diode	650	Tinnitus	5	20	90 (1/1 day)	2012	[82]
Diode	531, 635	Tinnitus	7.5	5	3 (1/2–4 days)	2013	[86]

did not. PBM therapy, at least weekly, at a wavelength of 630–900 nm and an average power of 20 mW, improved tinnitus. Studies continued up to 2013. Shiomi et al. [79] were the first to use a PBM diode laser to treat tinnitus; 58% of patients reported loudness reductions and 55% less annoyance. Later studies used PBM diode lasers to treat tinnitus [80–84], hyperacusis [85], and poor hearing [86]. Any effect of PBM remains controversial. Zazzio [85] reported that PBM improved hyperacusis. Okhovat et al. reported that PBM improved tinnitus but the effects varied by patient age and profession/job. Thus, patient characteristics may affect PBM outcomes [87].

5 Possible mechanism of PBM on hearing research

There are several possible mechanisms of PBM on hearing research. Cytochrome c oxidase (Cox), one of chromophore and the terminal enzyme of the electron transport chain, has considered a major target of PBM. Modulation of Cox can increase the adenosine triphosphate (ATP), cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), and the mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) [68]. Photodissociation of NO in the Cox by PBM converts mitochondrial inhibition of cellular respiration resulted from NO binding [88]. Transient receptor potential (TRP) families, light sensitive ion channels, can also be inhibited by infrared light, resulting in activation of neuronal voltage variation [89–91]. Known molecular mechanism of PBM protection through ROS modulation [58, 92, 93] and/or NF- κ B activation [58, 63, 94] would occur in the cochlea.

6 Conclusion and future applications

We summarize previous work on PBM in the context of hearing research. PBM is non-invasive and reduces hearing problems; the future is bright for this technology. However, despite positive laboratory and clinical reports, the absence of any explanation as to how PBM aids hearing is a major stumbling block. Mechanistic studies (transcriptome or genetic analyses) are essential.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by the Ministry of Science, Information and Communications technology (ICT) and Future Planning grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2017R1D1A1B03033219), and supported by the Ministry of Science, Information and Communications technology (ICT) and Future Planning grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2016R1D1A1B03932624).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

1. Adams PF, Hendershot GE, Marano MA. Current estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, 1996. *Vital Health Stat.* 1999;10(200):1–203.
2. Moscicki EK, et al. Hearing loss in the elderly: an epidemiologic study of the Framingham Heart Study Cohort. *Ear Hear.* 1985;6(4):184–90.
3. Cooper JC Jr, Gates GA. Hearing in the elderly—the Framingham cohort, 1983–1985: part II. Prevalence of central auditory processing disorders. *Ear Hear.* 1991;12(5):304–11.
4. Cruickshanks KJ, et al. Prevalence of hearing loss in older adults in Beaver Dam, Wisconsin. The epidemiology of hearing loss study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1998;148(9):879–86.
5. Agrawal Y, Platz EA, Niparko JK. Prevalence of hearing loss and differences by demographic characteristics among US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2004. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168(14):1522–30.
6. Wallhagen MI, et al. An increasing prevalence of hearing impairment and associated risk factors over three decades of the Alameda County Study. *Am J Public Health.* 1997;87(3):440–2.
7. Dalton DS, et al. The impact of hearing loss on quality of life in older adults. *Gerontologist.* 2003;43(5):661–8.
8. Tantawy SA, et al. Laser photobiomodulation is more effective than ultrasound therapy in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain: a comparative study. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2019;34(4):793–800.
9. Akerzoul N, Chbicheb S. Low laser therapy as an effective treatment of recurrent aphthous ulcers: a clinical case reporting two locations. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2018;30:205.
10. de Andrade ALM, et al. Effect of photobiomodulation therapy (808 nm) in the control of neuropathic pain in mice. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2017;32(4):865–72.
11. Reddy GK, Stehno-Bittel L, Enwemeka CS. Laser photostimulation of collagen production in healing rabbit Achilles tendons. *Las Surg Med Off J Am Soc Laser Med Surg.* 1998;22(5):281–7.
12. da-Palma-Cruz M, et al. Photobiomodulation modulates the resolution of inflammation during acute lung injury induced by sepsis. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2019;34(1):191–9.
13. Kaneguchi A, et al. Low-level laser therapy prevents treadmill exercise-induced progression of arthrogenic joint contracture via attenuation of inflammation and fibrosis in remobilized rat knees. *Inflammation.* 2018;1–17.
14. Lee KTD, et al. The effect of low-level laser irradiation on hyperglycemia-induced inflammation in human gingival fibroblasts. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2018;1–8.
15. Nomura K, Yamaguchi M, Abiko Y. Inhibition of interleukin-1 β production and gene expression in human gingival fibroblasts by low-energy laser irradiation. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2001;16(3):218–23.
16. Traverzini M, et al. Effect of led photobiomodulation on analgesia during labor: study protocol for a randomized clinical trial. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2018;97(25):e11120.
17. Chung H, et al. The nuts and bolts of low-level laser (light) therapy. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2012;40(2):516–33.

18. Martignago CCS, et al. Comparison of two different laser photobiomodulation protocols on the viability of random skin flap in rats. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2018;1–7.
19. Mokoena D, et al. Role of photobiomodulation on the activation of the Smad pathway via TGF-beta in wound healing. *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2018;189:138–44.
20. Buchaim RL, et al. Effect of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) on peripheral nerve regeneration using fibrin glue derived from snake venom. *Injury*. 2015;46(4):655–60.
21. Buchaim DV, et al. The new heterologous fibrin sealant in combination with low-level laser therapy (LLLT) in the repair of the buccal branch of the facial nerve. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2016;31(5):965–72.
22. Caruso-Davis MK, et al. Efficacy of low-level laser therapy for body contouring and spot fat reduction. *Obes Surg*. 2011;21(6):722–9.
23. Pickles JO, Comis SD, Osborne MP. Cross-links between stereocilia in the guinea pig organ of Corti, and their possible relation to sensory transduction. *Hear Res*. 1984;15(2):103–12.
24. Assad JA, Hacohen N, Corey DP. Voltage dependence of adaptation and active bundle movement in bullfrog saccular hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1989;86(8):2918–22.
25. Keen EC, Hudspeth AJ. Transfer characteristics of the hair cell's afferent synapse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006;103(14):5537–42.
26. Goutman JD, Glowatzki E. Time course and calcium dependence of transmitter release at a single ribbon synapse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007;104(41):16341–6.
27. Wang Y, Hirose K, Liberman MC. Dynamics of noise-induced cellular injury and repair in the mouse cochlea. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol*. 2002;3(3):248–68.
28. Chen GD. Prestin gene expression in the rat cochlea following intense noise exposure. *Hear Res*. 2006;222(1–2):54–61.
29. Chen GD, Zhao HB. Effects of intense noise exposure on the outer hair cell plasma membrane fluidity. *Hear Res*. 2007;226(1–2):14–21.
30. Puel JL, et al. Excitotoxicity and repair of cochlear synapses after noise-trauma induced hearing loss. *NeuroReport*. 1998;9(9):2109–14.
31. Huth ME, Ricci AJ, Cheng AG. Mechanisms of aminoglycoside ototoxicity and targets of hair cell protection. *Int J Otolaryngol*. 2011;2011:937861.
32. Warchol ME. Cellular mechanisms of aminoglycoside ototoxicity. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2010;18(5):454–8.
33. Hirose K, Hockenbery DM, Rubel EW. Reactive oxygen species in chick hair cells after gentamicin exposure in vitro. *Hear Res*. 1997;104(1–2):1–14.
34. Clerici WJ, et al. Direct detection of ototoxicant-induced reactive oxygen species generation in cochlear explants. *Hear Res*. 1996;98(1–2):116–24.
35. Jiang H, Sha SH, Schacht J. NF-kappaB pathway protects cochlear hair cells from aminoglycoside-induced ototoxicity. *J Neurosci Res*. 2005;79(5):644–51.
36. Jiang H, Sha SH, Schacht J. Rac/Rho pathway regulates actin depolymerization induced by aminoglycoside antibiotics. *J Neurosci Res*. 2006;83(8):1544–51.
37. Choung YH, et al. Generation of highly-reactive oxygen species is closely related to hair cell damage in rat organ of Corti treated with gentamicin. *Neuroscience*. 2009;161(1):214–26.
38. Wang J, et al. Local application of sodium thiosulfate prevents cisplatin-induced hearing loss in the guinea pig. *Neuropharmacology*. 2003;45(3):380–93.
39. Wang J, et al. Caspase inhibitors, but not c-Jun NH₂-terminal kinase inhibitor treatment, prevent cisplatin-induced hearing loss. *Cancer Res*. 2004;64(24):9217–24.
40. Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. Adding insult to injury: cochlear nerve degeneration after “temporary” noise-induced hearing loss. *J Neurosci*. 2009;29(45):14077–85.
41. Sly DJ, et al. Applying neurotrophins to the round window rescues auditory function and reduces inner hair cell synaptopathy after noise-induced hearing loss. *Otol Neurotol*. 2016;37(9):1223–30.
42. Wan G, et al. Neurotrophin-3 regulates ribbon synapse density in the cochlea and induces synapse regeneration after acoustic trauma. *Elife*. 2014;3:e03564.
43. Suzuki J, Corfas G, Liberman MC. Round-window delivery of neurotrophin 3 regenerates cochlear synapses after acoustic overexposure. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:24907.
44. Furman AC, Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. Noise-induced cochlear neuropathy is selective for fibers with low spontaneous rates. *J Neurophysiol*. 2013;110(3):577–86.
45. Lee JH, et al. Secondary degeneration of auditory neurons after topical aminoglycoside administration in a Gerbil model. *Biomed Res Int*. 2018;2018:9158187.
46. Lee MY, et al. Photobiomodulation by laser therapy rescued auditory neuropathy induced by ouabain. *Neurosci Lett*. 2016;633:165–73.
47. Wan G, Corfas G. Transient auditory nerve demyelination as a new mechanism for hidden hearing loss. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8:14487.
48. Hewitt PG. *Conceptual physics*. London: Pearson Educación; 2002.
49. Heiskanen V, Hamblin MR. Photobiomodulation: lasers vs. light emitting diodes? *Photochem Photobiol Sci*. 2018;17(8):1003–17.
50. Pelaez EA, Villegas ER. LED power reduction trade-offs for ambulatory pulse oximetry. In 29th Annual international conference of the IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society, 2007 (EMBS 2007). IEEE; 2007.
51. de Sousa AP, et al. Effect of LED phototherapy ($\lambda = 700 \pm 20$ nm) on TGF-beta expression during wound healing: an immunohistochemical study in a rodent model. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2011;29(9):605–11.
52. Pinheiro AL, et al. Light microscopic description of the effects of laser phototherapy on bone defects grafted with mineral trioxide aggregate, bone morphogenetic proteins, and guided bone regeneration in a rodent model. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2011;98(2):212–21.
53. Oliveira Sampaio SC, et al. Effect of laser and LED phototherapies on the healing of cutaneous wound on healthy and iron-deficient Wistar rats and their impact on fibroblastic activity during wound healing. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2013;28(3):799–806.
54. Smith KC. The photobiological basis of low level laser radiation therapy. *Laser Therapy*. 1991;3(1):19–24.
55. Hudson DE, et al. Penetration of laser light at 808 and 980 nm in bovine tissue samples. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2013;31(4):163–8.
56. Byrnes KR, et al. Light promotes regeneration and functional recovery and alters the immune response after spinal cord injury. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2005;36(3):171–85.
57. Tedford CE, et al. Quantitative analysis of transcranial and intraparenchymal light penetration in human cadaver brain tissue. *Lasers Surg Med*. 2015;47(4):312–22.
58. de Freitas LF, Hamblin MR. Proposed mechanisms of photobiomodulation or low-level light therapy. *IEEE J Sel Top Quantum Electron*. 2016;22(3):348–64.
59. Lee J-H, et al. Simultaneous bilateral laser therapy accelerates recovery after noise-induced hearing loss in a rat model. *PeerJ*. 2016;4:e2252.
60. Lee MY, et al. Treatment of peripheral vestibular dysfunction using photobiomodulation. *J Biomed Opt*. 2017;22(8):088001.
61. Rhee C-K, et al. Effect of low-level laser treatment on cochlea hair-cell recovery after acute acoustic trauma. *J Biomed Opt*. 2012;17(6):0680021–6.

62. Rhee C-K, et al. Effect of low-level laser therapy on cochlear hair cell recovery after gentamicin-induced ototoxicity. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2012;27(5):987–92.
63. Tamura A, et al. Photobiomodulation rescues the cochlea from noise-induced hearing loss via upregulating nuclear factor kappaB expression in rats. *Brain Res.* 2016;1646:467–74.
64. Moon TH, et al. Safety assessment of trans-tympanic photobiomodulation. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2016;31(2):323–33.
65. Bartos A, et al. Pre-conditioning with near infrared photobiomodulation reduces inflammatory cytokines and markers of oxidative stress in cochlear hair cells. *J Biophotonics.* 2016;9(11–12):1125–35.
66. Rhee CK, et al. Effect of low-level laser treatment on cochlea hair-cell recovery after ototoxic hearing loss. *J Biomed Opt.* 2013;18(12):128003.
67. Chang SY, et al. Photobiomodulation promotes adenoviral gene transduction in auditory cells. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2018;34(2):367–75.
68. Wu S, et al. Cancer phototherapy via selective photoinactivation of respiratory chain oxidase to trigger a fatal superoxide anion burst. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2014;20(5):733–46.
69. Ferraresi C, et al. Low-level laser (light) therapy increases mitochondrial membrane potential and ATP synthesis in C₂C₁₂ myotubes with a peak response at 3–6 h. *Photochem Photobiol.* 2015;91(2):411–6.
70. Liang H, et al. Photobiomodulation partially rescues visual cortical neurons from cyanide-induced apoptosis. *Neuroscience.* 2006;139(2):639–49.
71. Wong-Riley MT, et al. Photobiomodulation directly benefits primary neurons functionally inactivated by toxins role of cytochrome c oxidase. *J Biol Chem.* 2005;280(6):4761–71.
72. Tamura A, et al. Low-level laser therapy for prevention of noise-induced hearing loss in rats. *Neurosci Lett.* 2015;595:81–6.
73. Partheniadis-Stumpf M, Maurer J, Mann W. Softlasertherapie in Kombination mit Tebonin® iv bei Tinnitus. *Laryngorhinootologie.* 1993;72(01):28–31.
74. Olivier J, Plath P. Combined low power laser therapy and extracts of Ginkgo biloba in a blind trial of treatment for tinnitus. *Laser Therapy.* 1993;5(3):137–9.
75. Wedel HV, et al. Soft-laser/ginkgo therapy in chronic tinnitus. In: Rudert H, Werner JA, editors. *Lasers in otorhinolaryngology, and in head and neck surgery.* Karger Publishers; 1995. p. 105–8.
76. Plath P, Olivier J. Results of combined low-power laser therapy and extracts of Ginkgo biloba in cases of sensorineural hearing loss and tinnitus. In: Rudert H, Werner JA, editors. *Lasers in otorhinolaryngology, and in head and neck surgery.* Karger Publishers; 1995. p. 101–4.
77. Wilden L, Dindinger D. Treatment of chronic diseases of the inner ear with low level laser therapy (LLLT). *Laser Therapy.* 1996;8(3):209–12.
78. Mirz F, et al. The low-power laser in the treatment of tinnitus. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci.* 1999;24(4):346–54.
79. Shiomi Y, et al. Efficacy of transmeatal low power laser irradiation on tinnitus: a preliminary report. *Auris Nasus Larynx.* 1997;24(1):39–42.
80. Tauber S, et al. Transmeatal cochlear laser (TCL) treatment of cochlear dysfunction: a feasibility study for chronic tinnitus. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2003;18(3):154–61.
81. Teggi R, et al. Transmeatal low-level laser therapy for chronic tinnitus with cochlear dysfunction. *Audiol Neurotol.* 2009;14(2):115–20.
82. Salahaldin AH, et al. Low-level laser therapy in patients with complaints of tinnitus: a clinical study. *ISRN Otolaryngol.* 2012;2012:132060.
83. Cuda D, De Caria A. Effectiveness of combined counseling and low-level laser stimulation in the treatment of disturbing chronic tinnitus. *Int Tinnitus J.* 2008;14(2):175–80.
84. Gungor A, et al. Effectiveness of transmeatal low power laser irradiation for chronic tinnitus. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2008;122(5):447–51.
85. Zazzio M. Pain threshold improvement for chronic hyperacusis patients in a prospective clinical study. *Photomed Laser Surg.* 2010;28(3):371–7.
86. Goodman SS, et al. The effect of low-level laser therapy on hearing. *ISRN Otolaryngol.* 2013.
87. Okhovat A, et al. Low-level laser for treatment of tinnitus: a self-controlled clinical trial. *J Res Med Sci Off J Isfahan Univ Med Sci.* 2011;16(1):33.
88. Lane N. Cell biology: power games. *Nature.* 2006;443(7114):901–3.
89. Albert ES, et al. TRPV4 channels mediate the infrared laser-evoked response in sensory neurons. *J Neurophysiol.* 2012;107(12):3227–34.
90. Rohacs T. Phosphoinositide regulation of TRP channels. *Handb Exp Pharmacol.* 2014;223:1143–76.
91. Hardie RC. Photosensitive TRPs. *Handb Exp Pharmacol.* 2014;223:795–826.
92. Chu J, Wu S, Xing D. Survivin mediates self-protection through ROS/cdc25c/CDK1 signaling pathway during tumor cell apoptosis induced by high fluence low-power laser irradiation. *Cancer Lett.* 2010;297(2):207–19.
93. Huang YY, et al. Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) reduces oxidative stress in primary cortical neurons in vitro. *J Biophotonics.* 2013;6(10):829–38.
94. Chen AC, et al. Low-level laser therapy activates NF-κB via generation of reactive oxygen species in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. *PLoS ONE.* 2011;6(7):e22453.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.