Published in final edited form as:

Ophthalmol Retina. 2019 May ; 3(5): 456–. doi:10.1016/j.oret.2019.03.024.

Erratum to: Predictors of Post-Injection Endophthalmitis: A Multivariable Analysis Based on Injection Protocol and Povidone Iodine Strength

Maxwell S. Stem, M.D.¹, Prethy Rao, M.D., M.P.H.¹, Ivan J. Lee, B.S.², Maria A. Woodward, M.D., M.S.³, Lisa J. Faia, M.D.^{1,2}, Jeremy D. Wolfe, M.D., M.S.^{1,2}, Antonio Capone Jr., M.D.^{1,2}, Douglas Covert, M.D.¹, A. Bawa Dass, M.D.¹, Kimberly A. Drenser, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2}, Bruce R. Garretson, M.D.^{1,2}, Tarek S. Hassan, M.D.^{1,2}, Alan Margherio, M.D.¹, Kean T. Oh, M.D.¹, Paul V. Raephaelian, M.D.¹, Sandeep Randhawa, M.D.^{1,2}, Scott Sneed, M.D.¹, Michael T. Trese, M.D., O.D.^{1,2}, Sunita Yedavally, D.O.¹, George A. Williams, M.D.^{1,2}, Alan J. Ruby, M.D.^{1,2}

¹Associated Retinal Consultants, PC, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, MI, USA

²Oakland University, William Beaumont School of Medicine, Rochester, MI, USA

³University of Michigan, W. K. Kellogg Eye Center, Ann Arbor, MI

The authors of “Predictors of Endophthalmitis after Intravitreal Injection” (*Ophthalmology Retina*. 2019; 3:3–7) would like to issue the following correction:

In the second paragraph of the Discussion section, the 4th sentence currently reads:

However, a subsequent retrospective case series failed to demonstrate a difference in endophthalmitis rate when lidocaine jelly was administered before (0.085% [4/4682 injections]) or after (0.097% [4/4120 injections]) PVI solution.

The sentence should read:

However, a subsequent retrospective case series failed to demonstrate an increased risk of endophthalmitis when lidocaine jelly was administered before any PVI solution.

This change does not effect the results of this study.