Published in final edited form as:

Dev Psychopathol. 2019 August ; 31(3): 989–997. doi:10.1017/S0954579419000452.

Working Memory Moderates the Association Between Early Institutional Care and Separation Anxiety Symptoms in Late Childhood and Adolescence

Laura Alicia Alba,

Department of School Psychology, University of California, Riverside.

Jessica Flannery,

Department of Psychology, University of Oregon.

Mor Shapiro, and

Kaiser Permanente.

Nim Tottenham

Department of Psychology, Columbia University.

Abstract

Adverse caregiving, for example previous institutionalization (PI), is often associated with emotion dysregulation that increases anxiety risk. However, the concept of developmental multifinality predicts heterogeneity in anxiety outcomes. Despite this well-known heterogeneity, more work is needed to identify sources of this heterogeneity and how these sources interact with environmental risk to influence mental health. Here, working memory (WM) was examined during late childhood/adolescence as an intra-individual factor to mitigate the risk for separation anxiety, which is particularly susceptible to caregiving adversities. A modified ‘object-in-place’ task was administered to 110 youths (10–17 years old), with or without a history of PI. The PI youths had elevated separation anxiety scores, which were anticorrelated with morning cortisol levels, yet there were no group differences in WM. PI youths showed significant heterogeneity in separation anxiety symptoms and morning cortisol levels, and WM moderated the link between caregiving and separation anxiety and mediated the association between separation anxiety and morning cortisol in PI youth. Findings suggest that a) institutional care exerts divergent developmental consequences on separation anxiety versus WM, b) WM interacts with adversity-related emotion dysregulation, and c) WM may be a therapeutic target for separation anxiety following early caregiving adversity.

Keywords

emotion dysregulation; working memory; separation anxiety; early caregiving adversity; cortisol

Institutional caregiving (e.g., orphanage rearing) is an extreme example of caregiving neglect, broadly characterized by an absence of parenting (Ellis, Fisher, & Zaharie, 2004; Gunnar & Quevedo, 2007; Rutter, 1998). Even in the best of circumstances, this type of rearing is a potent stressor for the human infant. As a consequence of this early exposure, institutional caregiving, even when followed by adoption into families, is commonly associated with emotion dysregulation (both behavioral and physiological) difficulties (Ellis et al., 2004; Gee et al., 2013; Humphreys et al., in press; Koss, Hostinar, Donzella, & Gunnar, 2014; McLaughlin et al., 2015).

As described elsewhere (Gunnar, Bruce, & Grotevant, 2000; Merz & McCall, 2010; Rutter et al., 2007; Smyke et al., 2007), caregiving provided by institutions can include a range of privations, from inadequate nutrition, hygiene, and medical care, to inadequate cognitive stimulation, to unstable caregiving. These conditions exceed any normative range of expected caregiving (McLaughlin, Sheridan, & Nelson, 2017; Tottenham, 2012a), and therefore, act as a potent stressor on infant brain development (e.g., Gee et al., 2013; Gunnar, Frenn, Wewerka, & Van Ryzin, 2009; McLaughlin et al., 2015). Biological systems like the amygdala and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) are particularly sensitive to such stressors due in part to their early development (Gilmore et al., 2012; Humphrey, 1968; Payne, Machado, Bliwise, & Bachevalier, 2010; Ulfig, Setzer, & Bohl, 2003) and to an abundance of stress hormone receptors, which animal models have shown are already present in early postnatal life (Avishai-Eliner, Yi, & Baram, 1996; Fenoglio, Brunson, Avishai-Eliner, Chen, & Baram, 2004). Moreover, administration of stress hormone have been causally linked to amygdala hyperactivity in the developing rodent (Baram, Hirsch, Snead, & Schultz, 1992). Consistent with the hypothesis that institutional caregiving in infancy is a stressor that can modify amygdala structure and function, alterations to amygdala development have been observed in youth adopted internationally from institutional care (Gee et al., 2013; Hanson et al., 2015; Maheu et al., 2010; Mehta et al., 2009; Tottenham et al., 2011). Amygdala phenotypes following institutional care have been associated with a constellation of behaviors that contribute to emotion dysregulation, including atypical responding to environmental cues of potential threat (e.g., avoidance of eye-contact, Tottenham et al., 2011), enhanced visual attention for threat-related cues (Silvers et al., 2017), difficulty inhibiting behavior in the context of threat cues (Tottenham et al., 2010), and increased behavioral problems (Hanson et al., 2015).

Contemporary models of developmental psychopathology (e.g., Beauchaine & Zisner, 2017) posit that these latent indicators of subcortical vulnerabilities contribute to the transdiagnostic risk for mental health difficulties involving emotion dysregulation, including elevated anxiety symptoms (discussed in Beauchaine, 2015; Cole, Hall, & Hajal, 2013). Accordingly, increased trait anxiety symptoms have been observed following institutional care, which have correlated with alterations in subcortical structures including the amygdala (e.g., Gee et al., 2013; Green et al., 2016; Silvers et al., 2016). Of particular note is separation anxiety, a common outcome of caregiving instability and early stress exposure (Pine & Cohen, 2002; Rapee & Szollos, 2002), which although observed in childhood, can persist into adolescence (Gee et al., 2013; Green et al., 2016; Humphreys et al., 2015).

Despite this elevated risk for separation anxiety symptoms, there is notable heterogeneity in outcomes following institutional care (Gee et al., 2013; Green et al., 2016; Humphreys et al., 2015; Tottenham, 2012b). Consistent with the concept of developmental multifinality, adverse caregiving experiences are followed by large individual differences in mental health outcomes (Doom & Cicchetti, 2018). For instance, two adolescents with early institutional care experiences may exhibit very different developmental trajectories, and these differences have been linked to age of adoption (e.g., Castle et al., 1999; Fox, Almas, Degnan, Nelson, & Zeanah, 2011; Green et al., 2016; Loman, Wiik, Frenn, Pollak, & Gunnar, 2009), to genetic differences (Drury et al., 2011), and to post-adoption factors (e.g., Vantieghem et al., 2017). Indeed, individual differences have been noted across several types of caregiving adversities in the resilience literature (including maltreatment, parent psychopathology/substance abuse, and other wide-ranging early adversities) (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 1997; Martel et al., 2007; Masten, Best, & Garmezy, 1990; Masten & Tellegen, 2012), and this literature often highlights factors extrinsic to the child that promote resilience (e.g., presence of a supportive adult). However, mechanisms within the individual (i.e., intra-individual factors) have also been noted, and identifying these mechanisms remains an area of active research (e.g., Blair & Raver, 2016; Curtis & Cicchetti, 2007; Masten & Barnes, 2018; Raver, McCoy, Lowenstein, & Pess, 2013; Vantieghem et al., 2017).

Continuing this line of inquiry, the present study examined whether working memory (WM) acts as an intra-individual resilience factor to mitigate the link between early institutional caregiving and separation anxiety during late childhood and adolescence. Previous work has shown that WM mediates the link between early institutional care and attention deficit hyperactivity symptoms in 12-year-olds (Tibu et al., 2016). This effect of WM on cognitive functions is perhaps intuitive given its function to “temporarily store[...] information as part of the performance of complex tasks” (Baddeley, 1992). However, perhaps less intuitively, WM and other closely related functions (e.g., inhibitory control, attention control), have also been associated with affective symptoms and emotion regulation (Beauchaine & Thayer, 2015; Etkin & Schatzberg, 2011; Schmeichel & Demaree, 2010; Schmeichel, Volokhov, & Demaree, 2008), and have been causally linked with reducing anxiety symptoms (Hadwin & Richards, 2016; Sari, Koster, Pourtois, & Derakshan, 2016). Mechanistically, it has been argued that better WM translates into being more “adept at juggling multiple streams of information,” which then facilitates better management of emotional responding (Schmeichel & Demaree, 2010, pp. 742). In the current study, we investigated whether WM moderated the association between early institutional caregiving and separation anxiety symptoms during late childhood and adolescence. We used a modified version of an “object-in-place” task that requires memory of novel locations of four objects over an 8-second delay and subsequently reporting the location of a cued item. This type of task has been shown to rely on hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex in animal models of WM (Bachevalier & Nemanic, 2008; Barker & Warburton, 2015; Kim, Delcasso, & Lee, 2011). Of note, these regions have previously been associated with resilience against anxiety symptoms in PI samples (e.g., Gee et al., 2013; Silvers et al., 2016).

WM skills have also routinely been associated with individual differences in diurnal cortisol production; in particular, better WM skills have been associated with higher morning cortisol levels in adults and children (Erickson, Drevets, & Schulkin, 2003; Maldonado et

al., 2008). This association may be the result of the intimate neurohormonal relationship between cortisol and cortico-hippocampal circuits underlying WM skills (see Eichenbaum, 2000; Jay et al., 2004), which are rich with cortisol receptors and interact with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (Buchanan, Tranel, & Adolphs, 2006; Jay et al., 2004; Lupien & Lepage, 2001; Oei, Everaerd, Elzinga, van Well, & Bermond, 2006; Roozendaal, 2002). Morning cortisol levels have also been linked with anxiety symptoms, although the direction of effects has been mixed (O'Donovan et al., 2010; Vreeburg et al., 2010). Notably, diurnal cortisol production often exhibits atypical patterns (i.e., blunted morning cortisol) following institutional care when measured in young children (Gunnar & Quevedo, 2007). However, at older ages this pattern appears to alleviate for some PI youth in the transition to adolescence (Flannery et al., 2017; Quevedo, Johnson, Loman, Laffavor, & Gunnar, 2012). If there is an association between morning cortisol and WM, then individual differences in morning cortisol measured in late childhood and adolescence might be associated with better WM performance and lower separation anxiety.

The goal of the present study was to investigate individual differences in separation anxiety following early institutional care by examining whether working memory skills moderated the link between early care and separation anxiety. Additionally, we examined the associations between morning WM, separation anxiety, and cortisol during the late childhood/adolescent period, given the earlier findings that cortisol is linked with WM and may become more typical in adolescence for those that experienced early institutional caregiving.

Methods

Participants

One hundred and ten youth (mean age (SD) = 12.9 years old (2.2); range=10–17); 70F/40M) were drawn from a larger community-based study examining behavioral and neurobiological outcomes following early institutional care. Forty-two participants with a history of institutional caregiving (previously institutionalized; PI) who were later adopted into families and 68 participants with no history of institutional caregiving who had always lived with their parent(s) (comparison group) participated in the current study. Youth in the PI group were recruited via local international adoption agencies and family networks. Youth in the comparison group were recruited via flyer advertisements within the surrounding community or from state birth records. Youth in the comparison group were only included if parents indicated that they had no psychiatric, neurological, or learning disorder diagnosis. Estimated IQ was assessed with the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence Second Edition (WASI-II; Wechsler, 2011) (two subtest form), and there were no significant group differences in estimated IQ ($F(1,109) = 0.004$, $p = .95$, partial $\eta^2 = 10^{-5}$, PI mean (SD) = 105.79 (14.45); comparison mean (SD) = 104.53 (13.28). The mean age of placement in institutional care for PI participants was 9.49 months (median = 0.2; SD = 24.18; range=0–126) and the mean age of adoption was 32.86 months (median = 15; SD = 37.45; range = 2–168). PI youth were internationally adopted from East Asia (55%), Eastern Europe (38%), Central America (5%), and India (2%). The protocol was approved by the university

Institutional Review Board. Parents provided informed consent for youth participation, and youth provided written assent.

Materials and Procedure

Working Memory (WM).—WM was assessed behaviorally using a modified “object-in-place” task. Participants were tested individually in a private room in the laboratory on a single visit. Participants were instructed to memorize the locations of four white schematic objects (apple, backpack, envelope, and hat) on a black screen within a 2X2 grid for 6000 milliseconds (ms) (Figure 1). All 4 schematic images were presented simultaneously, and their locations changed for each new trial. These presentations were followed by a delay period of 3000 ms (central fixation on screen). After this delay period, there was an additional delay period for 2000 ms during which the question, “Where was the object?” together with an object (e.g., apple) were presented, followed by an additional delay period of 3000 ms (i.e., total delay = 8000 ms). Participants were then presented with a blank 2X2 grid, where they indicated (via keyboard press) the location of the specific object (e.g., apple) to the best of their ability. This response period was followed by an intertrial interval of 3000 ms. There was a total of 10 trials in the task. Accuracy was computed as the percent of correct trials.

Separation Anxiety.—Separation anxiety was assessed via parent report (SCARED-P; Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders – Parent Report) (Birmaher et al., 1999). This is a 41-item questionnaire designed for ages 8–18 years old, which has shown good internal consistency (e.g., Cronbach’s alpha for separation anxiety = .81) and test-retest validity (e.g., interclass-correlations range: .70-.90) (Birmaher, 1997; Wren et al., 2007). In the current sample, similar good internal consistency was observed for the separation anxiety subscale (Cronbach’s alpha = .83). We focused on the continuous scores from the separation anxiety subscale since previous research suggests a robust association between early institutional care and elevated separation anxiety scores (e.g., Gee et al., 2013).

Morning cortisol.—Although the current analyses focused on morning levels only, diurnal salivary cortisol was measured across two consecutive (or close to consecutive) days. (Note: Analyses of the full diurnal cycle is reported elsewhere (Flannery et al., 2017). Parents were asked to collect saliva across four different times of the day (wakeup, 45-minutes after wakeup, 5pm, and 8pm) for two days. Parents were provided with saliva collection kits with 4 tubes, cotton sticks, and a daily saliva diary. Participants were asked to chew on a piece of Trident® sugarless gum and then place a sorbette in their mouth to absorb saliva (Dabbs, 1991) (Salimetrics, State College, PA). Saliva samples were either mailed back in or brought in by families. Attempts were made to obtain home saliva samples as close to in-lab testing as possible (time difference mean (*SD*) = 47.5 (123.74) days; median = 13 days). Samples were stored in a locked freezer at –20°C until they were mailed over dried ice to Technical University Dresden to be processed by Dr. Clemens Kirschbaum’s Biological Psychology Laboratory. Salivary cortisol concentrations were measured in singlet using commercially available chemiluminescence-immunoassays with high sensitivity. The inter-assay coefficient for cortisol was below 8% (Kirschbaum & Hellhammer, 2000). Because several studies have shown that PI samples are likely to differ most in morning values of cortisol

(e.g., Flannery et al., 2017; Koss, Mliner, Donzella, & Gunnar, 2016) the current study focused on morning values; this was computed by taking the natural log of the mean of the morning collections (wakeup and wakeup+45 minutes) across the two days. No extreme observe values were found within this sample, therefore all data points were included.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS (version 24; SPSS Inc, USA), including the PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2018), and JASP (JASP, 2016). All analyses included the covariates of age and sex of participants. No data points were excluded in analyses; any missing data points are missing because the data were not able to be obtained. If data were missing for any variable, analyses including that variable dropped listwise.

Results

Descriptive Data.

WM scores were collected from 110 youth, and scores ranged from 30% to 100%. There were no group differences in WM accuracy scores ($F(1,110) = 0.03$, $p = .87$, partial $\eta^2 = .00$; PI mean (SD) = 82% (17%) and comparison mean (SD) = 84% (17%)) (see Figure 1). We followed this analysis with a Bayesian ANCOVA model in JASP to compute whether the data were more likely under the null versus the alternative hypothesis. The Bayes factor indicated that the data were 4.26 times more likely to be observed under the null hypothesis than they were under the alternative hypothesis. In other words, this analysis is consistent with there being no significant difference between groups in these WM scores. There was a main effect of age ($F(1,110) = 7.72$, $p < .01$, partial $\eta^2 = .07$), such that WM scores correlated positively with age. There were no other main effects or interactions for WM scores. Separation anxiety scores were obtained from 101 of these 110 youth. As anticipated based on the recruitment strategy of the comparison group, there were group differences in separation anxiety scores ($F(1,101) = 6.42$, $p < .015$, partial $\eta^2 = .06$), such that PI adolescents (mean (SD) = 4.03 (3.83)) had higher values than the comparison group (mean (SD) = 2.02 (2.73)). Sixty-four percent of the youth in the PI group were above the cutoff for clinical concern on the SCARED-P. There was also a main effect of age, ($F(1,101) = 11.00$, $p < .001$, partial $\eta^2 = .10$), such that younger participants had higher separation anxiety scores. There were no other main effects or interactions for separation anxiety scores. Morning levels of salivary cortisol were obtained from 80 of these 110 youth. There was a non-significant trend for group ($F(1,80) = 3.15$, $p = .08$, partial $\eta^2 = .04$), in the direction of the PI group having lower morning cortisol levels (PI mean (SD) = 2.76 nmol/L natural logged (0.40); comparison mean (SD) = 2.89 nmol/L natural logged (0.54)). There was a main effect of sex ($F(1,80) = 6.81$, $p < .015$, partial $\eta^2 = .08$) (female mean (SD) = 2.96 nmol/L (0.45); male mean (SD) = 2.68 nmol/L (0.51)). There were no other main effects or interactions.

Table 1 shows the bivariate correlations between WM scores, separation anxiety scores, and morning cortisol levels for each group. As shown, these three variables were significantly correlated within the PI group, but not in the comparison group.

Moderation Analysis: Working Memory.

To test whether WM moderated the association between caregiving group and separation anxiety scores, a PROCESS model (#1) in SPSS was used. Group (PI vs. Comparison) was entered as the independent variable, with WM as the moderator, and separation anxiety symptoms as the dependent variable, controlling for age and sex. The overall model was significant ($F(5,95) = 7.82, p < 10^{-4}, R^2 = 0.29$). Additionally, the GroupXWM interaction accounted for a significant proportion of the variance in separation anxiety scores ($R^2 = 0.05, F(1, 95) = 6.39, p < .015, \beta = -8.67, 95\% \text{ CI: } -15.48, -1.86$). As illustrated in Figure 2, post hoc linear regressions showed that higher WM scores were associated with lower separation anxiety scores in the PI group ($\beta = -0.41, t(39) = -2.89, p < .01, R^2 = 0.15$), but not in the comparison group ($\beta = -0.12, t(62) = -0.92, p = .36, R^2 = 0.01$). This analysis controlled for sex and age; sex did not account for any significant variance in separation anxiety ($\beta = 0.54, t(101) = 0.87, p = .38$), and as expected and described earlier, age was associated with separation anxiety scores ($\beta = -0.03, t(101) = -2.81, p < .01$).

Because WM could be associated with IQ, an additional analysis accounting for estimated IQ re-tested this moderation. First, a general linear model confirmed that WM and estimated IQ were highly associated with each other ($F(1, 109) = 25.72, p < 10^{-5}, \text{partial } \eta^2 = .20$). Therefore, the PROCESS moderation was repeated, but this time including estimated IQ as a covariate. Even when controlling for estimated IQ, the GroupXWM interaction remained significant ($R^2 = 0.05, F(1, 93) = 6.09, p < .015, \beta = -8.52, 95\% \text{ CI: } -15.37, -1.66$).

Associations with Morning Cortisol.

Since WM scores, separation anxiety scores, and morning cortisol levels were all correlated with each other in the PI group (see Table 1), but not in the comparison group, we tested a moderated mediation (PROCESS model #59) with morning cortisol as the independent variable, separation anxiety scores as the dependent variable, and WM scores as the mediator, controlling for age and sex. Caregiving group was treated as the moderator of the entire mediation. As shown in Figure 3, this analysis showed that there was a significant moderated mediation (index = $-1.48, SE(\text{boot}) = 1.08$), and the 95% confidence interval ($-4.91, -0.15$) did not include 0. This analysis indicated that the association between morning cortisol and separation anxiety scores was statistically mediated by WM in the PI youth.

Supplemental Analyses of WM & Separation Anxiety Scores.

Although our hypothesis predicted that WM would moderate the association between early caregiving and separation anxiety, the measures in this study were collected at (roughly) the same visit making it difficult to conclude directionality of effects; therefore, we also tested alternative models. We found that neither a) the reverse moderation (i.e., separation anxiety moderating the association between group and WM) (via PROCESS model #1 in SPSS; ($F(1,95)=1.19, p = .28, R^2 = 0.01$), nor b) the mediation model (i.e., WM as mediator of the association between group and separation anxiety)(via PROCESS model #4 in SPSS; point estimate = $0.09 [0.19]$, 95% confidence interval $[-0.17, 0.72]$) were significant. However, we did find evidence that separation anxiety scores indirectly mediated the

association between group and WM scores ($F(4, 96) = 4.52, p < .005$) (via PROCESS model #4 in SPSS), accounting for 16% of additional variance (controlling for age and sex). The 95% confidence interval for the indirect effect of separation anxiety was small, but did not contain zero (point estimate = -0.02 [0.02], 95% CI $[-.07, -.001]$). This finding could mean that although there was no group difference in WM scores (and thus no direct path between group and WM scores), separation anxiety symptoms partially accounted for some of the differences in WM scores in the PI youth.

Correlations with Caregiving Timing Variables.

We used separate linear regressions to test for associations between the primary variables in this study (i.e., WM, morning cortisol, separation anxiety scores) and institutional care timing variables (age placed in institutional care, age adopted, time in institutional care, time with family), controlling for current age and sex. There were only statistical trends for time in institutional care to be associated with WM (beta = $-0.31, t = -2.03, p = .051, R^2 = 0.09$) and separation anxiety scores (beta = $0.05, t = 1.78, p = .08, R^2 = 0.07$), such that longer stays in institutional care were associated with lower WM scores and higher separation anxiety scores, but these associations did not reach statistical significance. In contrast, when WM and time in institutional care were entered into the same regression with separation anxiety as the dependent variable, only WM was significantly associated with separation anxiety (beta = $-8.06, t = -2.41, p < .025$), whereas time in institutional care was not (beta = $0.026, t = 0.94, p = .35$). There were no other significant associations with caregiving timing variables (smallest $p > .3$).

Discussion

The neglect inherent to institutional caregiving is a potent stressor for the developing infant that significantly increases the risk for later mental health problems. However, the concept of multifinality predicts large individual differences in outcome. The first aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that during late childhood/adolescence, WM would moderate the association between early adverse caregiving (i.e., institutional care) and separation anxiety scores. Support was found for this hypothesis, such that PI youth with higher WM scores had lower separation anxiety scores than other PI youth. That is, although the average separation anxiety score was high for youth with a history of early institutional care, there were significant individual differences (i.e., multifinality), and these individual differences in separation anxiety scores were explained by WM. The current findings raise the possibility that WM is an intra-individual resilience factor that protects against separation anxiety following caregiving adversity.

Contemporary models of developmental psychopathology have argued that cortically-mediated processes, including WM, are part of the latent structure that contribute to individual differences in emotion regulation (e.g., Beauchaine & Zisner, 2017). These processes are described as exerting “top-down” regulatory influences on affect-related subcortical systems. There is much empirical support for this model from neuroimaging studies in humans as well as non-human animal studies (e.g., Etkin et al., 2010; Lieberman et al., 2007; Mitchell et al., 2007; Ochsner et al., 2004; Quirk & Beer, 2006). Although

neuroimaging was not collected during the WM task in the current paper, previous work has shown that prefrontal-amygdala functional connectivity is associated with reduced separation anxiety in PI youth (Gee et al., 2013). Taken together with these past findings, the behavioral findings of the current study are consistent with models of emotion regulation that emphasize interactions between multiple levels (e.g., cortical and subcortical) of brain development (e.g., Beauchaine & Zisner, 2017).

We also examined WM and separation anxiety associations with morning cortisol since WM and mental health have both independently been associated with higher morning cortisol in previous studies (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2007; Maldonado et al., 2008). In the present study, morning cortisol levels, separation anxiety scores, and WM were correlated with each other only in the PI sample. A test of moderated mediation showed that for youth with a history of institutional care, higher morning cortisol levels were associated with higher WM scores, and both were associated with lower separation anxiety scores. The sample size in this study is small for testing complex interactions, and therefore, this full model should be considered with caution. However, the correlations between cortisol, WM scores, and separation anxiety that only existed in the PI group motivated our testing the full model. Although blunted morning cortisol levels have been repeatedly observed in young children with a PI history, adolescents with a history of PI have exhibited more normative morning cortisol levels (Flannery et al., 2017; Gunnar & Quevedo, 2007), which were associated with more time living with adoptive families (Flannery et al., 2017). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that the developing HPA axis is amenable to the ameliorative effects of subsequent stable family environments (Flannery et al., 2017; O'Connor, Rutter, Beckett, Keaveney, & Kreppner, 2000).

In the current study, we did not observe any obvious deficits in WM associated with PI history, as both comparison and PI youth performed with high accuracy (~83%). On the surface, this finding deviates from previous work identifying poor working memory following institutional care (Bick, Zeanah, Fox, & Nelson, 2018; Hostinar, Stellern, Schaefer, Carlson, & Gunnar; Tibu et al., 2016). There are several possible reasons for this difference. The task used in the current study may require less WM than those used in previous studies, which would obfuscate any potential group differences. However, even though potentially an easier task, individual differences in performance nonetheless did show associations with individual differences in separation anxiety scores in the PI group. Another important difference may be related to participant characteristics. The estimated IQ in the current sample of youth with a history of institutional care was higher than those in previous reports. This difference is important since previous work has shown that controlling for IQ removes group differences (PI versus comparison) in adolescent WM (Bick et al., 2018). Indeed, in the current sample, estimated IQ was correlated with WM scores. However, WM continued to moderate the link between early caregiving group and separation anxiety even when controlling for IQ, indicating that the WM measured in the current study made a unique contribution to separation anxiety over and above IQ. Interestingly, a previous study that controlled for IQ nonetheless identified differences following institutional care in an executive function battery, which included a WM task (Hostinar et al.). However, this assessment occurred during the preschool period only 1 year following adoption into families. Therefore, it is possible that alterations in WM due to

institutional care might exhibit recovery over time as children grow up with their adoptive families. Additionally, it is unlikely that WM was simply an index of general proxy for better mental health since we did not find group differences, and the test of reverse moderation (i.e., separation anxiety moderating the link between institutional care and WM) was not significant. In the context of the current findings, it is possible that stable post-adoption family environments might also benefit WM and separation anxiety performance, perhaps through improving HPA axis activity. This subsequent exposure to stable caregiving may have particular benefits for the relatively late developing neurobiology that underlies WM skills (Kwon, Reiss, & Menon, 2002; von Allmen, Wurmitzer, & Klaver, 2014).

WM mediated the link between morning cortisol and separation anxiety, but this entire path was moderated by caregiving group. In other words, the associations between WM, cortisol, and separation anxiety only existed for the PI youth. One explanation for this observed moderation might be that the comparison group had levels of separation anxiety that were too low, and therefore lacked enough variance to reveal any within group associations, whereas the PI group had separation scores that were more likely to be in the clinical concern range. Another possibility is that these processes are supported by different neurobiological mechanisms in the PI versus comparison youth (i.e., a ‘behavioral phenocopy’)(Church, Petersen, & Schlaggar, 2010). There is precedent for this idea; it has been shown that despite similar behavioral performance, youth with a history of institutional care (versus those without this PI history) can engage different neurobiology (Silvers et al., 2016). Notably, these neurobiological regions identified in the Silvers et al., study included the hippocampus and mPFC, which have been shown to be involved in the ‘object-in-place’ type of WM task used in this study (Bachevalier & Nemanic, 2008; Barker & Warburton, 2015).

The present results should be considered within the context of its limitations. First, there is little information about preadoption experiences of the participants. While this is a common challenge in samples that include individuals with a PI history, it should be considered in balance with the scientific advantage of being able to examine development following a highly significant and temporally-bound form of early caregiving adversity. Additionally, there was a trend for WM scores to be negatively associated with time in institutional care, consistent with findings that institutional care is causally associated with WM (Bick et al., 2018). Youth in the comparison group were enrolled if their parent indicated no history of psychiatric or neurologic illness. This comparison group (note, not a “control” group) was selected to provide information about WM behavior in the absence of illness, but this enrollment strategy also limits our ability to generalize findings from this “very healthy” comparison group and can exaggerate group differences (although note that the PI youths’ scores were still very high relative to expected norms). Therefore, future tests of replication should include a comparison sample that is more representative of the general population (i.e., including samples with psychopathology). Lastly, the data presented in this paper were obtained at a single time point, which tempers any conclusions about directionality of effects. Indeed, our analyses showed that an alternative model also was significantly significant, whereby separation anxiety mediated the association between early caregiving group and WM. If this mediation were replicated in a longitudinal design, the findings would be consistent with the hypothesis that early alterations to affective processes can

produce cascading effects on later developing cortical regions (see Tottenham & Gabard-Durnam, 2017).

Results from this study demonstrate that PI youth with better WM had decreased levels of separation anxiety and higher morning cortisol levels, suggesting a potential therapeutic target for youth struggling with adversity-related mental health challenges. Although this conclusion requires additional confirmation, these findings highlight individual differences that contribute to multifinal outcomes following early adverse caregiving. Overall these results provide additional evidence of intra-individual factors during late childhood/adolescence that can mitigate the link between early caregiving adversity and mental health outcomes.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by in part by grant number R01MH091864 from the National Institute of Mental Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of funding agencies.

References

- Avishai-Eliner S, Yi SJ, & Baram TZ (1996). Developmental profile of messenger RNA for the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor in the rat limbic system. *Developmental Brain Research*, 91(2), 159–163. doi:0165380695001581 [pii] [PubMed: 8852365]
- Bachevalier J, & Nemanic S (2008). Memory for spatial location and object-place associations are differently processed by the hippocampal formation, parahippocampal areas TH/TF and perirhinal cortex. *Hippocampus*, 18(1), 64–80. doi:10.1002/hipo.20369 [PubMed: 17924520]
- Baddeley A (1992). Working memory. *Science*, 255(5044), 556–559. [PubMed: 1736359]
- Baram TZ, Hirsch E, Snead OC 3rd, & Schultz L (1992). Corticotropin-releasing hormone-induced seizures in infant rats originate in the amygdala. *Annals of Neurology*, 31(5), 488–494. doi:10.1002/ana.410310505 [PubMed: 1596084]
- Barker GR, & Warburton EC (2015). Object-in-place associative recognition memory depends on glutamate receptor neurotransmission within two defined hippocampal-cortical circuits: a critical role for AMPA and NMDA receptors in the hippocampus, perirhinal, and prefrontal cortices. *Cerebral Cortex*, 25(2), 472–481. doi:10.1093/cercor/bht245 [PubMed: 24035904]
- Beauchaine TP (2015). Future Directions in Emotion Dysregulation and Youth Psychopathology. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 44(5), 875–896. doi: 10.1080/15374416.2015.1038827 [PubMed: 26016727]
- Beauchaine TP, & Thayer JF (2015). Heart rate variability as a transdiagnostic biomarker of psychopathology. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 98(2 Pt 2), 338–350. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2015.08.004 [PubMed: 26272488]
- Beauchaine TP, & Zisner A (2017). Motivation, emotion regulation, and the latent structure of psychopathology: An integrative and convergent historical perspective. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 119, 108–118. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2016.12.014 [PubMed: 28057475]
- Bick J, Zeanah CH, Fox NA, & Nelson CA (2018). Memory and Executive Functioning in 12-Year-Old Children With a History of Institutional Rearing. *Child Development*, 89(2), 495–508. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12952 [PubMed: 28898388]
- Birmaher B (1997). The screen for child anxiety related emotional disorders (SCARED): scale construction and psychometric characteristics. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36, 545. [PubMed: 9100430]
- Birmaher B, Brent DA, Chiappetta L, Bridge J, Monga S, & Baugher M (1999). Psychometric properties of the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED): a replication study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38(10), 1230–1236. [PubMed: 10517055]

- Blair C, & Raver CC (2016). Poverty, Stress, and Brain Development: New Directions for Prevention and Intervention. *Academic Pediatrics*, 16(3 Suppl), S30–36. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2016.01.010 [PubMed: 27044699]
- Buchanan TW, Tranel D, & Adolphs R (2006). Impaired memory retrieval correlates with individual differences in cortisol response but not autonomic response. *Learning and Memory*, 13(3), 382–387. doi:10.1101/lm.206306 [PubMed: 16741288]
- Castle J, Groothues C, Bredenkamp D, Beckett C, O'Connor T, & Rutter M (1999). Effects of qualities of early institutional care on cognitive attainment. E.R.A. Study Team. English and Romanian Adoptees. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 69(4), 424–437. [PubMed: 10553454]
- Church JA, Petersen SE, & Schlaggar BL (2010). The “Task B problem” and other considerations in developmental functional neuroimaging. *Human Brain Mapping*, 31(6), 852–862. doi:10.1002/hbm.21036 [PubMed: 20496376]
- Cicchetti D, & Rogosch FA (1997). The role of self-organization in the promotion of resilience in maltreated children. *Development and Psychopathology*, 9(4), 797–815. [PubMed: 9449006]
- Cicchetti D, & Rogosch FA (2007). Personality, adrenal steroid hormones, and resilience in maltreated children: a multilevel perspective. *Development and Psychopathology*, 19(3), 787–809. doi: 10.1017/S0954579407000399 [PubMed: 17705903]
- Cole PM, Hall SE, & Hajal NJ (2013). Emotion dysregulation as a risk factor for psychopathology. In Beauchaine TP & Hinshaw SP (Eds.), *Child and Adolescent Psychopathology* (2 ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Curtis WJ, & Cicchetti D (2007). Emotion and resilience: a multilevel investigation of hemispheric electroencephalogram asymmetry and emotion regulation in maltreated and nonmaltreated children. *Development and Psychopathology*, 19(3), 811–840. doi:10.1017/S0954579407000405 [PubMed: 17705904]
- Dabbs JM Jr. (1991). Salivary testosterone measurements: collecting, storing, and mailing saliva samples. *Physiology and Behavior*, 49(4), 815–817. [PubMed: 1881989]
- Doom JR, & Cicchetti D (2018). The developmental psychopathology of stress exposure in childhood. In Harkness K & Hayden EP (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Stress and Mental Health* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Drury SS, Gleason MM, Theall KP, Smyke AT, Nelson CA, Fox NA, & Zeanah CH (2011). Genetic sensitivity to the caregiving context: The influence of 5httlpr and BDNF val66met on indiscriminate social behavior. *Physiology and Behavior* doi:S0031-9384(11)00534-8 [pii] 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.11.014
- Eichenbaum H (2000). A cortical-hippocampal system for declarative memory. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 1(1), 41–50. doi:10.1038/35036213 [PubMed: 11252767]
- Ellis BH, Fisher PA, & Zaharie S (2004). Predictors of disruptive behavior, developmental delays, anxiety, and affective symptomatology among institutionally reared romanian children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 43(10), 1283–1292. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000136562.24085.160 [PubMed: 15381896]
- Erickson K, Drevets W, & Schulkin J (2003). Glucocorticoid regulation of diverse cognitive functions in normal and pathological emotional states. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 27(3), 233–246. [PubMed: 12788335]
- Etkin A, Prater KE, Hoefl F, Menon V, and Schatzberg AF (2010). Failure of Anterior Cingulate Activation and Connectivity With the Amygdala During Implicit Regulation of Emotional Processing in Generalized Anxiety Disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 545–554. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09070931 [PubMed: 20123913]
- Etkin A, & Schatzberg AF (2011). Common abnormalities and disorder-specific compensation during implicit regulation of emotional processing in generalized anxiety and major depressive disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 168(9), 968–978. doi:appi.ajp.2011.10091290 [pii] 10.1176/appi.ajp.2011.10091290 [PubMed: 21632648]
- Fenoglio KA, Brunson KL, Avishai-Eliner S, Chen Y, & Baram TZ (2004). Region-specific onset of handling-induced changes in corticotropin-releasing factor and glucocorticoid receptor expression. *Endocrinology*, 145(6), 2702–2706. doi:10.1210/en.2004-0111 en.2004-0111 [pii] [PubMed: 15044366]

- Flannery JE, Gabard-Durnam LJ, Shapiro M, Goff B, Caldera C, Louie J, ... Tottenham N (2017). Diurnal cortisol after early institutional care-Age matters. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2017.03.006
- Fox NA, Almas AN, Degnan KA, Nelson CA, & Zeanah CH (2011). The effects of severe psychosocial deprivation and foster care intervention on cognitive development at 8 years of age: findings from the Bucharest Early Intervention Project. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 52(9), 919–928. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02355.x [PubMed: 21244422]
- Gee DG, Gabard-Durnam LJ, Flannery J, Goff B, Humphreys KL, Telzer EH, ... Tottenham N (2013). Early developmental emergence of human amygdala-prefrontal connectivity after maternal deprivation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U S A*, 110(39), 15638–15643. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1307893110
- Gilmore JH, Shi F, Woolson SL, Knickmeyer RC, Short SJ, Lin W, ... Shen D (2012). Longitudinal development of cortical and subcortical gray matter from birth to 2 years. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22(11), 2478–2485. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhr327 [PubMed: 22109543]
- Green SA, Goff B, Gee DG, Gabard-Durnam L, Flannery J, Telzer EH, ... Tottenham N (2016). Discrimination of amygdala response predicts future separation anxiety in youth with early deprivation. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 57(10), 1135–1144. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12578 [PubMed: 27260337]
- Gunnar MR, Bruce J, & Grotevant HD (2000). International adoption of institutionally reared children: research and policy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 12(4), 677–693. [PubMed: 11202039]
- Gunnar MR, Frenn K, Wewerka SS, & Van Ryzin MJ (2009). Moderate versus severe early life stress: associations with stress reactivity and regulation in 10–12-year-old children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 34(1), 62–75. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.08.013 [PubMed: 18835102]
- Gunnar MR, & Quevedo K (2007). The neurobiology of stress and development. *Annual Reviews of Psychology*, 58, 145–173. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085605
- Hadwin JA, & Richards HJ (2016). Working Memory Training and CBT Reduces Anxiety Symptoms and Attentional Biases to Threat: A Preliminary Study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 47. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00047 [PubMed: 26869956]
- Hanson JL, Nacewicz BM, Sutterer MJ, Cayo AA, Schaefer SM, Rudolph KD, ... Davidson RJ (2015). Behavioral problems after early life stress: contributions of the hippocampus and amygdala. *Biological Psychiatry*, 77(4), 314–323. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.04.020 [PubMed: 24993057]
- Hayes AF (2018). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (2nd Edition). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Hostinar CE, Stellern SA, Schaefer C, Carlson SM, & Gunnar MR (2012). Associations between early life adversity and executive function in children adopted internationally from orphanages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U S A*, 109 Suppl 2, 17208–17212. doi: 1121246109 [pii] 10.1073/pnas.1121246109
- Humphrey T (1968). The development of the human amygdala during early embryonic life. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 132(1), 135–165. [PubMed: 5732427]
- Humphreys KL, Gabard-Durnam L, Goff B, Telzer EH, Flannery J, Gee DG, ... Tottenham N (in press). Friendship and social functioning following early institutional rearing: The role of ADHD symptoms. *Development and Psychopathology* doi:10.1017/S0954579418001050
- Humphreys KL, Gleason MM, Drury SS, Miron D, Nelson CA 3rd, Fox NA, & Zeanah CH (2015). Effects of institutional rearing and foster care on psychopathology at age 12 years in Romania: follow-up of an open, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*, 2(7), 625–634. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00095-4 [PubMed: 26303560]
- JASP. (2016). Retrieved from <https://jasp-stats.org/>.
- Jay TM, Rocher C, Hotte M, Naudon L, Gurden H, & Spedding M (2004). Plasticity at hippocampal to prefrontal cortex synapses is impaired by loss of dopamine and stress: importance for psychiatric diseases. *Neurotoxicity Research*, 6(3), 233–244. [PubMed: 15325962]

- Kim J, Delcasso S, & Lee I (2011). Neural correlates of object-in-place learning in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(47), 16991–17006. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2859-11.2011 [PubMed: 22114269]
- Kirschbaum C, & Hellhammer DH (2000). Salivary cortisol. *Encyclopedia of Stress*, 3, 379–383.
- Koss KJ, Hostinar CE, Donzella B, & Gunnar MR (2014). Social deprivation and the HPA axis in early development. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 50, 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.07.028 [PubMed: 25150507]
- Koss KJ, Mliner SB, Donzella B, & Gunnar MR (2016). Early adversity, hypocortisolism, and behavior problems at school entry: A study of internationally adopted children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 66, 31–38. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.12.018 [PubMed: 26773398]
- Kwon H, Reiss AL, & Menon V (2002). Neural basis of protracted developmental changes in visuo-spatial working memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U S A*, 99(20), 13336–13341. doi:10.1073/pnas.162486399
- Lieberman MD, Eisenberger NI, Crockett MJ, Tom SM, Pfeifer JH, and Way BM (2007). Putting feelings into words: affect labeling disrupts amygdala activity in response to affective stimuli. *Psychological Science*, 18, 421–428. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01916.x [PubMed: 17576282]
- Loman MM, Wiik KL, Frenn KA, Pollak SD, & Gunnar MR (2009). Postinstitutionalized children's development: growth, cognitive, and language outcomes. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 30(5), 426–434. doi:10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181b1fd08 [PubMed: 19692931]
- Lupien SJ, & Lepage M (2001). Stress, memory, and the hippocampus: can't live with it, can't live without it. *Behavioral Brain Research*, 127(1–2), 137–158.
- Maheu FS, Dozier M, Guyer AE, Mandell D, Peloso E, Poeth K, ... Ernst M (2010). A preliminary study of medial temporal lobe function in youths with a history of caregiver deprivation and emotional neglect. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 10(1), 34–49. doi:10.3758/CABN.10.1.34
- Maldonado EF, Fernandez FJ, Trianes MV, Wesnes K, Petrini O, Zangara A, ... Ambrosetti L (2008). Cognitive performance and morning levels of salivary cortisol and alpha-amylase in children reporting high vs. low daily stress perception. *Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 11(1), 3–15. [PubMed: 18630643]
- Martel MM, Nigg JT, Wong MM, Fitzgerald HE, Jester JM, Puttler LI, ... Zucker RA (2007). Childhood and adolescent resiliency, regulation, and executive functioning in relation to adolescent problems and competence in a high-risk sample. *Development and Psychopathology*, 19(2), 541–563. doi:10.1017/S0954579407070265 [PubMed: 17459183]
- Masten A, Best KM, & Garmezy N (1990). Resilience and development: Contributions from the study of children who overcome adversity. *Development and Psychopathology*, 2(4), 425–444.
- Masten AS, & Barnes AJ (2018). Resilience in Children: Developmental Perspectives. *Children*, 5(7), 98. doi:10.3390/children5070098
- Masten AS, & Tellegen A (2012). Resilience in developmental psychopathology: contributions of the Project Competence Longitudinal Study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24(2), 345–361. doi: 10.1017/S095457941200003X [PubMed: 22559118]
- McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, & Nelson CA (2017). Neglect as a Violation of Species-Expectant Experience: Neurodevelopmental Consequences. *Biological Psychiatry*, 82(7), 462–471. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.02.1096 [PubMed: 28392082]
- McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, Tibu F, Fox NA, Zeanah CH, & Nelson CA 3rd. (2015). Causal effects of the early caregiving environment on development of stress response systems in children. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U S A*, 112(18), 5637–5642. doi:10.1073/pnas.1423363112
- Mehta MA, Golembo NI, Nosarti C, Colvert E, Mota A, Williams SC, ... Sonuga-Barke EJ (2009). Amygdala, hippocampal and corpus callosum size following severe early institutional deprivation: the English and Romanian Adoptees study pilot. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*, 50(8), 943–951. doi:JCPP2084 [pii] 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02084.x [PubMed: 19457047]

- Merz EC, & McCall RB (2010). Behavior problems in children adopted from psychosocially depriving institutions. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 38(4), 459–470. doi:10.1007/s10802-009-9383-4 [PubMed: 20084451]
- Mitchell DGV, Nakic M, Fridberg D, Kamel N, Pine DS, and Blair RJR (2007). The impact of processing load on emotion. *NeuroImage*, 34, 1299–1309. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.10.012 [PubMed: 17161627]
- O'Connor TG, Rutter M, Beckett C, Keaveney L, & Kreppner JM (2000). The effects of global severe privation on cognitive competence: extension and longitudinal follow-up. English and Romanian Adoptees Study Team. *Child Development*, 71(2), 376–390. [PubMed: 10834471]
- O'Donovan A, Hughes BM, Slavich GM, Lynch L, Cronin MT, O'Farrelly C, & Malone KM (2010). Clinical anxiety, cortisol and interleukin-6: evidence for specificity in emotion-biology relationships. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 24(7), 1074–1077. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2010.03.003
- Ochsner KN, Bunge SA, Gross JJ, and Gabrieli JDE (2002). Rethinking feelings: an fMRI study of the cognitive regulation of emotion. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 14, 1215–1229. doi: 10.1162/089892902760807212 [PubMed: 12495527]
- Oei NY, Everaerd WT, Elzinga BM, van Well S, & Bermond B (2006). Psychosocial stress impairs working memory at high loads: an association with cortisol levels and memory retrieval. *Stress*, 9(3), 133–141. doi:10.1080/10253890600965773 [PubMed: 17035163]
- Payne C, Machado CJ, Bliwise NG, & Bachevalier J (2010). Maturation of the hippocampal formation and amygdala in *Macaca mulatta*: a volumetric magnetic resonance imaging study. *Hippocampus*, 20(8), 922–935. doi:10.1002/hipo.20688 [PubMed: 19739247]
- Pine DS, & Cohen JA (2002). Trauma in children and adolescents: risk and treatment of psychiatric sequelae. *Biological Psychiatry*, 51(7), 519–531. [PubMed: 11950454]
- Quevedo K, Johnson A, Loman M, Lafavor T, & Gunnar M (2012). The Confluence of Adverse Early Experience and Puberty on the Cortisol Awakening Response. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 36(1), 19–28. doi:10.1177/0165025411406860 [PubMed: 22383860]
- Quirk GJ, & Beer JS (2006). Prefrontal involvement in the regulation of emotion: convergence of rat and human studies. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 16(6), 723–727. DOI: 10.1016/j.conb.2006.07.004 [PubMed: 17084617]
- Rapee RM, & Szollos AA (2002). Developmental antecedents of clinical anxiety in childhood. *Behavior Change*, 19(3), 146–157.
- Raver C, McCoy DC, Lowenstein AE, & Pess R (2013). Predicting individual differences in low-income children's executive control from early to middle childhood. *Developmental Science*, 16(3), 394–408. doi:10.1111/desc.12027 [PubMed: 23587038]
- Roosendaal B (2002). Stress and memory: opposing effects of glucocorticoids on memory consolidation and memory retrieval. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 78(3), 578–595. [PubMed: 12559837]
- Rutter M (1998). Developmental catch-up, and deficit, following adoption after severe global early privation. English and Romanian Adoptees (ERA) Study Team. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 39(4), 465–476.
- Rutter M, Beckett C, Castle J, Colvert E, Kreppner J, Mehta M, ... Sonuga-Barke E (2007). Effects of profound early institutional deprivation: An overview of findings from a UK longitudinal study of Romanian adoptees. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 4(3), 332–350.
- Sari BA, Koster EH, Pourtois G, & Derakshan N (2016). Training working memory to improve attentional control in anxiety: A proof-of-principle study using behavioral and electrophysiological measures. *Biological Psychology*, 121(Pt B), 203–212. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.09.008 [PubMed: 26407521]
- Schmeichel BJ, & Demaree HA (2010). Working memory capacity and spontaneous emotion regulation: high capacity predicts self-enhancement in response to negative feedback. *Emotion*, 10(5), 739–744. doi:10.1037/a0019355 [PubMed: 21038959]
- Schmeichel BJ, Volokhov RN, & Demaree HA (2008). Working memory capacity and the self-regulation of emotional expression and experience. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95(6), 1526–1540. doi:10.1037/a0013345 [PubMed: 19025300]

- Silvers JA, Goff B, Gabard-Durnam LJ, Gee DG, Fareri DS, Caldera C, & Tottenham N (2017). Vigilance, the Amygdala, and Anxiety in Youths with a History of Institutional Care. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 2(6), 493–501. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.03.016 [PubMed: 28993819]
- Silvers JA, Lumian DS, Gabard-Durnam L, Gee DG, Goff B, Fareri DS, ... Tottenham N (2016). Previous Institutionalization Is Followed by Broader Amygdala-Hippocampal-PFC Network Connectivity during Aversive Learning in Human Development. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(24), 6420–6430. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0038-16.2016 [PubMed: 27307231]
- Smyke AT, Koga SF, Johnson DE, Fox NA, Marshall PJ, Nelson CA, & Zeanah CH (2007). The caregiving context in institution-reared and family-reared infants and toddlers in Romania. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 48(2), 210–218. doi:JCPP1694 [pii] 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01694.x [PubMed: 17300560]
- Tibu F, Sheridan MA, McLaughlin KA, Nelson CA, Fox NA, & Zeanah CH (2016). Disruptions of working memory and inhibition mediate the association between exposure to institutionalization and symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(3), 529–541. doi:10.1017/S0033291715002020 [PubMed: 26470598]
- Tottenham N (2012a). Human amygdala development in the absence of species-expected caregiving. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 54(6), 598–611. doi:10.1002/dev.20531 [PubMed: 22714586]
- Tottenham N (2012b). Risk and developmental heterogeneity in previously institutionalized children. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 51(2 Suppl), S29–33. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.04.004 [PubMed: 22794530]
- Tottenham N, & Gabard-Durnam LJ (2017). The developing amygdala: a student of the world and a teacher of the cortex. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 17, 55–60. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.06.012 [PubMed: 28950973]
- Tottenham N, Hare TA, Millner A, Gilhooly T, Zevin JD, & Casey BJ (2011). Elevated Amygdala Response to Faces Following Early Deprivation. *Developmental Science*, 14(2), 190–204. [PubMed: 21399712]
- Tottenham N, Hare TA, Quinn BT, McCarry T, Nurse M, Gilhooly T, ... Casey BJ (2010). Prolonged institutional rearing is associated with atypically large amygdala volume and emotion regulation difficulties. *Developmental Science*, 13(1), 46–61. [PubMed: 20121862]
- Ulfing N, Setzer M, & Bohl J (2003). Ontogeny of the human amygdala. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 985, 22–33. [PubMed: 12724145]
- Vantighem MR, Gabard-Durnam L, Goff B, Flannery J, Humphreys KL, Telzer EH, ... Tottenham N (2017). Positive valence bias and parent-child relationship security moderate the association between early institutional caregiving and internalizing symptoms. *Development and Psychopathology*, 29(2), 519–533. doi:10.1017/S0954579417000153 [PubMed: 28401841]
- von Allmen DY, Wurmitzer K, & Klaver P (2014). Hippocampal and posterior parietal contributions to developmental increases in visual short-term memory capacity. *Cortex*, 59, 95–102. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2014.07.010 [PubMed: 25151641]
- Vreeburg SA, Zitman FG, van Pelt J, Derijk RH, Verhagen JC, van Dyck R, ... Penninx BW (2010). Salivary cortisol levels in persons with and without different anxiety disorders. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 72(4), 340–347. doi:10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181d2f0c8 [PubMed: 20190128]
- Wren FJ, Berg EA, Heiden LA, Kinnamon CJ, Ohlson LA, Bridge JA, ... Bernal MP (2007). Childhood anxiety in a diverse primary care population: parent-child reports, ethnicity and SCARED factor structure. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 46(3), 332–340. doi:10.1097/chi.0b013e31802f1267 [PubMed: 17314719]

Figure 1.

A) Illustration of Working Memory Task. B) Group means on the working memory task. Circles are individual participants. Note: PI=previous institutional care.

Figure 2.
Interaction between group and working memory scores on separation anxiety scores.
Residual scores are plotted, controlling for age and sex. Note: PI=previous institutional care.

Conditional direct effect(s) of cortisol on separation anxiety symptoms for each group:

	Effect	SE	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i> -value	95% CI: (Lower, Upper Bounds)
Comparison	1.21	0.84	1.45	.15	(-0.46, 2.88)
Previous Institutional Care	-2.42	1.48	-1.64	.11	(-5.37, 0.53)

Conditional indirect effect(s):

	Effect	Boot SE	Boot 95% CI: (Lower, Upper Bounds)
Lower Working Memory	-0.01	0.15	(-0.44, 0.16)
Higher Working Memory	-1.49	1.07	(-4.78, -0.15)

Index of moderated mediation:

	Index	Boot SE	Boot 95% CI: (Lower, Upper Bounds)
Lower Working Memory	-1.48	1.08	(-4.91, -0.15)

Figure 3.

Moderated mediation. For PI youth, the association between morning cortisol and separation anxiety scores was mediated by working memory scores. Note: PI=previous institutional care.

Table 1.

Within-group Pearson Correlation Matrices for Study Variables.

A) Comparison Youth			
	1.	2.	3.
1. Working Memory	1		
2. Separation Anxiety	-.179	1	
3. Morning Cortisol	.111	.235	1
B) Previously-Institutionalized Youth			
	1.	2.	3.
1. Working Memory	1		
2. Separation Anxiety	-.510 ^{***}	1	
3. Morning Cortisol	.396 [*]	-.404 [*]	1

Note.

^{*}
 $p < .05$.^{***}
 $p < .001$.