SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE

Effects of Cemented Hip Stem Pre-heating on Stem Push-out Strength

Vajara Wilairatana, MD¹, Chatchawan Pirot, MD², Noppachart Limpaphayom, MD¹

¹Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok and ²Department of Orthopaedics, Hatyai Hospital, Songkla, Thailand

Objective: To determine the effect on ultimate push-out load and cement–stem surface shear strength of thermally manipulating the cobalt-chromium-molybdenum (CoCrMo) alloy stems of bone cement–stem constructs.

Methods: Satin-finished CoCrMo alloy stems were allocated to the following three groups with the predetermined temperatures: T24, ambient (24 °C); T37, body (37 °C); and T44, pre-heated stem (>44 °C). They were then inserted into hand-mixed high viscosity bone cement. Ultimate push-out load to failure was assessed with a servo hydraulic testing machine and the surface shear strength calculated. Data were compared among groups using the Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn's test. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: According to Kruskal–Wallis analysis, ultimate push-out load and surface shear strength differed significantly between the groups (*P* = 0.001). The T37 and T44 groups had higher ultimate push-out loads and surface shear strengths than the T24 group (*P* = 0.04 and 0.001, respectively). However, there was no statistically significant difference in these two variables between the T37 and T44 groups (*P* = 0.08).

Conclusions: Pre-heating CoCrMo alloy stems enhance the ultimate push-out load and surface shear strength *in vitro*. The suggested temperature is 37 °C. This technique is recommended for hip arthroplasty procedures.

Key words: Cobalt-Chromium-Molybdenum alloy; Hip arthroplasty; Pre-heated femoral stem; Push-out test; Surface shear strength

Introduction

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) using cemented femoral component is widely considered the preferred option for a variety of hip pathologies^{1–4}. Nonetheless, aseptic loosening continues to be a major long-term challenge^{5,6}. Micro motion of the stem or debonding of the cement–stem interface results in significantly increased stress in the bone cement mantle, leading to bone cement mantle failure⁷. Several procedures, such as increasing the roughness of the stem surface⁸, pre-coating the stem with polymethyl methacrylate, improved the cementing technique⁹ and thermal manipulation of the stem or bone cement¹⁰, have been recommended for improving the surface shear strength at the cement–stem interface.

However, some techniques have inadvertently increased bone cement debris, leading to an unacceptable rate of early stem failure^{11–13}.

Reduction of porosity of the bone cement at the cement–stem interface has been confirmed to improve the fatigue life of the bone cement mantle¹⁴. Modern bone cement handling techniques have improved the mechanical properties of the bone cement mantle; however, those techniques do not reduce the porosity at the cement–stem interface^{9,15,16}. Thermal manipulation (heating) of the stem, first intended to accelerate bone cement polymerization and thus reduce operative time¹⁷, has been found to reduce the porosity at the cement–stem interface⁹ and improve the surface shear strength¹⁰.

Address for correspondence Noppachart Limpaphayom, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Pathumwan, Bangkok, Thailand 10330 Tel: 0066-2-2564230; Fax: 0066-2-2564625; Email: Noppachart.L@chula.ac.th

Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

Received 31 March 2015; accepted 29 June 2015

Fig. 1 Diagrammatic representation of the experimental set up of Cement-Cobalt-chromium-molybdenum alloy stem construct in a temperature controlled (37 °C) thermocycling unit.

Thus, intraoperative thermal manipulation of the stem could be a viable means of improving cemented femoral stem longevity.

The purposes of this study were to determine the effect of pre-heating alloy stems on ultimate push-out loads and the cement–stem surface shear strengths *in vitro* and to identify the optimal temperature to heat to.

Materials and Methods

Twelve Vitallium 2000 Plus cobalt-chromium-molybdenum (CoCrMo) satin finished alloy stems (Densply, York, PA, USA) with average surface roughness (R_a) of 0.67 ± 0.04 (range, 0.57–0.72) μm (SurfTest SJ-201; Mitutoyo, Kanagawa, Japan), 8 mm in diameter and 14 mm in length, were used^{8,18}. Each stem was chemically cleaned using a recommended protocol¹⁹ and thoroughly dried before testing. The alloy stems were allocated to three groups with the following temperature controlling process before insertion: T24, ambient temperature (24 °C); T37, body temperature (37 °C); and T44, pre-heated stem (44 °C). The stems were kept clean in a watertight container in a WB 22 thermocycling unit (Memmert GmbH, Schwabach, Germany) with a thermocouple recorder model 407401 (Extech Instruments, Nashua, NH, USA) at the designated temperature for at least one hour prior to insertion.

Polyethylene tubes, 16 mm in inner diameter and 10 mm in length, were secured vertically and water tight on a clear plastic plate in a temperature controlled (37 °C) thermocycling unit for one hour prior to cement injection and alloy stem insertion. Osteobond copolymer bone cement (Zimmer, Warsaw, IN, USA) was hand-mixed at ambient temperature for 30 s and injected into the polyethylene tubes using a 50 mL syringe. An alloy stem was then inserted manually into the polyethylene tube filled with bone cement while the bone cement was in the dough stage. Each alloy stem was held by a custom proximal stem centralizer to ensure uniform 4 mm

thickness of the cement mantle and 10 mm effective longitudinal length of contact between bone cement and alloy stem in each tube (Fig. 1). The stem centralizer was removed after the bone cement had set. Cement-CoCrMo alloy stem constructs were allowed to cure for one day, then removed from the plate prior to testing.

The construct was mounted vertically and centered directly by a holder under the loading platform of a servo hydraulic testing machine model 8872 (Instron, Norwood, MA, USA) to avoid off-axis loads. A push-out test was performed by applying a compressive load vertically onto the alloy stem at a rate of 10 mm/min until the ultimate load had been attained^{8,10}. The testing procedure was performed at ambient temperature. The ultimate load at failure (N) was defined as the highest load before the load dropped. The surface shear strength (MPa) for each alloy stem was calculated by dividing the ultimate load at failure by the effective surface area of the cement–stem interface (effective surface area = $2\pi r \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$). Data were recorded as medians and interquartile ranges for each group.

The data for push-out load and surface shear strength were confirmed as normally distributed by the Shapiro–Wilk test. The push-out load and surface shear strength were compared among the three groups using the Kruskal–Wallis with Dunn’s test. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata version 12.0 (StataCorp, College station, TX, USA). A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

According to the Kruskal–Wallis test, data for push-out load (Fig. 2) and surface shear strength (Fig. 3) differed

Fig. 2 Ultimate push-out load of CoCrMo alloy stems according to temperature.

Fig. 3 Shear strength of CoCrMo alloy stems according to temperature.

significantly among the three groups ($\chi^2 = 9.269$, $P = 0.001$). A post hoc power analysis demonstrated that the present data obtained statistical power of 0.76. A Dunn's test revealed that push-out load and surface shear strength were significantly lower in the T24 group than in the T37 and T44 groups ($P = 0.04$ and 0.001 , respectively). The push-out load and surface shear strength of the T44 group tended to be higher than that of the T37 group; however, this was not statistically significant ($P = 0.08$).

Discussion

Cemented femoral stems have been found to produce acceptable long term results and are suitable for a number of hip pathologies ranging from osteoarthritis to femoral neck and intertrochanteric fracture^{1,2,5,6}; moreover, the procedure helps improve these patients' quality of life⁴. Durability of the cemented femoral stem is one crucial factor for long term outcomes after THA surgery. A number of procedures have been recommended to improve the cement–implant interface strength and thus increase the construct's longevity^{8,9,12}. Thermal manipulation of the stem, which alters the porosity of the cement mantle and its interfaces, has had encouraging outcomes^{10,20,21}. In this *in vitro* study, we found that increasing the alloy stem temperature to above the average ambient temperature prior to stem insertion increases the ultimate push-out load and surface shear strength consistent with previous reports^{10,21}.

Pre-heating of the stem, which was first recommended by Dall *et al.*¹⁷ and later popularized by others^{9,10,21}, is a well-accepted method for reducing interfacial porosity at the

cement–stem interface. Interfacial surface porosity can act to increase stress and thus serve as a starting point for the cement–stem debonding process⁷. The process of polymerization of bone cement starts at the warmer cement–stem interface rather than at the cement–bone interface as in other traditional techniques^{22,23}. The cement at the cement–stem interface acts as a platform for the cement mantle to set and shrink away, thus shifting cement porosity towards the cement–bone interface^{9,10,18,22–24}. Decreasing interfacial cement porosity at the cement–stem interface increases the contact area between the cement and the stem, thus helping to prevent fluid penetration^{3,25,26}; this in turn decreases the chance of cement–stem debonding, a primary cause of cement mantle failure and aseptic loosening. Cement porosity (expressed as percentages) is positively correlated with reduction in shear strength²⁶.

The optimal temperature to which to pre-heat the stem is still under investigation. In this experiment, increasing the temperature to 44 °C did not result in significantly greater surface shear strength than pre-heating to 37 °C, a finding similar to that of a previous report¹⁰. Higher stem temperatures have been found to decrease cement polymerization time and interfacial porosity¹⁷ but have potential disadvantages⁷. Firstly, shifting cement porosity towards the cement pre-heat bone interface²⁷ may cause untoward long-term clinical survival of the cemented stem because crack distribution has been confirmed to be associated with the cement–bone interface²⁸. Secondly, increasing the temperature during cement polymerization by pre-heating the stem may cause thermal necrosis in the bone tissue in the femoral canal; however, previous experimental studies have found no difference in polymerization temperature between stem preparation at 23 °C and 44 °C. The temperature generated in the construct did not exceed 50 °C²⁹ and minimal heat is transferred to bone tissue^{9,10,24}. Hsieh *et al.* reported that pre-cooling the femoral canal, rather than pre-heating the stem, also decreases the porosity at the cement–stem interface but reduces thermal necrosis of the bone at the cement–bone interface. They reported that pre-heating the stem resulted in greater shear strength at the cement–stem interface than pre-cooling the femoral canal; however this difference group was not statistically significant²⁰. We thus recommend 37 °C is the optimal temperature to which to pre-heat the femoral stem. At this temperature, the polymerization process is initiated with balanced effects²⁴. Stem warming techniques are relatively simple, requiring no additional or specialized equipment because the stem can be warmed by any available warming method in the operative theater.

The strength of the cement mantle is crucial for long term survival of the cemented femoral stem. Well known modern cementing techniques utilizing vacuum mixing, a distal cement plug and pressurized retrograde injection of the dough cement have been found to reduce cement mantle porosity. In contrast with a previous report in which vacuum mixing or centrifugation was endorsed³⁰, we used a conventional hand mixing technique in our experiment because a

previous report had demonstrated that comparable porosity is achieved in the cement mantle with hand or vacuum mixing^{15,16}. Pre-heating of the stem has also been found to reduce the porosity in the cement mantle^{9,10,21}. The technique resulted in better fatigue strength of the cement mantle when tested for more than 1×10^5 cycles¹⁴. Generated porosity and temperature are reportedly comparable in an experimental femur. Elastic modulus and bending strength of the cement mantle were also similar when a pre-heated stem was used with either hand or vacuum mixed bone cement²⁹. This further supports the use of hand mixing both in our experiment and if the stem is to be pre-heated in a clinical setting. Moreover, porosity-related cement mantle cracking is a minor concern compared to other interface areas²⁸.

The stem surface finish is another factor that may affect long term outcomes. It is generally recommended that the Ra of a stem used with bone cement should be less than $1 \mu\text{m}$; however, this issue is still being debated^{3,18,25}. Stems with matte surfaces initially have higher strength at the cement–stem interface but progressive loosening occurs once the surface has debonded. Previous clinical studies have shown that femoral components with a Ra of $0.5\text{--}0.8 \mu\text{m}$ have significant lower aseptic loosening rates than rougher surface femoral components over a mid-length follow up^{11,13}. When compared with polished or satin finished stems, those with higher Ra have considerable gaps between the stem and cement mantle¹⁸. Although, the interface porosity of pre-heated polished and

matte stems is comparable, polished stems have greater interfacial shear strength²⁵. We believe that femoral stems with smooth surface finishes are preferable to those with matte surfaces for the pre-heating procedure.

Our study has several limitations that are worth noting. Firstly, the round CoCrMo alloy stems with an effective length of 10 mm used in the experiment could yield different surface shear strengths than commercially available femoral stems. Secondly, a fatigue loading test would be necessary to assess the fatigue life of the construct. Performing an experiment with a variety of stem designs and sizes, surface finishes and cementing techniques with the pre-heating stem protocol would yield more detailed data on potential outcomes.

In conclusion, pre-heating of CoCrMo alloy stems before insertion into a cement mantle enhances their ultimate push-out load and surface shear strength. Heating the stems to the recommended temperature of approximately 37°C can easily be achieved without additional equipment in the operative theater. This technique may improve the longevity of femoral hip stems and we therefore advocate it during THA procedures.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Dental Material Science Research Center staff, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University for their invaluable support during the biomechanics testing and Ms. Sranya Phaisawang for language assistance.

References

- Bektaser B, Solak S, Oguz T, Ocguder A, Akkurt MO. Total hip arthroplasty in patients with osteoarthritis secondary to developmental dysplasia of the hip: results after a mean of eight-year follow-up. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc*, 2007, 41: 108–112.
- Bilsel N, Gokce A, Kesmezacar H, Mumcuoglu E, Ozdogan H. Long-term results of total hip arthroplasty in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc*, 2008, 42: 119–124.
- MacDowell AD, Howie DW. Fixation by Methyl Methacrylate. In: Callaghan JJ, Rosenberg AG, Rubash HE, eds. *The adult hip*, Vol. 1, 2nd edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2007; 184–194.
- Karthik K, Natarajan M. Unstable trochanteric fractures in elderly osteoporotic patients: role of primary hemiarthroplasty. *Orthop Surg*, 2012, 4: 89–93.
- 11th Annual report 2014: National Joint Registry for England, Wales and Northern Ireland: National Joint Registry; [May 25, 2015]. Available from: http://www.njrcentre.org.uk/njrcentre/Reports_PublicationsandMinutes/Annualreports/tabid/86/Default.aspx.
- Hailer NP, Garellick G, Kärrholm J. Uncemented and cemented primary total hip arthroplasty in the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register. *Acta Orthop*, 2010, 81: 34–41.
- Zhang H, Blunt L, Jiang X, Brown L, Barrans S. The significance of the micropores at the stem-cement interface in total hip replacement. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed*, 2011, 22: 845–856.
- Crowninshield RD, Jennings JD, Laurent ML, Maloney WJ. Cemented femoral component surface finish mechanics. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 1998, 355: 90–102.
- Bishop NE, Ferguson S, Tepic S. Porosity reduction in bone cement at the cement-stem interface. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 1996, 78: 349–356.
- Iesaka K, Jaffe WL, Kummer FJ. Effects of preheating of hip prostheses on the stem-cement interface. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 2003, 85: 421–427.
- Della Valle AG, Zoppi A, Peterson MG, Salvati EA. A rough surface finish adversely affects the survivorship of a cemented femoral stem. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2005, 436: 158–163.
- Ong A, Wong KL, Lai M, Garino JP, Steinberg ME. Early failure of precoated femoral components in primary total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 2002, 84: 786–792.
- Sporer SM, Callaghan JJ, Olejniczak JP, Goetz DD, Johnston RC. The effects of surface roughness and polymethylmethacrylate precoat on the radiographic and clinical results of the Iowa hip prosthesis. A study of patients less than fifty years old. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 1999, 81: 481–492.
- Bialoblocka-Juszczak E, Baleani M, Cristofolini L, Viceconti M. Effect of stem preheating on the fatigue behaviour of bone cement around hip prostheses. *Proc Inst Mech Eng H*, 2009, 223: 637–641.
- Geiger MH, Keating EM, Ritter MA, Ginther JA, Faris PM, Meding JB. The clinical significance of vacuum mixing bone cement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2001, 382: 258–266.
- Messick KJ, Miller MA, Damron LA, Race A, Clarke MT, Mann KA. Vacuum-mixing cement does not decrease overall porosity in cemented femoral stems: an *in vitro* laboratory investigation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2007, 89: 1115–1121.
- Dall DM, Miles AW, Juby G. Accelerated polymerization of acrylic bone cement using preheated implants. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 1986, 211: 148–150.
- Race A, Miller MA, Ayers DC, Cleary RJ, Mann KA. The influence of surface roughness on stem-cement gaps. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2002, 84: 1199–1204.
- Dibble K. Chemical cleaning of metals New Zealand: New Zealand Institute of Chemistry; 2008 [November 19, 2014]. Available from: <http://nzic.org.nz/ChemProcesses/metals/8H.pdf>.
- Hsieh PH, Tai CL, Chang YH, Lee MS, Shih HN, Shih CH. Precooling of the femoral canal enhances shear strength at the cement-prosthesis interface and reduces the polymerization temperature. *J Orthop Res*, 2006, 24: 1809–1814.
- Jafri AA, Green SM, Partington PF, McCaskie AW, Muller SD. Pre-heating of components in cemented total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2004, 86: 1214–1219.
- Madrala A, Nuno N. Effect of stem preheating and precooling on residual stress formation at stem/cement interface for cemented hip implants. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*, 2010, 93: 258–265.
- Li C, Schmid S, Mason J. Effects of pre-cooling and pre-heating procedures on cement polymerization and thermal osteonecrosis in cemented hip replacements. *Med Eng Phys*, 2003, 25: 559–564.
- Jiranek W. Thermal manipulation of bone cement. *Orthopedics*, 2005, 28 (8 Suppl.): S863–S866.

- 25.** Iesaka K, Jaffe WL, Kummer FJ. Integrity of the stem-cement interface in THA: effects of stem surface finish and cement porosity. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*, 2008, 87: 77–82.
- 26.** Iesaka K, Jaffe WL, Jones CM, Kummer FJ. The effects of fluid penetration and interfacial porosity on the fixation of cemented femoral components. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2005, 87: 1298–1302.
- 27.** Madrala A, Nuno N, Bureau MN. Does stem preheating have a beneficial effect on PMMA bulk porosity in cemented THA? *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*, 2010, 95: 1–8.
- 28.** Race A, Miller MA, Ayers DC, Mann KA. Early cement damage around a femoral stem is concentrated at the cement/bone interface. *J Biomech*, 2003, 36: 489–496.
- 29.** Baleani M, Bialoblocka-Juszczak E, Engels GE, Viceconti M. The effect of vacuum mixing and pre-heating the femoral component on the mechanical properties of the cement mantle. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2010, 92: 454–460.
- 30.** Wixson RL. Do we need to vacuum mix or centrifuge cement? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 1992, 285: 84–90.