Influence of postharvest application of chitosan combined with ethanolic extract of liquorice on shelflife of apple fruit

Samira Madanipour¹ · Mahmood Alimohammadi^{1,2,3} · Sassan Rezaie⁴ · Ramin Nabizadeh^{1,5} · Gholamreza Jahed Khaniki¹ · Mahdi Hadi² · Mahmood Yousefi¹ · Soheila Madihi Bidgoli¹ · Samira Yousefzadeh¹

Received: 11 September 2018 / Accepted: 6 February 2019 / Published online: 14 February 2019
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Abstract

Background Edible coatings are useful method that applied to preserve postharvest quality of production. The coatings can extend the shelf life of products and inhibit microbial growth. Chitosan based coatings are one of the best methods to prolong fruit and vegetable shelf life. The antimicrobial and other properties of chitosan are developed when it is combined with other functional ingredients.

Methods The effectiveness of chitosan, ethanolic extract of liquorice (LE) and complex of chitosan-liquorice extract (CHLE) was evaluated for controlling blue mold and extending shelf life in apples. The fruits were coated with chitosan(1.0%), LE (62.5 mg/ml) and CHLE coating, and stored at 25 °C. Quality properties of fruit (such as weight loss, firmness, total soluble solid content(TSS), titrable acidity and pH) and decay incidence were assessed on 0,1,4,7 and 14 days of incubation, respectively.

Results The results of experiments indicated that minimum of water loss(3.8%), TSS(14.53) and firmness(5.6 kg/cm²) were in CHLE coated apples. In addition, this coating significantly inhibited *penicillium expansum* during the storage and the lowest decay incidence was for apples coated with CHLE(29 mm). Chitosan and LE coating retarded undesirable changes during postharvest storage and inhibited decay incidence compared with uncoated samples. There was no significant difference ($p \leq 0/05$) between treatments and control overtime in terms of titrable acidity and pH levels.

Conclusions The results reported here indicate importance and efficacy of CHLE coating in extending shelflife and reduction of postharvest losses of apple in storage time.

Keywords Postharvest losses · Edible coating · Liquorice extract · Chitosan

Introduction

According to a report by the food and agriculture organization global(FAO) the average of postharvest losses in Europe, North America and Oceania is 29% and in industrialized Asia, South East Asia, Africa and Latin America is 38% [1–3]. Various methods are used to reduce postharvest losses during the supply chain of fresh produce. However, the increasing concern about the potential harms of synthetic chemical compound on human health and environment and also the development of resistant pathogens are the most important reasons to find alternative strategies [4].

Penicillium expansum is responsible for blue mold, one of the most important agents of postharvest losses in apple fruit. In addition, *penicillium expansum* causes patulin generation, a mycotoxin that causes genotoxicity in mammalian cells [5]. In recent years, the utilization of edible coatings as a safe and beneficial strategy has received a lot of consideration to

✉ Mahmood Alimohammadi
m_alimohammadi@tums.ac.ir

¹ Department of Environment Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Center for Water Quality Research (CWQR), Institute for Environmental Research (IER), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Health Equity Research Center (HERC), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴ Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵ Center for Air Pollution Research (CAPR), Institute for Environmental Research (IER), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

decline postharvest losses. [6]. Chitosan is a safe natural polymer composed of 2-amino-2deoxy- β -D-glucose [7] which is generated from deacetylation of chitin, [8], fungi and insects [9]. It has antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activities and due to having applicable properties, it has earned a great deal of consideration in various industries [8]. It seems that the antimicrobial and other properties of chitosan are developed when it is combined with other functional ingredients [10]. The water soluble chitosan controlled the mycelium growth and spore germination of *P.expansum* in a concentration-dependent manner, both in vivo and in vitro conditions. [11]. Furthermore, numerous studies indicated that antimicrobial and other properties of chitosan increases when chitosan is combined with other antimicrobial agents in fruits like strawberry [12], table grape [9], tomato [13] and broccoli [14].

The liquorice plant is a herbaceous perennial legume native to Mediterranean, southern of Russia and Asia. [15]. The liquorice extract has antimicrobial activities against *Candida albicans*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* [16], *Aspergillus flavus*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Erwinia herbicola* and other pathogens [17].

The targets of our study were to examine the influence of chitosan_liquorice extract edible coating on shelflife and reduction of postharvest losses of apple fruit as a safe replacement strategy in storage time.

Material and methods

Preparation of plant extract

The liquorice root was purchased from medicinal herbs market in Tehran. The roots were finely powdered by using grinder (Bosch MKA 6003, Slovenia). A total of 50 g liquorice powder along with 500 ml of ethanol (80%) were poured into a flask and placed in a shaker incubator (model innova™ 4340, USA) at 40 °C for 24 h with a rate of 150 round/min. Then, the extracted liquorice was filtered using whatman filter paper N.2 and concentrated using a rotary evaporator (IKA RV10, Japan) at 40 °C for 1 h. Finally, it was completely dried in oven (Gallencamp, UK) at 50 °C for 24 h and stored in 4°C.

Preparation of chitosan

Chitosan solution (1.0%) was prepared by dissolving 5 g chitosan powder (Sigma Aldrich, USA) in 250 ml of distilled water containing 10 ml glacial acetic acid (Merck, Germany) and 0.05% tween 80 (Merck, Germany). The solution was shaken constantly for 24 h using a shaker incubator at 25 °C and 150 rpm. Then, the pH was adjusted to 5.2 with 4 N NaOH and more distilled water was added until the volume became 500 ml. Ultimately, the solution was sterilized for 15 min with an autoclave at 121 °C and 15 psi pressure.

Fungal culture

P.expansum was attained from university of Tehran, Abureyhan campus. The fungi were cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) at 25 °C for 7 days.

Preparation of the fungal suspension

For preparation of a homogenous suspension, Macfarland standard was used. The prepared suspension contained 1.5×10^8 (CFU/ml).

Fruit

Apples, CV Damavand were purchased from a local market in Tehran. The fruits were chosen based on the equality of size, shape, color and loss of wounds and fungal disease. For antifungal investigation, using sterilized peg, two wounds (3 mm wide and 5 mm deep) were made on equatorial two side situation of each fruit and for the quality survey of fruit, the whole fruit was used.

Treatment

The 24 fruits were separated into 4 groups randomly, in 2 series for microbial analysis and shelf life analysis. Chitosan (1.0%), extract (62.5 g/l), chitosan combined with extract and the apples dipped into distilled water were considered as group (T1), group (T2), group (T3) and control group, respectively. At first, the samples were dipped into solutions for 5 min and left to dry for 1 h at room temperature. Next, 20 μ L of conidia suspension of *P.expansum* was inoculated in each wound and after that packed into a plastic box, ultimately incubated at 25 °C and 95–90% moisture for 14 days.

In vitro antifungal activity of liquorice extract on *P.expansum*

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial agent that prevents visible growth of a microorganism after a 24 h incubation [18]. MIC was determined by microdilution method on 24 sink microplates. One millimeter of sterilized potato dextrose broth (PDB) was poured into sinks, and then 1 mL of ethanolic extract of liquorice (1 g/l) was added to the first sink. Next, 1 mL of the the first sink was transferred to the next sink and 1 mL of the last sink contents was thrown away. Then, 100 μ L of fungal suspension was added to each sink into a sterile laminar flow cabinet. PDB without extract was used for the control group. The microplates were incubated at 25°C for 48 h. This experiment was implemented twice for the fungi.

The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of extract where there is no turbidity and visible growth.

Measurement of mycelial radial growth

Autoclaved PDA medium along with chitosan (1 mg/l), LE (62.5 mg/ml) and mixture of this solution were poured into a sterile petri dish (9 cm). Mycelial plugs (4 mm) from 7-day-old cultures of *P. expansum* were placed on the center of the petri dish and incubated at 25 °C. PDA plate was used as control and each treatment was in triplicate in 2 series. The inhibitory effect of mycelial growth was determined by measuring colony diameter on days 0, 1, 3, 5 and 7.

Evaluation of shelf life properties

Rate of weight loss

Weight loss was evaluated according to Vieira et al. (2016) by weighing all fruits with a digital balance (Mettler AE200, England) at the beginning of the experiment (0 day) and at regular intervals of sampling [1, 4, 7]. The percentage of weight loss was calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{weight loss (\%)} = (W1 - W2) / W1 \times 100$$

Where, w1 is the initial fruit weight and w2 is the fruit weight at sampling days.

Firmness

Firmness is the main feature of fruit quality. For measuring the firmness of apples, at first, the peel was removed by a sharp knife in the equatorial situation of each fruit, then the firmness was measured using a penetrometer (model GY-3, china) with an 8 mm probe, with values reported per kg/cm².

pH

The pH values were determined using a pH meter (Crison, Spain). At first, the instrument was calibrated by the pH of 4, 7 and 9 buffer. The electrode was then immersed in the fruit juice.

Statistical analysis

We utilized R software (R-3.1.0 version) for data analysis. All data were considered by kruskal-wallis test and multiple comparison test. The *p* value representing a significant difference was less than 0.05.

Result and discussion

In vitro antifungal activity of liquorice extract on *P.expansum*

The first effect of microbial growth controlling was detected at concentration of 62.5 mg/ml and increasing the concentration of the LE improved the rate of inhibition. According to MIC definition, the minimum inhibitory concentration of ethanolic extract of liquorice was determined at a concentration of 500 mg/ml. [19] reported that Glabridin, active ingredient of *liquorice extract* had antifungal activity against some molds and a concentration of 31.25–250 µg/mL could completely inhibit resistant mutants of *Candida albicans*. [19]

The effect of chitosan, LE and CHLE on mycelial radial growth of *P.expansum* on PDA

The mycelium completely covered the control plate after 3 days of incubation. In contrast, chitosan and the CHLE showed a significant inhibitory effect against *P.expansum* compared to controls. LE reduced the mycelial growth of *P.expansum*, though there was no significant difference between the extract and control. The result of this trial are shown in Fig. 1.

The effect of chitosan, LE and CHLE on shelf life properties

At the end of the storage time, the lowest (3.8%) loss weight in samples was obtained for CHLE coated apples and the highest loss weight was for uncoated samples (7.89%). A previous study showed that the weight loss was related to respiration processes and loss of water. Therefore, CHLE coating acted like a barrier and reduced the evaporation of moisture [20]. Chitosan-glucose complex coating decreased weight loss in table grape [9], compared with chitosan and glucose alone. Applying of chitosan-glucose complex restrict weight loss of shiitake mushroom [21].

The present study indicated that postharvest treatment with CHLE edible coating can reduce weight loss. Fruit firmness increased during the holding time. At the end of the storage, the lowest and highest values of firmness were 5.6 and 6.9 kg/cm² for CHLE treatment and controls, respectively. In our experiment, CHLE coating was more effective to maintain texture compared with uncoated apples. The firmness of blueberries increased within the first 12 days of storage and the combination of chitosan and *Aloe vera* fraction declined the rate of firmness [20]. This growth could be related to weight losses. However, chitosan with LE treatment significantly reduced fruit firmness during the storage time compared with controls, chitosan and extract alone.

Fig. 1 The effect of chitosan (1), LE (2), CHLE (3) on *P.expansum* after 7 days of incubation in 25° c. unamended plate served control (4)

Total soluble solid content increased over the time of the storage. This is probably due to water loss that led to concentration of the apple sugar within the period of the storage. The uncoated apple presented the highest value (17.5) of TSS at the end of storage. Further, the lowest value (14.53) of TSS in 7 days was recorded for samples coated with chitosan-extract. Hong et al (2012) indicated that the TSS of control and 0.5% chitosan coated guava increased significantly while fruits coated with 1.0 and 2.0% showed a negligible increase during storage [22]. The results of TSS measurement are presented in Table 1.

Titrate acidity decreased over the time across all of the samples, as organic acids were consumed by respiration to support the normal activities of life during the

storage. Hong et al. (2012) concluded that the decrease of values titratable acidity was directly in related to the chitosan concentrations and guava treated with 2.0% had higher titratable acidity compared to the other treatments [22]. Ali et al (2011) demonstrated that the highest values of TA observed in the 2.0% chitosan coated papaya after storage time, while the lowest values were recorded in the uncoated fruit followed by 0.5% chitosan concentration [23]. In our experiment, coatings did not have any effect on TA levels and there was no difference between the treatments and control. It suggested that chitosan, LE and mixture of them in applied concentration did not have any effect on respiration of samples.

Table 1 The effect of chitosan, LE and complex of CHLE coating on the weight loss, firmness, total soluble solid content, titratable acidity and pH of apples stored at 25 °C

Treatment	Loss weight(%)	Firmness(kg/cm ²)	TSS(Brix)	TA	pH
0 day storage					
Chitosan	0.06 ± 0.01	5.30 ± 0.15	13.96 ± 0.20	0.05 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.05
LE	0.06 ± 0.02	5.20 ± 0.20	14.21 ± 0.20	0.05 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.05
CHLE	0.03 ± 0.00	5.41 ± 0.20	13.23 ± 0.10	0.05 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.00
Control	0.13 ± 0.02	5.43 ± 0.15	14.3 ± 0.20	0.04 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.05
1 day storage					
Chitosan	0.62 ± 0.06	5.50 ± 0.10	13.36 ± 0.30	0.055 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.10
LE	0.64 ± 0.02	5.50 ± 0.10	13.53 ± 0.10	0.056 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.00
CHLE	0.43 ± 0.01	5.40 ± 0.05	14.10 ± 0.20	0.05 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.05
Control	0.87 ± 0.00	5.90 ± 0.10	14.8 ± 0.20	0.05 ± 0.00	4.4 ± 0.10
4 day storage					
Chitosan	4.29 ± 0.22	5.80 ± 0.15	14.26 ± 0.20	0.03 ± 0.02	4.4 ± 0.10
LE	4.14 ± 0.02	5.80 ± 0.05	14.34 ± 0.20	0.05 ± 0.00	4.5 ± 0.10
CHLE	2.73 ± 0.05	5.43 ± 0.15	14.11 ± 0.20	0.05 ± 0.00	4.3 ± 0.05
Control	5.98 ± 0.50	6.90 ± 0.20	16.54 ± 0.15	0.04 ± 0.00	4.5 ± 0.10
7 day storage					
Chitosan	5.57 ± 0.22	5.80 ± 0.20	15.12 ± 0.10	0.046 ± 0.00	4.5 ± 0.05
LE	5.39 ± 0.20	5.80 ± 0.20	15.13 ± 0.10	0.049 ± 0.00	4.4 ± 0.00
CHLE	3.80 ± 0.10	5.60 ± 0.10	14.53 ± 0.10	0.05 ± 0.00	4.4 ± 0.10
Control	7.89 ± 0.50	6.90 ± 0.02	17.54 ± 0.20	0.04 ± 0.00	4.6 ± 0.00

Table 2 The effect of chitosan, LE and CHLE on lesion diameter

Treatment	Lesion diameter(mm)
0 day	
Chitosan	0
LE	0
CHLE	0
Control	0
1 day	
Chitosan	0
LE	0
CHLE	0
Control	0
4 day	
Chitosan	13.66 ± 0.57
LE	14.66 ± 0.5
CHLE	11.5 ± 0.5
Control	18.66 ± 1
7 day	
Chitosan	23 ± 2
LE	22.66 ± 0.5
CHLE	20.66 ± 0.5
Control	25.66 ± 0.5
14 day	
Chitosan	38 ± 2
LE	43.66 ± 1
CHLE	29 ± 1
Control	59.66 ± 1

The pH values were relatively stable during the experiment and no difference was seen between uncoated and coated fruits at 0, 1, 4 and 7 days of storage.

The effect of chitosan, LE and CHLE on mycelial radial growth

The results of measuring decay diameter indicated that the inhibitory effect of the CHLE on *P.expansum* was significant ($p \leq 0/05$), in compared with chitosan and LE alone at the 4, 7 and 14 days of storage. At the end of storage, the highest decay incidence were observed in controls(59.66 mm),

LE(43 mm), chitosan(38 mm) and CHLE(29 mm), respectively. The results of lesion diameter measurement are presented in Table 2. Chitosan blended with vanillin was more effective compared to vanillin and chitosan alone against *Botrytis cinerea* [12]. Chitosan coating combined with *Origanum vulgare* L essential oil, maintained shelf life quality of table grape as well as controlled *Rhizopus stolonifer* and *Aspergillus niger* in postharvest storage [24]. The decay development of coated and uncoated fruit is shown in Fig. 2.

Edible coatings are beneficial strategies that are applied to preserve postharvest quality of fruits and vegetables. The coatings can expand the shelf life of products by retaining the moisture and aroma, modifying the atmosphere and preventing microbial growth.

Chitosan is widely used to prolong fruit and vegetable shelf life. This polysaccharide is able to form a semi permeable layer on product surface and enhance the quality of products by modifying the internal atmosphere and restricting transpiration losses [25].

Conclusions

The conclusions of our research clearly indicated that the CHLE declined loss weight and extension of firmness and total soluble solid due to retention of moisture during the storage time.. Furthermore, chitosan-liquorice edible coating inhibited *penicillium expansum* growth and reduced postharvest decay rate. In general, chitosan has more effectiveness when combined with liquorice extract. CHLE. The results of this research support the idea that CHLE coating may be as a safe alternative method to prolong shelflife and reduction postharvest losses of apple and maybe other fruits in storage time.

Fig. 2 The in vivo antifungal assay of chitosan (1), LE (2), CHLE (3) and control (4) after 14 days incubation at 25 °C

Acknowledgments This research was part of Msc degree thesis in food safety and hygiene and financially supported by the grant of Tehran University of Medical sciences (TUMS) (code 95-03-27-32028).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Spadaro D, Droby S. Development of biocontrol products for post-harvest diseases of fruit: the importance of elucidating the mechanisms of action of yeast antagonists. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 2016;47:39–49.
- Rostami R, Naddafi K, Aghamohamadi A. Survey of peanut fungal contamination and its relationship with ambient conditions in the bazar of Zanjan. 2009.
- Fathabad AE, Shariatifar N, Moazzen M, Nazmara S, Fakhri Y, Alimohammadi M, et al. Determination of heavy metal content of processed fruit products from Tehran's market using ICP-OES: a risk assessment study. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2018;115:436–46.
- Shao X, Cao B, Xu F, Xie S, Yu D, Wang H. Effect of postharvest application of chitosan combined with clove oil against citrus green mold. *Postharvest Biol Technol*. 2015;99:37–43.
- Fieira C, Oliveira F, Calegari RP, Machado A, Coelho AR. In vitro and in vivo antifungal activity of natural inhibitors against *Penicillium expansum*. *Food Science and Technology (Campinas)*. 2013;33:40–6.
- Jianglian D, Shaoying Z. Application of chitosan based coating in fruit and vegetable preservation: a review. *J Food Process Technol*. 2013;4(227):2.
- Edirisinghe M, Ali A, Maqbool M, Alderson PG. Chitosan controls postharvest anthracnose in bell pepper by activating defense-related enzymes. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2014;51(12):4078–83.
- Zivanovic S, Davis RH, Golden DA. 8 - chitosan as an antimicrobial in food products A2 - Taylor, T.M. *Handbook of natural antimicrobials for food safety and quality*. Oxford: Woodhead Publishing; 2015. p. 153–81.
- Gao P, Zhu Z, Zhang P. Effects of chitosan–glucose complex coating on postharvest quality and shelf life of table grapes. *Carbohydr Polym*. 2013;95(1):371–8.
- Xu W-T, Huang K-L, Guo F, Qu W, Yang J-J, Liang Z-H, et al. Postharvest grapefruit seed extract and chitosan treatments of table grapes to control *Botrytis cinerea*. *Postharvest Biol Technol*. 2007;46(1):86–94.
- Long LT, Tien NTT, Trang NH, Ha TTT, Hieu NM. Study on antifungal ability of water soluble chitosan against green Mould infection in harvested oranges. *J Agric Sci*. 2014;6(8).
- Rajabi N, Razavi J, Maghsodi V. Antimicrobial effect of chitosan combined with vanillin on mold in strawberry fruit. 18th International Congress on Food Technology: Research Institute of Food Science and Technology, Razavi Khorasan; 2008.
- Guerra ICD, de Oliveira PDL, de Souza Pontes AL, Lúcio ASSC, Tavares JF, Barbosa-Filho JM, et al. Coatings comprising chitosan and *Mentha piperita* L. or *Mentha*× *villosa* Huds essential oils to prevent common postharvest mold infections and maintain the quality of cherry tomato fruit. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2015;214:168–78.
- Alvarez MV, Ponce AG, Moreira MR. Antimicrobial efficiency of chitosan coating enriched with bioactive compounds to improve the safety of fresh cut broccoli. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*. 2013;50(1):78–87.
- Hajimehdipoor HAA, Hasanloo A, Shekarchi A, Abedi A, Pirali Hamedani A. M. Investigating on the quality of wild licorice roots collected from different regions of Iran. *Journal of Medicinal Plants*. 2008;3(27):106–14.
- Irani M, Sarmadi M, Bernard F, Ebrahimi Pour GH, Shaker Bazarnov H. Leaves antimicrobial activity of *Glycyrrhiza glabra* L. *Iranian journal of pharmaceutical research : IJPR*. 2010;9(4):425–8.
- Naidu K, Lalam R, Bobbarala V. Antimicrobial agents from *Rubia cordifolia* and *Glycyrrhiza glabra* against phytopathogens of *Gossypium*. *Int J Pharm Tech Res*. 2009;1:1512–8.
- Andrews JM. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2001;48(suppl 1):5–16.
- Fatima A, Gupta VK, Luqman S, Negi AS, Kumar J, Shanker K, et al. Antifungal activity of *Glycyrrhiza glabra* extracts and its active constituent glabridin. *Phytother Res*. 2009;23(8):1190–3.
- Vieira JM, Flores-López ML, de Rodríguez DJ, Sousa MC, Vicente AA, Martins JT. Effect of chitosan–Aloe vera coating on postharvest quality of blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*) fruit. *Postharvest Biol Technol*. 2016;116:88–97.
- Jiang T, Feng L, Li J. Changes in microbial and postharvest quality of shiitake mushroom (*Lentinus edodes*) treated with chitosan–glucose complex coating under cold storage. *Food Chem*. 2012;131(3):780–6.
- Hong K, Xie J, Zhang L, Sun D, Gong D. Effects of chitosan coating on postharvest life and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruit during cold storage. *Sci Hortic*. 2012;144:172–8.
- Ali A, Muhammad MTM, Sijam K, Siddiqui Y. Effect of chitosan coatings on the physicochemical characteristics of Eksotika II papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) fruit during cold storage. *Food Chem*. 2011;124(2):620–6.
- dos Santos NST, Aguiar AJAA, de Oliveira CEV, de Sales CV, e Silva SM, da Silva RS, et al. Efficacy of the application of a coating composed of chitosan and *Origanum vulgare* L. essential oil to control *Rhizopus stolonifer* and *Aspergillus Niger* in grapes (*Vitis labrusca* L.). *Food Microbiol*. 2012;32(2):345–53.
- Meng X-H, Qin G-Z, Tian S-P. Influences of preharvest spraying *Cryptococcus laurentii* combined with postharvest chitosan coating on postharvest diseases and quality of table grapes in storage. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*. 2010;43(4):596–601.