Published in final edited form as:

Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2019 July ; 27(4): 352–380. doi:10.1002/erv.2673.

Mechanisms and Moderators in Mindfulness- and Acceptance-Based Treatments for Binge Eating Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review

Jen L. Barney, M.S.¹, Helen B. Murray, M.S.², Stephanie M. Manasse, Ph.D.², Cara Dochat, B.A.³, and Adrienne S. Juarascio, Ph.D.²

¹Department of Psychology, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322

²Department of Psychology, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19103

³San Diego Joint Doctoral Program in Clinical Psychology, San Diego State University/University of California, San Diego, CA 92093

Abstract

Objective: Increasing evidence suggests that mindfulness- and acceptance-based psychotherapies (MABTs) for bulimia nervosa (BN) and binge-eating disorder (BED) may be efficacious however little is known about their active treatment components or for whom they may be most effective.

Methods: We systematically identified clinical trials testing MABTs for BN or BED through PsychINFO and Google Scholar. Publications were categorized according to analyses of mechanisms of action and moderators of treatment outcome.

Results: Thirty-nine publications met inclusion criteria. Twenty-seven included analyses of therapeutic mechanisms and five examined moderators of treatment outcome. Changes were largely consistent with hypothesized mechanisms of MABTs, but substandard mediation analyses, inconsistent measurement tools, and infrequent use of mid-treatment assessment points limited our ability to make strong inferences.

Discussion: Analyses of mechanisms of action and moderators of outcome in MABTs for BN and BED appear promising but use of more sophisticated statistical analyses and adequate replication are necessary.

Keywords

Bulimia Nervosa; Binge Eating Disorder; Acceptance-Based Therapies; Mindfulness; Eating Disorders

Treatments for Bulimia Nervosa and Binge Eating Disorder

Binge eating, defined as eating an objectively large amount of food within a discrete time-period while experiencing a sense of loss of control over eating, is a key feature of binge-

eating disorder (BED) and bulimia nervosa (BN). BN also involves inappropriate compensatory behaviors (e.g., self-induced vomiting, compensatory exercise) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The current psychological treatment for BN and BED with the most empirical support is cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT; Fairburn, Wilson, & Schleimer, 1993) including the recently enhanced version, CBT-E (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008). Recent meta-analyses have demonstrated the efficacy of CBT in reducing behavioral and cognitive symptoms of eating disorders (EDs) relative to alternative psychological treatments (Linardon, Wade, de la Piedad Garcia, & Brennan, 2017; Peat et al., 2017).

Despite clinically significant improvements following CBT for many individuals with BN and BED, approximately 50% of patients still remain symptomatic at post-treatment (Brownley et al., 2016; Linardon, Wade, et al., 2017; Södersten, Bergh, Leon, Brodin, & Zandian, 2017). Further, rates of relapse following CBT appear to often match rates of remission occurring between post-treatment and follow-up in RCTs, giving the false impression that no relapse occurs when reported statistically (Södersten et al., 2017). When examined more closely, however, relapse rates following CBT for EDs are greater than 30% (Södersten et al., 2017). Multiple studies have also found approximately 25% of participants enrolled in clinical trials of CBT for BN and/or BED discontinue treatment prematurely (Agüera et al., 2017; Grilo, Masheb, Wilson, Gueorguieva, & White, 2011; J. R. Shapiro et al., 2007). Such findings suggest significant room for improvement in treatment acceptability and outcome for BN and BED.

Mindfulness- and Acceptance-Based Treatments for BN and BED

Mindfulness- and acceptance-based treatments (MABTs) have emerged within the past two decades for a variety of psychological disorders (Haynos, Forman, Butryn, & Lillis, 2016; Kahl, Winter, & Schweiger, 2012; Öst, 2008). MABTs are based on theoretical models targeting therapeutic change through psychological processes such as acceptance, mindfulness, psychological flexibility, cognitive defusion/distancing, and emotion regulation. Relative to traditional CBT theories which attribute psychopathology to maladaptive thought patterns and directly target their content and validity, MABTs posit that psychopathology is maintained through avoidance or problematic attempts to control distressing or undesired internal experiences and thus, target increases in psychological flexibility and acceptance (Forman et al., 2012; Hofmann & Asmundson, 2008).

MABTs tested for BN and BED include the following therapeutic approaches: acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT), dialectical behavior therapy (DBT), and mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) such as mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and mindfulness-based stress reduction (Haynos et al., 2016). A brief description of the specific theoretical models underlying each MABT are presented in Table 1. Though research on these interventions to treat BN and BED is nascent, preliminary evidence suggests such treatments may be efficacious for this population (Godfrey, Gallo, & Afari, 2015; Katterman, Kleinman, Hood, Nackers, & Corsica, 2014; Linardon, Fairburn, Fitzsimmons-Craft, Wilfley, & Brennan, 2017).

Relevance of Mechanisms of Action and Moderators within MABTs

Most studies evaluating MABTs for BN and BED consist of small, uncontrolled pilot trials or clinical trials comparing the MABT to a non-CBT control condition (e.g., supportive psychotherapy, treatment-as-usual (TAU), waitlist). Additionally, much of the research evaluating these treatments to date, both for EDs and for varying forms of psychopathology broadly, is based on widely varied implementations (e.g. length/number of sessions; frequency of sessions; group vs. individual). These limitations minimize our ability as a field to draw firm conclusions on if, and in what form, MABTs appear to be most efficacious. Thus, more robust clinical trials that compare manualized versions of MABTs to CBT are sorely needed. However, just as necessary is implementation of alternative experimental designs and statistical analyses increasing our knowledge of *how* and *for whom* specific treatments work (Brauhardt, de Zwaan, & Hilbert, 2014; Murphy, Cooper, Hollon, & Fairburn, 2009).

While behavioral outcomes following MABTs for EDs appear to be comparable to CBT (Linardon, Fairburn, et al., 2017), several differences related to theory and technique are important to consider. MABTs emphasize skills and techniques facilitating increased acceptance of internal experiences (i.e. thoughts, feelings, physical sensations), contrasted with traditional cognitive-behavioral approaches which emphasize modifying the content of dysfunctional cognitions to make them more adaptive (Hayes, Villatte, Levin, & Hildebrandt, 2011; Stotts & Northrup, 2015). While a full outline of MABTs' proposed mechanisms of action (i.e. the steps or processes through which therapy works to produce change) is beyond the scope of this paper, several previous reviews exist (e.g. Hayes, Luoma, Bond, Masuda, & Lillis, 2006; Lynch, Chapman, Rosenthal, Kuo, & Linehan, 2006; S. L. Shapiro, Carlson, Astin, & Freedman, 2006).

Identification of mechanisms of action is crucial for enhancing the efficiency of treatment development and dissemination. A helpful first step is to examine mediators of treatment outcome (i.e. a construct showing important statistical relations between an intervention and an outcome, but may not explain the precise process through which the change comes about; Kazdin, 2007). Such research will: 1) clarify which treatment components should be prioritized and which could be reduced or eliminated, and 2) support development of innovative treatments that focus on promoting change through targeted mechanisms (Kraemer, Wilson, Fairburn, & Agras, 2002). Despite calls for more research in this regard, (Murphy et al., 2009), few studies have evaluated the specific processes through which patient change occurs within ED treatment research (Brauhardt et al., 2014; Vall & Wade, 2015).

Additionally, discovering *for whom* treatments are effective using pre-treatment characteristics or conditions that differentiate more or less successful patients will provide data for facilitating treatment matching. Such characteristics might predict treatment outcome across individuals, regardless of treatment condition (i.e. non-specific predictors) or differentially across two or more treatments (as a moderator). Considering the comparable behavioral outcomes observed between MABTs and CBT for eating disorders to date (Linardon, Fairburn, et al., 2017), this knowledge may be particularly helpful in determining

which approach may be more efficacious on an individual patient basis. Researchers can also use this knowledge to better maximize power when stratifying patients in randomized clinical trials (Kraemer et al., 2002).

Review Aims

The purpose of the current review is to systematically examine the literature on MABT outcome studies for BN and BED to: 1) determine how many studies have assessed mechanisms of action and moderators of treatment outcome, 2) identify which mechanisms and moderators (if any) emerge, 3) describe if significant mechanisms of action reported are consistent with MABTs' putative mechanisms, and 4) make recommendations for treatment research investigating MABTs for BN and BED.

METHODS

Study Selection

We conducted a systematic review of relevant treatment literature following PRISMA guidelines (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009) (Figure 1). We utilized Google Scholar and PsycINFO electronic databases to conduct an independent search for all relevant English language, peer-reviewed publications dated through July 2018.

We systematically entered MABT-related search terms into each electronic database in conjunction with terms related to ED behaviors or diagnoses (e.g., "acceptance" and "binge*"). ACT terms included 'acceptance', 'acceptance-based therapy', 'acceptance and commitment therapy', and 'acceptance-based intervention'. DBT terms included 'dialectical behavior therapy', and 'DBT'. MBI terms included 'mindfulness', 'meditation', 'mindfulness-based intervention', 'mindfulness-based therapy', and 'mindful eating'. ED terms included binge*, binge eat*, binge eating disorder*, bulimi*, bulimia nervosa, eating disorder*, and eating pathology. We also searched the reference sections of all articles meeting inclusion criteria from the online database search and articles cited by systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

The first author conducted an initial search of each database for eligible articles within each specified treatment category. A second search was then conducted individually by a subsequent author for one of the subgroups of therapy defined (ACT: SMM; DBT & MBIs: HBM). Studies identified for possible inclusion underwent a full review by both the first author and corresponding author conducting the relevant initial search based on the inclusion criteria outlined below. Any disagreement on articles between the two initial reviewing authors was opened to a full discussion with remaining authors to determine whether they met criteria for final inclusion.

Study Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible for inclusion, studies must have: 1) tested at least one MABT to treat BN or BED; 2) used an empirical design 3) included more than one participant diagnosed with BN, BED based on DSM-IV or DSM-5 criterion, or had clinically significant but subthreshold BN or BED eating pathology [i.e., individuals who do not meet DSM-IV or DSM-5

thresholds for BN or BED diagnosis due to binge eating/purging less than two times per week or only experiencing binges classified as subjective binge episodes (Fairburn et al., 1993), but who are engaging in these behaviors at least once in the past 28 days]; and 4) utilized only an adult sample. Due to the small number of RCTs conducted with ED treatments to date and the potential for alternative empirical designs to provide initial insight into mechanisms of action and moderators to examine in future research (Collins, Kugler, & Gwadz, 2016), we included non-randomized controlled trials and additional studies utilizing pre-post designs. Considering this broad inclusion criteria, we did not formally code studies based on design quality and all conclusions were based on qualitative synthesis of study results. However, limitations posed by differing study designs and risk of bias were considered in reference to the Cochrane handbook (Higgins & Green, 2008) and are discussed where relevant throughout the review.

Data Extraction

In total, 39 publications met all inclusion criteria (Table 2). All data were initially extracted by the first author and then confirmed for accuracy by therapy sub-group by the same author who conducted the related secondary literature search. Information extracted from studies included: study design; treatment type; sample characteristics (*N*, gender, ED diagnoses); process-relevant variables examined (theoretically relevant mechanisms of action/treatment targets, mediating variables and/or moderating variables); and reported findings relevant to these variables. Studies were grouped based on the theory most consistently related to the MABT being examined. “ACT-Based Interventions” included studies using a complete ACT protocol or examining alternative treatments supplemented with an acceptance-based component consistent with ACT theory. “DBT-Based Interventions” included studies using a complete DBT protocol, studies only using certain components of full DBT (e.g. skills groups) as the sole intervention, and studies examining alternative treatments supplemented with a DBT component. Mindfulness-Based Interventions (MBIs) included studies using complete protocols in which mindfulness or meditation were the primary emphasis or studies examining an alternative treatment supplemented with an additional mindfulness component.

Examination of mediating variables was qualified based on analysis approach using the following categories: 1) did not examine any relevant process variables ($n=12$), 2) used pre-post change analyses only ($n=20$), 3) used “proxy”-mediation analyses [e.g., examined the relationship between changes in process measure and ED symptoms through analyses such as Pearson correlations or regression, but no temporal precedence can be determined] ($n=5$), 4) used formal mediation analyses ($n=2$), or 5) used ideal mediation analyses (non e). Figures 2–5 (Supplemental Information) provide additional detail. Additionally, any manuscript examining a moderator of treatment outcome is listed in Table 3 along with relevant outcomes.

RESULTS

In total, 39 studies were identified that met inclusion criteria (Table 2). Seven studies utilized an ACT-based intervention, 22 studies utilized a DBT-based intervention, and 10 studies utilized a mindfulness-based intervention.

ACT-Based Interventions

Of the seven studies examining either a full ACT protocol or an ACT-based intervention, four utilized a transdiagnostic ED sample, and the remaining three used samples consisting solely of individuals diagnosed with BED. Notably, only one study within this group conducted a fully randomized clinical trial, whereas the others utilized either a non-randomized groups or open trial design. Further, three out of the seven identified publications reported results from the same sample following the same ACT-based intervention. Regarding treatment delivery, one study reported results following an individual, face-to-face ACT protocol; one reported results following an internet-based intervention supported by clinician feedback; the remaining reported following a group-based intervention model. Three studies examining ACT-based interventions did not examine any process variables, and the remaining four conducted “proxy mediation” analyses on hypothesized mechanisms of action (i.e. process variables). One study also conducted formal mediation analyses in addition to “proxy” mediation analyses (Table 2).

The most frequently examined process variable in studies using ACT-based interventions was psychological flexibility ($n=4$ studies), with two studies also examining problem-specific forms of psychological flexibility (e.g. psychological flexibility in relation to one’s body-image or food-specific internal experiences). All studies utilized either the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II; Bond et al., 2011), the Body-Image Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (BI-AAQ; Sandoz, Wilson, Merwin, & Kellum, 2013), or Food-Specific Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (FAAQ; Juarascio, Forman, Timko, Butryn, & Goodwin, 2011) to assess these constructs. Additionally, one study assessed general levels of willingness, a theoretical mechanism of action related to psychological flexibility that assesses one’s willingness to experience distressing emotions while engaging in valued living, using the Brief Symptom Questionnaire (BSQ; Forman et al., 2012). Overall, findings across all studies indicated that both general and problem-specific forms of psychological flexibility increased following ACT-based treatment. Additionally, trends across two studies suggested that improvements in both general and psychological flexibility were associated with decreases in global eating pathology (Juarascio et al., 2017) or reported binge frequency (M. L. Hill, Masuda, Melcher, Morgan, & Twohig, 2015). However, each of these two studies contained insufficient sample sizes to conduct formal mediation or significance tests. Juarascio, Shaw, et al. (2013) also observed that willingness to experience distress significantly mediated the effects of treatment condition on global ED pathology within their inpatient sample such that willingness trended toward greater improvements within the ACT-based condition; across conditions, willingness significantly predicted lower global ED pathology scores at end of treatment. However, no other process variables examined in this study significantly mediated outcomes.

Additional process variables assessed within studies implementing ACT-based interventions include: emotion regulation skills ($n=2$); cognitive defusion ($n=2$); cognitive acceptance ($n=1$); affective acceptance ($n=1$); negative urgency ($n=1$); and dysfunctional thinking ($n=1$). All studies observed significant improvements across all process variables. Results of “proxy” mediation analyses indicated that improvements in global ED pathology (assessed by the Eating Disorder Examination or Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; Cooper & Fairburn, 1987; Luce & Crowther, 1999) were significantly related to increases in access to emotion regulation strategies following treatment (Juarascio et al., 2017; Juarascio, Schumacher, Shaw, Forman, & Herbert, 2015). Further, Juarascio et al. (2015) found that increases in cognitive defusion abilities were significantly associated with increases in ED-related quality of life at end of treatment. Importantly, all improvements and significant associations were observed across all participants, regardless of treatment condition (when a control or comparison condition was used), suggesting that these improvements may not be specific to ACT-based processes.

Only two ACT-based intervention studies reported analyses for at least one predictor or moderator of treatment outcome (Table 3). While not statistically significant, Juarascio, Kerrigan, et al. (2013)’s findings trended toward those with more severe ED symptoms at baseline showing greater improvements in ED symptomatology following TAU+ACT compared to TAU only. Manasse et al. (2016) found that elevated levels of negative urgency at baseline were significantly associated with smaller reductions in binge frequency and global ED pathology following a 10-session ACT-based group treatment compared to those with low levels of negative urgency at baseline.

DBT-Based Interventions

Twenty-two studies examining DBT-based treatments for BN and/or BED met our inclusion criteria. Nine of these studies utilized a transdiagnostic ED sample. The remaining studies evaluated their interventions using samples of only full or subthreshold BN ($n=2$) or BED ($n=11$). Notably, three studies also required that participants have a comorbid diagnosis of borderline personality disorder (BPD), and one required that participants have a comorbid substance use disorder (SUD). A slight majority of these publications reported results from a study utilizing an RCT design ($n=12$), while the remainder used either an open trial ($n=8$) or non-randomized group ($n=1$) design.

Seven studies using a DBT-based intervention did not examine any process variables (Table 2). Among these seven, three studies did include analyses of at least one moderator of treatment outcome whereas the remaining four only reported ED symptom outcomes. Among the remaining 15 studies within this group, 13 conducted pre-post change analyses, one used both pre-post change and “proxy” mediation analyses, and one used only “proxy” mediation analyses. Three reported only on moderators of treatment outcome (Table 3), and one study reported results for both process variables and moderators.

Eleven DBT studies examined changes in emotion regulation, a core hypothesized mechanism of action in DBT. All but one utilized either one or both of two validated tools to measure emotion regulation: Negative Mood Regulation Scale (NMR; Catanzaro & Mearns, 1990) and Difficulties in Emotion Regulation scale (DERS; Gratz & Roemer, 2004).

Navarro-Haro et al. (2018), however, utilized the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (Gross & John, 2003). Results across studies were widely varied: three studies found no significant pre-post changes in patients' emotion regulation following the DBT-based treatment (Navarro-Haro et al., 2018; Safer, Robinson, & Jo, 2010; Telch, Agras, & Linehan, 2001), and the remaining nine studies showed variable levels of improvement ranging from small to large effect sizes (when reported). Interestingly, one study (D. M. Hill, Craighead, & Safer, 2011) found significant differences in ED symptoms but not in emotion regulation levels between treatment and delayed-treatment comparison participants when assessed at six weeks; however, when all participants' outcomes were included after they had completed treatment, significant improvements in emotion regulation were observed.

Six studies examined "urges to eat in response to negative affect" as measured by the Emotional Eating Scale (EES; Arnow, Kenardy, & Agras, 1995). Two studies found no change in EES scores following individual DBT for BED (C. Courbasson, Nishikawa, & Dixon, 2012; Telch et al., 2001) while the other four studies found significant improvement (effect sizes varied from small to large). Several of these studies ($n=3$) consisted of small sample sizes and reported high attrition rates, further limiting the conclusiveness of observed changes.

Other mechanisms assessed within DBT studies included interoceptive awareness ($n=4$), perfectionism ($n=3$), drive for thinness ($n=3$), perceived personal ineffectiveness ($n=3$), body dissatisfaction ($n=3$), interpersonal distrust ($n=2$), and ED-specific cognitions ($n=2$). All studies observed significant increases in interoceptive awareness following DBT; however, no associations were evaluated between these increases and additional therapy outcomes such as global ED pathology or quality of life. Among the additionally assessed process variables, results were somewhat inconsistent across studies, but widely suggested that minor, statistically insignificant improvements across most variables occurred following DBT-based treatments.

Three studies examined moderators of DBT-based treatment outcomes for BN and BED. Robinson and Safer (2012) found that individuals with early onset (<15 years old) of overweight status and dieting had significantly fewer binge days when treated with DBT-BED than when treated with the active treatment control. Safer and Joyce (2011) utilized data obtained from the same sample and found that rapid responders (RRs; 65% reduction in binge eating days by week 4) in both of their treatment conditions reported significantly higher rates of binge eating abstinence, depression, and eating pathology at end of treatment (Table 3). However, they also noted that while RRs in both conditions reported higher rates of binge eating abstinence at both end of treatment and 12-month follow-up than non-RR participants in the same condition, these differences were only statistically significant within the DBT group. Safer, Lively, Telch, and Agras (2002) found that early onset of binge eating (< 16 years old) and higher levels of dietary restraint at end of treatment significantly predicted relapse at six months among individuals who had successfully achieved abstinence from binge eating following DBT-BED.

Mindfulness-Based Interventions

Ten studies examining MBIs met our inclusion criteria (Table 2). Two used a transdiagnostic ED samples, with participants having a diagnosis of AN, BN, or BED. The remaining eight studies consisted only of individuals diagnosed with full or subthreshold BED. One study using a BED-only sample also required participants to have a comorbid SUD diagnosis. Five studies used an RCT study design, and five used an open trial design.

Among the ten studies implementing MBIs, three did not examine process variables in any way and no studies examined moderators of treatment outcome. Four studies conducted pre-post change analyses of at least one process variable; two conducted mediation “proxy” analyses; one utilized formal mediation analyses (Table 2).

Five studies examined changes in general mindfulness or specific mindfulness skills (e.g., observing, describing, acting with awareness, non-reactivity to internal experiences). Overall, studies examining individuals’ self-reported sense of mindfulness following MBIs ($n=3$) observed significant improvements; however, findings relevant to specific mindfulness skills varied (see Table 2). Three studies also examined perceived sense of control over eating behaviors, and all reported significant increases at end of treatment. Further, Kristeller and Hallett (1999) found that increases in perceived sense of control over eating and sense of mindfulness while eating were both significantly associated with larger decreases in binge eating frequency following their meditation-based intervention for BED.

Three studies also examined problem-specific cognitive fusion and psychological flexibility. All three studies reported significant improvements for both variables at end of treatment within the MBI condition, however two of the publications were reporting results from the same sample and intervention. Additional process variables assessed included external shame ($n=3$); interoceptive awareness ($n=1$), self-compassion ($n=2$), self-criticism/sense of personal inadequacy ($n=3$), engagement in values-driven behaviors ($n=2$), response style to setbacks ($n=1$), and beliefs about or experiences of over-eating in response to negative affect ($n=2$). The majority of these studies observed significant increases at end of treatment on all process variables assessed (Table 2).

Kristeller, Wolever, and Sheets (2014) “proxy” mediation analyses indicated that participants who reported greater increases in time spent meditating following their MBI were significantly more likely to report larger decreases in binge eating behaviors and disinhibition. Pinto-Gouveia et al. (2016) conducted formal mediation analyses and found that changes in psychological flexibility, body image-specific cognitive fusion, engagement in values-driven behavior, self-compassion, and self-criticism significantly mediated the effect of their MBI on binge frequency, with greater improvements in process variables predicting greater decreases in binge frequency. However, when assessing mediation of global ED pathology, only changes in psychological flexibility and non-reactivity to internal experience skills mediated outcomes. Notably, the majority of the mindfulness skills assessed as mediators in this study were not significant, despite mindfulness being a primary target of their intervention. However, these results should be interpreted with caution as the measurement tool utilized to assess mindfulness skills (the Five-Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire-15; Baer et al., 2008) had poor internal consistency in this study.

DISCUSSION

To inform the development of more efficient and effective treatments that can be matched to individual patient needs, the current review sought to: 1) determine how many MABT studies for BN and BED have assessed mechanisms of action and moderators of treatment outcome, 2) identify which mechanisms and moderators emerge as significant in extant studies, 3) determine whether emerging mechanisms of action are consistent with putative mechanisms of the corresponding theoretical models, and 4) make recommendations for future treatment research investigating MABTs for BN and BED. Consistent with previous empirical observations, our review demonstrates that very few extant studies report examination of either mechanisms of action or moderators of treatment outcome.

In studies where analyses of hypothesized mechanisms of action were reported, results generally supported theoretically consistent improvements following the MABT being tested. The two ACT-based treatment studies that utilized formal or “proxy” mediation both found that improvement in psychological flexibility is associated with improvement in ED symptoms. Pre-post change analyses in DBT studies supported general improvements in hypothesized mechanisms of action such as emotion regulation skills, impulse control, and acceptance of negative emotion. Similarly, pre-post improvements in hypothesized mechanisms of MBIs, including mindfulness and awareness skills, non-judgmental self-acceptance, and self-efficacy around eating were found across included studies.

Only six studies assessed predictors or moderators of treatment response. This is particularly surprising given that the majority of studies assessed demographic and process variables at baseline, providing the opportunity to evaluate whether these variables predicted treatment outcome either within one treatment (as a non-specific predictor) or differentially across two or more treatments (as a moderator). Further, the few studies that reported on predictors and moderators showed inconsistent responses to treatment and lacked appropriate control group comparisons.

Overall, studies evaluating MABTs for BN and BED have focused on assessment of treatment outcome as their primary aim, with little or no attention afforded to assessment of mediators and moderators. Further, while the small amount of preliminary evidence to date in this regard appears promising, statistical methodologies for assessing such mechanisms of action and moderation should be more sophisticated. The most commonly used methods among studies in this review (assessment of pre-post change in process measures and tests of “proxy” mediation) fail to establish temporal precedence in process variable change, limiting the ability to establish causality.

Future Directions and Recommendations

Recent NIH initiatives call for an increased emphasis on identifying mechanisms of action and moderators of treatment response to assess whether existing treatments truly impact their identified clinical targets (Insel, 2014). The results of our systematic review indicate that despite the growing interest in MABTs for BN and BED, there remains a substantial gap in our understanding of whom these treatments most benefit and how these treatments produce behavioral change. Conducting such research early and consistently across all

empirical evaluations of ED treatments will inform more innovative and efficient treatment development and promote efficient use of financial resources when implementing RCTs and future clinical trials. Additionally, by identifying moderators of treatment response, we can begin to identify which patients may be best suited for a given treatment approach and utilize the processes known to best target individual patients' specific needs. Therefore, we propose several recommendations for future research.

Reducing variability in theorized mechanisms and measurement tools—Our review demonstrated that even within each treatment approach (e.g., ACT, DBT, MBIs), there were inconsistencies in: (1) treatment implementation; (2) hypothesized moderators and mechanisms of action; and (3) the measurement tools used to assess moderators and mechanisms. For example, within MBIs, some treatments attempted to increase mindful eating directly while others aimed to reduce eating pathology by promoting a broader sense of mindful awareness outside of eating episodes directly. Discrepancies such as these can be limited by taking a more systematic approach to constructing treatment packages. Methods for doing so have been suggested through the multiphase optimization strategy (MOST) approach to intervention research and might include conducting analog studies comparing different techniques, or using component analyses to identify which components of a treatment are active in producing behavior change (Collins et al., 2016; Collins, Murphy, Nair, & Strecher, 2005).

Even within studies assessing one specific type of treatment with a strong and consistent theoretical background, there remains large variability in the measures chosen to assess mechanisms. Inclusion of different measures of the same construct makes comparison between trials and replication difficult, slowing progress in treatment development and the identification of key treatment “ingredients.” Additionally, while many studies evaluated one or more hypothesized mechanisms of action consistent to the treatment being used, comprehensive evaluation of all theoretically relevant mechanisms was considerably lacking. For example, many of the core processes theoretically postulated by ACT, such as values clarity and committed action, have not yet been evaluated (or reported on) in MABTs for BN and BED. Understanding which treatment components are truly driving treatment effects can facilitate the development or enhancement of more efficient therapy approaches by identifying therapy components that should be reduced, enhanced, or even removed if not functioning as theorized.

Transparency in results and inclusion of null-findings—In the 27 studies that reported on at least one process measure, it is unclear in many cases whether the authors reported all analyses that were conducted or only those that produced significant results (i.e., the “file drawer” effect). For both mechanisms and moderators, not including null findings in research reports stymies efficient treatment development and slows the pace of advancing research. While part of this problem lies in the mores of research publication (i.e., that null findings are not considered important to publish), researchers may also “cherry pick” significant findings only to include in publication submissions. One strong recommendation to the field is to publish study methods prior to publishing study outcomes to increase researcher accountability in reporting on all observed outcomes. Further, researchers should

describe all measures that patients complete during the course of a trial, including those that showed non-significant changes or changed in ways contrary to hypotheses. These null findings, if observed over several trials, can provide useful information about aspects of treatment that may be less necessary in producing treatment gains.

Using appropriate study designs and analytic procedures to assess

mechanisms of action—Only seven out of 39 studies included any type of mid-treatment assessment, which dramatically limits the ability to assess mechanisms of action. Statistical methods relying solely on pre- and post-assessments are not sufficient to evaluate mechanisms of action, as they do not provide evidence of mediation beyond improvement in one variable being concurrently associated with improvement in another. Process measures should be administered at multiple time points within a trial to evaluate whether changes in the process measure truly *precede* changes in an outcome variable (DeRubeis, Gelfand, German, Fournier, & Forand, 2014). The best way to detect this temporal relationship is to administer these measures early in treatment, and often, especially given evidence that early treatment gains (Linardon, Brennan, & De la Piedad Garcia, 2016; Vall & Wade, 2015) and sudden treatment gains (Utzinger, Goldschmidt, Crosby, Peterson, & Wonderlich, 2016) appear particularly important in ED treatment.

Most studies (within the ED field and broadly) that utilize mediation analyses have examined change in the mediator from Time 1 to Time 2 predicting change in outcome from Time 1 to Time 3 (Figure 4). Experts in the field have criticized this approaches' validity (Lorenzo-Luaces, German, & DeRubeis, 2015), arguing that these analyses may only capture behavioral changes in the first half of treatment that are concurrently associated with change in the mediator, and thus not capture temporal causality. Preacher (2015) outlines how, if seeking to draw causal inferences, analyses must account for elapsed time between a putative cause and its associated effect. Changes in process measures from pre- to mid-treatment should predict *subsequent* change in the outcome variable from mid to post-treatment. Figure 5 depicts the process necessary to do so.

Further, Preacher (2015) provides detailed descriptions of three classes of robust longitudinal mediation models (i.e., methods based on the cross-lagged panel model; latent growth curve modeling; latent change scores) developed and commonly used for such analyses. Macro downloads for SPSS now exist to conduct these analyses (Montoya & Hayes, 2017), and include dropdown menus and simple instructions for assessing mediation. Additional analytic approaches such as multilevel modeling (Hox, Moerbeek, & Van de Schoot, 2017) should also be explored as potential alternatives for evaluating how change is occurring over the course of a treatment. Notably, the majority of these analyses require large sample sizes ($n = 100$) to achieve the adequate power necessary to detect mediation effects (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007). The fact that obtaining samples of this magnitude is often not feasible within clinical trials due to constraints such as time, resources, or location is certainly a limitation of these recommendations at this time. However, as dissemination options continue to increase through use of various technologies, theoretical models continue to be refined, and novel statistical approaches continue to be explored, clinical researchers should still consider the possibilities of examining mediation effects wherever possible within their work. The use of these and similar statistical methods will greatly

enhance the meaning and utility of resources invested in researching MABTs for BN and BED.

Summary and conclusions

MABTs show promise for the treatment of BN and BED but assessment of mechanisms and moderators is crucial to enhance the study of treatment efficiency and efficacy. Extant research provides preliminary evidence that theorized mechanisms of action improve across various MABTs for BN and BED, and associations between these improvements and symptom improvement were observed, providing initial support for the theoretical models being used. However, research utilizing more advanced statistical procedures and empirical designs is needed to further understand whether therapeutic changes are derived as theorized. Similarly, few studies have reported on moderators of outcome ($n=4$), moderators examined varied, and results were largely inconsistent, precluding our ability to identify potential subtypes of individuals for whom certain MABTs may be most helpful. Overall, more research is needed comparing MABTs for BN/BED to treatments with the most empiric support (e.g., CBT), to elucidate the efficacy and efficiency of such treatments and to clarify the potential differences in therapeutic mechanisms and moderators.

References

- Agüera Z, Sánchez I, Granero R, Riesco N, Steward T, Martín-Romera V, ... Segura-García C (2017). Short-term treatment outcomes and dropout risk in men and women with eating disorders. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 25(4), 293–301. [PubMed: 28474473]
- Arnow B, Kenardy J, & Agras WS (1995). The Emotional Eating Scale: The development of a measure to assess coping with negative affect by eating. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 18(1), 79–90. [PubMed: 7670446]
- Association AP (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)* (5th ed.): American Psychiatric Pub.
- Azari S, Fata L, & Poursharifi H (2013). The Effect of Short-Term Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy in Patients with Binge Eating Disorder. *Practice in Clinical Psychology*, 1(2), 111–116.
- Baer RA, Fischer S, & Huss DB (2005). Mindfulness and acceptance in the treatment of disordered eating. *Journal of rational-emotive and cognitive-behavior therapy*, 23(4), 281–300.
- Baer RA, Smith GT, Lykins E, Button D, Krietemeyer J, Sauer S, ... Williams JMG (2008). Construct validity of the five facet mindfulness questionnaire in meditating and nonmeditating samples. *Assessment*, 15(3), 329–342. doi:10.1177/1073191107313003 [PubMed: 18310597]
- Ben-Porath DD, Federici A, Wisniewski L, & Warren M (2014). Dialectical behavior therapy: does it bring about improvements in affect regulation in individuals with eating disorders? *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*, 44(4), 245–251.
- Ben-Porath DD, Wisniewski L, & Warren M (2009). Differential treatment response for eating disordered patients with and without a comorbid borderline personality diagnosis using a dialectical behavior therapy (DBT)-informed approach. *Eating Disorders*, 17(3), 225–241. [PubMed: 19391021]
- Bond FW, Hayes SC, Baer RA, Carpenter KM, Guenole N, Orcutt HK, ... Zettle RD (2011). Preliminary psychometric properties of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II: A revised measure of psychological inflexibility and experiential avoidance. *Behavior therapy*, 42(4), 676–688. doi:10.1016/j.beth.2011.03.007 [PubMed: 22035996]
- Brauhardt A, de Zwaan M, & Hilbert A (2014). The therapeutic process in psychological treatments for eating disorders: A systematic review. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 47(6), 565–584. [PubMed: 24796817]

- Brownley KA, Berkman ND, Peat CM, Lohr KN, Cullen KE, Bann CM, & Bulik CM (2016). Binge-eating disorder in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 165(6), 409–420. [PubMed: 27367316]
- Catanzaro SJ, & Mearns J (1990). Measuring generalized expectancies for negative mood regulation: Initial scale development and implications. *Journal of personality assessment*, 54(3–4), 546–563. [PubMed: 2348341]
- Chen E, Cacioppo J, Fettich K, Gallop R, McCloskey M, Olino T, & Zeffiro T (2017). An adaptive randomized trial of dialectical behavior therapy and cognitive behavior therapy for binge-eating. *Psychological medicine*, 47(4), 703–717. [PubMed: 27852348]
- Chen EY, Matthews L, Allen C, Kuo JR, & Linehan MM (2008). Dialectical behavior therapy for clients with binge-eating disorder or bulimia nervosa and borderline personality disorder. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 41(6), 505–512. [PubMed: 18348281]
- Collins LM, Kugler KC, & Gwadz MV (2016). Optimization of multicomponent behavioral and biobehavioral interventions for the prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS. *AIDS and Behavior*, 20(1), 197–214. doi:10.1007/s10461-015-1145-4
- Collins LM, Murphy SA, Nair VN, & Strecher VJ (2005). A strategy for optimizing and evaluating behavioral interventions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 30(1), 65–73. doi:10.1207/s15324796abm3001_8 [PubMed: 16097907]
- Cooper Z, & Fairburn C (1987). The eating disorder examination: A semi-structured interview for the assessment of the specific psychopathology of eating disorders. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 6(1), 1–8.
- Courbasson C, Nishikawa Y, & Dixon L (2012). Outcome of dialectical behaviour therapy for concurrent eating and substance use disorders. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*, 19(5), 434–449. [PubMed: 21416557]
- Courbasson CM, Nishikawa Y, & Shapira LB (2010). Mindfulness-action based cognitive behavioral therapy for concurrent binge eating disorder and substance use disorders. *Eating Disorders*, 19(1), 17–33.
- Duarte C, Pinto-Gouveia J, & Stubbs RJ (2017). Compassionate Attention and Regulation of Eating Behaviour: A pilot study of a brief low-intensity intervention for binge eating. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*, 24(6), O1437–O1447. [PubMed: 28612453]
- Erb S, Farmer A, & Mehlenbeck R (2013). A condensed dialectical behavior therapy skills group for binge eating disorder: Overcoming winter challenges. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 27(4), 338–358.
- Fairburn C, & Beglin SJ (2008). *Cognitive behavior therapy and eating disorders*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Fairburn C, Wilson GT, & Schleimer K (1993). *Binge eating: Nature, assessment, and treatment*: Guilford Press New York.
- Feigenbaum J (2007). Dialectical behaviour therapy: An increasing evidence base. *Journal of Mental Health*, 16(1), 51–68.
- Forman EM, Chapman JE, Herbert JD, Goetter EM, Yuen EK, & Moitra E (2012). Using session-by-session measurement to compare mechanisms of action for acceptance and commitment therapy and cognitive therapy. *Behavior therapy*, 43(2), 341–354. [PubMed: 22440070]
- Fritz MS, & MacKinnon DP (2007). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. *Psychological science*, 18(3), 233–239. [PubMed: 17444920]
- Godfrey KM, Gallo LC, & Afari N (2015). Mindfulness-based interventions for binge eating: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 38(2), 348–362. doi: 10.1007/s10865-014-9610-5 [PubMed: 25417199]
- Gratz KL, & Roemer L (2004). Multidimensional assessment of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Development, factor structure, and initial validation of the difficulties in emotion regulation scale. *Journal of psychopathology and behavioral assessment*, 26(1), 41–54.
- Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT, Gueorguieva R, & White MA (2011). Cognitive-behavioral therapy, behavioral weight loss, and sequential treatment for obese patients with binge-eating disorder: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, 79(5), 675. doi:10.1037/a0025049 [PubMed: 21859185]

- Gross JJ, & John OP (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 85(2), 348. [PubMed: 12916575]
- Hayes SC, Luoma JB, Bond FW, Masuda A, & Lillis J (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy: Model, processes and outcomes. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44(1), 1–25. [PubMed: 16300724]
- Hayes SC, Villatte M, Levin M, & Hildebrandt M (2011). Open, aware, and active: Contextual approaches as an emerging trend in the behavioral and cognitive therapies. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 7(1), 141–168. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032210-104449
- Haynos AF, Forman EM, Butryn ML, & Lillis J (2016). *Mindfulness and acceptance for treating eating disorders and weight concerns: Evidence-based interventions*: New Harbinger Publications.
- Hepworth NS (2010). A mindful eating group as an adjunct to individual treatment for eating disorders: A pilot study. *Eating Disorders*, 19(1), 6–16.
- Higgins JP, & Green S (2008). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions* (Vol. 5): Wiley Online Library.
- Hill DM, Craighead LW, & Safer DL (2011). Appetite-focused dialectical behavior therapy for the treatment of binge eating with purging: A preliminary trial. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 44(3), 249–261. [PubMed: 20196109]
- Hill ML, Masuda A, Melcher H, Morgan JR, & Twohig MP (2015). Acceptance and commitment therapy for women diagnosed with binge eating disorder: A case-series study. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 22(3), 367–378. doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2014.02.005
- Hofmann SG, & Asmundson GJ (2008). Acceptance and mindfulness-based therapy: New wave or old hat? *Clinical Psychology Review*, 28(1), 1–16. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2007.09.003 [PubMed: 17904260]
- Hox JJ, Moerbeek M, & Van de Schoot R (2017). *Multilevel analysis: Techniques and applications*: Routledge.
- Insel T (2014). Director's Blog: Experimental Medicine. Retrieved from <http://www.nimh.nih.gov/about/director/2012/experimental-medicine.shtml>
- Juarascio A, Forman E, Timko CA, Butryn M, & Goodwin C (2011). The development and validation of the food craving acceptance and action questionnaire (FAAQ). *Eating Behaviors*, 12(3), 182–187. [PubMed: 21741015]
- Juarascio A, Kerrigan S, Goldstein SP, Shaw J, Forman EM, Butryn M, & Herbert JD (2013). Baseline eating disorder severity predicts response to an acceptance and commitment therapy-based group treatment. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 2(3–4), 74–78.
- Juarascio A, Manasse SM, Espel HM, Schumacher LM, Kerrigan S, & Forman EM (2017). A pilot study of an acceptance-based behavioral treatment for binge eating disorder. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 6(1), 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.jcbs.2016.12.003 [PubMed: 28966910]
- Juarascio A, Schumacher LM, Shaw J, Forman EM, & Herbert JD (2015). Acceptance-based treatment and quality of life among patients with an eating disorder. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 4(1), 42–47. doi:10.1016/j.jcbs.2014.11.002
- Juarascio A, Shaw J, Forman E, Timko CA, Herbert J, Butryn M, ... Lowe M. (2013). Acceptance and commitment therapy as a novel treatment for eating disorders: an initial test of efficacy and mediation. *Behavior modification*, 37(4), 459–489. [PubMed: 23475153]
- Kahl KG, Winter L, & Schweiger U (2012). The third wave of cognitive behavioural therapies: what is new and what is effective? *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 25(6), 522–528. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e328358e531 [PubMed: 22992547]
- Katterman SN, Kleinman BM, Hood MM, Nackers LM, & Corsica JA (2014). Mindfulness meditation as an intervention for binge eating, emotional eating, and weight loss: A systematic review. *Eating Behaviors*, 15(2), 197–204. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.01.005 [PubMed: 24854804]
- Kazdin AE (2007). Mediators and mechanisms of change in psychotherapy research. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 3(1), 1–27.
- Kidd A (2017). Adapting dialectical behaviour therapy for binge eating disorder: A pilot study. *British Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 6(4), 173–181.

- Klein AS, Skinner JB, & Hawley KM (2012). Adapted group-based dialectical behaviour therapy for binge eating in a practicing clinic: Clinical outcomes and attrition. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 20(3), e148–e153. [PubMed: 22367862]
- Klein AS, Skinner JB, & Hawley KM (2013). Targeting binge eating through components of dialectical behavior therapy: Preliminary outcomes for individually supported diary card self-monitoring versus group-based DBT. *Psychotherapy*, 50(4), 543. [PubMed: 24295464]
- Kraemer HC, Wilson GT, Fairburn CG, & Agras WS (2002). Mediator of moderators of treatment effects in randomized clinical trials. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 59, 877–883. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.59.10.877 [PubMed: 12365874]
- Kristeller J, & Hallett CB (1999). An exploratory study of a meditation-based intervention for binge eating disorder. *Journal of health psychology*, 4(3), 357–363. [PubMed: 22021603]
- Kristeller J, Wolever RQ, & Sheets V (2014). Mindfulness-based eating awareness training (MB-EAT) for binge eating: a randomized clinical trial. *Mindfulness*, 5(3), 282–297.
- Kröger C, Schweiger U, Sipos V, Kliem S, Arnold R, Schunert T, & Reinecker H (2010). DBT and an added cognitive behavioral treatment module for ED in women with BPD and AN or BN who failed to respond to previous treatments. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 41, 381–388. [PubMed: 20444442]
- Linardon J, Brennan L, & De la Piedad Garcia X. (2016). Rapid response to eating disorder treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 49(10), 905–919. [PubMed: 27528478]
- Linardon J, Fairburn CG, Fitzsimmons-Craft EE, Wilfley DE, & Brennan L (2017). The empirical status of the third-wave behaviour therapies for the treatment of eating disorders: A systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 58, 125–140. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2017.10.005 [PubMed: 29089145]
- Linardon J, Wade TD, de la Piedad Garcia X & Brennan L. (2017). The efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy for eating disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, 85(11), 1080. [PubMed: 29083223]
- Lorenzo-Luaces L, German RE, & DeRubeis RJ (2015). It's complicated: The relation between cognitive change procedures, cognitive change, and symptom change in cognitive therapy for depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 41, 3–15. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2014.12.003 [PubMed: 25595660]
- Luce KH, & Crowther JH (1999). The reliability of the eating disorder examination—Self-report questionnaire version (EDE-Q). *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 25(3), 349–351. [PubMed: 10192002]
- Luoma JB, Hayes SC, & Walser RD (2007). *Learning ACT: An acceptance & commitment therapy skills-training manual for therapists*: New Harbinger Publications.
- Lynch TR, Chapman AL, Rosenthal MZ, Kuo JR, & Linehan MM (2006). Mechanisms of change in dialectical behavior therapy: Theoretical and empirical observations. *Journal of clinical psychology*, 62(4), 459–480. [PubMed: 16470714]
- Manasse SM, Espel HM, Schumacher LM, Kerrigan SG, Zhang F, Forman EM, & Juarascio AS (2016). Does impulsivity predict outcome in treatment for binge eating disorder? A multimodal investigation. *Appetite*, 105, 172–179. [PubMed: 27230611]
- Masson PC, von Ranson KM, Wallace LM, & Safer DL (2013). A randomized wait-list controlled pilot study of dialectical behaviour therapy guided self-help for binge eating disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 51(11), 723–728. [PubMed: 24029304]
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, & Altman DG (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4), 264–269. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135 [PubMed: 19622511]
- Montoya AK, & Hayes AF (2017). Two-condition within-participant statistical mediation analysis: A path-analytic framework. *Psychological Methods*, 22(1), 6. doi:10.1037/met0000086 [PubMed: 27362267]
- Murphy R, Cooper Z, Hollon SD, & Fairburn CG (2009). How do psychological treatments work? Investigating mediators of change. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 47(1), 1–5. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2008.10.001 [PubMed: 19010459]

- Navarro-Haro MV, Botella C, Guillen V, Moliner R, Marco H, Jorquera M, ... Garcia-Palacios A (2018). Dialectical Behavior Therapy in the Treatment of Borderline Personality Disorder and Eating Disorders Comorbidity: A Pilot Study in a Naturalistic Setting. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 42(5), 636–649. doi:10.1007/s10608-018-9906-9
- Öst L-G (2008). Efficacy of the third wave of behavioral therapies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46(3), 296–321. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2007.12.005 [PubMed: 18258216]
- Peat CM, Berkman ND, Lohr KN, Brownley KA, Bann CM, Cullen K, ... Bulik CM (2017). Comparative Effectiveness of Treatments for Binge-Eating Disorder: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 25(5), 317–328. [PubMed: 28467032]
- Pinto-Gouveia J, Carvalho SA, Palmeira L, Castilho P, Duarte C, Ferreira C, ... Costa J (2016). Incorporating psychoeducation, mindfulness and self-compassion in a new programme for binge eating (BEfree): Exploring processes of change. *Journal of health psychology*, 21(7), 1216–1227. doi:10.1177/1359105316676628 [PubMed: 25293966]
- Pinto-Gouveia J, Carvalho SA, Palmeira L, Castilho P, Duarte C, Ferreira C, ... Costa J (2017). BEfree: A new psychological program for binge eating that integrates psychoeducation, mindfulness, and compassion. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*, 24(5), 1090–1098. [PubMed: 28124451]
- Preacher KJ (2015). Advances in mediation analysis: A survey and synthesis of new developments. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 66, 825–852. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-010814-015258
- Rahmani M, Omid A, Asemi Z, & Akbari H (2018). The effect of dialectical behaviour therapy on binge eating, difficulties in emotion regulation and BMI in overweight patients with binge-eating disorder: A randomized controlled trial. *Mental Health & Prevention*, 9, 13–18.
- Robinson AH, & Safer DL (2012). Moderators of dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder: Results from a randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 45(4), 597–602. doi:10.1002/eat.20932 [PubMed: 21500238]
- Safer DL, & Joyce EE (2011). Does rapid response to two group psychotherapies for binge eating disorder predict abstinence? *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 49(5), 339–345. [PubMed: 21459363]
- Safer DL, Lively TJ, Telch CF, & Agras WS (2002). Predictors of relapse following successful dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 32(2), 155–163. [PubMed: 12210657]
- Safer DL, Robinson A, & Jo B (2010). Outcome from a randomized controlled trial of group therapy for BED: Comparing DBT adapted for binge eating to an active comparison group therapy. *Behavior therapy*, 41(1), 106–120. doi:10.1016/j.beth.2009.01.006 [PubMed: 20171332]
- Safer DL, Telch CF, & Agras WS (2001). Dialectical behavior therapy for bulimia nervosa. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 158(4), 632–634. [PubMed: 11282700]
- Sandoz EK, Wilson KG, Merwin RM, & Kellum KK (2013). Assessment of body image flexibility: the body image-acceptance and action questionnaire. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 2(1–2), 39–48. doi:10.1016/j.jcbs.2013.03.002
- Shapiro JR, Berkman ND, Brownley KA, Sedway JA, Lohr KN, & Bulik CM (2007). Bulimia nervosa treatment: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 40(4), 321–336. doi:10.1002/eat.20372 [PubMed: 17370288]
- Shapiro SL, Carlson LE, Astin JA, & Freedman B (2006). Mechanisms of mindfulness. *Journal of clinical psychology*, 62(3), 373–386. [PubMed: 16385481]
- Södersten P, Bergh C, Leon M, Brodin U, & Zandian M (2017). Cognitive behavior therapy for eating disorders versus normalization of eating behavior. *Physiology & behavior*, 174, 178–190. [PubMed: 28322911]
- Stotts AL, & Northrup TF (2015). The promise of third-wave behavioral therapies in the treatment of substance use disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 2, 75–81. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2014.12.028 [PubMed: 26693170]
- Strandskov SW, Ghaderi A, Andersson H, Parmiskog N, Hjort E, Wörn AS, ... Andersson G (2017). Effects of tailored and ACT-Influenced Internet-based CBT for eating disorders and the relation

between knowledge acquisition and outcome: a randomized controlled trial. *Behavior therapy*, 48(5), 624–637. [PubMed: 28711113]

Telch CF, Agras WS, & Linehan MM (2000). Group dialectical behavior therapy for binge-eating disorder: A preliminary, uncontrolled trial. *Behavior therapy*, 31(3), 569–582.

Telch CF, Agras WS, & Linehan MM (2001). Dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, 69(6), 1061. doi:10.1037/0022-006X.69.6.1061 [PubMed: 11777110]

Utzinger LM, Goldschmidt AB, Crosby RD, Peterson CB, & Wonderlich SA (2016). Are sudden gains important in the treatment of eating disorders? *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 49(1), 32–35. [PubMed: 26332683]

Vall E, & Wade TD (2015). Predictors of treatment outcome in individuals with eating disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 48(7), 946–971. doi:10.1002/eat.22411 [PubMed: 26171853]

Wallace LM, Masson PC, Safer DL, & von Ranson KM (2014). Change in emotion regulation during the course of treatment predicts binge abstinence in guided self-help dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating disorder. *Journal of eating disorders*, 2(1), 35. [PubMed: 25516798]

Woolhouse H, Knowles A, & Crafti N (2012). Adding mindfulness to CBT programs for binge eating: a mixed-methods evaluation. *Eating Disorders*, 20(4), 321–339. [PubMed: 22703573]

Highlights:

- Analyses of mechanisms of action and moderators of treatment outcome in MABTs for BN and BED are crucial for enhancing the efficiency of treatment development and dissemination.
- Research to date supports improvements in theoretically consistent mechanisms of action from pre- to post-treatment when using MABTs for BN and BED, however conclusions relevant to whether these changes are occurring as theorized are limited by the use of substandard mediation methods, inconsistent measurement tools across studies, and infrequent use of mid-treatment assessment points.
- Recommendations for enhancing future research on mechanisms of action and moderators of treatment outcome are discussed.

Figure 1.
PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram

Figure 2. Pre-Post Change

In pre-post change, changes in process measures and outcome measures from pre to post-treatment are examined in isolation. While improvements in process measures are an important first step, it is inappropriate to infer any relation with outcome.

Figure 3. “Proxy” Mediation

In “proxy” mediation, change in process measures from pre- to post-treatment were correlated with changes in outcome measures from pre- to post-treatment. As denoted by the grey dashed arrow, it is often assumed that change in the process variable change leads to change in the outcome variable, but this “proxy” mediation method leaves the possibility that change in the outcome is causing change in the process variable, or that both changes are being caused by an additional third variable.

Figure 4. Mediation (Formal)

In formal mediation, change in process measures from pre- to mid-treatment are used to predict change in the outcome variable from pre- to post treatment. As denoted by the grey arrow, this design still allows for the possibility that in fact early change in the outcome leads to early change in the process variable (which in turn may be related to pre-post change in the outcome variable).

Figure 5. Mediation (Ideal)

In ideal mediation, change in process measures from pre- to mid-treatment are used to predict *subsequent* change in the outcome variable from mid to post-treatment. This design allows for more confidence that change in a process variable produced a subsequent change in the outcome variable (true mediation).

Table 1.**Brief Description of Theories Underlying MABTs**

MABT	Description of Underlying Model and Treatment Targets
1. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)	ACT theorists posit that a primary source of psychopathology and human suffering is psychological inflexibility (i.e. patterns of behavior rigidly guided by internal experiences, rather than by one's personal values or direct contingencies; Luoma, Hayes, & Walser, 2007). ACT-based treatments therefore seek to increase one's level of psychological flexibility, or one's ability to fully and nonjudgmentally contact the present moment and, based on what the situation affords, change or persist in behaviors in the service of one's chosen values (Hayes et al., 2006). Clinicians seek to increase this flexibility through six core psychological processes; acceptance, defusion, contact with the present moment; self-as-context; values clarification; and committed action (Hayes et al., 2006).
2. Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT)	The DBT model theorizes that problematic interpersonal styles and deficits in emotion regulation interact with personal and environmental factors in ways that facilitate and/or reinforce maladaptive behaviors (Feigenbaum, 2007). Therefore, the primary target within DBT-based interventions is an increase in emotion regulation skills (Telch et al., 2001). This is done through several psychological processes, often presented to clients in 'modules' including mindfulness skills, distress tolerance skills, and interpersonal efficacy skills (Feigenbaum, 2007).
3. Mindfulness Based Interventions (MBIs)	MBIs seek to cultivate mindfulness skills and facilitate their use in individuals' day-to-day lives. These interventions posit that by intentionally shifting one's attention with openness and non-judgementalness elicits decreases in psychological distress and facilitates increases in quality of life (S. L. Shapiro et al., 2006). Different interventions utilize one or more of a variety of mindfulness skills (i.e. meditation, body scans, grounding exercises) to cultivate this shift.

Table 2.

Mechanisms of Action in MABTs for BN and BED

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
ACT Based Interventions								
1 Hill et al. (2015)	BED (DSM-5)	Case-Series	ACT (2)	N/A	Individual/10 weekly sessions	Body-Image Specific Psychological Flexibility; General Psychological Flexibility	↑ Body Image Flexibility & General Cog. Flexibility for both pts paralleled ↓ binge eating (no formal analyses conducted)	“Proxy” Mediation
2 Juarascio et al. (2013a)	AN, BN, & EDNOS (DSM-IV)	NE/NR-G	Residential TAU w/ ACT (66)	TAU (74)	Biweekly ACT group supplementing residential TAU/Varied	Cognitive Acceptance; Affective Acceptance; Willingness; Cognitive Defusion; Psychological Acceptance; Emotion Regulation; Willingness to consume a “forbidden” food; Dysfunctional Thinking	Significant improvement on all process variables observed at EoT within both groups. Willingness significantly mediated effects of treatment condition on EDE-Q global scores pathology/behavior (↑ Willingness predicted ↓ EDE-Q global scores; and willingness trended toward greater improvements in the ACT condition). No other variables showed mediation effects	Formal Mediation & “Proxy” Mediation
3 Juarascio et al. (2013b)	AN, BN, & EDNOS (DSM-IV)	NE/NR-G	Residential TAU w/ ACT (66)	TAU (74)	Biweekly ACT group supplementing residential TAU/Varied	None (Only examined moderators)	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
4 Juarascio et al. (2015)	AN, BN, & EDNOS (DSM-IV)	NE/NR-G (Analyses of Treatment Completers Only)	Residential TAU w/ ACT (52)	TAU (53)	Biweekly ACT group supplementing residential TAU/Varied	Emotion Regulation; Cognitive Defusion; Psychological Flexibility	↑ Cognitive Defusion Ability and ↑ access to effective emotion regulation strategies were both significantly	“Proxy” Mediation

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
5 Juarascio et al. (2017)	BED (DSM-5)	Open Trial	ABBT (19)	N/A	Group/10 weekly sessions	Emotion Regulation; Non-acceptance of Emotions; Difficulties Engaging in Goal-Directed Behavior; Impulse Control; Emotional Awareness; Access to Emotional Regulation Strategies; Emotional Clarity; General Acceptance of Internal Experiences; Food-Specific Acceptance of Internal Experiences; Negative Urgency	correlated with ↑ ED-related Quality of Life at EoT (across all participants). Significant improvement was observed on all process variables except difficulties engaging in goal-directed behavior, emotional awareness, and emotional clarity. ↓ EDE Global Scores were significantly related to ↓ Non-acceptance of emotions, ↑ access to emotion regulation strategies, ↑ acceptance of both general and food-specific internal experiences. ↓ EDE Global Scores were also significantly related to ↓ emotional clarity and ↓ emotional awareness, contradictory to study hypotheses.	“Proxy” Mediation
6 Manasse et al. (2016)	BED (DSM-5)	Open Trial	ABGT (17)	N/A	Group/10 weekly sessions	None (Only examined moderators)	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
7 Strandskov et al. (2017)	BN & EDNOS (DSM-IV)	RCT	ACT-Influenced CBT	WLC	Internet Based with Clinician Support/8 weeks	None	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
DBT-Based Interventions								
8 Ben-Porath, Wisniewski, & Warren (2009)	AN, BN, EDNOS (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	DBT-Informed PHP (40)	N/A	Biweekly DBT Skills Group w/ Additional weekly groups consisting of DBT-informed concepts/Varied	General Emotion Regulation Ability; Ability to Regulate Emotions via	Significant main effect of time on ↑ emotion regulation however, also	Pre-Post Only

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
9 Ben-Proath, Federici, Wisniewski, & Warren (2014)	AN & BN (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	PHP-TAU w/ DBT Skills Training (65)	N/A	CBT focused PHP with 2 hours of DBT Skills Training Group each week/Varied	Cognitive Strategies; Ability to Regulate Emotions via Behavioral Strategies	significant interaction between diagnosis and time such that, at pretreatment, individuals who had a comorbid BPD diagnosis reported significantly ↓ emotion regulation than individuals without a comorbid BPD diagnosis, however at end of treatment there was no difference between groups. (Whether changes were significant within each group was not reported)	Pre-Post Only
10 Chen (Hepworth, 2010) et al. (2008)	BN & BED, must have comorbid BPD (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	DBT (8)	N/A	Weekly DBT Skills Group; Weekly Individual Psychotherapy; access to 24-hour phone coaching/24 weeks	Non-acceptance of emotions; Difficulties Engaging in Goal-Directed Behavior; Impulse Control; Emotional Awareness; Access to Emotional Regulation Strategies; Emotional Clarity	Significant ↑ with small to medium effect sizes in all emotion regulation skills except clarity of emotions (no change). Significant ↑ with small effect size on overall emotion regulation abilities.	No Process Measures Assessed
11 Chen et al. (2017)	BN & BED (DSM-5)	A-RCT (Staged Randomization)	DBT (36)	CBTgsh (42) CBT+ (31)	Stage 1: All participants received CBTgsh manual and 4 weekly 20–30 min support sessions. Stage 2: “Early Strong Responders” assigned to continue CBTgsh (i.e. continued CBTgsh for up to 24 weeks). “Early Weak	None	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
12	Courbasson, Nishikawa, & Dixon (2012)	M-RCT	DBT (13)	TAU (8)	Responders: randomized to 6 months of weekly group and individual DBT with 24-hour access to phone coaching or 6 months of weekly group and individual CBT with 24-hour access to psychiatric resident on-call. Weekly group therapy & individual therapy as deemed necessary (TAU) or weekly skills-training group and weekly individual psychotherapy w/ access to 24 hour phone coaching (DBT) /1 year	General Emotion Regulation Ability; Ability to Regulate Emotions via Cognitive Strategies; Ability to Regulate Emotions via Behavioral Strategies Urges to Eat in Response to Emotions; Drive for Thinness; Body Dissatisfaction; Ineffectiveness; Perfectionism; Interpersonal Distrust; Interoceptive Awareness	Analyses were only possible for DBT condition due to high attrition in TAU. <i>At EoT:</i> Large time effect observed for improvements in general emotion regulation ability and moderate time effect observed for improvements in interoceptive awareness and ability to regulate emotions via behavioral strategies. Increased emotion regulation abilities were associated with ↑ perceived efficacy to resist substances and ↓ emotional eating, but not ↓ substance use & severity or binge eating frequency. <i>At 3-mo. Follow Up:</i> Large time effect observed (from baseline to follow up) for improvements in interoceptive awareness, general, and behavioral emotion regulation abilities. Moderate time	Pre-Post & "Proxy" Mediation

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
13	Erb, Farmer, & Mehlenbeck (2013)	Open Trial	Condensed DBT (6)	N/A	Weekly DBT Skills Group/12 Weeks	Urges to Eat in Response to Emotions	<p>effect observed for improvements in drive for thinness and perceived ineffectiveness. <i>At 6-mo. Follow Up:</i> Large time effect observed (from baseline to follow up) for improvements in interoceptive awareness, general and cognitive emotion regulation abilities. Moderate effect sizes observed for improvements in drive for thinness and behavioral emotion regulation abilities.</p> <p>↓ Urges to eat in response to emotions observed in treatment completers ($n=3$). Changes were clinically significant in 2 out of 3 treatment completers.</p>	Pre-Post Only
14	Hill, Craighead, & Safer (2011)	RCT	Appetite Focused DBT (18)	6 Week DTC (14)	Weekly individual sessions/12 Weeks	Emotion Regulation; Urge to Eat in Response to Emotions; Interoceptive Awareness; Emotional Awareness; ED Specific Cognitions	<p>Significant improvement on all process variables observed within ITT sample. At 6 weeks, treatment group reported significantly ↓ ED Specific cognitions and significantly ↑ Interoceptive Awareness relative to control group.</p>	Pre-Post Only

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
15 Kidd (2017)	BED (DSM-5)	Open Trial	Group DBT (6)	N/A	Weekly DBT Skills Sessions	None	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
16 Klein, Skinner, Hawley (2012)	Full or Subthreshold BN & Full or Subthreshold BED (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	Adapted DBT (5)	N/A	Weekly DBT Skills Sessions	Interceptive Awareness; Drive for Thinness; Ineffectiveness; Body Dissatisfaction; Interpersonal Distrust	Parametric and Non-Parametric tests found significant improvement for Ineffectiveness and Interceptive Awareness at EoT. However, improvements in Perfectionism and Interpersonal Distrust were only significant in Parametric, but not in Non-Parametric analyses.	Pre-Post Only
17 Klein, Skinner, Hawley (2013)	Full or Subthreshold BN & Full or Subthreshold BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	Group DBT (22)	Individual self-monitoring (DBT diary cards) supported by brief individual sessions (14)	Weekly Individual or Group (dependent on treatment condition)/15 Sessions	Interceptive Awareness; Drive for Thinness; Ineffectiveness; Body Dissatisfaction; ED Specific Cognitions	Significant improvement in Interceptive Awareness for both groups, but significant improvements in Ineffectiveness, Drive for Thinness, Body Dissatisfaction, Perfectionism, and ED Specific Cognitions observed in Group DBT only.	Pre-Post Only
18 Kroger et al. (2010)	AN & BN, must have comorbid BPD & previously failed to respond to ED inpatient treatment (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	Inpatient DBT with added CBT-E module (24)	N/A	Inpatient setting with 3 weekly DBT Skills groups & 1 weekly individual session/3 months	None	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
19 Masson et al. (2013)	BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	DBTgsh (30)	WLC (30)	Guided self-help including in person orientation to manual	Emotion Regulation	DBTgsh reported significant improvement in	Pre-Post Only

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
20	Navarro-Haro et al. (2018)	NE/NR-G	DBT (71)	CBT (47)	Weekly individual and group sessions/24 Sessions and 6 bi-weekly support phone calls/13 Weeks	Emotion Regulation through Cognitive Reappraisal; Emotion Regulation through Expressive Suppression	Emotion Regulation at EoT and 6-month follow up compared to baseline. At EoT, DBTesh group's Emotion Regulation scores were significantly ↑ (better) than WLC.	Pre-Post Only
21	Rahmani, Onidi, Asemi, & Akbari (2018)	RCT	DBT (30)	WLC (30)	Twice weekly DBT skills group/10 weeks	Emotion Regulation	Improvements in both groups were not statistically significant; however, improvement in emotion regulation through reappraisal was significantly greater in the DBT group compared to CBT.	Pre-Post Only
22	Robinson & Safer (2012)	RCT	DBT-BED (50)	ACGT (non-directive Rogerian Based treatment) (51)	Weekly group/20 Sessions	None (Only examined moderators)	Significant improvement in emotion regulation skills observed within DBT group from pre to post treatment. Emotion regulation skills were significantly greater than those reported by WLC at EoT.	No Process Measures Assessed
23	Safer & Joyce (2011)	RCT	DBT-BED (50)	ACGT (non-directive Rogerian Based treatment) (51)	Weekly group/20 Sessions	None (Only examined moderators)	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed

	Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
24	Safer et al. (2002)	BED (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	DBT (32)	N/A	Weekly DBT Skills Group/20 Sessions	None (Only examined moderators)	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
25	Safer, Robinson, & Jo (2010)	BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	DBT-BED (50)	ACGT (non-directive Rogerian Based treatment) (51)	Weekly group/20 Sessions	Emotion Regulation; Urges to eat in Response to Emotions	Small effect sizes favoring DBT-BED over ACGT observed for Urges to eat in Response to Emotions at EoT. At 12-month follow up, small effect sizes favoring ACGT over DBT-BED were observed for emotion regulation.	Pre-Post Only
26	Safer, Telch, & Agras (2001)	Full or Subthreshold BN (DSM-IV)	RCT	DBT (14)	WLC (15)	Weekly individual psychotherapy/20 Sessions	Emotion Regulation; Urges to eat in Response to Emotions	Medium effect size of time observed for both process variables at EoT, however no significant group differences were observed.	Pre-Post Only
27	Telch, Agras, Linehan (2000)	BED (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	DBT (11)	N/A	Weekly group/20 Sessions	Emotion Regulation; Urges to eat in Response to Emotions	Significant ↓ in Urges to eat in response to emotions and significant ↑ in emotion regulation observed at EoT.	Pre-Post Only
28	Telch, Agras, Linehan (2001)	BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	DBT (22)	WLC (22)	Weekly group/20 Sessions	Emotion Regulation; Urges to eat in Response to Emotions	No significant changes were observed on process variables.	Pre-Post Only
29	Wallace, Masson, Safer, von Ranson (2014)	BED (DSM-IV)	RCT (secondary analyses only)	DBTgsh (60)	N/A (WLC in initial RCT)	Guided self-help including in person orientation to manual and 6 support phone calls approx. every 2 weeks/13 weeks	Emotion Regulation	Improvement in Emotion regulation predicted binge abstinence at EoT and at 4-, 5-, and 6-month follow up. Odds ratios indicated that for every one-unit increase in Emotion Regulation	"Proxy" Mediation

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
Mindfulness-Based Interventions								
30	Azari, Fata, & Poursharifi (2013)	RCT	MBCT (14)	CBT (14) WLC (14)	Weekly group/8 weeks	None	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
31	Baer, Fischer, & Huss (2005)	Open Trial	MBCT (6)	N/A	Weekly group/10 Sessions	Observing Skills; Nonjudgmental Acceptance; Belief that eating helps manage negative affect; Belief that eating leads to feeling out of control; Belief that eating alleviates boredom	Statistical significance could not be determined due to small sample size, however beliefs that eating alleviates negative affect decreased across participants (but was still above normal range). Decrease was also observed across participants in belief that eating leads to feeling out of control. Belief that eating alleviates boredom increased slightly. Moderate increases observed in	Pre-Post Only

change scores at EoT, there was an approx. 4–6% increase in the odds of being binge abstinent at EoT and each follow up point. Individuals who were binge abstinent at EoT reported significantly greater improvement in Emotion Regulation at EoT as compared to individuals who were not binge abstinent. The same pattern was observed at each follow up.

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
32 Courbasson, Nishikawa, & Shapira (2010)	BED, must have comorbid SUD (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	MACBT (38)	N/A	Weekly group/16 Sessions	None	N/A	No Process Measures Assessed
33 Duarte, Pinto-Gouveia, & Stubbs (2017)	BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	CARE (Low-intensity intervention focused on compassion, mindfulness, and acceptance developed for trial) (11)	WLC (9)	Guided at home practice/4 weeks	Cognitive Fusion with Undesired Thoughts about Food Cravings; Body Image Shame; Body-Image Specific Psychological Flexibility; Trait Mindfulness; Self-Compassion; Compassion toward Others; Sense of Personal Inadequacy; Self-Hatred in Response to Setbacks; Self-Reassurance in Response to Setbacks	<p>observing skills and a substantial increase observed in nonjudgmental acceptance of internal experiences. Both scores at EoT were still above means reported by nonclinical samples.</p> <p>Significant improvement in cognitive fusion with undesired thoughts about food cravings, compassion toward others, body-image specific psychological flexibility, trait mindfulness, and sense of personal inadequacy were observed at EoT, and self-compassion trended toward significance ($p=.054$). Compared to WLC, the treatment group reported a significantly larger $\downarrow$ in body image shame and self-hatred in response to setbacks and significantly larger $\uparrow$ in self-reassurance in response to setbacks. Effects were maintained at 1-month follow up for cognitive fusion with food</p>	Pre-Post Only

	Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
34	Hepworth (2011)	AN, BN, & BED (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	Mindful Eating Group w/ long-term CBT (33)	N/A	Weekly Group/10 weeks	None	N/A cravings, body-image specific psychological flexibility, self-compassion, and toward others.	No Process Measures Assessed
35	Kristeller & Hallet (1999)	BED (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	Meditation-Based Group Treatment (18)	N/A	Weekly group/6 weeks	Sense of control while eating; Sense of mindfulness while eating; Interoceptive Awareness	Significant ↑ on all process variables at EoT; ↑ in perceived sense of control while eating; sense of mindfulness while eating and awareness of satiety (but not hunger) were all associated with ↓ number of binges at EoT.	"Proxy" Mediation
36	Kristeller, Wolever, & Sheets (2014)	Full or Sub-threshold BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	MB-EAT (53)	PECB (50) WLC (47)	9 weekly groups followed by 3 monthly "booster" sessions/ approx. 4.5 months	Frequency of Meditation Practice; Awareness of Internal Experiences; Disinhibition; Cognitive Restraint; Sense of control over eating behaviors	In both treatment conditions, significant ↑ were observed on sense of control over eating, awareness of internal experiences, and cognitive restraint. Significant ↓ in disinhibition observed within both groups. All effect sizes were larger in MB-EAT group than PECB group. In MB-EAT group only, ↑ time spent meditating was significantly associated with greater ↓ in binge eating and disinhibition.	"Proxy" Mediation

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
37 Pinto-Gouveia et al. (2016)	BED, must have Obese or Overweight BMI (DSM-IV)	RCT (but only analyses of treatment condition reported)	BEfree (combined psychoeducation, compassion, and mindfulness-focused intervention) (31)	N/A (WLC in initial RCT)	Weekly group/12 sessions	Psychological Flexibility; Body Image Specific Cognitive Fusion; Engagement in Values-Driven Behavior; External Shame; Self-Criticism; Self-Compassion; Observing Skills; Describing skills; Acting with Awareness skills; Non-Judgmental Action skills; Non-Reactivity to Internal Experience skills	Significant improvement observed on all process variables at EoT except observing skills and describing skills. All post-treatment therapeutic gains were maintained 3- and 6- month follow-up. Psychological flexibility, body image cognitive fusion, and engagement in values-driven behavior all significantly mediated the effect of intervention on binge eating frequency (in hypothesized directions). Changes in external shame, self-compassion, and self-criticism mediated decreases in binge eating (in hypothesized directions). Only psychological flexibility and non-reactivity of internal experience skills significantly mediated decreases in global eating psychopathology.	Formal Mediation
38 Pinto-Gouveia et al. (2017)	BED, must have Obese or Overweight BMI (DSM-IV)	RCT	BEfree (combined psychoeducation, compassion, and mindfulness-focused intervention) (19)	WLC (17)	Weekly group/12 sessions	Body-Image Specific Psychological Flexibility; Body Image Specific Cognitive Fusion;	Significant medium to large effect of intervention observed on external shame, body-image specific psychological	

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Process Variable(s) Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings	Mediation Analysis Category
39 Woolhouse et al. (2012)	AN, BN, & BED (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	MMEG (intervention combining mindfulness & CBT components) (30)	N/A	Weekly group/10 sessions	Perceived control over eating; Overeating in response to emotions; Mindfulness	flexibility, body-image specific cognitive fusion, and self-criticism (all in hypothesized direction)... Results suggest that improvements in body-image specific psychological flexibility, body-image specific cognitive fusion, external shame, and self-criticism were maintained at 3- and 6-month follow-ups.	Pre-Post Only
<p>Significant improvements were observed on all process variables at EoT. Qualitative analyses of participant feedback indicate that participants perceived "spending more time in the present moment" to have significantly improved their well-being. Participants also perceived treatment to have provided a "shift from avoidance to awareness of emotions".</p>								

NE/NR-G = Non-Equivalent, Non-Random Groups; RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial; M-RCT = Matched Randomized Controlled Trial

BED = Binge Eating Disorder; AN = Anorexia Nervosa; EDNOS = Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified BN = Bulimia Nervosa; BPD = Borderline Personality Disorder; SUD = Substance Use Disorder; BMI = Body Mass Index

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

ACT = Acceptance and Commitment Therapy; ABBT = Acceptance Based Behavioral Treatment; ABGT = Acceptance Based Group Treatment; DBT = Dialectical Behavioral Therapy; DBTgsh = Dialectical Behavioral Therapy Guided Self Help; MBCT = Mindfulness Based Cognitive therapy; MACBT = Mindfulness-Action Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy; MB-EAT = Mindfulness-Based Eating Awareness Training; MMEG = Mindfulness Moderate Eating Group

CBT = Cognitive behavioral Therapy; TAU = Treatment as Usual; PHP = Partial Hospitalization Program; WLC = Waitlist Control; CBTgsh = Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Guided Self Help; ACGT = Active Comparison Control Group; PECB = Psychoeducational Cognitive Behavioral Treatment

Table 3.

Moderators of Third-Wave Treatments for BN and BED

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT used (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Moderators Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings
ACT Based Interventions							
1 Juarascio et al. (2013b)	AN, BN, & EDNOS (DSM-IV)	NE/NR-G	Residential TAU + ACT (66)	TAU (74)	Biweekly ACT group supplementing residential TAU/Varied	Severity of ED Symptoms; Prior Hospitalization related to ED	↑ Baseline ED severity predicted worse treatment outcomes across groups. Condition x ED severity interaction trended toward significance suggesting that individuals with ↑ ED severity did better following TAU+ACT ($p=.09$). Individuals who reported prior hospitalization(s) trended toward larger improvements in the ACT +TAU condition ($p=.09$)
2 Manasse et al. (2016)	BED (DSM-5)	Open Trial	ABGT (17)	N/A	Group/10 weekly sessions	Negative Urgency; Delay Discounting; Inhibition; Inhibitory Control	↑ Baseline negative urgency predicted more gradual ↓ in binge frequency during & after treatment. ↑ Baseline negative urgency also predicted less reduction in global ED pathology and ↑ difficulty sustaining symptom improvement relative to individuals with ↓ baseline negative urgency.
DBT Based Interventions							
3 Ben-Porath, Wisniewski, & Warren (2009)	AN, BN, EDNOS (DSM-IV)	Open Trial	DBT-Informed PHP (40)	N/A	Biweekly DBT Skills Group w/ Additional weekly groups consisting of DBT-informed concepts/ Varied	Comorbid BPD diagnosis	Individuals with comorbid BPD diagnosis appeared to benefit more in regard to ↑ emotion regulation skills following treatment. Significant difference was observed in emotion regulation skills between those with or without comorbid BPD at baseline (comorbid BPD group significantly lower), however no group difference observed at EoT. Significance of within group change not reported.
4 Robinson & Safer (2012)	BED (DSM-IV)	RCT	DBT-BED (50)	ACGT (non-directive Rogerian Based treatment) (51)	Weekly group/20 Sessions	Age; Sex; Ethnicity; ED psychopathology; Weight/BMI; Onset of ED symptoms;	Individuals with early onset of overweight BMI and/or early onset of dieting behaviors (15 years old) had significantly larger ↓ in number of binge days at EoT when

Publication	ED Sample (Diagnostic Criteria)	Study Design	MABT used (n)	Comparison Condition(s) (n)	Intervention Delivery/Length	Moderators Assessed	Summary of Relevant Findings
5	Safer & Joyce (2011)	RCT	DBT-BED (50)	ACGT (non-directive Rogerian Based treatment) (51)	Weekly group/20 Sessions	Emotional Eating; General Psychopathology; Emotion Regulation	assigned to DBT-BED as opposed to ACGT. Individuals who had a comorbid diagnosis of APD had significantly larger ↓ in number of binge days at EoT when assigned to DBT-BED condition as opposed to ACGT. Within DBT-BED group, individuals who had a comorbid diagnosis of APD had significantly ↑ number of binge days at EoT relative to those who did not have comorbid APD. RRs reported significantly ↑ binge abstinence and significantly ↓ eating concern, weight concern, shape concern, depressive symptoms, disinhibition, and susceptibility to hunger in both groups at EoT relative to non-RRs. Attrition was significantly ↑ among non-RRs in both groups compared to RRs. Within DBT-BED group, RRs reported significantly ↑ binge abstinence than non-RRs at EoT and 12-month follow up. Within ACGT group, RRs reported ↑ binge abstinence than non-RRs at EoT and 12-month follow up, but difference was not statistically significant at either time point.
6	Safer et al. (2002)	Open Trial	DBT (32)	N/A	Weekly group/20 Sessions	EoT Dietary Restraint; EoT Weight & Shape Concern; EoT Urges to Eat in Response to Emotions; EoT Self-Esteem; Pre-Treatment Early Onset of Binge Eating (<16 years old)	Analysis of predictors of relapse: Early onset of binge eating predicted failure to maintain abstinence ↑ EoT Dietary Restraint at EoT predicted relapse at 6 months.

NE/NR-G = Non-Equivalent, Non-Random Groups; RCT = Randomized Controlled Trial

BED = Binge Eating Disorder; AN = Anorexia Nervosa; EDNOS = Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified BN = Bulimia Nervosa

ACT = Acceptance and Commitment Therapy; ABGT = Acceptance Based Group Treatment; DBT = Dialectical Behavioral Therapy; DBT-BED = Dialectical Behavioral Therapy for Binge Eating Disorder; TAU = Treatment as Usual; PHP = Partial Hospitalization Program; ACGT = Active Comparison Control Group; PECB = Psychoeducational Cognitive Behavioral Treatment