Published in final edited form as:

ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2015 May 27; 7(20): 10734–10741. doi:10.1021/acsami.5b00447.

Oxidative Unzipping of Stacked Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Cups

Haifeng Dong[‡], Yong Zhao[‡], Yifan Tang, Seth C. Burkert, and Alexander Star^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, United States

Abstract

We demonstrate a facile synthesis of different nanostructures by oxidative unzipping of stacked nitrogen-doped carbon nanotube cups (NCNCs). Depending on their initial number of stacked-cup segments, this method can yield graphene nanosheets (GNSs) or hybrid nanostructures comprised of graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) partially unzipped from a central nanotube core. Due to the stacked-cup structure of as-synthesized NCNCs, preventing complete exposure of graphitic planes, the unzipping mechanism is hindered resulting in incomplete unzipping, while individual, separated NCNCs are completely unzipped yielding individual nitrogen doped GNSs. Graphene-based materials have been employed as electrocatalysts for many important chemical reactions and it has been proposed that increasing the reactive edges results in more efficient electrocatalysis. In this article, we apply these graphene conjugates as electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) to determine how the increase in reactive edges affects the electrocatalytic activity. This investigation introduces a new method for the improvement of ORR electrocatalysts by using nitrogen dopants more effectively allowing for enhanced ORR performance with lower overall nitrogen content. Additionally, the GNS were functionalized with gold nanoparticles (GNPs) resulting in GNS/GNP, which show efficient surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) and expand the scope of its application in advanced device fabrication and biosensing.

Graphical Abstract

^{*}Corresponding Author: Address correspondence to astar@pitt.edu.

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

Supporting Information: TEM and HRTEM images of GNS, AFM of GNSs, C 1s high-res XPS of o-NCNCs and u-NCNCs, Raman spectra of all synthesized materials, Survey XPS and N 1s high-res XPS of s-NCNCs and GNSs, table of atomic content determined by XPS, table of nitrogen functionality percentages determined by N 1s high-res XPS, cyclic voltammetry of all synthesized materials, cyclic voltammetry illustrating methanol crossover effect, chronoamperometric response of CO poisoning, long-term stability of u-NCNCs, Raman spectra of GNP functionalized GNS, and SERS enhancement of Rh123. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes of various lengths with unique stacked-cup like morphology are unzipped through oxidation, resulting in hybrid nanomaterials with applications in electrocatalysis and biosensing.

Keywords

Nitrogen-doped; carbon nanotubes; unzipping; graphene-carbon nanotube hybrid structure; optimized morphology

INTRODUCTION:

Carbon nanomaterials have attracted significant research interest, due to their unique electronic structure, including electrocatalysis,¹ microelectronics,² and bioimaging.^{3–4} Doping of carbon nanostructures with nitrogen atoms alters the intrinsic properties of graphitic carbon materials due to interaction between the nitrogen lone-pair electrons and the graphene π -system,⁵ producing tailored electronic characteristics, and controllable surface and local chemical features. For instance, nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes show high electrocatalytic activity towards the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR),^{6–7} while nitrogen-doped graphene exhibits new Tail ored electronic properties for device applications.⁸ Further manipulation of nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes has resulted in nitrogen-doped graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) and nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets (GNSs), both maintaining enhanced ORR activity.^{9–11}

The ORR electrocatalytic activity of carbon nanomaterials is considered to be enhanced by increasing exposure of chemically active graphitic edges.^{12–13} Recently, Li *et al.* developed a GNR-nanotube complex by exfoliating the outer graphene layer from double-walled CNTs,¹⁴ enhancing ORR activity due to the combination of ORR-active GNRs with conductive CNT cores. A similar graphene support functionalized with carbon nanotubes hybrid structure synthesized from a hydrothermal process also showed advanced electrochemical performance.¹⁵ These findings indicate hybrid nitrogen-doped structures as the optimized morphology for ORR electrocatalysis; however, both methods require a post-synthesis step of nitrogen-doping by NH_3 annealing, which adds to the synthetic complexity. Oxidative

longitudinal unzipping of carbon nanotubes has been shown to effectively produce GNRs upon reaction with sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and potassium permanganate (KMnO₄).¹⁶ This complete unzipping has been further demonstrated to produce nitrogen-doped GNRs from nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes. However, systematic investigation of morphological influence on the electrochemical properties of these nitrogen-doped hybrid nanomaterials remains unexplored.

Nitrogen-doped carbon nanotube cups (NCNCs) have been shown to be catalytically active towards ORR in their as-synthesized state; however post-synthesis modification may further improve this activity. We demonstrate the synthesis of nitrogen-doped nanostructures by oxidative unzipping of NCNCs, the unique stacked cup-shaped segments allow for modification of the unzipping mechanism resulting in new nanostructures.^{18–19} Different from the hollow, tubular un-doped CNTs, long-stacked NCNCs are more resistant to the unzipping process, resulting in a unique partially unzipped hybrid carbon nanostructure with nitrogen-doped GNRs connected through central tubular “cores”. The extent of unzipping highly depends on the number of stacked cups in NCNCs: upon ultrasonic separation of the stacked structure,^{19–20} the obtained short-stacked and individual nanocups can be completely unzipped into graphene nanosheets (GNSs). GNSs have been previously synthesized by electrochemical exfoliation²¹ or hydrothermal cutting²² of graphene sheets. Although nitrogen doping of GNSs is typically performed by a post-synthesis step,¹¹ our approach provides a facile synthetic route to nitrogen-doped GNSs by direct unzipping of NCNCs.

Both partially and completely unzipped NCNCs may find promising applications in diverse fields due to their unique structural and chemical properties. The partially unzipped NCNCs exhibit excellent ORR electrocatalytic activity superior to as-synthesized NCNCs and their other derivatives, this observation provides a strong support for the GNR-carbon nanotube hybrid structure as the optimal morphology for electrocatalysis. Such enhancement results from the alteration of both nitrogen functionality and morphology of NCNCs by H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ oxidation. The nitrogen-doped GNRs formed on the unzipped part of the outer wall of the nanotubes make a more efficient ORR electrocatalyst, whereas the intact inner walls facilitate charge transport during electrocatalysis due to their retained electrical conductivity. Surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) has developed into an extremely useful technique for the detection of low concentration chemicals and biomolecules.²³ SERS is achieved when noble metal nanoparticles are in close proximity to Raman-active molecules thus inducing dipole moments enhancing the inherent Raman signature. While free metal nanoparticles are able to induce SERS, it has been found that templating nanoparticles on a substrate, given optimized gaps between metal nanoparticles, allows for more sensitive and consistent SERS measurements; although most current fabrication techniques for SERS substrates are expensive and require complex instrumentation.^{24–25} Moreover, graphene sheets have been explored in SERS applications as support for noble metal nanoparticles.^{26–27} The doping of nitrogen atoms enhances the electronic structure of graphene for SERS, and promotes gold nanoparticle (GNP) binding affinity.^{19, 29} Here, the localized reactive sites of doped nitrogen atoms in the completely unzipped GNSs were employed to functionalize with GNPs to form GNS/GNP hybrid composite, which exhibits efficient SERS detection of Rhodamine 6G, displaying potential application in chemical biosensing.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Reagents:

Pt/C 10% sample was received from Columbia Chemical Company. Nafion®117 solution (5 wt%) and ferrocene were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. H₂ and Ar gases were procured from Valley National Gases. Dimethylformamide (DMF), ethanol (EtOH), KMnO₄, xylenes, and H₂SO₄ were purchased from Fisher Scientific; acetonitrile and HNO₃ were purchased from EMD Millipore. All chemicals were used as received.

Preparation of nitrogen-doped carbon nanotube cups (NCNCs):

NCNCs were prepared following published procedure.¹⁹ Briefly, NCNCs were synthesized using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) in a Lindberg/Blue tube furnace. NCNCs were formed on a quartz substrate positioned in a quartz tube (1 inch diameter) inside the furnace. A mixture of liquid precursors consisting of 7.0 wt % of acetonitrile, 0.75 wt % of ferrocene, and 92.25 wt % of xylenes were passed through the quartz tube at a rate of 1 mL/min with carrier gases of Ar at 126.8 sccm and H₂ at 37.5 sccm at 800 °C for 1 hr. The CVD furnace was then cooled down to room temperature under an Ar atmosphere for 1 hr. NCNCs product grown on the quartz substrate was then scraped off and collected using a double sided razor blade.

Chemical Oxidation and Unzipping of NCNCs:

Approximately 5 mg of as-synthesized NCNCs were first transferred to a 3:1 mixture of 95–98% sulfuric acid and 68% nitric acid (20 mL). The mixture was then sonicated in a Branson 1510 bath sonicator at a power of 80 W for 4 hrs at room temperature. Subsequently, 80 mL of water was added and this material was collected by filtration using a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane filter (200-nm pore size), washed thoroughly with water and dried overnight under vacuum at 60 °C. The resulting o-NCNCs were directly unzipped to obtain u-NCNCs using a previously reported H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ oxidative treatment.³⁰

For typical unzipping procedure of o-NCNCs, 2 mg of o-NCNCs were added to 2 mL of 98% sulfuric acid and incubated for 18 hrs. Subsequently, 10 mg of KMnO₄ was gradually added to the solution while keeping the temperature at 65 °C for 2 hrs. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of 10 mL of ice water containing 125 µL of 30% H₂O₂ solution. The solution was filtrated through a PTFE membrane (0.2-µm pore size), the solid was washed with 5% HCl solution (20 mL each time) thrice and water (20 mL each time) thrice, respectively. The final product was dried in vacuum to obtain u-NCNCs. For unzipping s-NCNCs, the same procedure was followed.

Material Characterization:

Low-resolution TEM images were obtained with a Philips/FEI Morgagni at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. High-resolution TEM images were taken using JEOL 2100F microscope with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. TEM samples were prepared by dropcasting the nanomaterial suspension in DMF or EtOH on a lacey carbon TEM grid (Pacific Grid-Tech) for low-resolution TEM imaging or on C-FLAT holey TEM grid (Electron Microscopy

Sciences) for high-resolution TEM imaging. SEM images were performed with a Phillips XL30 FEG microscope equipped using an ED AX assembly.

XPS spectra were collected on Thermo Scientific K-Alpha X-ray photoelectron spectrometer using monochromated K α X-rays (1486.6 eV). The sample spot size was 400 μ m, with a pass energy of 200 eV for the survey scans and 50 eV for high resolution. Charge compensation was provided by a low-energy electron source and Ar⁺ ions. XPS samples were prepared by briefly sonicating the NCNCs in ethanol and dropcasting them onto an aluminum substrate, and allowing the solvent to evaporate completely. The spectra were fitted after background subtraction of a Shirley type baseline. Peak shapes were optimized by using a Gaussian:Lorentzian ratio of 80:20. The FWHM was set within the range 1.5 – 3.0. Raman spectra were collected on a Reinshaw inVia Raman microscope with an excitation wavelength of 633 nm with scan wavelength range from 1000 to 2000 cm⁻¹ at 10% laser power (maximum 17 mW) with 15 s exposure time. Samples were dropcasted on a quartz slide and dried prior to characterization.

Electrochemical measurements:

All electrochemical measurements including cyclic voltammetry (CV), rotating ring disc electrochemistry (RRDE), linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), and chronoamperometry measurements were performed using a CHI 7042 Bipotentiostat (CH Instruments, Austin, TX). A Pt wire electrode (CHI 115) and an Ag/AgCl (CHI 111, 1 M KCl) electrode were used as the counter and reference electrode, respectively. All potentials are reported with respect to Ag/AgCl. The electrodes were purchased from CH Instruments, Austin, TX. The RRDE was purchased from Pine Instrument Company (AFE7R9GCPT; reported collection efficiency, N = 0.37) containing a GC disk and Pt ring electrode. The RRDE experiments were performed on a MSR Electrode Rotator. GC electrode (CHI 104, GC area 0.0707 cm², total area 0.196 cm²) or rotating ring-disk electrode (GC disk area 0.2475 cm²) were carefully polished with γ -alumina powder (0.05 micron, CH Instruments) to obtain a mirror finish, and then rinsed and sonicated with double distilled water to remove any alumina residues and finally dried under N₂.

Catalyst ink was made by dissolving 1 mg of NCNCs or Pt/C sample in a solvent mixture of 992 μ L of ethanol and 8 μ L of 5% Nafion by sonication for at least 30 minutes to give a concentration of 1 mg/mL and 0.04 % Nafion. 20 μ L of catalyst ink were then dropcasted on the GC electrode or the GC part of RRDE and allowed to air dry. For RRDE voltammetry, LSV was performed using modified RRDE in O₂ saturated 0.1 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 0.05 V/s and rotating speed of 1400 revolutions per minute (rpm) while the Pt ring was polarized at 0.5 V for oxidizing H₂O₂. Chronoamperometric response was tested by holding the electrode at -0.3 V for 8 hrs. The tests were repeated at least three times to confirm the reproducibility of the process. The collection efficiency of RRDE was confirmed by testing in 10 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆ solution with 0.5 M KNO₃ as the supporting electrolyte.

GNP functionalization and SERS characterization:

About 5 mL of 0.005 mg/mL GNSs unzipped from separated NCNCs were added with 250 μ L of 1 mg/mL HAuCl₄ solution and stirred on a hot plate at 70°C for 20 min. Then 150 μ L

of 1 wt% trisodium citrate solution was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for another 2 hr. The GNP-functionalized GNSs were collected by centrifugation at 3400 rpm and resuspended in ~1 mL water. R6G or Rh123 with different low concentrations were added into the GNS solution, 20 μ L were dropcasted on a glass slide for Raman spectroscopy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NCNCs were synthesized using a floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method as outlined in our previous reports.^{19–20, 31–32} The oxidation process was carried out by bath sonication of as-synthesized NCNCs in a mixture of H₂SO₄/HNO₃ (3:1 v/v) for 4 hrs. The oxidized NCNCs, termed herein as o-NCNCs, were then treated with H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ resulting in partially unzipped NCNCs (u-NCNCs). Alternatively, o-NCNCs were separated by high-intensity probe-tip sonication to yield separated NCNCs (s-NCNCs) consisting of many short-stacked and individual nanocups,^{19–20} which were then unzipped by the same H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ treatment forming nitrogen-doped GNSs (Scheme 1).

Figure 1 shows transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the corresponding materials. After the oxidation process, o-NCNCs largely maintained the morphology of stacked cup-shaped compartments of the initial material with diameters of 30–50 nm, and lengths of 1–2 μ m (Figure 1A). High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images in Figure 1B exhibit the well-defined multi-walled graphitic lattices that are curved at the tip of each stacked cup and extend to the surface of the sidewalls as illustrated in Scheme 1A. Unlike unzipping un-doped multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) which produces free-standing graphene nanoribbons,¹⁶ H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ treatment of o-NCNCs afforded a graphene/nanotube hybrid structure, in which the outer graphitic layers of o-NCNCs were partially exfoliated but still attached to the inner tubular cores. As shown in Figure 1C, the sidewalls of NCNCs became distorted and indistinct after unzipping as carbonaceous sheets were formed spreading around the nanotubes. In most cases, the inner cup-shaped tubular cores are still present, although the tubular structures were occasionally completely destroyed leaving only GNRs. Figure 1D shows a magnified image of the partially exfoliated sheets from a NCNC fiber undergoing the unzipping process. Graphitic lattices were still observed at the edge of the opened sheet, indicating that the unzipping process can penetrate several layers and form few-layer graphene sheets. Such a partial unzipping phenomenon was also observed in a recent study by Cruz-Silva, *et al.* on nitrogen-doped CNTs with diameters less than 50 nm, in contrast to the complete unzipping of un-doped MWCNTs under the same condition.¹⁶ We propose that the different unzipping behaviors may be attributed to the different morphologies of the two carbon nanomaterials. For MWCNTs, the graphitic walls are parallel to the tube axis, leaving a hollow tubular structure. According to the proposed unzipping mechanism,^{16, 30} the unzipping starts from the addition of manganese ester to C=C bonds on the exposed graphitic planes and propagates along the adjacent alkene groups on the same plane. Due to the unique stacked-cup structure of NCNCs, the tip of an individual cup is shielded from the external environment due to its insertion into the preceding cup; preventing the exposure of continuous graphitic planes on the outer surface of NCNCs. As a result, unzipping the surface of NCNCs requires

simultaneous breaking of multiple graphitic planes from different layers and thus is more energetically hindered (Scheme 1B).

To further investigate the unzipping mechanism, the stacked graphitic nanocups were first isolated out of their stacked structure through probe-tip sonication prior to the unzipping procedure.^{19–20} After the ultrasonic separation, most of the NCNC segments were shortened to about 200 nm consisting of either individual nanocups or several stacked-cup segments (Figure 1E). The separation did not alter their graphitic nature (Figure 1F). Instead, along with the separation of inserted cups, the previously inaccessible graphitic tips of NCNCs have been exposed and their subsequent complete unzipping is unhindered. After the same treatment procedure with $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{KMnO}_4$, the cup-shaped structures were completely unzipped and transformed into flake-like GNSs (Figure 1G). The unzipping appears to take place longitudinally along the cup axis (Figure S1A), in accordance with previous studies.^{16–17} The sizes of the nanosheets appear to be correlated to the initial length of the s-NCNCs, which ranges from apparent GQDs with 5–10 nm to larger flakes with hundreds of nm. HRTEM (Figure 1H) shows the graphitic nature of the unzipped GNSs with distinct carbon atoms forming six-membered rings. The small-sized GNSs mostly exist as single layer graphene according to the FFT pattern, while larger flakes tend to have several layers of graphene sheets (Figure S1B). The complete unzipping of s-NCNCs indicates that $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{KMnO}_4$ oxidation is more favorable on fully exposed graphene surfaces, which is achieved when the stacked nanocups are separated (Scheme 1C).

The morphology change after the partial unzipping process on o-NCNCs was further revealed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). NCNCs after acid oxidation still preserved a smooth surface with no apparent damage (Figure 2A). The unzipping process opened the outer surface forming graphitic nanoribbons wrapped around the inner tubular structure, leaving the surfaces of the NCNC/graphene hybrid structure wrinkled and distorted (Figure 2B). Some of the NCNCs are still undergoing unzipping with graphitic sheets being unfolded outside (dashed blocks, Figure 2B). The atomic force microscopy (AFM) image further depicts the structure of a partially unzipped NCNC fiber (Figure 2C). The fiber has a rough surface and uneven width from 80 to 160 nm, indicating that the graphitic layers are unzipped from different sections of the nanotube. The height profile shows a concaved shape at the unzipped section where the outer graphitic layers are opened from the middle and unfolded at both sides of the fiber with a thickness of 8 nm. AFM of GNSs shows a similar height profile between different sheets with a height of ~1 nm corresponding to single or double layer graphene layers (Figure S2). The unzipping process increases the average width of NCNCs from 30 nm to 114 nm according to measurements from SEM images (Figure 2A, B Inset). As indicated through the height profile of u-NCNCs and the increase in average width of NCNCs from o-NCNCs to u-NCNCs, unzipping NCNCs exposes the inner graphitic layers which were previously inaccessible in addition to revealing the inside wall of the outer graphitic layer which would effectively increase the surface area of the material and its subsequent catalytic activity.

Compared to the o-NCNCs, the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (Figure 2D) shows that the unzipping process by $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{KMnO}_4$ oxidation causes an increase in the overall oxygen content of u-NCNCs, due to formation of oxygen groups, primarily in the

forms of carbonyl and carboxylic groups (Figure S3), and a decrease in the nitrogen content possibly resulting from the generation of gaseous nitrogen species.³³ Both H₂SO₄/KMnO₃ and H₂SO₄/KMnO₄ oxidation processes lead to increasing amounts of defects on the graphitic structures as confirmed by the increased D/G ratio from Raman spectra (Figure S4). The high-resolution N 1s scans show changes of the nitrogen functionalities as a result of the unzipping process (Figure 2E, F). Before unzipping, the spectrum of o-NCNCs can be deconvoluted into 4 peaks at 398.7 eV (N1), 399.8 – 400.3 eV (N2), 401 – 401.6 eV (N3), and 405 eV (N5), which can be assigned to pyridinic, pyrrolic/amine, graphitic, and oxidized nitrogen species, respectively.³³ After unzipping, oxidized nitrogen is mostly removed as shown by the decrease in the N5 peak in the u-NCNCs N 1s XPS scan, which is likely removed under the strong oxidation conditions. The peak of pyrrolic/amine groups increased, which would be formed through the unzipping process that converts nitrogen previously contained within the graphitic lattice to edge nitrogen functionalities.²⁸ A new peak appearing at 402.3 eV (N4), which can be assigned to the nitrogen at the “valley” site between two benzene rings (Inset, Figure 2F). According to the proposed mechanism by Cruz-Silva *et al.*,¹⁷ the valley-N is likely transformed from graphitic N during oxidation due to the breaking of peripheral carbon-carbon bonds.

The XPS analysis on s-NCNCs and the completely unzipped GNSs also shows a decrease of nitrogen concentrations after the unzipping process (Figure S5A). The high resolution N 1s spectrum of s-NCNCs shows primarily graphitic and pyrrolic/amine nitrogen functionalities (Figure S5B). The KMnO₄ oxidative unzipping process on the s-NCNCs caused a decrease in overall nitrogen content of the GNSs. High resolution N 1s spectrum of GNSs shows that the nitrogen exists primarily as pyrrolic/amine nitrogen similar to the case of unzipping o-NCNCs (Figure S5C). Survey XPS data containing atomic percentages and high resolution N 1s XPS data containing percentages of nitrogen functionalities has been summarized into Table S1 and S2, respectively.

Because the existence of nitrogen alters the local electronic structure and makes the peripheral carbon networks more vulnerable to MnO₄[−] oxidation,¹⁷ we infer that the unzipping of s-NCNCs is preferentially induced by the nitrogen defective edges. This is evidenced by the decrease of nitrogen content from the XPS results after unzipping. Due to the small initial size of s-NCNCs and the increasing amount of defects created by probe-tip sonication (Figure S3), the unzipping may take place from multiple nitrogen-functionalized defective sites on s-NCNCs and propagate simultaneously, which more easily cuts the graphitic planes into many GNS-sized fragments.

Nitrogen-doped carbon nanomaterials have been shown to have excellent potential as ORR electrocatalysts, and as such we analyzed our GNR/nanotube hybrid materials to determine how their difference in structure and nitrogen doping affects their electrocatalytic activity towards the ORR. Rotating ring disc electrochemistry (RRDE) was performed in order to determine the halfwave potential of materials as well as the electron transfer number for ORR as determined by Equation 1; where *I*_d is the disc current, *I*_r is the ring current and the *N* is the collection efficiency of 0.37. Cyclic voltammetry was also performed and is included in Figure S6.

$$n = \frac{4I_D}{I_D + \frac{I_R}{N}} \quad \text{Equation 1:}$$

Figure 3A shows the RRDE scans for all synthesized materials. Electrodes fabricated from u-NCNCs showed the best ORR performance with a half-wave potential of -0.179 V which is comparable to that of commercial Pt/C at -0.173 V. u-NCNCs show a drastic improvement in ORR performance over o-NCNCs with a half-wave potential of -0.383 V despite a decrease in overall nitrogen content. This improvement must therefore be attributed to the increase in valley-N edge functionalities which have been shown to be more active towards ORR providing a more efficient use of doped nitrogen atoms.^{33–34} The electron transfer number of u-NCNCs was found to be 3.1 which is an improvement upon not only the o-NCNCs (2.9) but also pristine NCNCs which have a calculated electron transfer number of 2.6.³² The synthesized u-NCNCs also improve upon the previously reported graphene/nanotube composite materials by improving the half-wave potential without necessitating an increase in the overall nitrogen content of the material providing a new avenue for ORR electrocatalyst development.¹⁵ Upon separation of o-NCNCs to s-NCNCs a decrease in half-wave potential, maximum current and electron transfer number is observed. The diminished activity of s-NCNCs can be attributed to their shortened length, which is comparable to their diameter, resulting in a lower aspect ratio and denser packing of the s-NCNCs. This denser packing would result in an increased number of contact points between individual cups yielding a higher resistance than o-NCNCs and u-NCNCs. Unzipping s-NCNCs to GNSs shows an increase in ORR activity, similar to that between o-NCNCs and u-NCNCs, indicating that unzipping NCNCs facilitates the formation of active edges on the outer graphene sheets thus improving the ORR performance of NCNC based materials. Although GNSs should have the highest surface area of all synthesized materials, they do not show the most improved electrochemical performance. This indicates the necessity of a central carbon nanotube core for the most effective ORR electrocatalyst. All electrochemical data is summarized in Figure 3B.

Platinum, the most common ORR electrocatalyst, has many performance issues as surface deactivation occurs in response to the methanol crossover effect and CO poisoning in addition to consistent decrease in ORR activity on extended timescales. The activity of the u-NCNCs was tested for these concerns as shown in Figure S7 and in all cases u-NCNCs outperformed a commercial Pt/C electrocatalyst with u-NCNCs showing no loss in ORR activity in response to the addition of 3 M methanol, 30% better activity over Pt/C upon exposure to CO gas, and a long term stability of 90% of the initial current as compared to only 80% for the Pt/C after 7 hrs.

Furthermore, the synthesis of nitrogen-doped GNSs may have additional interesting applications as surface-enhanced Raman substrates. Facilitated by the rich nitrogen functionalities, the GNSs can be evenly decorated with gold nanoparticles (GNPs) Figure 3C shows the TEM image of the graphene nanosheets evenly functionalized with GNPs with diameters of about 20 nm presumably anchored on the local nitrogen functionalities. The

island distribution of GNPs on graphene nanosheets causes SERS on the graphene substrate (Figure S8A), which can be used for highly-sensitive SERS detection of biomolecules. To illustrate this application, we incubated the GNP/graphene nanosheets with Raman probe molecules Rhodamine 6G (R6G) at low concentrations, and dropcasted 20 μ L from each sample on glass slides for Raman spectra. Figure 3D shows that without the SERS substrate, pure R6G solution dropcasted on a glass slide incurred very weak Raman signals, even at high concentration of 10^{-2} M. However, significantly enhanced signals were observed in the presence of GNP/graphene nanosheets at 10^{-4} M of R6G, which correspond to enhancement of more than 100 times. The detection limit can be as low as 10^{-6} M under our current conditions; the signals at even lower concentration tend to be overshadowed by the graphene nanosheets. Similar SERS effect is observed on Rhodamine 123 (Figure S8B). We speculate that the SERS signals arise from the optimal diameters and interparticle distances of GNPs that provide coupled surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and significantly enhance the local electromagnetic field.³⁵ Given the enhancing SERS sensitivity combined with suitable functionalization chemistry of GNS/GNP composite, it can lead to new classes of novel nanomaterials for drug delivery and *in vivo* SERS spectrum imaging.

CONCLUSION:

Previous reports attempting to improve the ORR activity of nitrogen-doped carbon nanomaterials have relied on an increase of the overall nitrogen content. The findings in this report introduces an additional way for ORR improvement relying on a more effective use of inherent nitrogen functionalities, through the creation of edge functionalities, as opposed to an increase in overall content. The increase in ORR performance with an overall decrease in nitrogen content allows for future advancement in ORR electrocatalysts without necessitating increased nitrogen content which is difficult to achieve through standard synthesis methods. The large surface area of GNSs affords them as a potential substrate for sensing applications, and further decoration with GNPs raises their inherent Raman signal. Interaction of biomolecules with this SERS substrate allows for enhancement of their Raman peaks for a detection limit 100 times lower than can be achieved with unfunctionalized GNSs.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by NIEHS Award R01ES019304 and NSF CAREER Award No. 0954345. The authors thank the Nanoscale Fabrication and Characterization Facility and the department of biology from the University of Pittsburgh for provision of access to instruments. Y. Zhao thanks the support from Bayer MaterialScience Fellowship.

REFERENCES

1. Yu D; Zhang Q; Dai L Highly Efficient Metal-Free Growth of Nitrogen-Doped Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes on Plasma-Etched Substrates for Oxygen Reduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 15127–15129. [PubMed: 20929222]

2. Han W-Q; Kohler-Redlich P; Seeger T; Ernst F; Rühle M; Grobert N; Hsu W-K; Chang B-H; Zhu Y-Q; Kroto HW Aligned Cn Nanotubes by Pyrolysis of Ferrocene/C under Nh Atmosphere. *Appl Phys. Lett* 2000, 77, 1807.
3. Baker SN; Baker GA Luminescent Carbon Nanodots: Emerging Nanolights. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2010, 49, 6726–6744.
4. Wong N; Kam S; Connell M; Wisodm JA; Dai HJ Carbon Nanotubes as Multifunctional Biological Transporters and near-infrared Agents for Selective Cancer Cell Destruction. *PA.4S* 2005,102, 11600–11605.
5. Wang X; Li X; Zhang L; Yoon Y; Weber PK; Wang H; Guo J; Dai H N-Doping of Graphene through Electrothermal Reactions with Ammonia. *Science* 2009, 324, 768–771. [PubMed: 19423822]
6. Gong K; Du F; Xia Z; Durstock M; Dai L Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Arrays with High Electrocatalytic Activity for Oxygen Reduction. *Science* 2009, 323, 760–764. [PubMed: 19197058]
7. Tang Y; Allen BL; Kauffman DR; Star A Electrocatalytic Activity of Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Cups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009,131, 13200–13201. [PubMed: 19722487]
8. Chang DW; Lee EK; Park EY; Yu H; Choi HJ; Jeon IY; Sohn GJ; Shin D; Park N; Oh JH, et al. Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Nanoplatelets from Simple Solution Edge-Functionalization for N-Type Field-Effect Transistors. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2013, 135, 8981–8988. [PubMed: 23711048]
9. Qu Liangti; Liu Yong; Baek Jong-Beom; Dai L Nitrogen-Doped Graphene as Efficient Metal-Free Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Reduction in Fuel Cells *ACS Nano* 2010, 4, 1321–1326. [PubMed: 20155972]
10. Kim H; Lee K; Woo SI; Jung Y On the Mechanism of Enhanced Oxygen Reduction Reaction in Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Nanoribbons. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2011, 13, 17505–17510. [PubMed: 21946759]
11. Li Y; Zhao Y; Cheng H; Hu Y; Shi G; Dai L; Qu L Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots with Oxygen-Rich Functional Groups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2011,134, 15–18. [PubMed: 22136359]
12. Rao CV; Cabrera CR; Ishikawa Y In Search of the Active Site in Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Electrodes for the Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett* 2010, 1, 2622–2627.
13. Kurak KA; Anderson AB Nitrogen-Treated Graphite and Oxygen Electroreduction on Pyridinic Edge Sites. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009,113, 6730–6734.
14. Li Y; Zhou W; Wang H; Xie L; Liang Y; Wei F; Idrobo JC; Pennycook SJ; Dai H An Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalyst Based on Carbon Nanotube-Graphene Complexes. *Nat. Nanotechnol* 2012, 7, 394–400. [PubMed: 22635099]
15. Chen P; Xiao TY; Qian YH; Li SS; Yu SH A Nitrogen-Doped Graphene/Carbon Nanotube Nanocomposite with Synergistically Enhanced Electrochemical Activity. *Adv. Mater* 2013, 25, 3192–3196. [PubMed: 23657839]
16. Kosynkin DV; Higginbotham AL; Sinitskii A; Lomeda JR; Dimiev A; Price BK; Tour JM Longitudinal Unzipping of Carbon Nanotubes to Form Graphene Nanoribbons. *Nature* 2009, 458, 872–876. [PubMed: 19370030]
17. Cruz-Silva R; Morelos-Gomez A; Vega-Diaz S; Tristan-Lopez F; Elias AL; Perea-Lopez N; Muramatsu H; Hayashi T; Fujisawa K; Kim YA, et al. Formation of Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Nanoribbons Via Chemical Unzipping. *ACS Nano* 2013, 7, 2192–2204. [PubMed: 23421313]
18. Allen BL; Kichambare PD; Star A Synthesis, Characterization, and Manipulation of Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Cups. *ACS Nano* 2008,2, 1914–1920. [PubMed: 19206432]
19. Zhao Y; Tang YF; Chen YA; Star A Corking Carbon Nanotube Cups with Gold Nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* 2012, 6, 6912–6921. [PubMed: 22797411]
20. Zhao Y; Tang Y; Star A Synthesis and Functionalization of Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Cups with Gold Nanoparticles as Cork Stoppers. *J. Visualized Exp* 2013, e50383.
21. Li Y; Hu Y; Zhao Y; Shi G; Deng L; Hou Y; Qu L An Electrochemical Avenue to Green-Luminescent Graphene Quantum Dots as Potential Electron-Acceptors for Photovoltaics. *Adv. Mater* 2011, 23, 776–780. [PubMed: 21287641]
22. Pan D; Zhang J; Li Z; Wu M Hydrothermal Route for Cutting Graphene Sheets into Blue-Luminescent Graphene Quantum Dots. *Adv. Mater* 2010, 22, 734–738. [PubMed: 20217780]

23. Li JF; Huang YF; Ding Y; Yang ZL; Li SB; Zhou XS; Fan FR; Zhang W; Zhou ZY; Wu DY, et al. Shell-Isolated Nanoparticle-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *Nat. Nanotechnol* 2010, 464, 392–395.
24. Wustholz KL; Henry A-I; McMahon JM; Freeman RG; Valley N; Piotti ME; Natan MJ; Schatz GC; Duyn RPV Structure-Activity Relationships in Gold Nanoparticle Dimers and Trimers for Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010,132, 10903–10910. [PubMed: 20681724]
25. Lee J-H; Nam J-M; Jeon K-S; Lim D-K; Kim H; Kwon S; Lee H; Suh YD Tuning and Maximizing the Single-Molecule Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering from DNA-Tethered Nanodumbbells. *ACS Nano* 2012, 6, 9574–9584. [PubMed: 23036132]
26. Zhou X; Huang X; Qi X; Wu S; Xue C; Boey FYC; Yan Q; Chen P; Zhang H In Situ Synthesis of Metal Nanoparticles on Single-Layer Graphene Oxide and Reduced Graphene Oxide Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009,113, 10842–10846.
27. Schedin F; Lidorikis E; Lombardo A; Kravets VG; Geim AK; Grigorenko AN; Novoselov KS; Ferrari AC Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy of Graphene. *ACS Nano* 2010, 4, 5617–5626. [PubMed: 20857921]
28. Lv R; Li Q; Botello-Mendez AR; Hayashi T; Wang B; Berkdemir A; Hao Q; Elias AL; Cruz-Silva R; Gutierrez HR, et al. Nitrogen-Doped Graphene: Beyond Single Substitution and Enhanced Molecular Sensing. *Sci. Rep* 2012, 2.
29. Zhao Y; Burkert SC; Tang Y; Sorescu DC; Kapralov AA; Shurin GV; Shurin MR; Kagan VE; Star A Nano-Gold Corking and Enzymatic Uncorking of Carbon Nanotube Cups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2015,137, 675–684. [PubMed: 25530234]
30. Higginbotham AL; Kosynkin DV; Sinitskii A; Sun Z; Tour JM Lower-Defect Graphene Oxide Nanoribbons from Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes. *ACS Nano* 2010, 4, 2059–2069. [PubMed: 20201538]
31. Tang Y; Allen BL; Kauffman DR; Star A Electrocatalytic Activity of Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Cups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009,131, 13200–13201. [PubMed: 19722487]
32. Tang Y; Burkert SC; Zhao Y; Saidi WA; Star A The Effect of Metal Catalyst on the Electrocatalytic Activity of Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012,117, 25213–25221.
33. Sharifi T; Hu G; Jia X; Wagberg T Formation of Active Sites for Oxygen Reduction Reactions by Transformation of Nitrogen Functionalities in Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes. *ACS Nano* 2012, 6, 8904–8912. [PubMed: 23020173]
34. Lai LF; Potts JR; Zhan D; Wang L; Poh CK; Tang CH; Gong H; Shen ZX; Jianyi LY; Ruoff RS Exploration of the Active Center Structure of Nitrogen-Doped Graphene-Based Catalysts for Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *Energy Environ. Sci* 2012, 5, 7936–7942.
35. Chu H; Zhang J; Liu J; Li Y Decoration of Gold Nanoparticles on Surface-Grown Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes for Detection of Every Nanotube by Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009,131, 14310–14316. [PubMed: 19764748]

Figure 1.

Structural characterization of various carbon nanomaterials. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of (A) oxidized NCNCs (o-NCNCs); (C) partially unzipped NCNCs (u-NCNCs), arrows indicate the completely unzipped part; (E) separated NCNCs (s-NCNCs) after probe-tip sonication of (A); and (G) graphene nanosheets (GNSs) after unzipping of (E). High-resolution TEM images of (B) o-NCNCs, (D) u-NCNCs, (F) s-NCNCs, and (H) GNSs. Inset in D is the entire u-NCNCs from which the HRTEM image was obtained. Inset in H is Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of GNSs, dotted white lines show the outline of the GNS and solid white lines highlight several graphitic lattices.

Figure 2.

Surface morphology and compositional characterization. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (A) o-NCNCs, (B) u-NCNCs. The insets show the width distribution of corresponding materials; (C) Atomic force microscopy (AFM) of u-NCNCs; (D) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey scan of u-NCNCs (red) and o-NCNCs (black); (E, F) XPS N 1s spectra of o-NCNCs and u-NCNCs. The inset in F shows the chemical structure of a valley-N.

Figure 3.

Applications of unzipped NCNCs (A) RRDE voltammograms of commercial 10% Pt/C (black), u-NCNCs (red), o-NCNCs (green), GNSs (cyan), and s-NCNCs (blue) catalysts in O₂-saturated 0.1M KOH with a rotating speed of 1400 rpm; (B) tabulated electrochemical values of all materials for *a*) half-wave potential and *b*) electron transfer number (*n*); (C) TEM image of graphene nanosheets obtained from unzipping s-NCNCs after functionalization with gold nanoparticles (GNPs). The inset shows a magnified TEM image of GNP-functionalized GNS; (D) SERS of GNP-functionalized GNSs sensing probe molecules of Rhodamine 6G.

Scheme 1.

Schematic illustration of unzipping nitrogen-doped carbon nanotube cups (NCNCs). (A) Reagents and conditions: (a) $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{HNO}_3$ (3:1 v/v), bath sonication (4 hrs); (b) water, tip sonication (8 hrs); (c) H_2SO_4 (18 hrs), KMnO_4 (65 °C, 2 hrs). (B) and (C) The unzipping process on the surface of oxidized NCNCs (o-NCNCs) and separated NCNCs (s-NCNCs), respectively. Red dashed boxes on the o-NCNCs and s-NCNCs indicate the location of the unzipping mechanisms illustrated in B and C.