Published in final edited form as:

J Clin Virol. 2019 July ; 116: 1–3. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2019.04.002.

BioRad BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay: Incidence of false positivity in a low-prevalence population and its effects on the current HIV testing algorithm

Jayme Parker^{1,2,*}, Ana Fiorella Carrasco², and Jack Chen^{1,2}

¹Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Alaska State Public Health Virology Laboratory, Fairbanks, Alaska, United States of America

²Department of Biology and Wildlife, Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska -Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska, United States of America

Abstract

Background: The BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay, unlike other HIV 1/2 antigen/antibody immunoassays, is capable of differentiating positive HIV-1 antibodies (Groups M and O) from HIV-2 antibodies and/or HIV-1 p24 antigen in a single test.

Objective: The Alaska State Virology Laboratory (ASVL) adopted the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay early 2017 and can report on its performance in terms of false positivity in a low-prevalence population and its effects on the current HIV testing algorithm recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Study Design: Specimens received between March 2017 and August 2018 were screened using the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay. Specimens screening positive for HIV antibodies or antigen were further confirmed using the Geenius™ HIV 1/2 Supplemental Assay and/or HIV RNA testing.

Results: Of the 12,338 sera screened by the BioPlex assay for HIV, 35 specimens were positive. Only 22 of the specimens were confirmed by supplemental testing and were considered to be truly positive (PPV, 62.9%). RNA was not detected in these cases suggesting initial false positivity on the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay. True positive results had index values (IDX) of >180 whereas false positive IDX's were between 1 and 4, with the exception of one specimen.

Conclusions: We suggest that specimens demonstrating positivity with low IDX values 4 on the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay proceed directly to RNA testing, essentially bypassing

*Corresponding author address: 1051 Sheenjek Drive, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA. jayme.parker@alaska.gov. Tel: 907-371-1005; Fax 907-474-4036.

CRedit Author statement: **Jayme Parker:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing-Original draft, Investigation, Writing – reviewing and editing, Visualization, Supervision. **Ana Carrasco:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – reviewing and editing. **Jack Chen:** Supervision, Writing – reviewing and editing.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Competing Interests: None declared.

supplemental antibody confirmation tests, to reduce turnaround time and cost of HIV confirmation.

Keywords

HIV; false positive; BioPlex®; positive predictive value; testing algorithms; low-prevalence

Background

Reducing the detection window while improving HIV assay sensitivity has made a positive impact on HIV patient management and treatment. However, improved sensitivity in combination with other biological factors and technical issues can lead to false positive HIV results [1–4]. The HIV 1/2 antigen/antibody immunoassays have been shown to produce false positive results, especially in populations with low HIV prevalence [5, 6]. False positives are identified as specimens with low reactivity by initial HIV1/2 antigen/antibody immunoassays, which are unable to be confirmed by subsequent testing using varied methodologies, such as the Bio-Rad Geenius™ HIV-1/2 Supplemental Assay and PCR [4, 7–10].

BioRad's BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab combination assay is a multiplex flow immunoassay that can simultaneously detect and differentiate HIV-1 p24 antigen, HIV-1 (groups M and O) antibodies, and HIV-2 antibodies in human serum or plasma. It reportedly produces the best analytical sensitivity of HIV-1 p24 antigen on the market (limit of detection, 0.33 IU/mL and 5.2 pg/mL) as well as high specificity in low risk population (99.86%)[11]. The assay has been shown to be effective in identifying early cases of HIV when compared to other automated platforms [12]. The BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay is not widely used in public health settings at this time but offers a clear advantage in terms of reducing labor while improving diagnostics by separating antigen-antibody combination results into individual measurements in a fully automated manner. In this study, we look at the false positivity rate and positive predictive value when using the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab combination assay in a low HIV prevalence population and provide insight on its effects on the recommended HIV testing algorithm.

Study Design

A total of 12,338 sera were collected from patients ranging from age 2 to 92 (median age = 29, interquartile range = 23 to 38 years old) between March 2017 and August 2018 from various regions across Alaska and sent to the ASVL for surveillance purposes.

Specimens were screened using the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay on the BioPlex® 2200 instrument. Results are expressed as an index value (IDX) describing the measured RFI (relative fluorescent intensity unit) as a ratio to the cut-off value for each particular bead type. Four separate results were generated for each multiplexed test, where IDX values of 1 were considered reactive: 1) HIV Ag/Ab combo, undifferentiated, 2) HIV-1 antibody, 3) HIV-1 antigen, and 4) HIV-2 antibody. Specimens that exhibited any level of reactivity were confirmed using the Geenius™ Supplemental HIV 1/2 Antibody assay. RNA testing had to be referred to a different laboratory and therefore this process was reserved for specimens

testing positive for HIV p24 antigen without evidence of antibody presence as well as settling any discrepancies between the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay and the Geenius™ Supplemental HIV 1/2 Antibody assay.

Results

The majority of specimens tested belonged to patients aged 19 to 45 years old (75.6%). Representative of typical low HIV prevalence in Alaska, only 35 specimens demonstrated presence of HIV antibodies and/or p24 antigen (0.28%) during routine HIV screening. Of these 35 positive specimens, 22 (0.18% of total) were confirmed using the Geenius™ assay. The remaining 13 specimens that could not be confirmed were referred to Wadsworth Center (Albany, NY) for nucleic acid testing (NAT) (Supplemental table), with the exception of 3 specimens which did not have enough serum volume left at this stage of the algorithm. Patients were contacted in these cases and specimens were redrawn to provide additional serum to complete the algorithm. One specimen demonstrated positivity for HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies, but could only be tested for HIV-1 RNA due to lack of specimen volume. An additional specimen was redrawn in this case and retested to provide serum for HIV-2 RNA NAT. The positive predictive value in the Alaska population tested was 62.9% (TP/(TP + FP), 22/(22+13)). Of the 22 true positives, 21 specimens (95.5%) were positive for HIV-1 antibody only. The other true positive specimen demonstrated positivity for both HIV-1 antibody and HIV-1 p24 antigen. Of the 13 false positive reactions, 5 (38.5%) were positive for HIV-1 antibody only, 5 (38.5%) were positive for HIV-1 p24 antigen only, and 3 (23.1%) were positive for all targets (HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies as well as HIV-1 p24 antigen).

Table 1 describes average IDX values produced by the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay for each targeted analyte by result type. Most false positive reactions demonstrated an IDX measurement 4 with the exception of one specimen which measured between 11–12 IDX for HIV-1 antibody. On average, true positive reactions measured 10-fold higher (>180 IDX) when compared to false positive IDX values.

Discussion

Low prevalence of HIV infection in a population can lend itself to increased false positivity on diagnostic tests. For instance, BioRad Laboratories tested 6,395 patients in a low-risk population and found that 28 were repeatedly reactive for HIV using the BioPlex® HIV assay (specificity of 99% and sensitivity of 100%). Only 19 of these positives could be confirmed with the Geenius™ Supplemental HIV 1/2 assay and/or NAT testing (19/(19+9) = 67.9% PPV) [11], which is similar to this study (62.9%). This demonstrates that the BioPlex assay performed as intended in a low-prevalence setting. Although this isn't optimal, other HIV 1/2 antigen/antibody immunoassays have reported even lower PPVs, such as the Abbott Architect HIV antigen/antibody combination assay which demonstrated a PPV of 31.2% with significant PPV differences when testing sera from males (49.9%) vs. females (2.5%) [6].

Algorithm adjustments have shown to decrease the likelihood of false positives in various populations. In one study, PPV was improved by using two separate HIV 1/2 antigen/

antibody immunoassays during the screening process, in this case the Abbott Architect used in conjunction with the Vidas HIV Duo Ultra (97% PPV) [13]. A PPV of 83% was demonstrated on the Abbot Architect by considering significantly lower signal-to-cutoff ratios in a study focused pregnant women, a group that sometimes experiences higher rates of false positive HIV test results [14].

In our study, all false positive results demonstrated low IDX values, with the exception of one specimen. Based on our results, we suggest that caution be applied to any low positive BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay IDX value (< 4) when reporting preliminary HIV results. Also, supplemental antibody testing provided by the Geenius™ assay did not enhance HIV test interpretation. Sensitive RNA testing, however, helped rule out the presence of detectable virus, which allowed for the final conclusions of each false positive test result. Adjustments to the testing algorithm to bypass the supplemental antibody assay if the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay generates low positive IDX values may be helpful to reduce cost, labor, and turnaround time by following through directly to NAT testing (Figure 1).

The BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay will likely become more common among laboratories conducting HIV surveillance based on its technical ability to further characterize HIV positive specimens as well as reduce labor. In order to address the issue of false positivity in low-prevalence populations, laboratories choosing to adopt the BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay may want to consider bypassing the supplemental confirmation assay for specimens exhibiting low BioPlex® IDX values and proceed to RNA testing. Similar strategies have been considered for BioPlex® HIV-1 p24 Ag positive-only results [15]. This will ensure that weaker reactions obtained during HIV Ag-Ab combination screening are followed up to test for the presence of viral RNA indicating true HIV infection.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements:

Authors represent the State of Alaska's Government, Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Section of Laboratories. The authors wish to thank the staff at the Alaska State Public Health Virology Laboratory, especially Mary Louise Walmsley, for her technical expertise in the evaluation of the laboratory specimens, and Dr. Barbara Werner from the Association of Public Health Laboratories for her assistance with editing the manuscript.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by the State of Alaska Government, Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health. The research was also supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Numbers UL1GM118991, TL4GM118992, or RL5GM118990. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. UA is an AA/EO employer and educational institution and prohibits illegal discrimination against any individual: www.alaska.edu/titleIXcompliance/nondiscrimination.

Ethical Approval: This research project was reviewed and exempted by the University of Alaska Fairbanks Institutional Review Board (IRB) (Approval letter No. 1219176-1).

Abbreviations:

PPV positive predictive value

Ag	antigen
Ab	antibody
IDX	index value

References

1. Alonso R, et al., Evaluation of the Architect HIV Ag/Ab Combo Assay in a low-prevalence setting: The role of samples with a low S/CO ratio. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 2018 103: p. 43–47. [PubMed: 29635210]
2. Lang R, et al., HIV misdiagnosis: A root cause analysis leading to improvements in HIV diagnosis and patient care. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 2017 96: p. 84–88. [PubMed: 29031156]
3. Hardie DR, et al., Contamination with HIV antibody may be responsible for false positive results in specimens tested on automated platforms running HIV 4th generation assays in a region of high HIV prevalence. *PLOS ONE*, 2017 12(7): p. e0182167. [PubMed: 28759622]
4. Chacón L, Mateos ML, and Holguín Á, Relevance of cutoff on a 4th generation ELISA performance in the false positive rate during HIV diagnostic in a low HIV prevalence setting. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 2017 92: p. 11–13. [PubMed: 28501753]
5. Alonso R, et al., Evaluation of the Architect HIV Ag/Ab Combo Assay in a low-prevalence setting: The role of samples with a low S/CO ratio. *J Clin Virol*, 2018 103: p. 43–47. [PubMed: 29635210]
6. Kim S, et al., False-positive rate of a “fourth-generation” HIV antigen/antibody combination assay in an area of low HIV prevalence. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*, 2010 17(10): p. 1642–4. [PubMed: 20685938]
7. Wesolowski LG, et al., Highlights from the 2016 HIV diagnostics conference: The new landscape of HIV testing in laboratories, public health programs and clinical practice. *J Clin Virol*, 2017 91: p. 63–68. [PubMed: 28209395]
8. Fordan S, et al., Comparative performance of the Geenius™ HIV-1/HIV-2 supplemental test in Florida’s public health testing population. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 2017 91(Supplement C): p. 79–83. [PubMed: 28434810]
9. Keating SM, et al., Performance of the Bio-Rad Geenius HIV1/2 Supplemental Assay in Detecting “Recent” HIV Infection and Calculating Population Incidence. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2016 73(5): p. 581–588. [PubMed: 27509247]
10. Lavoie S, et al., Heterophilic interference in specimens yielding false-reactive results on the Abbott 4th generation ARCHITECT HIV Ag/Ab Combo assay. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, 2018 104: p. 23–28. [PubMed: 29704735]
11. Bio-Rad Laboratories, BioPlex® 2200 HIV Ag-Ab. 2018 <http://www.bio-rad.com/en-us/product/bioplex-2200-hiv-ag-ab?ID=NQD4K915>, retrieved January 18, 2019.
12. Eshleman SH, et al., Performance of the BioPlex 2200 HIV Ag-Ab assay for identifying acute HIV infection. *J Clin Virol*, 2018 99–100: p. 67–70.
13. Avidor B, et al., Evaluation of the virtues and pitfalls in an HIV screening algorithm based on two fourth generation assays - A step towards an improved national algorithm. *J Clin Virol*, 2018 106: p. 18–22. [PubMed: 30007138]
14. Adhikari EH, et al., Diagnostic accuracy of fourth-generation ARCHITECT HIV Ag/Ab Combo assay and utility of signal-to-cutoff ratio to predict false-positive HIV tests in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 2018 219(4): p. 408.e1–408.e9. [PubMed: 29913173]
15. Association of Public Health Laboratories and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, APHL/CDC HIV demonstration project for HIV Nucleic Acid Testing (NAT) referral. Updated January 2018, https://www.aphl.org/Materials/UPDATED_1-18-WADSWORTH_2017_2018_Submitting_Lab_Instructions.pdf, retrieved January 18, 2019.

Highlights:

- The BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay fits uniquely into the current HIV test algorithm.
- The assay has acceptable positive predictive value even in regions of low disease.
- No significant commonalities among patients testing falsely positive for HIV.

Figure 1.
Current recommended HIV testing algorithm showing suggested modifications in red.

Table 1.

Comparison of BioPlex® HIV Ag-Ab assay IDX values by result type

Result Type	# specimens	HIV Ag Ab	HIV-1 Ab	HIV-1 Ag	HIV-2 Ab
True Positive	22	187.49 ± 35.45	187.49 ± 35.45	0.52 ± 0.87	0.17 ± 0.08
False Positive	13	2.58 ± 2.90	2.06 ± 3.03	1.09 ± 1.11	0.50 ± 0.60
Negative	12,338	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00	<1.00