Published in final edited form as:

Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2019 April ; 34(4): 563–570. doi:10.1002/gps.5051.

Health Literacy, Sociodemographic Factors, and Cognitive Training in the ACTIVE Study of Older Adults

SP Verney¹, LE Gibbons², NO Dmitrieva³, AM Kueider⁴, MW Williams⁵, OL Meyer⁶, JJ Manly⁷, SM Sisco⁸, and M Marsiske⁹

¹Department of Psychology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA.

²University of Washington, UW Medicine Memory and Brain Wellness Center Harborview Medical Center, Seattle, WA, USA.

³Northern Arizona University, College of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Flagstaff, AZ, USA.

⁴Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Duke Institute for Brain Sciences, Durham, NC, USA.

⁵Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Baltimore, MD, USA.

⁶Alzheimer's Disease Center Department of Neurology, University of California, Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA, USA.

⁷Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA.

⁸Department of Veterans Affairs Danville, IL, USA.

⁹Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA.

Abstract

Objective.—Health literacy is critical for understanding information from healthcare providers and correct use of medications and includes the capacity to filter other information in navigating health care systems. Older adults with low health literacy exhibit more chronic health conditions, worse physical functioning, and poorer mental health. This study examined the relationship between sociodemographic variables and health literacy, and the impact of cognitive training on change in health literacy over 10 years in older adults.

Methods.—Participants ($N = 2,802$) aged 65 years and older completed assessments, including reading and numeracy health literacy items, as part of the Advanced Cognitive Training for Independent and Vital Elderly (ACTIVE) study. We evaluated baseline sociodemographic variables and change in health literacy over a 10-year period in individuals exposed to cognitive training in reasoning, processing speed, memory, or a no-contact control condition.

Results.—Age, sex, race, education level, and general cognitive functioning at baseline were all associated with baseline health literacy in older adults. Predictors of change in health literacy over

the 10-year follow-up were age, race, education level, general cognitive functioning and neighborhood income; disparities in health literacy due to race attenuated over time, while the effect of age increased over time. Health literacy was generally stable across the ACTIVE intervention groups over 10 years.

Conclusions.—The present study showed important disparities in health literacy level and change over 10 years. Cognitive training did not significantly impact health literacy, suggesting that alternative approaches are needed to reduce the disparities.

Keywords

health literacy; older adults; health disparities; cognitive training

Health literacy has been defined as the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions ^{1,2}. Health literacy is critical for understanding instructions from doctors, directions on drug bottles, and reading appointment slips, and includes the capacity to filter important information when negotiating health care systems ². Older adults with low health literacy report more chronic health conditions, worse physical functioning, and poorer mental health ²⁻⁹. An estimated 80 million Americans have limited health literacy ². Low health literacy is more prevalent among racial and ethnic minorities, older adults, and individuals who have not completed high school, spoken a language other than English before starting school, or who are living in poverty ¹⁰. In the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy ¹⁰, the lowest category of health literacy (“below basic”) was over-represented by Hispanic (41%), American Indian and Alaska Native (25%), Black (24%), and Asian (13%) respondents compared to White (9%) respondents. Many possible mediators and moderators of the relationship between health literacy and health outcomes have been explored, with evidence for some mediators (knowledge, patient self-efficacy, norms, and stigma) affecting the causal pathway for some health outcomes (adherence and diabetes control) and some moderators (social support and health care system characteristics) affecting the magnitude or direction of some outcomes (adherence and blood pressure control) ⁹. However, predictors of health literacy and change in health literacy have not been explored in a large sample.

Managing one’s health and navigating the health care system depends in part on a cognitive skill set ¹¹. Indeed, health literacy is typically assessed by screenings of reading and numeracy skills, such as the Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (TOHFLA) ¹² and its shortened version (S-TOHFLA) ¹³, both involving items measuring the ability to understand healthcare and medication instructions, the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine (REALM) ¹⁴ involving medical term recognition and pronunciation, and the Newest Vital Signs test (NVS) ¹⁵ involving comprehending health related information and numeracy. Such screening measures are significantly associated with both crystallized and fluid cognitive abilities ¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

Health literacy appears to remain relatively stable over time, but declines in older adulthood ¹¹. In a cross-sectional national survey, rates of low health literacy in those age 65 years and older were more than double that of adults age 50–64 years ¹⁰. The relative stability of

health literacy over time preceding cognitive decline may be due to the strong associations with general cognitive functioning and reading literacy^{11,16,19}.

Interventions to improve health literacy have limited or mixed success²⁰. The challenge has been in developing effective behavioral and health system interventions that overcome the negative impact of an individual's limited comprehension of healthcare information^{2,20}. Most interventions have focused on increasing knowledge, improving self-efficacy, and changing behavior²¹. In a review of 38 intervention studies for individuals with low health literacy, the design features that demonstrated effectiveness in improving patient comprehension included strategic presentation of essential information, and adding video or verbal narrative; a few studies reported that intensive self-management interventions reduced hospitalizations and emergency department visits, and intensive self- and disease-management interventions reduced the severity of the targeted disease²². Because health literacy is reflective of a cognitive skill set, improvement of health literacy through cognitive intervention may be one path for maintaining health in later life as well as maximizing benefit of medical check-ups and instructions from healthcare providers.

The Advanced Cognitive Training for Independent and Vital Elderly (ACTIVE) study²³ is a longitudinal randomized controlled trial that investigated whether cognitive training in late adulthood improved the cognitive abilities of community-dwelling older adults. The three intervention groups received ten training sessions in memory, reasoning, or processing speed. Participants who attended a minimum number of initial training sessions were eligible to receive additional booster sessions 11 and 35 months after initial training. At the 10-year follow-up, compared to the control group, participants in the processing speed intervention retained a medium-to-large improvement effect and participants in the reasoning intervention retained a small improvement effect in their respective cognitive domains. Results for memory training were not significant²⁴. The intervention groups also exhibited fewer declines in activities of daily living than the control group.

The purpose of this study was threefold: 1) to investigate demographic associations with health literacy at baseline; 2) to examine predictors of health literacy over a 10-year follow up; and 3) to explore the impact of cognitive training interventions on health literacy in older adults in the ACTIVE project. Consistent with the literature, we hypothesized that lower health literacy would be associated with older age, racial/ethnic minority status, lower education, and lower socioeconomic status (SES). Further, we hypothesized that these demographic variables would predict changes in health literacy over time. Based on previous studies linking health literacy and cognitive functioning as well as the 10-year results of ACTIVE cognitive training, we also hypothesized that change over time in health literacy would be improved by randomization to ACTIVE domain-specific cognitive training interventions of reasoning and processing speed.

Method

Participants

ACTIVE is a randomized controlled trial of behavioral training interventions designed to improve cognitive abilities and functioning of independently-living older adults. At baseline,

participants were English-speaking, cognitively healthy community-dwelling older adults ($N = 2,802$) between 65 and 94 years of age from six different sites across the eastern U.S. Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Details on the screening, eligibility criteria, and recruitment have been previously reported (Jobe et al., 2001). Briefly, individuals were excluded if they reported or showed evidence of: 1) cognitive impairment as assessed by a Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975) score of ≤ 23 ; 2) functional dependence related to dressing, personal hygiene, or bathing; 3) medical conditions with high risk of functional decline, including dementia diagnosis and stroke; 4) previous participation in cognitive training interventions; and 5) vision, hearing, or communication impairments.

Measures

Health Literacy.—Nineteen variables from the ACTIVE assessments were identified as inquiring about either reading or numeracy health literacy. Health literacy relevant items were coded from the Everyday Problems Test (EPT) ²⁵, the Observed Tasks of Daily Living (OTDL) ^{26,27}, and the Timed Instrumental Activities of Daily Living tasks (TIADL) ²⁸ (see Table 2). The EPT measures the ability to understand and solve problems involving printed materials with seven domains of daily living (i.e., food preparation, medication use, shopping, housekeeping, transportation, financial management, and telephone use). There are 15 different printed materials (e.g., medication label, a tax form) and for each printed material, participants must answer a question (or questions) about the stimulus (e.g., when shown a drug label, participants must identify the maximum drug dose over a 2-day period). The OTDL is a performance-based assessment of three IADLs – medication use, telephone use, and managing finances. Unlike the EPT, the OTDL assesses performance via direct observation of participant behavior. For example, a participant is given a medicine bottle and asked to read the directions printed on the bottle. The TIADL tests consisted of five common activities of daily living which required participants to search for, and process information regarding target objects or information (e.g., finding a telephone number in a phone book).

Sociodemographic Variables.—Demographic data were collected at baseline via telephone interview and included age, sex, years of education, and race. In this study, the race categories were coded as White, Black, or a combined “Other” category, which included Asian, American Indian/Alaska Native, Biracial, and “other race” (as listed in the ACTIVE study). A measure of SES, median neighborhood household income, was determined by geocoding the participant’s address and linking this to census-tract data from the 2000 U.S. Census ²⁹.

General Cognitive Functioning.—The MMSE is widely used to screen for cognitive impairment and assesses changes in cognitive functioning ³⁰. Scores range from 0–30 points; higher scores indicate better cognitive functioning.

ACTIVE Cognitive Training.—ACTIVE randomized participants to one of four groups: 1) memory training ($n=703$); 2) reasoning training ($n=699$); 3) processing speed training ($n=702$); or 4) no-contact control ($n=698$). The initial intervention consisted of 10 sessions of the assigned cognitive training. The sample was re-contacted for subsequent follow-up

immediately following intervention (post-test) and again at 1-, 2-, 3-, 5-, and 10-years post-intervention. Standardized neuropsychological tests were administered to evaluate memory, reasoning, and processing speed. Specific details of each cognitive intervention and neuropsychological measures are presented elsewhere²³. A vision test was also administered at each visit and coded on a scale from 0 to 100.

Analytic Strategy

A health literacy composite (HLC) score was constructed using factor analysis in Mplus 7.11³¹ with baseline data. The composite score was tested for unidimensionality and differential item functioning (DIF) due to race, age, sex, education, and an index of SES (Supplement 1). The baseline parameters were used to compute health literacy scores at follow-up. Scores were standardized ($M=0$, $SD=1$).

Linear regression was used to assess baseline associations. Mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood estimation and an unstructured covariance matrix were used for longitudinal analyses, except for testing the difference in change over time by intervention group, where maximum likelihood models were fit and an overall likelihood ratio test (LRT) of the effect of the time by intervention group terms was conducted. Race was categorized as White/Black/Other (including mixed race), except in the model examining interactions where Other race was included with White, due to the small number ($n=47$). As is common in literature using the ACTIVE dataset²⁴, all models controlled for baseline visual acuity, study site, and replication code.

Results

Health Literacy Composite (HLC)

Two items were not included in the composite due to lack of discordance and dimensionality issues (Table 2). Model fit for the 17-item HLC was excellent, and the impact of differential item function was negligible (see Supplement 1).

Baseline Associations with Health Literacy

Sex, race, age, education, general cognitive functioning, and vision at baseline were all significantly associated with level of health literacy, after controlling for site, visual acuity, and replication code (Table 3). Males had lower health literacy scores than females, and Black participants had lower health literacy scores than White participants. Older participants had lower health literacy scores than younger participants, and participants with fewer years of education had lower health literacy scores than those with more years of education. Lower general cognitive functioning, as measured by the MMSE, and poorer vision at baseline were also associated with lower health literacy scores. Neither median neighborhood household income nor the “Other” race category were associated with health literacy.

Change over Time in Health Literacy

Scores on the HLC did not change much until the 10-year visit, when they were 0.29 SD lower than at baseline. Female sex, Black race, older age, lower education, lower general

cognitive functioning, lower neighborhood income, and poor vision all significantly predicted lower health literacy over the 10-year follow-up (Table 4).

When interactions were examined, several variables with significantly different baseline effects exhibited different rates of decline in health literacy over the 10-year time period (Table 5). Women had higher scores at baseline than men, and then lower scores at post-test, but after that their rates of decline (from baseline) were similar. Black participants had lower health literacy scores at baseline than White participants, but much of the health literacy difference was attenuated over time, with White participants exhibiting more decline. Compared to younger participants, older participants had lower health literacy scores at baseline and declined more quickly in health literacy over time.

ACTIVE Cognitive Training Intervention and Health Literacy

To investigate the effects of training in memory, reasoning, or processing speed on health literacy, the terms for the three intervention cognitive groups processing were entered into the model shown in Table 5. The intervention effects were non-significant for all three cognitive training interventions: (beta=0.025, 95% CI (-0.095, 0.045); $p=0.478$); reasoning (beta=-0.016, 95% CI (-0.054, 0.087); $p=0.653$); and processing speed (beta=0.007, 95% CI (-0.063, 0.077); $p=0.841$)). Further, the interventions did not affect the rate of change in health literacy (LRT $p=0.838$).

Discussion

The goals of the current analyses were to: 1) investigate predictors of health literacy at baseline; 2) explore changes in health literacy over a 10-year period and 3) investigate the effects of cognitive training on health literacy. At baseline, we found that health literacy was associated with age, sex, race, education, general cognitive functioning, and vision, in the expected directions. Median neighborhood household income, a proxy for SES, was not associated with health literacy at baseline in the ACTIVE cohort. Cognitive training did not influence health literacy level or change. Health literacy level remained stable over much of the follow-up period and only showed a marked change at the 10-year follow-up where it declined to 0.29 SD lower than at baseline. Baseline differences in health literacy by race were attenuated over time. Older participants showed faster health literacy declines over time compared to younger participants.

Consistent with the literature, baseline HLC scores in this study were associated with general cognitive functioning at baseline. Screening measures relating to cognitive aspects of health literacy have been significantly associated with both fluid (active learning and information processing) and crystallized (long-term memory and general knowledge) cognitive abilities¹⁹. Further, accounting for cognitive functioning reduces relationships between health literacy and physical health and between health literacy and depression¹⁶. However, other critical aspects thought to influence health literacy such as the ability to network and interact with others and use technology, understanding the disease process, motivation, and self-efficacy are not measured with the widely-used cognitive screening measures³². Similarly, we were not able to ascertain these other aspects of health literacy in our study.

Race, age, education, general cognitive functioning, and neighborhood income all significantly predicted health literacy over the 10-year follow-up. Our findings partially parallel those in a recent study of cognitive functioning and health literacy decline of adults age 52 and older over a 6-year period, in which participants who were older, male, non-White, had low educational attainment, low occupational class, or who exhibited lower cognitive functioning were more likely to evidence health literacy decline¹⁹. SES, although related to other demographic characteristics, has been uniquely associated with health literacy³³. Our lack of an association with an index of SES and health literacy at baseline may be due to a restricted range in SES in the ACTIVE study²⁹, though we did note an effect on change over time. While race was associated with baseline health literacy scores and change of health literacy over time, the differences between Black and White participants attenuated over time with White participants exhibiting more decline. This is in contrast to the race findings in the Kobayashi et al. (2015) study in which non-White participants (42.4% Black and 7.0% Other) evidenced more health literacy decline. Our ACTIVE sample had smaller percentages of both Black (26%) and “Other race” (2%) than the Kobayashi et al. (2015) study, which may account for some of the discrepancy. Participants from the Kobayashi et al. (2015) study were from an academic internal medicine clinic and were, on average, less healthy than the ACTIVE participants, who, in order to participate in the cognitive training, were recruited if they were cognitively healthy, community-dwelling older adults who lived in close proximity to study sites where the cognitive training occurred.

In our study with individuals age 65 years and older, health literacy remained relatively stable over time until the 10-year follow-up, which is in contrast to cross-sectional studies of correlations between health literacy and age indicating a lower health literacy in older adulthood. In a review of 60 cross-sectional studies of adults age 50 years and older, older age was associated with more limited health literacy scores¹¹. However, the extent of this association depended upon the measure of health literacy used, with stronger age associations found among health literacy measures of reading comprehension, reasoning, and numeracy skills, and weaker age associations among health literacy measures of medical vocabulary¹⁹.

Our hypothesis that ACTIVE cognitive training would improve health literacy scores over the 10-year follow-up period was not supported. Since the HLC is comprised of items assessing cognitive skills, and other similar measures of health literacy are strongly associated with both fluid and crystallized cognitive functioning¹⁹, we predicted cognitive training, especially in the speed and reasoning domains, which demonstrated significant training effects over time, would also impact health literacy scores over time. This null result may be due to the items of the HLC being related to health medication use, health care, health history, and food rather than tapping more directly into the cognitive domains of reasoning and processing speed. While cognitive training may result in improvements in specific cognitive domains, improving the complex array of skills and knowledge involved in managing one’s health and health care is likely to encompass another layer of complexity. Indeed, health literacy interventions have shown limited or mixed results, with the most consistent positive results stemming from multiple discrete design features to scaffold

comprehension and intensive self-management interventions that reduced emergency department visits and hospitalizations²⁰.

This study was not without limitations. 1) Our HLC score was created from three questionnaires not originally designed to measure health literacy. However, the items are similar to other widely used screening measures of the TOHFLA, REALM, and NVS. Further, our composite with older adults at baseline provided an excellent model fit and did not evidence differential item functioning with respect to age, race, sex, education, or an index of SES (see Supplement 1). Thus, our HLC appeared to provide a sound screening index of health literacy in older adults. Still, it is possible that some types of items were more sensitive to change with increasing age than others. 2) Due to inclusion criteria, the ACTIVE sample is comprised of relatively healthy older adults with little to no functional impairments at baseline. They were also a highly motivated sample interested in receiving cognitive training. These characteristics may help to explain why scores on the HLC remained relatively stable over the course of follow-up and did not show much change until the 10-year follow-up. It is also possible that the results were affected by selective survival based age, race, sex, and education. 3) ACTIVE did not sample American Indians/Alaska Natives or Asian Americans, two ethnic groups who have particularly low health literacy¹⁰, or people for whom English was not their primary language. 4) We used the MMSE as the measure of general cognitive functioning, limiting investigations of associations among specific cognitive domains and health literacy, such as executive functioning, attention, language proficiency, and short and long-term verbal memory.

The findings in this study highlight the challenges of addressing low health literacy, improving general health status in older adults, and reducing health disparities. The concept of health literacy involves an array of cognitive, social, and personal skills, abilities, and knowledge necessary to successfully navigate the healthcare system and manage one's own health challenges. While cognitive abilities may not be readily improved in older adults, core academic skills and health-related knowledge can be improved with targeted interventions³⁴. Increasing information-management skills and patient-provider interactions may improve health literacy in older adults³⁵, and health care professionals may need to spend more time with older patients with low health literacy for both information dissemination and information skills training. In a meta-review of patient adherence to medication in older adults, weak associations were found in improving health literacy in older adults by focusing on education and lowering instruction health literacy demands³⁶. Increasing health literacy in underrepresented and underserved populations such as older racial/ethnic minorities will likely benefit from a community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach in the broader health research literature. CBPR has been successful in engaging underrepresented and underserved groups, such as racial/ethnic minorities and older adults, improving health outcomes and trust while developing partnerships among communities and researchers³⁷.

This study was the first to evaluate long-term change in health literacy over time in older adults in a cognitive training study, and we found that scores did not decline appreciably until 10 years after the first assessment. Differences by race attenuated over time, while the age effect grew stronger. Since none of the ACTIVE cognitive interventions mitigated this decline, other interventions targeting older adults should be pursued.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

ACTIVE is supported by grants from the National Institute on Aging and the National Institute of Nursing Research to Hebrew Senior Life (U01NR04507), Indiana University School of Medicine (U01NR04508), Johns Hopkins University (U01AG14260), New England Research Institutes (U01AG14282), Pennsylvania State University (U01AG14263), University of Alabama at Birmingham (U01AG14289), University of Florida (U01AG14276), University of New Mexico (R01AG049084). We also gratefully acknowledge a conference grant from the National Institute on Aging (R13AG030995 PI: D. Mungas) as well as P50 AG05136 (PI: T. Grabowski) that facilitated data analysis for this project.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Nursing Research, National Institute on Aging, or the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010. In. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office 2000.
2. Institute of Medicine. Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 2004.
3. Baker DW, Parker RM, Williams MV, Clark WS. Health literacy and the risk of hospital admission. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 1998;13(12):791–798. [PubMed: 9844076]
4. Baker DW, Parker RM, Williams MV, Clark WS, Nurss J. The relationship of patient reading ability to self-reported health and use of health services. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1997;87(6):1027–1030. [PubMed: 9224190]
5. Baker DW, Wolf MS, Feinglass J, Thompson JA. Health literacy, cognitive abilities, and mortality among elderly persons. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2008;23(6):723–726. [PubMed: 18330654]
6. Kalichman SC, Rompa D. Functional health literacy is associated with health status and health-related knowledge in people living with HIV-AIDS. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2000;25(4):337–344.
7. DeWalt DA, Berkman ND, Sheridan S, Lohr KN, Pignone MP. Literacy and health outcomes: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2004;19(2):1228–1239. [PubMed: 15610334]
8. Sudore RL, Yaffe K, Satterfield S, et al. Limited literacy and mortality in the elderly: The Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2006;21(8):806–812. [PubMed: 16881938]
9. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low health literacy and health outcomes: An updated systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2011;155(2):97–107. [PubMed: 21768583]
10. Kutner M, Greenberg E, Jin Y, Paulsen C. The health literacy of America's adults: Results from the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics;2006 2006–483.
11. Kobayashi LC, Wardle J, Wolf MS, von Wagner C. Aging and functional health literacy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Gerontology, Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*. 2014.
12. Parker R, Baker D, Williams M, et al. The Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 1995;10(10):537–541. [PubMed: 8576769]
13. Baker DW, Williams MV, Parker RM, Gazmararian JA, Nurss J. Development of a brief test to measure functional health literacy. *Patient Education & Counseling*. 1999;38(1):33–42. [PubMed: 14528569]

14. Davis TC, Long SW, Jackson RH, et al. Rapid estimate of adult literacy in medicine: A shortened screening instrument. *Family Medicine*. 1993;25:391–395. [PubMed: 8349060]
15. Weiss BD, Mays MZ, Martz W, et al. Quick assessment of literacy in primary care: The newest vital sign. *Annals of Family Medicine*. 2005;3:514–522. [PubMed: 16338915]
16. Serper M, Patzer RE, Curtis LM, et al. Health literacy, cognitive ability, and functional health status among older adults. *Health Services Research*. 2014;49:1249–1267. [PubMed: 24476068]
17. Federman AD, Sano M, Wolf MS, Siu AL, Halm EA. Health literacy and cognitive performance in older adults *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2009;57(8):1475–1480. [PubMed: 19515101]
18. Wolf MS, Gazmararian JA, Baker DW. Health literacy and functional health status among older adults. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2005;26(165):1946–1952.
19. Kobayashi LC, Smith SG, O C R, et al. The role of cognitive function in the relationship between age and health literacy: a cross-sectional analysis of older adults in Chicago, USA. *BMJ Open*. 2015;5:e007222.
20. Sheridan SL, Halpern DJ, Viera AJ, Berkman ND, Donahue KE, Crotty K. Interventions for individuals with low health literacy: A systematic review. *Journal of Health Communication*. 2011;16(Suppl 3):30–54. [PubMed: 21951242]
21. Pignone M, DeWalt DA, Sheridan S, Berkman N, Lohr KN. Interventions to improve health outcomes for patients with low literacy: A systematic review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2005;20(2):185–192. [PubMed: 15836553]
22. Sheridan SL, Halpern DJ, Viera AJ, Berkman ND, Donahue KE, Crotty K. Interventions for individuals with low health literacy: A systematic review. *Journal of Health Communication: International Perspectives*. 2014;16(Sup 3):20–54.
23. Jobe JB, Smith DM, Ball K, et al. ACTIVE: A cognitive intervention trial to promote independence in older adults. *Control Clin Trials*. 2001;22(4):453–479. [PubMed: 11514044]
24. Rebok GW, Ball K, Guey LT, et al. Ten-year effects of the Active cognitive training trial on cognition and everyday functioning in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014;62(1):16–24. [PubMed: 24417410]
25. Willis SL, Marsiske M. *Manual for the Everyday Problems Test*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University;1993.
26. Diehl M, Willis SL, Schaie KW. Everyday problem solving in older adults: Observational assessment and cognitive correlates. *Psychological Aging*. 1995;10:478–491.
27. Diehl M, Marsiske M, Horgas AL, Saczynski J. Psychometric properties of the Revised Observed Tasks of Daily Living (OTDL-R). Paper presented at: Poster presented at the Annual meeting of the Gerontological Society of America; November, 1998; Philadelphia.
28. Owsley C, McGwin GJ, Sloane ME, Stalvey BT, Wells J. Timed instrumental activities of daily living tasks: Relationship to visual function in older adults. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2001;78(350–359).
29. Sisco SM, Marsiske M. Neighborhood influences on late life cognition in the ACTIVE study. *Journal of Aging Research*. 2012;11.
30. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-mental state: A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 1975;12(3):189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]
31. Muthén LK, Muthén BO. *MPlus: statistical analysis with latent variables (Version 7.11)*. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén; 1998–2013.
32. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, et al. Health literacy interventions and outcomes: An updated systematic review In: *Quality AfHRA*, ed. Vol Evidence Report/Technology Assessment No. 199. Rockville, MD: RTI International-University of North Carolina Evidence-based Practice Center under contract No. 290–2007-10056-I; 2011.
33. Paasche-Orlow MK, Wolf MS. The casual pathways linking health literacy to health outcomes. *Am J Health Behav*. 2007;31(S):19–26.
34. Ownby RL, Acevedo A, Waldrop-Valverde D, Jacobs RJ, Caballero J. Abilities, skills, and knowledge in measures of health literacy. *Patient Education & Counseling*. 2014;95:211–217. [PubMed: 24637163]

35. von Wagner C, Steptoe A, Wolf MS, Wardle J. Health literacy and health actions: A review and a framework from health psychology. *Health Education & Behavior*. 2009;36(5):860–877. [PubMed: 18728119]
36. Geboers B, Brainard JS, Loke YK, et al. The association of health literacy with adherence in older adults, and its role in interventions: A systematic meta-review. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15(903): 1–10. [PubMed: 25563658]
37. Wallerstein NB, Duran B. Community-based participatory research contributions to intervention to research: The intersection of science and practice to improve health equity. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2010;100:S40–S46. [PubMed: 20147663]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Key-points:

- Older adults completed health literacy items at baseline and over a 10-year period as part of a cognitive training study.
- Baseline health literacy scores were associated with age, sex, race, education level, and general cognitive functioning, while predictors of health literacy change over the 10-year period were age, race, education level, general cognitive functioning, and neighborhood income.
- Health literacy was generally stable over the 10-year period suggesting cognitive training did not significantly impact health literacy.
- Alternative approaches to cognitive training are needed to reduce disparities in health literacy level.

Table 1.**Baseline Participant Characteristics**

	N (%)	Mean baseline health literacy score (SD)
Age		
65–69	819 (29)	0.14 (1.00)
70–79	1,473 (53)	0.00 (0.97)
80–94	510 (18)	−0.62 (0.99)
Sex		
Female	2,126 (76)	−0.08 (1.01)
Male	676 (24)	−0.05 (1.04)
Education		
High school or less	1,117 (40)	−0.45 (1.00)
Post High school	1,683 (60)	0.18 (0.95)
Race		
White	2,028 (72)	0.11 (0.97)
Black	728 (26)	−0.57 (0.98)
Other ^a	46 (2)	−0.20 (1.10)
Mini-Mental State Exam		
23–26	884 (32)	−0.69 (0.95)
27–28	984 (35)	−0.02 (0.93)
29–30	934 (33)	0.45 (0.83)
Median neighborhood household income		
Bottom quartile	625 (25)	−0.37 (1.04)
Top 3 quartiles	1,894 (75)	0.24 (1.01)

Notes

^aIncludes Asian (n=7), American Indian/Alaskan Native (n=5), Biracial (n=9) and “Other” (n=26).

Table 2.

Candidate items for the Health Literacy composite score

Item	Source	Final status
Medication name	OTDL	dropped due to 96% correct response
Days supply of medication	OTDL	included
Medication side effects	OTDL	included
Medication dose 1	OTDL	included
Medication frequency	OTDL	included
When to consult a doctor	OTDL	included
Reporting insurance coverage	OTDL	included
Anesthesia complications 1	OTDL	dropped due to higher correlation with <i>Anesthesia complications 2</i> than with the health literacy factor
Anesthesia complications 2	OTDL	included
Health history form 1	EPT	included
Health history form 2	EPT	included
Medication dose 2	EPT	included
Contraindications	EPT	included
Medical bill 1	EPT	included
Medical bill 2	EPT	included
Food label - sodium	EPT	included
Food label - calories	EPT	included
Time reading directions 1	TIADL	included
Time reading directions 2	TIADL	included

Notes: EPT = Everyday Problems Test; OTDL = Observations Tasks of Daily Living; TIADL = Timed Instrumental Activities of Daily Living.

Table 3.

Association of baseline sociodemographic and vision with health literacy composite score

Variable	Beta (95% CI)	p-value
Female	0.16 (0.09, 0.24)	< 0.001
<u>Race</u>		
White	(ref)	
Black	−0.53 (−0.61, −0.44)	< 0.001
Other ^a	−0.22 (−0.58, 0.15)	0.249
Age (10 years)	−0.36 (−0.42, −0.31)	< 0.001
Education (4 years)	0.40 (0.34, 0.45)	< 0.001
Mini-Mental State Exam	0.16 (0.15, 0.18)	< 0.001
Median neighborhood household income ^b	0.04 (−0.00, 0.08)	0.054
Vision score (10 points)	0.09 (0.06, 0.12)	< 0.001

Notes: Associations between baseline factors and health literacy, controlled for site and replication code.

^aIncludes Asian, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Biracial and “Other”.^bStandardized score for median household income in the participant’s census tract.

Table 4.

Baseline predictors of change over time in Health Literacy

Variable	Beta (95% CI)	p-value
<u>Visit</u>		
Post-test	0.07 (0.04, 0.11)	< 0.001
1 year	-0.05 (-0.08, -0.01)	0.005
2 year	0.04 (0.00, 0.07)	0.032
3 year	0.04 (0.01, 0.08)	0.023
5 year	-0.02 (-0.05, 0.02)	0.398
10 year	-0.29 (-0.34, -0.25)	< 0.001
Female	0.16 (-0.34, 0.21)	< 0.001
<u>Race</u>		
White	(ref)	
Black	-0.50 (-0.57, -0.43)	< 0.001
Other ^a	-0.14 (-0.44, 0.16)	0.370
Age (10 years)	-0.38 (-0.43, -0.33)	< 0.001
Education (4 years)	0.34 (0.30, 0.21)	< 0.001
Mini-Mental State Exam	0.16 (0.09, 0.17)	< 0.001
Median neighborhood household income ^b	0.04 (0.14, 0.07)	0.014
Vision score (10 points)	0.06 (0.04, 0.09)	< 0.001

Notes: Associations between baseline predictors of change over time and health literacy controlled for site and replication code.

^aIncludes Asian, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Biracial and "Other".^bStandardized score for median household income in zip code.

Table 5.

Baseline predictors of change over time in Health Literacy, with time interactions.

Variable	Beta (95% CI)	p-value
<u>Visit</u>		
Post-test	0.03 (−0.05, 0.11)	0.429
1 year	−0.12 (−0.21, −0.03)	0.006
2 year	−0.01 (−0.10, 0.08)	0.773
3 year	−0.02 (−0.11, 0.07)	0.689
5 year	−0.02 (−0.12, 0.08)	0.685
10 year	−0.23 (−0.34, −0.11)	< 0.001
<u>Female</u>	0.15 (0.07, 0.22)	< 0.001
Post-test	−0.10 (−0.18, −0.03)	0.005
1 year	0.06 (−0.02, 0.14)	0.120
2 year	0.03 (−0.05, 0.11)	0.471
3 year	0.07 (−0.01, 0.15)	0.087
5 year	0.08 (−0.01, 0.17)	0.086
10 year	0.05 (−0.06, 0.16)	0.396
<u>Black^a</u>	−0.57 (−0.65, −0.48)	< 0.001
Post-test	0.05 (−0.02, 0.12)	0.178
1 year	0.09 (0.01, 0.16)	0.026
2 year	0.12 (0.05, 0.20)	0.002
3 year	0.14 (0.06, 0.22)	0.001
5 year	0.11 (0.02, 0.20)	0.012
10 year	0.13 (0.03, 0.24)	0.014
<u>Age (10 years)</u>	−0.39 (−0.45, −0.33)	< 0.001
Post-test	0.12 (0.07, 0.18)	< 0.001
1 year	0.00 (−0.05, 0.06)	0.910
2 year	−0.01 (−0.07, 0.05)	0.797
3 year	−0.04 (−0.10, 0.02)	0.186
5 year	−0.11 (−0.18, −0.04)	0.001
10 year	−0.21 (−0.30, −0.12)	< 0.001
Education (4 years)	0.34 (0.30, 0.39)	< 0.001
Mini-Mental State Exam	0.16 (0.14, 0.17)	< 0.001
Median neighborhood household income ^b	0.04 (0.01, 0.07)	0.014
Vision score (10 points)	0.06 (0.04, 0.09)	< 0.001

Notes: Associations between baseline predictors of change over time and health literacy controlled for site and replication code.

^a Asian, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Biracial and “Other” are grouped with White/Caucasian in this analysis.

^b. Standardized score for median household income in zip code.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript