Published in final edited form as:

Met Ions Life Sci. 2013 ; 12: 169–201. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5561-1_6.

Manganese Homeostasis and Transport

Jerome Roth¹, Silvia Ponzoni², and Michael Aschner³

¹Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 11 Cary Hall, University at Buffalo School of Medicine, Buffalo, NY 14214, USA

²Departamento de Ciências Fisiológicas, CCB, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Caixa Postal 6001, 85051-970, Londrina, PR, Brazil

³Department of Pediatrics, 2215-B Garland Avenue, 11415 MRB IV, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232-0414, USA

Abstract

The present review addresses issues pertinent to Mn accumulation and its mechanisms of transport, its neurotoxicity and mechanisms of neurodegeneration. The role of mitochondria and glia in this process is emphasized. We also discuss gene x environment interaction, focusing on the interplay between genes linked to Parkinson's disease (PD) and sensitivity to Mn.

Keywords

divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1); manganese; mitochondria; mitochondria; parkin; transport

1. INTRODUCTION

Manganese (Mn) is an essential trace metal in all forms of life. In mammals it is required for normal amino acid, lipid, protein and carbohydrate metabolism. Mn-dependent enzymes include oxidoreductases, transferases, hydrolases, lyases, isomerases and ligases, to name a few. Mn metalloenzymes include arginase, glutamine synthetase, phosphoenolpyruvate decarboxylase and Mn superoxide dismutase (Mn SOD). Mn plays a critical role in multiple bodily functions including immunity, regulation of blood sugars and cellular energy, blood clotting, reproduction, digestion and bone growth. Mn-containing polypeptides include arginase, the diphtheria toxin, and Mn-containing superoxide dismutase (Mn-SOD). The latter is found in eukaryotic as well as bacterial organisms and is likely one of the most ancient enzymes, given that almost all organisms that live in an oxygen environment utilize the enzyme to dismutate superoxide. Several bacteria are an exception; nevertheless they also use Mn via a nonenzymatic mechanism, involving Mn²⁺ ions complexed with polyphosphate.

Mn is also essential in plants as it is involved in photosynthesis. Oxygen-evolving complexes (OEC) in plants contained within the thylakoid membranes of chloroplasts are responsible for the terminal photooxidation of water during the light reactions of photosynthesis. The chloroplasts contain a metalloenzyme core with 4 Mn atoms.

Surprisingly, no formal Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) exists for Mn, but the U.S. National Research Council (NRC) has established an estimated safe and adequate dietary intake (ESADDI) of 2–5 mg/day for adults [1]. Adequate intakes for newborns (< 6 months of age) are 3 µg/day and 600 µg/day for infants at 12 months of age to (NAS, 2001) [2]. Children between 1–3 and 4–8 years of age have adequate daily Mn intakes of 1.2 and 1.5 mg/day, respectively. The most important source of Mn is diet, with most daily intakes approximating 5 mg Mn/kg. Grain, rice, and nuts are highly enriched with Mn along with tea. Water concentrations of Mn typically range from 1 to 100 µg/L. Only a small fraction (1–5%) of ingested Mn is absorbed in normal conditions, the Mn arriving at the liver in the portal circulation is protein bound. Within the plasma approximately 80% of Mn in the 2+ oxidation state is bound to globulin and albumin, and a small fraction (<1%) of trivalent (3+) Mn is bound to the iron-carrying protein, transferrin (Tf) [3,4]. Mn deficiency is rare.

Generally, irrespective of intake route (oral, inhalation, dermal), animals maintain stable tissue concentrations given tight homeostatic control of Mn absorption and excretion. Yet exposure to high Mn levels may lead to increased body-burden of the metal, resulting in adverse neurological, reproductive and respiratory effects. The predominant site of Mn-induced damage is the brain, with symptoms commonly manifesting in motor dysfunction and psychological disturbances.

2. Mn TRANSPORT

Since Mn is an essential cofactor for a diverse assortment of enzymes, its cellular concentrations are stringently managed by a variety of processes controlling cellular uptake, retention, and excretion. In general, overall systemic homeostatic levels of Mn are maintained, *in vivo*, via its rate of transport across enterocytes lining the intestinal wall and by its efficient removal within the liver [11]. Under typical nutritional consumption, the transport processes within the enterocytes lining the intestinal wall and the subsequent down-stream trafficking systems are efficiently balanced and work in harmony to preserve requisite supplies of Mn for the different cells and organelles within the body. This normally proficient system of checks and balances that control Mn levels *in vivo*, however, appears to fail under conditions of chronic exposure to high atmospheric levels (in various oxidation states; Mn is absorbed though predominantly in the 2+ and 3+ oxidation states) of the metal and thus, vital adjustments in the complex homeostatic processes are no longer adequate to preserve the required status quo. Failure of these systems to adjust to excess exposures infers that the rate limiting step governing systemic levels and ultimately Mn toxicity encompasses the operative biochemical processes necessary for its uptake, transport and elimination within the various compartments of the body.

Like other required divalent metals, there are redundant transport systems for cellular uptake of Mn. The functioning system is dependent on both the ionic species present in biological fluids and by the specific transport proteins present within any given cell. Many of these redundant systems are also capable of transporting other metals suggesting that its role in managing the uptake of Mn may not be their primary responsibility. Figure 1 describes the different transport systems responsible for transport of Mn into cells. These include uptake by 1) the voltage regulated and the ionotropic glutamate receptor Ca^{+2} channels [12,13], 2)

the transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M, member 7 (TRPM7) [14,15], 3) store operated Ca^{+2} channel [16] and a Tf-dependent and independent process via divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1) [17–19]. Also indicated are members of the Slc39 gene family, ZIP 8 and 14, which have recently been identified as being involved in the transport Mn [20–23]. Of all these proteins, DMT1 is generally considered to be the predominant transporter for Mn though this does not exclude the possibility that under varying physiological or pathological conditions and in any given cell population that the other transport processes may also contribute significantly to uptake.

2.1 Divalent Metal Transporter 1

As noted above, under normal conditions, transport of Mn into most cells is generally assumed to preferentially be dependent on DMT1. DMT1 has a broad substrate specificity for a variety of divalent cations including Fe^{+2} , Mn^{+2} , Cd^{+2} , Ni^{+2} , Co^{+2} and Pb^{+2} [24–26]. The one exception to the rule is for Cu which may involve transport of the monovalent species [27]. Although DMT1 has a relatively broad substrate specificity, transport of iron is generally considered to be its principal function although, the affinity of Mn for DMT1 is similar to that for iron [17,28]. Smf1p (a homolog of DMT1 has been shown also in yeast to be a high-affinity transporter for Mn. Notably, a range of divalent metals can act as substrates for this transporter and when overexpressed in oocytes, it can increase intracellular concentrations, not only of Mn, but also copper (Co), cadmium (Cd), and iron (Fe).

As noted above the Figure 1, two distinct but related mechanisms are responsible for the transport of Mn^{+2} and Fe^{+2} by DMT1: a Tf-dependent and a Tf-independent pathway. In the intestines (see Figure 2), both Mn^{+2} and Fe^{+2} preferentially utilize the Tf-independent pathway, which is responsible for the direct absorption of the divalent species of both metals on the apical side of the enterocyte [29]. DMT1 is highest in the duodenum and decreases in the subsequent segments of the intestine [30] implying that transport preferentially occurs in the upper intestines. Although Mn^{+2} has a high affinity for DMT1, equivalent to that of Fe^{+2} , total uptake within the gastrointestinal tract is at best 5% of that present in ingested foods [31–34]. Once inside the enterocytes lining of the microvilli, Mn is transferred to the basolateral surface by a process which has not been adequately defined. Export of Fe^{+2} on the basal lateral side has been shown to require ferroportin (Fpn), which has recently been suggested to also play a role in the export of Mn [35–37](see below). Levels of Fpn on the basolateral surface are controlled by the iron-regulated protein, hepcidin, produced in the liver [38,39]. Hepcidin causes internalization of Fpn, which subsequently undergoes ubiquitination before being degraded within the 26S proteasomes.

Before or during exit from the enterocyte, Fe^{+2} and presumably Mn^{+2} are oxidized to the trivalent state prior to entering the blood stream. Although this has never been directly demonstrated for Mn, it likely occurs as a major portion of Mn entering the blood stream is tightly bound to Tf [33,40]. In the case of iron, this is catalyzed by the either of the copper containing proteins, hephaestin or ceruloplasmin [41,42] but whether either of the copper containing ferrooxidases is also responsible for oxidation of Mn is not known although, Cu does not have the oxidative potential to oxidize Mn from +2 to +3. Consistent with this is the recent study [43] suggesting that ceruloplasmin may not function in the loading of Mn onto

plasma proteins or in the partitioning of Mn between the plasma and cellular fractions of blood though it does participate in tissue disposition and toxicity of Mn. Nevertheless, a major portion of Mn released from the enterocyte which enters the blood stream is bound tightly to Tf as the trivalent species [31,33,40,44]. Under normal conditions, approximately 30% of the available Tf binding sites in blood are occupied by Fe^{+3} leaving sufficient sites accessible for binding of Mn^{+3} [45]. Because Mn^{+3} forms a stable complex with Tf, hepatic removal is relatively slow and comparable to that for Tf- Fe^{+3} complex. The availability of these binding sites for Mn, however, may be compromised in several disease states such as hemochromatosis where Tf is saturated with iron. Because Fe, under these abnormal conditions, is in excess and is likely to have the higher affinity for Tf, Mn transport may be limited or carried out by other plasma components. In blood, the divalent species of Mn is preferentially bound to α 2-macroglobulin though, because of the significantly greater abundance of albumin in serum, a sizable portion may also be bound to this latter protein [31,33,40,44]. The ligand interaction between Mn^{+2} and either serum proteins is relatively weak which likely accounts for the rapid hepatic elimination from blood.

In the absence of Fe, the binding sites of Tf can accommodate a number of other metals including gallium, copper, chromium, cobalt, vanadium, aluminum, terbium and plutonium, raising the possibility that Tf functions *in vivo* as a transport agent for many of these metals. Fe is taken up by cells after Tf binds to a specific cell surface receptor and the Tf receptor complex is internalized. At its usual concentration in plasma, 3 mg/ml, and with 2 metal-ion-binding sites per molecule (M_r 77000) of which only 30% are occupied by Fe^{+3} , transferrin has available 50 μ mole of unoccupied Mn^{+3} binding sites per liter.

The direct Tf-independent pathway utilizing DMT1 is also likely to be responsible for transport of Mn (in the 2+ oxidation state) into cells within the central nervous system (CNS) despite the fact that the interstitial fluid within the brain contains Tf. This is anticipated based on the fact that Fe levels in the interstitial fluid greatly exceed that of Tf resulting in saturation of the available binding sites causing the exclusion of Mn binding [46]. The actual ionic species of Mn in the extracellular fluid in the CNS and whether some of the Mn is capable of competing with Fe for binding to Tf is not known. Mn^{+2} at physiological pH is actually quite stable and because the $p\text{O}_2$ is low in the brain, little Mn^{+3} probably exists in the CNS. In addition, it is unknown whether Mn actually undergoes oxidation to the trivalent species upon its exit from endothelial cells comprising the blood-brain barrier (BBB). Based on a recent study by Hernández et al., [47], both Mn^{+2} or Mn^{+3} are capable of binding to citrate which is in excess in the brain interstitial fluid (225 to 573 μM) although neuronal toxicity of the Mn/citrate complex is approximately the same for all ionic species. Regardless, transport of the trivalent species of Mn, if it exists, must first be reduced to the divalent state prior to its uptake by either the Tf-dependent or independent pathway or for that matter the ZIP proteins (see below) as well. The role of citrate in this reductive process may be significant in that citrate has been reported to facilitate reduction of Fe^{+3} -bound to Tf as citrate has the ability to displace iron from holo-Tf when present in excess [48]. Reduction of Fe^{+3} -bound citrate, in fact, has been shown to be the rate limiting step in the uptake Fe in astrocytes [49]. Whether a similar process occurs for Mn^{+3} in the CNS, however, is not known. Assuming Mn is similar to Fe, it may also bind to ascorbate in the interstitial fluid of brain [50].

The non-Tf-dependent pathway is also most likely to be accountable for the direct entry of Mn into the CNS via retrograde transport within axonal projections impinging on the nasal cavity leading from the neurons within the olfactory bulb [51–56]. Recent studies in the laboratory of Wessling-Resnick [57] have demonstrated that uptake of Mn into the presynaptic endings of these neurons is a DMT1-dependent process. The overall contribution of this pathway to Mn accumulation in the CNS in humans is not known but may likely to be contingent on its composition within the inspired air with the soluble forms being more efficiently taken up via this process [58]. There is evidence that extremely small ultrafine particles can also be taken up by the nerve endings and subsequently delivered to the CNS via a similar retrograde transport process [59].

For the Tf-dependent pathway, both trafficking and cellular transport of Mn^{+3} function very much like that for Fe^{+3} , indicative of the fact that a major portion of extracellular Mn^{+3} utilizes the same biochemical components as that for Fe^{+3} (Figure 1). The Tf-dependent pathway has been suggested to be the major mechanism within the choroid plexus and blood-brain barrier for transport of Mn into the brain [36,60]. Initially, the Tf-Mn complex attaches to the Tf receptors (TfR) on the cell surface, which is subsequently internalized within endosomal vesicles. Precisely how Mn is delivered to the endosomes is not understood. Furthermore, the specificity to Mn vs. Fe has also yet to be delineated in mammalian cells. Next, these vesicles undergo acidification within the endosome via a hydrogen ion ATPase pump causing release of the metal from the Tf/TfR complex. In the case of iron, the released metal is reduced by either duodenal cytochrome B (Dcytb) [61] or a family of feroreductases referred to as steap2–4 [62,63]. Whether these enzymes are also responsible for the reduction of Mn has not been investigated, although reduction must occur to enable transport via DMT1 and by the fact that the divalent species of Mn^{+2} is essentially the only form found in cells [64]. As noted above, it is questionable as to whether the Mn^{+3} -Tf complex actually is present in the interstitial fluid with the CNS.

Four different mammalian isoforms of DMT1 have been identified resulting from two autonomous start sites and alternate splicing of a single gene transcript. All are putative 12 membrane-spanning domain proteins [25,65,66] but differ both in their N- and carboxy-terminal amino acid sequences. The gene for DMT1 has two discrete promoter regions (1A and 1B) which independently regulate transcription at the two start sites. mRNA from two of the four species contain an iron response element (IRE) motif in the 3' UTR and are referred to as the +IRE species whereas those forms lacking the IRE are categorized as the -IRE species. Transcriptionally regulated splice variants, exon 1A and 1B, are present on the proximal N-terminal end for both the $\pm$ IRE forms of DMT1 mRNA. Exon 1A extends the N-terminal polypeptide 29 residues in human (31 in rats and 30 in mice) [66,67]. All four species of DMT1 distal from exon 1A share 543 residues in common but differ structurally in the last 18 (+IRE) or 25 (-IRE) carboxy terminal residues. The presence of the IRE in the message provides a site for binding of the iron response proteins, IRP1 and/or 2 [68,69], which potentially stabilize the mRNA when iron levels are low, leading to selective increases in expression of the +IRE isoforms of the transporter. This is consistent with numerous studies demonstrating that Mn disposition is critically dependent on iron levels in vivo [70–73] and with the +IRE isoform of the transporter being present in the apical surface of enterocytes [73]. Mn has also been reported to affect the binding of IRPs to IRE suggesting

stabilization of the mRNA to DMT1 [60,74]. In addition to DMT1, the TfR and Fpn, similarly contains an IRE in its 3'-UTR and like DMT1 are also regulated by iron via the IRPs [68,75].

The need for four distinct isoforms of DMT1 is not readily apparent especially since all display similar kinetic characteristics in regard to transport of both Mn and Fe [17,28,76]. In all likelihood, the physiological actions of the four isoforms of DMT1 are likely to have distinct roles dependent on the specific requirements within cells to maintain normal homeostatic levels of Fe particularly during fluctuating stress related conditions. Because Fe is likely to be the primary operational metal transported by DMT1, alterations in expression of the different isoforms caused by changes in Fe content or other stress inducing situations will result in a corresponding adjustment in Mn transport irrespective of the actual *in vivo* requirements for Mn and thus, potentially stimulating development of Mn toxicity. This is consistent with the observation that DMT1 is elevated in the basal ganglia of iron deficient rats and that Mn is selectively increased in the globus pallidus in iron deficient animals exposed to Mn [77,78]. In addition to regulation of the +IRE species of DMT1 by IRPs, the N-terminal isoforms of DMT1 are also independently regulated at the level of transcription by environmental conditions which manifest in various stress-related events and inflammatory processes within the cell [79–83]. Transcription of the 1B isoforms of the transporter is upregulated by both NF- κ B, and NF-Y (CCAAT promoter) and indirectly by nitric oxide suppression of NF- κ B activity. In addition, both the 1A and 1B isoforms have also been reported to be induced by hypoxia implying the presence of an HRE within both promoter sites. Thus, expression of the different isoforms of DMT1 can be independently regulated within cells at both the level of transcription as well as translation.

In yeast and bacteria, Mn is transported from the endosomes to the Golgi apparatus and the mitochondria. Again, precise information on the process in mammalian cells is lacking, but it may involve fusion of Smf2p-containing vesicles with these organelles, as has been previously suggested for iron trafficking to mitochondria. It has also been suggested that Mn chaperones may transport the metals in the cytoplasm. The Tf transport mechanism for Fe is known to deliver both Fe³⁺ and Mn²⁺ to the mitochondria for incorporation into hemes.

2.2 Zip-dependent uptake of Mn

A number of studies over the past several years have demonstrated that two members of the solute-carrier-39 (SLC39) metal-transporter family, ZIP8 and Zip14, are also capable of transporting Mn [21,23,84,85]. Unlike DMT1, both ZIP proteins are divalent cation/HCO₃⁻ symporters with the HCO₃⁻ gradient across the plasma membrane acting as the driving force for divalent metal transport. There is mounting evidence that these membrane proteins may play a significant role in Mn transport but their overall contribution relative to that of DMT1 has not been adequately assessed. Since the interstitial fluid in brain has a pH of approximately 7.3, [86] which is close to optimum for both ZIP transporters, it is feasible their contribution to Mn uptake may be relatively more significant than anticipated in comparison to DMT1, which maximally functions at around pH 6.0. The significance of this pathway is further accentuated by the fact that Mn²⁺ which is present in the interstitial fluid

of brain is probably not bound to Tf and thus, is available for transport by these ZIP proteins.

For the ZIP8 transporter, Cd^{+2} displays the highest affinity, exhibiting a K_m of 0.62 μM , though Mn^{+2} has been posited to be most efficiently transported, with a K_m for uptake of 2.2 μM , implying that Mn is probably the physiological substrate for ZIP8. [21]. This value is comparable to the K_m for Mn binding to DMT1 suggesting that it has the potential to significantly impact on Mn accumulation *in vivo*. Unfortunately, there have been few studies that have attempted to quantitate expression of this transporter relative to that of DMT1 and therefore the overall contribution of ZIP8 to Mn uptake in comparison to DMT1 or the other transport systems needs to be examined. One study which examined expression of ZIP8 to that of DMT1 by QPCR in the inner ear found that DMT1 levels were slightly higher than that of ZIP8 [87].

In contrast to ZIP8, its counterpart, ZIP14, has been studied more extensively in regard to both Mn and Fe transport. Of the 14-member Zip protein family, Zip14 is most homologous to Zip8 [23]. Like DMT1, ZIP14 has a relatively broad substrate specificity capable of transporting a wide variety of divalent metals including, Zn, Cd, Fe, Mn, Ca, Co, Ni and Pb [88]. Two forms of ZIP14 have been identified, A and B, having affinities for Mn^{+2} of 4.4 and 18.2 μM , respectively, with both being driven by a HCO_3^- gradient across the membrane [23]. Highest levels of ZIP14A are observed in the lung, testis, and kidney whereas the B isoform of the transporter is relatively evenly distributed. High expression of ZIP14 has also been observed in the intestines where it has the potential to play a significant role in the accumulation of Mn although, direct quantification relative to DMT1 has not been established. Several studies have indicated that like DMT1, expression of ZIP14 is capable of transporting Fe as well as being regulated by Fe levels with high iron decreasing expression whereas low Fe increasing protein levels [72,89]. Transport of Mn by ZIP14 mirrors that of Fe as both are Ca^{+2} -dependent [88]. Expression of the hemochromatosis protein, HFE, promotes a decrease in both ZIP14-dependent Tf bound and non-Tf-bound iron uptake as well as protein levels of Zip14 with no apparent change in the mRNA level, thus suggesting that HFE decreases the stability of Zip14 [89]. Since Tf is saturated with Fe^{+3} in the interstitial fluid in brain, appreciable amounts of both non-Tf bound Fe^{+2} and Mn^{+2} exist which may be transported by ZIP14 [90]. Like DMT1, ZIP14 also resides on the plasma membrane and within endosomal vesicles. Although greatest activity is observed at pH 7.5, ZIP14 can still function, although suboptimally, at pH 6.5, a value associated with release of divalent metals within endosomal vesicles. Nevertheless, transport of divalent metals by DMT1, which is H^+ -coupled and preferentially stimulated at low pH, may serve as the predominant route of Fe^{+2} and Mn^{+2} uptake from late endosomes and lysosomes. Thus, the significance of ZIP14 in maintenance of Mn homeostasis and toxicity remains to be determined.

2.3 Ca^{2+} channel-dependent transport of Mn

In addition to cellular uptake by the different isoforms of DMT1 and the ZIP proteins, there is also evidence for transport of Mn via several divalent metal channels which include, 1) the voltage regulated, 2) the ionotropic glutamate receptor Ca^{+2} channels [12,13,91], 3) TRPM7

[14,15] and 4) the store operated Ca^{+2} channel (Figure 1) [16,92]. Evidence for the role of these ion channels in transporting Mn comes from a number of studies many of which primarily utilize Mn as a tool to measure functionality of the channel and therefore, it is not known, under normal resting conditions, what the overall contribution of each is to the total accumulation of Mn relative to the other known transport processes.

The potential significance of the voltage gated Ca^{+2} comes from studies demonstrating that depolarization of cell membranes leads to increased uptake of Mn which can be prevented by Ca^{+2} channel blockers [12,91]. In regard to store-operated Ca^{+2} channels (SOC), Crossgrove and Yokel [93] have speculated this pathway may be responsible for the transport of Mn across the blood-brain barrier, but direct supportive evidence for this is lacking. Whether this also involves the SOC-dependent interaction of the Ca^{+2} sensor protein, STIM1, with that of calcium release-activated calcium channel protein 1 (ORAI1) at the plasma membrane is not known though the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca -ATPase (SERCA) inhibitor, thapsigargin, has been reported to induce Mn^{+2} uptake which is inhibited by Ca^{+2} [94]. Demonstration of the direct involvement of TRPM7 in the transport of Mn was shown in studies assessing changes in transport in MCF-7 cells generated by overexpression or down-regulation of the protein [95]. Although Mn was shown to be transported by these channels, their overall contribution to neuronal toxicity within the CNS is unknown.

In contrast to the channels described above, the ionotropic glutamate receptor Ca^{+2} channel may directly impact on Mn toxicity due to the fact that neurons within the globus pallidus receive glutaminergic input from axonal projections leading from the subthalamic nuclei [96,97]. Several studies have demonstrated that Mn toxicity is attenuated by the glutamate post-synaptic ionotropic receptor inhibitor, MK801 [98,99] implying that glutamate participates in the neurotoxic actions of Mn. Similarly, the antiglutaminergic drug, riluzole, has also been shown to attenuate Mn toxicity in rats [100]. It is important to recognize that the cytotoxic events provoking Mn toxicity, to a large extent, parallel similar pathways for the excitatory neurotransmitter, glutamate, as both involve collapse of mitochondrial function initiated by excess sequestration of calcium [101,102]. The extent to which Mn uptake is increased upon glutamate binding within the γ -aminobutyric acid (GABAergic) neurons of the globus pallidus and the role this potentially plays in potentiating neurotoxicity, however, has not been directly investigated.

2.4 Mn Efflux

Ferroportin (Fpn) [also referred to as IREG1 (iron-regulated protein 1) or MTP1 (metal tolerance protein 1)] is the cytoplasmic Fe exporter. It is expressed in all cells, including neurons playing a key role in maintaining optimal Fe-homeostasis. Mutations in Fpn lead to type VI hemochromatosis, commonly known as Fpn disease, which is predominantly characterized by Fe accumulation in reticuloendothelial macrophages. The protein is transcriptionally, translationally and posttranslationally regulated. Considering the shared uptake and characteristics of Mn and Fe (see above), it is surprising that only a few studies have attempted to delineate the role of Fpn in Mn efflux. Yin et al. (2010) [35] have recently reported that Fpn induction in human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293T) cells led to a reduction in Mn-induced toxicity, concomitant with decreased intracellular Mn

accumulation. Notably, cerebellar and cortical Fpn was also increased in mice *in vivo* exposed to Mn [35]. More recently, Madejczyk and Ballatori (2011) examined the role of Fpn in exporting Mn in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes demonstrating lower Mn accumulation in oocytes expressing Fpn. Furthermore, the efflux was inhibited by Fe, as well as other divalent metals. Collectively, these studies suggest Mn exposure in addition to promoting Fpn protein expression also reduces intracellular Mn levels and cytotoxicity.

3. MANGANESE: TOXIC MECHANISMS

Manganism and PD are two distinct neurological entities that impair basal ganglia function; the globus pallidus is predominantly damaged in the former and nigral dopaminergic (DAergic) neurons in the latter. DAergic cells from mesostriatal circuitry are also vulnerable to the toxic effects of Mn, making this metal a potential environmental risk factor in the etiology of PD. Cases of Mn poisoning have been reported in patients with chronic liver failure and long-term parenteral nutrition [103] and in individuals chronically exposed to the Mn-containing fungicide (manganese ethylene-bis-dithiocarbamate) [104] as well as other occupational cohorts (smelters, welders, etc). Mn-induced disturbances of cellular homeostatic mechanisms include reactive oxidative species (ROS) production [105], impairment of antioxidant cellular defenses [106], mitochondrial damage [107], endoplasmic reticulum stress [108], DNA damage [109] and inflammatory reactions [110], just to name a few. These are common features in a plethora of neurodegenerative diseases; making Mn neurotoxicity studies an important tool in the understanding of the etiology of neurodegenerative processes. In this section, we discuss experimental data highlighting novel approaches to elucidate the vulnerability DAergic nigral cells to the transition metal, Mn.

The DAergic cells that integrate the basal ganglia circuitry reside in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc), a brain region with high oxidative activity. High content of oxidative enzymes and a high metabolic rate leads these cells to produce large quantities of damaging ROS [111]. Notably, catecholamine catabolism, a process which takes place in these cell, involves hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) production by the monoamine oxidase (MAO) and quinones by autoxidation [112]. *In vitro* assays have demonstrated that Mn increases dopamine (DA) and L-dopa autoxidation, leading to ROS and quinones production (see below); Mn valence represents an important factor in the metal's DA oxidizing capacity, with manganic ion (Mn³⁺) being more efficient than Mn²⁺ in potentiating DA autoxidation [113,114]. Nevertheless, whether Mn³⁺ oxidizes DA has been recently questioned, given the inability to locate Mn³⁺ within various cell types [64]. A schematic representation of Mn-induced DA autoxidation is shown in Figure 3.

3.1 Mitochondria, dopamine and Mn-induced Neurotoxicity

The mitochondria are critical organelles in mediating Mn-induced neurotoxicity [64]. Mn preferentially accumulates within these organelles [115] and mitochondrial superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2) requires Mn as cofactor (there are other SODs in mitochondria, such as CuZn SOD1). Mn mitochondrial overload is toxic, secondary to its ability to impair ATP production and antioxidant defense mechanisms. Mitochondrial Mn²⁺ neurotoxicity could

be related to inhibition of Ca^{2+} activation of ATP production [64]. Mn^{2+} attenuates brain mitochondrial ATP formation in two independent inhibitory sites; the primary site, complex II or fumarase, where succinate is the substrate, and the secondary site, the glutamate/aspartate exchanger, where glutamate plus malate are the substrates [107].

The ability of Mn to generate excess ROS has been observed both in cell culture and *in vivo* experiments. Cell mortality was increased when Mn was added to human fibroblast cultures, an effect that was potentiated in the presence of DA and antagonized by catalase and MnSOD2 [105]. Catechol isoquinolines, 1-methyl-6, 7-dihydroxy-1, 2, 3, 4-tetrahydroquinoline (salsolinol) and N-methyl-salsolinol induced apoptosis and increased levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) in a concentration-dependent manner in PC12 cells exposed to Mn [116]. Worley et al. [117] demonstrated in catecholaminergic CATH.a cells that Mn alone failed to increase ROS production; however, when followed by hydrogen peroxide exposure, ROS levels significantly increased, indicating that in addition to intracellular Mn concentrations, exposure duration, oxidative challenges post Mn exposure and cellular redox capacity represent important factors in mediating Mn-induced stress related effects. Mn-induced cytotoxicity in DAergic cells was also associated with glutathione (GSH) levels in CATH.a cells [118]. This raises the possibility that susceptibility to Mn-induced ROS-mediated toxicity may be dependent upon the redox status of the cell, with those expressing high GSH levels perhaps being more resistant vs. those with a low GSH complement.

In vivo, oral administration of Mn to rats in the drinking water for 30 days decreased striatal DA content and increased striatal MAO activity, suggesting a potential increase in ROS production [119]. The ability of Mn to autoxidize DA was addressed by Slood et al [120] by demonstrating that intrastriatal Mn^{2+} injection resulted in depletion of striatal DA, which preceded ROS formation. In addition, reserpine pre-treatment failed to alter both DA depletion and ROS formation. Accordingly, reduced redox potential and impaired cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms likely play a key role in cellular susceptibility to develop Mn toxicity. Decreased levels of mitochondrial glutathione-peroxidase activity, catalase and GSH were observed in striatum of Mn treated rats, consistent with Mn-induced impairment intracellular ROS defense mechanisms [106]. As shown in Figure 3, pathway 1 represents schematically the main effects of Mn on mitochondria. Decreased striatal and pallidal cell numbers expressing glutamine synthetase (astrocyte marker) and Mn-superoxide dismutase were observed in rats treated chronically for 13 weeks with high Mn concentrations in drinking water [121]. Aged and young rats exposed for 8 days to Mn in drinking water showed heightened susceptibility to the toxic effects of Mn concomitant with decreased GSH (also see previous paragraph) and uric acid levels in the striatum and in striatal synaptosomes [122]. Aged rats treated intraperitoneally for 30 days with Mn showed decreased mitochondrial complex II succinate dehydrogenase activity (~30%) in striatum and nigra, and increased TH mRNA and protein levels [123]. Subcutaneous Mn administration in C57B1/C6 mice caused persistent striatal accumulation of the metal, observed at 21 days after cessation of the treatment; decreased DA release was observed at 7 and 21 days post treatment and attenuation in potassium stimulated increases in extracellular DA was noted at 1, 7 and 21 days post treatment, suggesting a long-term effect of Mn on striatal DAergic transmission [124]. Taken together these data are consistent with the

preferential accumulation of Mn in basal ganglia and altered dopamine homeostasis in response to Mn exposure in various animal models.

3.2 Developmental effects of Mn

The long-term effects of Mn in animal are helpful in delineating mechanisms of toxicity resulting from occupational exposures to this metal. Notably, a link between Mn and PD has been suggested, with Mn exposure exceeding twenty years, correlating with increased risk for PD [125]). Furthermore, chronic exposure to the fungicide Mn ethylene-bis-dithiocarbamate (Maneb) also increases the risk for Parkinsonism [104]. Though PD manifests in mid-life (familial PD) or senescence (idiopathic PD), it needs to be considered that its etiology evolves over decades with environmental exposures heightening the risk for the disease.

Several studies have addressed the developmental effects of Mn. For example, mice exposed to paraquat (PQ) and Maneb during the perinatal period and re-challenged as adults showed 62% reduction in striatal DA levels [126]. Juvenile mice exposed to Mn showed increased susceptibility to Mn, characterized by reduced striatal DA concentration in adulthood, induction of nitric oxide synthase (NOS), increased neuronal protein nitration and glial reactivity compared with adult mice that were not subjected to early-life Mn exposure or exposed to it only as adults [127,128]. These epidemiological and experimental findings point to an impairment in cellular antioxidant defenses induced by long-term or early-life exposure to this transition metal that diminishes the ability of the cells to cope with added oxidative challenges. The major susceptibility of aged rats to Mn neurotoxic effects likely reflects impairment in ROS defense mechanisms as a consequence of the aging process. The long-term and persistent cytotoxic effect of Mn and alterations in DAergic transmission suggest that chronic exposure to low metal concentrations in early life may facilitate the development of neurodegenerative processes later in life.

3.3 Mn and apoptosis

Mn-induced ROS production may also be a trigger for other processes that lead to cells demise, such as apoptosis. For example, in PC12 cells, Mn not only induces apoptosis, but also enhances L-dopa-induced apoptosis. Both effects were inhibited by antioxidants [129–131]. In the same cells, Mn was also shown to induce caspase 3-dependent proteolytic activation of protein kinase C δ (PKC δ), which in turn contributes to apoptosis [132]. Increased intracellular calcium transient, decreased Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase and Ca²⁺ ATPase activities as well as increased apoptosis rates and impairment of *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subunits synthesis were observed in Mn exposed primary neuronal cultures [133]. In SN4741 cells, a DAergic neuronal cell line, Mn-induced endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and activation of caspase-12 and apoptosis; the latter effect was reduced in Bcl-2-overexpressing DAergic cell lines [108]. Concentration-dependent Mn-induced ER stress response was observed in SK-N-MC human neuroblastoma cells, accompanied by increased expression of glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78), pro-apoptotic protein C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) and p-eIF2 α , concomitant with reduced mitochondrial complex I, II, III and IV activities [134]. As shown in Figure 3, pathway 2 represents the ER stress response, which is also linked to Pathway 1 in the same

Figure. Mn also effectively induced apoptosis in cultured mesencephalic cells, and this effect was accelerated by pre-treatment with DA. Cells were protected by blockade of NO synthesis, inhibition of NF- κ B activation and pre-treatment with vitamin E. Induction of NF- κ B and nitric oxide synthase activation by ROS were proposed as plausible mechanisms for Mn-induced neurotoxicity [135]. NF- κ B would also lead to increased uptake of Mn as it has been reported to augment expression of DMT1, the major transport protein of Mn [80]. Treatment of neuronal stem cells with Mn was also shown to stimulate apoptosis via mitochondrial-mediated pathways, concomitant with cytochrome c release, caspase-3 activation and ROS generation [136]. In immortalized DAergic cells, MES 23.5, Mn was shown to up regulate mitochondrial Bcl₂/E1B 19 KDa interacting protein (BNIP3), which correlated with mitochondrially-mediated apoptosis [137]. Finally, in rat astrocytoma C6 cells Mn led to ROS-mediated apoptosis, involving caspase-8 and mitochondrial-mediated pathways, with both the total and mitochondrial levels of Bcl-2 and Bax shifting to favor the apoptotic process [138]. Taken together, these study establish the propensity of Mn to lead to cell demise by activation of apoptotic mechanisms.

3.4 Effects of Mn on DNA

Mn also possesses clastogenic activities making DNA a potential target for its toxic action, which could result in impaired gene expression. For example, Chinese hamster V79 cells treated with L-dopa or DA showed decreased proliferative potential and elevated micronuclei frequency. The addition of Mn to the cell culture enhanced the antiproliferative and clastogenic effects of DA and its precursor [109].

Concentration-dependent DNA fragmentation was seen in Mn treated PC12 cells; apoptosis was preceded by mitochondrial dysfunction and activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinases [139]. In striatal neurons, Mn treatment caused concentration-dependent loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, complex II activity, DNA fragmentation and decreased microtubule-associated protein (MAP2) [140]. DA-induced oxidative DNA damage was shown to increase in Mn treated PC12 cells, likely secondary to enhancement in DA autooxidation with semiquinone radical production. The DA-induced DNA damage in the presence of Cu²⁺ and NADH was further potentiated by Mn [141]. Mn increased the formation of mitochondrial DNA single strand breaks (SSB) probably caused by increased oxidative stress in *cultured* liver cells [142].

Mn is normally present in the heterochromatin, nucleolus, cytoplasm and mitochondria of rat striatal astrocytes and neurons. Chronic Mn treatment leads to increased Mn levels in the mitochondria and nuclei, serving as a possible explanation for its combined ability to impair mitochondrial oxidative metabolism, increase ROS production and damage to DNA [143]. Nuclear accumulation of Mn was also observed in cultured PC12 cells [144]. The DNA damage is shown by pathway 3 in Figure 3. Taken together, research to date indicates that excessive Mn levels may disturb cellular DNA.

3.5 Mn and neurotransmitter homeostasis

Alterations in biosynthetic neurotransmitter pathways, which lead to changes in the activity of rate-limiting enzymes or synaptic receptors may also be responsible for Mn-induced

alterations in synaptic transmission and consequently behavior. Mn alters the activity of the rate-limiting enzyme in DA synthesis, tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). In DAergic neural cell lineage, N27 cells, Mn was shown to lead to distinct alterations in TH upon both acute and chronic exposures. In acute conditions TH activity increased, whereas chronic conditions it was decreased [145]. Decreased striatal DA content was preceded by an initial phase of increased TH activity and striatal DA content in rats chronically treated with Mn in drink water [146,147]. Manganism is characterized by two phases, commencing with a psychiatric syndrome (bizarre behavior and madness) followed by impaired motor function. Changes in TH activity inherent to the acute or chronic Mn exposure may be related to these two phases, the initial phase corresponding to increased TH activity, which is probably linked to increased DA release, and the second phase related to decrease TH activity and probably linked to reduced DA release or DA cellular content.

The psychiatric phase of manganism could be also a consequence of Mn-induced inhibition of glutamatergic corticostriatal signaling mediated by presynaptic D2-like DA receptors. For example, corticostriatal slices of rats chronically treated with Mn, showed enhanced glutamate-mediated synaptic transmission in the striatum, an effect associated with increased locomotor activity in these rats [148].

3.6 Mn nanoparticles

A recent concern on human health is associated with the potential effects associated with exposure to Mn-containing nanoparticles. Nanotechnology is focused on creation and manipulation of particles with dimensions ranging from 1 to 100 nm, leading to the production of new materials that exhibit novel physicochemical properties and functions [149]. Mn has a large industrial use involving steel and non-steel alloy production, colorants, battery manufacture, pigments, and fuel additives, among others [150]. With the availability of nanosized particles, the replacement of macro-sized Mn particles has rapidly evolved [151]. As indicated above, olfactory neuronal pathway has been shown to be efficient in translocating inhaled Mn oxide into the CNS [152]. Some experimental data obtained with nanosized Mn particles will be presented herein, focusing attention on their safety and potential health concerns.

In PC12 Mn oxide nanoparticles (40nm) were shown to be internalized and to lead to a concentration-dependent depletion of DA and its metabolites, increased ROS production [153] and down-regulation of TH gene expression [149]. Mn nanoparticles (25–900 nm) were also shown to be internalized in N27 DAergic neuronal cells, leading to time-dependent up-regulation of the protein transporter Tf, increased ROS production, and activation of caspase-3-mediated apoptosis and pro apoptotic protein kinase C δ (PKC δ). In addition Mn nanoparticles caused autophagy characterized by decreased levels of the native form of Bcl-2-interacting protein-1, Beclin 1, and increased cleavage of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3, LC3, both of which are associated with autophagosomes formation [151].

3.7 Mn and cytoplasmic inclusions

The pathological hallmark of idiopathic PD is the presence of cytoplasmic inclusions of α -synuclein fibrils, known as Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites; their production can be affected by both genetic and environmental factors [154,155]. α -Synuclein is a heat stable protein that is soluble and natively unfolded. In the CNS it is expressed in neurons where it localizes in pre-synaptic terminals in the vicinity to synaptic vesicles. The precise function of α -synuclein is unknown; a role as modulator in synaptic and neural plasticity has been advanced [156].

In vitro assay showed that pesticides, such as rotenone, PQ and Maneb, as well as metals, such as Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , Co^{3+} , Cd^{2+} and Mn^{2+} , induce a conformational change in α -synuclein accelerating its fibrillation rate; the effect of pesticides and metals when simultaneously present is synergistic [157]. See pathway 4 in Figure 3. Cultured DAergic neuronal cells line, MES 23.5, exposed to Maneb (a Mn-containing pesticide) showed inhibition of proteasomal chymotrypsin-like and postglutamyl peptidase activities. Proteasomal dysfunction was accompanied by cytoplasmic inclusions that were positive for α -synuclein immunostaining [158]. Metals such as arsenic, copper, zinc, mercury, cadmium, nickel and lead as well as the metalloid, selenium, have been shown to increase α -synuclein-like immunoreactivity aggregates in the CNS of white sucker fish, *Catostomus commersoni*, sampled from highly contaminated water with metal ions secondary to mining activity [159].

The propensity of Mn to increase cytoplasmic inclusions has also been shown *in vitro*. For example, Mn decreased the viability of SK-N-MC neuroblastoma cells expressing human DA transporter and α -synuclein [160], and increased expression of α -synuclein in PC12 cells, via ERK1/2 MAPK activation [161]. In SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells up-regulation of α -synuclein expression and α -synuclein mRNA by Mn preceded the apoptotic response [162], suggesting up regulation of α -synuclein may be an early effect.

Similar effects have been noted *in vivo*. For example, non-human primates exposed to Mn showed up-regulation of another type of cytoplasmic inclusions, namely amyloid- β (A- β) precursor like-protein-1; its gene expression, increased along with the number of A- β diffuse plaques. In the same brains, Mn also led to α -synuclein aggregation particularly within the frontal cortex [163]. While still debatable, these findings raise the plausibility that in addition to its well-established link to PD, Mn may play a role in the etiology of Alzheimer's disease (AD).

3.8 Mn and DAergic circuitry

DA is a universal neurotransmitter present in the animal kingdom from invertebrates to vertebrates. The interaction of Mn with that of DA are clearly evidences in other species, such as mollusks where exposure to Mn was shown to impair the cilio-inhibitory system of the lateral cilia of the gill, and decreased endogenous DA levels in cerebral and visceral ganglia and gills of *Crassostrea virginica* [164].

In vertebrate animals, microinjection of Mn into the SNpc or striatum allows for the evaluation of its action on mesostriatal DAergic circuitry. For example, unilateral intra-nigral Mn microinjection in rats led to ipsilateral striatal DA loss in a dose-dependent fashion and a

rotational behavior towards the lesion side in response to systemic apomorphine. Systemic administration of L-Dopa plus carbidopa or pargyline increased the DAergic striatal loss in these animals [165]. Intra-nigral Mn microinjection in rats decreased nigral and ipsilateral striatal DA and TH cofactor, (6R)-L-erythro-5, 6, 7, 8 tetrahydrobiopterin (BH₄), levels. Maximal decrease in BH₄ was observed at 60 days, with complete recovery at 90 days after the Mn microinjection [166]. Mn microinjected into rat SNpc decreased ipsilateral striatal DA concentrations, the number of TH-positive cells and dopamine- and cAMP-regulated neuronal phosphoprotein (DARPP-32) expression, and increased rotational behavior in response to systemic apomorphine administration, while systemic L-Dopa plus carbidopa treatment worsened these effects [167]. Rats intra-nigally microinjected with Mn showed rotational behavior in response to apomorphine and increased number of NADPH-d positive neurons in the ipsilateral SNpc as well as ipsi- and contralateral striatum. NO synthesis inhibition by NG-nitro-L-arginine (L-NOARG) reversed the NADPH-diaphorase increase, but worsened the rotational behavior response to systemic apomorphine, suggesting a protective role for NO in the Mn-induced neurodegenerative process [168]. Paradkar and Roth have reported that NO can inhibit NF-κB activity and the subsequent up regulation of DMT1 which may, in part, account for the protective effects of NO observed [80]. Mn is slowly cleared from the substantia nigra, with a 50% decrease noted at 72 hours post microinjection [169]. Notably, the apomorphine-induced rotational behavior was detected at 24 hours after intra-nigral Mn microinjection reaching its maximum at 72 hours post injection. The time course of striatal TH immunostaining loss observed in Mn treated rats followed the time course of rotational behavior, suggesting that cellular mechanisms induced by Mn, which lead to DAergic cell death occurred shortly after injection. It also suggests that threshold metal concentration is required in order to induce neurotoxic effects [169]. The slow brain clearance of Mn could be explained by its propensity to accumulate within brain mitochondria as shown by Gavin and [102].

Mn-induced DAergic neurodegeneration may be a consequence of its ability to produce an indirect excitotoxic process, as demonstrated by Brouillet et al (1993). These authors have shown that Mn microinjected into rat striatum caused a dose-dependent decrease in DA, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and substance P concentrations in the striatum. Such effects were blocked by prior removal of cortico-striatal glutamatergic inputs or by treatment with MK-801, a non-competitive NMDA antagonist. Striatal slices of rats treated chronically with Mn showed an enhancement in cortical glutamate-mediated synaptic transmission in the striatum, suggesting impairment in corticostriatal glutamatergic transmission and increased excitotoxic damage [170].

3.9 Mn and microglia

Reactive microglia are present in the SN of PD patients, suggesting an inflammatory component in the neurodegenerative process. CNS inflammatory responses are predominantly mediated by microglial cells which represent the first line of defense. Microglial activation has two stages; the first one is associated with release of neurotrophins leading to increased neuronal survival, and the second phase associated with release of pro-inflammatory mediators potentially leading to impaired neuronal survival [171]. Several studies suggest a potential role for microglia in mediating Mn-induced neurodegeneration.

In vivo, intrastriatal Mn microinjections in rats were shown (7 days post injection) to reduce the number of TH⁺ cells and increase the number of activated microglia in the substantia nigra; up regulation of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α) gene expression was paralleled by increased protein levels of iNOS and interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) in the substantia nigra [172]. The microglial participation in Mn-induced neurodegeneration response is shown in pathway 5 in Figure 3. Non-human primates chronically treated with Mn also displayed increased microglial number in SNpc and reticulate concomitant with increased expression of iNOS, L-ferritin and intracellular ferric ion in reactive microglial cells [173]. The decrease in TH⁺ cells observed in animals treated with intrastriatal Mn microinjection could be the consequence of a microglial defense response, which depending upon the duration and intensity could lead to inflammation and cellular degeneration.

Consistent with the above effects, mouse N9 microglial cells treated *in vitro* with bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and challenged with Mn showed significant induction of NO production, with a concomitant increase in iNOS gene transcription [110]. The same cells, when exposed to Mn showed increased production of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and TNF α . In the presence of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), this response was significantly potentiated. Co-exposure to LPS and Mn also increased NO production and iNOS expression [174]. It is noteworthy that Mn regulates iNOS expression at the transcriptional level in BV2 microglial cells, increasing iNOS protein expression by the activation of JNK-ERK MAPK and PI3/Akt signaling pathways [175]. More recently, it has been shown in rat primary neuron-glia co-cultures that simultaneous treatment with Mn and LPS increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF α and IL-1 β , ROS as well as reactive nitrogen species (RNS). Minocycline (an antibiotic) pre-treatment effectively reduced the pro-inflammatory cytokine production [176]. These experimental data suggest that Mn can potentiate the effects of bacterial toxins and that ensuing inflammatory reactions in basal ganglia thus exacerbating the neurodegenerative process.

4. Mn AND GENETICS

The clinical features of the extrapyramidal symptoms of manganism can be quite diverse reflecting the complex nature of the basal ganglia which is associated with a variety of integrated inhibitory and excitatory neurochemical pathways. The degree to which Mn disrupts any of these interdependent processes promotes an imbalance of output from the basal ganglia which potentially result in capricious disparities in onset, severity, and specific symptoms expressed as well as the progression of the disorder. The source which contributes to deviations in the characteristics of the expressed symptoms and neurological lesions generated may include exposure to other noxious environmental toxins, nutrition status, state of health and, most importantly, underlying genetic variability. All of these to varying degrees have the potential to alter the biochemical processes by which Mn imbalance can occur. Of these, genetic polymorphisms have to be considered as potentially playing a dominant role in regulating alterations in specific signaling pathways controlling Mn-induced cell death. This is best exemplified by the publication by Sadek *et al.* [177] describing a patient who worked as a welder for a total of three years. This individual acquired the progressive symptoms of Mn toxicity within one year after beginning

employment making his clinical history quite remarkable as development of manganism after only one year of welding is highly unusual as onset usually requires considerably longer exposure times. The reason for the rapid development of the neurological deficits is unknown but, most likely, reflects a genetic predisposition in this individual. In addition, within several years of the initial diagnosis, he developed a unilateral tremor in his right hand more characteristic of Parkinsonism. The prominence of a genetic component contributing to manganism is further substantiated by the reality that not all welders or Mn miners develop manganism yet exposures are comparable to their fellow workers that acquire the disorder. Clearly, this underscores the potential impact of genetic polymorphisms that likely contribute to the variability in development of Mn toxicity. It is essential to stress that although chronic exposure to Mn is not the causative agent provoking Parkinson's disease, there is compelling evidence in the literature that it may be one of the most influential metals correlating with increased susceptibility to develop this condition [178–182].

Manganism is a disorder anatomically and functionally distinct from that of Parkinson's disease, at least in regard to the critical initial site of injury, leaving us with the issue as to why many of the observed symptoms overlap between the two disorders and whether chronic exposure to Mn can eventually provoke idiopathic Parkinsonism. Some of the similarities between the two disorders simply reflect the fact that Mn can influence DAergic transmission by inhibiting dopamine release and decreasing dopamine transporter (DAT) levels in the striatum [124,183–187]. Although this may clarify why some of the symptoms of Mn toxicity overlap with that of Parkinson's disease, it fails to explain individual differences in susceptibility to develop manganism. Over the past 15 years, identification of a number gene variants have been identified as being linked to early (α -synuclein, parkin, PINK1, DJ-1 and ATP13A2) and late (LRRK2) onset of Parkinson's disease [188–190]. Because many of the symptoms and neurodegenerative features between the two neurological disorders appear to be interrelated, it is feasible that polymorphisms in some of these genes may also be associated with the susceptibility to develop manganism. If a relationship does exist, then it may also explain, in a reciprocal fashion, why excess exposure to Mn may provoke early onset of Parkinson's disease. Of the six genes linked to Parkinsonism, two of these, parkin and ATP13A2, have already been reported to influence Mn toxicity in cell culture systems [191–194]. Thus, one-third of the known polymorphisms in genes linked to onset of Parkinsonism are suggested to promote increased susceptibility to acquire manganism.

The two genes, parkin and ATP13A2, are both linked to early onset of Parkinsonism but differ in their enzymatic activity though both have several features in common including alteration of α -synuclein activity and protein degradation as well as mitochondrial dysfunction [195–197]. Parkin is one of over 600 identified E3 ligases responsible for the conjugation of ubiquitin to a variety of proteins [198,199]. Of the known genes correlating with early onset of Parkinson's disease, those involving parkin are the most prevalent encompassing approximately 50% of all recessive cases [200,201]. Although considered to be an autosomal recessive gene, there is evidence in the literature suggesting that mutations in a single allele may exert sufficient imbalance in dopaminergic activity to cause subclinical features of Parkinsonism [202] and thus, even in the heterozygous state, may play a

significant role in development of idiopathic Parkinsonism [203,204]. Two studies [191,205] have reported that overexpression of parkin can protect cells against Mn toxicity. Recent studies [191] have further demonstrated that parkin is responsible for the ubiquitination of DMT1, the major transport protein for Mn (see above) [191]. This process is relatively specific as it is responsible for degradation of only the 1B species of the transporter which predominates in the CNS [206,207]. Thus, mutations in parkin, which lead to its inactivation would likely increase DMT1 levels and the subsequent accumulation of Mn within the CNS.

Like parkin, ATP13A2 is also associated with the pathogenesis of both familial and sporadic Parkinson's disease as well as Kufor-Rakeb syndrome (KRS), a rare juvenile-onset autosomal recessive disease characterized by progressive Parkinsonism [190,208]. ATP13A2 encodes a large membrane-bound lysosomal P-type ATPase and when mutated acts, presumably, to disrupt its lysosomal localization thus, linking lysosomal degradation with Parkinson's disease. A recent paper by Gitler [193] demonstrated a strong genetic interaction between α -synuclein and PARK9, a yeast ortholog of human Parkinson's disease-linked gene, ATP13A2. Coexpression of PARK9 (ATP13A2) in animals overexpressing α -synuclein was shown to protect against dopaminergic neuron loss whereas knockdown of the ATP13A2 ortholog in *Caenorhabditis elegans* enhance α -synuclein misfolding. It was also reported that that wild-type ATP13A2, but not the KRS pathogenic ATP13A2 mutant, protected cells from Mn-induced cell death in mammalian cell lines and primary rat neuronal cultures. In addition, wild-type ATP13A2 reduced intracellular Mn and prevented cytochrome c release from mitochondria when compared to the mutant cells. Based on these findings, these authors suggested that PARK9 is involved in Mn transport though direct evidence for this is lacking.

Thus one-third of the known Parkinson-linked polymorphisms are linked to Mn toxicity leaving us with the question as to the role of the other four genes, DJ-1, PINK1, α -synuclein and LRRK2, in the development of manganism [192,193,209,210]. The proposed mechanisms for each of these proteins in inducing Parkinsonism are relatively complex and often overlap in that each may mutually influence the others activity. Based on the predicted mechanisms, there is compelling evidence to justify the hypothesis that mutations in these genes will augment Mn toxicity as polymorphisms produce gene products that disrupt mitochondrial function and thus, potentiate mitochondrial-induced oxidative stress which, independent of other toxic mechanisms, will facilitate Mn-induced toxicity.

Whereas the aforementioned genes are autosomal recessive, LRRK2 is an autosomal dominant gene and the only gene associated with late onset of the disorder [211]. Thus, a mutation in a single allele may be sufficient to elicit effects on Mn toxicity prior to the appearance of the symptoms of Parkinsonism. LRRK2 is a relatively complex gene which encodes a large multidomain protein that includes a Rho/Ras-like GTPase domain (termed Roc, for Ras) and a protein kinase domain. Because of this complexity, the mechanism by which mutations in LRRK2 elicits Parkinsonism is likely to be multifaceted and are anticipated to influence a number of signaling pathways [212]. In regard to dopamine function, LRRK2 can cause a decrease in the dopamine transporter, DAT, [213,214] as well as impair dopamine-stimulated neurotransmission [215] both of which are also seen with overexposure to Mn [183,184,186,187]. Relevant to manganism, studies have reported that

Mn can increase phosphorylation activity of the most predominant polymorphic species of LRRK2, the G2019S mutant, while inhibiting the wild-type kinase activity activated by Mg [216,217]. Thus, LRRK2 displays a number of critical functions many of which can potentially affect or be affected by Mn.

Support for a potential role for DMT1 in neurodegeneration associated with PD was recently suggested by several researchers. Salazar et al. [218] have recently shown that 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) intoxication in mice, a well established PD model, caused increased DMT1 expression in the ventral mesencephalon concomitant with iron accumulation, oxidative stress, and DAergic cell loss. More recently He et al.[219], direct sequencing identified two single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the DMT1 gene (CC haplotype) as a risk factor for PD in the Han Chinese population. The above studies have not directly assessed whether polymorphisms in DMT1 in PD patients also alter CNS Mn levels. Given this gene's role in regulating intracellular Mn levels (see above), it is essential that future studies in suitable cohorts also address the association between DMT1 polymorphisms and Mn accumulation.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

As described above, uptake of Mn in the intestines and the various processes controlling its delivery and access to the CNS represent the rate limiting steps regulating Mn levels and toxicity *in vivo*. Multiple systems are involved in the maintenance of Mn levels, all of which must function, to some extent, in the preservation of its homeostatic levels. These systems routinely work in harmony to sustain required levels of Mn even though Mn may not be an operational cation these systems were designed for. As a consequence, conditions that upset this delicate balance result in a failure of the various components to adjust to the practical needs of Mn in order to support the functional demands of the other essential cations, the most important of which is Fe. Thus, conditions of excess exposures to Mn or a variety stress inducing or inflammatory conditions are likely to provoke an imbalance in the various transport systems for Mn resulting in increased CNS toxicity.

The synopsis provided above establishes that Mn exerts neurotoxicity specifically in DAergic nigral cells. However, its aberrant effects may be much broader given recent evidence on its ability to perturb other cell types and neurotransmitters, as well as its propensity to increase cytoplasmic inclusions. Taken together, a broad array of mechanisms appears to mediate Mn-induced DAergic neurodegeneration. Considering the essentiality of Mn, future studies should continue to focus on its role both in health and disease. Given that the safety margin for Mn appears to be narrow, it is incumbent upon the research community to further delineate its toxicity, considering its ubiquitous presence in the environment and anthropogenic usage. This novel information will be highly relevant for future considerations on its safety and in delineating risk assessments for exposure and safely.

Finally, the findings to date support a possible genetic link between the manifestations of manganism with genes associated with onset of Parkinsonism. This is not totally surprising as mutations in these genes, in many respects, display similar aberrant neurological activities within the basal ganglia, which provoke the distinctive dystonic movements associated with

both disorders. It remains to be determined whether the other gens linked to Parkinsonism will also be associated with the development of Mn toxicity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This review was supported in part by grants from NIH, ES R01 10563 and ES P32 000267.

ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

A-β	amyloid-β
AD	Alzheimer's disease
BBB	blood-brain barrier
CHOP	C/EBP homologous protein
CNS	central nervous system
DARPP-32	dopamine-and cAMP-regulated neuronal phosphoprotein
Dcytb	duodenal cytochrome B
DMT1	divalent metal transporter 1
DA	dopamine
DAergic	dopaminergic
DAT	dopamine transporter
ER	endoplasmic reticulum
ESADDI	estimated safe and adequate dietary intake
Fe	iron
Fpn	ferroportin
GABA	γ-aminobutyric acid
GRP78	glucose-regulated protein78
GSH	glutathione
H2O2	hydrogen peroxide
HEK293	human embryonic kidney cells 293
IL-1β	interleukin-1β
IL-6	interleukin-6
iNOS	inducible nitric oxide
IRE	iron response element

IREG1	iron-regulated protein 1
L-NOARG	NG-nitro-L-arginine
LPS	lipopolysaccharide
Mn	manganese
MnSOD	Mn superoxide dismutase
MAO	monoamine oxidase
MAP2	microtubule-associated protein
MPTP	1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine
MTP1	metal tolerance protein 1
NMDA	<i>N</i> -methyl-D-aspartate
NOS	nitric oxide synthase
NRC	National Research Council
ORAI1	calcium release-activated calcium channel protein 1
PKCδ	protein kinase C δ
PQ	paraquat
RDA	Recommended Dietary Allowance
ROS	reactive oxygen species
SERCA	sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca-ATPase
SLC39	solute-carrier-39
SNpc	substantia nigra pars compacta
SOC	store-operated Ca ⁺² channels
SOD	superoxide dismutase
SSB	single strand breaks
Tf	transferrin
TfR	transferrin receptor
TH	tyrosine hydroxylase
TNFα	tumor necrosis factor α

REFERENCES

1. Greger JL, J Nutr. 1998, 128, 368S–371S. [PubMed: 9478027]

2. NAS. 2001.
3. Aisen P, Aasa R, Redfield AG, J Biol Chem. 1969, 244, 4628–4633. [PubMed: 4309148]
4. Critchfield JW, Keen CL, Metabolism. 1992, 41, 1087–1092. [PubMed: 1406294]
5. M.C. Erat, J. Coles, C. Finazzo, B. Knobloch, R.K.O. Sigel. 2011, submitted for publication.
6. Lippert B, Chem. Biodivers. 2008, 5, 1455–1474. [PubMed: 18729108]
7. Erat MC, Sigel RKO, Met. Ions Life Sci. 2011, 9, 37–100. [PubMed: 22010268]
8. Al-Sogair FM, Opershall BP, Sigel A, Sigel H, Schnabl J, Sigel RKO, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, available online, doi: 10.1021/cr100415s.
9. , Structural and Catalytic Roles of Metal Ions in RNA, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, 2011.
10. Busch K, Kunzmann H, Wäldele F, Numerical Error-Correction of Coordinate Measuring Machines, International Symposium on Metrology, Tokyo, 1984, pp. 270–271.
11. Papavasiliou PS, Miller ST, Cotzias GC, Am J Physiol. 1966, 211, 211–216. [PubMed: 5911040]
12. Lucaciu CM, Dragu C, Copaescu L, Morariu VV, Biochim Biophys Acta. 1997, 1328, 90–98. [PubMed: 9315607]
13. Kannurpatti SS, Joshi PG, Joshi NB, Neurochem Res. 2000, 25, 1527–1536. [PubMed: 11152381]
14. Grimm C, Kraft R, Sauerbruch S, Schultz G, Harteneck C, J Biol Chem. 2003, 278, 21493–21501. [PubMed: 12672799]
15. Riccio A, Mattei C, Kelsell RE, Medhurst AD, Calver AR, Randall AD, Davis JB, Benham CD, Pangalos MN, J Biol Chem. 2002, 277, 12302–12309. [PubMed: 11805119]
16. Yokel RA, Neuromolecular Med. 2009.
17. Roth JA, Garrick MD, Biochem Pharmacol. 2003, 66, 1–13. [PubMed: 12818360]
18. Aschner M, Dorman DC, Toxicol Rev. 2006, 25, 147–154. [PubMed: 17192121]
19. Garrick MD, Singleton ST, Vargas F, Kuo HC, Zhao L, Knopfel M, Davidson T, Costa M, Paradkar P, Roth JA, Garrick LM, Biol Res. 2006, 39, 79–85. [PubMed: 16629167]
20. Fujishiro H, Kubota K, Inoue D, Inoue A, Yanagiya T, Enomoto S, Himeno S, Toxicology. 2011, 280, 118–125. [PubMed: 21172401]
21. He L, Girijashanker K, Dalton TP, Reed J, Li H, Soleimani M, Nebert DW, Mol Pharmacol. 2006, 70, 171–180. [PubMed: 16638970]
22. Himeno S, Yanagiya T, Fujishiro H, Biochimie. 2009, 91, 1218–1222. [PubMed: 19375483]
23. Girijashanker K, He L, Soleimani M, Reed JM, Li H, Liu Z, Wang B, Dalton TP, Nebert DW, Mol Pharmacol. 2008, 73, 1413–1423. [PubMed: 18270315]
24. Mackenzie B, Takanaga H, Hubert N, Rolfs A, Hediger MA, Biochem J 2007, 403, 59–69.
25. Gunshin H, Mackenzie B, Berger UV, Gunshin Y, Romero MF, Boron WF, Nussberger S, Gollan JL, Hediger MA, Nature. 1997, 388, 482–488. [PubMed: 9242408]
26. Roth JA, Biol Res. 2006, 39, 45–57. [PubMed: 16629164]
27. Arredondo M, Munoz P, Mura CV, Nunez MT, Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2003, 284, C1525–1530. [PubMed: 12734107]
28. Garrick MD, Singleton ST, Vargas F, Kuo HC, Zhao L, Knopfel M, Davidson T, Costa M, Paradkar P, Roth JA, Garrick LM, Biological Research. 2006, 39, 79–85. [PubMed: 16629167]
29. Mackenzie B, Garrick MD, Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2005, 289, G981–986. [PubMed: 16286504]
30. Collins JF, Biol Res. 2006, 39, 25–37. [PubMed: 16629162]
31. Davidsson L, Cederblad A, Lonnerdal B, Sandstrom B, Am J Dis Child. 1989, 143, 823–827. [PubMed: 2741855]
32. Davidsson L, Cederblad A, Lonnerdal B, Sandstrom B, Am J Clin Nutr. 1991, 54, 1065–1070. [PubMed: 1957822]
33. Gibbons RA, Dixon SN, Hallis K, Russell AM, Sansom BF, Symonds HW, Biochim Biophys Acta. 1976, 444, 1–10. [PubMed: 60137]
34. Johnson PE, Lykken GI, Korynta ED, J Nutr. 1991, 121, 711–717. [PubMed: 2019880]

35. Yin Z, Jiang H, Lee ES, Ni M, Erikson KM, Milatovic D, Bowman AB, Aschner M, J Neurochem. 2010, 112, 1190–1198. [PubMed: 20002294]
36. Wang X, Miller DS, Zheng W, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2008, 230, 167–174. [PubMed: 18420243]
37. Madejczyk MS, Ballatori N, Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011.
38. Collins JF, Wessling-Resnick M, Knutson MD, J Nutr. 2008, 138, 2284–2288. [PubMed: 18936232]
39. Ganz T, Blood. 2011, 117, 4425–4433. [PubMed: 21346250]
40. Davidsson L, Lonnerdal B, Sandstrom B, Kunz C, Keen CL, J Nutr. 1989, 119, 1461–1464. [PubMed: 2585137]
41. Anderson GJ, Frazer DM, McKie AT, Vulpe CD, Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2002, 29, 367–375. [PubMed: 12547227]
42. Cherukuri S, Potla R, Sarkar J, Nurko S, Harris ZL, Fox PL, Cell Metab. 2005, 2, 309–319. [PubMed: 16271531]
43. Jursa T, Smith DR, Toxicol Sci. 2009, 107, 182–193. [PubMed: 19005224]
44. Gibbons RA, Dixon SN, Russell AM, Sansom BF, Biochim Biophys Acta. 1976, 437, 301–304. [PubMed: 949508]
45. Anderson GJ, Vulpe CD, Cell Mol Life Sci. 2009, 66, 3241–3261. [PubMed: 19484405]
46. Moos T, Morgan EH, J Neurosci Res. 1998, 54, 486–494. [PubMed: 9822159]
47. Hernandez RB, Farina M, Esposito BP, Souza-Pinto NC, Barbosa F Jr., Sunol C, Toxicol Sci. 2011, 124, 414–423. [PubMed: 21940818]
48. Bates GW, Billups C, Saltman P, J Biol Chem. 1967, 242, 2816–2821. [PubMed: 4961170]
49. Tulpule K, Robinson SR, Bishop GM, Dringen R, J Neurosci Res. 2010, 88, 563–571. [PubMed: 19746426]
50. Ke Y, Qian ZM, ProgNeurobiol. 2007, 83, 149–173.
51. Henriksson J, Tallkvist J, Tjalve H, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 1999, 156, 119–128. [PubMed: 10198277]
52. Vitarella D, Wong BA, Moss OR, Dorman DC, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2000, 163, 279–285. [PubMed: 10702367]
53. Fechter LD, Johnson DL, Lynch RA, Neurotoxicology. 2002, 23, 177–183. [PubMed: 12224759]
54. Dobson AW, Weber S, Dorman DC, Lash LK, Erikson KM, Aschner M, Biol Trace Elem Res. 2003, 93, 113–126. [PubMed: 12835496]
55. Dorman DC, McManus BE, Parkinson CU, Manuel CA, McElveen AM, Everitt JI, Inhal Toxicol. 2004, 16, 481–488. [PubMed: 15204764]
56. Normandin L, Ann Beaupre L, Salehi F, St -Pierre A, Kennedy G, Mergler D, Butterworth RF, Philippe S, Zayed J, Neurotoxicology. 2004, 25, 433–441. [PubMed: 15019306]
57. Thompson K, Molina RM, Donaghey T, Schwob JE, Brain JD, Wessling-Resnick M, FASEB J. 2007, 21, 223–230. [PubMed: 17116743]
58. Dorman DC, Struve MF, James RA, Marshall MW, Parkinson CU, Wong BA, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2001, 170, 79–87. [PubMed: 11162771]
59. Oberdorster G, Sharp Z, Atudorei V, Elder A, Gelein R, Kreyling W, Cox C, Inhal Toxicol. 2004, 16, 437–445. [PubMed: 15204759]
60. Wang X, Li GJ, Zheng W, Brain Res. 2006, 1097, 1–10. [PubMed: 16729984]
61. McKie AT, Biochem Soc Trans. 2008, 36, 1239–1241. [PubMed: 19021532]
62. Ohgami RS, Campagna DR, Greer EL, Antiochos B, McDonald A, Chen J, Sharp JJ, Fujiwara Y, Barker JE, Fleming MD, Nat Genet. 2005, 37, 1264–1269. [PubMed: 16227996]
63. Ohgami RS, Campagna DR, McDonald A, Fleming MD, Blood. 2006, 108, 1388–1394. [PubMed: 16609065]
64. Gunter TE, Gavin CE, Aschner M, Gunter KK, Neurotoxicology. 2006, 27, 765–776. [PubMed: 16765446]
65. Fleming MD, Romano MA, Su MA, Garrick LM, Garrick MD, Andrews NC, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998, 95, 1148–1153. [PubMed: 9448300]

66. Hubert N, Hentze MW, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002, 99, 12345–12350. [PubMed: 12209011]
67. Lee PL, Gelbart T, West C, Halloran C, Beutler E, Blood Cells Mol Dis. 1998, 24, 199–215. [PubMed: 9642100]
68. Kato J, Kobune M, Ohkubo S, Fujikawa K, Tanaka M, Takimoto R, Takada K, Takahari D, Kawano Y, Kohgo Y, Niitsu Y, Exp Hematol. 2007, 35, 879–887. [PubMed: 17533042]
69. Roth JA, Horbinski C, Higgins D, Lein P, Garrick MD, Neurotoxicology. 2002, 23, 147–157. [PubMed: 12224755]
70. Garcia SJ, Gellein K, Syversen T, Aschner M, Toxicol Sci. 2007, 95, 205–214. [PubMed: 17060373]
71. Fitsanakis VA, Zhang N, Anderson JG, Erikson KM, Avison MJ, Gore JC, Aschner M, Toxicol Sci. 2008, 103, 116–124. [PubMed: 18234737]
72. Hansen SL, Trakooljul N, Liu HC, Moeser AJ, Spears JW, J Nutr. 2009, 139, 1474–1479. [PubMed: 19535423]
73. Nunez MT, Tapia V, Rojas A, Aguirre P, Gomez F, Nualart F, Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2010, 298, C477–485. [PubMed: 20007457]
74. Kwik-Urbe C, Smith DR, J Neurosci Res. 2006, 83, 1601–1610. [PubMed: 16568477]
75. Theil EC, J Nutr. 2011, 141, 724S–728S. [PubMed: 21346101]
76. Roth JA, Feng L, Dolan KG, Lis A, Garrick MD, J Neurosci Res. 2002, 68, 76–83. [PubMed: 11933051]
77. Erikson KM, Syversen T, Steinnes E, Aschner M, J Nutr Biochem. 2004, 15, 335–341. [PubMed: 15157939]
78. Erikson KM, Shihabi ZK, Aschner JL, Aschner M, Biol Trace Elem Res. 2002, 87, 143–156 [PubMed: 12117224]
79. Paradkar PN, Roth JA, Biochem J. 2006, 394, 173–183. [PubMed: 16232120]
80. Paradkar PN, Roth JA, J Neurochem. 2006, 96, 1768–1777. [PubMed: 16539692]
81. Paradkar PN, Roth JA, J Cell Physiol. 2007, 211, 183–188. [PubMed: 17262811]
82. Lis A, Paradkar PN, Singleton S, Kuo HC, Garrick MD, Roth JA, Biochem Pharmacol. 2005, 69, 1647–1655. [PubMed: 15896344]
83. Wang D, Wang LH, Zhao Y, Lu YP, Zhu L, IUBMB Life. 2010, 62, 629–636. [PubMed: 20681027]
84. Fujishiro H, Doi M, Enomoto S, Himeno S, Metallomics. 2011, 3, 710–718. [PubMed: 21509381]
85. Liuzzi JP, Aydemir F, Nam H, Knutson MD, Cousins RJ, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006, 103, 13612–13617. [PubMed: 16950869]
86. Chesler M, Physiol Rev. 2003, 83, 1183–1221. [PubMed: 14506304]
87. Ma C, Schneider SN, Miller M, Nebert DW, Lind C, Roda SM, Afton SE, Caruso JA, Genter MB, J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2008, 22, 305–310. [PubMed: 18972394]
88. Pinilla-Tenas JJ, Sparkman BK, Shawki A, Illing AC, Mitchell CJ, Zhao N, Liuzzi JP, Cousins RJ, Knutson MD, Mackenzie B, Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2011, 301, C862–871. [PubMed: 21653899]
89. Gao J, Zhao N, Knutson MD, Enns CA, J Biol Chem. 2008, 283, 21462–21468. [PubMed: 18524764]
90. Zhao N, Gao J, Enns CA, Knutson MD, J Biol Chem. 2010, 285, 32141–32150. [PubMed: 20682781]
91. Loutzenhiser K, Loutzenhiser R, Circ Res. 2000, 87, 551–557. [PubMed: 11009559]
92. Yokel RA, Crossgrove JS, Res Rep Health Eff Inst. 2004, 7–58; discussion 59–73. [PubMed: 15043400]
93. Crossgrove JS, Yokel RA, Neurotoxicology. 2005, 26, 297–307. [PubMed: 15935202]
94. Jardin I, Gomez LJ, Salido GM, Rosado JA, Biochem J 2009, 420, 267–276. [PubMed: 19260825]
95. Guilbert A, Gautier M, Dhennin-Duthille I, Haren N, Sevestre H, Ouadid-Ahidouch H, Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2009, 297, C493–502. [PubMed: 19515901]
96. Plenz D, Herrera-Marschitz M, Kitai ST, J Comp Neurol. 1998, 397, 437–457. [PubMed: 9699909]

97. Rouse ST, Marino MJ, Bradley SR, Awad H, Wittmann M, Conn PJ, Pharmacol Ther. 2000, 88, 427–435. [PubMed: 11337032]
98. Brouillet EP, Shinobu L, McGarvey U, Hochberg F, Beal MF, Exp Neurol. 1993, 120, 89–94. [PubMed: 8477830]
99. Xu Z, Jia K, Xu B, He A, Li J, Deng Y, Zhang F, Toxicol Ind Health. 2010, 26, 55–60. [PubMed: 20056741]
100. Deng Y, Xu Z, Xu B, Tian Y, Xin X, Deng X, Gao J, Brain Res. 2009, 1289, 106–117. [PubMed: 19615351]
101. Stelmashook EV, Lozier ER, Goryacheva ES, Mergenthaler P, Novikova SV, Zorov DB, Isaev NK, Neurosci Lett. 2010, 482, 151–155. [PubMed: 20650305]
102. Gavin CE, Gunter KK, Gunter TE, Neurotoxicology. 1999, 20, 445–453. [PubMed: 10385903]
103. Takeda A, Sotogaku N, Oku N, Neuroscience. 2002, 114, 669–674. [PubMed: 12220568]
104. Meco G, Bonifati V, Vanacore N, Fabrizio E, Scand J Work Environ Health. 1994, 20, 301–305. [PubMed: 7801076]
105. Parenti M, Rusconi L, Cappabianca V, Parati EA, Groppetti A, Brain Res. 1988, 473, 236–240. [PubMed: 2852985]
106. Liccione JJ, Maines MD, J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1988, 247, 156–161. [PubMed: 2902211]
107. Gunter TE, Gerstner B, Lester T, Wojtovich AP, Malecki J, Swarts SG, Brookes PS, Gavin CE, Gunter KK, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2010, 249, 65–75. [PubMed: 20800605]
108. Chun HS, Lee H, Son JH, Neurosci Lett. 2001, 316, 5–8. [PubMed: 11720765]
109. Snyder RD, Friedman MB, Mutat Res. 1998, 405, 1–8. [PubMed: 9729232]
110. Chang JY, Liu LZ, Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 1999, 68, 22–28. [PubMed: 10320780]
111. Barbeau A, Neurotoxicology. 1984, 5, 13–35. [PubMed: 6538948]
112. Graham DG, Mol Pharmacol. 1978, 14, 633–643. [PubMed: 98706]
113. Archibald FS, Tyree C, Arch Biochem Biophys. 1987, 256, 638–650. [PubMed: 3039917]
114. Donaldson J, McGregor D, LaBella F, Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 1982, 60, 1398–1405. [PubMed: 6129921]
115. Maynard LS, Cotzias GC, J Biol Chem. 1955, 214, 489–495. [PubMed: 14367406]
116. Deng Y, Luan Y, Qing H, Xie H, Lu J, Zhou J, Neurosci Lett. 2008, 444, 122–126. [PubMed: 18722506]
117. Worley CG, Bombick D, Allen JW, Suber RL, Aschner M, Neurotoxicology. 2002, 23, 159–164. [PubMed: 12224756]
118. Stredrick DL, Stokes AH, Worst TJ, Freeman WM, Johnson EA, Lash LH, Aschner M, Vrana KE, Neurotoxicology. 2004, 25, 543–553. [PubMed: 15183009]
119. Subhash MN, Padmashree TS, Food Chem Toxicol. 1990, 28, 567–570. [PubMed: 2242831]
120. Slood WN, Korf J, Koster JF, De Wit LE, Gramsbergen JB, Exp Neurol. 1996, 138, 236–245. [PubMed: 8620922]
121. Morello M, Zatta P, Zambenedetti P, Martorana A, D'Angelo V, Melchiorri G, Bernardi G, Sancesario G, Brain Res Bull. 2007, 74, 406–415. [PubMed: 17920449]
122. Desole MS, Esposito G, Migheli R, Fresu L, Sircana S, Zangani D, Miele M, Miele E, Neuropharmacology. 1994, 34, 289–285.
123. Tomas-Camardiel M, Herrera AJ, Venero JL, Sanchez-Hidalgo M, Cruz, Cano J, Machado A, Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 2002, 103, 116–129. [PubMed: 12106697]
124. Khalid M, Aoun RA, Mathews TA, J Neurosci Methods. 2011, 202, 182–191. [PubMed: 21740928]
125. Gorell JM, Johnson CC, Rybicki BA, Peterson EL, Kortsha GX, Brown GG, Richardson RJ, Neurology. 1997, 48, 650–658. [PubMed: 9065542]
126. Thiruchelvam M, Richfield EK, Goodman BM, Baggs RB, Cory-Slechta DA, Neurotoxicology. 2002, 23, 621–633. [PubMed: 12428734]
127. Moreno JA, Streifel KM, Sullivan KA, Legare ME, Tjalkens RB, Toxicol Sci. 2009b, 112, 405–415. [PubMed: 19812365]

128. Moreno JA, Yeomans EC, Streifel KM, Brattin BL, Taylor RJ, Tjalkens RB, Toxicol Sci. 2009a, 112, 394–404. [PubMed: 19812362]
129. Desole MS, Sciola L, Delogu MR, Sircana S, Migheli R, Neurosci Lett. 1996, 209, 193–196. [PubMed: 8736643]
130. Desole MS, Sciola L, Delogu MR, Sircana S, Migheli R, Miele E, Neurochem Int. 1997, 31, 169–176. [PubMed: 9220449]
131. Migheli R, Godani C, Sciola L, Delogu MR, Serra PA, Zangani D, De Natale G, Miele E, Desole MS, J Neurochem. 1999, 73, 1155–1163. [PubMed: 10461907]
132. Kitazawa M, Anantharam V, Yang Y, Hirata Y, Kanthasamy A, Kanthasamy AG, Biochem Pharmacol. 2005, 69, 133–146. [PubMed: 15588722]
133. Xu B, Xu ZF, Deng Y, Neurotoxicology. 2009, 30, 941–949. [PubMed: 19643132]
134. Yoon H, Lee GH, Kim DS, Kim KW, Kim HR, Chae HJ, Toxicol In Vitro. 2011, 25, 1259–1268. [PubMed: 21477646]
135. Prabhakaran K, Ghosh D, Chapman GD, Gunasekar PG, Brain Res Bull. 2008, 76, 361–367. [PubMed: 18502311]
136. Tamm C, Sabri F, Ceccatelli S, Toxicol Sci. 2008, 101, 310–320. [PubMed: 17977900]
137. Prabhakaran K, Chapman GD, Gunasekar PG, Neurotoxicology. 2009, 30, 414–422. [PubMed: 19442826]
138. Alaimo A, Gorjod RM, Kotier ML, Neurochem Int. 2011, 59, 297–308. [PubMed: 21704098]
139. Hirata Y, Adachi K, Kiuchi K, J Neurochem. 1998, 71, 1607–1615. [PubMed: 9751194]
140. Malecki EA, Brain Res Bull. 2001, 55, 225–228. [PubMed: 11470319]
141. Oikawa S, Hirokawa I, Tada-Oikawa S, Furukawa A, Nishiura K, Kawanishi S, Free Radic Biol Med. 2006, 41, 748–756. [PubMed: 16895795]
142. Jiao J, Qi Y, Fu J, Zhou Z, Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2008, 26, 123–127. [PubMed: 21783899]
143. Morello M, Canini A, Mattioli P, Sorge RP, Alimonti A, Bocca B, Forte G, Martorana A, Bernardi G, Sancesario G, Neurotoxicology. 2008, 29, 60–72. [PubMed: 17936361]
144. Kalia K, Jiang W, Zheng W, Neurotoxicology. 2008, 29, 466–470. [PubMed: 18400301]
145. Zhang D, Kanthasamy A, Anantharam V, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2011, 254, 65–71. [PubMed: 21310168]
146. Bonilla E, Neurobehav Toxicol. 1980, 2, 37–41. [PubMed: 6108526]
147. Chandra SV, Shukla GS, J Neurochem. 1981, 36, 683–687. [PubMed: 7463083]
148. Calabresi P, Ammassari-Teule M, Gubellini P, Sancesario G, Morello M, Centonze D, Marfia GA, Saulle E, Passino E, Picconi B, Bernardi G, Neuro bio IDis. 2001, 8, 419–432.
149. Wang J, Rahman MF, Duhart HM, Newport GD, Patterson TA, Murdock RC, Hussain SM, Schlager JJ, Ali SF, Neurotoxicology. 2009, 30, 926–933. [PubMed: 19781568]
150. Han MJ, Ozaki T, Yu J, J Chem Phys. 2005, 123, 34306. [PubMed: 16080736]
151. Ngwa H, Afeseh, Kanthasamy A, Gu Y, Fang N, Anantharam V, Kanthasamy AG, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2011, 256, 227–240. [PubMed: 21856324]
152. Elder A, Gelein R, Silva V, Feikert T, Opanashuk L, Carter J, Potter R, Maynard A, Ito Y, Finkelstein J, Oberdorster G, Environ Health Perspect. 2006, 114, 1172–1178. [PubMed: 16882521]
153. Hussain SM, Javorina AK, Schrand AM, Duhart HM, Ali SF, Schlager JJ, Toxicol Sci. 2006, 92, 456–463. [PubMed: 16714391]
154. Bowman AB, Kwakye GF, Herrero Hernandez E, Aschner M, J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2011, 25, 191–203. [PubMed: 21963226]
155. Lotharius J, Brundin P, Nat Rev Neurosci. 2002, 3, 932–942. [PubMed: 12461550]
156. Covy JP, Giasson BI, Neurotoxicology. 2011, 32, 622–629. [PubMed: 21238487]
157. Uversky VN, Li J, Bower K, Fink AL, Neurotoxicology. 2002, 23, 527–536. [PubMed: 12428725]
158. Zhou Y, Shie FS, Piccardo P, Montine TJ, Zhang J, Neuroscience. 2004, 128, 281–291. [PubMed: 15350641]

159. Boudreau HS, Krol KM, Eibl JK, Williams LD, Rossiter JP, Palace VP, Ross GM, Aquat Toxicol. 2009, 92, 258–263. [PubMed: 19342108]
160. Pifl C, Khorchide M, Kattinger A, Reither H, Hardy J, Hornykiewicz O, Neurosci Lett. 2004, 354, 34–37. [PubMed: 14698476]
161. Cai T, Yao T, Zheng G, Chen Y, Du K, Cao Y, Shen X, Chen J, Luo W, Brain Res. 2010, 1359, 201–207. [PubMed: 20735995]
162. Li Y, Sun L, Cai T, Zhang Y, Lv S, Wang Y, Ye L, Brain Res Bull. 2010, 81, 428–433. [PubMed: 19932157]
163. Guilarte TR, Neurotoxicology. 2010, 31, 572–574. [PubMed: 20188756]
164. Martin K, Huggins T, King C, Carroll MA, Catapane EJ, Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2008, 148, 152–159. [PubMed: 18547869]
165. Parenti M, Flauto C, Parati E, Vescovi A, Groppetti A, Brain Res. 1986, 367, 8–13. [PubMed: 2421838]
166. Daniels AJ, Abarca J, Neurotoxicol Teratol. 1991, 13, 483–487. [PubMed: 1684634]
167. Biagini G, Ferraguti F, Ponzoni S, Zoli M, Alboni L, Toffano G, Fuxe K, Agnati LF. 1994.
168. Ponzoni S, Guimaraes FS, Del Bel EA, Garcia-Cairasco N, Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2000, 24, 307–325. [PubMed: 10800753]
169. Ponzoni S, Gaziri LC, Britto LR, Barreto WJ, Blum D, Neurosci Lett. 2002, 328, 170–174. [PubMed: 12133581]
170. Centonze D, Gubellini P, Bernardi G, Calabresi P, Exp Neurol. 2001, 172, 469–476. [PubMed: 11716571]
171. Polazzi E, Monti B, Prog Neurobiol. 2010, 92, 293–315. [PubMed: 20609379]
172. Zhao F, Cai T, Liu M, Zheng G, Luo W, Chen J, Toxicol Sci. 2009, 107, 156–164. [PubMed: 18836210]
173. Verina T, Kiihl SF, Schneider JS, Guilarte TR, Neurotoxicology. 2011, 32, 215–226. [PubMed: 21112353]
174. Filipov NM, Seegal RF, Lawrence DA, Toxicol Sci. 2005, 84, 139–148. [PubMed: 15601679]
175. Bae JH, Jang BC, Suh SI, Ha E, Baik HH, Kim SS, Lee MY, Shin DH, Neurosci Lett. 2006, 398, 151–154. [PubMed: 16417967]
176. Zhang P, Lokuta KM, Turner DE, Liu B, J Neurochem. 2010, 112, 434–443. [PubMed: 19895668]
177. Sadek AH, Rauch R, Schulz PE, Int J Toxicol. 2003, 22, 393–401. [PubMed: 14555414]
178. Pal PK, Samii A, Calne DB, Neurotoxicology. 1999, 20, 227–238. [PubMed: 10385886]
179. Gorell JM, Johnson CC, Rybicki BA, Peterson EL, Kortsha GX, Brown GG, Richardson RJ, Neurotoxicology. 1999, 20, 239–247. [PubMed: 10385887]
180. Hudnell HK, Neurotoxicology. 1999, 20, 379–397. [PubMed: 10385898]
181. Kim Y, Kim JM, Kim JW, Yoo CI, Lee CR, Lee JH, Kim HK, Yang SO, Chung HK, Lee DS, Jeon B, Mov Disord. 2002, 17, 568–575. [PubMed: 12112209]
182. Racette BA, McGee-Minnich L, Moerlein SM, Mink JW, Videen TO, Perlmutter JS, Neurology. 2001, 56, 8–13. [PubMed: 11148228]
183. Guilarte TR, Environ Health Perspect. 2010, 118, 1071–1080. [PubMed: 20403794]
184. Guilarte TR, Burton NC, McGlothan JL, Verina T, Zhou Y, Alexander M, Pham L, Griswold M, Wong DF, Syversen T, Schneider JS, J Neurochem. 2008, 107, 1236–1247. [PubMed: 18808452]
185. Guilarte TR, Chen MK, McGlothan JL, Verina T, Wong DF, Zhou Y, Alexander M, Rohde CA, Syversen T, Decamp E, Koser AJ, Fritz S, Gonczi H, Anderson DW, Schneider JS, Exp Neurol. 2006, 202, 381–390. [PubMed: 16925997]
186. Peneder TM, Scholze P, Berger ML, Reither H, Heinze G, Bertl J, Bauer J, Richfield EK, Hornykiewicz O, Pifl C, Neuroscience. 2011, 180, 280–292. [PubMed: 21333719]
187. Sriram K, Lin GX, Jefferson AM, Roberts JR, Chapman RS, Chen BT, Soukup JM, Ghio AJ, Antonini JM, Arch Toxicol. 2010, 84, 521–540. [PubMed: 20224926]
188. Wider C, Wszolek ZK, Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2007, 13 Suppl 3, S229–232. [PubMed: 18267241]

189. Yang YX, Wood NW, Latchman DS, Neuroreport. 2009, 20, 150–156. [PubMed: 19151598]
190. Lesage S, Brice A, Hum Mol Genet. 2009, 18, R48–59. [PubMed: 19297401]
191. Roth JA, Singleton S, Feng J, Garrick M, Paradkar PN, JNeurochem. 2010, 113, 454–464. [PubMed: 20089134]
192. Roth JA, Neuromolecular Med. 2009.
193. Gitler AD, Chesi A, Geddie ML, Strathearn KE, Hamamichi S, Hill KJ, Caldwell KA, Caldwell GA, Cooper AA, Rochet JC, Lindquist S, Nat Genet. 2009, 41, 308–315. [PubMed: 19182805]
194. Tan J, Zhang T, Jiang L, Chi J, Hu D, Pan Q, Wang D, Zhang Z, J Biol Chem. 2011, 286, 29654–29662. [PubMed: 21724849]
195. Pan T, Kondo S, Le W, Jankovic J, Brain. 2008, 131, 1969–1978. [PubMed: 18187492]
196. Ramirez A, Heimbach A, Grundemann J, Stiller B, Hampshire D, Cid LP, Goebel I, Mubaidin AF, Wriekat AL, Roeper J, Al-Din A, Hillmer AM, Karsak M, Liss B, Woods CG, Behrens MI, Kubisch C, Nat Genet. 2006, 38, 1184–1191. [PubMed: 16964263]
197. Schulz JB, J Neurol. 2008, 255 Suppl 5, 3–7.
198. Dev KK, van der Putten H, Sommer B, Rovelli G, Neuropharmacology. 2003, 45, 1–13. [PubMed: 12814656]
199. Lim KL, Chew KC, Tan JM, Wang C, Chung KK, Zhang Y, Tanaka Y, Smith W, Engelender S, Ross CA, Dawson VL, Dawson TM, J Neurosci. 2005, 25, 2002–2009. [PubMed: 15728840]
200. Mizuno Y, Hattori N, Mori H, Suzuki T, Tanaka K, Curr Opin Neurol. 2001, 14, 477–482. [PubMed: 11470964]
201. West AB, Maraganore D, Crook J, Lesnick T, Lockhart PJ, Wilkes KM, Kapatos G, Hardy JA, Farrer MJ, Hum Mol Genet. 2002, 11, 2787–2792. [PubMed: 12374768]
202. Hilker R, Klein C, Ghaemi M, Kis B, Strotmann T, Ozelius LJ, Lenz O, Vieregge P, Herholz K, Heiss WD, Pramstaller PP, Ann Neurol. 2001, 49, 367–376. [PubMed: 11261512]
203. Oliveira SA, Scott WK, Nance MA, Watts RL, Hubble JP, Koller WC, Lyons KE, Pahwa R, Stern MB, Hiner BC, Jankovic J, Ondo WG, Allen FH Jr., Scott BL, Goetz CG, Small GW, Mastaglia FL, Stajich JM, Zhang F, Booze MW, Reaves JA, Middleton LT, Haines JL, Pericak-Vance MA, Vance JM, Martin ER, Arch Neurol. 2003, 60, 975–980. [PubMed: 12873854]
204. Periquet M, Latouche M, Lohmann E, Rawal N, De Michele G, Ricard S, Teive H, Fraix V, Vidailhet M, Nicholl D, Barone P, Wood NW, Raskin S, Deleuze JF, Agid Y, Durr A, Brice A, Brain. 2003, 126, 1271–1278. [PubMed: 12764050]
205. Higashi Y, Asanuma M, Miyazaki I, Hattori N, Mizuno Y, Ogawa N, J Neurochem. 2004, 89, 1490–1497. [PubMed: 15189352]
206. Haeger P, Alvarez A, Leal N, Adasme T, Nunez MT, Hidalgo C, Neurotox Res. 2010, 17, 238–247. [PubMed: 19655216]
207. Pelizzoni I, Zacchetti D, Smith CP, Grohovaz F, Codazzi F, JNeurochem. 2012, 120, 269–278. [PubMed: 22121954]
208. Behrens MI, Bruggemann N, Chana P, Venegas P, Kagi M, Parrao T, Orellana P, Garrido C, Rojas CV, Hauke J, Hahnen E, Gonzalez R, Seleme N, Fernandez V, Schmidt A, Binkofski F, Kompf D, Kubisch C, Hagenah J, Klein C, Ramirez A, Mov Disord. 2010, 25, 1929–1937. [PubMed: 20683840]
209. Kamp F, Exner N, Lutz AK, Wender N, Hegermann J, Brunner B, Nuscher B, Bartels T, Giese A, Beyer K, Eimer S, Winklhofer KF, Haass C, EMBO J. 2010, 29, 3571–3589. [PubMed: 20842103]
210. Chung SJ, Armasu SM, Biernacka JM, Lesnick TG, Rider DN, Lincoln SJ, Ortolaza AI, Farrer MJ, Cunningham JM, Rocca WA, Maraganore DM, Mov Disord. 2010.
211. Lesage S, Condroyer C, Lannuzel A, Lohmann E, Troiano A, Tison F, Damier P, Thobois S, Ouvrard-Hernandez AM, Rivaud-Pechoux S, Brefel-Courbon C, Destee A, Tranchant C, Romana M, Leclerc L, Durr A, Brice A, J Med Genet. 2009, 46, 458–464. [PubMed: 19357115]
212. Saha S, Guillily MD, Ferree A, Lanceta J, Chan D, Ghosh J, Hsu CH, Segal L, Raghavan K, Matsumoto K, Hisamoto N, Kuwahara T, Iwatsubo T, Moore L, Goldstein L, Cookson M, Wolozin B, J Neurosci. 2009, 29, 9210–9218. [PubMed: 19625511]

213. Adams JR, van Netten H, Schulzer M, Mak E, McKenzie J, Strongosky A, Sossi V, Ruth TJ, Lee CS, Farrer M, Gasser T, Uitti RJ, Calne DB, Wszolek ZK, Stoessl AJ, Brain. 2005, 128, 2777–2785. [PubMed: 16081470]
214. Nandhagopal R, Mak E, Schulzer M, McKenzie J, McCormick S, Sossi V, Ruth TJ, Strongosky A, Farrer MJ, Wszolek ZK, Stoessl AJ, Neurology. 2008, 71, 1790–1795. [PubMed: 19029519]
215. Tong Y, Pisani A, Martella G, Karouani M, Yamaguchi H, Pothos EN, Shen J, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009, 106, 14622–14627. [PubMed: 19667187]
216. Lovitt B, Vanderporten EC, Sheng Z, Zhu H, Drummond J, Liu Y, Biochemistry. 2010, 49, 3092–3100. [PubMed: 20205471]
217. Covy JP, Giasson BI, J Neuro chem. 2010, 115, 36–46.
218. Salazar J, Mena N, Hunot S, Prigent A, Alvarez-Fischer D, Arredondo M, Duyckaerts C, Sazdovitch V, Zhao L, Garrick LM, Nunez MT, Garrick MD, Raisman-Vozari R, Hirsch EC, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008, 105, 18578–18583. [PubMed: 19011085]
219. He Q, Du T, Yu X, Xie A, Song N, Kang Q, Yu J, Tan L, Xie J, Jiang H, Neurosci Lett. 2011, 501, 128–131. [PubMed: 21777657]
220. Lippard SJ, Berg JM, Principles of Bioinorganic Chemistry, University Science Books, Mill Valley, Ca, 1995.
221. The Biological Chemistry of Magnesium, VCH Publishers, Inc., New York, 1995.
222. Pan T, Long DM, Uhlenbeck OC, Divalent Metal Ions in RNA Folding and Catalysis, in: Gesteland R, Atkins J (Eds.), The RNA World, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, 1993, pp. 271–302.
223. Finney LA, O'Halloran TV, Science. 2003, 300, 931–936. [PubMed: 12738850]
224. Pechlaner M, Sigel RKO, Met. Ions. Life Sci. 2012, 10, in press.

Figure 1.

Transport mechanisms responsible for the uptake of Mn. Tf – transferrin; TfR transferrin receptor; DMT1-divalent metal transporter 1; VR -voltage gated Ca^{+2} channel; SOC – store operated Ca^{+2} channel; Glu Rec – glutamic acid ionotropic receptor.

Figure 2.
Mechanism for the transport of Mn across the intestine.

Figure 3.

Schematic representation of some cellular mechanisms vulnerable to the toxic effects of Mn. Pathway 1 represents the mitochondrial toxic action with decreased in ATP production and glutathione levels (GSH) leading to cytochrome c release and caspase activation and apoptosis. Pathway 2 represents endoplasmic reticulum stress with increased expression of glucose-regulated protein (GRP78) and pro-apoptotic protein C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP). Pathway 3 represents Mn clastogenic effect. Pathway 4 represents fibrillation of α-synuclein protein leading to the formation of cytoplasmatic inclusions. Pathway 5 represents microglial activation with the release of pro inflammatory mediators as interleukin-6 (IL6), interleukin-1β (IL1β) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α).