Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2019 May 29; 141(21): 8557–8573. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b02443.

Regio- and Diastereoselective Iron-Catalyzed [4+4]-Cycloaddition of 1,3-Dienes

C. Rose Kennedy, Hongyu Zhong, Rachel L. Macaulay, and Paul J. Chirik*

Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States

Abstract

A family of single-component iron precatalysts for the [4+4]-cycloaddition and intermolecular cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition of monosubstituted 1,3-dienes is described. Cyclooctadiene products were obtained with high regioselectivity, and catalyst-controlled access to either *cis*- or *trans*-diastereomers was achieved using 4-substituted diene substrates. Reactions conducted either with single-component precatalysts or with iron dihalide complexes activated in situ proved compatible with common organic functional groups and were applied on multi-gram scale (up to >100 g). Catalytically relevant, $S = 1$ iron complexes bearing 2-iminopyridine ligands, $(^R\text{PI})\text{FeL}_2$ ($^R\text{PI} = [2-(2,6\text{-R}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3\text{-N}=\text{CMe})\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{N}]$ where $\text{R} = \text{iPr}$ or Me , $\text{L}_2 = \text{bis-olefin}$) were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, magnetic measurements, and dft calculations. The structural and spectroscopic parameters are consistent with an electronic structure description comprised of a high spin iron(I) center ($S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$) engaged in antiferromagnetically coupling with a ligand radical anion ($S_{\text{PI}} = -1/2$). Mechanistic studies conducted with these single-component precatalysts—including kinetic analyses, $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ isotope effect measurements, and in situ Mössbauer spectroscopy—support a mechanism involving oxidative cyclization of two dienes that determines regio- and diastereoselectivity. Topographic steric maps derived from crystallographic data provided insights into the basis for the catalyst-control through *stereoselective* oxidative cyclization and subsequent, *stereospecific* allyl-isomerization and C–C bond-forming reductive elimination.

Graphical Abstract

*Corresponding Author pchirik@princeton.edu.

Author Contributions

C.R.K. and P.J.C. conceived the work, C.R.K. and R.L.M. conducted the experiments, H.Z. collected and solved the X-ray structural data, P.J.C. directed the research, and C.R.K. and P.J.C. prepared the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Crystallographic information for $(^{\text{Mes}}\text{DI})\text{Fe}(\text{COD})$, $(^{\text{iPr}}\text{PI})\text{Fe}(\text{COD})$, $(^{\text{iPr}}\text{PI})\text{Fe}(\text{M}^{\text{vi}}\text{M}^{\text{vi}})$, $(^{\text{iPr}}\text{PI})_2\text{Fe}$, $(^{\text{Mes}}\text{PI})_2\text{Fe}$, and $(^{\text{Mes}}\text{PI})_2\text{Fe}(\text{isoprene})$. (CIF) This metrical parameters and CIFs can also be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (<https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk>) under identifiers CCDC 1862087, 1862086, 1877016, 1862088, 1862089, and 1877015.

Experimental details; characterization data including NMR spectra of novel compounds; Mössbauer spectroscopic data; kinetic data; computational methods and results (PDF)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

INTRODUCTION

Medium-sized (7–11-membered) rings, by virtue of their ring strain and distinctive conformational preferences,¹ are important chemical substructures with applications in the synthesis and properties of polymers,² fuels,³ fragrances,^{4,5} and other specialty chemicals (Scheme 1A).⁶ Among these, eight-membered cyclic olefins are particularly valuable targets as evidenced by ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of cyclooctene, 1,5-cyclooctadiene, and their derivatives to access vinyl copolymers and, following hydrogenation, precision polyethylene derivatives.² While strategies have been developed for the synthesis of eight-membered carbocycles in the context of complex molecule total syntheses,⁷ most notably through ring-closing olefin metathesis⁸ and radical cascades,⁹ alternative methods for the modular and convergent synthesis of these rings with high degrees of regio- and stereocontrol remain desirable for fine-chemical applications.^{2–6} The [4+4]-cycloaddition of two 1,3-dienes would constitute an atom-economical method to address this need, enabling synthesis of substituted cyclooctadienes from readily accessed, and in many cases, commodity substrates.

Metal-catalyzed [4+4]-cyclodimerization of butadiene to generate 1,5-cyclooctadiene is well established and is practiced industrially using nickel salts in combination with phosphite ligands and trialkylaluminum activators (Scheme 2A).¹⁰ Other metal catalysts, most notably an iron(I) α -diimine cyclooctadiene complex, have since been reported to promote this transformation with high turnover numbers and frequencies.¹¹ Enabling metal-catalyzed [4+4]-cycloaddition for substrates beyond butadiene would be attractive due to the availability of substituted 1,3-dienes from sources including biomass and petrochemical feedstocks¹² as well as through synthetic methods including enyne metathesis,¹³ cross-coupling,¹⁴ Mizoroki–Heck olefination,¹⁵ Wittig olefination,¹⁶ and diverted aerobic Heck reactions.¹⁷ However, realization of such a method poses several challenges including: (i) the reduced coordination rate of more sterically encumbered substituted dienes relative to butadiene, (ii) the potential for competitive formation of side-products including linear oligomers and [2+2]- and [4+2]-cycloadducts, and (iii) the possibility for formation of multiple regio- and stereoisomeric [4+4] products. Catalyst designs must, therefore, balance competing demands of reactivity and selectivity to achieve synthetic utility.

While high (10–20 mol%) loadings of nickel precatalysts have been reported for intramolecular [4+4]-cycloadditions of tethered dienes (Scheme 2B),¹⁸ attempts to apply these conditions for intermolecular coupling of substituted dienes have been met with low

activity and/or low chemo- and regioselectivity for the [4+4]-cycloadducts (Scheme 2C).¹⁹ Likewise, α -diimine and related ligands used in combination with iron salts and in situ reductants for the cyclodimerization of butadiene have been evaluated for [4+4]- and [4+2]-coupling reactions with other substrates.^{11a,20} Promising results were obtained with isolated examples (Scheme 2C); however, selectivity for [4+4]-cycloaddition was variable and limited in scope. Moreover, the identity, speciation, and oxidation states of the complexes responsible for C–C bond-formation remained ambiguous.²¹

Reduced iron complexes bearing tridentate pyridine-2,6-diimine (PDI) ligands catalyze numerous C–C bond-forming reactions²² including the [2+2]-cyclodimerization and hydrovinylation of α -olefins²³ as well as the cross-[2+2]-cycloaddition of unactivated alkenes and dienes.^{23b,24} High degrees of chemo- and regioselectivity were observed, arising from oxidative cyclization of the coupling partners to form metallacyclic intermediates,^{25,26} where the divergent outcomes reflected the fate of the metallacycle (Scheme 1B). Iron compounds bearing sterically demanding tridentate ligands favored C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) reductive elimination^{23b,25} while more open iron complexes underwent preferential β -H elimination followed by C–H reductive elimination.^{23b,26}

These observations suggested that further catalyst evolution could be applied to promote selective [4+4]-cycloaddition of substituted dienes (Scheme 1B). Following oxidative coupling of two dienes, selective [4+4]-cycloaddition would result if allyl isomerization and C–C bond-forming reductive elimination were accelerated relative to competitive diene insertion and β -H elimination pathways. In light of recent mechanistic work providing evidence for the intermediacy of metallacycles in C–C bond-forming reactions catalyzed by α -diimine iron complexes,²⁶ bidentate redox-active ligands seemed well-suited for this purpose. In addition to opening a site for allyl coordination, these ligands could mediate access to high-oxidation-state (iron(III) or iron(IV)) and/or high-spin intermediates to promote C–C reductive elimination.^{27,28} As such, reexamination of the modular and inexpensive α -diimine (DI) ligands along with the more-open, redox-active 2-iminopyridine (PI) ligands *using well-defined, single-component precatalysts* was targeted to achieve broadly useful chemo-, regio-, and diastereoselective [4+4]-cycloaddition of substituted dienes.

Here we describe the synthesis and electronic structure determination of iminopyridine iron bis-olefin complexes that, along with select α -diimine iron complexes, are highly active and selective catalysts for the [4+4]-cycloaddition of monosubstituted dienes. Collectively, the single-component precatalysts enabled the regioselective [4+4]-cyclodimerization and intermolecular cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition of 2- and 4-substituted 1,3-dienes (Scheme 1C) with iron loadings as low as 0.025 mol % and substrate ratios as low as 1.5:1. In the case of 4-substituted diene substrates, catalyst-controlled access to either *cis*- or *trans*-diastereomers was achieved. Kinetic analyses, ¹²C/¹³C isotope effect measurements, and in situ Mößbauer spectroscopic studies provided support for a mechanism in which oxidative cyclization of two dienes determined regio- and diastereoselectivity. The conserved electronic structure of the reduced iminopyridine iron complexes with respect to known α -diimine and pyridine(diimine) iron bis-olefin complexes highlights a potential role for ligand redox activity in selective, iron-catalyzed C–C bond-forming reactions. Thus, not only does this

method provide access to modular building blocks likely to find broad application in the synthesis and study of precision polyolefins, lubricants, fuels, fragrances, and other specialty chemicals, the mechanistic insights provide a blueprint for other methods applying metallacyclic intermediates to upgrade unsaturated coupling partners.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ligand Denticity and Cycloaddition Chemoselectivity.

To evaluate the effect of ligand denticity on the chemoselectivity of iron-catalyzed diene coupling reactions, single-component precatalysts were targeted to minimize the potential for confounding effects arising from ill-defined speciation under in situ activation conditions. Pyridine(diimine) iron dinitrogen complexes have proven to be excellent precatalysts for the [2+2]-cycloaddition of alkenes and dienes,^{23,24} and α -diimine iron bis-olefin complexes, (^RDI)Fe(COD) (^RDI = [2,6-R₂-C₆H₃-N=CMe]₂ where R = ⁱPr, Me or [2,4,6-Me₃-C₆H₂-N=CMe]₂ where R = Mes), were introduced as suitable precatalysts for olefin hydrogenation,²⁹ diene [1,4]-hydrovinylation,²⁶ and butadiene cyclodimerization.^{11b} While the analogous iron(iminopyridine) olefin complexes had been elusive previously,³⁰ (^RPI)Fe(COD) and (^RPI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}) (^RPI = [2-(2,6-R₂-C₆H₃-N=CMe)-C₅H₄N] where R = ⁱPr or Me) were prepared by treating [(^RPI)FeCl(μ -Cl)]₂ with magnesium butadiene bis(tetrahydrofuran) (Mg(C₄H₆)•2THF) and 1,5-cyclooctadiene (COD) or 1,3-divinyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane (M^{vi}M^{vi}) in thawing Et₂O over 9–20 minutes (Scheme 3; see Supporting Information for additional details). Rapid solvent removal and work-up minimized ligand exchange—which results in formation of the homoleptic *bis*-ligand complexes, (^RPI)₂Fe, and iron black—that had frustrated prior efforts. While the (^RPI)Fe(COD) complexes were unstable in hydrocarbon or ethereal solvent at ambient temperature (~23 °C), undergoing decomposition to form (^RPI)₂Fe and iron black, over the span of minutes (where R = Me) or hours (where R = ⁱPr), they were isolated and stored indefinitely at –35 °C as dark brown solids. The (^RPI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}) complexes proved more robust in solution and were recrystallized as deep red-purple needles from saturated pentane solutions at –35 °C. Additional characterization data are discussed in the “Electronic Structure Determination” section below.

With access to these well-defined, reduced iron complexes bearing either tridentate (PDI) or bidentate (DI or PI) potentially redox-active ligands, the role of ligand denticity in diene coupling reactions was examined. Perylene (**1a**) was selected as a representative 4-substituted-1,3-diene to evaluate iron precatalyst performance. Stirring (*E*)-**1a** with 5 mol% of iron complex (^{C5H9}PDI)Fe(N₂), which bears a tridentate PDI ligand optimized for alkene [2+2]-cyclodimerization, resulted in selective formation of the analogous diene [2+2]-cyclodimerization product (**2a**), albeit in low conversion and yield (18%; Scheme 4A). Use of iron complexes with bidentate ligands altered the outcome of the catalytic reaction. With 1 mol% of α -diimine-supported precatalyst (^{Mes}DI)Fe(COD), *cis*-3,7-dimethyl-1,5-cyclooctadiene (**3a**) was obtained in 93% yield and 95:5 diastereomeric ratio (d.r.; Scheme 4A) as determined by quantitative ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Conversely, use of 1 mol% iron(iminopyridine) precatalyst (ⁱPrPI)Fe(COD) generated the opposite diastereomer, *trans*-3,7-dimethyl-1,5-cyclooctadiene (**4a**), in 87% yield and >98:2 d.r., demonstrating the

influence of the supporting ligand on the chemo-, regio- and diastereoselectivity of the cycloaddition process (Scheme 4A). Furthermore, the high [4+4] activity of these single-component precatalysts stands in contrast to the low reactivity noted previously using in situ activation protocols.^{11a}

A similarly striking dependence of chemoselectivity on ligand denticity was observed using isoprene (**5a**) and myrcene (**5b**) as model 2-substituted-1,3-diene substrates. Stirring **5b** with 5 mol% (^{C5H9}PDI)Fe(N₂) afforded [2+2]-cycloadduct **6b** in 44% isolated yield (94% [2+2] over all other isomers, >98:2 d.r.; Scheme 4B). By contrast, with 1 mol% of iminopyridine iron complex (^{Me}PI)Fe(COD), 98% [4+4]-cyclodimerization selectivity was observed, providing 1,6-dimethyl-1,5-cyclooctadiene (**7b**) in 99% combined isolated yield and 96:4 regioisomeric ratio (r.r.; Scheme 4B). Similarly high selectivity was observed using isoprene as a substrate. Nearly identical results were obtained using (^{Me}PI)Fe(COD) and (^{Me}PI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}) as precatalysts (Scheme 5); however, only very low activity was observed using the isolated, homoleptic bis-ligand complex (^{Mes}PI)₂Fe. The iron-catalyzed cycloaddition is noteworthy in that it provides selective access to the *head-to-head* isomer in contrast to nickel catalysis, which has been reported to favor the opposite, *head-to-tail*, regioisomer (**8a**) in the cyclodimerization of isoprene (commercially available samples are ~20:80 r.r.).^{19a,b} Taken together these results exemplified remarkable control by ancillary ligands on iron, achieving regio- and diastereoselectivity for the [4+4]-cycloaddition of unactivated dienes without the requirement for directing groups or electronically activating substituents.

In Situ Catalyst Activation.

Contrary to the case with piperylene dimerization, several reductants (including Mg(C₄H₆)•2THF, MeMgCl, and Mg⁽⁰⁾ powder) were identified that, upon combination with [(^{Me}PI)FeCl(μ-Cl)]₂ and isoprene or myrcene, afforded the corresponding [4+4]-cycloadduct (**7a** or **7b**) with comparable reactivity and identical selectivity to that obtained with precatalysts (^{Me}PI)Fe(COD) or (^{Me}PI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}) (see Supplementary Information for details).³¹ In control experiments, no substrate consumption was observed when FeCl₂ was treated with Mg(C₄H₆)•2THF in the absence of a DI or PI ligand. While the single-component reduced iron precatalysts required handling under rigorously air- and moisture-free conditions, the iron(II) halide precursors ((^RDI)FeX₂ and [(^RPI)FeX(μ-X)]₂ where X = Cl or Br) exhibited enhanced robustness, allowing for bench-top manipulation. Using in situ activation of [(^{Me}PI)FeCl(μ-Cl)]₂, the [4+4]-cyclodimerization of isoprene was conducted in batches as large as 2 mol (168 g) with iron precatalyst loadings as low as 0.025 mol% (175 mg [(^{Me}PI)FeCl(μ-Cl)]₂). *[Caution: The [4+4]-cyclodimerization of 1,3-dienes is highly exothermic. When performing the reaction on a preparative scale, special care should be taken to ensure adequate heat transfer. Maintaining a controlled temperature throughout the course of the reaction is necessary to prevent a pressure build-up.]* While rigorously dried diene was required to achieve complete conversion at low catalyst loadings, **7a** was alternately obtained in 90% yield using reagents measured and combined outside of a glovebox using modestly increased precatalyst loading (1 mol% [Fe]). While the [4+4]-cyclodimerization was routinely conducted in neat substrate, the in situ activation protocol was also adapted to dilute solutions as a strategy for controlling reaction exotherms. The

high chemo- and regioselectivity observed under neat reaction conditions was maintained across reactions conducted in all of the ethereal and hydrocarbon solvents evaluated (Scheme 6). The apparent catalyst fidelity using these in situ activation protocols holds promise for applications to the scalable synthesis of monomers for ROMP.

Regio- and Diastereoselective [4+4]-Cyclodimerization of Monosubstituted-1,3-Dienes.

With both isolated organometallic precatalysts and in situ activation protocols in hand, the scope of the iron-catalyzed [4+4]-cycloaddition was evaluated with varied 4- (**1a-g**; Scheme 7) and 2-substituted (**5a-l**; Scheme 8) 1,3-dienes. While reduced iron complexes are often incompatible with polar functional groups—carbon–heteroatom bond cleavage can lead to irreversible catalyst deactivation³²—the product yield and [4+4] selectivity obtained using 1–5 mol% of (^{Mes}DI)Fe(COD), (^{iPr}PI)Fe(COD), or (^{Me}PI)Fe(COD) proved to be largely insensitive to introduction of heteroatoms. Cyclooctadienes bearing ester (**3g**, **4g**, **7g**, **7h**), acetal (**7e**), amide (**7i**), amine (**7j**), arene (**7k**), and heteroarene (**7l**) functionality were prepared with levels of selectivity comparable to those achieved with the pure hydrocarbon substrates. While residual water and other protic functionality were problematic, resulting in low to no substrate conversion, sensitive functional groups were masked effectively with common protecting groups (e.g. benzyl ethers, silyl ethers, carbamates).

The conditions for in situ catalyst activation proved similarly robust for [4+4]-cyclodimerization of many 2-substituted dienes. However, the single-component precatalysts afforded improved chemoselectivity over the [^RPI)FeCl(μ -Cl)]₂/activator combination for substrates bearing electrophilic functionality prone to competitive reactions with Grignard reagents. Alternatively, magnesium powder was used as a more functional-group-tolerant activator; however the slow activation necessitated extended reaction times and/or increased catalyst loadings (see Supporting Information).

Despite the success of the methods developed for the [4+4]-cyclodimerization of monosubstituted dienes, disubstituted dienes remained challenging substrates by virtue of their increased steric profiles. Subjecting representative disubstituted dienes such as 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (**9**), 3-methyl-1,3-pentadiene (**12**), and 2-methyl-1,3-pentadiene to Methods I–III outlined above resulted either in little-to-no substrate consumption or in exclusive formation of linear adducts. While in situ activation of iron dichloride and 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) in the presence of 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (**9**) afforded promising levels of chemoselectivity for [4+4]-cyclodimerization product **10** (Scheme 9A), attempts to prepare and isolate a suitable, well-defined (bpy)FeL₂ precatalyst were unsuccessful. Nonetheless, this result highlights the importance of the ligand steric profile in enabling key oxidative cyclization and reductive elimination steps. Further underscoring the fine balance of competing processes determining product formation in these highly encumbered systems, hydroalkenylation products resulting from dimerization of **12** or nopadiene (**14**) could be obtained in high yield and isomeric purity using iminopyridine precatalyst (^{iPr}PI)Fe(COD) (Scheme 9B). While the formation of these linear products illustrates the challenge of out-competing β -H elimination in sterically encumbered metallacycles, it nonetheless affords access to potentially useful building blocks for further synthetic manipulations.³³

Intermolecular Cross-[4+4]-Cycloaddition of 1,3-Dienes.

While high chemo- and regioselectivity for [4+4]-cyclodimerization was observed across varied monosubstituted-1,3-dienes, the relative rates of substrate consumption proved sensitive to subtle differences in substrate substitution pattern and sterics. This feature was leveraged to adapt the conditions to the cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition of two differentially reactive dienes, thereby accessing unsymmetric disubstituted cyclooctadienes.

Using (^{Me}PI)Fe(COD) as the precatalyst, the cross-reaction of two different 2-substituted dienes was achieved. This was particularly powerful using an excess of an inexpensive coupling partner such as isoprene or myrcene. Under these conditions, the homocoupled products obtained from the coupling partner used in excess were removed readily under vacuum or by passage of the crude reaction mixture through a silica plug to afford the cross-[4+4]-cycloadduct in high yield, chemo-, and regioselectivity (see Supporting Information for details).

Using precatalyst (^{Mes}DI)Fe(COD), the cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition of 4-substituted dienes with 2-substituted dienes was also demonstrated. In this case, the negligible activity of (^{Mes}DI)Fe(COD) for the homocoupling of 2-substituted dienes enabled use of substrate ratios as low as 1.5:1. With the portion-wise addition of the 4-substituted diene, these fragment-coupling conditions were employed to unite differentially substituted coupling partners through the cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition, again in high yield, chemo-, and regioselectivity.

Taken together, these methods for the intermolecular, [4+4]-cyclodimerization and cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition of dienes afford access to cyclooctadienes with diverse patterns of functional group incorporation. These strained, cyclic olefins constitute useful building blocks as the sterically and electronically differentiated alkenes are poised to enable diverse transformations including alkene hydrofunctionalization and difunctionalization,^{5b,34} allylic functionalization,³⁵ and cationic (poly)cyclization.^{20a,36} Moreover, the potential utility of these products as (co)monomers for ring-opening metathesis was demonstrated through the synthesis of methyl-branched oligomers for investigation as industrial lubricants and plasticizers (see Supporting Information).³⁷ As such, these products hold promise for application in the synthesis and evaluation of novel polymers, fuels, fragrances, and other fine chemicals.

Electronic Structure Determination.

In light of the high activity as well as the remarkable chemo-, regio-, and diastereoselectivity observed for the iron-catalyzed [4+4]-cycloaddition of monosubstituted 1,3-dienes, characterization of catalytically relevant reduced iron species was targeted. Structural and spectroscopic data for (^RDI)Fe(COD) (where R = ⁱPr, Me, or Mes) and (ⁱPrDI)Fe(COD) were reported recently; in all cases, the electronic structures of these net *S* = 1 complexes were best described as high-spin iron(I) anti-ferromagnetically coupled to a ligand-based radical anion.^{11b,26} As with the α-diimine ligands, the potential for ligand redox activity has been documented for transition metal complexes bearing iminopyridine ligands.³⁸ However, little

was known about the electronic structures of the reduced iron complexes relevant to this study.

The solid-state structures of (ⁱPrPI)Fe(COD), (ⁱPrPI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}), (ⁱPrPI)₂Fe, and (^{Me}PI)₂Fe were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, confirming their identities (Figure 1; see also Supporting Information). The latter two complexes, (ⁱPrPI)₂Fe and (^{Me}PI)₂Fe, are analogous to the (ⁱPrPAI)₂Fe complex (ⁱPrPAI = [2,6-ⁱPr₂-C₆H₃-N=CH]₂) reported previously by Wieghardt and co-workers,^{38b} and were included for comparison with the heteroleptic complexes. In each case, the coordination geometry about iron is best approximated as tetrahedral.³⁹

Distortion of the metrical parameters of the iminopyridine scaffold is an established reporter of ligand redox activity.^{38b} The pyridine N_{pyr}-C_{ipso}, imine N_{im}-C_{im}, and backbone C_{im}-C_{ipso} bond lengths are particularly diagnostic of the ligand oxidation state. The values obtained for these key bond metrics in each of the aforementioned solid-state structures are summarized in Table 1 along with average values characteristic of a generic iminopyridine in its neutral, radical anion, and closed-shell dianion forms. Altogether, the lengthening of the N_{pyr}-C_{ipso} and N_{im}-C_{im} bonds, coupled with the contraction of the C_{im}-C_{ipso} bonds relative to the lengths expected for the neutral ligand implicate the singly reduced radical anionic form of the ligand across all of these structures. Likewise, the bond lengths and angles of the bis-olefin ligands (COD and M^{vi}M^{vi}) exhibit moderate perturbation,⁴⁰ consistent with partial back-donation from olefin coordination and the Dewar–Chatt–Duncanson model.⁴¹

The solid-state magnetic moments measured at 22 °C for the (^RPI)Fe(bis-olefin) complexes ((ⁱPrPI)Fe(COD): 2.9 μ_B; (ⁱPrPI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}): 2.7 μ_B; (^{Me}PI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}): 2.6 μ_B;) are all consistent with a net *S* = 1 ground state. Taken together with the solid-state structural information and analogy to the (DI)Fe(COD) complexes, these results support the assignment of high-spin iron(I) (*S*_{Fe} = 3/2) centers engaged in antiferromagnetic coupling with the iminopyridine ligand radical (*S*_{PI} = −1/2). These assignments were corroborated by zero-field ⁵⁷Fe Mößbauer spectroscopic measurements at 80 K of solid-state samples of the (^RPI)Fe(bis-olefin) complexes. The isomer shift (δ) and quadrupole splitting (| *E*_Q |) parameters obtained from the fits to these spectra are compiled in Table 2, along with those measured for reference compounds including (^RPI)₂Fe complexes as well as iron complexes across varied oxidation states bearing α-diimine^{11b,26,38a,38c} or pyridine-2,6-dimine ligands.^{25,42} Importantly, the isomer shifts observed for all four (^RPI)Fe(bis-olefin) complexes (δ = 0.49–0.53 mm/s) were unambiguously within the range typical for high-spin iron(I).⁴³

Full-molecule density functional theory (DFT) calculations were initiated from solid-state structures for (ⁱPrPI)Fe(COD), (ⁱPrPI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}), (^{Me}PI)Fe(COD),⁴⁴ and (^{Me}PI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi})⁴⁵ using the B3LYP functional,^{46,47} and either the unrestricted Kohn–Sham (UKS)⁴⁸ or broken-symmetry (BS) methods.⁴⁹ When validated against experimental spectroscopic measurements, these methods have been widely applied for determination of the ground-state electronic structures of first-row transition metals bearing redox-active ligands.^{38,42,50} Both the UKS and BS methods converged to broken-symmetry BS(3,1) solutions. While the optimized geometries for these structures obtained at the B3LYP/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP level

of theory⁵¹ were in excellent agreement with the solid-state structures (vide supra), the computed zero-field ⁵⁷Fe Mößbauer isomer shifts obtained using Neese's calibration constants^{50d} exhibited a systematic perturbation from the values obtained experimentally (i.e. the computed values were ~0.1 mm/s too large in all cases). Such systematic deviation has been observed previously with other specialized ligand classes.^{50e,f} However, inclusion of an empirical relativistic correction (ZORA)⁵² for both the geometry optimization and spectroscopic prediction steps afforded improved agreement between experiment and theory.

The qualitative *d*-orbital splitting diagram and spin-density plot for (ⁱPrPI)Fe(COD) derived from these computational results are depicted in Figure 2, where the spatial overlap (*S* = 0.47) between primarily iron- and ligand-centered orbitals is indicative of their antiferromagnetic coupling. These depictions are representative of those observed for all four (PI)Fe(bis-olefin) complexes that were examined computationally and highlight the involvement of the iminopyridine ligand in the electronic structure of the catalytically relevant complexes. The computational results thus underscore the similarities in the electronic structures of the iminopyridine, α -diimine, and pyridine-2,6-dimine iron bis-olefin complexes implicated in the mechanisms of C–C bond-formation through oxidative cyclization.^{25,26}

Mechanistic Analysis.

Significant insight was obtained through analysis of the single-component iron bis-olefin precatalysts/model complexes achieving highly selective [4+4]-cycloaddition of 1,3-dienes. However, attempts to isolate and characterize the catalytically active species from the reaction mixture were unsuccessful and invariably yielded the homoleptic bis-ligand complexes, (^RPI)₂Fe, or the isoprene adduct thereof, (^{Me}PI)₂Fe(η^2 -isoprene) (see Supporting Information for single crystal X-ray diffraction data). While (^{Me}PI)₂Fe did exhibit low activity for the [4+4]-cyclodimerization of 2-substituted dienes, it was not kinetically competent to be the predominant species responsible for catalysis (vide supra; Scheme 5). This is consistent with the observation that (^{Me}DI)₂Fe is, likewise, not a competent catalyst for the [4+4]-cyclodimerization of butadiene.¹¹ Given the apparent instability of and difficulty in isolating the active species, kinetic techniques and zero-field ⁵⁷Fe Mößbauer spectroscopic measurements were employed instead to gain mechanistic insight.

To probe whether catalyst deactivation occurred on the timescale of the catalytic reaction, an experiment analogous to Blackmond's "same-excess" protocol was performed.⁵³ Substrate consumption was monitored over the entire reaction course by gas chromatographic analysis of aliquots removed from the reaction mixture for two trials in which [Fe]_{tot} ((^{Me}PI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}))_{tot} = 2.5 mM)⁵⁴ was held constant but the initial myrcene concentration of one was adjusted to mimic initiation at partial conversion. This procedure was conducted for reactions run both in neat substrate ([5b]₀ = 5.0 vs. 2.7 M)⁵⁵ and in dilute toluene solution ([5b]₀ = 1.0 vs. 0.5 M). For the reactions conducted in neat substrate, the resulting rate vs. concentration curves (or time-shifted concentration vs. time curves) overlaid within error (Figure 3A–C; blue circles vs. red squares). However, a small but statistically significant deviation between the two was evident for the reactions conducted in toluene (Figure 3D–F). In both cases, good overlay was observed for reactions conducted with (red

squares) and without (teal triangles) the addition of exogenous product at the outset of the reaction (i.e. $[5b]_0 = 2.5$ M, $[7b]_0 = 0$ vs. 1.25 M and $[5b]_0 = 0.5$ M, $[7b]_0 = 0$ vs. 0.25 M). Taken together, these results demonstrate that product inhibition does not occur under the standard reaction conditions. While slight catalyst deactivation was observed for reactions conducted in dilute toluene, likely due to ligand exchange and the formation of the homoleptic bis-ligand complex (vide supra), this deactivation process was minimized by performing the reaction in neat substrate. Catalyst turnover numbers were high in both scenarios (TON = 400–2000). Consistent with these observations, dark teal reaction mixtures were observed that persisted throughout the course of product formation but faded to dark orange-brown rapidly following consumption of the diene substrate.

Additional reaction-progress kinetic analysis provided insight into the nature of the rate dependence on diene $[5b]_t$ and iron catalyst $[Fe]_{tot}$. Consumption of myrcene (**5b**) and formation of [4+4]-cycloadduct **7b** evolved smoothly over the reaction with no change in selectivity over time (Figure 4A). However, a non-integer-order dependence on diene concentration was observed, with apparent zero-order dependence of reaction rate on diene concentration at high $[5b]_t$ relative to $[Fe]_{tot}$ (i.e. low conversion or low [Fe] loading) and non-zero-order dependence at lower $[5b]_t$ (i.e. high conversion or high [Fe] loading; Figure 4B). Given the absence of competitive catalyst deactivation over the course of the reaction, this observation suggested a change in the catalyst resting state as a function of $[5b]$. The kinetic order in iron catalyst was thus determined over the linear regime (i.e. where the order in myrcene is zero; 10%–80% conversion) from a series of reactions run at constant $[5b]_0$ wherein the catalyst concentration was varied ($[(^{Me}PI)Fe(COD)]_{tot} = 2.5$ –60 mM; 0.02–1.2 mol% [Fe]). A first-order dependence on $[Fe]_{tot}$ was observed (Figure 4C).

To examine the identity of iron complexes formed under the reaction conditions, zero-field, solution-state ^{57}Fe Mößbauer spectra were recorded at 80 K for frozen solution samples of $(^{iPr}PI)Fe(COD)$ in benzene (see Supporting Information) and myrcene (**5b**, Figure 5). Because of the high activity of $(^{Me}PI)Fe(COD)$ with myrcene, especially at the high concentrations needed for spectroscopic observations, the more sterically encumbered and less active iron precursor, $(^{iPr}PI)Fe(COD)$, was used for the in situ monitoring study. In benzene solution, a single quadrupolar doublet was observed with parameters comparable to those obtained for the solid-state sample, (benzene solution: $\delta = 0.52$ mm/s, $|E_Q| = 0.89$ mm/s; solid state: $\delta = 0.52$ mm/s, $|E_Q| = 0.89$ mm/s, vide supra). By contrast, the Mößbauer spectrum obtained after mixing $(^{iPr}PI)Fe(COD)$ with 25 equivalents of **5b** at ambient temperature (~ 23 °C) for 5 minutes prior to freezing with liquid N_2 exhibited features characteristic of at least two similar but distinct iron-containing species. Fitting the data to two species (A and B) yielded parameters ($\delta_A = 0.52$ mm/s, $|E_Q|_A = 1.28$ mm/s (55%); $\delta_B = 0.52$ mm/s, $|E_Q|_B = 0.65$ mm/s (45%)) consistent with two $(^{iPr}PI)Fe(L)_2$ complexes where $(L)_2$ corresponds to one (η^4) or two (η^2) molecules of the diene, respectively. This assignment is consistent with the observed change in kinetic order as a function of $[5b]/[Fe]_{tot}$.

While the combined kinetic experiments and spectroscopic measurements provided information about the resting state of the iron catalyst, the observed rate law does not distinguish between oxidative cyclization, allyl isomerization, and reductive elimination as

rate-determining steps. To gain additional insight into the nature of the selectivity-determining event(s), $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) at all positions were determined. Singleton's NMR-based technique was applied to measure perturbations of the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ isotopic ratios obtained for product **7b** isolated at low conversion relative to natural abundance material (measured from product **7b** obtained after full conversion of substrate **5b**).⁵⁶ Large, primary isotope effects were measured at the site of initial C–C bond-formation (C4, KIE = 1.019(1) averaged over two chemically equivalent sites) and at the vicinal olefinic carbon (C3, KIE = 1.019(4)); a modestly attenuated primary isotope effect was also observed at the opposite terminus (C1, KIE = 1.010(4); Scheme 11). While comparison to high-level computational models is necessary when applying this technique to distinguish between multiple similar mechanisms, natural abundance $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ KIE measurements have been applied successfully in the absence of computational models to provide general information about the identity of the first irreversible product-determining step within an accepted mechanistic pathway.⁵⁷ With these limitations in mind, the observed KIEs are most consistent with irreversible and therefore regioselectivity-determining oxidative cyclization proceeding through [1,4]-carbometallation from an iron bis-diene complex (see Supporting Information for further discussion). This direct [1,4]-carbometallation also accounts for the high chemoselectivity observed for the iron-catalyzed [4+4]-cycloaddition, as the reaction mechanism bypasses a putative divinyl metallacyclopentane poised to undergo side reactions. A catalytic cycle accounting for the combined kinetic analyses, Mößbauer spectroscopic observations, and KIE measurements is illustrated in Scheme 12. Model reaction time-courses simulated for this mechanistic scenario using COPASI software are consistent with the observed saturation kinetics (see Supporting Information).⁵⁸

While the KIE measurements enabled identification of the regioselectivity-determining step, this step is not necessarily also diastereoselectivity-determining. Insight could be drawn from the relationship between the isomeric purity of the diene substrate and the observed product diastereomer ratio. If the product diastereomer ratio were entirely catalyst controlled (i.e. if only (*E*)-isomer incorporation were possible), the product d.r. would be independent of substrate *E/Z* ratio (Scheme 13A). This would be possible either through an entirely stereoconvergent mechanism (mechanism *i*) or if substrate incorporation were entirely isomer-selective (mechanism *ii*). Alternatively, if incorporation of both (*E*)- and (*Z*)-diene isomers were possible (through either stereoconvergent or stereospecific processes, as in mechanisms *iii* and *iv*, respectively), the product d.r. would vary as a function of substrate *E/Z* ratio, resulting from the rate-averaged statistical combination of the substrate isomers (Scheme 13B). Finally, for a mechanism in which only one of the two diene coupling partners were incorporated in an isomer-selective fashion the product d.r. would be related directly to the substrate *E/Z* ratio (Scheme 13C, mechanisms *v* and *iv*).

To probe these possibilities experimentally, (*E*)-**1b** and (*Z*)-**1b** were subjected to the [4+4]-cyclodimerization conditions independently. Whereas (*E*)-**1b** was converted smoothly to [4+4]-cycloadducts **3b** and **4b** with high diastereoselectivity, (*Z*)-**1b** underwent non-productive isomerization upon exposure to either iron precatalyst (Scheme 14A & B) and cyclodimerization products were not detected. This isomer-dependent reactivity thus ruled

out mechanisms *iii* and *iv* depicted in Scheme 13 for both the diimine and iminopyridine iron catalysts.

Subsequently, mixtures of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-**1b** were subjected to the same reaction conditions (Scheme 14C). Where diimine iron complex (MesDI)Fe(COD) was used as a precatalyst, **3b** and **4b** were obtained in the same ratio as observed with pure (*E*)-**1b** substrate. In this case, formation of the [4+4]-cycloadducts was accompanied by the accumulation of *iso*-**1b**. These observations suggest that isomer-selective mechanism *ii* (Scheme 13A) is most likely operative.

In contrast to the results obtained using (MesDI)Fe(COD), both (*E*)- and (*Z*)-isomers were consumed upon exposure to (iPrPI)Fe(COD), resulting in the formation of cyclodimerization products with moderately reduced diastereoselectivity and chemoselectivity for the [4+4] products. This isomer-dependent selectivity eliminates mechanisms *i* and *ii* depicted in Scheme 13. While these results cannot distinguish between mechanistic possibilities *v* and *vi* depicted in Scheme 13, they do provide evidence for a mechanism in which selectivity is determined through the combination of catalyst-controlled diastereoselective/isomer-selective and substrate-controlled stereospecific/isomer-unselective contributions. Moreover, mechanisms *ii* and *v/vi* exist on a continuum, and the extent to which isomer-selective reactivity occurs likely varies across substrates. Indeed, exposure of a mixture of piperylene isomers (66:34 (*E*)-/(*Z*)-**1a**) to either precatalyst (MesDI)Fe(COD) or (iPrPI)Fe(COD) results in reduced chemo- and diastereoselectivity (vide supra; indicative of mechanisms *v/vi*) in both cases

Taken in combination with the mechanism implicated for oxidative cyclization through [1,4]-carbometallation, this finding provided support for a scenario in which the relative stereochemistry of the distal metallacycle substituent and proximal vinyl group is set through diastereoselective and isomer-selective oxidative cyclization. A subsequent, stereospecific allyl-isomerization and C–C bond-forming reductive elimination sequence results in the relative configuration observed in the final product. While alternative mechanisms involving stereoconvergent reductive elimination cannot be ruled out on the basis of the experimental data available, the general mechanistic picture delineated through the studies described herein provided sufficient context to generate a qualitative stereochemical model. To aid in visualization of relevant interactions, the coordinates for the solid-state structures of precatalysts (MesDI)Fe(COD) and (iPrPI)Fe(COD) were analyzed to generate topographic steric maps of the metal-bound, redox-active DI and PI ligands using SambVca 2.0 (Figure 6).⁵⁹ These plots illustrated a striking difference in the openness of the eastern (as drawn in Figure 6) hemisphere of the iron coordination sphere, with the *C_S* symmetric iPrPI resulting in a lower local percent buried volume (%V_{bur}) than the effectively *C₂* MesDI ligand.^{60,61} To the extent that the transition structures for oxidative cyclization resemble the incipient metallacycles, the ligand-dependent diastereoselectivity observed with 4-substituted diene coupling partners can be justified on the basis of competing repulsive steric interactions between proximal metallacycle substituents and the steric environment of the DI or PI ligand in this hemisphere. Namely the metallacycle en route to *trans*-diastereomer **4** avoids repulsive *gauche*-butane interactions but is only accessible when one hemisphere of the iron coordination sphere is open. This arrangement also allows for

potential stabilizing π -stacking interactions with the ligand pyridine backbone.⁶² In contrast, the more symmetrically shielded environment enforced by ^{Mes}DI favors the metallacycle en route to *cis*-diastereomer **3**.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In summary, a family of iron complexes bearing bidentate ligands enabled the [4+4]-cycloaddition of substituted 1,3-dienes with control of chemo- and regioselectivity to afford disubstituted 1,5-cyclooctadienes. Methods to access either of two diastereomeric products selectively were defined. The resultant cyclooctadienes hold promise as modular building blocks in the synthesis of precision polyolefins, lubricants, fuels, and fine chemicals. Determination of the electronic structures of the precatalysts and comparison to in situ spectroscopic measurements implicated catalytically active species in which an iminopyridine ligand radical anion is antiferromagnetically coupled with a high-spin iron(I) center. Mechanistic studies support a mechanism in which *stereoselective* oxidative cyclization of two dienes from the resting state complex is followed by a *stereospecific* allyl-isomerization/C–C bond-forming reductive elimination sequence. These insights shed further light on strategies for ligand development to enable selective chemistry that leverages metallacyclic intermediates to upgrade unsaturated coupling partners.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

C.R.K. thanks the NIH for a Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award (F32 GM126640). Financial support and a gift of piperylene were provided by Firmenich. We thank Dr. István Pelczar (Princeton University) for assistance with NMR experiments, and Dr. Stephan Rummelt for a gift of [¹³C⁵H⁹PDI]Fe(N₂).

REFERENCES

1. (a)Hendrickson JB Molecular Geometry. IV. The Medium Rings. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1964, 86, 4854–4866.(b)Hendrickson JB Molecular Geometry. V. Evaluation of Functions and Conformations of Medium Rings. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1967, 89, 7036–7043.
2. (a)Martinez H; Ren N; Matta ME; Hillmyer MA Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization of 8-Membered Cyclic Olefins. Polym. Chem 2014, 5, 3507–3532.(b)Hill AR; Balogh J; Moncho S; Su H-L; Tuba R; Brothers EN; Al-Hashimi M; Bazzi HS Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerization (ROMP) of Five- to Eight-Membered Cyclic Olefins: Computational, Thermodynamic, and Experimental Approach. J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem 2017, 55, 3137–3145.
3. (a)McAuliffe JC; Paramonov SE; Sanford KJ Fuel Compositions Comprising Isoprene Derivatives WO 2010/148256 A1, 2010.(b)Meylemans HA; Quintana RL; Harvey BG Efficient Conversion of Pure and Mixed Terpene Feedstocks to High Density Fuels. Fuel 2012, 97, 560–568.(c)Meylemans HA; Baldwin LC; Harvey BG Low-Temperature Properties of Renewable High-Density Fuel Blends. Energy & Fuels, 2013, 27, 883–888.(d)Harvey BG; Merriman WW; Koontz TA High-Density Renewable Diesel and Jet Fuels Prepared from Multicyclic Sesquiterpanes and a 1-Hexene-Derived Synthetic Paraffinic Kerosene. Energy & Fuels 2015, 29, 2431–2436.(e)Leitner W; Klankermayer J; Pischinger S; Pitsch H; Kohse-Höinghaus K Advanced Biofuels and Beyond: Chemistry Solutions for Propulsion and Production. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 5412–5452.
4. (a)Kraft P; Bajgrowicz JA; Denis C; Fráter G Odds and Trends: Recent Developments in the Chemistry of Odorants. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2000, 39, 2980–3010.(b)Birkbeck AA Challenges in

the Synthesis of Natural and Non-Natural Volatiles. In *The Chemistry and Biology of Volatiles*; Herrmann A, Ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010; pp 173–193.

5. (a)Vesley JA; Massie SN Acetal Derivatives of Cyclooctyl Carboxaldehydes US 3,985,769, 1976.
(b)Markert T Utilization of Monocyclic Aldehydes as Odoriferous Agents WO 99/54430 A1, 1998.
(c)Fráter G; Bajgrowicz JA; Kraft P *Fragrance Chemistry*. Tetrahedron, 1998, 54, 7633–7703.
(d)Granier T; Bajgrowicz JA; Hanhart A Cyclooct-(En)-Yl Derivatives for Use as Fragrances US 7,888,309 B2, 2011.
6. (a)Taylor RD; MacCoss M; Lawson ADG Rings in Drugs. *J. Med. Chem* 2014, 57, 5845–5859. [PubMed: 24471928] (b)Aldeghi M; Malhotra S; Selwood DL; Chan AWE Two- and Three-Dimensional Rings in Drugs. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des* 2014, 83, 450–461. [PubMed: 24472495] (c)Bauer RA; Wenderski TA; Tan DS Biomimetic Diversity-Oriented Synthesis of Benzannulated Medium Rings via Ring Expansion. *Nat. Chem. Biol* 2012, 9, 21. [PubMed: 23160003]
7. (a)Yet L Metal-Mediated Synthesis of Medium-Sized Rings. *Chem. Rev* 2000, 100, 2963–3008. [PubMed: 11749312] (b)Yu Z-X; Wang Y; Wang Y Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Cycloadditions for the Synthesis of Eight-Membered Carbocycles. *Chem. Asian J* 2010, 5, 1072–1088. [PubMed: 20432504]
8. (a)Blanchard N; Eustache J Synthesis of Natural Products Containing Medium-Size Carbocycles by Ring-Closing Alkene Metathesis. In *Metathesis in Natural Product Synthesis*; Cossy J, Arseniyadis S, Meyer C, Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010; pp 1–43.(b)Yet L Olefin Ring-Closing Metathesis. In *Organic Reactions*; Wiley, 2016; pp 1–1304.(c)Fürstner A Catalysis for Total Synthesis: A Personal Account. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2014, 53, 8587–8598.
9. (a)Brill ZG; Grover HK; Maimone TJ Enantioselective Synthesis of an Ophiobolin Sesterterpene via a Programmed Radical Cascade. *Science*, 2016, 352, 1078–1082. [PubMed: 27230373] (b)Farney EP; Feng SS; Schäfers F; Reisman SE Total Synthesis of (+)-Pleuromutilin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 1267–1270. [PubMed: 29323492]
10. (a)Oenbrink G; Schiffer T Cyclododecatriene, Cyclooctadiene, and 4-Vinylcyclohexene. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; pp 37–40.(b)Reed HWB The catalytic cyclic polymerization of butadiene. *J. Chem. Soc* 1954, 1931–1941.(c)Brenner W; Heimbach P; Hey H; Müller EW; Wilke G *Liebigs Ann. Chem* 1969, 727, 161–182.
11. (a)tom Dieck H; Dietrich J Selectivity and Mechanism of Diene Cyclodimerization on Iron(0) Complexes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1985, 24, 781–783.(b)Lee H; Campbell MG; Hernández Sánchez R; Börgel J; Raynaud J; Parker SE; Ritter T Mechanistic Insight Into High-Spin Iron(I)-Catalyzed Butadiene Dimerization. *Organometallics*, 2016, 35, 2923–2929.
12. (a)Schmidt R; Griesbaum K; Behr A; Biedenkapp D; Voges H-W; Garbe D; Paetz C; Collin G; Mayer D; Höke H *Hydrocarbons*. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; Wiley-VCH, 2014; pp 1–74.(b)Behr A; Johnen L Myrcene as a Natural Base Chemical in Sustainable Chemistry: A Critical Review. *Chem. Sus. Chem* 2009, 2, 1072–1095.(c)Morais ARC; Dworakowska S; Reis A; Gouveia L; Matos CT; Bogdał D; Bogel-Lukasik R Chemical and Biological-Based Isoprene Production: Green Metrics. *Catal. Today*, 2015, 239, 38–43.(d)Sun R; Zheng M; Li X; Pang J; Wang A; Wang X; Zhang T Production of Renewable 1,3-Pentadiene from Xylitol via Formic Acid-Mediated Deoxydehydration and Palladium-Catalyzed Deoxygenation Reactions. *Green Chem* 2017, 19, 638–642.
13. Diver ST; Giessert AJ Enyne Metathesis (Enyne Bond Reorganization). *Chem. Rev* 2004, 104, 1317–1382. [PubMed: 15008625]
14. (a)Nunomoto S; Kawakami Y; Yamashita Y Synthesis of 2-Substituted 1,3-Butadienes by Cross-Coupling Reaction of 2-(1,3-Butadienyl)Magnesium Chloride with Alkyl or Aryl Iodides. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn* 1981, 54, 2831–2832.(b)Sahlberg C; Quader A; Claesson A Synthesis of Conjugated Dienes by Nickel-Catalyzed Reactions of 1,3-Alkadien-2-yl Phosphates with Grignard Reagents. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1983, 24, 5137–5138.(c)Karlström ASE; Rönn M; Thorarensen A; Bäckvall J-E A Versatile Route to 2-Substituted Cyclic 1,3-Dienes via a Copper(I)-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reaction of Dienyl Triflates with Grignard Reagents. *J. Org. Chem* 1998, 63, 2517–2522. [PubMed: 11672113] (d)Karlström ASE; Itami K; Bäckvall J-E Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Dienyl Phosphates with Grignard Reagents in the Synthesis of 2-Substituted 1,3-Dienes. *J. Org. Chem* 1999, 64, 1745–1749. [PubMed: 11674250] (e)Cahiez G; Habiak V; Gager O Efficient Preparation of Terminal Conjugated Dienes by Coupling of Dienol Phosphates with Grignard Reagents under Iron Catalysis. *Org. Lett* 2008, 10, 2389–2392. [PubMed:

- 18476715] (f)Wang G; Mohan S; Negishi E Highly Selective Synthesis of Conjugated Dienoic and Trienoic Esters via Alkyne Elementometalation–Pd-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2011, 108, 11344–11349. [PubMed: 21709262] (g)Fiorito D; Folliet S; Liu Y; Mazet C A General Nickel-Catalyzed Kumada Vinylation for the Preparation of 2-Substituted 1,3-Dienes. *ACS Catal* 2018, 8, 1392–1398.(h)Olivares AM; Weix DJ Multimetallic Ni- and Pd-Catalyzed Cross-Electrophile Coupling To Form Highly Substituted 1,3-Dienes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 2446–2449. [PubMed: 29420028] (i)Nguyen VT; Dang HT; Pham HH; Nguyen VD; Flores-Hansen C; Arman HD; Larionov OV Highly Regio- and Stereoselective Catalytic Synthesis of Conjugated Dienes and Polyenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 8434–8438. [PubMed: 29936839]
15. (a)Hansen AL; Skrydstrup T Regioselective Heck Couplings of α,β -Unsaturated Tosylates and Mesylates with Electron-Rich Olefins. *Org. Lett* 2005, 7, 5585–5587. [PubMed: 16320997] (b)Hansen AL; Ebran J-P; Ahlquist M; Norrby P-O; Skrydstrup T Heck Coupling with Nonactivated Alkenyl Tosylates and Phosphates: Examples of Effective 1,2-Migrations of the Alkenyl Palladium(II) Intermediates. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2006, 45, 3349–3353.(c)Zhou P; Jiang H; Huang L; Li X Acetoxypalladation of Unactivated Alkynes and Capture with Alkenes to Give 1-Acetoxy-1,3-Dienes Taking Dioxxygen as Terminal Oxidant. *Chem. Commun* 2011, 47, 1003–1005.(d)Zheng C; Wang D; Stahl SS Catalyst-Controlled Regioselectivity in the Synthesis of Branched Conjugated Dienes via Aerobic Oxidative Heck Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2012, 134, 16496–16499. [PubMed: 22998540] (e)Delcamp JH; Gormisky PE; White M, Oxidative C Heck Vinylation for the Synthesis of Complex Dienes and Polyenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2013, 135, 8460–8463. [PubMed: 23701421] (f)Molloy JJ; Seath CP; West MJ; McLaughlin C; Fazakerley NJ; Kennedy AR; Nelson DJ; Watson AJB Interrogating Pd(II) Anion Metathesis Using a Bifunctional Chemical Probe: A Transmetalation Switch. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 126–130. [PubMed: 29257859]
16. (a)Ideses R; Shani A The Wittig Reaction: Comments on the Mechanism and Application as a Tool in the Synthesis of Conjugated Dienes. *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 3523–3534.(b)Dong D-J; Li H-H; Tian S-K A Highly Tunable Stereoselective Olefination of Semistabilized Triphenylphosphonium Ylides with N-Sulfonyl Imines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010, 132, 5018–5020. [PubMed: 20302297]
17. McAlpine NJ; Wang L; Carrow BP A Diverted Aerobic Heck Reaction Enables Selective 1,3-Diene and 1,3,5-Triene Synthesis through C–C Bond Scission. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 13634–13639. [PubMed: 30289691]
18. (a)Wender PA; Ihle NC Nickel-Catalyzed Intramolecular [4+4]-Cycloadditions: A New Method for the Synthesis of Polycycles Containing Eight-Membered Rings. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1986, 108, 4678–4679.(b)Wender PA; Snapper ML Intramolecular Nickel Catalyzed Cycloadditions of Bis-Dienes: 3 Approaches to the Taxane Skeleton. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1987, 28, 2221–2224.(c)Wender PA; Ihle NC Nickel-Catalyzed Intramolecular [4+4] Cycloadditions: 2. Allylic Stereinduction and Modelling Studies in the Preparation of Bicyclo[6.4.0]Dodecadienes. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1987, 28, 2451–2454.(d)Wender PA; Ihle NC; Correia CRD Nickel-Catalyzed Intramolecular [4+4] Cycloadditions. 4. Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (+)-Asteriscanolide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1988, 110, 5904–5906.(e)Wender PA; Tebbe MJ Nickel(0)-Catalyzed Intramolecular [4+4] Cycloadditions: 5. The Type II Reaction in the Synthesis of Bicyclo[5.3.1]Undecadienes. *Synthesis* 1991, 1089–1094.(f)Wender PA; Nuss JM; Smith DB; Suárez-Sobrinó A; Vågberg J; Decosta D; Bordner J Transition Metal Catalyzed Cycloadditions: An Intramolecular [4 + 4] Cycloaddition Strategy for the Efficient Synthesis of Dicyclopenta[a,d]Cyclooctene 5–8–5 Ring Systems. *J. Org. Chem* 1997, 62, 4908–4909.
19. (a)van Leeuwen PWNM; Roobeek CF On the Mechanism of the Nickel-Catalysed Regioselective Cyclodimerization of Isoprene. *Tetrahedron*, 1981, 37, 1973–1983.(b)Jolly PW Nickel Catalyzed Oligomerization of 1,3-Dienes and Related Reactions. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*; Wilkinson G, Stone FGA, Abel EW, Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1982; pp 671–711. (c)Brun P; Tenaglia A; Waegell B Regio and Stereo Selective Synthesis of Disubstituted Cyclooctadienes. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1983, 24, 385–388.(d)Tenaglia A; Brun P; Waegell B Nickel-Catalyzed Oligomerization of Functionalized Conjugated Dienes. *J. Organomet. Chem* 1985, 285, 343–357.
20. (a)Mallien M; Haupt ETK; tom Dieck H Catalytic Isomerization of Methylated 1,5-Cyclooctadienes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1988, 27, 1062–1064.(b)Wu C-Y; Swift HE Diolenin Reactions Catalyzed by Transition Metal-Schiff Base Complexes. *J. Catal* 1972, 24, 510–520.

21. Mechanistic study of the iron-catalyzed [4+4]-cyclodimerization of butadiene was described recently, implicating (^{Me}DI)Fe(COD) as the on-cycle resting state complex (see ref 11b). However, given the discrepancy between selectivities and rates reported for the [4+4]-cycloaddition of substituted 1,3-dienes relative to butadiene, it was not clear at the outset of this study whether these insights would be transferrable.
22. (a) Small BL Discovery and Development of Pyridine-Bis(Imine) and Related Catalysts for Olefin Polymerization and Oligomerization. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 2599–2611. [PubMed: 26267011] (b) Chirik PJ Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation in a Weak Ligand Field: Leveraging Open-Shell First-Row Transition-Metal Catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56, 5170–5181.
23. (a) Bouwkamp MW; Bowman AC; Lobkovsky E; Chirik PJ Iron-Catalyzed [2π + 2π] Cycloaddition of α,ω-Dienes: The Importance of Redox-Active Supporting Ligands. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, 128, 13340–13341. [PubMed: 17031930] (b) Hoyt JM; Schmidt VA; Tondreau AM; Chirik PJ Iron-Catalyzed Intermolecular [2+2] Cycloadditions of Unactivated Alkenes. *Science*, 2015, 349, 960–963. [PubMed: 26315433]
24. Russell SK; Lobkovsky E; Chirik PJ Iron-Catalyzed Intermolecular [2π + 2π] Cycloaddition. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 8858–8861. [PubMed: 21598972]
25. Hoyt JM; Sylvester KT; Semproni SP; Chirik PJ Synthesis and Electronic Structure of Bis(Imino)Pyridine Iron Metallacyclic Intermediates in Iron-Catalyzed Cyclization Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 4862–4877. [PubMed: 23448301]
26. Schmidt VA; Kennedy CR; Bezdek MJ; Chirik PJ Selective [1,4]-Hydrovinylation of 1,3-Dienes with Unactivated Olefins Enabled by Iron Diimine Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140, 3443–3453. [PubMed: 29414238]
27. (a) Chirik PJ Preface: Forum on Redox-Active Ligands. *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, 50, 9737–9740. [PubMed: 21894966] (b) Lyaskovskyy V; de Bruin B Redox Non-Innocent Ligands: Versatile New Tools to Control Catalytic Reactions. *ACS Catal.* 2012, 2, 270–279. (c) Luca OR; Crabtree RH Redox-Active Ligands in Catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 1440–1459. [PubMed: 22975722]
28. (a) Lau W; Huffman J; Kochi J Electrochemical oxidation-reduction of organometallic complexes. Effect of the oxidation state on the pathways for reductive elimination of dialkyliron complexes. *Organometallics*, 1982, 1, 155–169. (b) Joannou M; Darmon J; Bezdek M; Chirik P Exploring C(sp³)–C(sp³) Reductive Elimination from an Isolable Iron Metallacycle. *Polyhedron*, 2018, 159, 308–317.
29. Bart SC; Hawrelak EJ; Lobkovsky E; Chirik PJ Low-Valent α-Diimine Iron Complexes for Catalytic Olefin Hydrogenation. *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 5518–5527.
30. McNeill E; Ritter T 1,4-Functionalization of 1,3-Dienes With Low-Valent Iron Catalysts. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 2330–2343. [PubMed: 26214092]
31. Grignard reagents, including Mg(C₄H₆)•2THF, were reported previously as competent reductants/activators for the iron precatalysts employed for the [4+4]-cyclodimerization of butadiene. See Ref. 11.
32. Trovitch RJ; Lobkovsky E; Bouwkamp MW; Chirik PJ Carbon–Oxygen Bond Cleavage by Bis(imino)pyridine Iron Compounds: Catalyst Deactivation Pathways and Observation of Acyl C–O Bond Cleavage in Esters. *Organometallics*, 2008, 27, 6264–6278.
33. Hirano M Recent Advances in the Catalytic Linear Cross-Dimerizations. *ACS Catal.* 2019, 9, 1408–1430.
34. (a) Franke R; Selent D; Börner A Applied Hydroformylation. *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 5675–5732. [PubMed: 22937803] (b) Shen X; Gong H; Zhou Y; Zhao Y; Lin J; Chen M Unsymmetrical Difunctionalization of Cyclooctadiene under Continuous Flow Conditions: Expanding the Scope of Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerization. *Chem. Sci.* 2018, 9, 1846–1853. [PubMed: 29675230]
35. (a) Bayeh L; Tambar UK Catalytic Asymmetric Intermolecular Allylic Functionalization of Unactivated Internal Alkenes. *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7, 8533–8543. [PubMed: 30009088] (b) Andrus MB; Zhou Z Highly Enantioselective Copper–Bisoxazoline-Catalyzed Allylic Oxidation of Cyclic Olefins with tert-Butyl p-nitroperbenzoate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 8806–8807. [PubMed: 12137528] (c) Kobayashi S; Pitet LM; Hillmyer MA Regio- and Stereoselective Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization of 3-Substituted Cyclooctenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 5794–5797. [PubMed: 21446673] (d) Zang Z-L; Zhao S; Karnakanti S; Liu C-L; Shao P-L; He Y Catalytic Multisite-Selective Acetoxylation Reactions at sp² vs sp³ C–H Bonds in Cyclic Olefins.

- Org. Lett 2016, 18, 5014–5017. [PubMed: 27650477] (e) Bayeh L; Le PQ; Tambar UK Catalytic allylic oxidation of internal alkenes to a multifunctional chiral building block. *Nature*, 2017, 547, 196–200. [PubMed: 28636605]
36. (a) Baldenius K-U; tom Dieck H; König WA; Icheln D; Runge T Enantioselective Syntheses of Cyclopentanoid Compounds from Isoprene and Trans-1,3-Pentadiene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 1992, 31, 305–307. (b) Tabushi I; Fujita K; Oda R Stereochemistry of Cationic Addition - π , π Transannular Cyclization of 1,5-Cyclooctadiene. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1967, 8, 3815–3819.
 37. (a) Mang T; Noll S; Bartels T Lubricants, 1. Fundamentals of Lubricants and Lubrication. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2011; pp 383–411. (b) Ray S; Rao PVC; Choudary NV Poly- α -Olefin-Based Synthetic Lubricants: A Short Review on Various Synthetic Routes. *Lubr. Sci* 2011, 24, 23–44.
 38. (a) Muresan N; Lu C; Ghosh M; Peters J; Abe M; Henling L; Weyhermüller T; Bill E; Wieghardt K Bis(α -diimine)iron Complexes: Electronic Structure Determination by Spectroscopy and Broken Symmetry Density Functional Theoretical Calculations. *Inorg. Chem* 2008, 47, 4579–4590. [PubMed: 18442239] (b) Lu CC; Bill E; Weyhermüller T; Bothe E; Wieghardt K Neutral Bis(α -Iminopyridine)Metal Complexes of the First-Row Transition Ions (Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn) and Their Monocationic Analogues: Mixed Valency Involving a Redox Noninnocent Ligand System. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2008, 130, 3181–3197. [PubMed: 18284242] (c) Khusniyarov M; Weyhermüller T; Bill E; Wieghardt K Tuning the Oxidation Level, the Spin State, and the Degree of Electron Delocalization in Homo- and Heteroleptic Bis(α -diimine)iron Complexes *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009, 131, 1208–1221. [PubMed: 19105752] (d) Lu CC; Weyhermüller T; Bill E; Wieghardt K Accessing the Different Redox States of α -Iminopyridines within Cobalt Complexes. *Inorg. Chem* 2009, 48, 6055–6064. [PubMed: 20507103]
 39. The τ_4 parameter describes variations in the geometry of 4-coordinate complexes on a spectrum from square planar to tetrahedral: Yang L; Powell DR; Houser RP Structural Variation in Copper(I) Complexes with Pyridylmethylamide Ligands: Structural Analysis with a New Four-Coordinate Geometry Index, τ_4 . *Dalt. Trans* 2007, 955–964.
 40. Allen FH; Kennard O; Watson DG; Brammer L; Orpen AG; Taylor R Tables of Bond Lengths Determined by X-Ray and Neutron Diffraction. Part 1. Bond Lengths in Organic Compounds. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 2*, 1987, S1–S19.
 41. (a) Dewar MJS A Review of π Complex Theory. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr* 1951, 18, 71–79. (b) Chatt J; Duncanson LA 586. Olefin Co-Ordination Compounds. Part III. Infra-Red Spectra and Structure: Attempted Preparation of Acetylene Complexes. *J. Chem. Soc* 1953, 2939–2947.
 42. (a) Bart SC; Chłopek K; Bill E; Bouwkamp MW; Lobkovsky E; Neese F; Wieghardt K; Chirik PJ Electronic Structure of Bis(Imino)Pyridine Iron Dichloride, Monochloride, and Neutral Ligand Complexes: A Combined Structural, Spectroscopic, and Computational Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006, 128, 13901–13912. [PubMed: 17044718] (b) Stieber SCE; Milsmann C; Hoyt JM; Turner ZR; Finkelstein KD; Wieghardt K; DeBeer S; Chirik PJ Bis(Imino)Pyridine Iron Dinitrogen Compounds Revisited: Differences in Electronic Structure Between Four- and Five-Coordinate Derivatives. *Inorg. Chem* 2012, 51, 3770–3785. [PubMed: 22394054]
 43. Gütllich P; Bill E; Trautwein AX Hyperfine Interactions. In *Mössbauer Spectroscopy and Transition Metal Chemistry*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, 2011; pp 73–135.
 44. Calculations for (MePI)Fe(COD) initiated following truncation of the isopropyl groups from the solid-state structure of (iPr PI)Fe(COD).
 45. Calculations for (Me PI)Fe($M^{vi}M^{vi}$) initiated from a solid-state structure that revealed connectivity but was of insufficient quality for publication.
 46. (a) Neese F The ORCA Program System. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci* 2012, 2, 73–78. (b) Neese F Software Update: The ORCA Program System, Version 4.0. *WIREs Comput Mol Sci* 2018, 8, e1327.
 47. (a) Becke AD Density-Functional Exchange-Energy Approximation with Correct Asymptotic Behavior. *Phys. Rev. A*, 1988, 38, 3098–3100. (b) Lee C; Yang W; Parr RG Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, 37, 785–789.
 48. Pople JA; Gill PMW; Handy NC Spin-Unrestricted Character of Kohn-Sham Orbitals for Open-Shell Systems. *Int. J. Quantum Chem* 1995, 56, 303–305.

49. (a)Ginsberg AP Magnetic Exchange in Transition Metal Complexes. 12. Calculation of Cluster Exchange Coupling Constants with the X α -Scattered Wave Method. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1980, 102, 111–117.(b)Noodleman L Valence Bond Description of Antiferromagnetic Coupling in Transition Metal Dimers. *J. Chem. Phys* 1981, 74, 5737–5743.(c)Noodleman L; Peng CY; Case DA; Mouesca J-M Orbital Interactions, Electron Delocalization and Spin Coupling in Iron-Sulfur Clusters. *Coord. Chem. Rev* 1995, 144, 199–244.(d)Neese F Definition of Corresponding Orbitals and the Diradical Character in Broken Symmetry DFT Calculations on Spin Coupled Systems. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2004, 65, 781–785.
50. (a)Neese F Prediction and Interpretation of the ^{57}Fe Isomer Shift in Mössbauer Spectra by Density Functional Theory. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2002, 337, 181–192.(b)Sinnecker S; Slep LD; Bill E; Neese F Performance of Nonrelativistic and Quasi-Relativistic Hybrid DFT for the Prediction of Electric and Magnetic Hyperfine Parameters in ^{57}Fe Mössbauer Spectra. *Inorg. Chem* 2005, 44, 2245–2254. [PubMed: 15792459] (c)Neese F A Critical Evaluation of DFT, Including Time-Dependent DFT, Applied to Bioinorganic Chemistry. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem* 2006, 11, 702–711. [PubMed: 16821037] (d)Römel M; Ye S; Neese F Calibration of Modern Density Functional Theory Methods for the Prediction of ^{57}Fe Mössbauer Isomer Shifts: Meta-GGA and Double-Hybrid Functionals. *Inorg. Chem* 2009, 48, 784–785. [PubMed: 19102678] (e)Björnsson R; Neese F; DeBeer S Revisiting the Mössbauer Isomer Shifts of the FeMoco Cluster of Nitrogenase and the Cofactor Charge. *Inorg. Chem* 2017, 56, 1470–1477 [PubMed: 28071903] (f)McWilliams SF; Brennan-Wydra E; MacLeod KC; Holland PL Density Functional Calculations for Prediction of ^{57}Fe Mössbauer Isomer Shifts and Quadrupole Splittings in β -Diketiminato Complexes. *ACS Omega*, 2017, 2, 2594–2606. [PubMed: 28691111]
51. (a)Weigend F; Ahlrichs R Balanced Basis Sets of Split Valence, Triple Zeta Valence and Quadruple Zeta Valence Quality for H to Rn: Design and Assessment of Accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2005, 7, 3297–3305. [PubMed: 16240044] (b)Weigend F Accurate Coulomb-Fitting Basis Sets for H to Rn. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2006, 8, 1057–1065. [PubMed: 16633586]
52. (a)van Wüllen C Molecular Density Functional Calculations in the Regular Relativistic Approximation: Method, Application to Coinage Metal Diatomics, Hydrides, Fluorides and Chlorides, and Comparison with First-Order Relativistic Calculations. *J. Chem. Phys* 1998, 109, 392–399.(b)Pantazis DA; Chen X-Y; Landis CR; Neese F All-Electron Scalar Relativistic Basis Sets for Third-Row Transition Metal Atoms. *J. Chem. Theory Comput* 2008, 4, 908–919. [PubMed: 26621232]
53. (a)Blackmond DG Reaction Progress Kinetic Analysis: A Powerful Methodology for Mechanistic Studies of Complex Catalytic Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2005, 44, 4302–4320. (b)Blackmond DG Kinetic Profiling of Catalytic Organic Reactions as a Mechanistic Tool. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2015, 137, 10852–10866. [PubMed: 26285166]
54. Qualitatively similar results were obtained using [$^{\text{Me}}\text{PI}$ Fe(COD)]. Results obtained using precatalyst [$^{\text{Me}}\text{PI}$ Fe($\text{M}^{\text{vi}}\text{M}^{\text{vi}}$)] shown due to the improved precision obtained through the use of a uniform precatalyst stock solution.
55. For reactions conducted in neat substrate, the total reaction volume changes as a function of conversion by virtue of the differing densities of substrate and product. This was accounted for by assuming that the substrate/product mixture behaved as an ideal solution (see Supporting Information for details). The qualitative agreement between experiments conducted in neat substrate and dilute solution validates this assumption.
56. Singleton DA; Thomas AA High-Precision Simultaneous Determination of Multiple Small Kinetic Isotope Effects at Natural Abundance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995, 117, 9357–9358.
57. (a)Coombs JR; Haeflner F; Kliman LT; Morken JP Scope and Mechanism of the Pt-Catalyzed Enantioselective Diboration of Monosubstituted Alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2013, 135, 11222–11231. [PubMed: 23862690] (b)Wang JY; Strom AE; Hartwig JF Mechanistic Studies of Palladium-Catalyzed Aminocarbonylation of Aryl Chlorides with Carbon Monoxide and Ammonia. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 7979–7993. [PubMed: 29852736] (c)Wendlandt AE; Vangal P; Jacobsen EN Quaternary Stereocentres via an Enantioconvergent Catalytic $\text{S}_{\text{N}}1$ Reaction. *Nature*, 2018, 556, 447–451. [PubMed: 29695848] (d)Grélaud S; Cooper P; Feron LJ; Bower JF Branch-Selective and Enantioselective Iridium-Catalyzed Alkene Hydroarylation via Anilide-Directed C–H Oxidative Addition. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 9351–9356. [PubMed: 30024748]

58. Hoops S; Sahle S; Gauges R; Lee C; Pahle J; Simus N; Singhal M; Xu L; Mendes P; Kummer U COPASI: a COMplex PATHway SIMulator. *Bioinformatics*, 2006, 22, 3067–3074. [PubMed: 17032683]
59. Falivene L; Credendino R; Poater A; Petta A; Serra L; Oliva R; Scarano V; Cavallo L *Organometallics*, 2016, 35, 2286–2293.
60. Clavier H; Nolan SP Percent buried volume for phosphine and N-heterocyclic carbene ligands: steric properties in organometallic chemistry. *Chem. Commun* 2010, 46, 841–861.
61. While the NMR spectroscopic data obtained for (^{Mes}DI)Fe(COD) at 25 °C in C₆D₆ were consistent with a C_{2v} ligand, the solid-state structure exhibited slight canting of the flanking aryl rings, rendering the C₂ description more accurate.
62. (a) Krenske EH; Houk. KN Aromatic Interactions as Control Elements in Stereoselective Organic Reactions. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2013, 46, 979–989. [PubMed: 22827883] (b) Neel AJ; Hilton MJ; Sigman MS; Toste FD Exploiting non-covalent π interactions for catalyst design. *Nature*, 2017, 543, 637–646. [PubMed: 28358089]

Figure 1. Characterization of Reduced (iPrPI)Fe Complexes. (A–C.) Solid-state structures represented with 30% probability ellipsoids; H atoms omitted for clarity. (D–F.) Zero-field ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra collected at 80 K for solid-state samples of (iPrPI)Fe(COD), (iPrPI)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}), and (iPrPI)₂Fe. Red lines represent the fit of the data to the quadrupolar doublets defined by the parameters shown.

Figure 2. Electronic Structure of (iPrPI)Fe(COD). (A.) Qualitative molecular orbital diagram derived from the BS(3,1) DFT solution for (iPrPI)Fe(COD), representing the corresponding orbitals possessing significant d -orbital character and the singly-occupied, ligand-based orbital. (B.) Spin-density plot for (iPrPI)Fe(COD) obtained from the BS(3,1) Mulliken population analysis (red, positive spin density; yellow, negative spin density). DFT calculations performed at the B3LYP/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP level of theory with the ZORA relativistic correction.

Figure 3.

Kinetic Tests for Catalyst Deactivation and Product Inhibition for Reactions Run in Neat Substrate (A–C) or Toluene Solution (D–F). (A./D.) Concentration of substrate $[5b]$ over time measured by gas chromatographic analysis of aliquots removed from the reaction mixture. (B./E.) Concentration of substrate $[5b]$ over time time-shifted to illustrate overlay with standard conditions. (C./F.) Reaction rate over the course of substrate consumption shown over evenly spaced intervals of $[5b] = 0.25$ M. Open markers represent data points obtained from individual trials; closed markers represent average values obtained from duplicate trials. $[Fe]_{tot} = [(^{Me}PI)Fe(M^{Vi}M^{Vi})]_0 = 2.5$ mM for all trials. See Supporting Information for additional experimental details.

Figure 4.

Reaction-Progress Kinetic Analysis. (A.) Concentration of substrate $[5b]$ over time measured by gas chromatographic analysis of aliquots removed from reaction mixtures over varied $[Fe]_{tot}$. (B.) Reaction rate over the course of substrate consumption shown over evenly spaced intervals of $[5b] = 0.25 \text{ M}$ obtained from the univariate spline fit of the concentration vs. time plots in A. (C.) The first-order dependence of reaction rate on $[Fe]_{tot}$ illustrated by the linear regression to rate-data obtained at $[5b] = 2.5 \text{ M}$, with qualitatively similar dependence observed over the full course of the reaction. Open markers represent data points obtained from individual trials; closed markers represent average values obtained from duplicate trials.

Figure 5.

Iron Speciation under Catalytically Relevant Conditions. (A.) Preparation of a solution sample for in situ spectroscopic analysis. (B.) Zero-field ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectrum collected at 80 K for the frozen solution sample prepared in A. Red and blue lines represent the fit of the data to the quadrupolar doublets defined by the parameters shown. Structures in the gray box represent plausible resting state complexes that are consistent with the kinetic and Mössbauer spectroscopic data; however, their precise identity cannot be assigned definitively.

Figure 6.

Rational for Diastereoselectivity. (A/B.) Topographic steric maps of $(\text{MesDI})\text{Fe}$ and $(\text{iPrPI})\text{Fe}$ generated from the solid-state structures for the corresponding COD complexes. The Fe atom defines the center of the xyz coordinate system, the N–Fe–N plane defines the xz -plane, and the z -axis bisects the N–Fe–N angle. Colors indicate occupied space toward ($-z$, blue) or away from ($+z$, red) the DI or PI ligand. Local percent buried volume (% V_{bur}) listed for each quadrant of a sphere of radius, $r = 3 \text{ \AA}$. (C.) Stereochemical rational for ligand-controlled, diastereoselectivity.

A. Utility of 8-Membered Alicycles

B. Ligand Designs to Control Iron Metallacycles for Selective Catalysis

C. This Work: Catalyst-Controlled [4+4]-Cycloaddition

Scheme 1.

Demand, conceptual evolution, and design strategy for iron-catalyzed [4+4]-cycloaddition of substituted 1,3-dienes.

A. Metal-Catalyzed [4+4]-Cyclodimerization of Butadiene P(OAr)₃ =

up to 96% [4+4], using:

0.01 mol% Ni(COD)₂
0.01 mol% P(OAr)₃Reed, Ziegler & Wilke^a

[Fe] =

up to >98% [4+4], using:

0.005 mol% [Fe]
0.01 mol% MeMgCltom Dieck & Ritter^b**B. Intramolecular Diene [4+4]-Cycloaddition^c** **C. State-of-the-Art Intermolecular Diene [4+4]-Cycloaddition**◆ [4+4]-Cyclodimerization of Isoprene^d 0.1 mol% Ni(acac)₂
0.3 mol% PR₃
0.6 mol% AlEt₃

up to 97% cyclic dimers

up to 64% [4+4]

up to 93:7 r.r.

(all with different ligands)

-or-

up to 95%
cyclic dimers using:0.5 mol% Fe(acac)₃

0.5 mol% PI ligand

3 mol% AlEt₃◆ Directed, Head-to-Head, [4+4]-Cyclodimerization of 4-Substituted-1,3-Dienes^e ◆ [4+4]-Cycloaddition of 2,3-Dimethyl-1,3-Butadiene with Pterylene^f **Key Limitations Include:**

◆ low [4+4] chemoselectivity AND/OR low activity AND/OR low regioselectivity ◆ unknown active catalyst ◆

Scheme 2.

State-of-the-art methods for [4+4]-cycloaddition of 1,3-dienes.

Prepared Analogously:

Other Complexes Evaluated in this Study:

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of reduced iminopyridine iron bis-olefin complexes.

Scheme 4.

Ligand denticity controls cycloaddition chemoselectivity.

[Fe]	time = 1 h:		4 h:		24 h:		
	yield ^b	% [4+4] ^b	yield ^b	% [4+4] ^b	yield ^b	% [4+4] ^b	r.r. ^c
(^{Me} PI)Fe(COD)	98%	96%	94%	96%	92%	96%	90 : 10
(^{Me} PI)Fe(M ^{vi} M ^{vi})	93%	96%	94%	96%	96%	96%	90 : 10
(^{Mes} PI) ₂ Fe	<1%	n.d.	6%	≥90%	34%	96%	92 : 8

Scheme 5.

Evaluation of single-component iminopyridine iron precatalysts for [4+4]-cycloaddimerization of isoprene.^a

entry	solvent	X	conv ^b	yield ^c	% [4+4] ^b	r.r ^d
1	none	--	>95%	99%	97%	94:6
2	C ₅ H ₁₂	2.5 M	>95%	99%	97%	95:5
3	Et ₂ O	2.5 M	>95%	97%	97%	94:6
4	THF	2.5 M	>95%	94%	97%	94:6
5	PhMe	2.5 M	>95%	99%	96%	94:6
6	PhMe	1.0 M	>95%	93%	97%	94:6
7	PhMe	0.5 M	>95%	95%	96%	94:6

Scheme 6.Evaluation of solvents suitable for iron-catalyzed [4+4]-cyclodimerization.^a

Scheme 7.

Scope of iron-catalyzed, diastereoselective [4+4]-cycloaddimerization of 4-substituted dienes.^a

Scheme 8.

Scope of iron-catalyzed, regioselective [4+4]-cyclodimerization of 2-substituted dienes.^a

A. [4+4]-Cyclodimerization of 2,3-Dimethyl-1,3-Butadiene **B. Linear Dimerizations of 3,4-Disubstituted-1,3-Dienes ^b** **Scheme 9.**Iron-catalyzed dimerization of disubstituted-1,3-dienes.^a

Cross-[4+4]-Cycloadducts:

Scheme 10.

Scope of iron-catalyzed cross-[4+4]-cycloaddition of substituted dienes.^a

Scheme 11.
 $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ Isotope Effects.

Scheme 12.
Proposed Catalytic Cycle.^a

Scheme 14.
 Effect of Diene Geometry on Diastereoselectivity.^a

Table 1.
Select Metrical Parameters for Reduced (ⁱPtP)Fe Complexes and Related Structures.^a

	Bond Lengths (Å)				Angles (°)				τ_4^b
	Fe-N _{im}	Fe-N _{pyr}	N _{im} -C _{im}	N _{pyr} -C _{ipso}	C _{im} -C _{ipso}	C=C	N _{im} -Fe-N _{pyr}	N _{im} -Fe-C=C	N _{pyr} -Fe-C=C
(ⁱ PtP)Fe(COD) ^c	1.969(6)	2.026(6)	1.341(9)	1.383(8)	1.414(8)	1.351(11) 1.374(12)	80.23(19)	134.3 123.1	114.1 114.1
BS(3,1) ^d	2.013	2.097	1.349	1.397	1.427	1.393 1.391	79.8	133.1 126.0	117.4 111.9
(ⁱ PtP)Fe(M ^{vi} M ^{vi}) ^e	1.984(2)	2.021(2)	1.340(3)	1.376(3)	1.423(3)	1.410(3) 1.403(3)	79.84(8)	123.2 111.3	118.7 109.4
BS(3,1) ^f	2.051	2.082	1.347	1.386	1.428	1.397 1.393	79.3	121.8 110.8	122.6 108.3
(ⁱ PtP) ₂ Fe ^g	1.980(3) 1.988(3)	2.043(3) 2.026(3)	1.339(4) 1.349(4)	1.381(5) 1.381(5)	1.423(5) 1.417(5)	--	79.47(12) 80.09(12)	--	--
(ⁱ PtPA)D ₂ Fe ^{h,i}	1.988(2) 1.981(2)	2.043(2) 2.046(2)	1.342(2) 1.336(3)	1.387(3) 1.386(3)	1.411(3) 1.408(3)	--	81.62(7) 82.02(7)	--	--
(^R Pt ^(ox)) ₂ h _j ⁱ	--	--	1.28	1.35	1.47	--	--	--	--
(^R Pt) ^j -h _j	--	--	1.34	1.39	1.41	--	--	--	--
(^R Pt ^(red)) ₂ -h _j	--	--	1.46	1.40	1.35	--	--	--	--

^aMetrical parameters obtained through single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

^bCalculated as described in Ref 39.

^cSee Figure 1A.

^dOptimized, broken symmetry structure for (ⁱPtP)Fe(COD) computed using the B3LYP/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP level of density functional theory with application of the ZORA relativistic correction.

^eSee Figure 1B.

^fOptimized, broken symmetry structure for (ⁱPtP)Fe(M^{vi}M^{vi}) computed using the B3LYP/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP level of density functional theory with application of the ZORA relativistic correction.

^gSee Figure 1C.

^hTaken from Ref. 38b.

ⁱValues listed for one of two structurally similar complexes in the unit cell.

Table 2. Select Zero-Field ^{57}Fe Mößbauer Parameters for ($^{\text{R}}\text{PDI}$)Fe Complexes and Related Structures. ^a

[Fe]	δ (mm/s)	$ E_Q $ (mm/s)	Ox. State	[Fe]	δ (mm/s)	$ E_Q $ (mm/s)	Ox. State
($^{\text{iPr}}\text{PDI}$)Fe(COD) ^b	0.52	0.89	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$	($^{\text{iPr}}\text{TDI}$)Fe($\eta^4\text{-C}_5\text{H}_8$) ^f	0.56	1.68	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$
<i>soln.</i> ^c	0.52	0.89		($^{\text{iPr}}\text{PDI}$) ₂ Fe ^h	0.75	1.18	Fe(II), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 2$
<i>BS(3,1)</i> ^d	0.53	1.09		($^{\text{Me}}\text{PDI}$) ₂ Fe ^e	0.75	1.18	Fe(II), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 2$
($^{\text{Me}}\text{PDI}$)Fe(COD) ^e	0.49	0.92	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$	($^{\text{Mes}}\text{PDI}$) ₂ Fe	0.74	1.33	Fe(II), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 2$
<i>BS(3,1)</i> ^d	0.52	1.05		($^{\text{iPr}}\text{TDI}$) ₂ Fe ⁱ	0.77	1.81	Fe(II), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 2$
($^{\text{iPr}}\text{TDI}$)Fe(COD) ^f	0.48	1.31	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$	($^{\text{iPr}}\text{PDI}$)Fe(N_2) ₂ ^j	0.39	0.53	Fe(0)-Fe(II) hybrid, $S_{\text{Fe}} = 0$
($^{\text{iPr}}\text{PDI}$)Fe($\text{M}^{\text{vi}}\text{M}^{\text{vi}}$) ^g	0.52	1.26	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$	($^{\text{iPr}}\text{PDI}$)Fe(N_2) ^j	0.38	1.72	Fe(II), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 1$
<i>BS(3,1)</i> ^d	0.54	1.35		($^{\text{iPr}}\text{r(TB)PDI}$)Fe(N_2) ₂ ^k	0.45	0.83	Fe(0)-Fe(II) hybrid, $S_{\text{Fe}} = 0$
($^{\text{Me}}\text{PDI}$)Fe($\text{M}^{\text{vi}}\text{M}^{\text{vi}}$)	0.53	1.94	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$	($^{\text{iPr}}\text{r(TB)PDI}$)Fe	0.63	2.47	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$
				(CH_2CHCH_2) ₂ NTs ^k			
<i>BS(3,1)</i> ^d	0.53	1.17		($^{\text{iPr}}\text{r(TB)PDI}$)Fe	0.73	1.87	Fe(I), $S_{\text{Fe}} = 3/2$
				(CH_2CHCH_2) ₂ ^k			

^a All measurements recorded at 80 K for solid powder samples unless noted otherwise. Complete ligand structures for all listed complexes depicted in the Supporting Information.

^b See Figure 1D.

^c Solution state sample frozen in C_6H_6 .

^d Calculated using the optimized, broken symmetry structure computed at the B3LYP/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP level of density functional theory with the ZORA relativistic correction.

^e From a sample of 90% ($^{\text{Me}}\text{PDI}$)Fe(COD) and 10% ($^{\text{Me}}\text{PDI}$)₂Fe.

^f Taken from Ref. 26.

^g See Figure 1E.

^h See Figure 1F.

ⁱ Taken from Ref. 38a

$j_{\text{Taken from Ref. 42}}$ $k_{\text{Taken from Ref. 25}}$

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript