Published in final edited form as:

Cell Signal. 2019 June ; 58: 34–43. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2019.02.006.

G protein α_q exerts expression level-dependent distinct signaling paradigms

Dinesh Kankanamge¹, Mithila Tennakoon¹, Amila Weerasinghe², Luis Cedeno-Rosario³, Deborah N. Chadee³, and Ajith Karunarathne^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

²McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63108, USA

³Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606, USA

Abstract

G protein α_q -coupled receptors (Gq-GPCRs) primarily signal through G α_q GTP mediated phospholipase C β (PLC β) stimulation and the subsequent hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4, 5 bisphosphate (PIP₂). Though Gq-heterotrimer activation results in both G α_q GTP and G $\beta\gamma$. unlike Gi/o-receptors, it is unclear if Gq-coupled receptors employ G $\beta\gamma$ as a major signal transducer. Compared to Gi/o- and Gs-coupled receptors, we observed that most cell types exhibit a limited free G $\beta\gamma$ generation upon Gq-pathway and G α_q /11 heterotrimer activation. We show that cells transfected with G α_q or endogenously expressing more than average-levels of G α_q /11 compared to G α_s and G α_i exhibit a distinct signaling regime primarily characterized by recovery-resistant PIP₂ hydrolysis. Interestingly, the elevated Gq-expression is also associated with enhanced free G $\beta\gamma$ generation and signaling. Furthermore, the gene GNAQ, which encodes for G α_q , has recently been identified as a cancer driver gene. We also show that GNAQ is overexpressed in tumor samples of patients with Kidney Chromophobe (KICH) and Kidney renal papillary (KIRP) cell carcinomas in a matched tumor-normal sample analysis, which demonstrates the clinical significance of G α_q expression. Overall, our data indicates that cells usually express low G α_q levels, likely safeguarding cells from excessive calcium as well as from G $\beta\gamma$ signaling.

Keywords

GPCR; G protein; Signal transduction; Calcium

*Corresponding Author, Ajith.karunarathne@utoledo.edu.

Author contributions

Dinesh Kankanamge conducted majority of experiments and analyzed data. Mithila Tennakoon performed RT PCR and analyzed the data. Amila Weerasinghe analyzed TCGA data. Luis Cedeno-Rosario and Deborah N. Chadee performed the western blot analysis for G α subunits. Dinesh Kankanamge and Ajith Karunarathne conceived the idea and wrote the manuscript.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Data Availability Statement

The CCLE data is available at an integrated portal on www.broadinstitute.org/ccle repository and TCGA data is available in NIH cancer institute GDC data portal.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

1. Introduction

GPCRs primarily control cellular processes by transduction of extracellular information via activating heterotrimeric G proteins [1–4]. With their crucial juncture in cellular signaling, GPCRs-G proteins are implicated in numerous diseases including cancer and heart disease, thus have become the largest drug target. G α s (stimulatory) and G α i (inhibitory) members of G α family are primary regulators of adenylyl cyclase (AC)-cAMP signaling, in directions implied by their names. Based on structural similarities, a third subfamily member, Gq/11 further categorized into G α q, G α 11, G α 14, and G α 15/16 and regulates several distinct signaling pathways [5–7].

The Gq/11 subfamily plays a pivotal role in cardiac, lung, brain, immune and circulatory functions [8–10]. Activated PLC acts on phosphatidylinositol 4, 5-bisphosphate (PIP₂), a membrane phospholipid to generate diacyl glycerol (DAG) and inositol 1, 4, 5-triphosphate (IP₃). DAG and IP₃ serve as second messengers for PKC activation and intracellular calcium mobilization in cells. PIP₂ also plays an important role in membrane trafficking [11–15], cytoskeleton functions [16, 17], and ion transporters and channels activation [18–20]. However, both IP₃ and DAG are recycled to resynthesize phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) [21, 22], followed by phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase and phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate mediated sequential phosphorylation to generate PIP₂ [23, 24]. Minutes after Gq-pathway mediated PIP₂ hydrolysis, many cell types show PIP₂ recovery despite the presence of the active Gq-pathway. While such a resynthesis is essential in maintaining PIP₂, especially against the fast rate of Gq-GPCR mediated PIP₂ hydrolysis [21], the regulation of this PIP₂ regeneration (recovery) is unclear [21, 22]. Specifically, it is not clear if the initial PIP₂ hydrolysis triggers this PIP₂ recovery process [25–27]

IP₃ generated after PIP₂ hydrolysis activates IP₃ receptors and induces stored calcium release [28, 29]. To maintain resting Ca²⁺ concentrations, PM and Sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ATPase pumps remove Ca²⁺ from the cytosol. Therefore, Gq/11 mediated Ca²⁺ homeostasis is important in many cellular functions including skeletal mineralization, muscle contraction, nerve impulse transmission, blood clotting, and hormone secretion [30]. Excessive calcium signaling has been reported in many disease conditions [31–34]. Control of protein kinase C (PKC) by Gq/11 is crucial for activation of essential proteins and lipids that are responsible for cell survival [35]. Excessive PKC signaling diminishes prefrontal neuronal firing and induces chronic stress [36]. *In vitro* studies in hippocampal cell cultures exhibited cytoskeleton spine collapse and disruption of the actin cytoskeleton upon elevation of PKC signaling [37]. Excessive PKC activation severely impaired cognitive functions of the prefrontal cortex in rodents and is predicted to be implicated in diabetic cardiomyopathy [38–40]. Elevated PKC signaling can also contribute to diabetic neuropathy, likely through its effects on vascular blood flow [35].

Many biochemical and biophysical studies proved that G protein heterotrimers dissociate into G α GTP and G $\beta\gamma$ [41, 42]. We have shown that all G $\beta\gamma$ complexes translocate to IMs upon Gi/o and Gs-coupled GPCR receptor activation, indicating the physical dissociation of the heterotrimer [43]. However, several studies showed evidence for lack of heterotrimer

dissociation during activation as well [44–46]. For instance, simple subunit rearrangements over physical dissociation of heterotrimers was demonstrated using resonance energy transfer studies [46, 47]. Gi/o and Gs-pathway activation regulate signaling both through Gβγ as well as Gαi-GTP. Gas and Gαi-GTP regulate adenylyl cyclase (AC) and cAMP. On the contrary, Gβγ generated regulates many effectors including PI3Kγ [48], adenylyl cyclase (AC) isoforms [49, 50]. PLCβ isoforms [1]. Gβγ is also known to activate Ca²⁺ channels (N, P/Q type) [2, 51], inwardly rectifying potassium channels (GIRK) [52, 53] and GPCR kinase (GRK2, GRK3) [54]. Several guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) are also found to be activated by Gβγ such as Rac (FLJ00018), Cdc42 (p114-RhoGEF) [55–57]. Interestingly, compared to Gαi- and Gas-GPCR activations, in many cell types Gαq-GPCR activation failed to induce a detectable Gβγ translocation [58]. PLCβ activation has been identified as the primary function of the Gq-pathway [5, 6, 8, 59], but the lack of evidence for Gβγ signaling suggests that Gq-pathway activation generates only a limited number of heterotrimers. Either lack of physical dissociation of Gq-heterotrimers, lower expression of Gαq or fast GTP hydrolysis on Gαq-GTP can prevent Gβγ translocation. Recent identification of the Gαq/11 subfamily as major cancer driver genes signify the importance of understanding Gq-pathway regulation [60, 61]. Here, we examined repercussions of differential Gαq expression levels on signaling outcomes in cells to decipher why cells may require tight regulation of Gq/11 pathway signaling.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. DNA constructs, reagents and cell lines

cDNAs; M3R and YFP-PH, have been previously discussed [62, 63]. The constructs; α2-AR, mCherry-γ9.GFP-γ9. αq-CFP, YFP-γ1,11 and β1-YFP were kindly provided by Professor N. Gautam, Washington University, St. Louis, MO. GRPR and PKCδ-GFP were kindly gifted by Dr. Zhou-Feng Chen's lab, Washington University, St. Louis, MO. GRK2 and Opn4 were previously described [64]. Opn4-YFP was created by PCR amplification of Opn4 with KpnI and XbaI from Opn4 untagged and subcloned to corresponding restriction sites generated after restriction digestion of GRK2-YFP. Reagent sources are as follows: Norepinephrine and PTx (SigmaAldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), YM-254890 (Focus Biomolecules, Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA), Bombesin (Tocris, Park Ellisville, MO, USA), Carbachol (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA), SDF-1α (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), Lipofectamine 2000 and Gallein (Thermo Fisher, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 11 -*cis*-retinal (National Eye Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA), siRNA (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA). Reagents were dissolved in appropriate solvents according to manufacturer's instructions. HeLa cells were originally purchased from the American Tissue Culture Collections (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and authenticated using a commercial kit to amplify 9 unique STR loci. SKOV3, MDA-MB231, and HCT116 cell lines and control siRNA were kindly provided by Dr. Deborah N. Chadee, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH. NCI-H125 cell line was kindly provided by Dr. Randall Ruch, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH.

2.2. Cell culture and Transfections

HeLa cells (ATCC) were cultured in minimum essential medium (MEM, Gibco) containing 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum (DFBS, Atlanta Biologicals), in the presence of 1%

penicillin-streptomycin (PS, Coming) in tissue culture dishes (60 mm, 100 mm) in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂. At 80% confluency, HeLa cells were treated with versene-EDTA (CellGro) and incubated for 2 min at 37°C. Dislodged cells were lifted and centrifuged at 1000g for 3 min and versene-EDTA was immediately aspirated before resuspending in MEM with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum. One day before the transfection of DNA, 0.8 ×10⁵/ml HeLa cells were seeded on 35 mm glass bottom dishes (In Vitro scientific). Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 according to manufactures protocol and imaging was performed next day after transfection. Similarly, SKOV3, MDA-MB231, and HCT116 were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Coming) containing 10 % DFBS and 1% PS and NCIH125 cell line was cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI-1640, Gibco) containing 10 % DFBS and 1% PS. Rest of the cell culture, seeding and transfection procedures for all the cell lines were similar to the protocols described for HeLa cells.

2.3. siRNA transfection to knock down Gas in HeLa

To efficiently knock down GNAS in HeLa, siRNA sequence CGAUGUGACUGCCAUCAUC was used as described previously [65]. siRNA was dissolved in RNase-free 1× siRNA buffer and concentration was verified using NanoDrop microvolume spectrophotometer. siRNA (50 nM) was introduced to HeLa cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher) transfection reagent. Control siRNA transfected HeLa cells were used as the control.

2.4. RNAseq, Reed time PCR and Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) data analysis

Access to RNAseq data for HeLa were provided by Professor N. Gautam, Washington University. Relative expression of GNA subunits was calculated after normalized to expression of α-tubulin. Relative expression of GNA subunits was expressed as standard deviation and plotted in Origin pro (OriginLab Corporation). CCLE contains mRNA expression data for more than 1000 cancer cell lines. GENE-E, Java desktop application developed by Broad institute (Cambridge, MA, USA) was used to generate GNA gene expression heat maps with respect to GAPDH for SKOV3, and HCT116. To obtain the Gas and Gαq/11 expression profile of MDA-MB231 and NCI-H125, cells cultured in 100 mm tissue culture dishes with their appropriate media. When cells reached to ~80 % confluency, cells were then homogenized to isolate total mRNA using GeneJET RNA purification kit. cDNA synthesis performed with 2.5 µg total RNA using RADIANT cDNA synthesis kit. The GNA expression was quantified by real-time PCR using RADIANT Green LO-ROX qPCR kit. Real time amplification was carried out using Icyler (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA). Quantification of GNA expression was performed against β-actin internal control as previously described [66].

2.5. Western blot analysis

Whole cell extracts were prepared from NCI-H125, HeLa and MDA-MB-231 cells and separated by 15% SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins were then transferred to a PVDF membrane, blocked with 5% non-fat milk, and incubated with the primary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) specific for Gas (sc-135914), Gαq (sc-136181) and α-tubulin (sc-53646), followed by the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibody

(Bio-Rad: #1705047). The membrane was incubated with chemiluminescent detection solutions (MilliporeSigma Corporation: WBKLS0500) and exposed to an X-ray film. The protein band intensities on X-ray films were quantified using NIH ImageJ. The values for $G\alpha$ subunits were normalized to the α -tubulin loading control. Statistical analysis of immunoblots was performed by Student's t-test on three independent biological replicates. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.6. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data analysis

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort data available in the Genomic Data Commons (GDC) data portal was used in this study in May 2018. RNAseq raw counts of 10455 samples across 33 cancer types were downloaded using the R/Bioconductor package TCGA biolinks version 2.5.9 [67] using GDC prepare for tumor types (level 3, and platform “IlluminaHiSeq_RNASeqV2”). “data.type” as “Gene expression quantification” and “file.type” as “results”. The resulting data were the raw gene expression RSEM values generated by TCGA pipeline which uses MapSplice [68, 69] to do the alignment and RSEM to do the quantification [69, 70].

2.7. Imaging and Data analysis

A Nikon-Andor spinning disc confocal imaging system, composed of a Nikon Ti-R/B inverted microscope, a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk (5000 rpm) and iXon ULTRA 897BV back illuminated deep-cooled EMCCD camera was used. All DNA constructs were transiently transfected, and cells were imaged next day after the transfection. M3R and GRPR untagged expression were confirmed by PIP₂ hydrolysis or $G\beta\gamma$ translocation in the presence of αq -CFP. Imaging of cells were carried out using a 60 $\times$, 1.4 NA oil objective employing 50 mW 445, 488, 515 and 595 nm solid-state lasers. Sensors were imaged using the following settings: GFP-PH and PKC δ -GFP: 488 nm at 56 μ W/515 nm, YFP-PH, Opn4-YFP and GRK2-YFP: 515 nm at 22 μ W/540 nm; mCherry- $\gamma 9$ and mCherry-PH: 594 nm at 20 μ W/630 nm, $\alpha 2$ -AR-CFP, $\beta 1$ -AR-CFP and αq -CFP: 445 nm at 196 μ W/482 nm (excitation/emission). All the ligands mentioned in the manuscript, added to cells at 50 s unless otherwise specified. Imaging of PIP₂ sensors, PKC δ and translocation of $G\gamma 1$, 9, and 11 were also performed at 1 Hz. GPCR activation induced $G\beta\gamma$ translocation reduce fluorescence of the tagged $G\gamma$ on the PM and increase in IMs. Therefore, fluorescence increase in IMs (F_{IM}) was used to measure the extent of heterotrimer dissociation upon GPCR activation. Digital image analysis was performed using Andor iQ 3.1 software and fluorescence intensity obtained from regions of interest (PM, IMs and cytosol) were normalized to initial values (baseline) in MS excel. Normalized data were then plotted using Origin pro (OriginLab Corporation). Results of all quantitative assays ($G\beta\gamma$ translocation, PKC δ and GRK2 recruitment and PIP₂ hydrolysis) are expressed as standard error of mean (SEM) from n numbers of cells (indicated in the figure legends) from multiple days, using cells with different passage numbers.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Endogenous Gα_q activation in HeLa cells exhibits a reversible PIP₂ hydrolysis and comparatively limited free Gβγ generation

The objective was to examine molecular reasonings behind the inability of Gq-coupled GPCRs (Gq-GPCRs) to induce a detectable Gγ9 translocation in HeLa cells upon activation. We previously established translocation of fluorescently tagged Gγ9 can be used to monitor Gi/o and Gs coupled GPCR-G protein activation [58]. We also showed that all Gβγ complexes translocate upon receptor activation while translocation rates are Gγ-type dependent [3, 43]. Since Gγ9 containing Gβγ translocate the fastest, we comparatively examined fluorescently tagged Gγ9 translocation after Gi/o- as well as Gq-GPCR activation. HeLa cells expressing mCherry-γ9 were activated with either 50 μM norepinephrine or 50 ng/mL stromal derived growth factor 1-α (SDF1-α) to activate Gi-coupled α2-adrenergic receptors (α2-ARs) and CXCR4 receptors, respectively. Activation of both Gi-coupled GPCRs induced Gγ9 translocation from the PM (yellow arrow) to internal membranes (IMs, white arrow) (Fig. 1A). Quantification of this translocation is provided in the method section. During time-lapse confocal imaging, ligands were added at 50 s to activate the corresponding GPCRs (Fig. 1A, plot). Similarly, HeLa cells expressing mCherry-γ9 and GFP-PH (PIP₂ sensor) together with either Gq-coupled Muscarinic 3 (M3) or Gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) receptors were activated with their corresponding ligands, 10 μM carbachol and 1 μM bombesin, respectively. Upon activation of either receptors, cells did not show a detectable Gγ9 translocation while exhibited the characteristic PIP₂ hydrolysis followed by its recovery (PIP₂ regeneration over time) (Fig. 1B and S1). The reported enhanced GTPase activity of Gα_q-GTP activated-PLCβ also induces fast hydrolysis of Gα_qGTP and accelerates deactivation of G protein signaling [71, 72]. Since the receptors are active and continue to generate Gα_q-GTP, fast GTP hydrolysis on Gα_q is unlikely to induce the recovery of PIP₂. Nevertheless, this prediction requires further investigation. Interestingly, HeLa cells that failed to show translocation of Gγ9 upon M3R activation exhibited a robust Gγ9 translocation after activating endogenous α2-AR (Fig. 1C). This suggests that Gi/o heterotrimers are abundant and functional in these cells. To eliminate the potential influence of the level of receptor expression on Gβγ translocation, HeLa cells with the similar expression levels of CXCR4- and GRPR- GFP tagged versions were selected and activated with their respective ligands to examine mCherry-γ9 translocation. Under these conditions, only CXCR4 showed Gγ9 translocation while GRPR failed to show the Gγ9 translocation (Fig. S2). To examine Gβγ translocation at equivalent levels of Gα_o and Gα_q, HeLa cells transfected α_o-mCherry and α_q-CFP with near similar expressions were used. Upon activation of α2-AR and GRPR with their respective ligands, the observed Gγ9 translocation rates in Gα_o (0.035 s⁻¹) and Gα_q (0.027 s⁻¹) cells were nearly similar (Fig. S3).

We examined whether observed lack of Gγ9 translocation upon Gq-coupled ligand-binding GPCR activation was due to limited availability of Gq-heterotrimers in HeLa cells. Interestingly, RNAseq profile for Gα shows that HeLa cells express a relatively higher amount of GNAI+O compared to GNAQ+11 (Fig.1D). Therefore, Gβγ is likely to form a limited amount of Gq/11 heterotrimers. To increase the relative abundance of Gα_q compared

to endogenous $G_{\alpha s}$ and $G_{\alpha i/o}$, HeLa cells expressing mCherry- $\gamma 9$, M3R or mCherry- $G\gamma 9$, GRPR were also co-transfected with αq -CFP. Upon activation of M3R as well as GRPR, cells exhibited robust translocations of mCherry- $\gamma 9$ (Fig. 1E). These translocation responses ($Tt_{1/2}$ M3R= 6 ± 1 s and $Tt_{1/2}$ GRPR= 7 ± 1 s) were similar to the $\gamma 9$ translocation observed upon Gi-coupled GPCR activation ($Tt_{1/2}$ $\alpha 2$ -AR= 7 ± 2 s) (Fig. 1A). Therefore, this data clearly indicates that the lack of $G\gamma 9$ translocation observed in HeLa cells with endogenous $G_{\alpha q}$ is likely due to the limited availability of Gq-heterotrimers and unlikely due to the inefficient Gq-heterotrimer activation. However, PLC β mediation of accelerated GTP hydrolysis on $G_{\alpha q}$ can also play a role here. When cells were treated with 1 μ M YM-254890 at 200 s, a complete reverse of $G\gamma 9$ translocation back to the PM and complete reverse translocation of the PIP2 sensor to the PM were observed after M3R activation in HeLa cells expressing αq -CFP (Fig. 1F). This data clearly demonstrate that observed $G\gamma 9$ translocation in $G_{\alpha q}$ expressing cells is solely governed by Gq-heterotrimer activation. Whether or not the lack of translocation observed upon Gq-pathway activation is unique to $G\gamma 9$ was also examined. HeLa cells transiently expressing M3R and either YFP- $\gamma 1$ or YFP- $\gamma 11$ did not show $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma 11$ translocation upon addition of 10 μ M carbachol (Fig. S4A). However, HeLa cells additionally expressing αq -CFP exhibited significant $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma 11$ translocations from PM (yellow arrow) to IMs (white arrow) upon addition of 10 μ M carbachol (Fig. S4B). Introduction of αq -CFP to HeLa cells also induced $\beta 1$ -YFP translocation when cells treated with 10 μ M carbachol, which indicates endogenous $G\gamma$ translocation (Fig. S4B). Therefore, the reason not all $G\gamma$ types translocate in HeLa cells upon Gq-coupled GPCR activation is the limited availability of Gq-heterotrimers.

We recently showed that melanopsin (Opn4) is promiscuous to both Gi/o- and Gq-pathway with near similar efficiencies [63]. HeLa cells expressing Opn4, mCherry- $\gamma 9$ and YFP-PH exhibited both $G\gamma 9$ translocation and PIP2 hydrolysis in the presence of 50 μ M 11-*cis* retinal (Fig. S5A). In the presence of 50 ng/mL pertussis toxin (PTx), Opn4 activation only exhibited PIP2 hydrolysis and failed to show $G\gamma 9$ translocation (Fig. S5B). When Opn4 expressing cells were treated with Gq inhibitor (YM-254890), which blocks Gq-heterotrimer dissociation by preventing GDP/GTP exchange [73], Opn4 activation induced $G\gamma 9$ translocation while no PIP2 hydrolysis was observed (Fig. S5C). Therefore, we utilized Opn4 to show that levels of Gq- and Gi/o-heterotrimer activation by the same receptor with nearly similar promiscuity is controlled by the concentrations of G_{α} subtypes. HeLa cells expressing Opn4, αq -CFP and mCherry- $\gamma 9$ exhibited a profound $G\gamma 9$ translocation upon Opn4 activation (by adding 50 μ M 11-*cis* retinal) (Fig. 1G-top, black curve). The observed translocation in $G_{\alpha q}$ expressing cells is due to both $G_{\alpha i}$ - and $G_{\alpha q}$ -heterotrimer activation. When HeLa cells treated with 50 ng/mL PTx for 6 hrs, Opn4 activation still induced $G\gamma 9$ translocation, however to a lesser extent (Fig. 1G-middle, red curve). Gq-inhibitor, YM-254890, treated cells also exhibited $G\gamma 9$ translocation upon Opn4 activation, however to a much lesser extent compared to cells untreated as well as cells treated with 50 ng/mL PTx (Fig. 1G bottom, blue curve). Since $G_{\alpha q}$ introduction to HeLa cells is likely to increase $G_{\alpha q}$ compared to $G_{\alpha i/o}$, this greater reduction of $G\gamma 9$ translocation by YM-254890, compared to that of PTx, is expected. Additionally, complete lack of $G\gamma 9$ translocation in PTx treated HeLa cells upon activation of endogenous $\alpha 2$ -AR show that, $G\gamma 9$ translocation observed in PTx-treated cells is primarily due to Gq-pathway activation. (Fig. 1G, magenta

curve). Nearly similar $G\beta\gamma$ translocation rates in untreated (0.010 s^{-1}), PTx treated (0.005 s^{-1}) and YM treated (0.006 s^{-1}) cells suggest that both Gq and Gi/o heterotrimer processing rates are nearly similar, and the extent is dictated by the respective heterotrimer concentrations. These results also help to speculate that the lack of $G\beta\gamma$ translocation induced by M3R activation in HeLa cells (Fig. 1B) is likely due to limited Gq-heterotrimer activation as a result of the relatively lower abundance of $G\alpha_q$ compared to other $G\alpha$ types. Nevertheless, there are some variabilities in heterotrimer activation even by the same GPCR when activated with different ligands. We have demonstrated this using distinct $G\beta\gamma$ translocation abilities of norepinephrine and tizanidine in HeLa cells upon endogenous α_2 -AR activation [58]. Therefore, the differences observed in translocation extents as well as rates can be partially due to varying efficiencies of heterotrimer activation by distinct receptors as well as ligands. We anticipate such differences among receptor families that activate different heterotrimers such as Gs and Gq to be more prominent. However, we do not anticipate such differences to induce or eliminate sufficient free $G\beta\gamma$ generation and their translocation.

3.2. $G\alpha_q$ transfected cells exhibit distinct signaling compared to cells with endogenous $G\alpha_q$

PIP recovery—Although HeLa cells only expressing endogenous Gq subunits was unable to exhibit $G\beta\gamma$ translocation, they showed an efficient PIP₂ hydrolysis upon Gq-pathway activation (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1). Interestingly, within minutes of this PIP₂ hydrolysis, the PIP₂ sensor returned to the PM, indicating PIP₂ regeneration at the PM. Therefore, we examined if Gq-pathway induced PIP₂ hydrolysis-responses in HeLa cells with and without $G\alpha_q$ transfection are comparable. HeLa cells expressing M3R, α_q -CFP, and GFP-PH exhibited a robust PIP₂ hydrolysis upon addition of $10\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ carbachol (Fig. 2A-top, red curve). However, no recovery of PIP₂ was observed, even after 15 minutes of M3R activation. Interestingly, under similar conditions, control cells (no $G\alpha_q$ transfection) exhibited PIP₂ recovery after 5-6 min of M3R activation (Fig. 2A-bottom, black curve). A similar experiment was performed in HeLa cells expressing GRPR, α_q -CFP, and mCherry-PH to eliminate receptor specific recovery of PIP₂ hydrolysis. Upon activation of GRPR with $1\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ bombesin, cells failed to exhibit PIP₂ recovery even after 15 min (Fig. 2B-top, red curve), while control cells exhibited the recovery of PIP₂ (Fig. 2B-bottom, black curve). These data thus confirm that elevated levels of $G\alpha_q$ expression alters the signaling outcome of Gq-pathway activation.

PKC recruitment—Gq-pathway activation and subsequent DAG formation at the PM induces PM recruitment and activation of cytosolic PKC isoforms [74, 75]. When the recovery of PIP₂ hydrolysis incurs, as it consumes DAG to resynthesize PIP₂, PKC is expected to return to the cytosol. We examined whether this PKC recruitment-activation process is altered in cells transfected with $G\alpha_q$. HeLa cells transiently expressing M3R, α_q -CFP, and PKC δ -GFP exhibited no reversal of PM recruited PKC δ to the cytosol even after 20 min (Fig. 2C-top, red curve). Cells lacking $G\alpha_q$ -transfection exhibited both PM recruitment and reversal of PKC δ (Fig. 2C-bottom, black curve). Similar to M3R, HeLa cells expressing GRPR also exhibited a similar dependency of PKC δ -GFP translocation-reversal upon activation (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that excessive $G\alpha_q$ -heterotrimer

activation disrupts PIP2 recovery process that is likely to control the signaling outcome of the Gq-pathway.

GRK2-GPCR interaction—Signaling of activated GPCRs are attenuated by receptor desensitization and internalization [76–78]. G protein regulated kinase 2 (GRK2) has been shown to translocate to the PM from the cytosol to phosphorylate several GPCRs including M3R to initiate their desensitization and internalization [79]. We examined whether a detectable PM-recruitment of GRK2 can be observed with endogenous Gαq. HeLa cells expressing M3R and GRK2-YFP did not exhibit a profound recruitment of GRK2 when M3R was activated (Fig. 2E-bottom, black curve). Nevertheless, HeLa cells additionally expressing αq-CFP exhibited a robust GRK2 recruitment to PM after M3R activation (Fig. 2E-top, red curve). This suggests that the excessive Gq-heterotrimer activation and Gq signaling is likely to induce enhanced phosphorylation of GPCRs, accelerating their desensitization. GRK2 recruitment to activated GPCRs require Gβγ and Gαq [79, 80]. Since Gi/o coupled α2-AR activation induces GRK2 recruitment to the PM [81], we examined the role of Gβγ in PM recruitment of GRK2 in HeLa cells treated with and without Gβγ-inhibitor, gallein. Upon addition of norepinephrine (50 μM) cells exhibited profound translocation of GRK2 to the PM (Fig. 2F-top, black curve). HeLa cells exposed to 10 μM gallein for 15 min showed a significantly reduced PM recruitment of GRK2 (Fig. 2F-bottom, red curve). These data suggest that free Gβγ generation upon α2-AR activation is required for GRK2 recruitment. To examine if Gβγ similarly involved in Gq-pathway activation-induced GRK2 recruitment, HeLa cells expressing M3R, αq-CFP, and GRK2-YFP were examined with and without 10 μM gallein exposure. Compared to gallein untreated cells, GRK2 recruitment in gallein treated cells was marginal (Fig. 2G). These data collectively indicate that Gαq-expression level-dependent generation of Gβγ involves in signaling regulation of Gq-pathway.

3.3. Gβγ translocation reflects the level of Gαq expression

Since Gq-pathway activation in HeLa cells show Gβγ translocation only with Gαq overexpression while Gs-pathway activation shows Gβγ translocation with endogenous Gαs, we examined the level of Gαs and Gαq protein in HeLa cells (Fig.3A). Protein expression data normalized to α-tubulin from HeLa cells show that, compared to Gαs, the Gαq expression is significantly lower (Fig.3A, bar chart). Thus, translocation data indicate that the limited availability of endogenous Gαq to form heterotrimers in HeLa cells is likely to result in a Gγ9 translocation which cannot be detected by confocal microscopy (Fig. 1B). Gαq transfection to HeLa cells overcomes this limitation, resulting in a detectable Gβγ translocation (Fig. 1F). Therefore, we compared the Gβγ translocation after knocking-down an endogenous Gα subunit. Since Gαi/o has multiple isoforms and Gs-GPCR activation induces Gγ9 translocation with endogenous Gαs, we knocked down the Gαs in HeLa using 50 nM siRNA. Compared to control siRNA-cells (Fig. 3B-top, red curve), Gαs knocked-down HeLa cells exhibited only minor Gγ9 translocation (Fig. 3B-bottom, black curve up to 600 s). However, the Gαs knocked-down cells showed profound Gβγ translocation upon activation of endogenous α2-AR, suggesting that knockdown effect of Gαs-siRNA is selective (Fig. 3B-bottom right, black curve from 600 s). These data collectively demonstrate that Gβγ translocation is an indicator of the level of Gα expression.

3.4. Some cell types endogenously express higher levels of Gαq and possess distinct Gq-pathway signaling

Real time PCR data of NCI-H125 lung carcinoma cells showed a ~50 % higher expression of GNAQ/11 compared to MDA-MB 231 (Fig. 4A). Therefore, we comparatively examined how relative expression Gαq is connected to Gβγ translocation and, Gq-pathway signaling in MDA-MB 231 (breast cancer) cells, and NCI-H125 (human Adenosquamous lung carcinoma) cells. We first examined their relative Gαq levels in both cell lines. Western blot data showed that Gαq expression in NCI-H125 cells was significantly higher than that of MDA-MB 231 cells (Fig. 4B, bar chart). Next, we examined Gq-pathway activation induced Gβγ translocation in both the cell types. As anticipated, M3R activation in MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited the characteristic PIP2 hydrolysis and subsequent recovery (Fig. 4C-top, black curve). These cells also failed to show a detectable Gγ9 translocation (Fig. 4D top, red curve). Upon M3R activation in cells additionally expressing Gαq, cells exhibited a robust Gγ9 translocation (Fig. 4D-bottom, black curve) as well as recovery resistant PIP2 hydrolysis (Fig. 4C bottom, red curve). Interestingly, activation of M3R in NCI-H125 cells resulted in both irreversible PIP2 hydrolysis (Fig. 4E-top, black curve) and mCherry-γ9 translocation (Fig. 4F-top, red curve). When NCI-H125 cells were additionally transfected with αq-CFP, cells exhibited ~two times higher extent of Gγ9 translocation than the response observed in cell with endogenous Gαq (Fig. 4F-bottom, black curve). Nevertheless, PIP2 hydrolysis responses observed in Gαq transfected cells and cells with endogenous Gαq were nearly similar and irreversible (Fig. 4E, plot). Similarly, we selected SKOV3 (adenocarcinoma) and HCT116 (colorectal carcinoma) cell lines by examining their relative Gαq/11 expression levels from CCLE. Both SKOV3 and HCT116 expressed low amount of GNAQ and GNA11 compared to GAPDH, housekeeping gene (Fig. S6A). Therefore, we anticipated that these cell types express relatively low amounts of Gαq, and possess low abundance of Gq-heterotrimers to interact with Gq-GPCRs. To validate these observations, we examined Gγ9 translocation and PIP2 hydrolysis in these two cell types transfected with M3R, mCherry-γ9. and YFP-PH. Upon activation of M3R, both the cell lines exhibited the characteristic PIP2 hydrolysis and subsequent recovery (Fig. S6B and C, I, top). However, they did not show a detectable Gγ9 translocation (Fig. S6B and C, II, top). Interestingly, upon additionally expressing Gαq-CFP, both cell lines exhibited Gγ9 translocation on M3R activation (Fig. S6B and C, II, bottom). Further, PIP2 hydrolysis in Gαq transfected cells were irreversible, indicating lack of recovery (Fig. S6B–C, I, bottom). These data clearly show that elevated expression of Gαq/11 is physiologically relevant and characterized by distinct signaling regimes. We also observed from transcriptome data that, in addition to lung carcinoma, several breast, ovarian, and pancreatic cancer cells lines possess elevated levels of Gαq/11 mRNA, indicating a broader physiological relevance.

3.5. Elevated expression of GNAQ/11 is found in human cancer

Since endogenously elevated Gαq expression as well and Gαq overexpression result in a distinct signaling regime for Gq-pathway activation in cells, we examined GNAQ expression levels in specific tumors compared to its expression levels in normal tissues of the same patient. This investigation was further encouraged by the identification of GNAQ and 11 as cancer driver genes [60, 61]. The cancer genome atlas (TCGA) data includes over 10,000 tumors from 33 cancer types and helps to expand the current understanding of oncogenesis

[82]. Specially tumor-normal exome pairs allow us to compare the expression of GNAQ (Gαq) in human cancers compared to normal samples from the same patient. To find the contrast between gene expression in normal (blood or adjacent normal) to primary tumor tissue, we filtered data to find 669 patients (20 cancer types) where both normal and primary tumor expression data were available. Then, for each cancer type, GNAQ expression values for matched normal and primary tumor samples were used to perform a Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired observables. For each cancer type, a p-value is generated by the aforementioned test with null hypothesis being the distribution of GNAQ expression in tumors subtracted from normal samples is symmetric about the mean, while the alternative hypothesis being GNAQ expression of tumors is higher than the normal samples (Fig. 5). Moreover, to compare and visualize tumor vs normal GNAQ expression case by case, we calculated the ratio of tumor over normal expression for each patient. We found that while many cancer types like bladder urothelial carcinoma (BLCA), breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), and liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) exhibited a considerable fraction of patients having higher GNAQ expressions in tumors compared to the matched normal. Kidney chromophobe (KICH) and kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP) patients showed that a significant fraction of patients has a higher GNAQ expression in tumor compared to matched normal (p values 0.0007 and 0.0041 respectively). We also examined the matched tumor and normal expressions and their ratios for BRCA (as a control), KICH and KIRP cancer types (Fig. 5A). Matched tumor-normal expression data were available for 112, 25, and 32 patients in BRCA, KICH, and KIRP respectively (Fig. 5B). Therefore, the cancer cohort data suggest that KICH and KIRP cancer patients can be susceptible for elevated levels of Gαq mediated signaling.

4. Conclusions

Regulation of the active states of Gαq-GTP and PLCβ as well as their control of dependent pathways provide pivotal regulation of many molecular processes in cells that are crucial for cellular homeostasis and survival. Hence, the Gq-pathway is majorly implicated in debilitating and deadly diseases including diabetes, heart diseases, and cancer. Therefore, delineating processes that regulate Gαq-GTP and PLCβ signaling is not only fundamental to understand mammalian physiology, but also to develop therapeutics. In summary, our data suggest that many cell types express relatively low Gαq levels compared to other Gα types such as Gαs and generate a limited amount of Gβγ. indicated by the lack of a detectable Gβγ9 translocation upon Gq-pathway activation. In these cells, the resultant PIP2 hydrolysis was transient and cells were unable to recruit detectable levels of GRK2 to activated GPCRs on the PM. Contrarily, both Gαq transfected cells as well as cells endogenously expressing relatively higher Gαq levels exhibited robust Gβγ translocations and recovery-resistant PIP2 hydrolysis. The discovery of the GTPase activity of PLCβ for GαqGTP unveiled the faster physiological deactivation of G protein signaling [72, 83]. With the identification of GNAQ (Gαq) as a cancer driver gene and our data demonstrating Gαq-expression dependent signaling regimes indicate that the regulation of Gq-expression is another mechanism that cells employ to achieve desired signaling outcomes. Our findings may also provide molecular explanations for Gq-pathway involvement in certain cancers.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Prof. N. Gautam for cDNA for G proteins, GPCRs and sensors. We also acknowledge Dr. Deborah N. Chadee, Dr. Randall Ruch for providing cancer cell lines and Dr. Malathi Krishnamurthy for providing the access to RT-PCR. We thank National Eye Institute to provide 11-*cis*-retinal. We also thank Dr. John L. Payton, Dr. Saroopa Samaradivakara, Elise Harmon, Kasun Ratnayake, Kanishka Senarath, and Zehra Fasih for experimental assistance, discussions and comments. We acknowledge University of Toledo for funding.

Funding Statement

This work is supported by the University of Toledo.

References

1. Camps M, et al., Stimulation of phospholipase C by guanine-nucleotide-binding protein betagamma subunits. *Eur J of Biochem*, 1992 206(3): p. 821–831. [PubMed: 1606965]
2. Ikeda SR, Voltage-dependent modulation of N-type calcium channels by G-protein beta gamma subunits. *Nature*, 1996 380(6571): p. 255–8. [PubMed: 8637575]
3. Senarath K, et al., Ggamma identity dictates efficacy of Gbetagamma signaling and macrophage migration. *J Biol Chem*, 2018 293(8): p. 2974–2989. [PubMed: 29317505]
4. Clister T, Mehta S, and Zhang J, Single-cell analysis of G-protein signal transduction. *J Biol Chem*, 2015 290(11): p. 6681–8. [PubMed: 25605723]
5. Mizuno N and Itoh H, Functions and regulatory mechanisms of Gq-signaling pathways. *Neurosignals*, 2009 17(1): p. 42–54. [PubMed: 19212139]
6. Zhang L and Shi G, Gq-Coupled Receptors in Autoimmunity. *J Immunol Res*, 2016. 2016: p. 3969023.
7. Watanabe N, et al., Requirement of Galphaq/Galpha11 Signaling in the Preservation of Mouse Intestinal Epithelial Homeostasis. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 2016 2(6): p. 767–782 e6. [PubMed: 28174748]
8. Wettschureck N, Moers A, and Offermanns S, Mouse models to study G-protein-mediated signaling. *Pharmacol Ther*, 2004 101(1): p. 75–89. [PubMed: 14729394]
9. Mishra S, et al., Cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure development through Gq and CaM kinase II signaling. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*, 2010 56(6): p. 598–603. [PubMed: 20531218]
10. Zhang L and Shi G, Gq-Coupled Receptors in Autoimmunity. *J Immuno Res*, 2016. 2016: p. 3969023.
11. Huijbregts RP, Topalof L, and Bankaitis VA, Lipid metabolism and regulation of membrane trafficking. *Traffic*, 2000 1(3): p. 195–202. [PubMed: 11208102]
12. Manifava M, et al., Differential binding of traffic-related proteins to phosphatidic acid- or phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate-coupled affinity reagents. *J Biol Chem*, 2001 276(12): p. 8987–94. [PubMed: 11124268]
13. McLaughlin S, et al., Adsorption of divalent cations to bilayer membranes containing phosphatidylserine. *J Gen Physiol*, 1981 77(4): p. 445–73. [PubMed: 7241089]
14. Falke JJ and Ziemba BP, Interplay between phosphoinositide lipids and calcium signals at the leading edge of chemotaxing ameboid cells. *Chem Phys Lipids*, 2014 182: p. 73–9. [PubMed: 24451847]
15. Stahelin RV, Scott JL, and Frick CT, Cellular and molecular interactions of phosphoinositides and peripheral proteins. *Chem Phys Lipids*, 2014 182: p. 3–18. [PubMed: 24556335]
16. Nebel T, Oh SW, and Luna EJ, Membrane cytoskeleton: PIP2 pulls the strings. *Curr Biol*, 2000 10(9): p. R351–R354. [PubMed: 10801429]

17. Holowka D and Baird B, Roles for lipid heterogeneity in immunoreceptor signaling. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 2016 1861(8 Pt B): p. 830–836. [PubMed: 26995463]
18. Czech MP, PIP2 and PIP3: complex roles at the cell surface. *Cell*, 2000 100(6): p. 603–6. [PubMed: 10761925]
19. Hilgemann DW, Feng S, and Nasuhoglu C, The complex and intriguing lives of PIP2 with ion channels and transporters. *Sci STKE*, 2001. 2001(111): p. re19.
20. Niemeyer MI, et al., Phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate dynamically regulates the K2P background K(+) channel TASK-2. *Sci Rep*, 2017 7: p. 45407. [PubMed: 28358046]
21. Willars GB, Nahorski SR, and Challiss RA, Differential regulation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptor-sensitive polyphosphoinositide pools and consequences for signaling in human neuroblastoma cells. *J Biol Chem*, 1998 273(9): p. 5037–46. [PubMed: 9478953]
22. Chang CL and Liou J, Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-Bisphosphate Homeostasis Regulated by Nir2 and Nir3 Proteins at Endoplasmic Reticulum-Plasma Membrane Junctions. *J Biol Chem*, 2015 290(23): p. 14289–301. [PubMed: 25887399]
23. Berridge MJ, Inositol trisphosphate and calcium signalling. *Nature*, 1993 361(6410): p. 315–25. [PubMed: 8381210]
24. Porciello N, et al., Phosphatidylinositol 4-Phosphate 5-Kinases in the Regulation of T Cell Activation. *Front Immunol*, 2016 7: p. 186. [PubMed: 27242793]
25. Fisher SK, Homologous and heterologous regulation of receptor-stimulated phosphoinositide hydrolysis. *Eur J Pharmacol*, 1995 288(3): p. 231–50. [PubMed: 7774668]
26. Wojcikiewicz RJH, Tobin AB, and Nahorski SR, Muscarinic Receptor-Mediated Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate Formation in SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cells Is Regulated Acutely by Cytosolic Ca²⁺ and by Rapid Desensitization. *J Neurochem*, 1994 63(1): p. 177–185. [PubMed: 8207426]
27. Kienitz MC, et al., Receptor Species-dependent Desensitization Controls KCNQ1/KCNE1 K⁺ Channels as Downstream Effectors of Gq Protein-coupled Receptors. *J Biol Chem*, 2016 291(51): p. 26410–26426. [PubMed: 27834678]
28. Berridge MJ, Lipp P, and Bootman MD, The versatility and universality of calcium signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 2000 1(1): p. 11–21. [PubMed: 11413485]
29. Kania E, et al., IP3 Receptor-Mediated Calcium Signaling and Its Role in Autophagy in Cancer. *Front Oncol*, 2017 7: p. 140. [PubMed: 28725634]
30. Veldurthy V, et al., Vitamin D, calcium homeostasis and aging. *Bone Res*, 2016 4: p. 16041. [PubMed: 27790378]
31. Rapaka D, et al., Calcium regulation and Alzheimer's disease. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis*, 2014 4: p. S513–S518.
32. Kishida KT and Klann E, Sources and targets of reactive oxygen species in synaptic plasticity and memory. *Antioxid Redox Signal*, 2007 9(2): p. 233–44. [PubMed: 17115936]
33. Valko M, et al., Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*, 2007 39(1): p. 44–84. [PubMed: 16978905]
34. Gorlach A, et al., Calcium and ROS: A mutual interplay. *Redox Biol*, 2015 6: p. 260–71. [PubMed: 26296072]
35. Charnogursky G, Lee H, and Lopez N, Chapter 51 - Diabetic neuropathy, in *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, Biller J and Ferro JM, Editors. 2014, Elsevier p. 773–785.
36. Hains AB, et al., Inhibition of protein kinase C signaling protects prefrontal cortex dendritic spines and cognition from the effects of chronic stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2009 106(42): p. 17957–62. [PubMed: 19805148]
37. Abrams P, et al., Muscarinic receptors: their distribution and function in body systems, and the implications for treating overactive bladder. *Br J Pharmacol*, 2006 148(5): p. 565–78. [PubMed: 16751797]
38. Birnbaum SG, et al., Protein kinase C overactivity impairs prefrontal cortical regulation of working memory. *Science*, 2004 306(5697): p. 882–4. [PubMed: 15514161]
39. Liu Y, et al., PKC β inhibition with ruboxistaurin reduces oxidative stress and attenuates left ventricular hypertrophy and dysfunction in rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes. *Clin Sci (Lond)*, 2012 122(4): p. 161–73. [PubMed: 21892921]

40. Way KJ, et al., Expression of Connective Tissue Growth Factor Is Increased in Injured Myocardium Associated With Protein Kinase C 2 Activation and Diabetes. *Diabetes*, 2002 51(9): p. 2709–2718. [PubMed: 12196463]
41. Digby GJ, et al., Some G protein heterotrimers physically dissociate in living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2006 103(47): p. 17789–94. [PubMed: 17095603]
42. Lambert NA, Dissociation of heterotrimeric G proteins in cells. *Sci Signal*, 2008 1(25): p. re5. [PubMed: 18577758]
43. Ajith Karunarathne WK, et al., All G protein betagamma complexes are capable of translocation on receptor activation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 2012 421(3): p. 605–11. [PubMed: 22538369]
44. Levitzki A and Klein S, G-protein subunit dissociation is not an integral part of G-protein action. *Chembiochem*, 2002 3(9): p. 815–8. [PubMed: 12210980]
45. Rebois RV, Warner DR, and Basi NS, Does Subunit Dissociation Necessarily Accompany the Activation of All Heterotrimeric G Proteins? *Cell Signal*, 1997 9(2): p. 141–151. [PubMed: 9113413]
46. Bunemann M, Frank M, and Lohse MJ, Gi protein activation in intact cells involves subunit rearrangement rather than dissociation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2003 100(26): p. 16077–82. [PubMed: 14673086]
47. Gales C, et al., Real-time monitoring of receptor and G-protein interactions in living cells. *Nat Methods*, 2005 2(3): p. 177–84. [PubMed: 15782186]
48. Kolsch V, Charest PG, and Firtel RA, The regulation of cell motility and chemotaxis by phospholipid signaling. *J Cell Sci*, 2008 121(Pt 5): p. 551–9. [PubMed: 18287584]
49. Tang WJ and Gilman AG, Type-specific regulation of adenylyl cyclase by G protein beta gamma subunits. *Science*, 1991 254(5037): p. 1500–3. [PubMed: 1962211]
50. Sunahara RK and Taussig R, Isoforms of mammalian adenylyl cyclase: multiplicities of signaling. *Mol Interv*, 2002 2(3): p. 168–84. [PubMed: 14993377]
51. Herlitze S, et al., Modulation of Ca²⁺ channels by G-protein beta gamma subunits. *Nature*, 1996 380(6571): p. 258–62. [PubMed: 8637576]
52. Kawano T, et al., Importance of the G protein gamma subunit in activating G protein-coupled inward rectifier K(+) channels. *FEBS Lett*, 1999 463(3): p. 355–9. [PubMed: 10606753]
53. Nakajima Y, Nakajima S, and Kozasa T, Activation of G protein-coupled inward rectifier K⁺ channels in brain neurons requires association of G protein beta gamma subunits with cell membrane. *FEBS Lett*, 1996 390(2): p. 217–20. [PubMed: 8706863]
54. Pitcher JA, et al., Role of beta gamma subunits of G proteins in targeting the beta-adrenergic receptor kinase to membrane-bound receptors. *Science*, 1992 257(5074): p. 1264–7. [PubMed: 1325672]
55. Ueda H, et al., Heterotrimeric G protein betagamma subunits stimulate FLJ00018, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1 and Cdc42. *J Biol Chem*, 2008 283(4): p. 1946–53. [PubMed: 18045877]
56. Niu J, et al., G Protein betagamma subunits stimulate p114RhoGEF, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for RhoA and Rac1: regulation of cell shape and reactive oxygen species production. *Circ Res*, 2003 93(9): p. 848–56. [PubMed: 14512443]
57. Mayeenuddin LH, McIntire WE, and Garrison JC, Differential sensitivity of P-Rex1 to isoforms of G protein betagamma dimers. *J Biol Chem*, 2006 281(4): p. 1913–20. [PubMed: 16301321]
58. Senarath K, et al., Reversible G Protein betagamma9 Distribution-Based Assay Reveals Molecular Underpinnings in Subcellular, Single-Cell, and Multicellular GPCR and G Protein Activity. *Anal Chem*, 2016 88(23): p. 11450–11459. [PubMed: 27778511]
59. Neves SR, Ram PT, and Iyengar R, G protein pathways. *Science*, 2002 296(5573): p. 1636–9. [PubMed: 12040175]
60. Bailey MH, et al., Comprehensive Characterization of Cancer Driver Genes and Mutations. *Cell*, 2018 173(2): p. 371–385 e18. [PubMed: 29625053]
61. Ding L, et al., Perspective on Oncogenic Processes at the End of the Beginning of Cancer Genomics. *Cell*, 2018 173(2): p. 305–320 e10. [PubMed: 29625049]

62. Chisari M, et al., G protein subunit dissociation and translocation regulate cellular response to receptor stimulation. *PLoS One*, 2009 4(11): p. e7797. [PubMed: 19936219]
63. Kankanamge D, et al., Melanopsin (Opn4) utilizes Galphai and Gbetagamma as major signal transducers. *J Cell Sci*, 2018 131(11).
64. Samaradivakara S, et al., G protein gamma (Ggamma) subtype dependent targeting of GRK2 to M3 receptor by Gbetagamma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 2018 503(1): p. 165–170. [PubMed: 29864421]
65. Krumins AM and Gilman AG, Targeted knockdown of G protein subunits selectively prevents receptor-mediated modulation of effectors and reveals complex changes in non-targeted signaling proteins. *J Biol Chem*, 2006 281(15): p. 10250–62. [PubMed: 16446365]
66. Siripurapu P, et al., Two independent but synchronized Gbetagamma subunit-controlled pathways are essential for trailing-edge retraction during macrophage migration. *J Biol Chem*, 2017 292(42): p. 17482–17495. [PubMed: 28864771]
67. Colaprico A, et al., TCGAbiolinks: an R/Bioconductor package for integrative analysis of TCGA data. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2016 44(8): p. e71. [PubMed: 26704973]
68. Wang K, et al., MapSplice: accurate mapping of RNA-seq reads for splice junction discovery. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2010 38(18): p. e178. [PubMed: 20802226]
69. Rahman M, et al., Alternative preprocessing of RNA-Sequencing data in The Cancer Genome Atlas leads to improved analysis results. *Bioinformatics*, 2015 31(22): p. 3666–72. [PubMed: 26209429]
70. Li B and Dewey CN, RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 2011 12(1): p. 323. [PubMed: 21816040]
71. Mukhopadhyay S and Ross EM, Rapid GTP binding and hydrolysis by G(q) promoted by receptor and GTPase-activating proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 1999 96(17): p. 9539–44. [PubMed: 10449728]
72. Navaratnarajah P, Gershenson A, and Ross EM, The binding of activated Galphaq to phospholipase C-beta exhibits anomalous affinity. *J Biol Chem*, 2017 292(40): p. 16787–16801. [PubMed: 28842497]
73. Takasaki J, et al., A novel Galphaq/11-selective inhibitor. *J Biol Chem*, 2004 279(46): p. 47438–45. [PubMed: 15339913]
74. Billups D, et al., Modulation of Gq-protein-coupled inositol trisphosphate and Ca²⁺ signaling by the membrane potential. *J Neurosci*, 2006 26(39): p. 9983–95. [PubMed: 17005862]
75. Toth JT, et al., BRET-monitoring of the dynamic changes of inositol lipid pools in living cells reveals a PKC-dependent PtdIns4P increase upon EGF and M3 receptor activation. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 2016 1861(3): p. 177–87. [PubMed: 26692031]
76. Magalhaes AC, Dunn H, and Ferguson SS, Regulation of GPCR activity, trafficking and localization by GPCR-interacting proteins. *Br J Pharmacol*, 2012 165(6): p. 1717–1736. [PubMed: 21699508]
77. Rainbow RD, et al., Small-Molecule G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase Inhibitors Attenuate G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 2-Mediated Desensitization of Vasoconstrictor-Induced Arterial Contractions. *Mol Pharmacol*, 2018 94(3): p. 1079–1091. [PubMed: 29980659]
78. Cahill TJ 3rd, et al., Distinct conformations of GPCR-beta-arrestin complexes mediate desensitization, signaling, and endocytosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2017 114(10): p. 2562–2567. [PubMed: 28223524]
79. Wolters V, et al., Influence of galphaq on the dynamics of m3-acetylcholine receptor-g-protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 interaction. *Mol Pharmacol*, 2015 87(1): p. 9–17. [PubMed: 25316767]
80. Eichmann T, et al., The amino-terminal domain of G-protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 is a regulatory Gbeta gamma binding site. *J Biol Chem*, 2003 278(10): p. 8052–7. [PubMed: 12486133]
81. Jafferjee M, et al., GRK2 Up-Regulation Creates a Positive Feedback Loop for Catecholamine Production in Chromaffin Cells. *Mol Endocrinol*, 2016 30(3): p. 372–81. [PubMed: 26849467]
82. Ding L, et al., Perspective on Oncogenic Processes at the End of the Beginning of Cancer Genomics. *Cell*, 2018 173(2): p. 305–320.e10. [PubMed: 29625049]

83. Berstein G, et al., Phospholipase C-beta 1 is a GTPase-activating protein for Gq/11, its physiologic regulator. *Cell*, 1992 70(3): p. 411–8. [PubMed: 1322796]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

- Most cell types express relatively lower amounts of Gα_q compared and is characterized by the lack of a detectable Gβγ translocation upon corresponding Gq-GPCR activation.
- Lower endogenous Gα_q-expressing cells exhibit Gβγ translocation and distinct signaling regimes upon Gα_q transfection.
- Some cell types endogenously express relatively higher levels of Gα_q and possess distinct Gq-pathway signaling.
- Gα_q expression-dependent signaling is important since GNAQ/11 is a cancer driver gene in breast and kidney cancers

Fig. 1: Compared to robust-G $\beta\gamma$ trails location exhibiting Gi/o-GPCRs, Gq-GPCR activation results in a limited G $\beta\gamma$ generation.

(A) HeLa cells exhibited profound mCherry- $\gamma 9$ translocations upon activation of $\alpha 2$ -AR-CFP (with 50 μ M norepinephrine) as well as CXCR4-GFP (with 50 ng/mL SDF-1 α). Corresponding plots show the extent of G $\gamma 9$ translocation measured using fluorescence change in IMs (white arrows). (B) HeLa cells expressing M3R, GFP-PH, and mCherry- $\gamma 9$ failed to show G $\gamma 9$ translocation upon M3R activation with 10 μ M carbachol although cells did exhibit the characteristic Gq-mediated PIP2 hydrolysis. (C) In a similar experiment to B,

after ~5 min of carbachol addition, cells were treated with 50 μ M norepinephrine, and cells exhibited G γ 9 translocation. **(D)** Normalized mRNA expression of GNAI+O and GNAQ+11 in HeLa cells: Values were normalized to α -tubulin **(E)** HeLa cells expressing G α q-CFP, mCherry- γ 9 with either M3R or GRPR showed characteristic G γ 9 translocation upon addition of their respective ligand. **(F)** In a similar experiment to **E**, ~200 s after M3R activation, addition of 1 μ M Gq inhibitor, YM-254890 immediately reversed the G γ 9 translocation and PIP2 hydrolysis, restoring their distribution to pre-activation conditions. **(G)** HeLa cells expressing Opn4-YFP, G α q-CFP, and mCherry- γ 9 showed a profound G γ 9 translocation upon activation by adding 50 μ M 11-*cis* retinal while imaging. HeLa cells expressing Opn4-YFP, G α q-CFP, and mCherry- γ 9 additionally treated with 50 ng/mL PTx showed G γ 9 translocation upon Opn4 activation. When cells were treated with 1 μ M YM-254890, still G γ 9 translocation was observed, however to a lesser extent. HeLa cells expressing mCherry- γ 9 treated with PTx failed to induce G γ 9 translocation, α 2-AR activation suggesting that PTx treatment completely inhibits Gi-pathway activation. Corresponding plot shows fluorescence intensity changes in IMs (mCh- γ 9) PM (yellow arrows) and IMs (white arrows). Scale bar :10 μ m. Average curves plotted using n = 10 cells from 3 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM.

Fig. 2: Gaq transfection HeLa cells exhibited distinct signaling compared to cells with endogenous Gaq.

Gaq expressing cells showed irreversible PIP2 hydrolysis after (A) M3R as well as (B) GRPR activation while cells with endogenous Gaq showed a PIP2 hydrolysis which was followed by complete recovery of PIP2 within minutes. (C, D) Gaq transfection cells exhibited an irreversible PKC-recruitment (PKCδ-GFP) to the PM while cells with endogenous Gaq-cells showed a complete return of PKCδ to the cytosol. (E) Gaq transfection HeLa cells exhibited M3R activation induced GRK2 recruitment to the PM while GRK2-YFP remained in the cytosol in control cells lacking Gaq transfection. (F) HeLa cells expressing α2-AR-CFP and GRK2-YFP showed robust GRK2 recruitment to PM upon addition of 50 μM norepinephrine while cells incubated with 10 μM gallein showed a significant reduction in GRK2 recruitment to the PM. (G) HeLa cells expressing M3R, αq-CFP, and GRK2-YFP additionally treated with 10 μM gallein, exhibited reduced GRK2

recruitment upon M3R activation compared to control HeLa cells (no gallein). Note: Scale bar 10 μm . Average curves plotted using n = 10 cells from 3 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 3: Knockdown of Gas reduces Gs-pathway induced Gβγ translocation.

(A) Western blot quantification showed the level of Gas expression in HeLa cells is significantly higher than Gαq. Gas and Gαq expression level data normalized to α-tubulin. (Error bars=SD, n=3 independent experiments, p<0.05). (B) HeLa cells transfected with β1-AR-CFP, mCherry-γ9 and control siRNA, exhibited a profound Gγ9 translocation upon addition of 20 μM isoproterenol. HeLa cells transfected with siRNA for Gas only exhibited ~30% of the Gγ9 translocation compared to control siRNA cells. Suggesting the specificity of Gas siRNA, cells were then treated with 50 μM norepinephrine (at 600 s) to activate endogenous α2-AR, cells exhibited a robust Gγ9 translocation. Corresponding plots show

the fluorescence intensity change in IMs (mCh- γ 9). Note: PM (yellow arrows) and IMs (white arrows). Scale bar :10 μ m. Average curves plotted using n = 10 cells from 3 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 4: NCI-H125 lung carcinoma cells endogenously expresses higher Gαq levels compared to MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and also exhibited distinct Gq-signaling.

(A) Real time PCR data showed higher Gαq/11 in NCI-H125 compared to MDA-MB231. (Error bars=SD, n=3 independent experiments). (B) Western blot analysis showed a higher expression of Gαq in NCI-H125 compared to MDA-MB 231. Protein expression levels normalized to α-tubulin. (Error bars=SD, n=3 independent experiments, P<0.05). MDA-MB231 transfected with M3R (untagged), mCherry-γ9. and YFP-PH – with and without αq-CFP, were subsequently examined for M3R activation induced, (C) PIP2 hydrolysis and (D) Gγ9 translocation. Corresponding plots exhibit the dynamics of PIP2 hydrolysis and Gγ9 translocation. (E) PIP2 hydrolysis and (F) Gγ9 translocation were examined in NCI-H125 cells under conditions with and without αq-CFP transfection upon M3 activation. Corresponding plots exhibit PIP2 hydrolysis and Gγ9 translocation dynamics. Note: Gγ9

translocation from the PM (yellow arrows) to IMs (white arrows). Average curves plotted using n = 10 cells from 3 independent experiments. Error bars: SEM. Scale bar: 10 μ m.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 5. A: Elevated GNAQ gene expression in tumor samples compared to normal samples from patients with BRCA (control), KICH and KIRP cancer types.

Matched tumor-normal expression data for 112 BRCA, 25 KICH and 32 KIRP patients were extracted from TCGA. Violin plots in the background depict the overall distributions of the GNAQ expression of all the matched tumor and normal samples. Each dot represents the expression value of either a tumor or normal sample. Box plots show the separation of tumor samples from normal samples. In general, GNAQ expression is slightly higher in normal samples of BRCA (used as control) patients, however, patients in KICH and KIRP cohorts show higher GNAQ expression in tumor than in normal samples. This might be an indication of the importance of GNAQ abundance in kidney cancers. **(B)** Ratio of GNAQ expression in tumor over the matched normal samples in BRCA, KICH, and KIRP. Out of 112, 25, and 32 total number of patients, 27(24%), 19(76%), and 24(75%) patients showed higher GNAQ expression in tumor samples than the matched normal in BRCA, KICH, and KIRP respectively.