Published in final edited form as:

Clin Plasma Med. 2018 December ; 12: 10–16. doi:10.1016/j.cpme.2018.09.002.

Surface Treatment with Non-thermal Humid Argon Plasma as a Treatment for Allergic Contact Dermatitis in a Mouse Model

Qing Xiong^{1,*}, Xia Wang¹, Rui Yin^{2,*}, Lin Xiong¹, Qiang Chen³, Meng-Xue Zheng², Le Xu¹, Qing-Hua Huang¹, and Michael R Hamblin^{4,5,6}

¹State Key Laboratory of Power Transmission Equipment & System Security and New Technology, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China

²Department of Dermatology, Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing 400038, China

³Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Plasma and Magnetic Resonance, Department of Electronic Science, Institute of Electromagnetics and Acoustics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

⁴Wellman Center for Photomedicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, United States

⁵Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, United States

⁶Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Abstract

Cold plasma generated at atmospheric pressure has attracted intense interest in biomedical applications, particularly as an antimicrobial treatment. Here we report the therapeutic effect of humidified cold argon plasma on allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) in a mouse model. Treatment was carried out with different gas compositions: argon gas containing small amounts of either N₂, O₂, or H₂O. The best effect was obtained using humid plasma (H₂O addition), where the ACD symptoms decreased after one or two 1-min plasma treatments. Even for severe ACD with ulcers and crust formation, the humid plasma-treated mice recovered faster than the control group. Histopathological analysis by H&E-staining showed enhanced epithelialization with formation of collagen and hair follicles in the affected skin after humid plasma exposure. The therapeutic ability of the humid argon plasma discharge was proposed to be induced by reactive oxygen species (H_xO_y) transported from the discharge zone, which are adhesive and accumulate on the skin surface, penetrating the subcutis to eliminate inflammation. However, in treatments using plasma

***Correspondence:** Qing Xiong, State Key Laboratory of Power Transmission Equipment & System Security and New Technology, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China. qingxiong@gmail.com. Yin Rui, Department of Dermatology, Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing 400038, China. swyinrui@163.com.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Qing Xiong, Xia Wang, and Rui Yin designed and supervised the experimental research; Lin Xiong, Qiang Chen, Meng-Xue Zheng, Le Xu, Qing-Hua Huang, performed the experiments; Qing Xiong and Michael R Hamblin wrote the paper.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest.

with addition of oxygen or nitrogen (without water) the active gaseous species are blocked due to poor adhesion to and penetration into the dry ACD skin, with correspondingly poor treatment effects. The enhanced *in vivo* healing in ACD mice indicate the non-thermal humid plasma could be a potential alternative approach for therapy of ACD and other inflammatory skin diseases.

Keywords

allergic contact dermatitis; cold atmospheric-pressure argon plasma; humidified plasma; mouse model; reactive oxygen species

1 | INTRODUCTION

Cold plasma discharge generated at atmospheric pressure has attracted wide interest in a range of different healthcare applications, and its medical use has been termed “plasma medicine”.^{1–3} Various reactive species are produced within gaseous plasmas such as: free electrons and ions, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxygen free radicals, ultraviolet radiation (including vacuum UV) and possibly ozone and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). These reactive species have been reported to carry out bacterial inactivation, blood coagulation, trigger cancer-cell apoptosis, and disrupt mammalian-cell adhesion.^{4–8} Proposed medical applications have been proposed in areas such as hospital hygiene, dentistry, wound care, antifungal treatment, anti-cancer treatment, and possible applications to dermatology for various skin disorders.^{9–12}

The most important application of cold plasma so far, has been microbial inactivation and ROS/RNS have been shown to be the key factors for this biological effect.⁴ Although the underlying mechanisms are still not clear, the antimicrobial efficacy is strongly dependent on the type of microorganism, on the environment where the microorganisms are growing, and how the plasma is produced.^{4,13} Typically, plasma reacts with the biological substances with which it first comes into contact. In a comparable manner to UV light or antimicrobial blue light, plasma does not penetrate very deeply into tissue, and so can be considered a surface decontamination modality.^{12,14,15} This means that the physical and chemical properties of the media the plasma comes into contact with have a significant impact on its treatment efficacy.¹⁶ On the other hand, this surface treatment effect suggests that plasma could be useful in dermatology, as plasma could directly inactivate skin pathogens and stimulate wound healing.^{11,12} It has been shown in pre-clinical studies that different bacteria and fungi can be inactivated efficiently in infected wound animal models.^{9,10,17,18} However, studies of cold plasma in dermatology, and as a possible therapy for non-infectious skin diseases, including burn and wound healing, psoriasis, and contact allergic dermatitis *etc.*, are still quite scarce.^{12,19,20}

Contact dermatitis is a common inflammatory disease of the skin with two different manifestations.²¹ Perhaps the most common type is irritant dermatitis caused by coming into contact with acids, alkaline materials such as soaps and detergents, fabric softeners, solvents, or other chemicals. This skin reaction most often looks like a burn. The second type is allergic contact dermatitis (ACD). This requires two separate steps, the first being a sensitization step in which a small molecule called a “hapten” penetrates the skin, binds to a

skin protein and is recognized as foreign by the adaptive immune system. If a second exposure (elicitation step) to this hapten (or allergen) occurs, then an inflammatory reaction follows, predominantly mediated by T helper cells.²¹

ACD severely influences the quality of life of sufferers, due to its complex pathogenesis and tendency to relapse.¹¹ Conventional treatment consists of avoiding triggers, using moisturizers in cream, lotion, or ointment form, and in severe cases the use of topical or even systemic corticosteroids.²²

The present study reports the treatment of ACD *in vivo*, using a non-thermal plasma source in a mouse model. Different gas compositions of the plasma were investigated. The best therapeutic results were achieved by humid plasma treatment, where obvious improvement was seen after once or two exposures. For severe ACD, mice recovered faster with enhanced skin regeneration compared to the control group.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

The plasma source used for ACD treatments was a single floating-electrode dielectric barrier discharge driven by a home-made pulse generator. As shown in Figure 1(a), it consisted of an open-ended wire as the high-voltage electrode inserted into a close-ended quartz tube ($D_{in}=8$ mm and $D_{out}=10$ mm). These parts were centered within another quartz tube ($D_{in}=10$ mm, $D_{out}=14$ mm). The distance between the ends of the two tubes was set at 1 mm. Argon mixed with 1% N₂, 1% O₂, or 0.5% H₂O was introduced as a discharge gas to produce various types of plasma species for the dermatitis treatment. The water vapour was introduced by flowing an argon gas channel through a bubbler filled with distilled water (120 mL, height 90 mm). The absolute humidity was tracked by a moisture monitor (LY60P-2X, Fatai Ltd., China). All the gas flows were monitored by a mass flow controller (247D, MKS Instruments Ltd., USA), and the total flow rate was set at 4 standard liter per minute (slm). The outputs of the pulse generator were set at 15 kV of the voltage peak, 100 ns of the pulse width, and 1.0 kHz of the pulse frequency. An 1.0 k Ω resistor was used to limit the discharge current flowing through the treated mouse. Applied voltage and discharge current were monitored by a Tektronix P6015 probe and a Pearson 2877 monitor, respectively.

The model of ACD on the mouse back used in this work was produced by applying a solution of 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB). DNFB is a typical of chemical allergen often used to induce ACD in animal models for study of ACD therapies²¹. All the animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines and the ethical standards of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Third Military Medical University (Chongqing). First, 6–8 weeks old male Balb/c mice (Center of Animal Laboratory, Third Military Medical University, China) were obtained, with body weight around 20 g. The mice were housed for one week, and then were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 200 μ L 3.6% chloral hydrate per mouse to allow shaving the abdomen and back. The sensitization step was subsequently performed by uniformly spreading 25 μ L 0.5% DNFB solution (prepared by dissolving 5 mg DNFB in 1 mL of a fresh mixture of 4:1 acetone and olive oil) onto the hairless skin region (2 $\times$ 2 cm²) of the mouse abdomen. Then the mice were housed

another 5 days. After that anesthetization was performed again for the elicitation step of the dermatitis by spreading a specified volume of 0.2% DNFB solution onto the hairless back region ($2.5 \times 3.5 \text{ cm}^2$) of each mouse. In the present study we used three different volumes (20 μL , 30 μL , and 50 μL) of DNFB solution as an elicitation to induce ACD with different degrees of severity defined as mild ACD (20 μL), moderate ACD (30 μL), and severe ACD (50 μL).

Two days after the elicitation step, the mice were examined and the skin on the mouse back displayed symptoms of erythema, papulae, blisters and even necrosis (as shown in Figure S1), the ACD model was considered ready for plasma treatment.

The dermatitis area on the mouse back was divided equally into six regions (as shown in Figure S1) and for each region a separate 1-min plasma exposure was performed. The mouse was laid on a grounded-and-movable metal holder during treatment. Except for the control group, all the mice were subjected to 4 treatments (once/day) from day 0 to day 3. The ACD regions were always photographed before treatment. For each experimental condition three mice were treated in each group.

In order to obtain experimental proof of the mechanism, we carried out an experiment with chemiluminescence produced by luminol added to the mouse skin after treatment by the Ar+0.5% H_2O and Ar+1% O_2 discharges. Luminol is an organic compound sensitive to oxidation by such species as hydrogen peroxide or singlet oxygen whereupon it emits blue chemiluminescence ($\sim 425 \text{ nm}$) and has been employed for determination of oxidants or metal ions (like Fe^{2+}).^{23,24} Luminol solution (0.56 mM in Tricine buffer, pH=9.2) containing 5 mM/L allantoin and horseradish peroxidase ($> 200 \text{ U/mL}$) was prepared to determine the H_2O_2 concentration.²⁴ 20 μL luminol solution was dropped individually onto the treated areas immediately after the plasma exposure. Pictures were captured by a Nikon D7000 SL camera with a macro-lens (Nikon 105/2.8G).

3 | RESULTS

Figure 2 shows representative photographs of the progress of the ACD lesions on the mouse back from day 0 to day 6 after plasma exposure under various gas mixture conditions. In the control group without any plasma treatment, the ACD symptoms started to disappear after 3 days (day 3) and were almost completely gone on day 6 with only local slight scaliness remaining on the skin. On the other hand, the plasma irradiated groups under different gas compositions, showed different healing effects. Groups A and B treated by pure Ar and Ar+1% O_2 discharges showed similar healing to the control group. However, adverse treatment effects were observed for the ACD mice after exposure to Ar+1% N_2 and Ar+1% N_2 +1% O_2 plasmas, as evidenced by the fact that obvious regions of scaliness still existed on the skin at day 6. These scaly regions almost disappeared after two more days. The best therapeutic effect was obtained in group E treated by the Ar+0.5% H_2O discharge. The ACD symptoms were obviously better on day 1 after only one plasma exposure. The skin appeared to be smooth without edema and any ulcers started to heal. On day 6 mice had recovered with complete ulcer healing and the skin appeared normal coloured without any scaliness.

The UI characteristics were measured and compared to exclude any effects of electrical current flowing on the ACD treatment using different gas-mixture plasmas. The data showed the applied voltage remained constant in Figure 1(b), but the discharge current varied slightly with different gas compositions (as shown in Figure S2). Maximum or minimum discharge currents were obtained with Ar+1%N₂ or Ar+1%O₂ plasmas, with peaks of 596 mA and 500 mA respectively. The current peak was 580 mA for the humid plasma with 0.5% water vapour, slightly lower than that with the Ar+1%N₂. Taking into account the treatment efficiencies illustrated in Figure 2, this data suggests the impact of the flowing current through the treated mouse on the ACD healing was not critical. The negligible effects of electrical field or flowing current have been reported as well by others who used plasma to treat mice or even human patients.^{11,25}

Based on the above encouraging preliminary results, further *in vivo* studies were carried out on mice with more severe ACD disease conditions produced by increasing the amount of the DNFB allergen applied in the elicitation step. These studies were carried out using only the humid argon plasma discharge with another control group consisting of the humid gas flow (GF) alone (with the plasma generation switched off) to assess the effect of humid argon gas on the ACD progression. The corresponding skin images and H&E-staining patterns are presented in Figures 3 and 4 for the moderate-degree (30 uL DNFB) and severe-degree (50 uL DNFB) ACD mice respectively. As illustrated for the moderate-degree ACD, a similar therapeutic effect was observed on day 1 after only one plasma treatment. Necrotic tissue fell off mostly on day 1 and any ulcers disappeared on day 4, faster than the GF and NT groups. On day 8 the mouse back grew new hair in the affected region of the plasma-treated (PT) group. The H&E-stained images showed abundant hair follicles that had regenerated in the subcutaneous tissue by day 6. The edematous epidermis reduced in thickness to become similar to normal unaffected skin. These observations indicate the inflammation had disappeared by day 6 and ACD was cured in the plasma treated mice. In the GF and NT groups the ACD symptoms had largely been reduced by day 8, but still slight scaliness remained on the skin. The H&E-staining analysis showed the epidermis was still edematous in the GF group on day 6, and no formation of hair follicles was seen in the NT group.

It appeared that the humid gas flow alone had a slight beneficial effect on the healing of ACD lesions compared to the NT group, as some not-fully formed hair follicles were observed in the subcutis. However, compared to the plasma treatment, the humid gas flow alone was clearly inferior for ACD treatment.

With increased severity of the ACD it was observed that the plasma-stimulated healing phase was prolonged. As shown in Figure 4, the severe-degree ACD mice took 11 days for the dermatitis symptoms to be mostly relieved for the PT group, much longer than for the mild and medium conditions. However, plasma treatment still produced enhanced healing effects on the severe ACD compared to the control and GF groups. On day 11 the latter two groups still displayed obvious necrosis, particularly the NT group. The humid gas flow alone showed a slight benefit to enhance the closure of the severe ulcer on the mouse back, as compared to the untreated group. The necrotic tissue was shed after three more days for the gas-flow treated mouse, while one more week was required for the NT group.

The thick necrotic tissue formed on the skin in the ACD lesions was considered to play an obstructive role in the healing process even after 4-days (day 0 to day 3) of repeated plasma exposures. As shown in Figure 4 in all the three groups, necrosis fully or mostly covered the dermatitis lesion in the first 4 days. This dry and hard necrotic tissue significantly prevented the penetration of reactive species (and water molecules) from the gaseous discharge to the skin, not to mention the subcutis. As illustrated by H&E-stained images, severe inflammation still existed in the subcutis on day 3. The necrosis started to partly drop off on day 5, and subsequently on day 8 the plasma-treated mice showed good healing of the ulcer area. In addition to the regenerated epidermis, abundant hair follicles and new collagen bundles were observed on day 11 as illustrated by the H&E-stained images. By contrast the untreated mice still showed inflammation in the subcutis, with thick edematous epidermis. Similar slight improvement in ACD healing was observed using the humid gas flow alone. On day 11 the ulcer healed and inflammation in the subcutis had almost disappeared in the GF group. However, after plasma exposure the ACD mice were cured with much better regenerated skin (normal coloured and smooth) as shown in Figure 4.

A mechanistic study was carried to detect ROS on the mouse back using a luminol chemiluminescence reaction. Quite weak but still observable blue chemiluminescence was observed on the Ar+0.5% H₂O plasma treated skin but not on the Ar+1% O₂ plasma treated skin as shown in Figure S3. The chemiluminescence signal was much more obvious in the dark. This showed that H₂O₂ and other oxidative species were accumulated on the skin surface after 5 minute plasma exposure. If the observed chemiluminescence is assumed to be induced by H₂O₂ only, then it was equivalent to a concentration of H₂O₂ of the order of 100 µM, as calibrated by standard H₂O₂ solutions.

4 | DISCUSSION

The enhanced healing effects induced by plasma exposures can be attributed to the reactive species transported from the discharge onto the ACD skin. Various reactive species including singlet oxygen (¹O₂) and ozone (O₃), reactive nitrogen species or nitrogen oxides N_xO_y (NO, NO₂, N₂O₃, *etc.*), and OH radicals are typically produced if gas admixtures of O₂, N₂, N₂+O₂, H₂O are added to the argon discharge.^{4,7,13} For instance Thi *et al* reported that the high NO content in a cold N₂/Ar microplasma stimulated angiogenesis and epithelialization and enhanced the healing of burn wounds in mice.¹⁸ Different treatment effects on ACD in mice were observed here using an argon discharge with different gas impurities. Enhanced skin restoration and regeneration was only achieved by humid plasma-exposure. The presence of moisture can be regarded as an important factor in the plasma treatment; on the one hand it can stimulate the production of reactive H_xO_y species (OH radicals, HO₂, H₂O₂, *etc.*),²⁶ and on the other hand it can assist the ability of these reactive species to permeate the affected skin and decrease the inflammation within the skin. Furthermore, water molecules can accumulate on the surface of the skin relieving dehydration caused by the AD.^{21,22} However, the beneficial effects of moisturization alone are small compared to that of the humid plasma treatment. In the case of plasma treatment, reactive H_xO_y species are likely to be crucial agents resulting in the enhanced healing effects in the ACD mouse model. These active species are typically strong oxidants such as OH radicals that can modulate the T cells and regulate the immune response to decrease the

release of inflammatory cytokines particularly those in the interleukin (IL) family.²¹ As a result, the unbalanced immune system in the mouse is regulated and the inflammation is relieved, finally promoting the healing of the dermatitis lesions.²⁷ The therapeutic mechanisms induced by reactive H_xO_y species have been studied in detail in other reports in the field of plasma biomedicine.^{4,6,7,13,28}

The reactive oxygen or nitrogen species (RONS) produced in other gas-mixture discharges (without the addition of water) do not adhere and do not easily accumulate on the dry skin. Furthermore, the dry necrotic nature of the ACD lesions can act like a permeability barrier blocking these gaseous RONS from passing through the stratum corneum. These active agents are not able to permeate the subcutis to stimulate the immune responses in the same way as the H_xO_y species in humid plasma exposure. Therefore, unsatisfactory treatment effects were observed, as shown in Figure 2.

The presence of H_2O_2 shown by chemiluminescence indirectly implies that other H_xO_y species like OH and HO_2 radicals could be expected to be formed in the plasma, as these species are important intermediates in the generation of H_2O_2 .²⁹ These H_xO_y species may permeate the dry skin and diffuse into the subcutaneous inflammation region during or/and after plasma exposures, and stimulate remission of inflammation.^{14,30,31}

On the other hand, however, no chemiluminescence signal was detected from the region treated by Ar+1% O_2 discharge, which demonstrates that almost no ROS (including singlet oxygen and ozone) were accumulated on the skin. This supports the above hypothesis that during the dry plasma exposures that contained O_2 , N_2 , or O_2+N_2 impurities, poorly-adherent RONS were blocked from the dry skin and were not able to heal the ACD lesions.

In conclusion, the use of a cold argon plasma discharge with various gas impurities was investigated for treatment of ACD in a mouse model. Enhanced therapeutic efficacy against ACD was only obtained if water molecules were admixed in the discharge, and were effective even for severe ACD. The dermatitis symptoms were significantly relieved after a single humid plasma exposure for mild and moderate ACD lesions. For the severe-degree ACD (with ulcers) the healing phase was prolonged, but the mice still recovered at least one week earlier compared to the untreated group. Further study indicated the unsatisfactory effect of admixtures of oxygen or nitrogen could be attributed to poor adhesion and penetration of dry gaseous RONS into the dry skin. Addition of water vapor improved the wettability of the skin and promoted the accumulation and diffusion of reactive H_xO_y species into the subcutis, finally decreasing inflammation and encouraging healing. These preliminary results suggest that humidified atmospheric-pressure cold plasma could be a promising therapeutic alternative in dermatology to treat ACD and other inflammatory skin conditions. Future work should focus on the optimization of the healing effects of plasma on ACD in mice by changing the amount of water vapour in the discharge, and compare cold plasma to traditional medical treatment using corticosteroid ointment.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partly supported by the Hundred-Talent Program of Chongqing University, and the Graduate Scientific Research and Innovation Foundation of Chongqing (No. CYS17007), and the National “111” Project of China (Grant No: B08036). QC acknowledges funding support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11405144), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 20720150022). RY received research grant (No. 81571902) from National Natural Science Foundation of China and clinical research grant (SWH2014LC17) from Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University for this work. MRH was supported by US NIH grants R01AI050875 and R21AI121700.

REFERENCE

1. Fridman G, Friedman G, Gutsol A, Shekhter AB, Vasilets VN, Fridman A. Applied Plasma Medicine. Plasma Process and Polym 2008;5(6):503–533.
2. Fridman A, and Friedman G. Plasma Medicine. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2013.
3. Laroussi M From Killing Bacteria to Destroying Cancer Cells: 20 Years of Plasma Medicine. Plasma Process and Polym 2014;11(12):1138–1141.
4. Lu X, Naidis GV, Laroussi M, Reuter S, Graves DB, Ostrikov K. Reactive species in non-equilibrium atmospheric-pressure plasmas: Generation, transport, and biological effects. Phys Rep 2016;630:1–84.
5. Kong MG, Kroesen G, Morfill G, et al. Plasma medicine: an introductory review. New J Physics. 2009;11:115012–115047.
6. Graves DB. The emerging role of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in redox biology and some implications for plasma applications to medicine and biology. J Phys D: Appl Phys 2012;45(26):263001.
7. Graves DB. Reactive Species from Cold Atmospheric Plasma: Implications for Cancer Therapy. Plasma Process Polym 2014;11(12):1120–1127.
8. Reuter S, Tresp H, Wende K, Hammer MU, Winter J, Masur K. From RONS to ROS: Tailoring Plasma Jet Treatment of Skin Cells. IEEE Trans Plasma Sci 2012;40(11):2986–2993.
9. Choi JH, Song YS, Lee HJ, Kim GC, Hong JW. The topical application of low-temperature argon plasma enhances the anti-inflammatory effect of Jaun-ointment on DNCB-induced NC/Nga mice. BMC complementary and alternative medicine. 2017;17(1):340. [PubMed: 28655324]
10. Daeschlein G, Napp M, von Podewils S, et al. In Vitro Susceptibility of Multidrug Resistant Skin and Wound Pathogens Against Low Temperature Atmospheric Pressure Plasma Jet (APPJ) and Dielectric Barrier Discharge Plasma (DBD). Plasma Process Polym 2014;11(2):175–183.
11. Emmert S, Brehmer F, Hänßle H, et al. Atmospheric pressure plasma in dermatology: Ulcus treatment and much more. Clin Plasma Med 2013;1(1):24–29.
12. Tiede R, Hirschberg J, Daeschlein G, von Woedtke T, Viöl W, Emmert S. Plasma Applications: A Dermatological View. Contrib Plasma Phys 2014;54(2):118–130.
13. Graves DB. Mechanisms of Plasma Medicine: Coupling Plasma Physics, Biochemistry, and Biology. IEEE Trans Radiat Plasma Med Sci 2017;1(4):281–292.
14. Gelker M, Müller-Goymann CC, Viöl W. Permeabilization of human stratum corneum and full-thickness skin samples by a direct dielectric barrier discharge. Clin Plasma Med 2018;9:34–40.
15. Pei X, Lu X, Liu J, et al. Inactivation of a 25.5 µm Enterococcus faecalis biofilm by a room-temperature, battery-operated, handheld air plasma jet. J Phys D: Appl Phys 2012;45(16):165205.
16. Bruggeman PJ, Kushner MJ, Locke BR, et al. Plasma–liquid interactions: a review and roadmap. Plasma Sources Sci Technol 2016;25(5):053002.
17. Fridman G, Peddinghaus M, Balasubramanian M, et al. Blood Coagulation and Living Tissue Sterilization by Floating-Electrode Dielectric Barrier Discharge in Air. Plasma Chem Plasma Process. 2006;26(4):425–442.
18. Ngo Thi M-H, Shao P-L, Liao J-D, Lin C-CK, Yip H-K. Enhancement of Angiogenesis and Epithelialization Processes in Mice with Burn Wounds through ROS/RNS Signals Generated by Non-Thermal N₂/Ar Micro-Plasma. Plasma Process Polym 2014;11(11):1076–1088.

19. Heinlin J, Isbary G, Stolz W, et al. Plasma applications in medicine with a special focus on dermatology. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2011;25(1):1–11.
20. Isbary G, Morfill G, Schmidt HU, et al. A first prospective randomized controlled trial to decrease bacterial load using cold atmospheric argon plasma on chronic wounds in patients. *Br J Dermatol* 2010;163(1):78–82. [PubMed: 20222930]
21. Peiser M, Tralau T, Heidler J, et al. Allergic contact dermatitis: epidemiology, molecular mechanisms, in vitro methods and regulatory aspects. Current knowledge assembled at an international workshop at BfR, Germany. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2012;69(5):763–781. [PubMed: 21997384]
22. Brasch J, Becker D, Aberer W, et al. Guideline contact dermatitis: S1-Guidelines of the German Contact Allergy Group (DKG) of the German Dermatology Society (DDG), the Information Network of Dermatological Clinics (IVDK), the German Society for Allergology and Clinical Immunology (DGAKI), the Working Group for Occupational and Environmental Dermatology (ABD) of the DDG, the Medical Association of German Allergologists (AeDA), the Professional Association of German Dermatologists (BVDD) and the DDG. *Allergo J Int* 2014;23(4):126–138. [PubMed: 26146602]
23. Zhang B-T, Zhao L-X, Lin J-M. Study on superoxide and hydroxyl radicals generated in indirect electrochemical oxidation by chemiluminescence and UV-Visible spectra. *J Environ Sci-China*. 2008;20(8):1006–1011. [PubMed: 18817082]
24. Nozaki O, Kawamoto H. Reactivation of inactivated horseradish peroxidase with ethyleneurea and allantoin for determination of hydrogen peroxide by micro-flow injection horseradish peroxidase-catalyzed chemiluminescence. *Anal Chim Acta* 2003;495(1):233–238.
25. Rajasekaran P, Opländer C, Hoffmeister D, et al. Characterization of Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) on Mouse and Histological Evaluation of the Plasma-Treated Tissue. *Plasma Process Polym* 2011;8(3):246–255.
26. Verreycken T, Bruggeman PJ. OH Dynamics in a Nanosecond Pulsed Plasma Filament in Atmospheric Pressure He–H₂O upon the Addition of O₂. *Plasma Chem Plasma Process*. 2014;34(3):605–619.
27. Vaia M, Petrosino S, De Filippis D, et al. Palmitoylethanolamide reduces inflammation and itch in a mouse model of contact allergic dermatitis. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2016;791:669–674. [PubMed: 27720681]
28. Ninomiya K, Ishijima T, Imamura M, et al. Evaluation of extra- and intracellular OH radical generation, cancer cell injury, and apoptosis induced by a non-thermal atmospheric-pressure plasma jet. *J Phys D: Appl Phys* 2013;46(42):425401.
29. Winter J, Tresp H, Hammer MU, et al. Tracking plasma generated H₂O₂ from gas into liquid phase and revealing its dominant impact on human skin cells. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*. 2014;47(28):285401.
30. Balzer J, Heuer K, Demir E, et al. Non-Thermal Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) Effects on Proliferation and Differentiation of Human Fibroblasts Are Primary Mediated by Hydrogen Peroxide. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(12):e0144968. [PubMed: 26661594]
31. Bekeschus S, Moritz J, Schmidt A, Wende K. Redox regulation of leukocyte-derived microparticle release and protein content in response to cold physical plasma-derived oxidants. *Clin Plasma Med* 2017;7–8:24–35.

FIGURE 1.

(a) Experimental schematic of the plasma generator using pulsed argon plasma with different gas admixtures, and (b) Current-voltage characteristics of the Ar+1% N₂ plasma while treating the area of ACD on the mouse back.

FIGURE 2.

Images of mild-degree ACD lesions on the mouse back from days 0 to 6 for the non-treated (NT) control group, groups irradiated with plasma gas conditions (A) pure Ar, (B) Ar+1% O₂, (C) Ar+1% N₂, (D) Ar+1% O₂+1% N₂, and (E) Ar+0.5% H₂O. Red circles indicate areas of scaliness remaining on the mouse back at day 6, particularly after plasma treatments in group C and D.

FIGURE 3.

(a) Moderate-degree ACD regions on mice back obtained from 0 to 8 days in groups of control (NT), gas flow (GF), and Ar+0.5% H₂O plasma treatment (PT). Red dashed squares mark the day the ACD symptoms had resolved in the three groups. (b) Corresponding H&E staining (400×) images on day 0 and 6.

FIGURE 4.

Images of the severe-degree ACD regions on the mouse back obtained from 0 to 11 days in groups of control (NT), gas flow (GF), and Ar+0.5% H₂O plasma treatment (PT). (b) Corresponding skin tissues on 0 and 11 day examined by H&E staining (400 $\times$). H&E-staining images on day 0 are not complete due to the difficulty of cutting the hard-and-dry scabs on the skin, however this did not affect the histopathological analysis of the skin lesions.