Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2018 September 12; 140(36): 11202–11205. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b07578.

Catalytic Alkene Difunctionalization via Imidate Radicals

Kohki M. Nakafuku[†], Stacy C. Fosu[†], and David A. Nagib

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, United States.

Abstract

The first catalytic strategy to harness imidate radicals has been developed. This approach enables alkene difunctionalization of allyl alcohols by photocatalytic reduction of their oxime imidates. The ensuing imidate radicals undergo consecutive intra- and inter-molecular reactions to afford either (i) hydroamination, (ii) aminoalkylation, or (iii) aminoarylation – via three distinct radical mechanisms. The broad scope and utility of this catalytic method for imidate radical reactivity is presented, along with comparisons to other N-centered radicals and complementary, closed-shell imidate pathways.

Table of Contents

In pursuit of a new hydroamination reaction, Overman serendipitously discovered a synthetically valuable reaction wherein allyl imidates are rapidly converted to allyl amines by sigmatropic rearrangement.^{1–3} Nonetheless, the original goal of converting allyl imidates to 1,2-amino alcohols via hydroamination remains unsolved – likely because the Brønsted, Lewis, and π -acids that could activate alkenes toward amination, efficiently promote rearrangement instead. To solve this ongoing challenge, we proposed an N-centered radical mechanism⁴ may bypass the two-electron pathway and afford the long-sought hydroamination⁵ to access valuable β -amino alcohols (Figure 1a). Moreover, we anticipated imidate radicals may enable several new classes of reactivity for the synthesis of functionalized amino alcohols.

Corresponding Author: nagib.1@osu.edu.

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Supporting Information.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: Experimental procedures and characterization for all new compounds (PDF)

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (PDF)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

In developing a catalytic strategy to harness the reactivity of imidate radicals,^{6, 7} we proposed allyl imidate **A** may be selectively reduced by a single-electron via photocatalysis⁸ (Figure 1b). We envisioned that such oxime imidates are readily accessed by the combination of alcohols and imidoyl chlorides in a modular and tunable manner (to access radical precursors with variable NOR bond strengths). In analogy, the incorporation of weak N-OR bonds within analogs of ketones and amides has afforded other N-centered radicals (e.g. iminyl, amidyl).^{9–12} We thus proposed this tunability may enable us to discover a catalytic method to access imidate radicals. In our proposed mechanism, excitation of a photocatalyst (M^n to $^*M^n$) precedes reductive quenching by an amine to provide a strong reductant (M^{n-1}). We postulated single-electron reduction of allyl imidate **A** to its radical anion, and subsequent mesolytic cleavage, could then afford imidate radical **B** and regenerated photocatalyst (M^n). Next, rapid, 5-exo-trig cyclization¹³ of the N-centered radical would provide **C**, which contains a $C^\bullet$ adjacent to the new C–N bond. Upon combination with a variety of intermolecular radical traps, a resulting α -substituted oxazoline **D** may be hydrolyzed under acidic conditions to afford a family of 1,2-amino alcohols. Importantly, we postulated that three distinct mechanisms could be employed to intercept the C-centered radical (Figure 1c). For instance, homolytic substitution (S_H2) of a radical trap containing a weak C–H bond¹⁴ may provide hydroamination. Alternatively, intermolecular radical π -addition of alkenes would afford aminoalkylation.¹⁵ Lastly, a rare, radical-radical coupling mechanism¹⁶ could incorporate γ -arenes in a net, aminoarylation. Crucially, each of these three radical termination mechanisms affords reactivity that is complementary to classic, twoelectron strategies.

With this hypothesis in mind, we combined allyl alcohol with a pair of imidoyl chlorides (Figure 2). The resulting allyl imidates were then subjected to reductive, photoredox catalytic conditions in the presence of a reductant, tPr_2NEt , an H-atom donor, 1,4-cyclohexadiene (CHD), and a blue LED light. Unsurprisingly, the N-OMe oxime **1a**, which has a large reduction potential ($E_{red} > -2$ V),^{17,18} affords minimal hydroamination – yielding 10% oxazoline **2'**, only with a highly reducing catalyst (**III**). Alternatively, the NOPh oxime **1b** is more easily reduced (-1.6 V) and efficiently provides **2'** (up to 80% yield) with commercially available Ir photocatalysts (**II** or **III**). Notably, subsequent hydrolysis of oxazoline **2'** with HCl (aq) unveils the privileged 1,2-amino alcohol pharmacophore **2**. Interestingly, when imidate **1b** is combined with a Pd catalyst, rearrangement to an allyl amide is observed exclusively, instead (97% yield)¹⁹ – demonstrating the divergent reactivity of one- and two-electron pathways for imidates.

We were also intrigued by the complementarity of imidate radical reactivity with other N-centered radicals, such as those of imines and amides.^{9,10} First, they are synthetically orthogonal, as these imidate-based radicals are accessed from alcohols, whereas iminyl and amidyl radicals are derived from ketones or acid chlorides, respectively (Figure 3). Moreover, we noted each of these N-centered radical precursors reacts differently. For example, when a simple N-OPh is incorporated, a competition between all three radical precursors exclusively results in cyclization of the imidate radical. In this case, only oxazoline **2'** is formed amongst all three possible hydroamination products – with both the imine and amide radical precursors remaining. This competitive hydroamination of the

imide (versus iminyl or amidyl) precursor is also observed with strongly reducing catalyst **III** (–2.2 V).

Having developed the first catalytic reaction of an imide radical, we sought to investigate the generality and utility of this hydroamination on a range of allyl imides (Figure 4). To this end, we found that allyl alcohols with both terminal and internal olefins are hydroaminated smoothly (**2–4**), as well as tri-substituted olefins (**5**). These results illustrate that primary, secondary, benzylic, and tertiary radicals are all viable intermediates in the trans-location of an imide N-centered radical to a γ C-radical. If chloro- or silyl-substituted olefins are employed (grey circles), products bearing heteroatom functionality at three adjacent carbons are obtained (**6–7**). Several natural products are also hydroaminated (**8–10**), including those containing multiple alkenes – demonstrating chemoselectivity of this protocol for allyl alcohols. In the case of secondary alcohols, exclusive *syn*-diastereoselectivity (> 20:1) is observed in all cases (**11–15**), likely due to geometric constraints of the five-membered oxazoline intermediate. Interestingly, and complementary to our previous studies on H-atom abstraction by imide radicals,⁷ hydroamination outcompetes β C–H abstraction – even of weak, allyl or benzyl C–H bonds (**13–14**, highlighted with grey circles). Additionally, 5-exo-trig (vs 6-exotrig) cyclization is solely observed. The imide of gibberellic ester is also efficiently converted to its β amino-alcohol (**15**) – illustrating chemoselectivity in the presence of esters, lactones, and unprotected allyl alcohols, as well as orthogonal selectivity to other radical-mediated hydroamination methods.^{10d}

The robust reactivity observed for these imide radicals in the case of hydroamination via S_H2 led us to question if other trapping mechanisms might also be viable by this catalytic pathway. Replacing CHD with various olefins, we investigated the amino-alkylation of imides by radical π -addition (Figure 5). Notably, both acrylates (**16–18**) and styrenes (**19–23**) function as capable partners to effect a three-component radical coupling of imides, alkenes, and an H-atom. In these cases, both 1,1- and 1,2- di-substitution is tolerated, as well as incorporation of heteroarenes, such as 2- and 4- vinyl pyridines. Although tertiary radicals (from tri-substituted allyl alcohols) afford greater efficiency in this radical π -addition, simple allyl alcohols (that incorporate a primary radical intermediate), are also suitable for this aminoalkylation (e.g. **20** vs **21**).

In the hopes of incorporating an aryl trap within this cascade, we sought to develop an aminoarylation by a radical-radical coupling mechanism (Figure 5). Although such a mechanism is rare, especially in the absence of persistent radicals as traps,¹⁶ we proposed cyanoarenes may selectively combine with the transient, imide-derived tertiary radicals. This hypothesis was realized by aminoarylation with various aryl precursors, such as dicyanobenzene (DCB; **24–25**), its perfluorinated analog (**26**), and 4-cyano-pyridine (**27**). In these unique couplings, we propose that a radical anion of the arene is photocatalytically generated in parallel with formation of the imide radicals – both by reductive quenching mechanisms. The ensuing cyclohexadienyl radical and the translocated alkyl radical selectively combine with one another to afford the observed products in preference to dimerization of either radical.

Given the scarcity of such a cascade (radical cyclization terminated by radical-radical coupling), we further probed this mechanism by employing several regioisomers of dicyanobenzene in the reaction (Figure 6). We proposed if a conjugate addition mechanism is operative, then an arene with reinforcing 1,3-di-substituted electron-withdrawing groups (e.g. CN) should be an especially efficient radical trap. However, no aminoarylation is observed with 1, 3-dicyanobenzene. Instead, 1, 2- or 1,4- dicyanobenzene, which are more easily reduced (by up to 200 mV),²⁰ afford significant product formation, likely as a result of a greater concentration of cyclohexadienyl radical anion formed by the Ir photoreductant. Moreover, *ortho*- and *para*- CN di-substitution are not as conducive to conjugate addition as the *meta* isomer, further supporting a radical-radical coupling mechanism.

To further investigate the different radical-trapping mechanisms employed in this study, we appended a 1, 6-diene on the allyl imidate to serve as a radical clock (Figure 7). In the presence of CHD, rapid cyclization ($k_{\text{cyc}} > 1 \cdot 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$)¹³ precedes trapping, thereby interrupting the hydroamination with an intermediary radical cyclization cascade. However, in the presence of an acrylate trap, aminoalkylation is uninterrupted, indicating intermolecular radical π -addition is faster than intramolecular cyclization.

In summary, a series of catalytic reactions have been developed that harness imidate radicals. Oxime imidates, readily prepared from alcohols, are mildly and selectively reduced by an Ir photo-catalyst in the presence of visible-light to generate imidate radicals. Subsequent cyclization and trapping with (a) an H-atom, (b) electronically diverse range of olefins (e.g. acrylates, styrenes), or (c) a cyanoarene enables access to the following transformations: hydroamination, aminoalkylation, and aminoarylation. We expect this strategy will facilitate further development of radical mechanisms that are complementary to classic, two-electron reactivity of imidates.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank The Ohio State University, National Institutes of Health (NIH R35 GM119812), National Science Foundation (NSF CAREER 1654656), and American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for financial support. SCF is supported by an HHMI Gilliam Fellowship. We are grateful to Dr Xin Yang and Andrew Chen for assistance with CV and DFT experiments.

References

- (1). (a)Overman LE J. Am. Chem. Soc 1974, 96, 597;(b)Overman LE J. Am. Chem. Soc 1976, 98, 2901.
- (2). Overman LE Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 6432. [PubMed: 20640042]
- (3). Fernandes RA; Kattanguru P; Gholap SP; Chaudhari DA Org. Biomol. Chem 2017, 15, 2672. [PubMed: 28272639]
- (4). N-centered radical reviews:(a)Zard SZ. Chem. Soc. Rev 2008, 37, 1603; [PubMed: 18648685] (b)Chiba S; Chen H Org. Biomol. Chem 2014, 12, 4051; [PubMed: 24781782] (c)Xiong T; Zhang Q Chem. Soc. Rev 2016, 45, 3069; [PubMed: 27116936] (d)Zhao Y; Xia W Chem. Soc. Rev 2018, 47, 2591. [PubMed: 29619455]

- (5). Müller TE; Hultsch KC; Yus M; Foubelo F; Tada M Chem. Rev 2008, 108, 3795. [PubMed: 18729420]
- (6). Pioneering examples of imidate radical reactivity:(a) Glover SA; Beckwith ALJ. Aust. J. Chem 1987, 40, 701;(b)Glover SA; Hammond GP; Harman DG; Mills JG; Rowbottom CA Aust. J. Chem 1993, 46, 1213.
- (7). Recent examples of imidate radical reactivity:(a) Wappes EA; Nakafuku KM; Nagib DA. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 10204; [PubMed: 28741940] (b)Mou X-Q; Chen X-Y; Chen G; He G Chem. Commun 2018, 54, 515;(c)Wappes EA; Vanitcha A; Nagib DA Chem. Sci 2018, 9, 4500. [PubMed: 29896392]
- (8). Photoredox catalysis reviews:(a)Narayanam JMR; Stephenson CR. J. Chem. Soc. Rev 2011, 40, 102;(b)Prier CK; Rankic DA; MacMillan DW C. Chem. Rev 2013, 113, 5322;(c)Skubi KL; Blum TR; Yoon TP Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 10035; [PubMed: 27109441] (d)Romero NA; Nicewicz DA. Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 10075; [PubMed: 27285582] (e)Silvi M; Melchiorre P. Nature 2018, 554, 41. [PubMed: 29388950]
- (9). Iminyl radical reactivity:(a)Forrester AR; Gill M; Meyer CJ; Sadd JS; Thomson RH. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 1979, 606;(b)Boivin J; Fouquet E; Zard SZ J. Am. Chem. Soc 1991, 113, 1055;(c)Faulkner A; Race NJ; Scott JS; Bower JF Chem. Sci 2014, 5, 2416.For photoredox catalyzed examples, see:(d)Davies J; Booth SG; Essafi S; Dryfe RAW; Leonori D Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 14017;(e)Shu W; Nevado C Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 1881;(g)Davies J; Sheikh NS; Leonori D Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 13361;(h)Jiang H; Studer A Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 12273;(i)Dauncey EM; Morcillo SP; Douglas JJ; Sheikh NS; Leonori D Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 744;(j)Jiang H; Studer A Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 1692.
- (10). Amidyl radical reactivity:(a)Liu G-S; Zhang Y-Q; Yuan Y-A; Xu H. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 3343; [PubMed: 23402638] (b)Lu D-F; Zhu C-L; Jia Z-X; Xu HJ Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 13186;(c)Choi GJ; Knowles RR J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 9226; [PubMed: 26166022] (d)Miller DC; Choi GJ; Orbe HS; Knowles RR J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 13492; [PubMed: 26439818] (e)Davies J; Svejstrup TD; Fernandez Reina D; Sheikh NS; Leonori DJ Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 8092;(f)Choi GJ; Zhu Q; Miller DC; Gu CJ; Knowles RR Nature 2016, 539, 268; [PubMed: 27732585] (g)Chu JCK; Rovis T Nature 2016, 539, 272; [PubMed: 27732580] (h)Jiang H; Studer A Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 10707.
- (11). Notably, Refs10c-e contain examples of carbamate radicals, which can be prepared from alcohols, albeit via a complementary synthesis, activation, and deprotection manifold.
- (12). For other relevant examples of N-centered radical reactivity, see:(a)Wang Y-F; Chen H; Zhu X; Chiba S. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 11980; [PubMed: 22789112] (b)Wappes EA; Fosu SC; Chopko TC; Nagib DA Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 9974;(c)Becker P; Duhamel T; Stein CJ; Reiher M; Muñiz K Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 8004.
- (13). (a)Horner JH; Musa OM; Bouvier A; Newcomb MJ Am. Chem. Soc 1998, 120, 7738; (b)Newcomb M In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; pp 317–336;(c)Beckwith ALJ; Schiesser CH Tetrahedron, 1985, 41, 3925.
- (14). (a)Binmore G; Walton JC; Cardellini LJ Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun 1995, 27;(b)Guin J; Mück-Lichtenfeld C; Grimme S; Studer AJ Am. Chem. Soc 2007, 129, 4498.
- (15). Radical π -addition. Reviews:(a)Giese B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1983, 22, 753;(b)Crossley SWM; Obradors C; Martinez RM; Shenvi RA Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 8912; [PubMed: 27461578] (c)Margrey KA; Nicewicz DA Acc. Chem. Res 2016, 49, 1997; [PubMed: 27588818] (d)Ravelli D; Protti S; Fagnoni M Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 9850. [PubMed: 27070820] Recent examples: (e)Ma X; Dang H; Rose JA; Rablen P; Herzon SB J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 5998; [PubMed: 28359149] (f)Lo JC; Kim D; Pan C-M; Edwards JT; Yabe Y; Gui J; Qin T; Gutiérrez S; Giacoboni J; Smith MW; Holland PL; Baran PS J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 2484. [PubMed: 28094980]
- (16). Radical-radical coupling with DCB; pioneering examples:(a)Mangion D; Arnold DR. Acc. Chem. Res 2002, 35, 297; [PubMed: 12020167] (b)McNally A; Prier CK; MacMillan DWC Science 2011, 334, 1114; [PubMed: 22116882] (c)Pirnot MT; Rankic DA; Martin DBC; MacMillan DWC Science 2013, 339, 1593; [PubMed: 23539600] (d)Qvortrup K; Rankic DA; MacMillan DWC J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 626. [PubMed: 24341523]
- (17). All redox potentials are reported vs SCE.

(18). All CV data are measured experimentally, with supporting calculation and analysis according to the following method: Roth HG; Romero NA; Nicewicz DA. *Synlett* 2016, 27, 714.

(19).

(20). Borg RM; Arnold DR; Cameron TS *Can. J. Chem* 1984, 62, 1785.

a. Imidate radicals enable new reactivity **b. Photocatalytic strategy to harness imidate radicals** **c. Difunctionalization via three distinct mechanisms**

Figure 1.
New reactivity modes enabled by imidate radicals.

photocatalyst	E_{red}	yield (2')	
		R = Me	R = Ph
Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl ₂ (I)	-1.3	0%	0%
Ir(ppy) ₂ (dtbbpy)PF ₆ (II)	-1.5	0%	80%
Ir(ppy) ₃ (III)	-2.2	10%	79%

Figure 2.
 Hydroamination of allyl alcohols via imidate radicals.

Figure 3.
Comparison of N-centered radical precursors.

Figure 4. Hydroamination of allyl imidates. Isolated yields of hydroamination (and hydrolysis) are indicated.

Figure 5.
Aminoalkylation and aminoarylation of imidates.

Figure 7. Radical clock experiments for each mechanism indicate the following rates: acrylate addition > cyclization > S_H2.