Published in final edited form as:

ACS Biomater Sci Eng. 2017 November 13; 3(11): 2860–2868. doi:10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00468.

Controlled Tubular Unit Formation from Collagen Film for Modular Tissue Engineering

Jianming Sang¹, Xiang Li¹, Yue Shao¹, Zida Li¹, and Jianping Fu^{1,2,3,4,*}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, 2350 Hayward Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

²Michigan Center for Integrative Research in Critical Care, University of Michigan, 2800 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, 2200 Bonisteel Boulevard, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

⁴Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, 109 Zina Pitcher Place, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA.

Abstract

Bottom-up or modular tissue engineering is one of the emerging approaches to prepare biomimetic constructs *in vitro*, involving fabrication of small tissue units as building blocks before assembling them into functional tissue constructs. Herein, we reported a microscale tissue engineering approach to generate tubular tissue units through cellular contractile force induced self-folding of cell-laden collagen films in a controllable manner. Self-folding of cell-laden collagen films was driven by film contraction resulted from intrinsic contractile property of adherent mammalian cells seeded in collagen films. We explored in detail independent effects of collagen gel concentration, cell density, and intrinsic cellular contractility on self-folding and tubular structure formation of cell-laden collagen films. Through both experiments and theoretical modeling, we further demonstrated the effectiveness of integrating ridge array structures onto the backside of collagen films in introducing structural anisotropy and thus controlling self-folding directions of collagen films. Our approach of using ridge array structures to introduce mechanical anisotropy and thus promote tubular tissue unit formation can be extended to other biomaterial systems and thus provide a simple yet effective way to prepare tubular tissue units for modular tissue engineering applications.

Graphical Abstract

*Correspondence: jpfu@umich.edu.

Supporting Information:

A schematic fabrication process of the PDMS mold and the initial cell distribution information can be found in the supporting document.

Keywords

Tubular Structure; Cellular Contractile Force; Bottom-up Tissue Engineering

INTRODUCTION

Tissue engineering develops various three-dimensional (3D) biological constructs with the aim of restoring and improving the functionality of damaged tissues and organs caused by diseases or aging [1–2]. Tubular structure, for example, exists in multiple forms *in vivo* such as blood vessels, lymph vessels, trachea, and intestines, and plays a vital role in maintaining the homeostasis of our body. *In vitro* construction of tubular structures that mimic tissue-specific spatial cellular distribution and intricate microstructures is of utmost importance for fulfilling the promise of tissue engineering to restore the functionality of damaged tubular tissues and organs. Traditional tissue engineering methods employ a top-down approach to prepare *in vitro* constructs, in which cells are loaded into a biodegradable scaffold, where they populate, secrete extracellular matrix (ECM), remodel tissue microarchitecture, and finally generate 3D functional biological constructs [3–4][5–8]. However, it is still challenging for top-down approaches to develop tissue constructs that can recapitulate intricate microstructural features of *in vivo* tissues with proper tissue-specific spatial cellular distributions. Furthermore, mass transfer limitation remains a significant hurdle for tissue engineering to develop large, complex, and functional tissue constructs [9].

Over the last decade, bottom-up or modular tissue engineering has emerged as an alternative, promising approach for functional tissue engineering [10–11]. In modular tissue engineering, small tissue units are first prepared as building blocks before assembled into functional, large-scale constructs. Such small tissue units can be prepared using various techniques such as self-assembled cellular aggregation [12], microfabrication of cell-laden hydrogels [13], and cell sheet technology [14]. For instance, Jose *et al.* have reported an approach to generate free-standing tubular constructs using cellulose nanofibril hydrogel tubes as sacrificial templates [15]. Baek *et al.* have developed a self-folding-based approach to generate multi-walled gel tube by constructing a gel patch consisting of two layers with significantly different stiffness and capacities for uptaking water [16]. More recently, Nicolas *et al.* have reported a cell sheet technology to construct tissue-engineered blood vessels (TEBVs) suitable for autologous small-diameter arterial revascularization in adults [17]. Compared with top-down approaches, bottom-up methods afford more engineering control over spatial cellular distribution and tissue organization, thus offering the advantage

of recapitulating microarchitecture of native tissues and creating biomimetic engineering constructs [11].

In this study, we reported a microscale tissue engineering approach to generate tubular tissue units through cellular contractile force induced self-folding of cell-laden collagen films in a controllable manner. Self-folding of cell-laden collagen films was driven by film contraction resulted from intrinsic contractile property of adherent mammalian cells seeded in collagen films. Collagen, as a major component of fibrillar ECM *in vivo*, has been widely used in tissue engineering as supporting biomaterials [18–22]. Cellular contraction-induced folding of collagen matrices occurs in a variety of *in vivo* situations such as embryonic development [23] and wound healing [24]. In recent years, different cell-laden microscale tissue constructs have been developed using cellular contractile forces as driving forces to control tissue construct folding and shapes [25–27]. However, these previous studies have not yet explored in detail different experimental parameters involved in cell-laden collagen films and their independent effects on collagen film self-folding. Furthermore, precise engineering control of self-folding directions of collagen tubular structures have not yet been reported. Herein, we explored in detail independent effects of collagen gel concentration, cell density, and intrinsic cellular contractility on self-folding and tubular structure formation of cell-laden collagen films. Using carefully designed experiments and detailed simulations and theoretical studies, we further demonstrated the effectiveness of integrating ridge array structures onto the backside of collagen films in introducing structural anisotropy and thus controlling self-folding directions of collagen films. The approach demonstrated in this work using ridge array structures to introduce mechanical anisotropy and thus promote tubular tissue unit formation from cell-laden collagen films can be easily extended to other biocompatible material systems and thus provide a simple yet effective way to prepare tubular tissue units for modular tissue engineering applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Three different cell types were used in the present study. GFP expressing-endothelial cells (TeloHAEC-GFP, ATCC) is a clonal cell line stably expressing EmGFP under EF1 α promoter. TeloHAEC-GFP cells were cultured in vascular cell basal medium (ATCC), supplemented with vascular endothelial cell growth kit-VEGF (ATCC). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) obtained from Lonza were cultured in fully supplemented endothelial growth medium (EGM-2, Lonza). Human normal lung fibroblasts (MRC-5) from ATCC were cultured in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Life Technologies). All cells were maintained in monolayer culture at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Culture medium was exchanged every other day, and cells were passaged when reaching about 80% confluency.

Microfabrication to generate molds

Si molds without ridge structures were fabricated using standard photolithography. Briefly, Si wafers were spin-coated with photoresist SPR 220 followed by UV patterning and deep

reactive ion etching (DRIE). Mold thickness was controlled by varying etching time during DRIE.

To generate Si molds with ridge structures, a 2- μ m silicon dioxide layer was first generated on top of the Si wafer using thermal oxidation. After photolithography, reactive ion etching (RIE) was performed to pattern the silicon dioxide layer. After stripping photoresist, a new photoresist layer was coated on the Si wafer before photolithography. DRIE was then performed on the wafer to generate chamber structures. After stripping photoresist, another DRIE operation was conducted on the wafer during which the silicon dioxide layer served as etching mask. Finally, the silicon dioxide layer was stripped using hydrogen peroxide solution. The Si wafer was silanized with trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H- perfluorooctyl)silane (Sigma-Aldrich) for 4 hr under vacuum to facilitate subsequent release of PDMS molds.

Negative PDMS molds were generated by replica molding. Briefly, PDMS prepolymer (10:1 base-to-curing-agent ratio) was poured over Si molds, cured at 60 °C for 20 hr, peeled off from Si molds, and cut into desired dimensions. Before being loaded with cell-laden collagen gel solutions, PDMS molds were first sterilized using 100% ethanol before treated with air plasma for 30 sec followed by incubation in PLL(20)-g(3.5)-PEG(5) solution (SuSoS) for > 1 hr to aid subsequent release of collagen films.

Collagen film preparation

Collagen gel solution was prepared following the vendor's protocol. Briefly, 10 $\times$ PBS buffer solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1 N sodium hydroxide (Sigma-Aldrich), and purified water were mixed at 4 °C, followed by adding rat-tail collagen type I (Corning) into the mixture to obtain desired final collagen concentration. To prepare cell-laden collagen gel solution, cells were harvested from cell culture using 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA and counted. Cells were collected using centrifugation before re-suspended in collagen gel solutions. Final cell density was controlled by a prescribed loading volume of collagen gel solution.

To generate collagen films, cell-laden collagen gel solution was manually loaded into PDMS molds. Loading volume was precisely controlled based on the size of PDMS molds to ensure constant collagen film thickness. After being loaded with cell-laden collagen solution, PDMS molds were stored at 37 °C for 30 min for gelation of collagen gel solution. Cells would gradually sediment to the bottom of collagen films due to gravity during the gelation process.

For PDMS molds with ridge array structures, PDMS molds were flipped upside down during the gelation process of collagen films to ensure that ridge structures and cells would locate on opposite sides of collagen films. After gelation, collagen films were released from PDMS molds by gently pipetting culture medium. Cell-laden collagen films were maintained in culture medium, which was exchanged every other day. To examine the effect of cellular contractile forces on collagen film folding, 10 μ M blebbistatin was supplemented to culture medium maintaining cell-laden collagen films.

Collagen film self-folding

After released from PDMS molds, free-standing collagen films in culture medium would gradually deform (due to cellular contractile forces) and fold into either irregular structures or regular tubular structures at day 3. In the present study, two parameters were defined and quantified to describe collagen film folding: folded structure ratio defined as the ratio of the number of folded films (either irregular or tubular) to the total number of collagen films, and tubular structure ratio defined as the ratio of the number of tubule-forming films to the number of folded collagen films.

The total number of collagen films was counted at day 0, and the numbers of irregular and tubular structures resulted from collagen film self-folding were counted at day 1, day 2, and day 3. Each experiment was repeated three times, and statistical analysis was performed using the OriginLab® software.

Cell viability

Viability of HUVECs in collagen films was assessed at day 0, day 3, and day 7 using the Live/Dead stain kit (Life Technologies). Following the vendor's protocol, cell-laden collagen structures were collected from culture and washed three times with PBS. Collagen structures were incubated in 4.0 μM calcein-AM and 4.0 μM ethidium homodimer-1 dissolved in PBS for 40 min at 37 °C before washed three times in PBS and imaged using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Nikon Instruments). For each collagen structure, at least four images were captured, and cell viability was quantified by comparing the number of green-stained cells (live) to the number of red-stained nuclei (dead).

Finite element simulation

The commercial finite element package ABAQUS (SIMULIA, Dassault Systèmes) was used for numerical simulations of self-folding of collagen films with different geometries and ridge structures. Self-folding of collagen films due to cellular contractile forces was modeled using thermal contraction [28]. Specifically, collagen films without ridge structures were modeled as two-layered structures. The bottom layer had a thickness of 50 μm and either isotropic or anisotropic thermal expansion coefficients. For isotropic thermal expansion, the bottom layer had an isotropic thermal expansion coefficient of 5 K^{-1} . For anisotropic thermal expansion, the bottom layer had thermal expansion coefficients of 4 K^{-1} and 5 K^{-1} along two orthogonal axis. The top layer had a thickness of 150 μm and a homogeneous thermal expansion coefficient of 0 K^{-1} . For collagen films with ridge arrays, collagen films were modeled as three-layered structures. The bottom layer had a thickness of 50 μm and an isotropic thermal expansion coefficient of 5 K^{-1} . The middle layer and the top ridge array had a thickness of 100 μm and 50 μm , respectively, and both layers had a homogeneous thermal expansion coefficient of 0 K^{-1} . All structural layers had a *Young's* modulus of 20 KPa and were discretized into tetrahedral mesh elements. In simulations, film temperature was set to decrease from -273.15 K (0 °C) to -293.15 K (-20 °C).

The theoretical moment of inertia (*MI*) of collagen films (with or without ridge structures) were also calculated to determine relative bending stiffness of collagen films along different axis as

$$MI_{ridge} = \frac{1}{12}LT^3 - \frac{1}{3}LH^3 - \frac{1}{8}LHT^2 + \frac{1}{4}LTH^2, \quad (1)$$

and

$$MI_{non-ridge} = \frac{1}{12}L(T-H)^3 \quad (2)$$

where MI_{ridge} and $MI_{non-ridge}$ were the moment of inertial for collagen films along ridges and perpendicular to ridge arrays, respectively, L was the total length of collagen films, T was the film thickness, and H was the ridge height.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Self-folding of flat collagen films without ridge structures

Square-shaped, free-standing flat collagen films with a dimension of 2 mm × 2 mm were first generated using PDMS molds (Supporting Fig. 1). Thickness of collagen films was about 200 μm. For cell visualization, a clonal endothelial cell line (TeloHAEC-GFP) stably expressing EmGFP under EF1α promoter was admixed with collagen solutions before gelation to obtain an effective cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹. As expected, during gelation of collagen films, cells in collagen solutions sedimented to the film bottom due to gravity, forming a uniform cell monolayer within collagen films (Supporting Fig. 2). During culture over the following 3 days, free-standing collagen films gradually deformed in culture medium and formed folded structures, most of which were irregularly folded (Fig. 1A-C).

We conducted numerical simulations to model self-folding of cell-laden collagen films due to cellular contractile forces as thermal contraction [28]. Simulation results demonstrated that square-shaped collagen films with an isotropic thermal expansion coefficient, in principle, would not fold into regular tubular structures (see MATERIALS AND METHODS for details; Fig. 1B), consistent with dominant irregularly folded collagen film structures observed in experiments for square-shaped collagen films without ridge structures. A few regular tubular structures appeared in experiments at day 3, likely owing to some local non-uniformity of collagen films or cell distribution at the collagen film bottom. Such local non-uniformity effect could also be recapitulated in simulations of self-folding collagen films with anisotropic thermal expansion coefficients. In simulations, square-shaped flat collagen films folded into regular tubular structures along a direction with a greater thermal expansion coefficient and thus larger contraction to facilitate collagen film folding (Fig. 1B). Importantly, this numerical calculation suggested a viable approach to control folding directions of flat, cell-laden collagen films and generate regular tubular structures by rendering mechanical anisotropy in collagen films.

To examine cell viability in self-folding collagen films, TeloHAEC-GFP cells were seeded into 4 mg mL⁻¹ collagen films with an initial cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹. Viability of TeloHAEC-GFP cells, defined as the ratio of calcein-AM-stained living cells to the total cell

count, was $93 \pm 4\%$ and uniform throughout the entire collagen film at day 0 (Fig. 1D&E). Viabilities of TeloHAEC-GFP cells at day 3 and day 7 were $88 \pm 4\%$ and $87 \pm 5\%$, respectively (Fig. 1D&E). These results were consistent with previously reported cell viability in 3D hydrogels [29]. Thus, cell viability within self-folding collagen films remained high over at least one week in culture medium.

Cellular contraction drives self-folding of collagen films

Self-folding of cell-laden collagen films was driven by film contraction resulted from intrinsic contractile property of adherent mammalian cells seeded in collagen films. Here we explored in detail independent effects of collagen gel concentration, cell density, and intrinsic cellular contractility on self-folding and tubular structure formation of cell-laden collagen films.

We first examined how collagen gel concentration would affect self-folding of cell-laden collagen films. Square-shaped collagen films with a dimension of $2\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$ were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density of $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. When collagen gel concentration was increased from 2 mg mL^{-1} to 6 mg mL^{-1} , folded structure ratio of collagen films, defined as the ratio of the number of folded films (either irregular or tubular) to the total number of collagen films, decreased from 0.91 ± 0.007 to 0.34 ± 0.012 at day 3 (Fig. 2A). In contrast, tubular structure ratio, defined as the ratio of the number of tubule-forming films to the number of folded collagen films, increased from 0.17 ± 0.02 to 0.36 ± 0.012 (Fig. 2A). A decrease in folded structure ratio with increasing collagen gel concentration was understandable, as a greater bending rigidity of collagen films at a higher collagen gel concentration would make it more difficult for collagen films to deform under the same cellular contraction force. An increase of tubular structure ratio with increasing collagen gel concentration suggested that less flexible collagen films at high collagen gel concentrations might suppress to a certain degree random folding of flat collagen films, resulting in an effective increase in the tubular structure ratio.

Collagen gel concentration can directly impact collagen fibril density, fibril diameter, and mesh size within collagen gels, which can further affect cell migration and re-distribution within collagen gels during long-term cell culture [30]. Cells tend to migrate more within collagen gels of lower gel concentrations but migrate less in collagen gels of higher gel concentrations. Before self-folding of cell-laden collagen films, cells within collagen films were distributed as a monolayer. During the 3 day period in collagen films with a gel concentration of 2 mg mL^{-1} , most TeloHAEC-GFP cells migrated away from the initial monolayer location and penetrated deeply into collagen films (Fig. 2B). In contrast, for collagen films with a gel concentration of 6 mg mL^{-1} , few TeloHAEC-GFP cells showed significant migration over the 3 day period, and most TeloHAEC-GFP cells appeared to remain in the monolayer (Fig. 2B).

We further investigated how cell seeding density would affect self-folding of cell-laden collagen films. Square-shaped collagen films with a dimension of $2\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$ and a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells of a cell density from $0.2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$ to $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. Intuitively, self-folding of cell-laden collagen films driven by intrinsic cellular contractility should be promoted by

increasing cell seeding density, which was consistent with experimental results, where folded structure ratio increased with cell seeding density (Fig. 2C). Specifically, very few collagen films folded at day 3 with a cell seeding density 0.2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ (data not shown). Folded structure ratio at day 3 increased from 0.42 ± 0.043 to 0.71 ± 0.039 when cell seeding density increased from 0.5×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ to 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ (Fig. 2C). Since changes in cell density affected film contraction isotropically, there was no significant effect of increasing cell seeding density on improving the tubular structure ratio at day 3 (Fig. 2C).

To specifically examine the importance of intrinsic cellular contractile force for self-folding of collagen films, 10 μ M blebbistatin (a specific inhibitor of myosin II) was supplemented to culture medium for square-shaped, cell-laden collagen films (2 mm $\times$ 2 mm) with a collagen gel concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹ and seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells of a cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹. Blebbistatin treatment effectively blocked cellular contractile force and thus completely inhibited self-folding of cell-laden collagen films by day 3 (Fig. 2D).

Controlled collagen film folding via ridge structures

Intrinsic irregular, random self-folding of squared-shaped cell-laden collagen films resulted in a low tubular structure ratio. Our simulations in Fig. 1B suggested a viable approach to control folding directions of flat, cell-laden collagen films to generate regular tubular structures by rendering mechanical anisotropy in collagen films. Such mechanical anisotropy could be achieved either through non-uniform patterning of cell distribution in collagen films or by introducing structural anisotropy in collagen films. In practice, it was difficult to achieve non-uniform patterning of cell distribution in collagen films in a controllable manner. Thus in the present work, we integrated ridge array structures onto the backside of collagen films to introduce structural anisotropy in collagen films.

Simulation results showed that squared-shaped collagen films with ridge array structures would tend to fold along the direction perpendicular to the ridge array orientation (see MATERIALS AND METHODS for details; Fig. 3A). Theoretical calculations further confirmed that the moment of inertia (MI) for squared-shaped collagen films without ridge structures was homogeneous; however, for collagen films with ridge array structures, MI along the direction perpendicular to ridge array orientation (MI_x) was less than the value along ridges (MI_y) (Fig. 3B). Thus, incorporating ridge array structures onto the backside of collagen films could effectively introduce mechanical anisotropy in collagen films.

Consistent with simulation and theoretical calculations, self-folding of square-shaped collagen films integrated with ridge structures indeed had a greater tendency to occur along the direction perpendicular to the ridge orientation (Fig. 3C). Quantitative results confirmed that with a TeloHAEC-GFP cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹, tubular structure ratio increased from 0.28 ± 0.018 (flat without ridges) to 0.40 ± 0.029 (50 μ m ridge) and 0.45 ± 0.030 (100 μ m ridge) for a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹ and from 0.36 ± 0.012 (flat without ridges) to 0.74 ± 0.037 (50 μ m ridge) and 0.80 ± 0.028 (100 μ m ridge) for a collagen concentration of 6 mg mL⁻¹ (Fig. 3D). The folded structure ratio did not significantly change when comparing flat collagen films without ridges with those integrated with ridge structures (Fig. 3D). This observation was consistent with the fact that self-

folding of cell-laden collagen films was driven by cellular contraction. Thus, as integrated ridge array structures would not affect overall cellular contraction, the folded structure ratio should not change between flat collagen films without ridges and those integrated with ridge array structures.

We further confirmed the effect of ridge structures on improving tubular structure formation from self-folding collagen films using two additional cell lines (human umbilical vein endothelial cells, or HUVECs, and human normal lung fibroblasts). Specifically, for HUVECs, tubular structure ratio increased from 0.28 ± 0.005 (flat without ridges) to 0.38 ± 0.014 (50 μm ridge) and 0.52 ± 0.013 (100 μm ridge) with a gel concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} and from 0.24 ± 0.049 (flat without ridges) to 0.70 ± 0.064 (50 μm ridge) and 0.75 ± 0.048 (100 μm ridge) with a gel concentration of 6 mg mL^{-1} (Fig. 3D). For lung fibroblasts, tubular structure ratio increased from 0.23 ± 0.027 (flat without ridges) to 0.33 ± 0.019 (50 μm ridge) and 0.51 ± 0.073 (100 μm ridge) with a gel concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} and from 0.31 ± 0.028 (flat without ridges) to 0.59 ± 0.018 (50 μm ridge) and 0.62 ± 0.022 (100 μm ridge) with gel concentration of 6 mg mL^{-1} (Fig. 3D).

Ridge structures can dominate intrinsic folding tendency of collagen films

To further examine the effect of ridge array structures on controlling self-folding directions of collagen films, we fabricated rectangular collagen films with a dimension of 2 mm $\times$ 3 mm. In simulations, such rectangular collagen films without ridge structures would naturally tend to fold along the long side of collagen films (x -axis in Fig. 4A) as $MI_x < MI_y$ (see MATERIALS AND METHODS for details; Fig. 4A-C). Simulations also showed that collagen films of 2 mm $\times$ 3 mm in size and integrated with ridge arrays would prefer to fold along the direction perpendicular to the ridge orientation, regardless whether the ridge array was along the long or short side of collagen films (Fig. 4A). Theoretical calculations of the moment of inertia further revealed that the difference between MI_x and MI_y became greater with the ridge array integrated along the y -axis of collagen films when compared to flat collagen films without ridge structures (Fig. 4C). Importantly, relative magnitudes of MI_x and MI_y switched when the ridge array was oriented along the x -axis when compared to flat collagen films without ridge structures (Fig. 4C), consistent with simulation results.

To confirm simulation and theoretical calculations, we conducted experiments to examine self-folding of rectangular collagen films with a dimension of 2 mm $\times$ 3 mm and a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells of a cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL^{-1} (Fig. 4B). In experiments, folding directional preferences of 2 mm $\times$ 3 mm collagen films with or without ridge structures were consistent with simulation and theoretical results (Fig. 4B). Quantitative results further confirmed that the ridge array structure not only increased significantly the tubular structure ratio, but also dictated collagen film folding directions. Specifically, with the ridge array oriented along x -axis, tubular structure ratio increased from 0.29 ± 0.023 (flat without ridges) to 0.48 ± 0.037 (50 μm ridge) and 0.52 ± 0.041 (100 μm ridge), among which tubular structures folded along y -axis increased from 0.07 ± 0.012 (flat without ridges) to 0.38 ± 0.029 (50 μm ridge) and 0.44 ± 0.041 (100 μm ridge) (Fig. 4C). When the ridge array was along y -axis, tubular structure ratio increased from 0.29 ± 0.023 (flat without ridges) to 0.47 ± 0.065 (50 μm ridge) and

0.56 ± 0.017 (100 μm ridge), among which tubular structures folded along x -axis increased from 0.22 ± 0.014 (flat without ridges) to 0.41 ± 0.062 (50 μm ridge) and 0.52 ± 0.035 (100 μm ridge) (Fig. 4C).

CONCLUSION

Fabrication of microscale tubular structures in tissue engineering provides the promising opportunity for repairing and restoring functional loss of *in vivo* tissues such as blood and lymph vessels. Modular tissue engineering, given its advantage for recapitulating intricate tissue specific microenvironment and spatial cellular organization mimicking *in vivo* tissues, holds great promise for creating functional biomimetic 3D tissue constructs including tubular structures. In this study, we presented a simple yet robust microscale tissue engineering approach to generate tubular tissue units through cellular contractile force induced self-folding of cell-laden collagen films in a controllable fashion. Self-folding of cell-laden collagen films was driven by film contraction resulted from intrinsic contractile property of adherent mammalian cells seeded in collagen films. Through carefully designed experiments, we showed that both collagen gel concentration and cell density had significant impacts on self-folding of cell-laden collagen films. We further demonstrated using both experiments and theoretical modeling that folding directions of cell-laden collagen films could be controlled and tubular structure ratio could be significantly increased by adding ridge structures to the backside of collagen films to introduce structural anisotropy to collagen films. One of potential issues for the tubular structures generated by the method reported in this work was that the tubular structures were open-ended with bending film edges not merging together. Since in this current work collagen hydrogel was manually loaded into the PDMS mold, the size of the collagen film could not be too small. For future effort to scale up the fabrication of such tubular structures for modular tissue engineering applications, an automatic hydrogel loading system will be needed. Nevertheless, our approach of using ridge array structures to introduce mechanical anisotropy and thus promote tubular tissue unit formation can be extended to other biomaterial systems and thus provide a simple yet effective way to prepare tubular tissue units for modular tissue engineering applications.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation (ECCS 1231826, CBET 1263889, and CMMI 1536087), the National Institutes of Health (R01 HL119542), the UM-SJTU Collaboration on Biomedical Technologies, the Michigan Center for Integrative Research in Critical Care (M-CIRCC), and the Michigan Translational Research and Commercialization for Life Sciences Program (MTRAC). The Lurie Nanofabrication Facility at the University of Michigan, a member of the National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network (NNIN) funded by the National Science Foundation, is acknowledged for support in microfabrication.

REFERENCES

1. Langer R and Vacanti JP, Tissue engineering. Science, 1993 260(5110): p. 920–6. [PubMed: 8493529]

2. Langer R and Vacanti J, Advances in tissue engineering. *J Pediatr Surg*, 2016 51(1): p. 8–12. [PubMed: 26711689]
3. Boublik J, et al., Mechanical properties and remodeling of hybrid cardiac constructs made from heart cells, fibrin, and biodegradable, elastomeric knitted fabric. *Tissue Eng*, 2005 11(7–8): p. 1122–32. [PubMed: 16144448]
4. Tranquillo RT, The tissue-engineered small-diameter artery. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 2002 961: p. 251–4. [PubMed: 12081910]
5. Correia CR, et al., Chitosan scaffolds containing hyaluronic acid for cartilage tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods*, 2011 17(7): p. 717–30. [PubMed: 21517692]
6. Park SH, et al., Development of dual scale scaffolds via direct polymer melt deposition and electrospinning for applications in tissue regeneration. *Acta Biomater*, 2008 4(5): p. 1198–207. [PubMed: 18458008]
7. Silva NA, et al., Development and characterization of a novel hybrid tissue engineering-based scaffold for spinal cord injury repair. *Tissue Eng Part A*, 2010 16(1): p. 45–54. [PubMed: 19614546]
8. Thadavirul N, Pavasant P, and Supaphol P, Development of polycaprolactone porous scaffolds by combining solvent casting, particulate leaching, and polymer leaching techniques for bone tissue engineering. *J Biomed Mater Res A*, 2014 102(10): p. 3379–92. [PubMed: 24132871]
9. Brown DA, et al., Analysis of oxygen transport in a diffusion-limited model of engineered heart tissue. *Biotechnol Bioeng*, 2007 97(4): p. 962–75. [PubMed: 17195988]
10. Gauvin R and Khademhosseini A, Microscale technologies and modular approaches for tissue engineering: moving toward the fabrication of complex functional structures. *ACS Nano*, 2011 5(6): p. 4258–64. [PubMed: 21627163]
11. Nichol JW and Khademhosseini A, Modular Tissue Engineering: Engineering Biological Tissues from the Bottom Up. *Soft Matter*, 2009 5(7): p. 1312–1319. [PubMed: 20179781]
12. Mironov V, et al., Organ printing: Tissue spheroids as building blocks. *Biomaterials*, 2009 30(12): p. 2164–2174. [PubMed: 19176247]
13. Fernandez JG and Khademhosseini A, Micro-masonry: construction of 3D structures by microscale self-assembly. *Adv Mater*, 2010 22(23): p. 2538–41. [PubMed: 20440697]
14. Yang J, et al., Tissue Engineering Using Laminar Cellular Assemblies. *Advanced Materials*, 2009 21(32–33): p. 3404–3409. [PubMed: 20882505]
15. Torres-Rendon JG, et al., Cellulose Nanofibril Hydrogel Tubes as Sacrificial Templates for Freestanding Tubular Cell Constructs. *Biomacromolecules*, 2016.
16. Baek K, et al., In situ self-folding assembly of a multi-walled hydrogel tube for uniaxial sustained molecular release. *Adv Mater*, 2013 25(39): p. 5568–73. [PubMed: 23864483]
17. L'Heureux N, et al., Human tissue-engineered blood vessels for adult arterial revascularization. *Nature Medicine*, 2006 12(3): p. 361–365.
18. Walters BD and Stegemann JP, Strategies for directing the structure and function of three-dimensional collagen biomaterials across length scales. *Acta Biomater*, 2014 10(4): p. 1488–501. [PubMed: 24012608]
19. Moraes C, et al., Aqueous two-phase printing of cell-containing contractile collagen microgels. *Biomaterials*, 2013 34(37): p. 9623–31. [PubMed: 24034500]
20. Meghezi S, et al., Engineering 3D Cellularized Collagen Gels for Vascular Tissue Regeneration. *J Vis Exp*, 2015(100): p. e52812. [PubMed: 26132527]
21. Elamparithi A, Punnoose AM, and Kuruvilla S, Electrospun type 1 collagen matrices preserving native ultrastructure using benign binary solvent for cardiac tissue engineering. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol*, 2015: p. 1–8. [PubMed: 25297052]
22. Alekseeva T, et al., Engineering a microvascular capillary bed in a tissue-like collagen construct. *Tissue Eng Part A*, 2014 20(19–20): p. 2656–65. [PubMed: 24684395]
23. Rolfe KJ and Grobbelaar AO, A review of fetal scarless healing. *ISRN Dermatol*, 2012 2012: p. 698034. [PubMed: 22675640]
24. Ehrlich HP and Hunt TK, Collagen Organization Critical Role in Wound Contraction. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)*, 2012 1(1): p. 3–9. [PubMed: 24527271]

25. Zhang X, et al., Flexible Fabrication of Shape-Controlled Collagen Building Blocks for Self-Assembly of 3D Microtissues. *Small*, 2015 11(30): p. 3666–75. [PubMed: 25920010]
26. Kuribayashi-Shigetomi K, Onoe H, and Takeuchi S, Cell origami: self-folding of three-dimensional cell-laden microstructures driven by cell traction force. *PLoS One*, 2012 7(12): p. e51085. [PubMed: 23251426]
27. Bowles RD, et al., Self-assembly of aligned tissue-engineered annulus fibrosus and intervertebral disc composite via collagen gel contraction. *Tissue Eng Part A*, 2010 16(4): p. 1339–48. [PubMed: 19905878]
28. Lopez-Garcia MD, Beebe DJ, and Crone WC, Young's modulus of collagen at slow displacement rates. *Biomed Mater Eng*, 2010 20(6): p. 361–9. [PubMed: 21263182]
29. Peterson AW, et al., Vasculogenesis and Angiogenesis in Modular Collagen-Fibrin Microtissues. *Biomater Sci*, 2014 2(10): p. 1497–1508. [PubMed: 25177487]
30. Motte S and Kaufman LJ, Strain stiffening in collagen I networks. *Biopolymers*, 2013 99(1): p. 35–46. [PubMed: 23097228]

Figure 1.

Folding of collagen films into tubular structures using cellular contractile force. (A) Schematic showing collagen film folding owing to cellular contractile force. Square-shaped collagen films with a size of $2 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$ that were initially flat folded into either irregular (*top*) or regular (*bottom*) tubular structures owing to cellular contractile forces from adherent mammalian cells seeded on one side of the collagen films. (B) Numerical simulation of collagen film folding resulted from either isotropic (*left*) or anisotropic (*right*) thermal expansion coefficients of collagen films. In simulations, collagen films were modeled as two-layered, square-shaped structures. The bottom layer had a thickness of $50 \mu\text{m}$ and either

isotropic (*left*) or anisotropic (*right*) thermal expansion coefficients as indicated. The top layer had a thickness of 150 μm and a homogeneous thermal expansion coefficient of 0 K^{-1} . Both top and bottom layers had a *Young's* modulus of 20 KPa. In simulations, film temperature was set to decrease from -273.15 K ($0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) to -293.15 K ($-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). (C) Representative bright field images showing dynamics folding of collagen films into either irregular (*top*) or tubular (*bottom*) structures in 3 d. Square-shaped collagen films with a size of $2\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$ and a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density of $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. Scale bar, $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. (D) Representative fluorescence microscopy images showing live (stained green) and dead (stained red) TeloHAEC-GFP cells in 4 mg mL^{-1} collagen films at day 0, day 3, and day 7, as indicated. TeloHAEC-GFP cells was seeded into collagen films with an initial cell density of $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. Scale bar, $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. (E) Bar plot showing cell viability as a function of culture time. Data represents the mean $\pm$ s.e.m with $n = 3$.

Figure 2.

Effects of collagen gel concentration and cell density on collagen film folding. (A) Independent effect of collagen gel concentration on collagen film folding at day 3. Square-shaped collagen films with a size of 2 mm $\times$ 2 mm were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹. Collagen concentration was varied from 2 mg mL⁻¹ to 6 mg mL⁻¹ as indicated. (B) Confocal images showing spatial distributions of TeloHAEC-GFP cells within collagen films with different collagen gel concentrations as indicated at day 3. Scale bar, 500 μ m. (C) Independent effect of initial cell seeding density on collagen film folding. Square-shaped collagen films with a size of 2 mm $\times$ 2 mm and a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹ were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density from 0.5×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ to 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ as indicated. (D) Representative bright field images showing effect of blebbistatin treatment on collagen film folding. After blebbistatin treatments, most collagen films remained flat at day 3. Square-shaped collagen films with a size of 2 mm $\times$ 2 mm and a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹ were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density of 2×10^6 cells mL⁻¹. Scale bar, 500 μ m. *P* values in A & C were calculated using two-side unpaired student *t*-tests. *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01. Error bars, s.e.m with *n* = 3.

Figure 3.

Ridge array structure integrated onto square-shaped collagen films to facilitate collagen film folding. (A) Simulations showing folding of square-shaped collagen films with a size of $2\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$ integrated with $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (*top*) or $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (*bottom*) ridge array structures, where x -direction was designated as perpendicular to the ridge array and y -direction along ridges. In simulations, collagen films were modeled as three-layered, square-shaped structures. The bottom layer had a thickness of $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and an isotropic thermal expansion coefficient of 5 K^{-1} . The middle layer and the top ridge array had a thickness of $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, respectively, and both layers had a homogeneous thermal expansion coefficient of 0 K^{-1} . For $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ridge arrays, ridge width and space were both $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ or $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, respectively. All three layers in the collagen film had a *Young's* modulus of 20 KPa . In

simulations, film temperature was set to decrease from -273.15 K ($0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) to -293.15 K ($-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). (B) Normalized theoretical moment of inertia (MI) for collagen films with or without ridge array structures along x - and y -directions as indicated. Data was normalized to values for flat collagen films without ridges. Please note that moment of inertial values for collagen films with $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ridge arrays were the same. (C) Representative bright field images showing folding dynamics of collagen films with $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (*top*) and $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (*bottom*) ridge array structures. Square-shaped collagen films with a size of $2\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$ and a collagen concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density of $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. Scale bar, $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. (D) Bar plots showing effect of ridge structures on collagen film folding. Quantitative data from different gel concentrations and cell types were listed. Cell density was kept constant at $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. P values were calculated using two-side unpaired student t -tests. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$. Error bars, s.e.m with $n = 3$.

Figure 4.

Ridge array structures integrated onto rectangular-shaped collagen films to facilitate collagen film folding. (A) Simulations showing folding of rectangular collagen films with a size of $2\text{ mm} \times 3\text{ mm}$ integrated with $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ridge array structures. The ridge array was arranged either along the long side (x-axis; middle) or along the short side (y-axis; right) of the collagen film. In simulations, collagen films were modeled as three-layered structures. The bottom layer had a thickness of $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and an isotropic thermal expansion coefficient of 5 K^{-1} . The middle layer and the top ridge array had a thickness of $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, respectively, and both layers had a homogeneous thermal expansion coefficient of 0 K^{-1} . For the $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ridge array, ridge width and space were both $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. All three layers in the

collagen film had a *Young's* modulus of 20 KPa. In simulations, film temperature was set to decrease from -273.15 K ($0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) to -293.15 K ($-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$). (B) Representative bright field images showing collagen film folding with or without ridge structures as indicated. Top row showed flat collagen films at day 0, and bottom row showed folded collagen film structures at day 3. Scale bar, $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. (C) Normalized theoretical moment of inertia (M) values for collagen films with or without ridge array structures along x - and y -axis as indicated. Data was normalized to the value for a flat collagen film along y -axis. Please note that moment of inertial values for collagen films with $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ridge arrays were the same. (D) Bar plots showing effect of ridge structures on collagen film folding. A collagen concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} were initially seeded with TeloHAEC-GFP cells with a cell density of $2 \times 10^6\text{ cells mL}^{-1}$. Error bars, s.e.m with $n = 3$.