Published in final edited form as:

Cognit Ther Res. 2019 February ; 43(1): 78–87. doi:10.1007/s10608-018-9948-z.

Anxiety Sensitivity is Associated with Lower Enjoyment and an Anxiogenic Response to Physical Activity in Smokers

Samantha G. Farris, Ph.D.^{a,b,c}, Aubrey Legasse, B.A.^d, Lisa Uebelacker, Ph.D.^{a,d}, Richard A. Brown, Ph.D.^{a,e}, Lawrence H. Price, M.D.^{a,d}, and Ana M. Abrantes, Ph.D.^{a,d}

^aAlpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island ^bThe Miriam Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island ^cRutgers, The State University of New Jersey ^dButler Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island ^eUniversity of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas

Abstract

Background: The subjective affective response to, and enjoyment of, physical activity are strong predictors of engagement in physical activity. Anxiety sensitivity, the fear of bodily sensations, is a cognitive factor that may inhibit the pleasurable affective experience of physical activity, possibly contributing to low levels of physical activity. The current study evaluated anxiety sensitivity in relation to PA enjoyment and affective experience before and after exercise in smokers.

Method: Participants were low-active treatment-seeking smokers ($n = 201$) enrolled in a smoking cessation intervention. At baseline, participants completed self-report assessments of anxiety sensitivity, cigarette dependence, and physical activity enjoyment. State affect was also reported before and after a submaximal exercise test to index pre-exercise activity affect and affective response to exercise.

Results: Anxiety sensitivity was significantly negatively correlated with physical activity enjoyment, specifically lower enjoyable physical feelings of physical activity. Anxiety sensitivity was significantly correlated with lower state mood and higher state anxiety prior to the submaximal exercise test, and higher anxiety immediately after the exercise test. Additionally, anxiety sensitivity predicted increased anxiety, but not lower mood, in response to the submaximal exercise test.

Conclusions: This is the first study to document an association of anxiety sensitivity with affective determinants of physical activity behavior in smokers. Anxiety sensitivity was associated with lower physical activity enjoyment, higher negative affect prior to after exercise testing, and an anxiogenic response to exercise. Future work is needed to understand how the current findings generalize beyond smokers.

* **Corresponding author:** Samantha G. Farris, Ph.D. at Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior; 345 Blackstone Blvd, Butler Hospital, Providence, RI 02906. samantha_farris@brown.edu.

Conflict of Interest: Dr. Brown has equity ownership in Health Behavior Solutions, Inc., which is developing products for tobacco cessation although not products directly related to this publication. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by the University of Texas at Austin in accordance with its policy on objectivity in research. Dr. Price receives travel funds from Springer and is a consultant to Wiley, Springer, University of Texas at Austin, and the Cleveland Clinic. Dr. Uebelacker's spouse is employed by Abbvie Pharmaceuticals. Drs. Farris and Abrantes, and Ms. Legasse has not disclosures to report.

Keywords

Exercise; PACES; affective response; anticipated affect; anxiety vulnerability

Introduction

There is clear evidence that physical activity (PA) reduces risk of early morbidity and mortality (U S Department of Health and Human Services 2014). There are also well-documented benefits of regular PA and aerobic exercise for mental health, including depression and anxiety (Asmundson et al. 2013; Carek et al. 2011; Cooney et al. 2013; de Souza Moura et al. 2015; Ströhle 2009), although the latter has received less attention in the scholarly literature. Emerging evidence indicates that regular PA and structured exercise have positive effects in the treatment of various anxiety disorders including panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, and related disorders like posttraumatic stress disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder (e.g., Abrantes, Brown, et al. 2017; Bischoff et al. 2018; Goldstein et al. 2018; Herring et al. 2012; Merom et al. 2008; Powers et al. 2015; Wedekind et al. 2010). However, more than half of adults (53.9%) in the United States do not meet the federal guidelines for PA (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2013). Additionally, dropout rates from structured PA programs are high (Marcus et al. 2006), especially among individuals with anxiety symptoms and/or disorders (Sabourin et al. 2011; Smits et al. 2010). Thus, understanding factors related to low engagement in PA has the potential to improve both physical and mental health outcomes.

Affective factors related to PA are key determinants of PA engagement (Ekkekakis et al. 2005a; Rhodes et al. 2009; Rhodes and Kates 2015; Williams and Evans 2014). Consistent with hedonic principles, having a positive affective response to PA is associated with greater future PA behavior (Kwan and Bryan 2010; Williams et al. 2008, 2012). In addition, cognitive processing of previous or anticipated affective responses to PA is associated with PA behavior (Rhodes et al. 2009; Williams 2008). For instance, low enjoyment of PA or expectancies of negative affective outcomes in response to PA (i.e., affective forecasting) are linked to lower PA engagement and adherence (Williams and Evans 2014). Moreover, certain emotional states can demotivate PA and negatively influence affective responses to PA (Williams and Evans 2014). Fear and anxiety are particularly relevant demotivating emotional states for PA given that these emotions are characterized by the tendency to avoid behaviors that are, or perceived to be, threatening or likely to produce negative affect (LeDoux 2012). Thus, fear and anxiety may interfere with the affective experience of PA.

Anxiety sensitivity, a core cognitive-affective vulnerability that underlies anxiety psychopathology (Olatunji and Wolitzky-Taylor 2009), is defined as the fear of bodily sensations (McNally 2002; Reiss 1991). Because anxiety itself is associated with increased arousal and physical sensations, anxiety sensitivity may theoretically influence PA in the following sequence: it can (1) intensify the subjective experience of fear and physical states following acute stressors (e.g., Dodo and Hashimoto 2017); and as a result (2) intensify negative affect and bodily discomfort during PA, especially at higher PA intensities (Asmundson et al. 2013); which can (3) result in lower enjoyment and a negative affective

response to PA (Ekkekakis et al. 2008); and in turn (4) undermine PA engagement. Indeed, empirical data indicate that anxiety sensitivity is inversely related to PA engagement and fitness levels (Farris et al. 2016; McWilliams and Asmundson 2001; Sabourin et al. 2011; Smits and Zvolensky 2006) and prospectively predicts lower engagement in PA (Hearon et al. 2014; Moshier et al. 2016). Initial evidence also indicates that anxiety sensitivity is associated with increased negative affect (i.e., fear) during structured PA, particularly among individuals with higher body mass index (Smits et al. 2010). Elevated anxiety sensitivity is linked to greater subjective feelings of exertion during prolonged bouts of PA, but not objective physiological arousal (Farris et al. 2017). These findings suggest that anxiety sensitivity promotes biased cognitive processing of affective experiences during PA. Although not yet examined, anxiety sensitivity may also contribute to the negative affective response immediately following PA and affective processing of PA, including low enjoyment of PA and elevated negative affect prior to PA. These theorized associations are consistent with the affect and health behavior framework and dual-processing perspectives (Williams and Evans 2014), which posit that interplay between affect and health behavior operate via automatic and reflective processing of affect.

Cigarette smokers is one subgroup of population for which the link between anxiety sensitivity and PA is particularly important to understand. The comorbidity between anxiety and depressive psychopathology and cigarette smoking is well-documented (e.g., Lasser et al., 2000; Morris, Burns, Waxmonskey, & Levinson, 2014; McCabe et al., 2014; Piper et al., 2010) and anxiety sensitivity is one established mechanism underlying the comorbidity of anxiety/depressive disorders and smoking (Leventhal & Zvolensky, 2015). Anxiety sensitivity is thought to maintain smoking through negative reinforcement processes (McCarthy et al. 2010), in which smoking serves as a “quick fix” strategy to avoid or escape distress states. Cigarette smoking may increase vulnerability to physical discomfort and associated affective distress during PA due to smoking-induced cardiopulmonary impairments (i.e., poor ventilatory efficiency; reduced pulmonary perfusion; chronic airway inflammation; O'Donnell et al., 2017). These physiological impairments can contribute heightened dyspnea with exertion, and as a result, intolerance of exercise (O'Donnell et al., 2017).

The current study aimed to evaluate anxiety sensitivity in relation to affective factors relevant to PA in a sample of low-active treatment-seeking smokers. Specifically, we evaluated anxiety sensitivity in relation to: (a) PA enjoyment, (b) negative affect (i.e., higher state anxiety and lower state mood) immediately prior to a submaximal exercise test, and (c) the affective response to a submaximal exercise test. We hypothesized that anxiety sensitivity would be associated with: (1) lower PA enjoyment, (2) higher state anxiety and lower mood prior to exercise testing, and (3) a greater negative affective response to exercise testing. These associations were examined with and without adjusting for theoretically-relevant covariates (i.e., cigarette dependence, sex, and body weight). Additionally, given that depressive symptoms contribute to affective factors related to PA (Abrantes, Farris, et al. 2017; Leventhal 2012), potentially mediated through depression-specific mechanisms (e.g., reduced hedonic capacity), we also controlled for depression symptom severity.

Method

Participants

Participants were adult smokers with at least mild depressive symptoms who were seeking treatment for smoking cessation, enrolled in a randomized controlled trial that compared the efficacy of two adjunctive interventions to cognitive-behavioral smoking cessation treatment and nicotine replacement therapy: (a) 12-session, group aerobic exercise intervention or (b) 12-session, group health-education control intervention. Inclusion criteria included: being between ages 18–65, low active (i.e., not engaging in more than 90 minutes of weekly moderate-to-vigorous PA in the last 12 weeks), smoking 10 cigarettes per day, and having at least mild depressive symptoms [6 on the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (Radloff 1977)]. The current study is a secondary data analysis of pre-treatment baseline data. Of the 231 participants enrolled in the trial, 30 cases were excluded from analyses due to missing/incomplete self-report data ($n = 23$) or missing/invalid walk test data ($n = 7$). The final sample included 201 participants ($M_{age} = 46.2$, $SD = 11.0$; 71.1% female) who self-identified race as white (80.6%), black/African-American (9%), more than one race (5%), American Indian/Alaska Native (1%), Asian (1%), or other (3.5%).

Measures

Demographics information was self-reported by participants, including age, biological sex, and race. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on measured weight and height as an index of body weight ($[\text{weight}(\text{lbs})/[\text{height}(\text{in})]^2 \times 703]$).

The **Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3** (ASI-3; Taylor et al. 2007) is an 18-item self-report measure in which individuals rate the extent to which they are concerned about various possible consequences from anxiety-related physical symptoms (e.g., “It scares me when my heart beats rapidly”). Responses are rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*very little*) to 4 (*very much*) and summed to create a total score (possible range 0–72). The ASI-3 items have strong and improved psychometric properties relative to prior measure items of the construct (Taylor et al. 2007). The ASI-3 items also have strong psychometric properties in smokers enrolled in smoking cessation treatment (Farris, DiBello, et al. 2015). The internal consistency of the ASI-3 items was $\alpha = .93$.

The **Fagerström Test for Cigarette Dependence** (FTCD; Fagerström 2012; Heatherton et al. 1991) is a 6-item self-report assessment of cigarette dependence severity. Higher scores reflect greater physiological dependence on cigarettes (possible range 0–10). The internal consistency of the FTCD items was $\alpha = .61$.

The **Smoking History Questionnaire** (Brown et al. 2002) was used to describe the sample in terms of smoking characteristics (e.g., cigarettes per day).

Smoking status was biochemically verified by expired carbon monoxide breath sample, with 10 parts per million indicating current smoking.

The **Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology** (QIDS; Rush et al. 2013) is a 16-item clinician-administered assessment of past week depressive symptoms. Items assess the

severity of the nine diagnostic symptoms for major depressive disorder. Each item is assigned a score (0–3), with higher scores reflecting more severe frequency and severity of symptoms, and the total score for the nine domains is used to derive a summed index of depression severity (possible range 0–27). The QIDS was administered by highly-trained research assistants who were supervised by licensed clinical psychologists.

The **Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale** (PACES; Kendzierski and DeCarlo 1991) is a widely used self-report measure of physical activity enjoyment. The PACES includes 18 items in which respondents are asked to rate “how you feel at the moment about the physical activity you have been doing” using a 7-point bipolar Likert scale (e.g., “It’s not at all stimulating/It’s very stimulating”). Seven items are positively valenced, such that higher scores reflect greater levels of physical activity enjoyment. Eleven items are negatively valenced, and thus are reverse-scored for consistency in directional interpretation of scores. A total summed score (possible range 18–126) has been previously used to index overall levels of PA enjoyment (Kendzierski and DeCarlo 1991), including among low-active individuals (Abrantes et al., 2017; Murrock et al., 2016). The internal consistency of the PACES items was $\alpha = .92$. However, prior studies examining the factor structure of the PACES have found 1-factor (Heesch et al. 2006; Mullen et al. 2011), 2-factor (Román et al. 2014), and 3-factor structures (Murrock et al. 2016), suggesting that this performance measure may vary based on sample characteristics.

A standardized **submaximal exercise test** was completed using the Rockport 1.0 mile treadmill walk test (Poher et al. 2002). The 1-mile walk test was supervised and conducted by an exercise physiologist. This exercise testing protocol includes a 3–5-minute warm-up, during which the treadmill speed is gradually increased until the participants chose the fastest walking speed that they believed could be maintained for one mile. The exercise physiologist encouraged increases in treadmill speed until heart rate reached the target range for moderate-intensity PA (64–76% of age-predicted maximal heart rate; American College of Sports Medicine 2010). Mean rating on the Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE) scale (Borg 1982) in this sample was 12.2 ($SD = 1.7$), indicating moderate intensity exercise.

The **Acute Symptoms Self-Rating Scale** was used to assess state mood and anxiety before and after exercise testing, which has been used in previous studies (Abrantes et al. 2012). Participants were asked to rate 2 items on a 10-point Likert scale, mood (0 = *Worst ever* to 10 = *Best ever*) and anxiety (0 = *No anxiety* to 10 = *Extreme anxiety*), immediately before and following the exercise test. Pre-walk test ratings were used to index *pre-exercise affect*, and the change in ratings from pre-to post-exercise test were used to index *affective response* to exercise.

Procedure

Participants were recruited from the community via flyers, website postings, and clinic referrals between 2014 and 2018. Participants attended an initial baseline appointment during which self-report assessments were completed. Medical clearance for moderate-intensity PA was obtained from participants’ primary care physician prior to baseline exercise testing. Once approved for PA, participants completed a second baseline appointment during which the exercise testing was completed. The second baseline

assessment was typically completed within two weeks after the initial baseline assessment. Participants were compensated \$25 for completion for each baseline assessment (\$50 in total).

Data Analytic Strategy

First, given the prior inconsistencies documented in the factor structure of the PACES, an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) using principal components with promax rotation analysis was conducted to examine the underlying structure of the PACES in the current sample. The original responses for all items (non-reversed scores) were entered. The EFA allowed all items to load on all factors and did not force any factor loading to be zero (DeVellis 2017). Factor loadings of .40 and greater were considered good (Nunnally and Bernstein 1994). The minimum average partial (MAP; Velicer 1976) test was used to verify component solution based on the lowest average squared correlation, which was confirmed by the parallel analysis with both 1,000 and 5,000 random sample datasets (O'Connor 2000).

Next, zero-order bivariate associations were examined between anxiety sensitivity and the criterion variables. Then, partial correlations were examined between anxiety sensitivity and the criterion variables, after accounting for theoretically-relevant covarying factors, including cigarette dependence, sex, and BMI, and depressive symptom severity.

For affective response to the submaximal exercise test, which is determined based on the change in affect from pre-to-post PA, initial paired samples t-tests were conducted to examine the average acute affective response to (change from) exercise testing. Cohen's d was used as an effect size index, where small, medium, and large effects are indicated by d 's of 0.20, 0.50, and 0.80, respectively. Then, multiple linear regression analyses were conducted for anxiety sensitivity predicting post-exercise affect, controlling for pre-exercise affect given that the outcome of interest was the acute affective response to (*change from*) exercise. Subsequent analyses were conducted controlling for the abovementioned covariates.

Results

On average, participants reported smoking for 25.9 years ($SD = 10.8$), smoked 19.1 ($SD = 8.6$) cigarettes per day, and had moderate levels of cigarette dependence ($M = 5.4$, $SD = 2.1$). On average, the sample had an overweight BMI ($M = 29.2$, $SD = 6.6$) and mild levels of depressive symptoms ($M = 6.0$, $SD = 4.2$). Anxiety sensitivity was elevated in the sample, with an average ASI-3 score of 14.2 ($SD = 13.6$). Approximately one-third (31.8%) of the sample had ASI-3 scores ≥ 17 , reflecting a moderately elevated level of anxiety sensitivity. Anxiety sensitivity was significantly correlated with the cigarette dependence ($r = .25$, $p < .001$) and depression severity ($r = .43$, $p < .001$), but not sex ($r = .10$, $p = .147$) or BMI ($r = .01$, $p = .935$).

Physical Activity Enjoyment

EFA Results.—The MAP test indicated a solution of three components, which had the lowest average squared correlation ($r^2 = .023$), and this solution was confirmed by the parallel analysis. The three-factor solution explains 61.2% of total variance. Table 1 provides

the loadings of the PACES items. The first factor comprised of 7 items reflecting enjoyable “physical feelings” of PA, which accounted for 44.2% of variance in the rotated solution. The second factor was comprised of 6 negatively valenced items on the PACES, reflecting “negative affect” related to PA, which accounted for 9.7% of variance in the rotated solution. The third factor was comprised of 4 positively valenced items, reflecting “positive affect” related to PA, which accounted for 7.3% of variance in the rotated solution. One negatively valenced item (Frustration) had items loadings $< .40$ across all factors, and thus was not retained on any factor. All 18 items were used to create the total score to maintain consistency across studies in interpreting mean scores. The three factors were significantly intercorrelated: Physical Feelings and Negative Affect ($r = -.58$), Physical Feelings and Positive Affect ($r = .62$), and Negative Affect and Positive Affect ($r = -.51$, all p 's $< .001$). The mean and standard deviations for the PACES total and three factor scores are included in Table 2. The observed range was 18–126, indicating sufficient variability in the PACES in this sample of low-active individuals.

Anxiety sensitivity and PACES.—Correlation results are presented in Table 2. At the bivariate level, anxiety sensitivity was significantly negatively associated with PACES total score and PACES Physical Feeling, and Positive Affect, but not Negative Affect subscale. Anxiety sensitivity remained negatively correlated with PACES Physical Feeling at a trend level in the adjusted model ($p = .056$).

Negative Affect Prior to Submaximal Exercise Testing

As presented in Table 2, bivariate correlations indicated that anxiety sensitivity was significantly negatively associated with pre-exercise mood ratings, reflecting higher levels of negative mood. This association was non-significant in the adjusted model. Anxiety sensitivity was also significantly positively associated with pre-exercise anxiety ratings, reflecting higher levels of state anxiety, which remained significant at a trend level in the adjusted model ($p = .058$).

Affective Response to Submaximal Exercise Testing

Anxiety sensitivity was significantly correlated with higher post-exercise anxiety in the bivariate and adjusted models but was not associated with post-exercise mood (Table 2). Regarding affective response to exercise (change in affect), paired t-tests revealed a significant acute affective response to exercise testing. Specifically, exercise produced an average 0.76 point increase in mood ratings ($t(200) = 7.39$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = .52$) and 1.24 point reduction in anxiety ratings ($t(200) = -8.91$, $p < .001$, Cohen's $d = .64$). See Table 3 for regression results. Results from the unadjusted model indicated that every 1-point increase on the ASI-3 was associated with 0.02-point increase in post-exercise anxiety rating ($t = 2.07$, $p = .040$, $sr^2 = .021$), suggesting anxiety sensitivity was associated with small increases in anxiety from exercise. In the adjusted models, the effect of anxiety sensitivity on post-exercise anxiety was not significant. Regression results revealed that anxiety sensitivity was not significantly associated with acute mood response to exercise.

Discussion

Findings support the association between anxiety sensitivity, a cognitive mechanism underlying anxiety psychopathology, and affective factors related to PA engagement. As hypothesized, anxiety sensitivity was associated with lower enjoyment of current PA, measured with the PACES. We also identified a multidimensional structure of the PACES described by three inter-related domains that reflected enjoyable physical feelings from PA (e.g., “*I feel energized*”), positive beliefs related to PA (e.g., “*It’s very pleasant*”), and negative beliefs related to PA (e.g., “*I feel bored*”, where higher scores reflect lower enjoyment). A three-factor structure has been previously reported in low-active adults with functional limitations (Murrock et al. 2016), suggesting that at least in low-active adults, subfacets of PA enjoyment may offer unique information affective factors related to PA. Findings indicated that anxiety sensitivity was uniquely associated with lower enjoyable *physical feelings* from PA. Thus, anxiety sensitivity may attenuate the positive physical feelings from PA (e.g., vigor and stimulation) due to heightened fear of such sensations and lower consequent enjoyment of PA.

Anxiety sensitivity was also associated with higher negative affect (i.e., elevated anxiety and lower mood) immediately prior to moderate-intensity submaximal exercise testing. Anxiety sensitivity is characterized by the fear of, and negative expectancies about, the outcomes of behaviors that acutely increase discomfort (e.g., Farris, Langdon, et al. 2015). This tendency to forecast negative affective and physical outcomes may contribute to heightened negative affect immediately prior to a bout of PA. In effect, anxiety sensitivity may amplify anxious anticipation of PA, and the resulting affective states may motivate behavioral avoidance (Williams and Evans 2014). Additionally, cognitive-affective factors about PA specifically (e.g., expecting to experience negative affect after PA) predict a more negative affective response to PA (Sala et al. 2016), which can undermine future PA engagement. It is also possible that the association between anxiety sensitivity and elevated negative affect prior to exercise testing is non-specific to PA and is driven by the trait tendency to negative affectivity, thus future work would be bolstered by evaluating negative affect in anticipation of initiating a structured bout of PA, specifically.

In this sample, moderate-intensity submaximal exercise testing produced medium-sized acute reductions in anxiety and increases in mood. This positive affective response is consistent with the well-documented acute anxiolytic and mood enhancing effects of PA (Petrusello et al. 1991; Reed and Ones 2006; Wipfli et al. 2008). However, anxiety sensitivity blunted the positive affective response to PA and instead predicted an anxiogenic response to PA (i.e., increased anxiety). Individuals with elevated anxiety sensitivity likely have increased attentional bias to and awareness of bodily sensations resulting from physical exertion during exercise (Farris et al. 2017), which likely contributes to heightened anxiety during exercise (Smits et al. 2010) and an anxiogenic response to submaximal exercise testing. These individual difference factors may have process-level influences (e.g., mediating, moderating effect) on this anxiogenic response to PA, which requires inquiry in future research (Ekkekakis et al. 2005b; Ekkekakis and Lind 2006). Anxiety sensitivity was not associated with the acute mood response to exercise testing, suggesting some specificity for the relationship between anxiety sensitivity and an anxious response to exercise.

These findings must be considered in light of the clinical characteristics of the present sample. The sample consisted of low-active cigarette smokers who were motivated for smoking cessation. The association between anxiety sensitivity and affective factors related to PA are not proposed to be specific to smokers, although impaired cardiopulmonary functioning, among other factors, could enhance the negative affective experience of PA. The patterning of results generally remained the same after adjusting for level of cigarette dependence, which typically accounted for a minimal 1–2% of variance in the affective factor linked to PA. Additionally, although statistically controlled for cigarette dependence and other relevant factors (e.g., BMI, sex, depressive symptoms), future research is needed to understand how these processes interplay with anxiety sensitivity to contribute to the affective experience and response to PA (e.g., Smits et al., 2008) in order to identify key affective barriers to PA in health-vulnerable subsets of the population.

There are several additional limitations. First, the external validity of this study is potentially limited by the laboratory-based setting in which the submaximal exercise testing occurred, and the perception of being evaluated could have influenced affect ratings before and after testing. Second, future studies are needed to evaluate the generalizability of these findings across the type, duration, and intensity of PA performed. For example, anxiety sensitivity may show stronger negative associations with the affective experience surrounding PA of higher intensities (Moshier et al. 2013), alternative forms of PA (e.g., running versus weight training; LeBouthillier and Asmundson 2017), or longer durations of PA (Farris et al. 2017). Third, we assessed *discrete* negative affect states (i.e., anxiety, mood) before and after exercise.

Although this approach has been used in prior work (e.g., Abrantes et al., 2012, 2018), affective response to PA is more commonly evaluated based on the circumplex model (Russell 1980) in which affective valence (pleasantness-unpleasantness) and activation are assessed (Ekkekakis and Petruzzello 2002). Last, the sample had limited racial and ethnic heterogeneity, was primarily female. Thus, the sample may not necessarily be generalizable to other low-active groups of smokers, and future work is needed to replicate the current findings.

In conclusion, we offer novel evidence of the association between anxiety sensitivity and affective predictors of PA including: (1) lower levels of PA enjoyment, particularly because of physical feelings resulting from PA; (2) elevated anxiety and lower mood immediately prior to submaximal exercise testing; and (3) an anxiogenic affective response to submaximal exercise testing. Psychoeducation about anxiety and physiological sensations can aid in reducing anxiety sensitivity (Smits et al. 2008), with an attempt to reframe or at least define sensations during exercise as safe or even desirable (i.e., indicative of strength, effort to become healthier). Individuals with anxiety vulnerability may also benefit from carefully tailored PA prescriptions aimed at bolstering the affective experience of PA, including self-paced PA intensities (Williams 2008), which is particularly well-suited individuals with lower cardiorespiratory fitness (Baldwin et al. 2016). In addition, shorter initial bouts of PA (e.g., 10 minutes) that may generate less anticipatory anxiety relative to longer bouts of PA and produce a more positive affective response (Farris et al. 2017). These interventions would theoretically build tolerance and acceptance to PA-induced physical

distress through repeated exposure (to decrease negative affect to PA), while also increasing the positive affective associations and responses to PA, which in combination could facilitate engagement in PA longer bouts of higher-intensity PA for ideal cardiorespiratory and health benefits.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Ethical Approval:

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed Consent:

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by a grant (R01-CA173551) funded by the National Cancer Institute. The first author is supported by a grant (T32-HL076134-11) funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

References

- Abrantes AM, Brown RA, Strong DR, McLaughlin N, Garnaat SL, Mancebo M, et al. (2017). A pilot randomized controlled trial of aerobic exercise as an adjunct to OCD treatment. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 49, 51–55. 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2017.06.010 [PubMed: 29122148]
- Abrantes AM Farris SG, Minami H, Strong DR, Riebe D, & Brown RA (2018). Acute effects of aerobic exercise on affect and smoking craving in the weeks before and after a cessation attempt. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 20(5), 575–582. [PubMed: 28505303]
- Abrantes AM, Farris SG, Garnaat SL, Minto A, Brown RA, Price LH, & Uebelacker LA (2017). The role of physical activity enjoyment on the acute mood experience of exercise among smokers with elevated depressive symptoms. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 12 10.1016/j.mhpa.2017.02.001
- Abrantes AM, McLaughlin N, Greenberg BD, Strong DR, Riebe D, Mancebo M, et al. (2012). Design and rationale for a randomized controlled trial testing the efficacy of aerobic exercise for patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 5, 155–165. 10.1016/j.mhpa.2012.06.002 [PubMed: 23189089]
- American College of Sports Medicine. (2010). *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Asmundson GJG, Fetzner MG, Deboer LB, Powers MB, Otto MW, & Smits JAJ (2013). Let's get physical: A contemporary review of the anxiolytic effects of exercise for anxiety and its disorders. *Depression and Anxiety*, 30(4), 362–373. 10.1002/da.22043 [PubMed: 23300122]
- Baldwin AS, Kangas JL, Denman DC, Smits JAJ, Yamada T, Otto MW, et al. (2016). Cardiorespiratory fitness moderates the effect of an affect-guided physical activity prescription: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 45(6), 445–457. 10.1080/16506073.2016.1194454 [PubMed: 27310568]
- Bischoff S, Wieder G, Einsle F, Petzold MB, Janßen C, Mumm JLM, et al. (2018). Running for extinction? Aerobic exercise as an augmentation of exposure therapy in panic disorder with agoraphobia. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 101, 34–41. 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.03.001 [PubMed: 29539585]
- Borg GV (1982). Psychological basis of perceived exertion. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 14, 377–381. [PubMed: 7154893]

- Brown RA, Lejuez CW, Kahler CW, & Strong DR (2002). Distress tolerance and duration of past smoking cessation attempts. *Journal of abnormal psychology*, 111(1), 180–5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11866171>. Accessed 6 September 2016 [PubMed: 11866171]
- Carek PJ, Laibstain SE, & Carek SM (2011). Exercise for the treatment of depression and anxiety. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine*, 41(1), 15–28. Journal Article. [PubMed: 21495519]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). *Health Behaviors of Adults: United States 2008–2010. Vital and Health Statistics (Vol. 257)*.
- Cooney GMG, Dwan K, Greig CA, Lawlor DDA, Rimer J, Waugh FRF, et al. (2013). Exercise for depression (Review). *The Cochrane Library*, 9(3), CD004366. 10.1002/14651858.CD004366.pub6. Copyright
- de Souza Moura AM, Lamego MK, Paes F, Ferreira Rocha NB, Simoes-Silva V, Rocha SA, et al. (2015). Effects of Aerobic Exercise on Anxiety Disorders: A Systematic Review. *CNS & neurological disorders drug targets*, 14(9), 1184–93. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26556089>. Accessed 8 May 2018 [PubMed: 26556089]
- DeVellis RF (2017). *Scale development: Theory & applications* (4th ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Dodo N, & Hashimoto R (2017). The effect of anxiety sensitivity on psychological and biological variables during the cold pressor test. *Autonomic Neuroscience*, 205, 72–76. 10.1016/j.autneu.2017.05.006 [PubMed: 28529060]
- Ekkekakis P, Hall EE, & Petruzzello SJ (2005a). Evaluation of the circumplex structure of the Activation-Deactivation Check List before and after a shortwalk. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 6, 83–101. 10.1016/j.psychsport.2003.10.005
- Ekkekakis P, Hall EE, & Petruzzello SJ (2005b). Variation and homogeneity in affective responses to physical activity of varying intensities: an alternative perspective on dose-response based on evolutionary considerations. *Journal of sports sciences*, 23(5), 477–500. 10.1080/02640410400021492 [PubMed: 16194996]
- Ekkekakis P, Hall EE, & Petruzzello SJ (2008). The relationship between exercise intensity and affective responses demystified: to crack the 40-year-old nut, replace the 40-year-old nutcracker! *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 35(2), 136–49. 10.1007/s12160-008-9025-z [PubMed: 18369689]
- Ekkekakis P, & Lind E (2006). Exercise does not feel the same when you are overweight: the impact of self-selected and imposed intensity on affect and exertion. *International journal of obesity* (2005), 30(4), 652–60. 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803052 [PubMed: 16130028]
- Ekkekakis P, & Petruzzello SJ (2002). Analysis of the affect measurement conundrum in exercise psychology: IV. A conceptual case for the affect circumplex. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 3(1), 35–63. 10.1016/S1469-0292(01)00028-0
- Fagerström KO (2012). Determinants of tobacco use and renaming the FTND to the Fagerström Test for Cigarette Dependence. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 14(1), 75–78. [PubMed: 22025545]
- Farris SG, Davis ML, Rosenfield D, Kauffman BY, Baird SO, Powers MB, et al. (2016). Exercise self-efficacy moderates the relation between anxiety sensitivity and body mass index and exercise tolerance in treatment-seeking smokers. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 10, 25–32. 10.1016/j.mhpa.2016.05.001 [PubMed: 27725844]
- Farris SG, DiBello AM, Allan NP, Hogan J, Schmidt NB, & Zvolensky MJ (2015). Evaluation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 among treatment-seeking smokers. *Psychological assessment*, 27(3), 1123–8. 10.1037/pas0000112 [PubMed: 25894700]
- Farris SG, Langdon KJ, DiBello AM, & Zvolensky MJ (2015). Why Do Anxiety Sensitive Smokers Perceive Quitting as Difficult? The Role of Expecting “Interoceptive Threat” During Acute Abstinence. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 39(2). 10.1007/s10608-014-9644-6
- Farris SG, Uebelacker LA, Brown RA, Price LH, Desaulniers J, & Abrantes AM (2017). Anxiety sensitivity predicts increased perceived exertion during a 1-mile walk test among treatment-seeking smokers. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 40(6). 10.1007/s10865-017-9853-z
- Goldstein LA, Mehling WE, Metzler TJ, Cohen BE, Barnes DE, Choucroun GJ, et al. (2018). Veterans Group Exercise: A randomized pilot trial of an Integrative Exercise program for veterans with

- posttraumatic stress. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 227, 345–352. 10.1016/j.jad.2017.11.002 [PubMed: 29145076]
- Hearon BA, Quatromoni PA, Mascoop JL, & Otto MW (2014). The role of anxiety sensitivity in daily physical activity and eating behavior. *Eating behaviors*, 15(2), 255–8. 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.03.007 [PubMed: 24854814]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, & Fagerstrom KO (1991). The Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction*, 86(9), 1119–1127. [PubMed: 1932883]
- Heesch KC, Masse LC, & Dunn AL (2006). Using Rasch modeling to re-evaluate three scales related to physical activity: enjoyment, perceived benefits and perceived barriers. *Health education research*, 21 Suppl 1, i58–72. 10.1093/her/cyl054 [PubMed: 16849389]
- Herring MP, Jacob ML, Suveg C, Dishman RK, & O'Connor PJ (2012). Feasibility of exercise training for the short-term treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*, 81(1), 21–8. 10.1159/000327898 [PubMed: 22116310]
- Kendzierski D, & DeCarlo KJ (1991). Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale: Two validation studies. *Journal of sport & exercise psychology*, 13(1), 50–64.
- Kwan BM, & Bryan A (2010). In-task and post-task affective response to exercise: translating exercise intentions into behaviour. *British journal of health psychology*, 15(Pt 1), 115–31. 10.1348/135910709X433267 [PubMed: 19397847]
- Lasser K, Boyd JW, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, & Bor DH (2000). Smoking and mental illness: A population-based prevalence study. *Journal of the american medical association*, 284(20), 2606–10. [PubMed: 11086367]
- <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11086367>. Accessed 6 September 2016
- LeBouthillier DM, & Asmundson GJG (2017). The efficacy of aerobic exercise and resistance training as transdiagnostic interventions for anxiety-related disorders and constructs: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 52(July), 43–52. 10.1016/j.janxdis.2017.09.005 [PubMed: 29049901]
- LeDoux JE (2012). Evolution of human emotion In *Progress in brain research* (Vol. 195, pp. 431–442). 10.1016/B978-0-444-53860-4.00021-0 [PubMed: 22230640]
- Leventhal AM (2012). Relations between anhedonia and physical activity. *American Journal of Health Behavior* 10.5993/AJHB.36.6.12
- Marcus BH, Williams DM, Dubbert PM, Sallis JF, King AC, Yancey AK, et al. (2006). Physical Activity Intervention Studies What We Know and What We Need to Know: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism (Subcommittee on Physical Activity); Council on Cardiovascular. *Circulation*, 114(24), 2739–2752. 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.179683 [PubMed: 17145995]
- McCabe RE, Chudzik SM, Antony MM, Young L, Swinson RP, & Zolvensky MJ (2004). Smoking behaviors across anxiety disorders. *Journal of anxiety disorders*, 18(1), 7– 18. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14725865>. Accessed 6 September 2016 [PubMed: 14725865]
- McCarthy DE, Curtin JJ, Piper ME, & Baker TB (2010). Negative reinforcement: Possible clinical implications of an integrative model. In *Substance abuse and emotion* (pp. 15–42). Washington: American Psychological Association 10.1037/12067-001
- McNally RJ (2002). Anxiety sensitivity and panic disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 52(10), 938–946. 10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01475-0 [PubMed: 12437935]
- McWilliams LA, & Asmundson GJ (2001). Is there a negative association between anxiety sensitivity and arousal-increasing substances and activities? *Journal of anxiety disorders*, 15(3), 161–70. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11442136>. Accessed 14 September 2016 [PubMed: 11442136]
- Merom D, Phongsavan P, Wagner R, Chey T, Marnane C, Steel Z, et al. (2008). Promoting walking as an adjunct intervention to group cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders—a pilot group randomized trial. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 22(6), 959–968. [PubMed: 17988832]
- Morris CD, Burns EK, Waxmonsky JA, Levinson AH (2014). Smoking cessation behaviors among persons with psychiatric diagnoses: Results from a population-level state survey. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 136(1), 63–68. [PubMed: 24417963]

- Moshier SJ, Hearon BA, Calkins AW, Szuhany KL, Utschig AC, Smits JAJ, & Otto MW (2013). Clarifying the link between distress intolerance and exercise: Elevated anxiety sensitivity predicts less vigorous exercise. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 37(3), 476–482. 10.1007/s10608-012-9489-9
- Moshier SJ, Szuhany KL, Hearon BA, Smits JAJ, & Otto MW (2016). Anxiety sensitivity uniquely predicts exercise behaviors in young adults seeking to increase physical activity. *Behavior Modification*, 40(1–2), 178–198. 10.1177/0145445515603704 [PubMed: 26342011]
- Mullen SP, Olson EA, Phillips SM, Szabo AN, Wójcicki TR, Mailey EL, et al. (2011). Measuring enjoyment of physical activity in older adults: invariance of the physical activity enjoyment scale (paces) across groups and time. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*, 8, 103. 10.1186/1479-5868-8-103 [PubMed: 21951520]
- Murrock CJ, Bekhet A, & Zauszniewski JA (2016). Psychometric Evaluation of the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale in Adults with Functional Limitations. *Issues in mental health nursing*, 37(3), 164–71. 10.3109/01612840.2015.1088904 [PubMed: 26980666]
- Nunnally JC, & Bernstein IH (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- O'Connor BP (2000). SPSS and SAS programs for determining the number of components using parallel analysis and Velicer's MAP test. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 32(3), 396–402. 10.3758/BF03200807
- O'Donnell DE, Elbehairy AF, Berton DC, Domnik NJ, & Neder JA (2017). Advances in the Evaluation of Respiratory Pathophysiology during Exercise in Chronic Lung Diseases. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8, 82. [PubMed: 28275353]
- Olatunji BO, & Wolitzky-Taylor KB (2009). Anxiety sensitivity and the anxiety disorders: a meta-analytic review and synthesis. *Psychological bulletin*, 135(6), 974–99. 10.1037/a0017428 [PubMed: 19883144]
- Petrzuzzello SJ, Landers DM, Hatfield BD, Kubitz KA, & Salazar W (1991). A meta-analysis on the anxiety-reducing effects of acute and chronic exercise. *Outcomes and mechanisms. Sports medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)*, 11(3), 143–82. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1828608>. Accessed 14 May 2018
- Piper ME, Smith SS, Schlam TR, et al. (2010). Psychiatric disorders in smokers seeking treatment for tobacco dependence: relations with tobacco dependence and cessation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 78(1), 13–23.
- Pober DM, Freedson PS, Kline GM, McInnis KJ, & Rippe JM (2002). Development and validation of a one-mile treadmill walk test to predict peak oxygen uptake in healthy adults ages 40 to 79 years. *Canadian journal of applied physiology = Revue canadienne de physiologie appliquee*, 27(6), 575–589. 10.1139/h02-033 [PubMed: 12500996]
- Powers MB, Medina JL, Burns S, Kauffman BY, Monfils M, Asmundson GJG, et al. (2015). Exercise Augmentation of Exposure Therapy for PTSD: Rationale and Pilot Efficacy Data. *Cognitive behaviour therapy*, 44(4), 314–27. 10.1080/16506073.2015.1012740 [PubMed: 25706090]
- Radloff LS (1977). The CES-D Scale: A Self-Report Depression Scale for Research in the General Population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 1(3), 385–401. 10.1177/014662167700100306
- Reed J, & Ones DS (2006). The effect of acute aerobic exercise on positive activated affect: A meta-analysis. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 7(5), 477–514. 10.1016/j.psychsport.2005.11.003
- Reiss S (1991). Expectancy model of fear, anxiety, and panic. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 11(2), 141–153. 10.1016/0272-7358(91)90092-9
- Rhodes RE, Fiala B, & Conner M (2009). A Review and Meta-Analysis of Affective Judgments and Physical Activity in Adult Populations. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 38(3), 180–204. 10.1007/s12160-009-9147-y [PubMed: 20082164]
- Rhodes RE, & Kates A (2015). Can the Affective Response to Exercise Predict Future Motives and Physical Activity Behavior? A Systematic Review of Published Evidence. *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 49(5), 715–31. 10.1007/s12160-015-9704-5 [PubMed: 25921307]
- Román PAL, García Pinillos F, Navarro Martínez AV, & Izquierdo Rus T (2014). Validity and reliability of Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale questionnaire (PACES) in children with asthma.

- The Journal of asthma: official journal of the Association for the Care of Asthma, 51(6), 633–8. 10.3109/02770903.2014.898773 [PubMed: 24580370]
- Rush A, Trivedi M, Ibrahim H, Carmody T, Arnow B, Klein DN, et al. (2013). The 16-Item quick inventory of depressive symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*, 54(5), 573–583.
- Russell JA (1980). A circumplex model of affect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 39(6), 1161–1178.
- Sabourin B, Hilchey C, Lefavre M-J, Watt M, & Stewart S (2011). Why do they exercise less? Barriers to exercise in high-anxiety-sensitive women. *Cognitive behaviour therapy*, 40(3), 206–215. 10.1080/16506073.2011.573572 [PubMed: 21877959]
- Sala M, Baldwin AS, & Williams DM (2016). Affective and cognitive predictors of affective response to exercise: Examining unique and overlapping variance. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 27, 1–8. 10.1016/j.psychsport.2016.07.005
- Smits JAJ, Berry AC, Rosenfield D, Powers MB, Behar E, & Otto MW (2008). Reducing anxiety sensitivity with exercise. *Depression and anxiety*, 25(8), 689–99. 10.1002/da.20411 [PubMed: 18729145]
- Smits JAJ, Tart CD, Presnell K, Rosenfield DM, & Otto MW (2010). Identifying potential barriers to physical activity adherence: anxiety sensitivity and body mass as predictors of fear during exercise. *Cognitive behaviour Therapy*, 39(1), 28–36. 10.1080/16506070902915261 [PubMed: 19675961]
- Smits JAJ, & Zvolensky MJ (2006). Emotional vulnerability as a function of physical activity among individuals with panic disorder. *Depression and anxiety*, 23(2), 102–106. 10.1002/da.20146 [PubMed: 16400629]
- Ströhle A (2009). Physical activity, exercise, depression and anxiety disorders. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, 116(6), 777–784. 10.1007/s00702-008-0092-x [PubMed: 18726137]
- Taylor S, Zvolensky MJ, Cox BJ, Deacon B, Heimberg RG, Ledley DR, et al. (2007). Robust dimensions of anxiety sensitivity: development and initial validation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3. *Psychological assessment*, 19(2), 176–88. 10.1037/1040-3590.19.2.176 [PubMed: 17563199]
- U S Department of Health and Human Services. (2014). The Health Consequences of Smoking—50 Years of Progress: A Report of the Surgeon General. U S Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Health, Office on Smoking and Health, 1–36. doi:NBK179276
- Velicer WF (1976). Determining the number of components from the matrix of partial correlations. *Psychometrika*, 41(3), 321–327. 10.1007/BF02293557
- Wedekind D, Broocks A, Weiss N, Engel K, Neubert K, & Bandelow B (2010). A randomized, controlled trial of aerobic exercise in combination with paroxetine in the treatment of panic disorder. *The world journal of biological psychiatry: the official journal of the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry*, 11(7), 904–13. 10.3109/15622975.2010.489620
- Williams DM (2008). Exercise, affect, and adherence: an integrated model and a case for self-paced exercise. *Journal of sport & exercise psychology*, 30(5), 471–96. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18971508>. Accessed 8 September 2016 [PubMed: 18971508]
- Williams DM, Dunsiger S, Ciccolo JT, Lewis BA, Albrecht AE, & Marcus BH (2008). Acute affective response to a moderate-intensity exercise stimulus predicts physical activity participation 6 and 12 months later. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 9(3), 231–245. 10.1016/j.psychsport.2007.04.002 [PubMed: 18496608]
- Williams DM, Dunsiger S, Jennings EG, & Marcus BH (2012). Does affective valence during and immediately following a 10-min walk predict concurrent and future physical activity? *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 44(1), 43–51. 10.1007/s12160-012-9362-9 [PubMed: 22532005]
- Williams DM, & Evans DR (2014). Current emotion research in health behavior science. *Emotion Review*, 6(3), 277–287. 10.1177/1754073914523052

Wipfli BM, Rethorst CD, & Landers DM (2008). The anxiolytic effects of exercise: a meta-analysis of randomized trials and dose-response analysis. *Journal of sport & exercise psychology*, 30(4), 392–410. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18723899>. Accessed 14 May 2018 [PubMed: 18723899]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.Items in each factor and factor loadings for the PACES ($N = 201$)

PACES Item	Component		
	Physical Feelings	Negative Affect	Positive Affect
1. I enjoy it/I hate it	.116	-.188	.651
2. I feel bored/I feel interested	.042	.862	.115
3. I dislike it/I like it	.023	.763	-.140
4. I find it pleasurable/I find it unpleasurable	.132	-.098	.573
5. I am very engaged in this activity/I am not engaged	-.136	.134	.913
6. It's no fun at all/It's a lot of fun	.193	.807	-.195
7. I find it energizing/I find it tiring	.631	-.067	.119
8. It makes me depressed/It makes me happy	-.321	.662	.190
9. It's very pleasant/It's very unpleasant	.199	.031	.631
10. I feel good physically/I feel bad physically	.761	.146	.220
11. It's very invigorating/It's not invigorating	.793	.040	.140
12. I am very frustrated by it/I'm not at all frustrated by it	-.320	.122	-.145
13. It's very gratifying/It's not at all gratifying	.885	.047	-.042
14. It's very exhilarating/It's not at all exhilarating	.729	-.141	-.008
15. It's not at all stimulating/It's very stimulating	-.067	.759	.164
16. Strong sense of accomplishment/No sense of accomplishment	.924	-.046	-.216
17. It's very refreshing/It's not at all refreshing	.895	.056	.009
18. Would rather be doing something else/Would rather be doing nothing else	-.001	.549	-.197
Total items	7	6	4
Internal consistency	.92	.85	.76

Note: Bolded text reflects items retained on each PACES factor.

PACES = Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale

Physical feelings = Factor 1, Negative affect = Factor 2, Positive affect = Factor 3

Table 2.

Bivariate and partial correlations between anxiety sensitivity and PA processes

PA Enjoyment	Bivariate Correlation			Adjusted Correlation		
	Mean (SD)	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	Partial <i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	
PACES Total	84.1 (18.5)	-.173	.014	-.121	.090	
Factor 1 (Physical Feelings)	35.9 (8.9)	-.176	.012	-.137	.056	
Factor 2 (Negative Affect)	21.3 (7.1)	.117	.100	.053	.462	
Factor 3 (Positive Affect)	17.6 (94.7)	-.138	.050	-.099	.164	
Pre-Exercise Affect	Mean (SD)	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	Partial <i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	
Mood	7.0 (1.5)	-.207	.003	-.090	.207	
Anxiety	2.7 (2.6)	.223	.002	.135	.058	
Post-Exercise Affect	Mean (SD)	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	Partial <i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	
Mood	7.8 (1.5)	-.019	.792	.052	.469	
Anxiety	1.5 (2.2)	.254	<.001	.174	.014	

Note: *r* = bivariate correlation; Partial *r* = Partial correlation adjusting for cigarette dependence, sex, BMI, and depressive symptoms.

Table 3.

Regression results predicting affective response to exercise test

Post-Exercise Mood	Unadjusted Model					Adjusted Model				
	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	sr ²	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	sr ²		
Pre-Exercise Mood	0.571	9.496	< .001	0.313	0.577	9.266	< .001	0.307		
Anxiety Sensitivity	0.011	1.657	.099	0.014	0.013	1.702	.090	0.015		
Cigarette Dependence	-	-	-	-	-0.064	-1.431	.154	0.010		
Sex	-	-	-	-	-0.074	-0.360	.719	0.001		
BMI	-	-	-	-	0.016	1.099	.273	0.006		
Depression	-	-	-	-	0.008	0.334	.739	0.001		

Post-Exercise Anxiety	Unadjusted Model					Adjusted Model				
	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	sr ²	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	sr ²		
Pre-Exercise Anxiety	0.517	11.807	< .001	0.386	0.520	11.615	< .001	0.410		
Anxiety Sensitivity	0.017	2.070	.040	0.021	0.015	1.608	.109	0.013		
Cigarette Dependence	-	-	-	-	0.043	0.776	.439	0.003		
Sex	-	-	-	-	-0.286	-1.120	.264	0.006		
BMI	-	-	-	-	0.000	0.027	.979	0.000		
Depression	-	-	-	-	0.011	0.346	.730	0.001		

Note: Anxiety sensitivity = ASI-3; Cigarette Dependence = FTCD; Sex = coded 0 (male), 1 (female); BMI = Body mass index; Depression = QIDS