Published in final edited form as:

Ann Epidemiol. 2019 May ; 33: 44–48. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2019.03.001.

Body Mass Index across the Life-Course: Emergence of Race by Sex Disparities in Early Childhood.

Andrew G. Rundle^a, Shakira F Suglia^b, Ezra S. Susser^c, Pam Factor-Litvak^c, Dana March^c, Katrina L. Kezios^c, Gina S Lovasi^d, Kim M Fader^c, Howard Andrews^e, Piera M Cirillo^f, Barbara A. Cohn^{f,*}, and Bruce G. Link^{g,*}

^aDepartment of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, 722 West 168th Street, New York NY 10032, Agr3@cumc.columbia.edu, (t) 212 305 7619

^bDepartment of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, 1518 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, shakira.suglia@emory.edu

^cDepartment of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, 722 West 168th Street, New York NY 10032

^dDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Dornsife School of Public Health, Drexel University, Nesbitt Hall, 3215 Market St., Philadelphia, PA, 19104, gsl45@drexel.edu

^eDepartment of Biostatistics, Mailman School of Public Health, 722 West 168th Street, New York NY 10032, Howard.Andrews@nyspi.columbia.edu

^fPublic Health Institute, The Child Health and Development Studies, 1683 Shattuck Ave., Suite B, Berkeley, CA 94709, Cirillo: pcirillo@chdstudies.org, Cohn: bcohn@chdstudies.org

^gDepartment of Sociology, University of California, Riverside, 1334 Watkins Hall, Riverside, CA 92521, bruce.link@ucr.edu

Abstract

Purpose: to assess when in the life-course race by sex disparities in body mass index (BMI) emerge.

Methods: Child Health and Development Studies participants, from whom height and weight data were collected at ages 5, 9 to 11 and 15 to 17 years, were followed-up at age 50 for anthropometric outcomes. Follow-up was completed for 605 subjects, 460 of whom were assessed for height and weight at age 50, had at least one available childhood BMI measure and self-identified as either non-Hispanic Black or non-Hispanic White. Linear regression analyses were conducted to determine whether interactions existed between race (Black vs. White) and sex for predicting BMI at ages 5, 9–11, 15–17 and 50.

Corresponding Author: Andrew G. Rundle.

*Cohn and Link share senior authorship for this paper.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Results: At age 5, BMI was independent of sex for both Blacks and Whites, but by age 9–11, BMI was sex-dependent in Blacks, with higher BMI observed among Black females. This sex dependence for BMI among Blacks persisted at ages 15–17 and age 50. The race by sex interaction was significant at ages 9–11, ages 15–17, and age 50 (p for interaction = 0.001, 0.002 and 0.01 respectively).

Conclusions: Race by sex disparities in body size were observed by age 9–11 and persisted until age 50.

Introduction

In the United States 35% of adults aged 20 years or older are obese and the obesity epidemic represents a critical public health issue[1]. There are marked disparities in body mass index (BMI) and obesity prevalence by race/ethnicity and sex[1–3]. Among men the age adjusted prevalence of obesity is modestly higher among non-Hispanic Blacks and Hispanics than among non-Hispanic Whites, while among women the prevalence of obesity is substantially higher among Non-Hispanic Blacks and Hispanics than among non-Hispanic Whites[1]. Non-Hispanic Black women have the highest prevalence of obesity of any racial, ethnic, sex group, a disparity that has been in place for several decades, with an age adjusted obesity prevalence of 57% in 2011–2012[1, 3–5].

It is not clear when in the life-course sex by race disparities in body size originate and how much of the disparity observed in middle age can be explained by disparities in adolescence or childhood. Past work has shown that differences in BMI between Blacks and Whites track from childhood into young adulthood and that age related BMI gains were larger among Black than white girls[6]. A report from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health showed that the higher prevalence of obesity seen in adolescent Black girls tracked into late 20s and early 30s[7]. Similarly longitudinal analyses of the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 found that race and sex disparities in body size present at age 17 to 24 years persisted to age 34 to 41 years[8].

Knowing when in the life course the gender by race interaction emerges will provide critical information for public health interventions as it will suggest when its emergence might most effectively be blocked. Using a birth cohort study that has recently been followed-up as the participants approach age 50 and has assessments of height and weight in childhood (5 and 9–11), and adolescence (15–17), we assessed the development of gender by race disparities in BMI over the life course. We also assessed whether gender by race disparities in BMI observed in mid-life are explained by disparities in body-size observed in childhood or adolescence.

Methods

The Child Health and Development Studies (CHDS) Disparities Study followed-up, at approximately age 50 years, the adult offspring of families enrolled in the CHDS. Both studies have been previously extensively described and key elements of this work will be described here[9]. Virtually all pregnant women receiving prenatal care from the Kaiser Foundation Health Plan at its facilities in Alameda County, California were recruited to the

CHDS (19,044 live births 1959 –1967)[10]. Childhood exams based primarily on CHDS births that occurred from 1960–1963, followed-up CHDS participants at ages 5, 9 to 11 years and 15–17 years. The CHDS Disparities Study builds upon the childhood exams to follow-up, at age 50, the children of Black and non-Black women who participated in the CHDS.[9] Recruitment for the CHDS Disparities Study was limited to California residents (79% of the eligible pool, N=2,514) due to the difficulty and cost of implementing home visits nationwide. We obtained phone numbers for 1,073 of the eligible pool and slightly surpassed our target sample sizes of 350 (achieved =353) adults born to non-Black CHDS mothers and 250 (achieved =252) adults born to Black CHDS mothers, distributed evenly by gender, after contacting 985 of them[9]. Of the 605 individuals who participated in the telephone interview, 510 (84%) completed a home visit and 497 (82%) a self-administered questionnaire containing extensive psychosocial assessments. Those who participated in the CHDS Disparities Study were similar in demographic characteristics to eligible CHDS participants who did not participate in CHDS Disparities Study, and health disparities observed in the CHDS Disparities Study are similar to those observed in NHANES data[9]. This study was approved by the CHDS and Columbia University Medical Center IRB.

Archived data were available for participant's birth weight, paternal and maternal educational attainment at the time of the offspring's birth and maternal pre-pregnancy height and weight. During the CHDS Disparities Study telephone interview the participant reported their own race and ethnicity which was coded as non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic White and other and reported their own educational attainment, which was coded as completing a college education or more versus not completing a college education.

Archived height, weight and age at assessment data from the CHDS follow-up studies were used to calculate BMI z-scores at age 5, 9–11 and 15–17 years using the CDC 2000 Growth Charts SAS Macro. Adult height and weight at age 50 were measured during the CHDS Disparities Study home visit, with each measure taken in duplicate and the mean of those measures used to calculate body mass index as weight in kg / height in meters squared. In total, BMI data at age 50 were available from 507 participants and 467 of these participants self-identified as non-Hispanic Black or non-Hispanic White and with the rest choosing some other racial identification. Of these 467 participants, anthropometric data were available for at least one of the follow-ups during childhood (age 5, 9–11 or 15–17) for 460 and these 460 individuals constitute the analytical sample for this report.

Statistical Analyses.

Among subjects for whom height and weight data were available at age 50, height and weight data were available for 400 subjects at age 5 and some data were missing for anthropometric measures at each age between age 5 and 50 and for the other covariates (see Table 1). Multiple imputation by chained equations (70 data sets) was used to impute missing values for anthropometric and covariate data for the 460 non-Hispanic Black or non-Hispanic White subjects for whom age 50 height and weight and at least one height and weight measure during childhood were available[11]. The multiple imputation strategy is discussed in detail in the online supplement and analyses of the validity of the imputation

model are presented. The results of the analyses in each of the 70 datasets were pooled using Rubin's Rules and the pooled results are presented[11].

One of the analytical goals was to test for associations between sex and body size in childhood and the calculation of childhood BMI z-scores and percentiles involves standardization for child sex, so instead BMI scores were used as outcome variables in all analyses. A series of linear regression models were fit with race (non-Hispanic Black compared to non-Hispanic White), sex (female compared to male) and a race by sex interaction term as predictor terms and BMI score at ages 5, 9–11, 15–17 and 50 as the outcome variables. The interaction term was coded such that the interaction describes the additional BMI observed among non-Hispanic Black girls or women. In order to address relatively small differences in age at the time of the CHDS Disparities Study the model assessing associations between sex and race and BMI additionally controlled for age at follow-up in mid-life.

An additional series of three models was fit assessing the association between sex and race and BMI at age 50 with adjustment for BMI at ages 5, 9–11 and 15–17. These additional models assessed the extent to which BMI score in childhood, adolescence and teen years explained interactions between race and sex in predicting BMI at age 50. A subsequent model was fit predicting BMI at age 50 that adjusted for BMI score in adolescence and further adjusted for paternal and maternal educational attainment, maternal pregnancy BMI, the participant's own educational attainment and for whether the participant smoked at the time of follow-up. This subsequent model assessed the extent to which these socio-demographic and behavioral variables explained remaining race, sex and race by sex interaction effects after adjustment for adolescent BMI score.

In post-hoc analyses among women, linear regression analyses were used to assess whether associations between race and BMI at age 50 remained after adjustment for participant reported age at menarche. Age at menarche data reported during the age 15–17 follow-up (n=192) were analyzed, and if data were not available from the age 15–17 follow-up, age at menarche reported on the age 50 survey (n=184) were analyzed. Combining the data from these two self-reports of age at menarche, data were available for 224 women and missing for eight women; for these eight women age at menarche was imputed (see Online Supplement).

Results.

Table 1 displays the anthropometric outcomes and socio-demographic characteristics of the study population by sex and race.

Table 2 shows the association between race, sex, the interaction term for race and sex and BMI at ages 5, 9–11, 15–17 and ~50. At age 5 a race by sex interaction was not observed, while from age 9–11 onwards there was a significant race by sex interaction for predicting BMI. The interactions reflect the significantly higher BMI among Black females as compared to Black males, and the lack of difference in BMI scores between White females and White males. At age 11, Blacks girls were 1.53 BMI units heavier than Black boys (95%

CI 0.76, 2.29, $p < 0.001$), while White boys and girls had equivalent BMI scores. By age 15–17 the difference in BMI between Black girls and boys had increased to 1.79 units (95% CI 0.65, 2.92, $p = 0.002$), and by age 50 the difference had increased to 2.79 units (95% CI 1.00, 4.57, $p = 0.002$). Differences in BMI between White females and White males were minimal and non-significant at ages 15–17 and 50.

Table 3 compares the associations between sex, race and the sex by race interaction term and BMI at age 50, after adjustment for BMI at age 5 (model 1), BMI at age 9–11 (model 2) and BMI at age 15–17 (model 3). Adjustment for BMI at age 5 did not substantially alter the race by sex interaction term for predicting BMI at age 50. However, adjustment for BMI at age 9–11 substantially attenuated (58%) the magnitude of the race by sex interaction term and rendered it non-significant. Adjustment for BMI at age 15–17 attenuated the race by sex interaction term to a similar extent as adjustment for BMI at 9–11 did.

The cause of the diminution of the race by sex interaction term upon conditioning the analyses for BMI at age 9–11 can be seen when sex stratified analyses are conducted. Among women, in analyses that did not condition on BMI at age 9–11, BMI was 5.29 units (95% CI 3.61, 6.97) higher among Black women compared to White women, and after conditioning the analyses on BMI score at age 9–11 the difference in BMI between Black and White women decreased to 3.23 units (95% CI 1.69, 4.78) (see Figure 1). While among men, the estimated difference in BMI between Blacks and Whites was less affected by adjustment for BMI at age 9–11. There was a 1.81 unit difference in BMI by race among men before adjustment (95% CI 0.07, 3.54) and a 1.61 unit difference after adjustment (95% CI 0.05, 3.17). Thus, the race by sex interaction term diminishes upon adjustment for age 9–11 BMI because the association between race and BMI in middle age decreases substantially among women and only slightly among men after this adjustment (see Figure 1).

Further covariates were added to the model that adjusted for age 15–17 BMI to assess whether paternal and maternal educational attainment, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, the participant's own educational attainment and smoking at age 50 further diminished the race by sex interaction term (Table 3, model 4). The addition of these variables to Model 3 did not materially alter the race by sex interaction term (1.39 in model 3 and 1.47 in model 4). However, the addition of these variables to the Model 3 did diminish the association between race and BMI at age 50, and to a similar extent among men and women (0.90 BMI units among men and 0.82 among women). In men the difference in BMI by race went from 1.73 BMI units (95% CI 0.17, 3.29) in model 3 to 0.83 BMI units (95% CI –0.82, 2.48) in model 4. Among women the difference in BMI by race went from 3.12 BMI units (95% CI 1.62, 4.62) in model 3 to 2.30 BMI units (95% CI 0.74, 3.87) in model 4. The change in the magnitude of the associations between race and BMI at age 50, upon adjustment for the additional covariates primarily resulted from the adjustment for paternal education.

In post-hoc analyses among women only, adjustment for age at menarche only marginally altered the association between race and BMI at age 50. Prior to adjustment, Black women had a BMI at age 50 that was 5.29 (95% CI 3.35, 7.23) units higher than White women, while the age at menarche adjusted difference in BMI by race was 4.98 (95% CI 3.01, 6.96) units. After adjustment for BMI at age 9–11, Black women had a BMI at age 50 that was

3.13 (95% CI 1.34, 4.92) units higher than White women, and after further adjustment for age at menarche the difference in BMI at age 50 by race was 3.12 (95% CI 1.31, 4.93) units.

Across the analyses presented here, analyses of MI data sets and complete cases produced essentially identical results.

Discussion

Disparities in obesity prevalence by race and sex have been apparent for several decades with Black women consistently having the highest prevalence of obesity. Here using a birth cohort that came of age as the obesity epidemic unfolded in the U.S. we find that race by sex disparities were present by age 9–11 years of age and continued into middle age. The race by sex disparities in BMI scores at age 50 were largely accounted for by differences in participant's BMI scores at age 9–11. These findings emphasize the importance of early prevention of obesity and unhealthy weight gain trajectories in childhood and suggests that such prevention programs could have lasting beneficial consequences.

The presence of the race by sex interaction being apparent by age 9–11 years and being largely driven by differences in BMI by race among girls, suggests that differences in pubertal timing by race among girls may explain the disparity. Research has found the onset of puberty to be earlier in African American as compared to White girls[12–15] and earlier pubertal timing among girls has been associated with higher BMI in adolescence and adulthood[15–17]. However, there is some evidence that childhood obesity itself is associated with earlier onset of puberty in girls[18]. Thus from the literature, it is unclear whether obesity before the onset of puberty predicts future risk for higher BMI throughout the lifecourse, and early onset of puberty is incidental to this overall trajectory, or if early onset of puberty predicts future obesity risk independent of early childhood body size. In post-hoc analyses among women in this cohort, adjustment for self-reported age at menarche only minimally reduced the racial disparity in BMI at age 50. The results presented here and the literature on race, obesity and pubertal timing indicates that the collection of better pubertal development data and anthropometric data in early and mid-childhood, around pubertal onset, during adolescence and the teen years is required to understand the role of pubertal timing in the differences in BMI between African American and White girls and women.

Adjustment for BMI at age 15–17 diminished the race*sex interaction term for predicting BMI at age 50 and made the term non-significant. Further adjustment for covariates only marginally affected the race*sex interaction term but it did diminish the difference in BMI by race and equivalently so among men and women. Among the covariates, paternal education accounted for almost all of the observed change in the association between race and age 50 BMI. Considering paternal education in the 1960s to be a marker of familial socioeconomic status, these analyses suggest that socioeconomic conditions experienced during childhood have persistent effects on BMI that account for some of the differences in BMI associated with race.

This study has several limitations that should be considered in interpreting the results. The results are based on a cohort that entered its adolescence and teen years as the obesity epidemic was beginning and may have experienced an obesogenic environment that differs from the one that exists today. The patterns of follow-up in this cohort are complex and there were missing data on BMI at ages 5, 9–11 and 15–17. However, the multiple imputation model for missing BMI and covariate data appears valid and robust (see Supplement). However, it is not possible to prove that an imputed data set meets the assumptions of Missing at Random. It is possible that even with the multiple imputation model accounting for interactions between sex and race and maternal BMI, birthweight, and BMI at ages 5, 9–11, 15–17 and 50 years, that the pattern of missing data caused the spurious appearance of race by sex interactions in the MI based analyses. But this is thought to be unlikely as the findings of interactions at ages 9–11, 15–17 and 50 presented here are consistent with cross-sectional analyses in recent NHANES data and analyses of NHANES I and II data from children and adolescents[19, 20].

The strengths of this study include; the long term follow-up of a cohort that experienced the drivers of the first wave of this epidemic; data on prenatal and earliest life risk factors and birth weight; and the availability of body-size data in childhood, adolescence and the teen years; and multiple measures on individual and familial socio-economic status in adulthood.

In conclusion, we find in this cohort that race by sex disparities were present by 9–11 years of age and continued into middle age, and that the higher BMI scores observed among Black women compared to Black men in mid-life was largely explained by differences in BMI scores at age 9–11. This research suggests that race by sex disparities in adult BMI can be reduced by interventions during childhood and/or adolescence, particularly by interventions that support healthy weight among Black girls. However, as modest differences in BMI by race and gender persist into adulthood even after accounting for BMI in adolescence, additional interventions in early adulthood are likely needed to reduce the overall racial disparity in BMI at mid-life.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment:

This research was funded by a grant from the National Institute for Child Health and Development (NICHD) R01HD058515.

Abbreviations

BMI	Body Mass Index
CHDS	Child Health and Development Studies
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

References

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. *Jama*. 2014;311(8):806–14. [PubMed: 24570244]
2. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *Jama*. 2012;307(5):483–90. [PubMed: 22253364]
3. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999–2008. *Jama*. 2010;303(3):235–41. [PubMed: 20071471]
4. Ogden CL. Disparities in obesity prevalence in the United States: black women at risk. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2009;89(4):1001–2. [PubMed: 19244372]
5. Fryar C, Carroll MD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity and Extreme Obesity Among Adults: United States, Trends 1960–1962 Through 2009–2010. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control; 2012.
6. Freedman DS, Khan LK, Serdula MK, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. Racial differences in the tracking of childhood BMI to adulthood. *Obesity research*. 2005;13(5):928–35. [PubMed: 15919847]
7. Gordon-Larsen P, The NS, Adair LS. Longitudinal trends in obesity in the United States from adolescence to the third decade of life. *Obesity*. 2010;18(9):1801–4. [PubMed: 20035278]
8. McTigue KM, Garrett JM, Popkin BM. The natural history of the development of obesity in a cohort of young U.S. adults between 1981 and 1998. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2002;136(12):857–64. [PubMed: 12069559]
9. Link BG, Susser ES, Factor-Litvak P, March D, Kezios KL, Lovasi GS, et al. Disparities in self-rated health across generations and through the life course. *Social science & medicine*. 2016;174:17–25. [PubMed: 27987434]
10. van den Berg BJ, Christianson RE, Oechsli FW. The California Child Health and Development Studies of the School of Public Health, University of California at Berkeley. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*. 1988;2(3):265–82. [PubMed: 3070486]
11. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. *Statistics in medicine*. 2011;30(4):377–99. [PubMed: 21225900]
12. McDowell MA, Brody DJ, Hughes JP. Has age at menarche changed? Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2004. *J Adolesc Health*. 2007;40(3):227–31. [PubMed: 17321422]
13. Chumlea WC, Schubert CM, Roche AF, Kulin HE, Lee PA, Himes JH, et al. Age at menarche and racial comparisons in US girls. *Pediatrics*. 2003;111(1):110–3. [PubMed: 12509562]
14. Krieger N, Kiang MV, Kosheleva A, Waterman PD, Chen JT, Beckfield J. Age at menarche: 50-year socioeconomic trends among US-born black and white women. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(2):388–97. [PubMed: 25033121]
15. Bleil ME, Booth-LaForce C, Benner AD. Race disparities in pubertal timing: Implications for cardiovascular disease risk among African American women. *Popul Res Policy Rev*. 2017;36(5):717–38. [PubMed: 30127541]
16. Bell JA, Carslake D, Wade KH, Richmond RC, Langdon RJ, Vincent EE, et al. Influence of puberty timing on adiposity and cardiometabolic traits: A Mendelian randomisation study. *PLoS Med*. 2018;15(8):e1002641. [PubMed: 30153260]
17. Prentice P, Viner RM. Pubertal timing and adult obesity and cardiometabolic risk in women and men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2013;37(8):1036–43. [PubMed: 23164700]
18. Li W, Liu Q, Deng X, Chen Y, Liu S, Story M. Association between Obesity and Puberty Timing: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(10).
19. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Lawman HG, Fryar CD, Kruszon-Moran D, Kit BK, et al. Trends in Obesity Prevalence Among Children and Adolescents in the United States, 1988–1994 Through 2013–2014. *Jama*. 2016;315(21):2292–9. [PubMed: 27272581]
20. Troiano RP, Flegal KM, Kuczmarski RJ, Campbell SM, Johnson CL. Overweight prevalence and trends for children and adolescents. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, 1963 to 1991. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 1995;149(10):1085–91. [PubMed: 7550810]

1. Socio-demographic covariates were: paternal and maternal education, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, participant obtained a college degree, participant's smoker/non-smoker status at age 50 and participant age of assessment.

Figure 1.

Covariate adjusted mean and 95% confidence interval for body mass index at age 50 by sex and race.

Table 1.

Anthropometric and Socio-demographic Characteristic of the Study Participants by Race and Sex

	Black Women N=100	Black Men N=102	White Women N=132	White Men N=126
Maternal Pre-Pregnancy BMI	24.58 (4.12) 98	25.07 (4.61) 98	22.27 (3.13) 130	22.76 (3.27) 123
Birth Weight (ounces)	111.75 (19.76) 100	112.63 (17.25) 102	115.28 (18.28) 132	123.03 (17.56) 126
BMI Age 5	15.81 (1.48) 72	16.13 (1.48) 80	15.71 (1.27) 113	16.02 (1.2) 105
BMI Age 9–11	19.13 (3.77) 96	17.29 (2.53) 68	17.21 (2.4) 132	17.34 (1.9) 123
BMI Age 15–17	24 (5.85) 61	21.84 (3.61) 38	21.32 (3.04) 131	21.48 (2.75) 126
BMI Age 50	33.51 (7.94) 100	30.38 (6.22) 102	28.22 (7.13) 132	28.5 (4.47) 126
Paternal Education				
Less than High School	29 (31)	27 (28)	16 (12)	7 (6)
High School	34 (36)	37 (38)	28 (21)	40 (32)
Some College	25 (26)	27 (28)	29 (22)	33 (26)
College	7 (7)	6 (6)	58 (44)	46 (37)
Participant has a College Degree				
No	63 (64)	79 (77)	61 (47)	62 (49)
Yes	36 (36)	23 (23)	70 (53)	64 (51)
Participant Smokes at Age 50				
No	68 (68)	64 (64)	110 (83)	96 (81)
Yes	32 (32)	36 (36)	22 (17)	23 (19)

Table 2.

Association Between BMI at Ages 5, 9–11, 15–17 and 50 and Race and Sex

	Difference in BMI at age 5 (95% CI) P-value	Difference in BMI at age 9–11 (95% CI) P-value	Difference in BMI at age 15– 17 (95% CI) P-value	Difference in BMI ¹ at age 50 (95% CI) P-value
Black compared to White	0.10 (–0.28, 0.47) 0.62	0.05 (–0.72, 0.82) 0.65	0.02 (–1.15, 1.10) 0.97	1.81 (0.07, 3.54) 0.04
Female compared to male	–0.24 (–0.58, 0.11) 0.18	–0.16 (–0.82, 0.50) 0.63	–0.15 (–1.05, 0.76) 0.75	–0.27 (–1.88, 1.33) 0.74
Black * Female ²	0.00 (–0.53, 0.53) 0.99	1.83 (0.79, 2.86) 0.001	2.36 (0.85, 3.86) 0.002	3.31 (0.88, 5.74) 0.01

¹. Model also adjusts for age at assessment of height and weight.

². The interaction term is coded such that the coefficient estimates the difference in BMI for Black compared to White girls/women

Table 3.

Associations between BMI at age 50 and race and sex after covariate adjustments

	Model 1^a Difference in Age 50BMI (95% CI) P-value	Model 2^b Difference in Age 50BMI (95% CI) P-value	Model 3^c Difference in Age 50BMI (95% CI) P-value	Model 4^d Difference in Age 50BMI (95% CI) P-value
Black compared to White	1.62 (−0.04, 3.28) 0.06	1.61 (0.05, 3.17) 0.04	1.73 (0.17, 3.29) 0.03	0.83 (−0.82, 2.48) 0.32
Female compared to male	0.07 (−1.45, 1.58) 0.93	−0.10 (−1.51, 1.31) 0.89	−0.14 (−1.48, 1.20) 0.84	−0.23 (−1.55, 1.09) 0.73
Black * Female ^e	3.53 (1.21, 5.84) 0.003	1.62 (−0.57, 3.82) 0.15	1.39 (−0.77, 3.55) 0.21	1.47 (−0.66, 3.60) 0.18

^a. Adjusts for participant age at assessment and BMI at age 5^b. Adjusts for participant age at assessment and BMI at age 9–11^c. Adjusts for participant age at assessment and BMI at age 15–17^d. Adjusts for BMI at age 15–17, and paternal and maternal education, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, participant obtained a college degree, participant's smoker/non-smoker status at age 50 and participant age of assessment.^e. The interaction term is coded such that the coefficient estimates the difference in BMI Black compared to White girls/women.