Published in final edited form as:

Behav Ther. 2019 May ; 50(3): 630–645. doi:10.1016/j.beth.2018.10.003.

The Effect of a Brief Mindfulness Training on Distress Tolerance and Stress Reactivity

Joseph K. Carpenter, M.A.^a, Jenny Sanford, B.A.^{a,b}, and Stefan G. Hofmann, Ph.D.^{c,*}

^aDepartment of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Boston University, 648 Beacon St, 6th floor, Boston, MA, 02215; jcarpen@bu.edu

^bMassachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit St, Boston, MA, 02114; jmsanford@harvard.mgh.edu

^cDepartment of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Boston University, 648 Beacon St, 6th floor, Boston, MA, 02215; shofmann@bu.edu

Abstract

Distress tolerance (DT) is considered an underlying facet of anxiety, depression and a number of other psychological disorders. Mindfulness may help to increase DT by fostering an attitude of acceptance non-judgment toward distressing experiences. Accordingly, the present study examined the effects of a brief mindfulness training on tolerance of different types of distress, and tested whether trait mindfulness moderates the effect of such training. Undergraduates ($n = 107$) naïve to mindfulness completed a measure of trait mindfulness and underwent a series of stress tasks (cold pressor, hyperventilation challenge, neutralization task) before and after completing a 15-minute mindfulness training or a no-instruction control in which participants listened to relaxing music. Participants in the mindfulness condition demonstrated greater task persistence on the hyperventilation task compared to the control group, as well as a decreased urge to neutralize the effects of writing an upsetting sentence. No effect on distress ratings during the tasks were found. Overall trait mindfulness did not significantly moderate task persistence, but those with lower scores on the *act with awareness* facet of mindfulness demonstrated greater relative benefit of mindfulness training on the hyperventilation challenge. Mediation analyses revealed significant indirect effects of mindfulness training on cold pressor task persistence and urges to neutralize through the use of the *non-judge* and *non-react* facets of mindfulness. These results suggest that a brief mindfulness training can increase DT without affecting the subjective experience of distress.

Keywords

distress tolerance; mindfulness; moderation; mediation; anxiety

*Denotes corresponding author.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

Distress tolerance (DT) is defined as the capacity to withstand negative emotional or other aversive states (Leyro, Zvolensky & Bernstein, 2010). Individuals with low DT are believed to use more avoidance-oriented strategies and pursue negative reinforcement opportunities in the face of distress, which can lead to maladaptive forms of coping (Simons & Gaher, 2005). In accordance with such a theory, low DT has been found to be associated with a number of different symptoms of psychopathology and maladaptive health behaviors, including anxiety, depression, substance abuse, eating disorders, and borderline personality disorder. (Allan, Macatee, Norr, & Schmidt, 2014; Anestis, Selby, Fink, & Joiner, 2007; Bernstein, Marshall, & Zvolensky, 2011; Gratz, Rosenthal, Tull, Lejuez & Gunderson, 2006; Richards, Daughters, Bornovalova, Brown & Lejuez, 2011). Research has also shown improvements in DT to be associated with successful treatment outcomes (Banducci, Connolly, Vujanovic, Alvarez, & Bonn-Miller, 2017; McHugh et al., 2014). Accordingly, understanding how to best enhance DT is an important direction for maximizing treatment efficacy.

One promising approach for increasing the capacity to tolerate distress is mindfulness training. Mindfulness involves deliberately focusing attention on the present moment while taking an attitude of openness and acceptance toward one's current experience instead of trying to change or control it (Bishop et al, 2004). Mindfulness may increase DT by causing emotional or other distressing experiences to be seen as less threatening and unpleasant, and by refocusing attention toward the current experience rather than negative reactions about current distress (Lotan, Tanay & Bernstein, 2013). In support of this notion, individuals who are higher in trait mindfulness have been found to have greater scores on self-reported measures of DT (Hsu, Collins & Marlatt, 2013; Luberto et al., 2014), as well as greater task persistence on behavioral measures of DT (Arch & Craske, 2010; Feldman, Dunn, Stemke, Bell & Greeson, 2014)

Behavioral DT tasks typically involve asking participants to persist in a physically or mentally distressing task for as long as they are willing. This is in contrast to self-reported DT (e.g. Simons & Gaher, 2005), which asks respondents to rate how they *perceive* their ability to tolerate negative emotional states. Behavioral tasks are useful in that they allow for the measurement of a variety of different types of distress, such as pain, frustration, or interoceptive discomfort (see Leyro et al., 2010 for a review of behavioral DT tasks). In addition, they enable researchers to investigate the effects of brief experimental manipulations on task persistence as well as perceived distress.

In the context of mindfulness, a growing body of research has demonstrated that brief mindfulness training can influence behavior and stress reactivity on such tasks. For instance, a one-day mindfulness training has been shown to significantly increase task persistence on a breath-holding challenge three months later (Lillis, Hayes, Bunting and Masuda, 2009). In addition, mindfulness instructions following an anger induction in individuals with borderline personality disorder led to decreased anger and increased task persistence during a cognitively challenging arithmetic task (Sauer & Baer, 2012). Furthermore, mindfulness-based instructions have been shown to lead to greater task persistence and reduced distress ratings compared to spontaneous coping and distraction controls during the cold pressor

task, in which participants submerge their hand in ice cold water for as long as they are willing (Liu, Wang, Chang, Chen & Si, 2013; Masedo & Esteve, 2007).

In contrast to these findings, a study by Evans, Eisenlohr-Moul, Button, Baer, and Segerstrom (2014) found that ultra-brief mindfulness instructions with no opportunity to practice led to a decreased task persistence in the cold pressor compared to a spontaneous coping control. Furthermore, a recent study by Luberto and McLeish (2018) found that a brief mindfulness exercise did not have any effect on task persistence in the mirror-tracing task in a sample of daily smokers, and had just a marginally significant effect on subjective distress. Similarly, Paz, Zvielli, Goldstein and Bernstein (2017) did not find a main effect of a brief mindfulness intervention on subjective distress during a hyperventilation challenge with nicotine-deprived smokers, though the intervention did eliminate the effect of low self-reported DT on distress during the task.

One possibility for these inconsistent findings is that the effect of mindfulness on DT may vary based on the specific task and the type of distress being tolerated. However, no single study has examined the effect of mindfulness across different tasks. Behavioral DT tasks have been shown to demonstrate some level of domain specificity (McHugh et al, 2011), and different types of distress may be more relevant for distinct psychological issues (Leyro et al., 2010). For example, the interoceptive distress involved in a hyperventilation challenge is associated with panic symptomology (Marshall et al., 2008), whereas tolerance of physical pain in the cold pressor task has been associated with negative mood and addiction abstinence (MacPherson, Stipelman, Duplinsky, Brown, & Lejuez, 2008).

Another type of task that measures DT is the neutralization task (Cougle, Purdon, Fitch, & Hawkins, 2013), which involves writing a sentence about and then imagining the occurrence of a negative event. This task offers a unique method of examining DT in that it assesses distress elicited by upsetting thoughts (i.e. a loved one being in a car crash) rather than challenging behavioral tasks, and it also examines urges to neutralize such thoughts. Neutralization behavior (e.g. crossing the written sentence out) and anxiety during this task have been shown to predict greater symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder, particularly obsessions, as well as self-reported DT (Cougle et al., 2013; Cougle, Timpano, Fitch, & Hawkins, 2011). Performance on the task has also been shown to be malleable in response to a two-session intervention targeting DT (Macatee & Cougle, 2015).

The primary aim of the present study was to examine whether a brief mindfulness intervention would improve task persistence and decrease subjective distress on the cold pressor and hyperventilation challenge, as well as reduce urges to neutralize and neutralization behavior on the neutralization task. A secondary aim was to examine whether trait mindfulness, which captures an individual's disposition toward adopting a non-judgmental and accepting stance toward his or her present experience, would impact the response to mindfulness training. A number of studies have shown that those higher in trait mindfulness benefit more from mindfulness interventions (Keng, Robins, Smoski, Dagenbach, & Leary, 2013; Laurent, Laurent, Nelson, Wright, & Sanchez, 2015; Reiner, Granot, Soffer, & Lipsitz, 2015; Shapiro, Brown, Thoresen, & Plante, 2011). For instance, Reiner et al. (2015) found that higher trait mindfulness led to greater improvement in heat

pain sensitivity following a brief mindfulness training. However, results are not always consistent, as some studies have shown that lower trait mindfulness predicts better response to mindfulness interventions (Gawrysiak et al., 2018; Watier & DuBois, 2016), and others report no effect (Greeson et al., 2015).

In spite of the large number of studies examining trait mindfulness as a moderator of mindfulness-based interventions, extant research has yet to do so when using persistence on a distressing task as an outcome of DT. We predicted that in the current study, those higher in trait mindfulness would benefit more from mindfulness training compared to a no instructions control on task persistence and subjective distress. Because distinct aspects of mindfulness have shown different effects on the impact of mindfulness interventions (Gawrysiak et al., 2018), we also conducted exploratory analyses examining each facet of the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ) separately to determine whether particular facets may be driving any moderator effects.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants ($n = 107$) were at least 18 years of age and were recruited from introductory undergraduate psychology classes. They received course credit for their participation. Exclusion criteria included 1) prior experience with mindfulness or meditation practice, 2) Reynaud's disease, current or past frostbite, open cuts, or sores in the hand to be submerged in cold water during the cold pressor task, 3) medical conditions such as cardiovascular disease, a history of seizures, asthma, sickle cell disease or trait, cerebrovascular disease, or a recent stroke or heart attack, as hyperventilation is contraindicated for individuals with such conditions, 4) anxiolytic medication (e.g. Ativan, Xanax) taken in the two hours prior to the study.

Procedure

Following informed consent, participants provided demographic information and filled out the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ; Baer et al., 2006) and the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS; Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). They were then connected to a respiration belt, which remained for the duration of the experiment. Next, participants read instructions from a paper packet that explained the basic nature of the tasks they were to complete. The instructions read: "For this part of the study, you are going to be led through some exercises that may be difficult or uncomfortable for you. The goal of each task is for you to stay with it for as long as you are willing. For each task, you can stop at any time you'd like, but the object is to see for how long you can go." Participants then completed the first round of DT tasks (cold pressor and the hyperventilation challenge), which were delivered in random order. Also included in the task set was the Computerized Mirror Tracing Persistence Task (Strong et al., 2003). However, this task was excluded from the analysis because of baseline differences in task persistence between the two experimental conditions. Of note, this difference was not significant in the entire sample, but only in the sample of 70 participants who did not reach the maximum time limit on the task, which was the criteria for inclusion in the task persistence analyses.

Prior to each task, participants rated their current subjective units of distress (SUDS; described below) on a paper form. Then they read the instructions for the task, and were reminded both on paper and through standardized experimenter instructions that while they could stop the task at any time, the goal was for them to see how long they could persist. After each task, participants rated the maximum SUDS they experienced during the task. They were then instructed to relax for a two-minute recovery period prior to completing the next task. The experimenter communicated to participants from another room via intercom, and only entered the experiment room as necessary to set up the DT tasks.

Following the first round of DT tasks, participants listened to a 15-minute audio recording of either a mindfulness intervention or relaxing music (randomly assigned). Randomization of task order and condition was conducted using a computerized randomization algorithm prior to running the first participant. After the intervention, those in the mindfulness condition answered five multiple choice questions to assess comprehension of the mindfulness training, and for any questions that the participants answered incorrectly, the experimenter provided an explanation of the correct response. Participants then completed the second round of DT tasks, again in random order, and this time the set of tasks included the neutralization task (explained below). The only other differences in the tasks post-intervention were 1) participants in the mindfulness condition were instructed to use the skills they learned previously to help with any feelings of distress elicited by the DT task, and 2) all participants completed a brief set of questions assessing the extent to which they used various mindfulness skills after each task.

Distress Tasks

Cold Pressor.—The cold pressor is a widely used task to safely measure sensitivity to and tolerance of pain (Tousignant-Laflamme, Pagé, Goffaux, & Marchand, 2008). For this task, participants were asked to put their dominant hand inside a cooler filled with ice water bath that was between 0–2 degrees Celsius. Temperature was measured prior to each trial with a digital thermometer placed in the center of the bath. Participants were instructed to submerge their hand up to the wrist without touching the bottom of the container of water, and to keep their hand open for the duration of the task. They were told they should try to persist with the task for as long as they were willing, but they could stop at any time. Unbeknownst to the participants, the task had a maximum time of 180 seconds, a limit frequently used for safety reasons (e.g. Szuhany & Otto, 2015).

Hyperventilation Challenge.—The hyperventilation challenge is a commonly used task designed to induce interoceptive distress by eliciting physiological sensations similar to anxiety (Zvolensky & Eifert, 2001). Participants were told to take full breaths through their mouth in time with an audio recording that instructed them when to breathe in and out. Consistent with prior literature (Bunaciu, Feldner, Babson, Zvolensky, & Eifert, 2012; Marshall et al., 2008), we used a breathing rate of 30 breaths per minute. Prior to beginning, the experimenter demonstrated the proper breathing technique, and participants practiced in time with the recording for 10 seconds. Participants were told to persist as long as they were willing, but that they could stop at any time. Once the task started, the experimenter monitored a live reading of the participants' tidal volume, or the amount of air inhaled as

measured by expansion of the respiration belt, to determine that they were breathing in a consistent manner. If there was a noticeable reduction in tidal volume, the experimenter reminded the participant to inhale and exhale deeply. Tidal volume throughout the task was also recorded to ensure that participants did not breathe differently after the mindfulness intervention, and this variable was included as a covariate in data analysis. Unbeknownst to the participants, the task ended automatically after 5 minutes.

Neutralization Task.—The neutralization task is designed to test participants' urge to neutralize emotionally distressing thoughts (Cougle, Purdon, Fitch & Hawkins, 2013). For this task, participants were asked to think about a close friend or relative. They then wrote the following statement on a piece of paper ("___ will be in a car accident"), filling in the blank with the name of person they called to mind. Next, they were asked to close their eyes and think about the situation for 30 seconds. Then they completed a rating of current distress, and reported how much of an urge they had to cancel out the effects of writing the sentence on a scale ranging from 0 (no urge at all) to 10 (extreme urge) (referred to as post-rumination ratings). Upon completion of these questions, participants were told, "for the next minute you do not have to do anything, but if you wish to do something, it can be anything, and can involve the sheet of paper." After the minute passed, participants provide a rating of subjective distress and a neutralization urge rating (referred to as post-neutralization ratings). They were also asked if they used any of the following strategies to cancel out or neutralize the effects of writing the sentence: 1) altered or destroyed the paper in any way, 2) visualized the accident, but with a less serious/positive outcome, 3) carried out a superstitious act (e.g. do something the participant believes will bring good luck), 4) turned over or concealed the paper, or 5) used a religious strategy. To counteract the potential negative effects on participant mood, participants were then instructed to write down and think a happy memory they have with the close friend or relative they chose before for one minute. Participants who reported intense emotional distress resulting from a traumatic memory of a car accident were excluded from this task, as the distress related to such a memory could amplify the distress caused by imagining a similar event during the task, thereby confounding results. This occurred with just two participants, both in the relaxation condition. Unlike the other tasks, the neutralization task was not administered prior to the intervention. Doing so may have compromised the validity of the second administration of the task by priming the use of neutralization behaviors due to prior questions about their use, as well as possible lack of compliance with rumination instructions for participants who found thinking about the negative situation highly distressing the first time.

Mindfulness Intervention

The mindfulness intervention used in this study was based off the principles of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (Segal, Williams & Teasdale, 2013). Initial versions of the intervention were tested with several clinicians with expertise in mindfulness, and feedback was incorporated in order to refine the procedures. Participants were given an explanation of the two key components of mindfulness: present moment awareness and acceptance or non-judgment. This was followed by an explanation of how judging one's experience often causes one to try and control or push away unpleasant experiences, but that such attempts at

control often backfire. To illustrate this point, participants were guided through the workings of a Chinese finger trap, which is a finger-width tube made of straw that tightens around one's fingers if one inserts them in either end of the straw and attempts to pull them out. It was explained to participants that paradoxically, the only way to release one's fingers is to push them in, which mirrors the way that taking an accepting attitude toward distressing experiences (as opposed to fighting or judging the experience) can release the hold that such distress has on one's current state. To illustrate this further, participants were guided through a 5-minute meditation exercise in which they were instructed to focus on their breathing. If extraneous thoughts, feelings, or physical sensations arose, they were guided to simply notice them in a non-judgmental way, and re-focus their attention on the breath. Participants were then instructed to practice taking such a non-judgmental stance in a more challenging situation, specifically while holding their breath for 20–30 seconds. The intervention concluded with an explanation of how in the upcoming distress tasks, they should refrain from distracting themselves or trying to suppress unpleasant feelings during the tasks, and instead to observe those feelings with an attitude of curiosity and acceptance. The training was delivered through an audio recording, and lasted 15 minutes.

Control Procedures

Participants assigned to the control condition listened to relaxing music to account for time and any relaxing effects of the mindfulness meditation. The relaxing music consisted of the first 15 minutes of a YouTube video (audio only) playing soothing music (Meditation Relax Music, 2016). No instructions regarding the rest of the experiment were provided, participants were merely instructed to listen to the music and were monitored to ensure they stayed awake.

Measures

Subjective Units of Distress (SUDS) —The SUDS is a widely used rating scale that assesses an individual's level of distress from 0 (no distress) to 100 (maximum distress). Participants provided a SUDS rating immediately prior to each DT task regarding their current distress, and following the completion of each task regarding their maximum level of distress during the task. Values used for analysis represent change from pre-task to peak.

Five Factor Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ) —The FFMQ (Baer, Smith, Hopkins, Krietemeyer & Toney, 2006) is a 39-item self-report scale assessing various aspects of trait mindfulness. Items consist of statements describing various attributes of mindfulness and are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (never or very rarely true) to 5 (very often or always true). The measure was derived from factor-analytic research of five independently developed mindfulness questionnaires, and consists of the following factors: *observe* (e.g. "I pay attention to sensations, such as the wind in my hair or sun in my face"), *describe* (e.g. "My natural tendency is to put my experiences in to words"), *act with awareness* (e.g. "I don't pay attention to what I'm doing because I'm daydreaming, worrying, or otherwise distracted" – reverse scored), *non-judge* (e.g. "I criticize myself for having irrational or inappropriate emotions" – reverse scored), and *non-react* (e.g. "I perceive my feelings and emotions without having to react to them"). Cronbach's alpha for the five factors ranged from 0.67 to 0.91, and for the full scale was 0.86.

Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS) —The DASS (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995) is a 21-item self-report scale in which participants rate the extent to which they experienced symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress over the past week. The scale produces scores for each of the three symptom categories, and Cronbach's alpha in the present sample was between 0.75 and 0.88 for the three.

Mindfulness Use Questions.—To assess the extent to which participants used mindfulness skills for the DT tasks post-intervention, we developed a set of five questions conceptually based on the five facets of the FFMQ. Participants were asked to rate the extent to which the following statements applied to them during the prior task: “I tried to observe my thoughts, feelings or sensations during this task” (*Observe*); “I tried to describe the thoughts, feelings and sensations I was experiencing to myself” (*Describe*); “I tried to distract myself from my thoughts, feelings and sensations” (*Act with Awareness*, reverse-scored); “I tried to take an accepting stance toward what I was experiencing” (*Non-judge*); “When I experienced distressing thoughts or feelings, I tried to just notice them without reacting” (*Non-react*). Questions were rated on a Likert scale from 1 (Not at all) to 5 (A lot). Internal consistency for the five items was diminished as a result of the reverse-scored item (Act with awareness), so the item was eliminated. With the remaining four items, Cronbach's alpha was 0.81.

Tidal Volume.—Tidal volume was measured in order to ensure consistent breathing patterns during and between hyperventilation trials. Psychophysiological data was recorded with equipment made by James Long Company, Caroga Lake, NY and with the data-acquisition program Snap-master for Windows. The raw data were digitized at 1000 samples per second, with a 4-channel A–D converter operating at a resolution of 16 bits and having an input range of –2.5 V to +2.5 V. Respiration was acquired using a latex rubber bellows strain gauge wrapped around the participant's chest to detect changes in thoracic girth during inhalation and exhalation. The respiration signal is dimensionless and un-calibrated, and thus is only usable as a ratio within subjects to assess changes in tidal volume within a single experimental session. The respiration signal was amplified and low-pass filtered at 10 hertz.

Data Analysis

To examine the impact of condition and the moderating effect of trait mindfulness on task persistence and subjective distress post-intervention, separate hierarchical multiple regressions for each task and outcome variable were conducted. Consistent with prior studies (Liu et al., 2012; Masedo & Esteve, 2007) participants who hit the maximum allotted time for each task at baseline were eliminated from analyses for that task. This was done in order to avoid artificially restricting improvements made from the first to second task, thereby increasing power to detect an effect of the intervention. Step one of each regression model included gender and either pre-intervention SUDS increase or task persistence for the relevant distress task. Gender was included as a covariate given previous literature suggesting differences in pain sensitivity and DT between men and women (Bunaciu et al., 2012). Step 2 of the analysis included condition and FFMQ as main effects, while step 3 included their interaction. For the neutralization task, separate hierarchical linear regressions

were run with post-rumination and post-neutralization (i.e. the one-minute waiting periods) SUDS and neutralization urges as dependent variables, and condition as a predictor. In addition, a logistic regression was run examining the effect of condition on the likelihood of engaging in neutralization behavior. Similar to the analyses discussed above, gender was included as a covariate.

Power analyses using GPower indicated that when including relevant covariates in the model, a medium effect size ($f^2 = 0.15$) for the main effect of intervention would require a sample size of 68 to achieve 80% power with an alpha level of .05. In order to account for exclusion of participants who reached the maximum allotted time on the tasks and to increase power for moderator analyses, we recruited a sample of 107 participants.

To examine whether self-reported mindfulness use during each task mediated the effect of condition on post-intervention outcomes, we used a 5000-sample bootstrap procedure to estimate bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals for the indirect effect (Hayes, 2013). Confidence intervals that do not overlap with zero indicate significant indirect effects. This method provides greater power and Type 1 error control compared to traditional mediation approaches, and does not rely on the assumption that the sampling distribution of the indirect effect is normal (Hayes, 2013). All mediation analyses included gender as a covariate, and pre-intervention task persistence was also controlled for in the analyses of the hyperventilation and cold pressor tasks.

Data were analyzed using SPSS Version 24.0 in Windows, and mediation analyses were conducted using the PROCESS macro for SPSS (Hayes, 2013). Assumptions of linear regression were tested, including normality, homoscedasticity and independence of residuals, absence of multicollinearity, and the presence of outliers. Data were determined to be suitable for linear regression. The squared semi-partial correlation coefficient (sr^2) was used as an indicator of effect size for predictors of interest.

For the hyperventilation challenge, data on tidal volume ratio from seven participants were missing as a result of equipment failure. To address this, we first used Little's missing completely at random test (Little, 1988) to determine that missingness of data was not related to any variables being examined in the study. We then used multiple imputation methods with fully conditional specification (van Buuren, 2007) to generate plausible values for the missing data. The model used to generate such values included the variables included in the regression model, as well as baseline and pre- and post-intervention tidal volume and respiration rate, as we determined that such variables would serve as meaningful estimators of predicted tidal volume ratio values. Five iterations of complete data sets were generated, and the pooled data from these imputations were used in subsequent analyses involving tidal volume.

Results

Participant Characteristics

The sample was 66.4% female, and the mean age was 19.0 years ($SD = 1.4$). Participants were 57.0% Asian, 34.6% White, 4.7% biracial, and 3.7% African-American. No difference

was seen between participants randomized to the mindfulness ($n = 53$) or control ($n = 54$) conditions in gender ($\chi^2(1) = 0.23, p = 0.69, \phi = 0.05$), race ($\chi^2(3) = 2.24, p = 0.52, \phi = 0.15$), age ($t(104) = 1.54, p = 0.13, d = 0.30$). Table 1 also shows there was no difference between groups in baseline FFMQ, anxiety, stress or depression.

Manipulation and Randomization Checks

Table 1 also shows baseline variables related to task performance for the mindfulness and no instructions control groups. There were no significant differences between conditions in number of participants included (i.e. participants who did not reach maximum time during first task), baseline task persistence, or baseline SUDS increase for either the Cold Pressor or Hyperventilation tasks. Mean changes in SUDS from before each distress task to the peak were significantly different from zero, showing the tasks successfully induced distress (Cold Pressor: $M = 39.61, SD = 22.20, t(89) = 16.93, p < 0.001, d = 1.78$; Hyperventilation $M = 32.82, SD = 19.68, t(93) = 16.17, p < 0.001, d = 1.67$).

With regard to our mindfulness intervention, participants in the mindfulness condition demonstrated good comprehension of the training, answering a mean of 4.53 of 5 comprehension questions correctly ($SD = 0.85$). As can be seen in Table 1, mean scores for the mindfulness use questions were significantly higher in the mindfulness group for all three tasks. Self-reported mindfulness use significantly correlated with change in task persistence for the cold pressor ($r = 0.30, p = 0.004$) and hyperventilation ($r = 0.32, p = 0.02$). When examining just the mindfulness condition separately, the relationship remained significant for the cold pressor ($r = 0.33, p = .036$) but not hyperventilation ($r = 0.17, p = 0.26$). Mindfulness use among participants in the mindfulness condition was significantly associated with post-rumination urge to neutralize ($r = -0.34, p = 0.01$), post-neutralization urge ($r = -0.34, p = .02$), and post-neutralization SUDS ($r = -0.28, p = 0.046$), but not post-rumination SUDS ($r = -0.17, p = 0.22$)). In addition, mindfulness use significantly predicted a lower likelihood of engaging in neutralization behavior ($B = -0.23, SE = 0.12, p = 0.48, OR = 0.79$).

Task Persistence

Results of the hierarchical linear regression examining the effect of condition, FFMQ and their interaction on task persistence for the cold pressor and hyperventilation challenge can be seen in Table 2. Graphical representation of mean task persistence for both tasks across groups and time points can be seen in Figure 1, with values reported in Table 1.

Cold Pressor.—Seventeen participants were eliminated from the analysis because they reached the cutoff point (180 seconds) during the pre-intervention task, leaving 90 participants for the analysis. There was no difference in FFMQ, anxiety, depression, or stress scores between participants who were excluded from the analyses and those who did not ($ps > 0.24$). Results of the regression analysis can be seen in Table 2. Controlling for gender, pre-intervention task persistence, and trait mindfulness, the effect of condition on post-intervention task persistence did not reach significance ($B = 10.09, SE = 5.98, p = 0.09, sr^2 = 0.017$), though results were in the expected direction such that those in the mindfulness condition persisted longer than those in the control condition. The squared semi-partial

correlation indicated that this effect was relatively small, with condition accounting for an additional 1.6% of variance in post-intervention task persistence on the cold pressor. Neither the main effect of FFMQ or the condition by FFMQ interaction were significant.

Hyperventilation Challenge.—Thirteen participants were eliminated from the analysis because they reached the cutoff point (300 seconds) during the pre-intervention task, leaving 94 participants for the analysis. Similar to the cold pressor, there was no difference in FFMQ, depression, anxiety or stress scores between participants excluded from the analyses and those who persisted ($p > 0.72$), though a Fisher's Exact Test revealed that participants who persisted until the cutoff for the hyperventilation challenge at pre-intervention were significantly more likely to do the same for the cold pressor ($p = 0.032$, $OR = 4.32$, $95\% CI = 1.20$ to 15.22).

Paired samples t-tests demonstrated that there were no significant differences in tidal volume during the hyperventilation challenge from pre- to post-intervention for either mindfulness ($t(46) = 1.45$, $p = 0.15$, $d = 0.22$) or control ($t(48) = 1.04$, $p = 0.30$, $d = 0.16$) participants. Furthermore, an independent samples t-test demonstrated that there was no difference in the ratio of tidal volume pre- to post-intervention between the mindfulness and control conditions ($t(92) = -1.48$, $p = 0.14$, $d = 0.31$). Nonetheless, pre-post tidal volume ratio was included as a covariate for all analyses of the hyperventilation challenge.

Results of the hierarchical linear regression in Table 2 indicate that condition had a significant effect on task persistence after controlling for pre-intervention persistence, gender, tidal volume ratio, and FFMQ. Specifically, participants receiving mindfulness training persisting longer than those in the control condition ($B = 61.34$, $SE = 12.10$, $p < 0.001$). The squared semi-partial correlation coefficient indicated that the effect of condition uniquely accounted 10.9% of variance in post-intervention task persistence during hyperventilation. There was no main effect of FFMQ, and the interaction between FFMQ and condition approached but did not reach significance ($B = -1.55$, $SE = .81$, $p = 0.054$). The direction of this effect was such that greater FFMQ resulted in a smaller effect of mindfulness training on task persistence compared to the no instructions control. The squared semi-partial correlation coefficient indicated that this effect accounted for 2.2% of unique variance in task persistence.

Separate exploratory analyses examining moderator effects of each FFMQ subscale revealed a significant effect of the interaction between condition and FFMQ-Act with Awareness ($B = -4.58$, $SE = 2.30$, $p < 0.047$), accounting for 2.3% of the variance in task persistence. There was a trend toward significance for the interaction of condition with FFMQ-Nonreact ($B = -5.90$, $SE = 3.14$, $p = 0.06$, $sr^2 = 0.021$) and FFMQ-Nonjudge ($B = -3.67$, $SE = 1.90$, $p = 0.06$, $sr^2 = 0.022$), whereas interactions with FFMQ-Observe ($B = 3.80$, $SE = 3.03$, $p = 0.21$, $sr^2 = 0.00$) and FFMQ-Describe ($B = -.095$, $SE = 2.38$, $p = 0.97$, $sr^2 = 0.01$) were not significant.

Subjective Distress

Results of the hierarchical linear regressions examining SUDS as the dependent variable were equivalent for both distress tasks. Specifically, no effect of condition was seen for cold

pressor ($B = -1.98$, $SE = 3.78$, $p = 0.91$, $sr^2 = 0.00$) or hyperventilation ($B = -5.07$, $SE = 4.15$, $p = 0.22$, $sr^2 = 0.00$) when controlling for gender, pre-intervention distress, and in the case of hyperventilation, tidal volume ratio. Similar to the task persistence results, the main effect of FFMQ and the interaction of FFMQ and condition were non-significant for both the cold pressor ($p > 0.30$) and hyperventilation challenge ($p > 0.50$).

Neutralization Task

Means and standard deviations of SUDS ratings and urges to neutralize post-rumination and post-neutralization for mindfulness and control groups are reported in Table 3. Also included are results of the main effects of condition and FFMQ in the regression models predicting each of the four continuous outcomes used in the task. No effect of condition was seen on SUDS change at either time point, or on post-rumination neutralization urges. However, FFMQ significantly predicted post-rumination and post-neutralization SUDS, such that greater FFMQ was associated with a lower SUDS at both time points. In addition, there were significant main effects of condition and FFMQ on post-neutralization urges to neutralize, such that greater FFMQ and being in the mindfulness condition predicted significantly weaker urges after the one minute neutralization period. Semi-partial correlation coefficients signified that condition uniquely accounted for 8.7% and FFMQ uniquely accounted for 4.6% of post-neutralization urges. There were no significant interaction effects of FFMQ and condition (all p 's > 0.10).

With regard to neutralization behavior, 75.2% of participants reported engaging in at least one of the pre-specified neutralization behaviors. The most common behavior was to visualize the accident but with a more positive or neutral outcome, a strategy endorsed by 37.7% of the participants. This was followed by destroying or altering the sentence (24.5%), turning over or concealing the paper (21.7%), carrying out a superstitious act (13.2%) and using a religious strategy (5.7%). Logistic regression results showed no effect of condition on the likelihood of engaging in neutralization behavior ($OR = 1.22$, 95% $CI = 0.50$ to 2.97 , $Wald = 0.19$, $p > 0.10$).

Mediation Analyses

To examine whether self-reported mindfulness use account for effects of our mindfulness manipulation, we conducted mediation analyses on outcomes that either approached or reached significance (i.e. task persistence on the cold pressor and hyperventilation challenge, and post-neutralization urges to neutralize). Given the preliminary nature of our mindfulness use questions, we also conducted exploratory mediation analyses of the individual items that correspond to the facets of mindfulness in the FFMQ, reporting results where significant.

For the cold pressor task, although the direct effect of condition on task persistence did not reach significance ($p = 0.09$, reported above), there was a significant indirect effect through self-reported mindfulness use during the task ($B = 11.18$, $SE = 4.64$, 95% $CI = 2.90$ to 20.86). Exploratory mediation analyses with individual mindfulness use items revealed that this effect was the result of the *Nonreact* and *Nonjudge* items, as there was a significant indirect effect of condition on cold pressor task through *Nonreact* ($B = 5.37$, $SE = 2.66$, 95% $CI = 0.95$ to 11.25) and *Nonjudge* ($B = 6.63$, $SE = 3.61$, 95% $CI = 0.42$ to 14.63) (see Figure

2). For the neutralization task, the indirect effect of condition on post-neutralization urges to neutralize through total mindfulness use during the task was not significant ($B = -0.44$, $SE = 0.34$, 95% CI = -1.16 to 0.22). However, there was a similar pattern of results to the cold pressor in that the indirect effects through the individual *Nonreact* ($B = -0.64$, $SE = 0.30$, 95% CI = -1.27 to -0.09) and *Nonjudge* ($B = -1.02$, $SE = 0.38$, 95% CI = -1.85 to -0.35) items were significant (see Figure 2). No significant indirect effect of condition on hyperventilation task persistence through mindfulness use was found for the total scale ($B = 2.08$, $SE = 10.28$, 95% CI = -17.07 to 24.35) or individual items.

Discussion

The goal of the present study was to examine the effect of a brief mindfulness training on tasks persistence and subjective distress in a series of DT tasks. We also sought to examine whether individuals who were higher in trait mindfulness would benefit more from such an intervention. Results showed that relative to a no instructions control that included listening to relaxing music, mindfulness training significantly improved participants' persistence on the hyperventilation challenge but not the cold pressor. Furthermore, mindfulness training was associated with a decreased urge to neutralize the effects of writing a sentence about a loved one being in a car accident. The effect of mindfulness training on task persistence and decreased neutralization urges did not extend to subjective distress for any of the measures, though trait mindfulness did significantly predict reduced distress in response to the neutralization task.

This study built upon the previous literature by using several distress-tolerance related outcomes that have not previously been examined in the context of a mindfulness intervention. Regarding the hyperventilation challenge, for instance, it has been demonstrated that trait mindfulness significantly predicts task persistence (Arch & Craske, 2010), and that a brief present moment awareness training negates the impact of low self-reported DT on subjective distress (Paz et al., 2017). However, this is the first study to show that experimentally manipulating mindfulness improves behavioral DT. With regard to the neutralization task, Macatee and Cougle (2015) showed reductions in neutralization urges as a result of two 1-hour sessions that involved psychoeducation, progressive muscle relaxation and imaginal emotion exposure. The present study showed similar effects on neutralization urges with a single 15 minute mindfulness intervention. Notably, the intervention used by Macatee and Cougle (2015) also encouraged participants to take an accepting stance toward distress, but given the number of other components of the intervention, it is difficult to know what the active ingredient may have been.

The effects of mindfulness on the hyperventilation challenge and neutralization task are clinically relevant given that performance on such tasks correlates with symptoms of psychopathology (Cougle et al., 2011; Cougle et al., 2013; Marshall et al., 2008), and because the nature of the tasks maps on quite closely to procedures used in exposure therapy. For instance, hyperventilation is frequently employed as an interoceptive exposure in the treatment of panic disorder. Intentionally eliciting sensations of physical distress associated with anxiety (e.g. increased heart rate, shortness of breath) allows patients to learn that such symptoms do not lead to the disastrous consequences that they expect. In obsessive-

compulsive disorder, individuals often feel that having a thought about a negative outcome means that such an outcome will occur, and thereby attempt to neutralize the effects of the thought with compulsive behavior. Exposures are used so that patients can learn that compulsions are not necessary to prevent such negative outcomes. For both types of exposures, however, the willingness to tolerate distress is essential in order for the patient to adequately learn that their feared consequence will not occur. Given the impact of mindfulness on DT shown in the present study, mindfulness training may positively impact exposure therapy by helping individuals engage in more effective exposures. This idea is also supported by research showing mindfulness-based strategies during exposure are associated with declining distress levels (Brake et al., 2016), and that changes in mindful non-reactivity mediate the effect of exposure therapy on health anxiety (Hedman, Hesser, Andersson, Axelsson, & Ljótsson, 2017). Beyond these two studies, however, there is little research directly examining how mindfulness may impact exposure (Treanor, 2011). The clinical applicability of such a question make it an important direction for future investigation.

The present study also provides preliminary insight in to the extent to which trait mindfulness moderates the effect of mindfulness training on interoceptive distress. Although the moderating effect of overall trait mindfulness on condition did not reach significance ($p = 0.05$), exploratory analyses revealed that the *Act with Awareness* facet of the FFMQ did significantly impact the effect of condition on task persistence in the hyperventilation challenge. Prior research examining the impact of trait mindfulness on improvements from mindfulness training has shown variable results (e.g. Greeson et al., 2015; Keng et al., 2015; Shapiro et al., 2011), but has largely focused on trait mindfulness as a unitary construct. We observed that individuals who tend to be less aware of their experiences and actions had the greatest improvements on task persistence following mindfulness training. Of note, moderator effects for the Nonjudgment and Nonreactivity facets fell just outside of significance (both p 's = 0.06), but had similar effect sizes to Act with Awareness, and may be similarly relevant in identifying who is most likely to benefit from mindfulness training on the hyperventilation challenge. Although conclusions should be considered tentative at this point given the exploratory nature of the analyses, these findings warrant further research.

The fact that mindfulness training did not significantly impact distress levels on any of the tasks or task persistence in the cold pressor is contrary to our hypothesis and previous research using the cold pressor task (Liu et al., 2013; Masedo & Esteve, 2007). One important difference of the present study is that participants completed a series of distress tasks, whereas the studies of Masedo and Esteve (2007) and Liu et al. (2013) just used the cold pressor. Given the varying nature of the tasks, it may have been more difficult to successfully apply mindfulness strategies to all tasks, limiting their effects on distress ratings. This also could explain why effect sizes were strongest for the hyperventilation challenge. During the mindfulness training, participants were encouraged to practice taking a nonjudgmental attitude toward the sensations that arose when holding their breath, and also were encouraged to focus on the breath during a meditation. The instruction of mindfulness techniques in the context of breathing-related activities may have made it easier to apply such techniques to hyperventilation, whereas participants were less successful at

utilizing mindfulness for the cold pressor. The idea that unfamiliarity with applying mindfulness techniques to the distress experience in a particular task is supported by the results of Evans and colleagues (2014), who found that minimal instructions and no practice in the use of mindfulness strategies led to worse distress tolerance outcomes on the cold pressor compared to a control condition. It is also possible, however, that mindfulness has differential effects on increasing tolerance of the temperature-related pain involved in the cold pressor compared to the hyperventilation-induced interoceptive distress most closely associated with anxiety. Meta-analytic research, for instance, has shown that of the many outcomes which mindfulness interventions have been shown to improve, the largest effect sizes are seen on symptoms of anxiety, while the smallest are seen for pain (Khouri et al., 2013).

It should be noted that the differential effect of mindfulness training on task persistence and not self-reported distress in this study is consistent with the conceptualization of mindfulness as fostering an ability to respond differently to distress, even if the actual experience of distress cannot be directly altered (Bishop et al., 2004; Kabat-Zinn, 1990). This idea may be particularly applicable to novices to mindfulness training, which were used in this study, as research suggests that the effects of mindfulness differ with varying degrees of experience (Zeidan, 2014). It is possible that the small dose of mindfulness training was sufficient to improve participants' goal-directed behavior in the context of distress, but not to change to change their subjective evaluations of what they were experiencing.

An important question regarding the results of this study is whether the use of mindfulness skills was responsible for improved DT on the experimental tasks. Although our comparison condition controlled for practice effects on the cold pressor and hyperventilation tasks, as well as the effect of time and feelings of relaxation resulting from the mindfulness meditation, it did not involve explicit instructions for how to respond to distress during the second set of tasks. We elected for such a comparison condition so that participants would employ whichever regulation strategies they naturally use to handle distress. This allowed moderation analyses to test who benefits from mindfulness compared to natural coping, rather than an arbitrary strategy that participants might not normally employ.

A limitation of this design is that we cannot completely rule out the possibility that expectancy effects resulting from employing a strategy for responding to distress, rather than mindfulness skills themselves, accounted for improvements seen in DT. Use of an active control condition such as reappraisal or suppression could have provided clarity regarding this issue. However, our manipulation checks suggest that participants successfully comprehended the key points of the mindfulness training, and those receiving the training endorsed greater use of mindfulness skills during the distress tasks compared to the control group. Most importantly, mindfulness use during the cold pressor and neutralization tasks, specifically the use of *Nonreact* and *Nonjudge* skills, significantly mediated the effect of condition on DT outcomes. This finding is consistent with the emphasis placed on acceptance in the mindfulness intervention. Although such results did not extend to hyperventilation outcomes and were based on a novel measure of mindfulness use that has not previously been validated, they suggest that effects seen in this study were at least partly a result of mindfulness strategies. Future research would benefit from further validation and

refinement of such a brief assessment of mindfulness use, and exploration of the roles of the *Nonreact* and *Nonjudge* aspects of mindfulness as mechanisms for improvements in DT. This could be particularly fruitful in the context of research suggesting that the benefits of facets of mindfulness like observing and acting with awareness may depend on the presence of sufficient levels of nonjudgment and nonreactivity (Eisenlohr-Moul, Walsh, Charnig, Lynam, & Baer, 2012; Peters, Eisenlohr-Moul, Upton, & Baer, 2013).

Other limitations to this study include that it was conducted with an unselected undergraduate sample. Whether these results generalize to other samples, particularly clinical populations, remains to be tested. Second, given the number of tasks that participants had to complete over the course of the experiment, there may have been an effect of fatigue on participants' behavior and subjective distress. Research has shown that performance on tasks requiring a substantial degree of self-control declines over time (Hagger, Wood, Stiff, & Chatzisarantis, 2010). We included a two minute rest interval between each task to reduce the effect of such self-control fatigue, but the combined effort exerted throughout the experiment may have decreased participant's willingness or ability to use strategies to help tolerate distress. A final limitation is that because we only asked about mindfulness use after distress tasks, we were unable to determine what other coping strategies participants were using, which would have been particularly relevant for understanding the spontaneous coping used by participants in the no-instructions control condition. Future research could ask participants to rate the extent to which they used range of possible coping strategies, including mindfulness, to provide a more specific comparison of mindfulness to other methods of regulating distress. Future research could also benefit from testing the use of brief mindfulness interventions on tolerance of other forms of distress (e.g. social stress) using paradigms such as the Trier Social Stress Test (Kirschbaum, Pirke, & Hellhammer, 1993) or Maastricht Acute Stress Test (Meyer et al., 2012).

Conclusions

Despite the limitations listed above, the results of the present study suggest that a brief mindfulness training can improve willingness to tolerate distress in a laboratory setting. Specifically, mindfulness was found to be helpful for increasing tolerance of interoceptive distress on the hyperventilation challenge, and for the urge to neutralize the effects of writing and thinking about an upsetting future event. In addition, we found preliminary evidence that individuals who tend to be less aware of their present-moment experiences may benefit more from mindfulness training on the hyperventilation challenge. Future research should build upon such findings with clinical populations and examine whether mindfulness training has the potential to increase tolerance of distress in the context of therapeutic interventions.

Acknowledgments

The authors declare no conflict of interest. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. Dr. Hofmann receives financial support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (as part of the Humboldt Prize), NIH/NCCIH (R01AT007257), NIH/NIMH (R01MH099021, U01MH108168), and the James S. McDonnell Foundation 21st Century Science Initiative in Understanding Human Cognition – Special Initiative. He receives compensation for his work as an advisor from the Palo Alto Health

Sciences and for his work as a Subject Matter Expert from John Wiley & Sons, Inc. and SilverCloud Health, Inc. He also receives royalties and payments for his editorial work from various publishers.

References

- Allan NP, Macatee RJ, Norr AM, & Schmidt NB (2014). Direct and interactive effects of distress tolerance and anxiety sensitivity on generalized anxiety and depression. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 38, 530–540. 10.1007/s10608-014-9623-y
- Anestis MD, Selby EA, Fink EL, & Joiner TE (2007). The multifaceted role of distress tolerance in dysregulated eating behaviors. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 40, 718–726. 10.1002/eat.20471 [PubMed: 17868125]
- Arch JJ, & Craske MG (2010). Laboratory stressors in clinically anxious and non-anxious individuals: The moderating role of mindfulness. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 48, 495–505. 10.1016/j.brat.2010.02.005 [PubMed: 20303471]
- Baer RA, Smith GT, Hopkins J, Krietemeyer J, & Toney L (2006). Using self-report assessment methods to explore facets of mindfulness. *Assessment*, 13, 27–45. 10.1177/1073191105283504 [PubMed: 16443717]
- Banducci AN, Connolly KM, Vujanovic AA, Alvarez J, & Bonn-Miller MO (2017). The impact of changes in distress tolerance on PTSD symptom severity post-treatment among veterans in residential trauma treatment. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 47, 99–105. 10.1016/j.janxdis.2017.01.004 [PubMed: 28109673]
- Bernstein A, Marshall EC, & Zvolensky MJ (2011). Multi-method evaluation of distress tolerance measures and construct(s): Concurrent relations to mood and anxiety psychopathology and quality of life. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*, 2, 386–399. 10.5127/jep.006610
- Bishop SR, Lau M, Shapiro S, Carlson L, Anderson ND, Carmody J, ... & Devins G (2004). Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 11, 230–241. 10.1093/clipsy.bph077
- Bunaciu L, Feldner MT, Babson KA, Zvolensky MJ, & Eifert GH (2012). Biological sex and panic-relevant anxious reactivity to abrupt increases in bodily arousal as a function of biological challenge intensity. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 43, 526–531. 10.1016/j.jbtep.2011.07.001 [PubMed: 21813084]
- Brake CA, Sauer-Zavala S, Boswell JF, Gallagher MW, Farchione TJ, & Barlow DH (2016). Mindfulness-based exposure strategies as a transdiagnostic mechanism of change: an exploratory alternating treatment design. *Behavior Therapy*, 47, 225–238. 10.1016/j.beth.2015.10.008 [PubMed: 26956654]
- Coughe JR, Purdon C, Fitch KE, & Hawkins KA (2013). Clarifying relations between thought-action fusion, religiosity, and obsessive-compulsive symptoms through consideration of intent. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 37, 221–231. 10.1007/s10608-012-9461-8
- Coughe JR, Timpano KR, Fitch KE, & Hawkins KA (2011). Distress tolerance and obsessions: an integrative analysis. *Depression and Anxiety*, 28, 906–914. 10.1002/da.20846 [PubMed: 21681873]
- Eisenlohr-Moul TA, Walsh EC, Charnigo RJ Jr, Lynam DR, & Baer RA (2012). The “what” and the “how” of dispositional mindfulness: Using interactions among subscales of the Five-Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire to understand its relation to substance use. *Assessment*, 19, 276–286. 10.1177/1073191112446658 [PubMed: 22589412]
- Evans DR, Eisenlohr-Moul TA, Button DF, Baer RA, & Segerstrom SC (2014). Self-regulatory deficits associated with unpracticed mindfulness strategies for coping with acute pain. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 44, 23–30. 10.1111/jasp.12196 [PubMed: 25843972]
- Feldman G, Dunn E, Stemke C, Bell K, & Greeson J (2014). Mindfulness and rumination as predictors of persistence with a distress tolerance task. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 56, 154–158. 10.1016/j.paid.2013.08.040
- Gawrysiak MJ, Grassetti SN, Greeson JM, Shorey RC, Pohlig R, & Baime MJ (2018). The many facets of mindfulness and the prediction of change following mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR). *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 74, 523–535. 10.1002/jclp.22521 [PubMed: 28815600]

- Gratz KL, Rosenthal MZ, Tull MT, Lejuez CW, & Gunderson JG (2006). An experimental investigation of emotion dysregulation in borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 115, 850–855. 10.1037/0021-843X.115.4.850 [PubMed: 17100543]
- Greeson JM, Smoski MJ, Suarez EC, Brantley JG, Ekblad AG, Lynch TR, & Wolever RQ (2015). Decreased symptoms of depression after mindfulness-based stress reduction: Potential moderating effects of religiosity, spirituality, trait mindfulness, sex, and age. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 21, 166–174. 10.1089/acm.2014.0285 [PubMed: 25695903]
- Hagger MS, Wood C, Stiff C, & Chatzisarantis NL (2010). Ego depletion and the strength model of self-control: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136, 494–525.
- Hayes AF, (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: a regression-based approach*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Hedman E, Hesser H, Andersson E, Axelsson E, & Ljotsson B (2017). The mediating effect of mindful non-reactivity in exposure-based cognitive behavior therapy for severe health anxiety. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 50, 15–22. 10.1016/j.janxdis.2017.04.007 [PubMed: 28528015]
- Hsu SH, Collins SE, & Marlatt GA (2013). Examining psychometric properties of distress tolerance and its moderation of mindfulness-based relapse prevention effects on alcohol and other drug use outcomes. *Addictive Behaviors*, 38, 1852–1858. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.11.002 [PubMed: 23266526]
- Kabat-Zinn J (1990). *Full catastrophe living*. New York, NY: Delta Publishing.
- Keng SL, Phang CK, & Oei TP (2015). Effects of a brief mindfulness-based intervention program on psychological symptoms and well-being among medical students in Malaysia: a controlled study. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 8, 335–350. 10.1521/ijct.2015.8.4.335
- Keng SL, Robins CJ, Smoski MJ, Dagenbach J, & Leary MR (2013). Reappraisal and mindfulness: A comparison of subjective effects and cognitive costs. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 51, 899–904. 10.1016/j.brat.2013.10.006 [PubMed: 24225174]
- Khoury B, Lecomte T, Fortin G, Masse M, Therien P, Bouchard V, ... & Hofmann SG (2013). Mindfulness-based therapy: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33, 763–771. 10.1016/j.cpr.2013.05.005 [PubMed: 23796855]
- Kirschbaum C, Pirke KM, & Hellhammer DH (1993). The ‘Trier Social Stress Test’—a tool for investigating psychobiological stress responses in a laboratory setting. *Neuropsychobiology*, 28, 76–81. 10.1159/000119004 [PubMed: 8255414]
- Laurent HK, Laurent SM, Nelson B, Wright DB, & Sanchez MADA (2015). Dispositional mindfulness moderates the effect of a brief mindfulness induction on physiological stress responses. *Mindfulness*, 6, 1192–1200. 10.1007/s12671-014-0377-0
- Leyro TM, Zvolensky MJ, & Bernstein A (2010). Distress tolerance and psychopathological symptoms and disorders: a review of the empirical literature among adults. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136, 576–600. 10.1037/a0019712 [PubMed: 20565169]
- Lillis J, Hayes SC, Bunting K, & Masuda A (2009). Teaching acceptance and mindfulness to improve the lives of the obese: a preliminary test of a theoretical model. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 37, 58–69. 10.1007/s12160-009-9083-x [PubMed: 19252962]
- Liu X, Wang S, Chang S, Chen W, & Si M (2013). Effect of brief mindfulness intervention on tolerance and distress of pain induced by cold-pressor task. *Stress and Health*, 29, 199–204. 10.1002/smi.2446 [PubMed: 22961992]
- Lotan G, Tanay G, & Bernstein A (2013). Mindfulness and distress tolerance: Relations in a mindfulness preventive intervention. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 6, 371–385. 10.1521/ijct.2013.6.4.371
- Lovibond SH & Lovibond PF (1995). *Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales*. (2nd. Ed.) Sydney: Psychology Foundation.
- Luberto CM, & McLeish AC (2018). The effects of a brief mindfulness exercise on state mindfulness and affective outcomes among adult daily smokers. *Addictive Behaviors*, 77, 73–80. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.09.013 [PubMed: 28965070]
- Luberto CM, McLeish AC, Robertson SA, Avallone KM, Kraemer KM, & Jeffries ER (2014). The role of mindfulness skills in terms of distress tolerance: A pilot test among adult daily smokers.

- American Journal on Addictions, 23, 184–188. 10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.12096.x [PubMed: 25187054]
- Macatee RJ, & Coughle JR (2015). Development and evaluation of a computerized intervention for low distress tolerance and its effect on performance on a neutralization task. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 48, 33–39. 10.1016/j.jbtep.2015.01.007 [PubMed: 25681579]
- MacPherson L, Stipelman BA, Duplinsky M, Brown RA, & Lejuez CW (2008). Distress tolerance and pre-smoking treatment attrition: Examination of moderating relationships. *Addictive Behaviors*, 33, 1385–1393. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.07.001 [PubMed: 18706768]
- Marshall EC, Zvolensky MJ, Vujanovic AA, Gregor K, Gibson LE, & Leyro TM (2008). Panic reactivity to voluntary hyperventilation challenge predicts distress tolerance to bodily sensations among daily cigarette smokers. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 16, 313–321. 10.1037/a0016883 [PubMed: 18729685]
- Masedo AI, & Esteve MR (2007). Effects of suppression, acceptance and spontaneous coping on pain tolerance, pain intensity and distress. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45, 199–209. 10.1016/j.brat.2006.02.006 [PubMed: 16569396]
- McHugh RK, Kertz SJ, Weiss RB, Baskin-Sommers AR, Hearon BA, & Björgvinsson T (2014). Changes in distress intolerance and treatment outcome in a partial hospital setting. *Behavior Therapy*, 45, 232–240. 10.1016/j.beth.2013.11.002 [PubMed: 24491198]
- McHugh RK, Daughters SB, Lejuez CW, Murray HW, Hearon BA, Gorka SM, & Otto MW (2011). Shared variance among self-report and behavioral measures of distress intolerance. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 35, 266–275. 10.1007/s10608-010-9295-1 [PubMed: 23894216]
- Meditation Relax Music (2016, 3 20). Relaxing music for stress relief, healing music for meditation, soothing for massage, deep sleep, spa [Video file]. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DJUIgV7t8C0&nohtml5=False>
- Paz R, Zvielli A, Goldstein P, & Bernstein A (2017). Brief mindfulness training de-couples the anxiogenic effects of distress intolerance on reactivity to and recovery from stress among deprived smokers. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 95, 117–127. 10.1016/j.brat.2017.05.017 [PubMed: 28624698]
- Peters JR, Eisenlohr-Moul TA, Upton BT, & Baer RA (2013). Nonjudgment as a moderator of the relationship between present-centered awareness and borderline features: Synergistic interactions in mindfulness assessment. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 55, 24–28. 10.1016/j.paid.2013.01.021
- Reiner K, Granot M, Soffer E, & Lipsitz JD (2015). A brief mindfulness meditation training increases pain threshold and accelerates modulation of response to tonic pain in an experimental study. *Pain Medicine*, 17, 628–635. 10.1111/pme.12883
- Richards JM, Daughters SB, Bornova-lova MA, Brown RA, & Lejuez CW (2011). Substance use disorders In Zvolensky M, Bernstein A, & Vujanovic A (Eds.), *Distress Tolerance: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications* (pp. 171–197). New York: Guilford Press.
- Sauer SE, & Baer RA (2012). Ruminative and mindful self-focused attention in borderline personality disorder. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 3, 433–441. 10.1037/a0025465
- Shapiro SL, Brown KW, Thoresen C, & Plante TG (2011). The moderation of Mindfulness-based stress reduction effects by trait mindfulness: Results from a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67, 267–277. 10.1002/jclp.20761 [PubMed: 21254055]
- Simons JS, & Gaher RM (2005). The Distress Tolerance Scale: Development and validation of a self-report measure. *Motivation and Emotion*, 29, 83–102. 10.1007/s11031-005-7955-3
- Smeets T, Cornelisse S, Quaedflieg CWEM, Meyer T, Jelicic M, Merckelbach H (2012). Introducing the Maastricht Acute Stress Test (MAST): a quick and non-invasive approach to elicit robust autonomic and glucocorticoid stress responses. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37, 1998–2008. 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.04.012.
- Strong DR, Lejuez CW, Daughters SB, Marinello M, Kahler CW, & Brown RA, et al. (2003). The computerized mirror tracing task version 1. Unpublished manuscript.

- Szuhany KL, & Otto MW (2015). Contextual influences on distress intolerance: Priming effects on behavioral persistence. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 39, 499–507. 10.1007/s10608-015-9672-x [PubMed: 26366022]
- Teasdale JD, Williams JMG, & Segal ZV (2013). *The mindful way workbook: An 8-week program to free yourself from depression and emotional distress*. New York, NY: Guilford Publications.
- Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Pagé S, Goffaux P, & Marchand S (2008). An experimental model to measure excitatory and inhibitory pain mechanisms in humans. *Brain Research*, 1230, 73–79. 10.1016/j.brainres.2008.06.120 [PubMed: 18652808]
- Treanor M (2011). The potential impact of mindfulness on exposure and extinction learning in anxiety disorders. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 31, 617–625. 10.1016/j.cpr.2011.02.003 [PubMed: 21482320]
- Van Buuren S (2007). Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 16, 219–242. 10.1177/0962280206074463 [PubMed: 17621469]
- Watier N, & Dubois M (2016). The effects of a brief mindfulness exercise on executive attention and recognition memory. *Mindfulness*, 7, 745–753. 10.1007/s12671-016-0514-z
- Zeidan (2014). The neurobiology of mindfulness meditation In Brown KW, Creswell JD, Ryan RM (Eds.), *Handbook of Mindfulness: Theory, Research, and Practice* (pp. 171–189). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Zvolensky MJ, & Eifert GH (2001). A review of psychological factors/processes affecting anxious responding during voluntary hyperventilation and inhalations of carbon dioxide-enriched air. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 21, 375–400. 10.1016/S0272-7358(99)00053-7 [PubMed: 11288606]

Highlights

- Mindfulness training increased distress tolerance on the hyperventilation challenge
- Mindfulness training led to reduced urges to neutralize an upsetting thought
- No effect of the intervention was seen on subjective distress
- Lower trait awareness predicted a greater effect of mindfulness on hyperventilation

Figure 1.

Group means for task persistence pre- and post-intervention for the hyperventilation challenge and cold pressor, and urge to neutralize after rumination and neutralization periods on the neutralization task. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. p values correspond to the Beta statistic in the regression models in Tables 2 and 3, representing the effect of condition on the each outcome controlling for gender and FFMQ (all tasks), baseline task performance (cold pressor and hyperventilation), and tidal volume ratio (hyperventilation only).

Figure 2.

Mediation of the effect of condition on cold pressor task persistence (left) and urge to neutralize (right) through the Nonreact and Nonjudge mindfulness use items. Regression coefficients represent unstandardized values controlling for pre-intervention task persistence (cold pressor only) and gender. Condition coded 0 = control, 1 = mindfulness. + $p < 0.10$, * $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$.

Table 1

Baseline self-report means, pre- and post-intervention task variables, and post-intervention mindfulness use for mindfulness and control groups

Measure/Task	Condition		χ^2 or T
	Mindfulness	Control	
FFMQ Total	120.42 (14.70)	122.65 (15.16)	0.77
DASS Anxiety	3.68 (2.93)	4.16 (3.69)	0.75
DASS Depression	4.19 (3.96)	4.56 (3.83)	0.49
DASS Stress	6.66 (3.90)	6.92 (4.07)	0.74
<i>Participants Included (Did not reach maximum time during first task)</i>			
Cold Pressor	41 (77%)	49 (91%)	2.65
Hyperventilation	46 (87%)	48 (89%)	0.00
<i>Mean Task Persistence in Seconds (SD)</i>			
Cold Pressor Pre	47.05 (28.66)	48.12 (35.28)	0.16
Cold Pressor Post	59.13 (37.47)	49.49 (40.83)	-
Hyperventilation Pre	119.57 (65.86)	115.40 (62.97)	-0.79
Hyperventilation Post	159.96 (79.58)	107.35 (64.24)	-
<i>Mean SUDS Increase During Task (SD)</i>			
Cold Pressor Pre	39.39 (23.51)	39.80 (21.29)	0.09
Cold Pressor Post	38.17 (20.91)	39.08 (23.95)	-
Hyperventilation Pre	34.46 (17.80)	31.25 (21.40)	-0.31
Hyperventilation Post	29.57 (21.93)	33.02 (22.76)	-
<i>Mean Mindfulness Use During Second Set of Distress Tasks (SD)</i>			
Cold Pressor	4.05 (0.54)	2.94 (0.57)	-10.25**
Hyperventilation	3.91 (0.63)	2.82 (0.71)	-8.36**
Neutralization	3.67 (0.66)	3.00 (0.73)	-4.91**

** = $p < .01$; Difference in post-intervention variables across conditions not reported here, as the effect of condition was tested via regression, with results shown in the text and in Table 2. SUDS = subjective units of distress, range = 0–100; Mindfulness use was rated on a scale from 1 (not at all) to 5 (a lot)

Table 2

Hierarchical linear regression examining the effect of condition, trait mindfulness, and their interaction on task persistence

Outcome	Step	Predictors	R^2	B	SE B	β
Cold Pressor Persistence (post-intervention)	1.	Persistence preintervention	.695**	.836	.094	.686**
		Gender		-10.67	6.61	-.125
	2.	Condition	.036*	10.09	5.98	.128 ⁺
		FFMQ		-.360	.205	-.134 ⁺
	3.	Condition × FFMQ	.008	-.494	.419	-.797
	1.	Persistence PreIntervention		.707	.102	.593**
Hyperventilation Persistence (post-intervention)		Gender	.349**	-16.20	13.23	-.078
		Tidal Volume Ratio		1.058	16.26	.053
	2.	Condition	.110**	50.99	12.12	.667**
		FFMQ		.158	.409	.002
	3.	Condition × FFMQ	.022 ⁺	-1.55	.806	-.020 ⁺

⁺ = $p < .10$,

* = $p < .05$;

** = $p < .01$; Gender coded female = 0, male = 1; Condition coded 0 = control, 1 = mindfulness; FFMQ = Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire.

Table 3

Means and SDs of neutralization task outcomes by condition, and prediction of outcomes by condition and trait mindfulness

Outcome	Mindfulness (SD)	Relaxation (SD)	Regression Analyses				
			Predictor	B	SE B	β	sr^2
Post-rumination SUDS ^a	28.21 (21.97)	23.87 (23.01)	Condition	.727	4.39	.015	.001
			FFMQ	-.310	.144	-.188 *	.032
Postneutralization period SUDS ^a	6.54 (12.85)	4.81 (16.58)	Condition	-1.20	2.86	.061	.001
			FFMQ	-.213	0.94	-.171 *	.028
Post-rumination urges ^b	5.65 (3.04)	6.42 (3.24)	Condition	-.773	.611	-.123	.015
			FFMQ	-.020	.021	-.094	.008
Postneutralization period urges ^b	3.31 (2.91)	5.19 (3.44)	Condition	-1.96	.603	-.298 **	.087
			FFMQ	-.048	.020	-.218 *	.046

* = $p < .05$;

** = $p < .01$; sr^2 = squared semi-partial correlation coefficient;

^a = Regression analyses reflect prediction of outcome controlling for pre-task SUDS and gender;

^b = Regression analyses reflect prediction of outcome controlling for gender; SUDS = subjective units of distress, range = 0–100; Urges scale range = 0–10; Condition coded 0 = control, 1 = mindfulness; FFMQ = Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire.