Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation (Review)

Lawrence M, Celestino Junior FT, Matozinho HHS, Govan L, Booth J, Beecher J

Lawrence M, Celestino Junior FT, Matozinho HHS, Govan L, Booth J, Beecher J.
Yoga for stroke rehabilitation.
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD011483.
DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD011483.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011483.pub2).

www.cochranelibrary.com

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation (Review)

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

WILEY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	4
BACKGROUND	7
OBJECTIVES	8
METHODS	8
RESULTS	10
Figure 1.	11
Figure 2.	13
Figure 3.	14
DISCUSSION	16
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	18
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	18
REFERENCES	19
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	22
DATA AND ANALYSES	26
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 1 Quality of life.	28
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 2 Balance: Berg Balance Scale.	29
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 3 Balance confidence.	30
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 4 Gait (comfortable gait speed).	30
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 5 Motor Assessment (Motor Assessment Scale).	30
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 6 Walk distance (2-Minute Walk Distance).	30
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 7 Fear of falling.	31
Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 8 Range of movement.	31
Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 9 Strength.	32
Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 10 Endurance.	32
Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 11 Pain.	33
Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 12 Disability.	33
Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 13 Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS15).	34
Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 14 State Trait Anxiety (STAI-Y1).	34
Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 15 Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-Y2).	34
APPENDICES	34
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	44
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	44
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	44
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	44
INDEX TERMS	45

[Intervention Review]

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation

Maggie Lawrence¹, Francisco T Celestino Junior², Hemilianna HS Matozinhos², Lindsay Govan³, Jo Booth⁴, Jane Beecher⁵

¹Department of Nursing and Community Health, School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK. ²School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK. ³Health Economics and Health Technology Assessment, Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. ⁴Department of Health & Community Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK. ⁵Rotational Physiotherapy, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow, UK

Contact: Maggie Lawrence, Department of Nursing and Community Health, School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, A101f, Govan Mbeki Building, Glasgow, G4 0BA, UK. maggie.lawrence@gcu.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Stroke Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 12, 2017.

Citation: Lawrence M, Celestino Junior FT, Matozinhos HHS, Govan L, Booth J, Beecher J. Yoga for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD011483. DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD011483.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011483.pub2).

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Stroke is a major health issue and cause of long-term disability and has a major emotional and socioeconomic impact. There is a need to explore options for long-term sustainable interventions that support stroke survivors to engage in meaningful activities to address life challenges after stroke. Rehabilitation focuses on recovery of function and cognition to the maximum level achievable, and may include a wide range of complementary strategies including yoga.

Yoga is a mind-body practice that originated in India, and which has become increasingly widespread in the Western world. Recent evidence highlights the positive effects of yoga for people with a range of physical and psychological health conditions. A recent non-Cochrane systematic review concluded that yoga can be used as self-administered practice in stroke rehabilitation.

Objectives

To assess the effectiveness of yoga, as a stroke rehabilitation intervention, on recovery of function and quality of life (QoL).

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group Trials Register (last searched July 2017), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (last searched July 2017), MEDLINE (to July 2017), Embase (to July 2017), CINAHL (to July 2017), AMED (to July 2017), PsycINFO (to July 2017), LILACS (to July 2017), SciELO (to July 2017), IndMED (to July 2017), OTseeker (to July 2017) and PEDro (to July 2017). We also searched four trials registers, and one conference abstracts database. We screened reference lists of relevant publications and contacted authors for additional information.

Selection criteria

We included randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared yoga with a waiting-list control or no intervention control in stroke survivors.

Data collection and analysis

Two review authors independently extracted data from the included studies. We performed all analyses using Review Manager (RevMan). One review author entered the data into RevMan; another checked the entries. We discussed disagreements with a third review author until consensus was reached. We used the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool. Where we considered studies to be sufficiently similar, we conducted a meta-analysis by pooling the appropriate data. For outcomes for which it was inappropriate or impossible to pool quantitatively, we conducted a descriptive analysis and provided a narrative summary.

Main results

We included two RCTs involving 72 participants. Sixty-nine participants were included in one meta-analysis (balance). Both trials assessed QoL, along with secondary outcomes measures relating to movement and psychological outcomes; one also measured disability.

In one study the Stroke Impact Scale was used to measure QoL across six domains, at baseline and post-intervention. The effect of yoga on five domains (physical, emotion, communication, social participation, stroke recovery) was not significant; however, the effect of yoga on the memory domain was significant (mean difference (MD) 15.30, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.29 to 29.31, $P = 0.03$), the evidence for this finding was very low grade. In the second study, QoL was assessed using the Stroke-Specific QoL Scale; no significant effect was found.

Secondary outcomes included movement, strength and endurance, and psychological variables, pain, and disability.

Balance was measured in both studies using the Berg Balance Scale; the effect of intervention was not significant (MD 2.38, 95% CI -1.41 to 6.17, $P = 0.22$). Sensitivity analysis did not alter the direction of effect. One study measured balance self-efficacy, using the Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale (MD 10.60, 95% CI -7.08 to 28.28, $P = 0.24$); the effect of intervention was not significant; the evidence for this finding was very low grade.

One study measured gait using the Comfortable Speed Gait Test (MD 1.32, 95% CI -1.35 to 3.99, $P = 0.33$), and motor function using the Motor Assessment Scale (MD -4.00, 95% CI -12.42 to 4.42, $P = 0.35$); no significant effect was found based on very low-grade evidence.

One study measured disability using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) but reported only whether participants were independent or dependent. No significant effect was found: (odds ratio (OR) 2.08, 95% CI 0.50 to 8.60, $P = 0.31$); the evidence for this finding was very low grade.

Anxiety and depression were measured in one study. Three measures were used: the Geriatric Depression Scale-Short Form (GDS15), and two forms of State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI, Form Y) to measure state anxiety (i.e. anxiety experienced in response to stressful situations) and trait anxiety (i.e. anxiety associated with chronic psychological disorders). No significant effect was found for depression (GDS15, MD -2.10, 95% CI -4.70 to 0.50, $P = 0.11$) or for trait anxiety (STAI-Y2, MD -6.70, 95% CI -15.35 to 1.95, $P = 0.13$), based on very low-grade evidence. However, a significant effect was found for state anxiety: STAI-Y1 (MD -8.40, 95% CI -16.74 to -0.06, $P = 0.05$); the evidence for this finding was very low grade.

No adverse events were reported.

Quality of the evidence

We assessed the quality of the evidence using GRADE. Overall, the quality of the evidence was very low, due to the small number of trials included in the review both of which were judged to be at high risk of bias, particularly in relation to incompleteness of data and selective reporting, and especially regarding the representative nature of the sample in one study.

Authors' conclusions

Yoga has the potential for being included as part of patient-centred stroke rehabilitation. However, this review has identified insufficient information to confirm or refute the effectiveness or safety of yoga as a stroke rehabilitation treatment. Further large-scale methodologically robust trials are required to establish the effectiveness of yoga as a stroke rehabilitation treatment.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation

Review question

We wanted to know if yoga helps to improve quality of life for stroke survivors.

Background

Stroke is a major health issue worldwide, which affects people in many different ways. For example, stroke survivors may have problems moving around, and communicating and socialising with other people. Stroke may also affect how people feel. It may cause problems with memory and concentration. After discharge from hospital or other stroke services, stroke survivors have to cope with the long-term effects of stroke. Research has shown that yoga can help people with other long-term conditions to cope better. Yoga can improve quality of life (QoL).

Search date

We searched for studies published to July 2017.

Study characteristics

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation (Review)

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

We found two research studies that had assessed yoga for stroke survivors. Seventy-two people took part in the two studies. One study was in the USA and one was in Australia. On average, the stroke survivors were between 60 and 63 years old and it had been between four years three months and nine years since they had had a stroke. In the American study, yoga classes were held twice a week for eight weeks. In the Australian study, yoga classes were held once a week for 10 weeks. Both studies encouraged people to practice yoga at home, in their own time. Both studies used waiting-list control groups. This means that people in the control group could go to yoga classes at the end of the study.

Funding sources

The American study was funded by the US Government. The Australian study was funded by the National Stroke Foundation (Australia).

Key results

We were able to analyse study data from 69 participants. No significant benefit was found on measures of QoL, balance, strength, endurance, pain, disability scores. No significant benefit was found on measures of movement, although one study reported a significant benefit in improving aspects of range of movement. One study reported a significant benefit in reducing anxiety. Neither study reported on measures of patient harm.

Quality of the evidence

We assessed the quality of the evidence using GRADE. Overall, the quality of the evidence was very low, due to the small number of trials included in the review, both of which we judged to be at high risk of bias, particularly in relation to incompleteness of data and selective reporting, and especially regarding the representative nature of the sample in one study.

Conclusion

The review could not identify enough high-quality evidence on the benefits and safety of yoga in stroke rehabilitation. More good-quality research studies are needed to be sure that yoga has benefits for stroke survivors.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Summary of findings for the main comparison.

Yoga compared with waiting-list control (yoga) for stroke

Patient or population: adults with stroke

Settings: community

Intervention: yoga

Comparison: wait-list control (yoga)

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of Participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Waiting-list control (yoga)	Yoga				
Quality of life: Stroke Impact Scale (SIS) SIS measures quality of life across five domains: physical (strength, hand-function, mobility, activities of daily living), emotion, memory, communication, social participation, plus 1 global question about stroke recovery. Each dimension is scored on a 100-point scale; the higher the score, the higher the quality of life Baseline and post-intervention	One study: the mean Stroke Recovery Domain in the control group was 63.0	The mean Stroke Recovery Domain in the intervention group was 2.0 higher		22 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, incomplete data, and the small number of studies i.e.1
Quality of life: Stroke-specific QoL Scale (SS QoL) The Stroke-specific QoL Scale measures quality of life across 12 domains (49 items): self-care, vision, language, mobility, work, upper extremity, thinking, personality, mood, family, social, and energy	One study: the mean SS QoL in the control group was 33.0	The mean SS QoL in the intervention group was 2.8 higher		47 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, incomplete data, and the small number of studies i.e.1

Each item is scored on a 5-point Likert scale; the higher the score, the higher the quality of life (score 0-245)					
Baseline and post-intervention					
Balance: Berg Balance Scale (BBS)	Two studies: the mean BBS ranged across control groups from 43.8-48.5	The mean BBS in the intervention groups was 2.4 higher (2.2, 2.5)	69 (2)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of the evidence was graded as very low due to high risk of bias in relation to sample size, incomplete data, and unrepresentative sample, across the 2 studies
14-item physical performance measure of static and dynamic balance (score: 0-56)					
Baseline and post-intervention					
Gait: Comfortable Gait Speed (CGS)	One study: the mean CGS in the control group was 0.88	The mean CGS in the intervention group was 1.32 higher	22 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, and incomplete data
Gait measured over 7 metres (3 repetitions; average time calculated)					
Baseline and post-intervention					
Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS15)	One study: the mean GDS15 in the control group was 4.8	The mean GDS15 in the intervention group was 2.1 lower	22 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, incomplete data, and the small number of studies
A 15-item self-report assessment used to identify depression in the elderly. A yes/no response is required for each item (score 0 or 1). Cumulative score: 0-4 normal, 5-9 Mild depression, 10-15 More severe depression					
Baseline and post-intervention					
Anxiety: State Trait Anxiety (STAI-Y1)	One study: the mean STAI-Y1 in the control group was 41.8	The mean STAI-Y1 in the intervention groups was 8.4 lower	22 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, incomplete data, and the small number of studies
A 40-item, self-report assessment of anxiety affect. State anxiety can be defined as fear, nervousness, discomfort, and the arousal of the autonomic nervous system induced temporarily by situations perceived as dangerous. Score 20-80; higher scores suggest higher levels of anxiety					
Baseline and post-intervention					
Anxiety: Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-Y2)	One study: the mean STAI-Y2 in the control group was 42	The mean STAI-Y2 in the intervention groups was 4.7 lower	22 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, incom-
A 40-item, self-report assessment of anxiety affect. Trait anxiety can be defined as a relatively					

enduring disposition to feel stress, worry, and discomfort. Score 20-80; higher scores suggest higher levels of anxiety			plete data, and the small number of studies		
Baseline and post-intervention					
Disability: modified Rankin Scale (mRS)	One study: 50% (n = 5) of the control group were 'independent'	In the intervention group the odds of being 'independent' were higher OR 2.08, 95% CI 0.50 to 8.60 (68%; n = 25)	47 (1)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	The quality of evidence was graded as very low due to small sample size, incomplete data, and unrepresentative sample
A measure of disability, with 6 categories: 0 (no symptoms), 1 (no significant disability), 2 (slight disability), 3 (moderate disability), 4 (moderately severe disability), 5 (severe disability), 6 (dead); reported as dependent/independent					
Baseline and post-intervention					
Adverse events	No data	No data		⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low	No evidence available
Post-intervention					

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **OR:** Odds Ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

With 16 million first-ever cases worldwide each year ([Hackett 2014](#)) and a demand of 2% to 4% of total global healthcare costs ([Donnan 2008](#)), stroke has reached epidemic proportions and is currently a critical health issue worldwide ([Hankey 2014](#)). Classically, stroke is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the "rapidly developing clinical signs of focal (at times global) disturbance of cerebral function, lasting more than 24h or leading to death with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin" ([Hatano 1976](#)). This definition does not include in its spectrum transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs) ([Bonita 1992](#); [Hatano 1976](#)), subdural haematomas or haemorrhages and infarctions caused by infection or tumour ([Bonita 1992](#)). However, although sometimes deemed outdated, newer definitions have not yet been officially adopted by any major stroke organisation ([Sacco 2013](#)). Two main aetiologies of stroke are recognised: ischaemic, due to the blockage of the blood supply to the brain; and haemorrhagic, resulting from a fissure in an intracranial blood vessel ([Sims 2009](#)). In stroke survivors, these events may evolve into long-term disability, age-related cognitive impairment and dementia ([Falcone 2014](#)), potentially having deep emotional and socioeconomic impact on patients and their families and on health services ([Feigin 2003](#)). Physical consequences of stroke relate to the motor impairment that results from loss or functional reduction of muscle control or movement or from mobility limitation ([Langhorne 2009](#)). In addition, a wide range of non-cognitive neuropsychiatric symptoms after stroke may occur, such as depression, anxiety, emotional lability, apathy and sometimes post-stroke fatigue ([Hackett 2014](#)). Stroke also predisposes to other adverse health events and impaired quality of life ([Garret 2011](#)). Several strategies can be adopted to lessen cerebral damage and improve disability-free survival in order to reduce the global burden of stroke ([Hankey 2014](#); [Reckless 2008](#)). Following acute rehabilitation, there is a need to explore options for long-term sustainable services that support stroke survivors to engage in meaningful activities to address life challenges after stroke. This includes services that target motor impairments and mood disorders with a view to improving health-related quality of life ([Immink 2014](#)). Active intervention for stroke usually follows a three-phase scheme, preferably including acute therapy, rehabilitation and secondary prevention ([Reckless 2008](#)). In this context, rehabilitation will focus on the stroke survivor recovering function and cognition to the maximum feasible level, but not necessarily living free of symptoms or limitations ([Eilertsen 2010](#)), and may include a wide range of complementary strategies.

Description of the intervention

Yoga is a mind-body practice ([Bower 2014](#); [Oken 2006](#); [Wahbeh 2008](#)) that originated in India ([DiBenedetto 2005](#); [Tran 2001](#); [Wahbeh 2008](#)), with roots that date back to at least 2000 BC ([DiBenedetto 2005](#)). The term 'yoga' stems from the Sanskrit root 'yuj' meaning "to yoke or join together" ([Taylor 2003](#)), in allusion to the desired bond between mind, body and spirit ([Garret 2011](#)). It is portrayed as a tree consisting of 'limbs' that include universal ethics (yama), physical postures (asanas), breath control (pranayama), control of the senses (pratyahara), concentration (dharana) and meditation (dhyana), which are practised in order to attain 'samadhi', the spiritual bliss ([Ross 2010](#)). Yoga has become increasingly widespread in the Western world ([Bower 2014](#); [Fischer 2014](#); [Ross 2010](#)); however, practice in these countries

is often limited to the physical postures (asana), breath control (pranayama), meditation (dhyana) or a combination of these ([Bower 2014](#)). Hatha yoga, particularly the Iyengar approach, is the most practised type of yoga in Western countries, but other approaches are also common, such as Ashtanga, power, Bikram, Viniyoga, Kripalu, integrative and restorative yoga ([Taylor 2003](#)). It is nonetheless challenging to determine exactly what types of yoga are practised in the West, as combinations and variations of any of its components can correspond to a 'new' type of yoga ([Yang 2016](#)). According to WHO, yoga is deemed to belong to the Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) field, as a form of non-medication therapy ([WHO 2002](#)). This understanding reflects the yoga therapeutics, that is the elements of yoga directly addressing health concerns, in which yoga is used to treat health-threatening conditions ([Taylor 2003](#)). Recent evidence highlights positive effects of yoga for people with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease ([Cramer 2014](#)), and as add-on therapy for treating carpal tunnel syndrome ([O'Connor 2003](#)), depression ([Uebelacker 2010](#)), rheumatoid arthritis ([Bosch 2009](#)) and cancer ([Bower 2005](#)). Cochrane reviews assessing yoga practice interventions found limited, or low, evidence of positive effects in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease ([Hartley 2014](#)), low-moderate evidence of positive effects in the treatment of non-specific chronic low-back pain ([Wieland 2017](#)) and schizophrenia ([Broderick 2015](#)), moderate evidence for positive effect in the treatment of asthma ([Yang 2016](#)) and women diagnosed with breast cancer ([Cramer 2017](#)). Cochrane review evidence for the effect of yoga in the treatment of haematological malignancies ([Felbel 2014](#)) was unclear. A recent non-Cochrane systematic review concluded that yoga can be used as self-administered practice in stroke rehabilitation, due to its alleged effect of relieving the mind and body from stress. Yoga was found to act at both psychological and physical levels, and improvements were noted in self-efficacy and confidence. These changes may lead to a change in behaviour and ultimately an improvement in health. However, the study emphasised the need for further research in the field ([Lazaridou 2013](#)).

How the intervention might work

Traditionally, yoga practitioners are reputed to benefit physically and psychologically from yoga practice ([Bower 2014](#)). Yoga is considered a physical activity ([Sattelmair 2010](#)) and as such has positive effects on brain chemistry and may lead to strengthened physical states ([Garret 2011](#)). In addition, the relaxation and personal integration aspects of yoga contribute to mindful awareness and personal acceptance ([Garret 2011](#)), enhancing ability to sustain attention ([Oken 2006](#)). However, the exact mechanism of action behind the benefits of yoga is yet to be fully clarified ([Garret 2011](#)). There has been increasing support for the theory that relates the positive effects of yoga to a close link between the central nervous system and the peripheral autonomic nervous system, along with the endocrine and immune systems ([Wahbeh 2008](#)). It is believed that some yoga techniques favour a down-regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), leading to a prevalence of the parasympathetic nervous system over the SNS, possibly through direct vagal stimulation ([Ross 2010](#)). Moreover, breathing control and meditation practices in yoga are thought to increase autonomic control, reducing blood pressure, heart rate and breathing ([Garret 2011](#)). There is also scientific evidence that reciting yoga mantras leads to relaxation, which

may, at least in part, be due to synchronisation of respiratory and cardiovascular central rhythms (Bernardi 2001). Therefore, the positive effects of yoga for therapeutic purposes on physical and mental health, especially in the promotion and co-ordination of complex movements, balance, strengthening, and breathing (Schmid 2012) may be of significance in post-stroke rehabilitation.

Why it is important to do this review

Scientific evidence indicates that yoga may constitute a promising add-on therapy for a number of diseases. It is a simple to learn, adaptable and community-based practice, which could be cost-effective (DiBenedetto 2005; Garret 2011). There is also increasing evidence that yoga is readily accepted by the elderly population (DiBenedetto 2005), a group that constitutes the vast majority of stroke patients (Feigin 2003). However, the use of yoga for stroke rehabilitation appears to be under-researched when compared with other health conditions. If review evidence demonstrates that yoga is effective in stroke rehabilitation, the proportion of stroke patients who might benefit from yoga practice could be increased. Hence it is important to undertake this review to systematically examine and critically appraise the most up-to-date evidence of yoga for stroke rehabilitation. A review that achieves these goals can be a valuable tool in providing reliable information for both stroke survivors and healthcare teams regarding whether to consider yoga as a viable option in stroke rehabilitation. However, to date, and to our knowledge, only one systematic review of yoga for stroke rehabilitation has been undertaken (Lazaridou 2013). The review did not use a Cochrane protocol, included study designs other than randomised controlled trials (RCTs), and assessed yoga amongst other behavioural therapies; yoga-only data were not reported.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the effectiveness of yoga, as a stroke rehabilitation intervention, on recovery and quality of life (QoL).

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We included randomised controlled trials (RCTs). We did not apply any restriction regarding publication status.

Types of participants

People who suffered from stroke of any aetiology and severity, regardless of age, gender, ethnicity, language spoken, number of episodes, type of sequelae or time post-stroke.

Types of interventions

We included trials of stroke rehabilitation that compared yoga with a waiting-list control or no intervention control. We included studies that tested yoga for stroke rehabilitation irrespective of yoga 'type', dose, frequency, or intervention duration. A clear statement that the intervention was 'yoga' was required. Interventions included two or more of the following: yoga postures (asanas), breath control (pranayama), meditation (dhyana), extreme relaxation (yoga nidra). We excluded interventions based on yoga (e.g. stretching exercises based upon yoga) but not characterised as yoga. We excluded

studies of multimodal interventions that included yoga amongst other complementary therapies (e.g. mindfulness-based stress reduction) or interventions (e.g. aerobic exercise) if the effects of yogic practice could not be assessed separately.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

Quality of life (QoL): change scores measured by validated questionnaires or generic or condition-specific QoL scales developed specifically to measure QoL, e.g. Stroke Impact Scale (SIS), Stroke-Specific QoL Scale.

Secondary outcomes

Impairment/symptoms

- Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic blood pressure) and heart rate.
- Depression, assessed using standardised measures e.g. the Geriatric Depression Scale, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.
- Anxiety, assessed using standardised measures e.g. the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

Motor function

- Balance, assessed using standardised measures e.g. Berg Balance Scale.
- Movement, including gait: assessed using standardised measures e.g. the Motor Assessment Scale, the Timed Up and Go test.

Activities

- Activities of daily living, assessed using standardised measures e.g. Barthel Index, Frenchay Activities Index, Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living scale.
- Disability, assessed using standardised measures e.g. modified Rankin Scale.

Adverse events

- Adverse events, including falls or death.

We chose QoL as the primary outcome of our review because it is a patient-important outcome. We measured primary and secondary outcomes at two time points: 1) immediately after study end, and 2) at follow-up, if reported.

Search methods for identification of studies

See the 'Specialized register' section in the [Cochrane Stroke Group](#) module. We searched for trials in all languages and arranged for translation of relevant articles where necessary. Due to relocation of personnel, we were not able to complete the review within two years of conducting the first search (March 2015). We updated the search in July 2017. The same search strategy was used but due to altered availability of databases the search of COS Conference Papers was not updated. We limited the updated searches to 2015 to 2017.

Electronic searches

We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group trials register (July 2017) and the following electronic databases.

- Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (Cochrane Library; 2017, Issue 7) in the Cochrane Library (searched July 2017; [Appendix 1](#)).
- MEDLINE Ovid (1946 to July 2017) ([Appendix 2](#)).
- Embase Ovid (1974 to July 2017); ([Appendix 3](#)).
- CINAHL EBSCO (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; 1982 to July 2017) ([Appendix 4](#)).
- PsycINFO Proquest LLC; (1800 to July 2017) ([Appendix 5](#)).
- AMED Ovid (Allied and Complementary Medicine; 1985 to July 2017); ([Appendix 6](#)).
- LILACS (Latin American and Caribbean Health Science Information database; (www.lilacs.bvsalud.org/en/; 1982 to July 2017) ([Appendix 7](#)).
- SciELO (Scientific Electronic Library Online; (www.scielo.org/php/?lang=en; 1998 to July 2017) ([Appendix 8](#)).
- IndMED (www.indmed.nic.in/; 1985 to July 2017) ([Appendix 9](#)).
- OTseeker (University of Queensland; 2003 to July 2017) ([Appendix 10](#)).
- PEDro (Physiotherapy Evidence Database (www.pedro.fhs.usyd.edu.au/); 1929 to July 2017) ([Appendix 11](#)).

We developed the MEDLINE search strategy with the help of the Cochrane Stroke Group Information Specialist and adapted it for the other databases ([Appendix 2](#)).

We also searched the following ongoing trials registers.

- US National Institutes of Health Ongoing Trials Register ClinicalTrials.gov (www.clinicaltrials.gov/; last searched July 2017).
- Stroke Trials Registry (www.strokecenter.org/trials/; last searched July 2017).
- ISRCTN registry (www.isrctn.com; last searched July 2017).
- World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (who.int/ictrp/en/; last searched July 2017).

Searching other resources

In an effort to identify further published, unpublished and ongoing trials, we conducted the following searches.

- Bibliographic searching: we searched the reference lists of identified relevant trials and reviews. We obtained copies of the full article for each reference reporting a potentially eligible trial. Where this was not possible, we contacted authors to request additional information. We used the Science Citation Index Cited Reference search for forward tracking of relevant references.
- Grey literature searching: we accessed relevant conference proceedings abstracts through COS Conference Papers database (ProQuest), from 2010 to current; last searched March 2015 (not available in July 2017).

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors (FTCJ, HHSM) independently screened titles and abstracts of the references obtained from our search activities and coded them as 'retrieve' (eligible, or potentially eligible or unclear) or 'do not retrieve', and excluded obviously irrelevant reports. We retrieved the full-text articles for the

remaining references and two review authors (of FTCJ, JBe, ML) independently screened the full-text articles and identified studies for inclusion, and identified and recorded reasons for exclusion of the ineligible studies. We resolved any disagreements through discussion and, as required, consulted a third review author (ML or JBo) to reach consensus. We collated multiple reports of the same study so that each study, not each reference, is the unit of interest in the review. We recorded the selection process and completed a PRISMA flow diagram ([Moher 2009](#)).

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (of FTCJ, HHSM, ML) independently extracted and entered data from all included studies into the 'Characteristics of included studies' table in Review Manager ([RevMan 2014](#)). We discussed disagreements with a third review author (JBo) until consensus was reached. A third review author (ML or JBo) checked the extracted data. We collected the following information.

- Methods: study design, methods of allocation, allocation concealment, blinding, dropout rates, and reasons for dropping out.
- Participants: setting, sample size, diagnosis, age, gender, ethnicity, education, marital and socioeconomic status, country of origin, stroke aetiology and severity, and time post-stroke.
- Intervention: type, programme length, frequency, duration, training of intervention providers.
- Outcomes: type of outcomes, assessment instruments, assessment time point, and follow-up time point.

For studies with more than one publication, we considered the first publication as the primary reference but extracted data from all of the publications.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Two review authors (of FTCJ, JBe, ML) independently assessed risk of bias for each study using the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool described in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* ([Higgins 2011](#)). We resolved any disagreements by discussion or by involving another review author (JBo). We assessed the risk of bias according to the following domains.

- Random sequence generation.
- Allocation concealment.
- Blinding of participants and personnel.
- Blinding of outcome assessment.
- Incomplete outcome data.
- Selective outcome reporting.
- Other bias.

We graded the risk of bias for each domain as high, low or unclear; and provided information from the study report together with a justification for our judgment in the 'Risk of bias' tables. A study judged to be at high risk of bias across two or more domains, and including the key domains of selection bias and allocation concealment, was considered to be at high risk of bias, across the study outcomes. Where a study was judged to be at high risk of bias in the completeness of data and selective reporting domains, it was considered to be at high risk of bias as confidence was reduced in the estimate of effect for individual outcomes.

Measures of treatment effect

We conducted statistical analyses to determine treatment effect using Review Manager (RevMan 2014), and processed data in accordance with the guidelines proposed in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011).

We classified the primary outcome (QoL) as continuous outcomes, and compared change scores and calculated a mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for each study. We expressed dichotomous outcomes as odds ratios (OR) with 95% CIs.

Unit of analysis issues

We considered the inclusion of non-standard designs, following guidance in Chapter 16 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011).

Dealing with missing data

According to Section 16.1 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011), there are several possible types of missing data, which can be related to missing studies, outcomes, summary data, individuals, or study-level characteristics. We contacted, via email, the first author or primary investigator to obtain missing data. We also contacted trial authors for intervention details if they were missing. If trial authors did not provide a reason as to why the data were missing, we assumed the data to be 'missing at random'.

For studies in which follow-up of certain individuals was missing and where intention-to-treat (ITT) analyses were conducted using imputation, we used the imputed data for our primary analysis, and carried out sensitivity analyses using available case data.

Assessment of heterogeneity

Due to the small number of studies and potential unreliability of tests of heterogeneity, we assessed heterogeneity by evaluating the I^2 statistic (Higgins 2003). We have categorised the magnitude of heterogeneity as: $I^2 = 0\%$ to 24% , low heterogeneity; $I^2 = 25\%$ to 49% , moderate heterogeneity; $I^2 = 50\%$ to 74% , substantial heterogeneity; and $I^2 = 75\%$ to 100% , considerable heterogeneity. As an additional measure, we considered the χ^2 test (Cochran 1954), regarding a P value ≤ 0.10 as indicative of significant heterogeneity.

Assessment of reporting biases

We conducted a comprehensive search that included searching for unpublished studies and searching trials registers in an attempt to avoid reporting biases. As we identified less than 10 trials, we were unable to explore potential publication bias (Sterne 2011).

Data synthesis

Two review authors (of FTCJ, JBe, ML) independently extracted data from the included studies. We performed all analyses using Review Manager (RevMan 2014). One review author (ML) entered the data into RevMan, while another (JBo) checked the entries. We discussed disagreements with a third review author (JBo) until consensus was reached. Where we considered studies to be sufficiently similar, we conducted a meta-analysis by pooling the appropriate data.

We used a fixed-effect model where there was no substantial heterogeneity among studies. For outcomes for which it was inappropriate or impossible to pool quantitatively, we conducted a descriptive analysis and provided a narrative summary.

GRADE and Summary of findings table

We assessed the quality of the evidence using GRADE; the results are presented in the [Summary of findings for the main comparison](#). We included all review primary and secondary outcomes in the table, irrespective of whether relevant data were reported in the included studies. This enables identification of items not reported by trialists but which are of importance to users of the evidence synthesis (including, for example, reporting of adverse events), which can then be highlighted as implications for future research.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

Due to the small number of papers included in the review we did not conduct any subgroup analysis. In future updates of the review we will conduct subgroup analysis, for example, by age or gender, severity of stroke, or time post-stroke, or by intervention characteristics such as duration and frequency of classes, and class size, if we have data from four or more trials.

Sensitivity analysis

Following the guidance in Chapter 8 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011), we analysed the effects of excluding trials that we judged to be at high risk of bias across one or more of the domains of randomisation (implied as randomised with no further details available), allocation concealment, blinding and outcome reporting for the meta-analysis of the primary outcome, and 'other' sources of bias e.g. unrepresentative sample. If the exclusion of trials at high risk of bias did not substantially alter the direction of effect or the precision of the effect estimates, then we included the data from these trials in the analysis.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See [Characteristics of included studies](#), [Characteristics of excluded studies](#), [Characteristics of ongoing studies](#).

Results of the search

Our electronic searches identified 1433 citations. After removing duplicates, a total of 1292 citations remained for screening (title and abstract). Of these, we excluded 1280 citations and retained 12 citations for full-text eligibility screening. We excluded nine studies, as well as one ongoing trial for which the authors had no preliminary data to share with us (Yen-Ting 2013). We screened the reference lists of four systematic reviews (Lynton 2007; Sharma 2012; Lazaridou 2013; Wadden 2013), but identified no additional relevant trials.

We included two trials, reported in three papers, in the meta-analysis (Immink 2014; Schmid 2012) (see [Characteristics of included studies](#)).

The results of the search are summarised in the study flow diagram (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Study flow diagram.

Included studies

Participant characteristics

In the two included trials a total of 72 community-dwelling stroke survivors were randomised to yoga interventions or control interventions i.e. waiting-list ([Immink 2014](#); [Schmid 2012](#)). Mean time post stroke ranged from 51 months (SD 40.4) ([Schmid 2012](#)) to 81.6 (SD 77.5) ([Immink 2014](#)).

Reported mean ages of participants ranged from 59.6 (SD 15.7) ([Immink 2014](#)) to 63.1 (SD 8.8) years ([Schmid 2012](#)).

Both trials included participants of both sexes. Ethnicity was not specified. [Schmid 2012](#) took place in Indianapolis, USA; [Immink 2014](#) in metropolitan Adelaide, South Australia.

Participants in [Schmid 2012](#) were veterans (recruitment 'waves' 1 to 4; n = not reported) recruited through a medical centre for veterans. In recruitment wave 5, non-veterans (n = not reported) were recruited from "local stroke support groups and previously completed stroke research studies". Participants in [Immink 2014](#) were recruited from the local community using local newspaper, radio, and television, as well as online health and disability organisations and health providers.

Sample size

[Schmid 2012](#) included 47 participants; [Immink 2014](#) included 25 participants.

Interventions

We adapted the TIDieR (Template for Intervention Description and Replication) checklist, which was designed for primary reporting of interventions, to extract data and report the yoga interventions ([Hoffmann 2014](#)). The yoga intervention varied between the two trials in terms of course duration, frequency and duration of classes, and course content.

Course content

[Schmid 2012](#) developed standardised protocols for a yoga intervention and a yoga-plus intervention. The yoga intervention comprised asanas (adapted), pranayama (breath control) and dhyana (meditation), increasing in difficulty over the eight-week period, for group-based delivery. The yoga-plus intervention included an additional 20-minute relaxation session, to be practised at home, three times per week. Study results were reported without distinction between yoga and yoga-plus.

[Immink 2014](#) developed a standardised protocol comprising education (10 minutes), asanas (adapted) (30 minutes), pranayama (10 to 12 minutes), Satyananda yoga nidra (meditation/relaxation) (20 to 30 minutes) ([Saraswati 2001](#)), discussion (in class); asanas and pranayamas (10 to 20 minutes), Satyananda yoga nidra (25 minutes, at home), for group-based delivery and home practice.

Trainer/instructor

In [Schmid 2012](#), the course was developed and delivered by a registered yoga therapist, with input from the rehabilitation research team i.e. the research assistant. In [Immink 2014](#), the course was delivered by two accredited yoga instructors.

Duration and frequency

[Schmid 2012](#) tested yoga and yoga-plus interventions. The yoga intervention was delivered twice a week for eight weeks; class duration was 60 minutes. The yoga-plus intervention was delivered twice a week for eight weeks with additional 20-minute relaxation sessions, three times per week at home.

In [Immink 2014](#), the yoga intervention was delivered once a week for 10 weeks. Classes lasted for 90 minutes; participants were expected to practice at home for 35 to 45 minutes daily, for the six days per week that they did not attend class.

Location

The interventions were delivered for [Schmid 2012](#) in a Rehabilitation and Integrative Therapy laboratory at the Indiana University; for [Immink 2014](#), the intervention was delivered in a recreation room on campus at the University of South Australia.

Group size

In [Immink 2014](#) the yoga class was delivered to groups of 11; in [Schmid 2012](#) it was delivered to groups of up to 10 participants.

Materials

[Schmid 2012](#) reported using mat tables, bolsters, blankets, and yoga straps; plus devices with a 20-minute relaxation audio recording for the yoga-plus group.

[Immink 2014](#) reported using an illustrated guide book and compact disc containing audio recordings to verbally guide the participants through the various practices.

Compliance (fidelity)

Neither study reported intervention fidelity i.e. instructors' adherence to the intervention protocol.

[Schmid 2012](#) reported participant adherence to the eight-week yoga course: 29 (78%) completed all eight weeks; four (11%) attended five or fewer sessions. Reasons for non-attendance (lack of adherence) were reported as: lack of transport, inclement weather, illness, and work.

[Immink 2014](#) reported participant adherence to the 10-week course for the intervention group only: mean attendance at class was 90% (SD 12.6); mean reported completion of daily home practice was 82% (SD 20.3). Reasons for non-adherence were not reported.

Comparison groups

The comparison group in both studies was a waiting-list control, i.e. they received no study-related intervention during the intervention period ([Schmid 2012](#): eight weeks; [Immink 2014](#); 10 weeks). Following completion of assessments at the post-intervention time point, waiting-list participants were offered the yoga course; neither study reported details of uptake.

Outcome measures

Upon completion of the intervention, both studies reported the primary outcome of interest, QoL, along with a heterogeneous range of secondary outcomes measures. Different QoL measures (Stroke Impact Scale (SIS), version 3 and the Stroke-Specific QoL Scale) were used in the two studies. We considered pooling data

from the two different measures, but we deemed this inappropriate due to the differing design of the two tools which makes such pooling impossible. SIS describes five domains: physical (strength, hand-function, mobility, activities of daily living), emotion, memory, communication, and social participation. Each domain is scored separately on a 100-point scale. In addition, a single global question is posed (stroke recovery). The Stroke-Specific QoL Scale describes 49 items across 12 domains, each item is scored on a 5-point Likert scale.

Schmid 2012 used the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), the Berg Balance Scale (BBS), the Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale, Fear of falling (FoF), measured using a dichotomous scale, "Are you worried or concerned about falling?", and the Stroke-Specific QoL Scale; primary and secondary outcomes were not specified. All measures were reported at baseline and at intervention end.

Additional outcomes used and reported in the 2014 article of Schmid 2012 were the PEG (Pain intensity, interference with Enjoyment in life, interference with General activity; a functional measure of pain), range of motion (cervical and hip), Arm curl test, Chair-to-stand test, six-minute walk test, and the modified two-minute step test. All measures were reported at baseline and at intervention end.

Immink 2014 used the 9-Hole Peg Test (9HPT), the Motor Assessment Scale (MAS), BBS, the two-Minute Walk Distance (2MWD), Comfortable Gait Speed (CGS), Geriatric Depression Scale-Short Form (GDS15), the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI),

STAI-Y1, STAI-Y2, and the Stroke Impact Scale, version 3 (SIS); primary and secondary outcomes were not specified. All measures were reported at baseline and at intervention end, with the exception of the 9HPT, as participants (intervention group n = 6, 54.5%; control group n = 3, 27.3%) were unable to attempt the baseline test with their affected limb.

Excluded studies

We excluded nine full-text articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria (Chan 2012; Laska 2012; Mead 2007; Page 2005; Page 2007; Portz 2016; Schmid 2016; Schneider 2012; Yoo 2001). See [Characteristics of excluded studies](#).

Reasons for exclusion were as follows.

- Study participants (not stroke only or mixed populations where stroke only data could not be extracted): (n = 1) (Laska 2012);
- Intervention (not yoga or mixed intervention where effect of yoga practice could not be extracted separately): (n = 8) (Chan 2012; Mead 2007; Page 2005; Page 2007; Portz 2016; Schmid 2016; Schneider 2012; Yoo 2001).

Risk of bias in included studies

Assessments for risk of bias in individual studies are presented in [Characteristics of included studies](#). See also [Figure 2](#) and [Figure 3](#) for summaries of the results. We considered both of the included studies to be at high risk of bias due to the potential for overestimation of effect of study outcomes.

Figure 2. 'Risk of bias' graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.

Figure 3. 'Risk of bias' summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study.

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Immink 2014							
Schmid 2012							

Allocation

Generation of randomisation sequence was conducted correctly in both studies, and therefore there is low risk of bias (Immink 2014; Schmid 2012).

Concealment of allocation was conducted correctly in both studies, and therefore there is low risk of bias (Immink 2014; Schmid 2012).

Blinding

Participants

As yoga is a behavioural intervention, it is not possible to blind participants to allocation (Higgins 2011).

Investigators

In Immink 2014, outcomes assessment was conducted by one of the study authors who was blinded to participant allocation. However, two participants "inadvertently disclosed their allocation to the yoga intervention at post-intervention assessment". In Schmid 2012, outcomes assessment was completed by the research assistant, who also assisted the yoga instructor and thus would

have been aware of participant allocation. It is possible that lack of blinding may have biased the results.

Incomplete outcome data

There is lack of clarity regarding data completion in Schmid 2012. In the 'Statistical analysis' section the authors state that "4 individuals did not complete 8-week assessments (9%), 1 control, and 3 yoga". However, in the 'Results' section they state "3 did not complete the post-assessments". In Immink 2014, the 9-Hole Peg Test was not reported because the authors were unable to collect baseline data from six participants (54.5%) in the intervention group and three participants (27.3%) in the no treatment group due to those participants' hemiparesis.

Withdrawals were reported in both studies: 22% (Schmid 2012) and 9% (Immink 2014). In Schmid 2012, eight participants in the intervention group withdrew or were lost to follow-up, and in the control group one participant was lost to follow-up; adequate reasons were provided. In Immink 2014, one participant withdrew from the intervention group (no reason is provided) and one

participant withdrew from the control group, citing an unrelated medical condition.

Selective reporting

We retrieved trial registry records for both studies. For [Schmid 2012](#), the trial protocol addressed balance and fear of falling, and blood pressure; however, there was no mention of measurement of blood pressure as an outcome measure, and blood pressure was not addressed in the published article. For [Immink 2014](#), no differences were noted between the protocol and the published article.

There were too few studies in the review to enable examination of the effect of risk of bias on estimates of effect.

Other potential sources of bias

In [Schmid 2012](#), there are two different intervention groups (yoga and yoga plus). The results are reported without distinction between the different interventions, hence there is uncertainty regarding the efficacy of the individual interventions. There are concerns over the sample recruited in the [Schmid 2012](#) study, as this largely comprised male veterans; however, this does not influence the internal validity of the study.

Effects of interventions

See: [Summary of findings for the main comparison](#)

Effect of interventions on primary outcome measure: quality of life

Our primary outcome of interest, quality of life (QoL), was addressed by [Immink 2014](#) (22 participants) using the Stroke Impact Scale (SIS), version 3 to measure QoL across nine dimensions (six domains). The nine dimensions included strength, hand function, mobility, activities of daily living, emotion, memory, communication, social participation and stroke recovery, at baseline and post-intervention. The six domains included: physical, emotion, memory, communication, social participation, and stroke recovery. For each participant and at each assessment time point, we calculated the mean score for five dimensions (strength, hand function, mobility and activities of daily living) to represent the physical domain. The effect of yoga on the physical domain was not significant (mean difference (MD) 5.20, 95% confidence interval (CI) -12.28 to 22.68, $P = 0.56$; [Analysis 1.1](#)). The effect of yoga on the emotion domain was not significant (MD 6.80, 95% CI -8.55 to 22.15, $P = 0.39$; [Analysis 1.1](#)). The effect of yoga on the memory domain was significant (MD 15.30, 95% CI 1.29 to 29.31, $P = 0.03$; [Analysis 1.1](#)). The effect of yoga on the communication domain was not significant (MD 1.40, 95% CI -9.45 to 12.25, $P = 0.80$; [Analysis 1.1](#)). The effect of yoga on the social participation domain was not significant (MD 16.10, 95% CI -6.79 to 38.99, $P = 0.17$; [Analysis 1.1](#)). The effect of yoga on the stroke recovery domain was not significant (MD 2.00, 95% CI -17.70 to 21.70, $P = 0.84$; [Analysis 1.1](#)).

[Schmid 2012](#) (47 participants) assessed QoL using the Stroke-Specific QoL Scale (MD 2.80, 95% CI -2.03 to 7.63, $P = 0.26$; [Analysis 1.1](#)); no significant effect was found.

In summary, a significant positive effect was found in one study, in one domain i.e. memory. Due to lack of available data; no meta-analysis was possible.

Effect of interventions on secondary outcome measures

Of the review secondary outcomes of interest, the following were not measured in the included studies: blood pressure, blood lipids (impairment/symptoms), activities of daily living (activities).

Secondary outcomes measured in at least one of the two included studies, included variables relating to impairment/symptoms, motor function, and activities. A significant effect of the yoga intervention was demonstrated in one study ([Schmid 2012](#)) on one aspect of motor function, namely range of movement i.e. active cervical rotation, left and passive hamstring rotation ([Analysis 1.8](#)).

Impairment/symptoms

Anxiety and depression

Only [Immink 2014](#) measured anxiety and depression. The authors used three measures: the Geriatric Depression Scale-Short Form (GDS15), and two forms of State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI, Form Y) to measure state anxiety (STAI-Y1) and trait anxiety (STAI-Y2).

Depression

[Immink 2014](#) assessed depression using GDS15 (MD -2.10, 95% CI -4.70 to 0.50, $P = 0.11$; [Analysis 1.13](#)); no significant effect was found.

State anxiety

[Immink 2014](#) assessed state anxiety using STAI-Y1 (MD -8.40, 95% CI -16.74 to -0.06, $P = 0.05$; [Analysis 1.14](#)); a significant effect was found.

Trait anxiety

[Immink 2014](#) assessed trait anxiety using STAI-Y2 (MD -6.70, 95% CI -15.35 to 1.95, $P = 0.13$; [Analysis 1.15](#)); no significant effect was found.

Pain

[Schmid 2014](#) (a report from the study [Schmid 2012](#)) assessed pain using the 3-item PEG test (MD -1.31, 95% CI -8.29 to 5.67, $P = 0.71$; [Analysis 1.11](#)); no significant effect was found.

Motor function

Balance

Balance was measured in both studies (69 participants), using the Berg Balance Scale, the effect of intervention was not significant (MD 2.38, 95% CI -1.41 to 6.17, $P = 0.22$; [Analysis 1.2](#)). [Schmid 2012](#) also measured balance self-efficacy, using the Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale (MD 10.60, 95% CI -7.08 to 28.28, $P = 0.24$; [Analysis 1.2](#)); the effect of intervention was not significant. Sensitivity analysis was performed and did not alter the direction of the results ($P = 0.22$ with the trial data; $P = 0.47$ excluding the data).

Balance confidence

[Schmid 2012](#) assessed balance confidence using the validated 16-item Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale (MD 10.60, 95% CI -7.08 to 28.28, $P = 0.24$; [Analysis 1.3](#)); no significant effect was found.

Comfortable Speed Gait (CSG)

[Immink 2014](#) assessed gait speed using the CSG test (MD 1.32, 95% CI -1.35 to 3.99, $P = 0.33$; [Analysis 1.4](#)); no significant effect was found.

Motor Assessment Scale (MAS)

[Immink 2014](#) assessed gait speed using MAS (MD -4.00, 95% CI -12.42 to 4.42, $P = 0.35$; [Analysis 1.5](#)); no significant effect was found.

Two-Minute Walk Distance (2MWD)

[Immink 2014](#) assessed mobility/gait speed using 2MWD (MD -13.80, 95% CI -56.02 to 28.42, $P = 0.52$; [Analysis 1.6](#)); no significant effect was found.

Fear of Falling (FoF)

[Schmid 2012](#) assessed FoF using a yes/no question (odds ratio (OR) 3.40, 95% CI 0.63 to 18.22, $P = 0.15$; [Analysis 1.7](#)); no significant effect was found.

Range of motion (ROM)

[Schmid 2014](#) (a report from the study [Schmid 2012](#)) assessed ROM using a goniometer.

Schmid and colleagues measured bilateral active cervical rotation ROM and active cervical lateral flexion ROM.

Active cervical rotation ROM, left (MD 3.97, 95% CI -6.83 to 14.77, $P = 0.47$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found. Active cervical rotation ROM, right (MD 7.40, 95% CI -0.42 to 15.22, $P = 0.06$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found.

Active cervical lateral flexion ROM, left (MD 1.50 95% CI -2.61 to 5.61, $P = 0.47$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found. Active cervical lateral flexion ROM, right (MD 6.64, CI 95% 1.95 to 11.33, $P = 0.006$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); significant effect was found.

Schmid and colleagues also assessed bilateral hamstring passive ROM and bilateral hip flexion active ROM.

Hamstring passive ROM, left (MD 7.80, 95% CI 1.33 to 14.27, $P = 0.02$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found.

Hamstring passive ROM, right (MD -0.43, 95% CI -6.25 to 5.39, $P = 0.88$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found.

Hip flexion active ROM, left (MD 30.11, 95% CI -2.25 to 62.47, $P = 0.07$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found.

Hip flexion active ROM, right (MD 32.45, 95% CI 4.69 to 60.21, $P = 0.02$; [Analysis 1.8](#)); no significant effect was found.

Strength

[Schmid 2014](#) (a report from the study [Schmid 2012](#)) assessed strength using the arm curl test (upper limb) (MD -1.67, 95% CI -4.76 to 1.42, $P = 0.29$; [Analysis 1.9](#)), and the chair-to-stand test (lower limb) (MD -1.22, 95% CI -2.84 to 0.40, $P = 0.14$; [Analysis 1.9](#)); no significant effect was found.

Endurance

[Schmid 2014](#) (a report from the study [Schmid 2012](#)) assessed endurance using the six-minute walk (MD -31.80, 95% CI -263.55 to 199.95, $P = 0.79$; [Analysis 1.10](#)) and the modified two-minute step test (MD -7.82, 95% CI -20.13 to 4.49, $P = 0.21$; [Analysis 1.10](#)); no significant effect was found.

Activities

Disability

Only [Schmid 2012](#) measured disability; they used the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) but reported only whether participants were independent or dependent. Functional independence was defined as 0 to 2 (slight to no disability); dependence as 3 to 5 (moderate to severe disability), citing previous work as precedence. No significant effect was found (OR 2.08, 95% CI 0.50 to 8.60, $P = 0.31$; [Analysis 1.12](#)).

Adverse events

There were no adverse events reported in either study ([Immink 2014](#); [Schmid 2012](#)).

Subgroup analysis

No subgroup analysis was undertaken due to the small number of papers included in the review. In any future update of the review, we will conduct subgroup analysis if we have data from four or more trials.

Sensitivity analysis

For the one outcome (balance) for which we were able to conduct a meta-analysis, we analysed the effects of excluding the trial by [Schmid 2012](#), which we judged to be at high risk of bias due to the unrepresentative nature of its sample. Excluding the trial data did not substantially alter the direction of effect; therefore, the data from that trial were included in the analysis. In any future update of the review, we will conduct sensitivity analysis if we have data from four or more trials.

GRADE and Summary of findings table

We assessed the quality of the evidence using GRADE [Summary of findings for the main comparison](#). Overall, the quality of the evidence was very low, due to the small number of trials included in the review, both of which were judged to be at high risk of bias, particularly in relation to incompleteness of data and selective reporting, and especially regarding the representative nature of the sample in the study by [Schmid 2012](#).

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

For an overview of the results see the [Summary of findings for the main comparison](#).

This review aimed to assess the effectiveness of yoga on recovery and quality of life (QoL) during stroke rehabilitation. We included two studies (three papers) out of 12 potentially relevant papers. Sixty-nine participants were included in one meta-analysis (balance; [Analysis 1.2](#)). The purpose of the study by [Immink 2014](#) was to assess the efficacy of yoga for motor function, mental health, and QoL outcomes in people with chronic post-stroke hemiparesis. The purpose of the study by [Schmid 2012](#) was to assess the impact of a yoga-based rehabilitation intervention on balance, balance self-efficacy, fear of falling (FoF), and QoL after stroke. Across the two studies, the class-based yoga interventions lasted eight or 10 weeks; additional home practice was encouraged.

Both trials assessed the primary outcome measure: QoL. [Schmid 2012](#) measured QoL using the Stroke-Specific QoL scale; no

significant effect was found ([Analysis 1.1](#)). [Immink 2014](#) used the Stroke Impact Scale v.3 to measure QoL. Six domains were reported (physical, emotion, memory, communication, social participation, stroke recovery). A significant effect was found in the memory domain ([Analysis 1.1](#)); however, this is based on very low grade evidence, and might be a chance finding. No significant effect was found in the five other domains ([Analysis 1.1](#)).

In this review, both included trials reported secondary outcomes measures relating to motor function (balance, gait) and psychological outcomes (state anxiety, trait anxiety and depression); [Schmid 2012](#) also measured disability, and reported outcomes relating to pain, range of motion (ROM), strength and endurance. No significant effects were found for movement outcomes, for disability, or for strength, endurance or pain ([Analysis 1.4](#); [Analysis 1.5](#); [Analysis 1.6](#); [Analysis 1.7](#); [Analysis 1.9](#); [Analysis 1.10](#); [Analysis 1.11](#); [Analysis 1.12](#)). However, a significant effect of the yoga intervention was demonstrated in one study ([Schmid 2012](#)) in aspects of range of movement i.e. active cervical rotation, left and passive hamstring rotation, left ([Analysis 1.8](#)), based on very low-grade evidence. In terms of psychological outcomes, no significant effect was found for depression or for trait anxiety ([Analysis 1.13](#); [Analysis 1.15](#)); however, a significant effect was found for state anxiety ([Analysis 1.14](#)). Evidence regarding the effects of yoga on anxiety from other reviews is mixed. A review of the effects of yoga on a range of outcomes, including anxiety, in adults with haematological malignancies ([Felbel 2014](#)), found no significant effect of yoga on anxiety, whereas a review of yoga to promote cardiovascular health in older adults noted significant improvement in mood, anxiety, and/or depression ([Barrows 2016](#)). This lack of clarity regarding the effect of yoga on anxiety highlights the need for further research as psychosocial factors, assessed using a combined measure of psychosocial stress, including stress (home and work), life events, and depression, represent a known risk factor for stroke and recurrent stroke ([O'Donnell 2016](#)).

Adverse events

No adverse events were reported, suggesting that yoga, appropriately adapted and delivered by trained and certified yoga instructors, may be a safe intervention for community-dwelling adults following stroke, but more information is required.

Limitation of the studies included in the review

Methodological quality

Both included studies were at high risk of bias. Allowing for the difficulties associated with blinding participants and interventionists, the quality issues largely reflect incomplete or inaccurate reporting, and concerns regarding the representativeness of the sample, which may have introduced bias in the assessment of outcomes.

Intervention reporting

The 12-item TIDieR (Template for Intervention Description and Replication) checklist and guide, developed to improve and standardise the reporting of interventions ([Hoffmann 2014](#)), was used in this review to extract data relating to intervention design and delivery: 1) brief name of intervention, 2) why, 3) what (materials), 4) what (procedures), 5) who provided, 6) how, 7) where, 8) when and how much, 9) tailoring, 10) modifications, 11) how well (planned), 12) how well (actual). Overall, both

studies reported sufficient detail about the intervention to enable comparison between the two for items 1 to 8 of the checklist, and facilitating replication in future work. Neither study reported details relating to items 11 and 12, which relate to intervention fidelity and adaptation. Providing detail about fidelity and any adaptations would have enabled a more comprehensive appraisal of the studies, and represents a missed opportunity for transfer of knowledge, which would have implications for future stroke-yoga research.

Withdrawals

Withdrawals were reported in both studies. This was unremarkable in both studies ([Immink 2014](#); [Schmid 2012](#)). As intention-to-treat analysis was not conducted, this has implications for the interpretation of the findings.

Limitations of the review

In terms of identification of studies, our searches may not have retrieved all potentially relevant studies. However, working with the Cochrane Stroke Group Information Specialist, we developed an inclusive search strategy incorporating grey literature searches to extend the breadth of our search. To counter reporting bias we elected not to apply delimiters of time or language of publication. Two review authors (FTCJ, JBe) worked separately to screen all potentially relevant papers, to extract data and to conduct the methodological appraisal of the two included studies. ML had oversight of all stages of the review, helped resolve any disagreements between review authors, and ensured compliance with Cochrane guidelines.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

Only two studies were included. Both assessed the primary outcome of interest but due to heterogeneity of measures and of reporting methods (e.g. domain level results compared with global score), no meta-analysis of the primary outcome was possible.

Although both trials recruited community-dwelling participants, the two participant groups were quite heterogeneous. [Schmid 2012](#) screened veterans' 'charts' to ensure a diagnosis of stroke had been made and then mailed invitations to potential participants. Members of stroke support groups and people who had previously taken part in stroke research studies were also invited to participate. The final study sample included 36 veterans and 11 others. [Immink 2014](#) used a broad social media advertising campaign to identify potential participants.

Quality of the evidence

Overall, the quality of the evidence was very low ([Summary of findings for the main comparison](#)). There were insufficient data to examine the risk of bias on estimates of effect, consequently no funnel plot was generated.

Potential biases in the review process

As described above, due to the limited data available, we were unable to generate funnel plots, and cannot exclude the possibility of publication bias.

Although our search was comprehensive, we identified no potentially relevant studies in languages other than English.

Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that some studies published in languages other than English may have been missed.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

To our knowledge only one previous review of yoga as an intervention for stroke rehabilitation has been published (Lynton 2007). Although Cochrane methods were not used, the searches were comprehensive and found no randomised controlled trial (RCTs). This review reflects and extends that finding, as we found no RCTs published prior to 2012.

The finding that yoga has a positive effect on at least one aspect of QoL confirms findings from previous reviews of stroke populations (Lazaridou 2013), as well as reviews of yoga in study populations with chronic disease (health-related QoL) (Desveaux 2015); neurological disorders, including stroke (Mishra 2012) and in healthy older adults (Barrows 2016) in which yoga was found to have a positive effect on QoL. Additionally, qualitative studies of participants in stroke-yoga RCTs indicate that participants derive perceived benefits that equate to domains measured in QoL scales, including improved motor and cognitive function, mood, emotional regulation, daily activity, and social participation (Garret 2011; Van Puymbroeck 2015).

In relation to the positive effect of yoga on memory, an RCT of a yoga intervention with 87 elderly nursing home residents reported a significant improvement in immediate and delayed recall of verbal (RAVLT) and visual memory (CFT), attention and working memory (WMS-spatial span), verbal fluency (COWA), executive function (Stroop interference) and processing speed (Trail Making Test-A) when compared with a waiting-list group at the end of six months after correcting for corresponding baseline score and education

(Hariprasad 2013). Similarly, an RCT of an eight-week Hatha yoga intervention with 118 community-dwelling, healthy older adults reported significantly improved performance on the executive function measures of working memory capacity and efficiency of mental set shifting and flexibility compared with their stretching-strengthening counterparts, demonstrating the potential for yoga to maintain or improve cognitive functioning in healthy older adults (Gothel 2014).

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

While yoga has the potential to be included as part of patient-centred stroke rehabilitation programme, which could be incorporated into an individual's self-management regimen, there is currently a lack of high-quality information on the effects and safety of yoga in stroke rehabilitation.

Implications for research

Further large-scale methodologically robust trials are required to establish the effectiveness of yoga as a stroke rehabilitation intervention, and as a self-management intervention in the longer-term post-stroke. Such studies should adhere to the requirements of the TIDieR checklist (Hoffmann 2014) and, to facilitate meta-analysis of outcome data and contribute to development of a robust evidence base, should use standardised outcomes measures used in previous studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Brenda Thomas and Hazel Fraser from the Cochrane Stroke Group for assisting us with development of the MEDLINE search strategy and throughout the review reporting process.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Immink 2014 {published and unpublished data}

Immink MA, Hillier S, Petkov J. Randomized controlled trial of yoga for chronic poststroke hemiparesis: motor function, mental health, and quality of life outcomes. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 2014;**21**(3):256-71. [PUBMED: 24985393]

Schmid 2012 {published and unpublished data}

Schmid AA, Miller KK, Van Puymbroeck, DeBaun-Sprague E. Yoga leads to multiple improvements after stroke, a pilot study. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2014;**22**:994-1000.

* Schmid AA, Van Puymbroeck M, Altenburger PA, Schalk NL, Dierks TA, Miller KK, et al. Poststroke balance improves with yoga: a pilot study. *Stroke* 2012;**43**(9):2402-7. [DOI: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.658211](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.658211)]

References to studies excluded from this review

Chan 2012 {published and unpublished data}

Chan W, Immink, MA, Hillier S. Yoga and exercise for symptoms of depression and anxiety in people with poststroke disability: a randomized, controlled pilot trial. *Alternative Therapies* 2012;**18**(3):34-43. [PUBMED: 22875560]

Laska 2012 {unpublished data only}

Laska AC. Diabetes in minor stroke and TIA, glucose tolerance and haemostasis, a long-term-follow-up study and intervention with yoga. *ClinicalTrials.gov* 2012. [CTG: NCT01648985]

Mead 2007 {published data only}

Mead GE, Greig CA, Cunningham I, Lewis SJ, Dinan S, Saunders DH, et al. Stroke: a randomized trial of exercise or relaxation. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* June 2007;**55**(6):892-9. [DOI: [10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01185.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01185.x)]

Page 2005 {published data only}

Page SJ, Levine P, Leonard AC. Effects of mental practice on affected limb use and function in chronic stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2005;**86**:399-402. [DOI: [10.1016/j.apmr.2004.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2004.10.002)]

Page 2007 {published data only}

Page SJ, Levine P, Leonard A. Mental practice in chronic stroke: results of a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Stroke* 2007;**38**(4):1293-7. [DOI: [10.1161/01.STR.0000260205.67348.2b](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000260205.67348.2b)]

Portz 2016 {published data only}

Portz JD, Waddington E, Adler KE, Van Puymbroeck M, Schmid AA. Self-management and yoga for older adults with chronic stroke: a mixed-methods study of physical fitness and physical activity. *Clinical Gerontologist* 2016;**1**:1-8.

Schmid 2016 {published data only}

Schmid AA, Van Puymbroeck M, Portz JD, Adler K, Fruhauf CA. Merging yoga and occupational therapy (MY-OT): a feasibility and pilot study. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2016;**28**:44-9.

Schneider 2012 {published data only}

Schneider RH, Grim CE, Rainforth MV, Kotchen T, Nidich SI, Gaylord-King C, et al. Stress reduction in the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: randomized, controlled trial of transcendental meditation and health education in blacks. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes* 2012;**5**:750-8. [DOI: [10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.112.967406](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.112.967406)]

Yoo 2001 {published data only}

Yoo E, Park E, Chung B. Mental practice effect on line-tracing accuracy in persons with hemiparetic stroke: a preliminary study. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2001;**82**:1213-8. [DOI: [10.1053/apmr.2001.25095](https://doi.org/10.1053/apmr.2001.25095)]

References to ongoing studies

Yen-Ting 2013 {unpublished data only}

Yen-Ting L. Yoga exercise for improving balance in patients with subacute & chronic stroke. *ClinicalTrials.gov*. [CTG: NCT01806922]

Additional references

Barrows 2016

Barrows JL, Fleury J. Systematic review of yoga interventions to promote cardiovascular health in older adults. *Western Journal of Nursing Research* 2016;**38**(6):753-81.

Bernardi 2001

Bernardi L, Sleight P, Bandinelli G, Cencetti S, Fattorini L, Wdowczyk-Szulc J, et al. Effect of rosary prayer and yoga mantras on autonomic cardiovascular rhythms: comparative study. *BMJ* 2001;**323**:1446-9. [PUBMED: 11751348]

Bonita 1992

Bonita R. Epidemiology of stroke. *Lancet* 1992;**339**(8789):342-4. [PUBMED: 1346420]

Bosch 2009

Bosch PR, Traustadottir T, Howard P, Matt KS. Functional and physiological effects of yoga in women with rheumatoid arthritis: a pilot study. *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine* 2009;**15**(4):24-31. [PUBMED: 19623830]

Bower 2005

Bower JE, Woolery A, Sternlieb B, Garet D. Yoga for cancer patients and survivors. *Cancer Control Journal* 2005;**12**(3):165-71. [PUBMED: 16062164]

Bower 2014

Bower JE, Greendalee G, Crosswell AD, Garet D, Sternlieb B, Ganz PA, et al. Yoga reduces inflammatory signaling in fatigued breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2014;**43**:20-9. [PUBMED: 24703167]

Broderick 2015

Broderick J, Knowles A, Chadwick J, Vancampfort D. Yoga versus standard care for schizophrenia. *Cochrane*

Database of Systematic Reviews 2015, Issue 10. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD010554.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010554.pub2)]

Cochran 1954

Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. *Biometrics* 1954;**10**(1):101-29.

Cramer 2014

Cramer H, Lauche R, Haller H, Steckhan N, Michalsen A, Dobos G. Effects of yoga on cardiovascular disease risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Cardiology* 2014;**173**(2):170-83. [PUBMED: 24636547]

Cramer 2017

Cramer H, Lauche R, Klose P, Lange S, Langhorst J, Dobos GJ. Yoga for improving health-related quality of life, mental health and cancer-related symptoms in women diagnosed with breast cancer. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 1. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD010802.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010802.pub2)]

Desveaux 2015

Desveaux L, Lee A, Goldstein R, Brooks D. Yoga in the management of chronic disease. *Medical Care* 2015;**53**(7):653-61.

DiBenedetto 2005

DiBenedetto M, Innes KE, Taylor AG, Rodeheaver PF, Boxer JA, Wright HJ, et al. Effect of a gentle Iyengar yoga program on gait in the elderly: an exploratory study. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2005;**86**(9):1830-7. [PUBMED: 16181950]

Donnan 2008

Donnan GA, Fisher M, Macleod M, Davis SM. Stroke. *Lancet* 2008;**371**:1612-23. [PUBMED: 18468545]

Eilertsen 2010

Eilertsen G, Kirkevold M, Bjørk IT. Recovering from a stroke: a longitudinal, qualitative study of older Norwegian women. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2010;**19**(13-14):2004-13. [PUBMED: 20920026]

Falcone 2014

Falcone GJ, Malik R, Dichgans M, Rosand J. Current concepts and clinical applications of stroke genetics. *Lancet Neurology* 2014;**13**(4):405-18. [PUBMED: 24646874]

Feigin 2003

Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Bennett DA, Anderson CS. Stroke epidemiology: a review of population-based studies of incidence, prevalence, and case-fatality in the late 20th century. *Lancet Neurology* 2003;**2**:43-53. [PUBMED: 12849300]

Felbel 2014

Felbel S, Meerpohl JJ, Monsef I, Engert A, Skoetz N. Yoga in addition to standard care for patients with haematological malignancies. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014;**6**:CD010146. DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD010146.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010146.pub2).

Fischer 2014

Fischer FH, Lewith G, Witt CM, Linde K, von Ammon K, Cardini F, et al. High prevalence but limited evidence in complementary

and alternative medicine: guidelines for future research. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2014;**14**:46. [PUBMED: 24499316]

Garret 2011

Garret R, Immink MA, Hillier S. Becoming connected: the lived experience of yoga participation after stroke. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2011;**33**(25-26):2404-15.

Gothé 2014

Gothé NP, Kramer AF, McAuley E. The effects of an 8-week Hatha yoga intervention on executive function in older adults. *Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2014;**69**(9):1109-16.

Hackett 2014

Hackett ML, Köhler S, O'Brien JT, Mead GE. Neuropsychiatric outcomes of stroke. *Lancet Neurology* 2014;**13**(5):525-34. [PUBMED: 24685278]

Hankey 2014

Hankey GJ. Secondary stroke prevention. *Lancet Neurology* 2014;**13**:178-94. [DOI: [10.1016/S1474-4422\(13\)70255-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70255-2)]

Hariprasad 2013

Hariprasad VR, Koparde V, Sivakumar PT. Randomized clinical trial of yoga-based intervention in residents from elderly homes: effects on cognitive function. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry* 2013;**55** Suppl 3:S357-63.

Hartley 2014

Hartley L, Dyakova M, Holmes J, Clarke A, Lees MS, Ernst E, Rees K. Yoga for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 5. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD010072.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010072.pub2)]

Hatano 1976

Hatano S. Experience from a multicentre stroke register: a preliminary report. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 1976;**54**:541-53. [PUBMED: 1088404]

Higgins 2003

Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;**327**:557-60.

Higgins 2011

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Hoffmann 2014

Hoffmann T, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. *BMJ* 2014;**348**(g1687):12.

Langhorne 2009

Langhorne P, Sandercock P, Prasad K. Evidence-based practice for stroke. *Lancet Neurology* 2009;**8**:308-9. [PUBMED: 19296917]

Lazaridou 2013

Lazaridou A. Yoga and mindfulness as therapeutic interventions for stroke rehabilitation: a systematic review. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2013;**2013**(Article ID 357108):9 pages.

Lynton 2007

Lynton H, Kligler B, Shiflett S. Yoga in stroke rehabilitation: a systematic review and results of a pilot study. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 2007;**14**(4):1-8.

Mishra 2012

Mishra SK, Singh P, Bunch SJ, Zhang R. The therapeutic value of yoga in neurological disorders. *Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology* 2012;**15**(4):247-54.

Moher 2009

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2009;**151**(4):1-7. [PUBMED: 19622511]

O'Connor 2003

O'Connor D, Marshall SC, Massy-Westropp N, Pitt V. Non-surgical treatment (other than steroid injection) for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 1. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD003219](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003219)]

O'Donnell 2016

O'Donnell, MJ, Chin SL, Ragarajan S, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, et al. INTERSTROKE investigators. Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (INTERSTROKE): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2016;**388**(1046):761-75.

Oken 2006

Oken BS. Randomized, controlled, six-month trial of yoga in healthy seniors: effects on cognition and quality of life. *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine* 2006;**12**(1):40-7.

Reckless 2008

Reckless IP, Buchan AM. Stroke: management and prevention. *Medicine* 2008;**36**(11):592-600.

RevMan 2014 [Computer program]

The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

Ross 2010

Ross A, Thomas S. The health benefits of yoga and exercise: a review of comparison studies. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine* 2010;**16**(1):3-12. [PUBMED: 20105062]

Sacco 2013

Sacco RL, Kasner SE, Broderick JP, Caplan LR, Connors JJ, Culebras A, et al. An updated definition of stroke for the 21st century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2013;**44**(7):2064-89. [PUBMED: 23652265]

Saraswati 2001

Saraswati SS. Yoga Nidra. 6th Edition. Munger, Bihar, India: Yoga Publications Trust, 2001.

Sattelmair 2010

Sattelmair JR, Kurth T, Buring JE, Lee IM. Physical activity and risk of stroke in women. *Stroke* 2010;**41**(6):1243-50. [DOI: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.584300](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.584300)]

Schmid 2014

Schmid AA, Miller KK, Van Puymbroeck, DeBaun-Sprague E. Yoga leads to multiple physical improvements after stroke, a pilot study. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2014;**22**:994-1000.

Sharma 2012

Sharma M, Haider T. Yoga as an alternative and complementary therapy for patients suffering from anxiety: a systematic review. *Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine* 2012;**18**(1):15-22.

Sims 2009

Sims NR, Muyderman H. Mitochondria, oxidative metabolism and cell death in stroke. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 2009;**1802**(1):80-91. [PUBMED: 19751827]

Sterne 2011

Sterne JAC, Egger M, Moher D. Chapter 10: Addressing reporting biases. Higgins JPT, Green S editor(s). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011.

Taylor 2003

Taylor MJ. Yoga therapeutics: an ancient dynamic systems theory. *Techniques in Orthopedics* 2003;**18**(1):115-25.

Tran 2001

Tran MD, Holly RG, Lashbrook J, Amsterdam EA. Effects of hatha yoga practice on the health-related aspects of physical fitness. *Preventive Cardiology* 2001;**4**(4):165-70. [PUBMED: 11832673]

Uebelacker 2010

Uebelacker LA, Epstein-Lubow G, Gaudio BA, Tremont G, Battle CL, Miller IW. Hatha yoga for depression: a critical review of the evidence for efficacy, plausible mechanisms of action, and directions for future research. *Journal of Psychiatric Practice* 2010;**16**(1):22-33. [PUBMED: 20098228]

Van Puymbroeck 2015

Van Puymbroeck M, Allsop J, Miller KK, Schmid AA. ICF-based improvements in body structures and function, and activity and participation in chronic stroke following a yoga-based intervention. *American Journal of Recreation Therapy* 2015;**13**(3):23-33.

Wadden 2013

Wadden KP, Short M, Boyd LA, Mazmanian D. A systematic review of alternative mind-body approaches to stroke rehabilitation. *Stroke* 2013;**44**(12):e223.

Wahbeh 2008

Wahbeh H, Elsas SM, Oken BS. Mind-body interventions: applications in neurology. *Neurology* 2008;**70**(24):2321-8. [PUBMED: 18541886]

WHO 2002

World Health Organization. WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2002–2005. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.

Wieland 2017

Wieland LS, Skoetz N, Pilkington K, Vempati R, D'Adamo CR, Berman BM. Yoga treatment for chronic non-specific low back pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 1. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD010671.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010671.pub2)]

Yang 2016

Yang ZY, Zong HB, Mao C, Yuan JQ, Huang YF, Wu XY, Gao YM, Tang JL. Yoga for asthma. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 4. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD010346.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010346.pub2)]

References to other published versions of this review

Lawrence 2015

Lawrence M, Celestino Junior FT, Matozinho HHS, Govan L, Booth J. Yoga for stroke rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 1. [DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD011483](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011483)]

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Immink 2014

Methods	<p>Design: RCT</p> <p>Study duration: 10 weeks</p> <p>Randomisation: a random allocation table was generated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) to allocate consenting participants to either of the 2 groups</p> <p>Allocation concealment: randomisation, using concealed allocation procedures, was conducted by a research associate who was external to the study</p> <p>Blinding: not possible due to the nature of the intervention. Participant assessment was conducted by author 2 who was blinded to participant allocation</p> <p>ITT: yes</p>
Participants	<p>Randomised: 25</p> <p>Withdrawals: intervention group: n = 1, no reason given; waiting-list control group: n = 2, 1 due to an unrelated medical condition, no reason was provided for the other</p> <p>Intervention group: 11 participants; 5 women, 6 men; mean age 56.1 (SD 13.6) years; mean time since stroke: 81.6 (SD 77.5) months</p> <p>Waiting-list control group: 11 participants; 8 women, 3 men; mean age 63.2 (SD 17.4) years; mean time since stroke: 23.3 (SD 12.5) months</p> <p>Inclusion criteria: ≥ 18 years of age, diagnosis of stroke ≥ 9 months prior to baseline assessment, hemiparesis, completion of post-stroke rehabilitation, ability to follow 2-step commands, able to ambulate independently or with supervision, with or without an assistive device</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: other neurological or neuromuscular conditions, current or previous participation in yoga or meditation practice, currently participating in structured exercise programmes</p>
Interventions	<p>Intervention: a standardised 10-week yoga intervention, involving:</p> <p>Weekly 90-minute group classes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 minutes of education component (lecture on concepts in yoga and the focus theme for that week's class) • 30 minutes of yoga asana • 10-12 minutes of pranayama

Immink 2014 (Continued)

- 20-30 minutes of Satyananda Yoga Nidra
- 8-10 minutes discussion

Daily 40-minute (35-45 minutes) individual home practice

- 10-20 minutes for yoga asana and pranayama
- 25 minutes for Satyananda Yoga Nidra

Weekly group classes were facilitated by 2 accredited yoga instructors; an illustrated guide book and compact disc containing audio recordings was provided for home practice

Intervention design: the intervention was specifically developed for a chronic post-stroke population. It appears to be well divided between asanas, breathing/relaxation exercises and discussion. There is no indication of which type of yoga was used to design the course

Control: participants were advised to maintain their usual treatment and lifestyle behavior where possible during the period of their participation, and to advise the investigators of any change to these conditions.

Setting: a recreation room at the University of South Australia campus

Outcomes	<p>Included outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motor Function: 9-hole peg test of manual dexterity; Motor Assessment Scale; Berg Balance Scale; 2-minute walk distance; Comfortable Gait Speed • Anxiety and Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale-short form; State Trait Anxiety Inventory • Quality of Life: Stroke Impact Scale version 3 <p>Measurement time points: baseline assessment; upon completion of the intervention</p>
----------	---

Notes

–

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A random allocation table was generated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) to allocate consenting participants to either of the 2 groups
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation, using concealed allocation procedures, was conducted by a research associate who was external to this study
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Not possible due to nature of the intervention
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Participant assessment was conducted by Author 2 who was blinded to participant allocation
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	9-Hole Peg Test was not included in the analysis
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	9-Hole Peg Test was not included in the analysis
Other bias	Unclear risk	None identified

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation (Review)

Schmid 2012

Methods	<p>Design: RCT (pilot; wait-list control; 2 active arms, 2:1 ratio)</p> <p>Study duration: 8 weeks</p> <p>Randomisation: randomisation lists were computer-generated</p> <p>Allocation concealment: revealed after completion of baseline assessments by opening a sealed, opaque envelope</p> <p>Blinding: treatment group assignments were revealed after completion of baseline assessments by opening a sealed opaque envelope. Assessments were completed face-to-face by the research assistant at baseline and 8 weeks, after completion of the yoga intervention. The research assistant also assisted with the yoga sessions and thus was not blinded to primary outcome assessment</p> <p>ITT: yes</p>
Participants	<p>Randomised: 47</p> <p>Withdrawals: intervention group: n = 4 (1 due to hospitalisation, no reason was provided for the other 3); waiting-list control group: n = 0</p> <p>Intervention group: 37 participants; 17 women, 20 men; mean age 63.9 (SD 8.7) years; mean time since stroke: 54.9 (SD 43.2) months</p> <p>Waiting-list control group: 10 participants; 0 women, 10 men; mean age 60.2 (SD 8.9) years; mean time since stroke: 36.4 (SD 23.6) months</p> <p>Inclusion criteria: ≥ 18 years, chronic stroke (diagnosed > 6 months), able to stand with or without a device, able to speak and understand English, scored ≥ 4 out of 6 on the short 6-item Mini-Mental State Examination, agreed to commit to assessments and 16 sessions of group therapy</p> <p>Exclusion criteria: receiving palliative care, unable to ensure transportation to the sessions, a self-reported medical contraindication (serious cardiac conditions, serious chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or oxygen dependence, severe weight bearing pain, a history of significant psychiatric illness, uncontrollable diabetes with recent weight loss), contemporaneously enrolled in another research trial</p>
Interventions	<p>Intervention:</p> <p>A standardised yoga (arm 1: yoga, arm 2: yoga plus (i.e. yoga plus home relaxation practice) intervention involving:</p> <p>Bi-weekly hour-long classes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • modified postures • breathing • meditation in sitting, standing, and supine positions <p>Classes increased in intensity and difficulty over the 8-week period</p> <p>Yoga-plus group included 20-minute relaxation sessions ≥ 3 times each week</p> <p>Weekly group classes were facilitated by a registered yoga therapist, supported by a research assistant; a device with a relaxation audio recording was provided for the yoga-plus group for home practice</p> <p>Intervention design: the intervention was designed by a registered yoga therapist, with input from the rehabilitation research team; there is no indication of which type of yoga was used to design the course</p> <p>Control: no details were provided regarding the wait-list control</p> <p>Setting: the Rehabilitation and Integrative Therapy laboratory of the Indiana University</p>
Outcomes	Included outcomes

Schmid 2012 (Continued)

- Disability (Modified Rankin Scale)
- Balance (Berg Balance Scale)
- Balance self-efficacy (16-item Activities-specific Balance Confidence Scale)
- Fear of falling (FoF)
- Quality of Life (Stroke-specific QoL scale)
- Pain, assessed with PEG
- Range of motion (ROM) (cervical: bilateral active cervical rotation and active lateral flexion; hip: bilateral passive hamstring ROM, and hip flexion active ROM)
- Strength (upper extremity: unilateral arm curl test; lower extremity: chair-to-stand test)
- Endurance (6-minute walk; modified 2-minute step test)

Measurement time points: baseline assessment; upon completion of the intervention

Notes

–

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation lists were computer-generated
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Revealed post-baseline assessment by opening a sealed opaque envelope
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Treatment group assignments were revealed after completion of baseline assessments by opening a sealed opaque envelope
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Assessments were completed face-to-face by the research assistant. The research assistant also assisted with the yoga sessions and thus was not blinded to primary outcome assessment
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Although it is stated in the Statistical Analysis section that only 4 individuals did not complete 8-week assessments (9%), the Results section mentions that only 29 from the 37 of the yoga group completed all 8 weeks of the study with post-intervention assessments
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	Although it is stated in the Statistical Analysis section that only 4 individuals did not complete 8-week assessments (9%), the Results section mentions that only 29 from the 37 of the yoga group completed all 8 weeks of the study with post-intervention assessments
Other bias	High risk	Although there are 2 different intervention groups (group-yoga and yoga plus), results are mentioned without separation between groups, which leads to uncertainty regarding the efficacy of the separate interventions. The use of a sample largely comprised of veterans indicates use of an unrepresentative sample

ITT: intention-to-treat

PEG: a 3-item functional measure of pain: P = average Pain intensity, E = interference with Enjoyment in life, G = interference with General activity

RCT: randomised controlled trial

SD: standard deviation

Characteristics of excluded studies *[ordered by study ID]*

Study	Reason for exclusion
Chan 2012	Intervention: combined yoga and exercise; unable to determine whether clinically relevant improvements were due to the yoga element of the intervention
Laska 2012	Study participants: included participants post-transient ischaemic attack; stroke-only data could not be extracted
Mead 2007	Intervention: not yoga (exercise training (including progressive endurance and resistance training) compared with relaxation (attention control))
Page 2005	Intervention: not yoga (mental practice)
Page 2007	Intervention: not yoga
Portz 2016	Intervention: not yoga (yoga-infused self-management intervention)
Schmid 2016	Intervention: not yoga (yoga-infused self-management intervention)
Schneider 2012	Intervention: not yoga (transcendental meditation)
Yoo 2001	Intervention: not yoga (mental practice (line tracing))

Characteristics of ongoing studies *[ordered by study ID]*

[Yen-Ting 2013](#)

Trial name or title	Yoga exercise for improving balance in patients with subacute and chronic stroke
Methods	RCT
Participants	Stroke
Interventions	Yoga plus traditional physiotherapy
Outcomes	Balance (Berg Balance Scale) Depression (Taiwanese Depression Questionnaire)
Starting date	2013
Contact information	Dr Yen-Ting Lai, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, National Taiwan University Hospital Hsin-Chu Branch, Hsinchu, Taiwan. Email: csmclaiyt@gmail.com
Notes	–

RCT: randomised controlled trial

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Yoga and waitlist control

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Quality of life	2		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 SIS: Physical domain	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	5.20 [-12.28, 22.68]
1.2 SIS: Emotion domain	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	6.80 [-8.55, 22.15]
1.3 SIS: Memory domain	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	15.30 [1.29, 29.31]
1.4 SIS: Communication domain	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.40 [-9.45, 12.25]
1.5 SIS: Social participation domain	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	16.10 [-6.79, 38.99]
1.6 SIS: Stroke recovery domain	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.0 [-17.70, 21.70]
1.7 Stroke-specific QoL scale	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.80 [-2.03, 7.63]
2 Balance: Berg Balance Scale	2	69	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.38 [-1.41, 6.17]
3 Balance confidence	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	10.60 [-7.08, 28.28]
4 Gait (comfortable gait speed)	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.32 [-1.35, 3.99]
5 Motor Assessment (Motor Assessment Scale)	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.0 [-12.42, 4.42]
6 Walk distance (2-Minute Walk Distance)	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-13.80 [-56.02, 28.42]
7 Fear of falling	1	47	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.40 [0.63, 18.22]
8 Range of movement	1	376	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.26 [1.96, 6.55]
8.1 Active cervical rotation, left	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.97 [-4.70, 12.64]
8.2 Active cervical rotation, right	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	7.40 [-0.42, 15.22]
8.3 Active cervical lateral flexion, left	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.5 [-2.61, 5.61]
8.4 Active cervical lateral flexion, right	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	6.64 [1.95, 11.33]
8.5 Hamstrings passive ROM, left	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	7.80 [1.33, 14.27]

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
8.6 Hamstrings passive ROM, right	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.43 [-6.25, 5.39]
8.7 Hip flexion active ROM, left	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	30.11 [-2.25, 62.47]
8.8 Hip flexion active ROM, right	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	32.45 [4.69, 60.21]
9 Strength	1	94	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.32 [-2.75, 0.12]
9.1 Upper extremity strength	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.67 [-4.76, 1.42]
9.2 Lower extremity strength	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.22 [-2.84, 0.40]
10 Endurance	1	94	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.89 [-20.18, 4.41]
10.1 6-minute walk	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-31.80 [-263.55, 199.95]
10.2 2-minute step test	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.82 [-20.13, 4.49]
11 Pain	1	47	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.31 [-8.29, 5.67]
12 Disability	1	47	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.08 [0.50, 8.60]
13 Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS15)	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.10 [-4.70, 0.50]
14 State Trait Anxiety (STAI-Y1)	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-8.40 [-16.74, -0.06]
15 Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-Y2)	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-6.70 [-15.35, 1.95]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 1 Quality of life.

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 2 Balance: Berg Balance Scale.

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 3 Balance confidence.

Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 4 Gait (comfortable gait speed).

Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 5 Motor Assessment (Motor Assessment Scale).

Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 6 Walk distance (2-Minute Walk Distance).

Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 7 Fear of falling.

Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 8 Range of movement.

Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 9 Strength.

Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 10 Endurance.

Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 11 Pain.

Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 12 Disability.

Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 13 Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS15).

Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 14 State Trait Anxiety (STAI-Y1).

Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Yoga and waitlist control, Outcome 15 Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-Y2).

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. CENTRAL search strategy

#1 stroke

#2 yoga

#3 meditation

#4 mind body therapy

#5 breathing exercises

#6 relaxation

#7 #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6

#8 #1 and #7

Appendix 2. MEDLINE search strategy

MEDLINE (Ovid)

1. cerebrovascular disorders/ or exp basal ganglia cerebrovascular disease/ or exp brain ischemia/ or exp carotid artery diseases/ or exp intracranial arterial diseases/ or exp intracranial arteriovenous malformations/ or exp "intracranial embolism and thrombosis"/ or exp intracranial hemorrhages/ or stroke/ or exp brain infarction/ or vasospasm, intracranial/ or vertebral artery dissection/
2. (stroke or poststroke or post-stroke or cerebrovasc\$ or brain vasc\$ or cerebral vasc\$ or cva\$ or apoplex\$ or SAH).tw.
3. ((brain\$ or cerebr\$ or cerebell\$ or intracran\$ or intracerebral) adj5 (isch?emi\$ or infarct\$ or thrombo\$ or emboli\$ or occlus\$)).tw.
4. ((brain\$ or cerebr\$ or cerebell\$ or intracerebral or intracranial or subarachnoid) adj5 (haemorrhage\$ or hemorrhage\$ or haematoma\$ or hematoma\$ or bleed\$)).tw.
5. hemiplegia/ or exp paresis/ or exp Gait Disorders, Neurologic/
6. (hemipleg\$ or hemipar\$ or pareis or paretic).tw.
7. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
8. Yoga/ or mind-body therapies/ or exp breathing exercises/ or meditation/ or relaxation therapy/
9. (yoga\$ or yogic or relaxation or meditation or mind-body or (mind adj1 body) or postures).tw.
10. (breath\$ adj3 (exercises or control\$)).tw.
11. (hatha or ashtanga or bikram or iyengar or kripalu or kundalini or sivananda or vinyasa or raja or radja or bhakti or jnana or kriya or karma or yama or niyama or asana\$ or pranayama or pratyahara or dharana or dhyana or samadhi or bandha or mudra).tw.
12. 8 or 9 or 10 or 11
13. 7 and 12
14. Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/
15. random allocation/
16. Controlled Clinical Trials as Topic/
17. control groups/
18. clinical trials as topic/
19. double-blind method/
20. single-blind method/
21. Placebos/
22. placebo effect/
23. cross-over studies/
24. randomized controlled trial.pt.
25. controlled clinical trial.pt.
26. clinical trial.pt.
27. (random\$ or RCT or RCTs).tw.
28. (controlled adj5 (trial\$ or stud\$)).tw.
29. (clinical\$ adj5 trial\$).tw.
30. ((control or treatment or experiment\$ or intervention) adj5 (group\$ or subject\$ or patient\$)).tw.

Yoga for stroke rehabilitation (Review)

31. (quasi-random\$ or quasi random\$ or pseudo-random\$ or pseudo random\$).tw.
32. ((control or experiment\$ or conservative) adj5 (treatment or therapy or procedure or manage\$)).tw.
33. ((singl\$ or doubl\$ or tripl\$ or trebl\$) adj5 (blind\$ or mask\$)).tw.
34. (cross-over or cross over or crossover).tw.
35. (placebo\$ or sham).tw.
36. trial.ti.
37. (assign\$ or allocat\$).tw.
38. controls.tw.
39. or/14-38
40. 13 and 39
41. exp animals/ not humans.sh.
42. 40 not 41

Appendix 3. Embase search strategy

Embase (Ovid)

1. cerebrovascular disorders/ or exp basal ganglia cerebrovascular disease/ or exp brain ischemia/ or exp carotid artery diseases/ or exp intracranial arterial diseases/ or exp intracranial arteriovenous malformations/ or exp "intracranial embolism and thrombosis"/ or exp intracranial hemorrhages/ or stroke/ or exp brain infarction/ or vasospasm, intracranial/ or vertebral artery dissection/
2. (stroke or poststroke or post-stroke or cerebrovasc\$ or brain vasc\$ or cerebral vasc\$ or cva\$ or apoplex\$ or SAH).tw.
3. ((brain\$ or cerebr\$ or cerebell\$ or intracran\$ or intracerebral) adj5 (isch?emi\$ or infarct\$ or thrombo\$ or emboli\$ or occlus\$)).tw.
4. ((brain\$ or cerebr\$ or cerebell\$ or intracerebral or intracranial or subarachnoid) adj5 (haemorrhage\$ or hemorrhage\$ or haematoma\$ or hematoma\$ or bleed\$)).tw.
5. hemiplegia/ or exp paresis/ or exp Gait Disorders, Neurologic/
6. (hemipleg\$ or hemipar\$ or pareis or paretic).tw.
7. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6
8. Yoga/ or mind-body therapies/ or exp breathing exercises/ or meditation/ or relaxation therapy/
9. (yoga\$ or yogic or relaxation or meditation or mind-body or (mind adj1 body) or postures).tw.
10. (breath\$ adj3 (exercises or control\$)).tw.
11. (hatha or ashtanga or bikram or iyengar or kripalu or kundalini or sivananda or vinyasa or raja or radja or bhakti or jnana or kriya or karma or yama or niyama or asana\$ or pranayama or pratyahara or dharana or dhyana or samadhi or bandha or mudra).tw.
12. 8 or 9 or 10 or 11
13. 7 and 12
14. Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/
15. random allocation/
16. Controlled Clinical Trials as Topic/
17. control groups/
18. clinical trials as topic/
19. double-blind method/

20. single-blind method/
21. Placebos/
22. placebo effect/
23. cross-over studies/
24. randomized controlled trial.pt.
25. controlled clinical trial.pt.
26. clinical trial.pt.
27. (random\$ or RCT or RCTs).tw.
28. (controlled adj5 (trial\$ or stud\$)).tw.
29. (clinical\$ adj5 trial\$).tw.
30. ((control or treatment or experiment\$ or intervention) adj5 (group\$ or subject\$ or patient\$)).tw.
31. (quasi-random\$ or quasi random\$ or pseudo-random\$ or pseudo random\$).tw.
32. ((control or experiment\$ or conservative) adj5 (treatment or therapy or procedure or manage\$)).tw.
33. ((singl\$ or doubl\$ or tripl\$ or trebl\$) adj5 (blind\$ or mask\$)).tw.
34. (cross-over or cross over or crossover).tw.
35. (placebo\$ or sham).tw.
36. trial.ti.
37. (assign\$ or allocat\$).tw.
38. controls.tw.
39. or/14-38
40. 13 and 39
41. exp animals/ not humans.sh.
42. 40 not 41

Appendix 4. CINAHL search strategy

CINAHL (EBSCO)

S39. S13 AND S38; Limiters - Human; Randomized Controlled Trials

S38. S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21 OR S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 OR S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37

S37. TX controls

S36. TX (assign* OR allocat*)

S35. TI trial

S34. TX (placebo* OR sham)

S33. TX (cross-over OR cross over OR crossover)

S32. TX ((singl* OR doubl* OR tripl* OR trebl*) W5 (blind* OR mask*))

S31. TX ((control OR experiment* OR conservative) W5 (treatment OR therapy OR procedure OR manage*))

S30. TX (quasi-random* OR quasi random* OR pseudo-random* OR pseudo random*)

S29. TX ((control OR treatment OR experiment* OR intervention) W5 (group* OR subject* OR patient*))

S28. TX (clinical* W5 trial*)

S27. TX ((controlled W5 (trial* OR stud*))

S26. TX (random* OR RCT OR RCTs)

S25. PT Clinical Trial

S24. PT Controlled Clinical Trial

S23. PT Randomized Controlled Trial

S22. (MH "Crossover Design")

S21. (MH "Placebo Effect")

S20. (MH "Placebos")

S19. (MH "Single-Blind Studies")

S18. (MH "Double-Blind Studies")

S17. (MH "Control Group")

S16. (MH "Clinical Trials")

S15. (MH "Random Assignment")

S14. (MH "Randomized Controlled Trials")

S13. S7 AND S12

S12. S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11

S11. TX (hatha OR ashtanga OR bikram OR iyengar OR kripalu OR kundalini OR sivananda OR vinyasa OR raja OR radja OR bhakti OR jhana OR kriya OR karma OR yama OR niyama OR asana* OR pranayama OR pratyahara OR dharana OR dhyana OR samadhi OR bandha OR mudra)

S10. TX ((breath* W3 (exercises OR control*))

S9. TX ((yoga* OR yogic OR relaxation OR meditation OR mindbody OR (mind W1 body) OR postures))

S8. (MH "Yoga") OR (MH "Mind-body Therapies") OR (MH "Breathing Exercises+") OR (MH "Meditation") OR (MH "Relaxation Therapy")

S7. S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6

S6. TX (hemipleg* OR hemipar* OR pareis OR paretic)

S5. (MH "Hemiplegia") OR (MH "Paresis+") OR (MH "Gait Disorders, Neurologic+")

S4. S4 TX ((brain* OR cerebr* OR cerebell* OR intracerebral OR intracranial OR subarachnoid) W5 (haemorrhage* OR hemorrhage* OR haematoma* OR hematoma OR bleed*))

S3. TX ((brain* OR cerebr* OR cerebell* OR intracran* OR intracerebral) W5 (isch?emi* OR infarct* OR thrombo* OR emboli* OR occlus*))

S2. TX (stroke OR poststroke OR poststroke OR cerebrovasc* OR brain vasc* OR cerebral vasc* OR cva* OR apoplex* OR SAH)

S1. (MH "Cerebrovascular Disorders") OR (MH "Basal Ganglia Cerebrovascular Disease+") OR (MH "Brain Ischemia+") OR (MH "Carotid Artery Diseases+") OR (MH "Intracranial Arterial Diseases+") OR (MH "Intracranial Arteriovenous Malformations+") OR (MH "Intracranial Embolism and Thrombosis"+"") OR (MH "Intracranial Hemorrhages+") OR (MH "Stroke") OR (MH "Brain Infarction+") OR (MH "Vasospasm, Intracranial") OR (MH "Vertebral Artery Dissection")

Appendix 5. PsycINFO search strategy

Stroke (anywhere)

Yoga (anywhere)

Meditation (anywhere)

Relaxation

Breathing exercises

Mind body therapy

Stroke AND yoga

Stroke AND meditation

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND mind body therapy

Yoga OR mind-body therapy OR breathing exercises OR meditation OR relaxation therapy

Stroke AND (Yoga OR mind-body therapy OR breathing exercises OR meditation OR relaxation therapy)

Appendix 6. AMED search strategy

S40. S13 AND S39

S39. S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21 OR S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 OR S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37 OR S38TX controls

S38. TX controls

S37. TX (assign* OR allocat*)

S36. TI trial

S35. TX (placebo* OR sham)

S34. TX (cross-over OR cross over OR crossover)

S33. TX ((singl* OR doubl* OR tripl* OR trebl*) W5 (blind* OR mask*))

S32. TX ((control OR experiment* OR conservative) W5 (treatment OR therapy OR procedure OR manage*))

S31. TX (quasi-random* OR quasi random* OR pseudo-random* OR pseudo random*)

S30. TX ((control OR treatment OR experiment* OR intervention) W5 (group* OR subject* OR patient*))

S29. TX (clinical* W5 trial*)

S28. TX ((controlled W5 (trial* OR stud*))

S27. TX (random* OR RCT OR RCTs)

S26. PT Clinical Trial

S25. PT Controlled Clinical Trial

S24. PT Randomized Controlled Trial

S23. cross-over studies/

S22. placebo effect/

S21. Placebos/

S20. single-blind method/

S19. double-blind method/

S18. clinical trials as topic/

S17. control groups/

S16. Controlled Clinical Trials as Topic/

S15. random allocation/

S14. Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/

S13. S7 AND S12

S12. S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11

S11. TX (hatha OR ashtanga OR bikram OR iyengar OR kripalu OR kundalini OR sivananda OR vinyasa OR raja OR radja OR bhakti OR jhana OR kriya OR karma OR yama OR niyama OR asana* OR pranayama OR pratyahara OR dharana OR dhyana OR samadhi OR bandha OR mudra)

S10. TX ((breath* W3 (exercises OR control*))

S9. TX ((yoga* OR yogic OR relaxation OR meditation OR mindbody OR (mind W1 body) OR postures))

S8. Yoga/ or mind-body therapies/ or exp breathing exercises/ or meditation/ or relaxation therapy/

S7. S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6

S6. TX (hemipleg* OR hemipar* OR pareis OR paretic)

S5. hemiplegia/ or exp paresis/ or exp Gait Disorders, Neurologic/

S4. TX ((brain* OR cerebr* OR cerebell* OR intracerebral OR intracranial OR subarachnoid) W5 (haemorrhage* OR hemorrhage* OR haematoma* OR hematoma* OR bleed*))

S3. TX ((brain* OR cerebr* OR cerebell* OR intracran* OR intracerebral) W5 (isch?emi* OR infarct* OR thrombo* OR emboli* OR occlus*))

S2. TX (stroke OR poststroke OR poststroke OR cerebrovasc* OR brain vas* OR cerebral vas* OR cva* OR apoplex* OR SAH)

S1. cerebrovascular disorders/ or exp basal ganglia cerebrovascular disease/ or exp brain ischemia/ or exp carotid artery diseases/ or exp intracranial arterial diseases/ or exp intracranial arteriovenous malformations/ or exp "intracranial embolism and thrombosis"/ or exp intracranial hemorrhages/ or stroke/ or exp brain infarction/ or vasospasm, intracranial/ or vertebral artery dissection/

Appendix 7. LILACS search strategy

1. (mh:(cerebrovascular disORDers)) OR (mh:(basal ganglia cerebrovascular disease)) OR (mh:(brain ischemia)) OR (mh:(carotid artery diseases)) OR (mh:(intracranial arterial diseases)) OR (mh:(intracranial arteriovenous malfORMations)) OR (mh:(intracranial embolism and thrombosis)) OR (mh:(intracranial hemORrhages)) OR (mh:(stroke)) OR (mh:(brain infarction)) OR (mh:(intracranial vasospasm)) OR (mh:(vertebral artery dissection))

2. (tw:(stroke)) OR (tw:(poststroke)) OR (tw:(post-stroke)) OR (tw:(cerebrovasc\$)) OR (tw:(brain vas\$)) OR (tw:(cerebral vas\$)) OR (tw:(cva \$)) OR (tw:(apoplex\$)) OR (tw:(SAH))

3. (tw:(brain\$)) OR (tw:(cerebr\$)) OR (tw:(cerebell\$)) OR (tw:(intracran\$)) OR (tw:(intracerebral)) **adj5** (tw:(isch?emi\$)) OR (tw:(infarct\$)) OR (tw:(thrombo\$)) OR (tw:(emboli\$)) OR (tw:(occlus\$))

4. (tw:(brain\$)) OR (tw:(cerebr\$)) OR (tw:(cerebell\$)) OR (tw:(intracerebral)) OR (tw:(intracranial)) OR (tw:(subarachnoid)) **adj5** (tw:(haemorrhage\$)) OR (tw:(hemorrhage\$)) OR (tw:(haematoma\$)) OR (tw:(hematoma\$)) OR (tw:(bleed\$))

5. (mh:(hemiplegia OR (mh:(paresis OR (mh:(Gait DisORDers, Neurologic"))

6. (tw:(hemipleg\$)) OR (tw:(hemipar\$)) OR (tw:(pareis)) OR (tw:(paretic))

7. 1 OR 2 OR 3 OR 4 OR 5 OR 6

8. (mh:(Yoga)) OR (mh:(mind-body therapies)) OR (mh:(breathing exercises)) OR (mh:(meditation)) OR (mh:(relaxation therapy))

9. (tw:(yoga\$)) OR (tw:(yogic)) OR (tw:(relaxation)) OR (tw:(meditation)) OR (tw:(mind-body)) OR (tw:(mind)) **adj1** (tw:(body)) OR (tw:(postures))
10. (tw:(breath\$)) **adj3** (tw:(exercises)) OR (tw:(control\$))
11. (tw:(hatha)) OR (tw:(ashtanga)) OR (tw:(bikram)) OR (tw:(iyengar)) OR (tw:(kripalu)) OR (tw:(kundalini)) OR (tw:(sivananda)) OR (tw:(vinyasa)) OR (tw:(raja)) OR (tw:(radja)) OR (tw:(bhakti)) OR (tw:(jnana)) OR (tw:(kriya)) OR (tw:(karma)) OR (tw:(yama)) OR (tw:(niyama)) OR (tw:(asana\$)) OR (tw:(pranayama)) OR (tw:(pratyahara)) OR (tw:(dharana)) OR (tw:(dhyana)) OR (tw:(samadhi)) OR (tw:(bandha)) OR (tw:(mudra))
12. 8 OR 9 OR 10 OR 11
13. 7 and 12
14. (mh:(Randomized Controlled Trials))
15. (mh:(random allocation))
16. (mh:(Controlled Clinical Trials))
17. (mh:(control groups))
18. (mh:(clinical trials))
19. (mh:(double-blind method))
20. (mh:(single-blind method))
21. (mh:(Placebos))
22. (mh:(placebo effect))
23. (mh:(cross-over studies))
24. (pt:(randomized controlled trial))
25. (pt:(controlled clinical trial))
26. (pt:(clinical trial))
27. (tw:(random\$))OR (tw:(RCT)) OR (tw:(RCTs))
28. (tw:(controlled)) **adj5** (tw:(trial\$)) OR (tw:(stud\$))
29. (tw:(clinical\$)) **adj5** (tw:(trial\$))
30. (tw:(control)) OR (tw:(treatment)) OR (tw:(experiment\$)) OR (tw:(intervention)) **adj5** (tw:(group\$)) OR (tw:(subject\$)) OR (tw:(patient\$))
31. (tw:(quasi-random\$)) OR (tw:(quasi random\$)) OR (tw:(pseudo-random\$)) OR (tw:(pseudo random\$))
32. (tw:(control)) OR (tw:(experiment\$)) OR (tw:(conservative)) **adj5** (tw:(treatment)) OR (tw:(therapy)) OR (tw:(procedure)) OR (tw:(manage\$))
33. (tw:(singl\$)) OR (tw:(doubl\$)) OR (tw:(tripl\$)) OR (tw:(trebl\$)) **adj5** (tw:(blind\$)) OR (tw:(mask\$))
34. (tw:(cross-over)) OR (tw:(crossover))
35. (tw:(placebo\$)) OR (tw:(sham))
36. (ti:(trial))
37. (tw:(assign\$)) OR (tw:(allocat\$))
38. (tw:(controls))
39. OR/14-38
40. 13 and 39

41. (mh:(animals)) NOT (mh:(humans))

42. 40 not 41

Appendix 8. SciELO search strategy

1. transtornos cerebrovasculares/ OR exp doença cerebrovascular dos gânglios da base/ OR exp isquemia encefálica/ OR exp doenças das artérias carótidas/ OR traumatismo cerebrovascular/ OR exp doenças arteriais intracranianas/ OR exp “embolia intracraniana e trombólise”/ OR exp hemorragias intracranianas/ OR acidente vascular cerebral/ OR exp infarto encefálico/

2. (acidente\$ vascular\$ cerebra\$ OR pós-acidente\$ vascular\$ cerebra\$ OR pós acidente\$ vascular\$ cerebra\$ OR AVC\$).tw.

3. ((cerebrovascular OR cerebral vascular) adj3 (acidente?)).tw.

4. ((c?rebr\$ OR enc?f?lic\$ OR vertebrobasilar) adj5 (infart\$ OR isquemi\$ OR trombo\$ OR apoplexia\$ OR emboli\$)).tw.

5. ((c?rebr\$ OR subaracn?id\$) adj5 (hemorr?g\$ OR hematoma\$ OR sangramento)).tw.

6. ((trauma\$ OR adquirido\$) adj5 les\$ cerebr\$).tw.

7. lesões cerebrais/ OR exp concussão encefálica / OR exp hemorragia cerebral, traumática/ OR lesão cerebral, crônica/

8. Dano Cerebral, Crônico/

9. trauma craniocerebral/ OR trauma cranioencefálico/OR exp hemorragia intracraniana, traumática/

10. exp encefalite/ OR exp meningite, viral/

11. (encefalite OR meningite).tw.

12. abscesso cerebral/ OR exp infecções do sistema nervosa central/

13. (abscesso cerebral OR infecç\$ cerebr\$ OR infecç\$ encefálic\$).tw.

14. OR/1-13

15. ioga/ or exp “yoga”/

16. “asana”/ or exp “ásana”/

17. “pranayama”

18. dhyana or exp “dyana”/

19. dharma

20. meditação

21. relaxamento

22. “controle da respiração”

23. “posturas”

24. OR/15-23

25. 14 AND 24

Appendix 9. IndMED search strategy

Stroke AND yoga

Stroke AND mind body therapy

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

Appendix 10. OTseeker search strategy

Stroke AND yoga

Stroke AND mind body therapy

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

cerebrovascular disorder AND yoga

cerebrovascular disorder AND mind body therapy

cerebrovascular disorder AND breathing exercises

cerebrovascular disorder AND relaxation

cerebrovascular disorder AND meditation

hemiplegia AND yoga

hemiplegia AND mind body therapy

hemiplegia AND breathing exercises

hemiplegia AND relaxation

hemiplegia AND meditation

Appendix 11. PEDro search strategy

Stroke AND yoga

Stroke AND mind body therapy

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

Appendix 12. US National Institutes of Health Ongoing Trials Register ClinicalTrials.gov

Stroke AND mind-body

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

Stroke AND yoga

Appendix 13. Stroke Trials Registry

Stroke AND mind-body

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

Stroke AND yoga

Appendix 14. ISRCTN registry

Stroke AND mind-body

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

Stroke AND yoga

Appendix 15. World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trials Registry Platform

Stroke AND mind-body

Stroke AND breathing exercises

Stroke AND relaxation

Stroke AND meditation

Stroke AND yoga

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

- Draft the protocol: ML, FTCJ, HHSM, JBo
- Develop the search strategy: ML, FTCJ, HHSM, BMT
- Search for trials: FTCJ, HHSM, ML, JBe
- Obtain copies of trials: FTCJ, HHSM, JBe
- Select trials for inclusion: FTCJ, HHSM, ML, JBe
- Extract data: FTCJ, HHSM, ML, JBe
- Enter data into RevMan: FTCJ, HHSM, ML
- Carry out the analysis: FTCJ, HHSM, LG, ML
- Interpret the analysis: FTCJ, HHSM, LG, ML, JBo
- Draft the final review: FTCJ, HHSM, ML, JBo
- Update the review: ML, JBo

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Maggie Lawrence: none known.

Francisco T Celestino Junior: none known.

Hemilianna HS Matozinho: none known.

Lindsay Govan: none known.

Jo Booth: none known.

Jane Beecher: none known.

In accordance with Cochrane's policy on commercial sponsorship, it is explicitly stated that no money was received from a commercial source to fund this review and that no commercial sponsor had any influence on the development or outcome of the review.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- No sources of support supplied

External sources

- Stroke Association, UK.

Senior Research Training Fellowship awarded to Dr Maggie Lawrence

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

- Jane Beecher was added to the review authors; her contribution is noted throughout.

- Secondary outcomes were re-categorised and re-ordered to reflect groups of related outcomes e.g. movement-related and mood-related outcomes.
- Due to resource limitations, we were unable to renew our search of the COS Conference Papers database in July 2017.
- We have added a quality assessment of the evidence, using GRADE, and included a 'Summary of findings' table.
- We extended the criteria for our 'Risk of bias' assessment to include 'other sources' of bias e.g. concerns regarding the representativeness of the sample.
- We have added a statement that we will conduct subgroup analyses in future updates of the review, if we have data from four or more trials.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Yoga; Anxiety [diagnosis]; Communication; Depression [diagnosis]; Emotions; Gait; Memory; Postural Balance; Quality of Life; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Recovery of Function; Social Participation; Stroke Rehabilitation [*methods] [psychology]

MeSH check words

Humans