Published in final edited form as:

Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2019 May ; 32(3): 391–402. doi:10.1111/pcmr.12766.

Developmental and comparative transcriptomic identification of iridophore contribution to white barring in clownfish

Pauline Salis^{#1}, Thibault Lorin^{#2}, Victor Lewis^{3,8}, Carine Rey^{4,5}, Anna Marcionetti^{6,7}, Marie-Line Escande¹, Natacha Roux¹, Laurence Besseau¹, Nicolas Salamin^{6,7}, Marie Sémon⁴, David Parichy⁸, Jean-Nicolas Volff², and Vincent Laudet^{8,1}

¹:Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls-sur-Mer, UMR CNRS 7232 BIOM ; Sorbonne Université ; 1, Avenue Pierre Fabre, 66650 Banyuls-sur-Mer, France ²:IGFL, ENS de Lyon, UMR 5242 CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France ³:Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195 ⁴:ENS de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard, CNRS UMR 5239, INSERM U1210, LBMC, 15 parvis Descartes, F-69007, Lyon, France; ⁵:LBBE, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, Villeurbanne, France ⁶:Department of Computational Biology, Biophore, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland ⁷:Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland ⁸:Department of Biology and Department of Cell Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville VA 29903

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Actinopterygian fishes harbor at least eight distinct pigment cell types, leading to a fascinating diversity of colors. Among this diversity, the cellular origin of the white color appears to be linked to several pigment cell types such as iridophores or leucophores. We used the clownfish *Amphiprion ocellaris*, which has a color pattern consisting of white bars over a darker body, to characterize the pigment cells that underlie the white hue. We observe by electron microscopy that cells in white bars are similar to iridophores. In addition, the transcriptomic signature of clownfish white bars exhibit similarities with that of zebrafish iridophores. We further show by pharmacological treatments that these cells are necessary for the white color. Among the top differentially expressed genes in white skin, we identified several genes (*fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, *saiyan*, *gpnmb* and *apoD1a*) and show that 3 of them are expressed in iridophores. Finally, we show by CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis that these genes are critical for iridophore development in zebrafish.

&Corresponding author: Vincent Laudet, Observatoire Océanologique de Banyuls-sur-Mer, 1, Avenue Pierre Fabre, 66650 Banyuls-sur-Mer, France, vincent.Laudet@obs-banyuls.fr, Tel: + 33 6 16 41 73 34.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

P.S. achieved all the experiments concerning RNA extraction, stereomicroscope experiments, ISH, TAE treatments and RTqPCR. P.S. and M.-L.E performed Electron microscopy experiments. T. L., M.S and C.R. implemented transcriptomic analysis using an *A. ocellaris* genome sequenced by A.M. and N.S. N.R. and L.B. help for specific experiments on *A. ocellaris*. V. Le. performed CRISPR/CAS9 experiments in zebrafish. P.S., T.L., D.P. and V. La. designed the study. P.S., V. La., T.L., J.-N.V. and D.P., wrote the article.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available at NCBI (SRA database) under BioProject PRJNA482393 and BioProject PRJNA482578. Scripts used to generate the transcriptomic results are available at and phylogenetic trees are also available at http://igfl.ens-lyon.fr/equipements/j.-n.-volff-fish-evolutionary-genomics/lorin_additional_data/salis_lorin_pigmentation_skin_aocellaris/salis_lorin_supp-trees-top-degs.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Our analyses provide clues to the genomic underpinning of color diversity and allow identification of new iridophores genes in fish.

Keywords

Coral Reef Fish; *Amphiprion*; Clownfish; Iridophore; Leucophore; Transcriptomic

INTRODUCTION

Patterns of adult pigmentation provide an outstanding opportunity to define the interplay between ecology, development and genetics that is the basis for trait diversification (Cuthill et al., 2017). Some of the most compelling pigmentation features in vertebrates occur in actinopterygian fishes, which include more than 30,000 species displaying a wide variety of color patterns and pigment cells (Lorin et al., 2018). Indeed, while mammals only have one pigment cell type, the melanocyte, actinopterygians harbor at least eight types of pigment cells: melanophores, iridophores, and xanthophores but also erythrophores, leucophores, cyanophores, erythro-iridophores, cyano-erythrophores, and even fluorescent cells (Fujii, 1993; Schartl et al., 2015). Consequently, in the last decades, the field of vertebrate pigmentation has much benefited from the introduction of actinopterygian model species, such as zebrafish, medaka or cichlid fishes (Santo et al., 2014).

Studies of laboratory model teleosts have contributed to the current understanding of genetic and cellular mechanisms underlying pigment development and patterning (Fronhöfer et al., 2013; Hirata et al., 2003; Nakamasu et al., 2009; Patterson & Parichy, 2013; Watanabe & Kondo, 2015). For example, zebrafish *Danio rerio* harbors three major classes of pigment cells — carotenoid- and pteridine-containing xanthophores that provide the yellow/orange hue; reflective purine-containing iridophores, and melanin-containing black melanophores — that are themselves divided into genetically and developmentally distinct subclasses (Eom et al., 2015; Hirata et al., 2003; McMenamin et al., 2014; Eom et al., 2015). These pigment cells interact with each other and other cell types to provide the characteristic dark stripes and light interstripes of *D. rerio* (Eom & Parichy 2017; Singh & Nusslein-Volhard, 2015). Likewise, medaka *Oryzias latipes* has been employed to understand the mechanisms that specify pigment cell fates, including those required by yellow–white leucophores, which are ultrastructurally and genetically distinct from iridophores (Kimura et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2017; Nagao et al., 2014).

Among actinopterygians, coral reef fish exhibit an extraordinary set of colors and patterns, therefore providing ample material to study the cellular and genomic basis of color diversity in vertebrates. Here, we used the clownfish *Amphiprion ocellaris*, which exhibits an adult color pattern of distinctive, vertical white bars over a dark orange body (Salis et al., 2018). White bars exhibit a matte coloration that is qualitatively different from the iridescent light interstripes of zebrafish and the cellular bases and genetic requirements of white barring have not been characterized. Here, we asked whether the white bars of *A. ocellaris* are composed of iridophores, as in zebrafish interstripes, whitish leucophores similar to those present scattered in medaka, or both types of cell. Using electron microscopy and

transcriptomic analysis we find that white cells of *A. ocellaris* resemble iridophores. We further show that several genes expressed specifically in white bars are required for iridophore development in zebrafish, including loci not previously identified for having such roles. Finally, using pharmacological manipulations, we show that *A. ocellaris* iridophores and zebrafish iridophores share a common dependence on Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase and Leucocyte tyrosine kinase (ALK/LTK) signaling. Taken together, our results indicate that the white bars of clownfish comprise densely packed iridophores, illustrating the diverse pattern elements that can be generated by a conserved set of pigment cell classes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Stereomicroscope images processing

White and orange skins were imaged using Zeiss stereomicroscope (V20 discovery-Plan S objective 1.0x) using a VisiLED brightfield ringlight S80 for incident lighting. All the images were taken using a full homogenized lighting. However, iridescent cells of the white skin were only visible when using segmental lighting. Images were processed using a camera (AxioCam 105) with a picture resolution of 1280×960 pixels. Pictures of high magnification of skin (Fig. 1 and Supp Fig. 1 and 2) were done using 30 ms of time exposure. Pictures of full individuals (Fig. 2), were done always in the same lighting conditions with an exposure time of 10 ms. White balance was set up at the beginning of each experiment to get the same images properties. A post-process was done on original images to increase contrast of images using ImageJ software.

Transmission Electronic Microscopy (TEM)

A. ocellaris 3-4 cm individuals were euthanized using 200 mg/L of MS-222 Tricaine-S. White and orange skins were dissected in a mixture of 3% paraformaldehyde/3% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2), and fixed overnight at 4°C, according to (Djurdjevi et al., 2015). After 1% OsO₄ post-fixation (1 hour at RT) individuals were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol solutions and embedded in Epon 812 resin (Electron Microscopy Science). Ultra-thin (80 nm) sections were cut using a Leica Ultracut R microtome, contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined with a Hitachi H7500 TEM.

RNA extraction and purification for qPCR and Transcriptomic skin experiments

A. ocellaris and *A. frenatus* adults (3-4 cm) were euthanized prior to dissection in MS222 at 200 mg/L (three individuals per experiment). A shallow incision was made with a razor in the white body bar and orange skin (posterior to the white body bar) regions from both sides of the body fish *A. ocellaris* (Supp. Fig. 2) and in the head white bar and orange body skin regions of the body fish *A. frenatus*. The skin was slowly pulled back with forceps while using the razor blade to make sure the skin separated cleanly from the underlying tissue and conserved in RNAlater until RNA extraction. The RNA was extracted using Trizol (Trizol Reagent 15596-026 kit, Ambion) followed by DNase treatment (DNA-free AM1906 kit, Ambion) and then purified with 0.025 µm dialysis membranes to remove reverse transcriptase inhibitors.

RNA-Seq library preparation and sequencing

RNA-Seq libraries were generated with TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina) from 400ng of total RNA using skin samples according to manufacturer instructions. Surplus PCR primers were removed using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Final cDNA libraries were checked for quality and quantified using capillary electrophoresis. Libraries were loaded in the flow cell at 2nM. Clusters were generated in the Cbot and sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 4000 as single-end 50 base reads or paired-end 100 base reads.

Reference transcriptome assembly and annotation

Adapter dimer reads of skin paired-end data were removed *in silico* using DimerRemover (<https://sourceforge.net/projects/dimerremover/>). Adapter-trimming was conducted using BBDuk (<https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/>) (Supp. Fig. 2). Reads corresponding to rRNAs were removed using sortMeRNA v2.1 (Kopylova et al., 2012) with *Oreochromis niloticus* rRNAs downloaded from Ensembl Biomartv88 as reference. Reads were normalized using the *insilico_read_normalization.pl* tool in Trinityv2.3.2 (Grabherr et al., 2011).

To obtain a genome-guided transcriptome assembly, reads were mapped to the *A. ocellaris* genome using Hisat2v2.0.5 (Kim et al., 2015). Mapping results were further processed using SAMtools v1.3.1 (Li et al., 2009). Assembly was performed using Trinity with default parameters (Grabherr et al., 2011). In addition to the genome-guided assembly, we obtained a *de novo* transcriptome assembly from the same set of reads and combined both assemblies before identification of coding regions using TransDecoderv3.0.1 (<http://transdecoder.sf.net>). We used information from the closely related species *Stegastes partitus* to annotate *A. ocellaris* contigs with best BLASTN hit (*-task blastn -word_size 11 -e 1e-5*).

Quantification, differential expression and gene set enrichment analyses

We used *kallistov0.43.0* to align reads originating from single-end skin samples to the reference transcriptome and to quantify transcript abundance. Estimated counts were imported in R using *tximport* package (Soneson et al., 2015). Differential expression (DE) analysis was performed using DEseq2v1.14.1 testing for color variations with the individual effect included in the design (Love et al., 2014). Gene Set Enrichment Analyses were performed with Java implementation of the GSEA software (<http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp>) (Subramanian et al., 2014).

Phylogenetic analyses

To ensure the identity of the 10 most differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in each condition, we conducted phylogenetic analyses. Using *S. partitus* sequences as query, sequences corresponding to best BLASTN hits were retrieved in multiple vertebrate species using Ensembl. Alignment was performed using Clustal Omega implemented in Seaview v4.6.1 using default parameters. After manual curation, phylogenetic trees were built using Maximum Likelihood and the LG model of amino acid substitution as implemented in PhyML v3.1 within Seaview. Support for the most likely tree was based on the SH-aLRT algorithm (Anisimova et al., 2011). Phylogenetic trees and corresponding alignments are

available at: http://igfl.ens-lyon.fr/equipements/j.-n.-volff-fish-evolutionary-genomics/lorin_additional_data/salis_lorin_pigmentation_skin_aocellaris/salis_lorin_supp-trees-top-degs.

qRT-PCR assays

qRT-PCR primers were designed to anneal on different exons (see Supp. Table 1) and *tp132* was used as a normalization gene. qRT-PCRs were performed in 96 well plate with KAPA SYBR Fast ABI Readymix Kit in 20 µl of final reaction per well following the manufacturer ratios using a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System.

In situ hybridization

Digoxigenin RNA probes were synthesized using the Sp6/T7 Transcription kit (Roche) (see Supp. Table 2).

Adult and 3 months old clownfishes were euthanized in MS222 at 200 mg/L. For each clownfish, the skin was dissected in a way to get in a same sample a part of the white body bar, the black bar and the orange skin (posterior to the white body bar) (Fig. 4A). Adult skin samples were then fixed 24h in 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline). Samples were subsequently dehydrated stepwise in PBS/Ethanol, and then put 4 times 10 min in butanol 100% and finally embedded in paraffin overnight. Embedded skin samples were sectioned transversally with a thickness of 0.8 µm using Leica Biosystems RM2245 Microtome. The samples were then treated as in (Thisse et al., 1993).

Pharmacological treatments

TAE684 (NVP-TAE684) (HY-10192, MedChem Express), a specific inhibitor of Ltk and Alk (Colanesi et al., 2012; Galkin et al., 2007; Fadeev et al., 2016; Rodrigues et al., 2012), was diluted in dimethylsulphoxyde (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich Louis, MI, USA) to a final concentration of 6 mM. Clownfish juveniles were treated in 0,005% DMSO with 0.6 µM TAE684 or without (controls). For each condition, 3 juveniles were treated in 2 L fish medium in a beaker. 400 ml solution was changed every day.

F₀ CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis and iridophore counts

Wild-type strain ABb zebrafish (a derivative of inbred Ab^{WP}; Eom et al., 2015) were housed under standard conditions. Embryos were injected with T7-transcribed single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) and Cas9 protein as described (Shah et al., 2015). sgRNA protospacers were modified to 'g' at 1st and 2nd 5' positions to facilitate *in vitro* transcription as necessary. To increase likelihoods of biallelic knockdown, two sgRNAs were designed to target an early exon for each candidate gene. Embryos were injected at the one cell stage except for *fh12a* and *fh12b*, for which embryo survival was <10% at 4 days post-fertilization (dpf); sgRNAs targeting these loci were therefore injected into a single blastomere after early cytoplasmic bridges had closed (late 16-32 cell stage (Kimmel et al., 1995) to diminish the numbers of clonal lineages carrying mutations and enhance survivorship. Mutagenicity of each sgRNA was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of amplicons from whole embryo genomic DNA. sgRNA targets are described in Supp. Table 4. F₀ embryos were raised at ~28 °C for 4 days at which time larvae were anesthetized with MS222 and iridophores at the dorsal midline

were counted manually under incident light. Analyses of iridophore counts were performed using JMP 14.0 statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary NC).

RNA-Seq library preparation and sequencing

Information on sequencing methods as well as average read number and quality per sample are provided in Supp. Table 6.

RESULTS

White pigment cells in *A. ocellaris* are morphologically similar to iridophores

To determine the type of pigment cell responsible for the white color of *A. ocellaris* bars, we first compared white and orange skins microscopically. Both classical types of reflecting pigment cells known in vertebrates, leucophores and iridophores, can be distinguished by two main criteria: iridophores are generally round and contain flattened reflecting platelets whereas leucophores are dendritic with smaller and rounded organelles (Fujii, 1993; Scharl et al., 2015). Using light microscopy, we observed a white matte coloration overall within which scattered, rounded iridescent cells and dendritic melanophores could be observed occasionally. Given the apparent density of cells contributing to the white matte color itself, individual pigment cells contributing to it were not discernable by light microscopy (Fig. 1A). We therefore used TEM to understand the nature of the white coloration. In the skin, the epidermis covers the scales whose ends lie inside the dermal tissue that contain the pigment cells (Fig. 1B,D; Hirata et al., 2003). Within the dermis, pigment cells were abundant in the stratum laxum, which surrounds the inner and outer faces of the bony scales (Fig. 1B and 1D, Sire, 1988). In skin from regions with white bars, the overwhelming majority of pigment cells had rounded bodies and contained oblong, ca. 0.5 μm platelets arranged parallel to one another in discrete stacks organized concentrically around the nucleus (Fig. 1B and inset in C). The morphology and organization of these platelets was consistent with the cells being iridophores, which appear iridescent when platelets are precisely organized or white when platelets are less organized (Fujii, 1993; Scharl et al., 2015). Melanophores were observed occasionally as well. Although sparsely distributed iridescent cells were evident by light microscopy (Fig. 1A), we were unable to identify a distinct population by TEM that might correspond to these cells, either because their features could not be distinguished from other pigment cells ultrastructurally, or, more likely, because they occur at densities too low to have been sampled in thin sections. Given that all unmelanized pigment cells in white bars were of a rounded (rather than dendritic) morphology and contained flattened (rather than rounded) organelles similar to those of iridophores, we conclude that white coloration of *A. ocellaris* is likely conferred by iridophores, rather than leucophores. In orange skin, we found no platelet-containing cells, and observed instead only elongated xanthophores and melanophores (Supp. Fig. 1).

Comparative transcriptomics of orange vs. white bars

To identify gene expression signatures specific to white and orange skin and their associated pigment cells, we generated transcriptomes from skin samples of each type from each of three adult *A. ocellaris* (Supp. Fig. 2), using a reference transcriptome as well as whole genome data for *A. ocellaris* generated for comparative analyses (A.M. and N.S. in

preparation). Principal Component Analysis confirmed overall differences in gene expression between white and orange skin samples (axis 1, 41% of the variance; Supp. Fig. 3). Individuals also differed markedly from one another at the transcriptomic level, which is not surprising given that individual fish were of heterogeneous, rather than inbred, genetic backgrounds (axis 2, 35% of the variance; Supp. Fig. 3). Comparison of white and orange skin samples revealed 86 genes expressed at significantly higher levels in white skin and 83 genes expressed at significantly higher levels in orange skin ($p < 0.05$; Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value) (Supp. Table 3).

Skin samples include pigment cells and a variety of other cell types. To gain further insight into the transcriptomic signature of *A. ocellaris* white skin, we compared our results to an available set of 346 genes specifically enriched in zebrafish iridophores (Higdon et al., 2013). Of these 346 loci, 237 were detectable in *A. ocellaris* skin samples (Supp. Table 5). Using this dataset we carried out two types of analysis: (i) We first performed a Gene Set Enrichment Analysis using all *A. ocellaris* genes detectably expressed in both sample types. The expression levels of all genes were correlated to one or the other skin color. Each gene in the “iridophore gene set” (i.e., the clownfish orthologues of 237 zebrafish iridophore markers) was then ranked among all expressed genes (“Ranked gene list” in Supp. Fig. 4A). The results show that the expression of the genes in this gene set is overall correlated to the white skin phenotypic information. Hence, a negative enrichment score is observed. The zebrafish iridophore gene set is thus enriched in white skin (p-value < 0.01 , 1,000 permutations). (ii) Second, we compared the zebrafish iridophore gene set to the 86 *A. ocellaris* genes expressed at significantly higher levels in white skin than in orange skin (Supp. Table 3). This comparison revealed 12 genes in common between *A. ocellaris* white skin and zebrafish iridophores, but only one gene between *A. ocellaris* orange skin and zebrafish iridophores (Supp. Fig. 4B), a difference significantly greater than expected by chance (χ^2 test, $P < 1e-16$).

Included among these 12 genes were 4 genes inferred previously to function in iridophore development, or likely to do so, including genes encoding products involved in the synthesis of purines, crystals present in iridophore reflecting platelets conferring their iridescence or white coloration (Fujii, 1993). For example, *pnp4a* encodes an enzyme required for purine synthesis mutated in the medaka iridophore mutant *guanineless* (Kimura et al., 2017) and is used as a marker of iridophores in zebrafish (Patterson & Parichy, 2013, Eom et al., 2015), whereas *prtfdc1* encodes an enzyme whose human orthologue is involved in purine metabolism (Welin et al., 2010). *tfec* encodes a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor that marks the embryonic iridophore lineage in zebrafish (Lister et al., 2011) and *thl2a* is a teleost-specific duplicate of *thl2b*, encoding a four-and-half LIM domain transcription factor that has been recruited evolutionarily for iridophore-containing, ornamental “egg-spots” on the fins of cichlids (Santos et al., 2014).

Only one gene in the zebrafish iridophore gene set was found among the 83 DEGs in orange skin (Supp. Fig. 4): *fmn2*, encoding a formin protein, with no known pigmentation function (Higdon et al., 2013). We conclude that white bar pigment cells in *A. ocellaris* share similarities with iridophores at a gene expression level. Moreover, the genes differentially

expressed in the orange skin provide a clear signature of xanthophores and could therefore be a useful resource to study this cell type in clownfish (not shown).

Iridophores are important for white color formation

We then used a pharmacological approach to test if cells related to iridophores are responsible for white coloration in clownfish. In zebrafish, iridophores require Leucocyte tyrosine kinase (Ltk) signaling (Lopes et al., 2008) and iridophores are ablated when fish are treated with TAE684 (TAE) (Colanesi et al., 2012; Fadeev et al., 2016; Rodrigues et al., 2012), an inhibitor of Ltk and Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (Alk). Transcriptomic data from white skin of *A. ocellaris* revealed expression of both *alk* and *ltk*, with *alk* being over-expressed in white skin compared to orange skin (Supp. Table 3). *A. ocellaris* juveniles treated with 0.6 μ M TAE (in DMSO) for 16 days (20 until 36 dph) had reduced white coloration compared to controls (Fig 2A-arrowheads). In treated fish, white color was less intense and features beneath the integument (light myotomes on the trunk, reddish viscera anteriorly) were more visible. To confirm that reduced white coloration of the body bar in TAE-treated fishes was attributable to a reduction in iridophore number, we examined thin sections of white skin from control (DMSO) and 0.6 μ M TAE684-treated fishes. These sections revealed dramatically fewer iridophores in TAE-treated fish compared to controls, and a corresponding decrease in the depth of the stratum leucom where iridophores are normally found (Fig 2A, **lower**, white arrowheads). These observations indicate that cells visible by TEM that resemble iridophores are sensitive to a drug known to target iridophores and are indeed responsible for the white color.

To further validate the link between iridophores and white color, we tested if TAE treatment was reversible. We treated *A. ocellaris* larvae from 5 to 20 dph with 0.6 μ M TAE and observed the lack of the posterior and body bars and an anterior body bar formed but deficient in white coloration (Fig 2B). We then separated fish into two conditions: either continuing TAE treatment at 0.6 μ M (TAE/TAE), or rearing in DMSO alone (TAE/DMSO; Fig 2B). After 16 additional days, individuals maintained in TAE lacked most of their posterior bars and had anterior bar deficient in white coloration. Fish shifted to DMSO after early TAE treatment regained stronger coloration in previously developed anterior bars, but failed to develop posterior bars (Fig 2B). Interestingly even 2 months after the treatment, posterior bars did not appear and were not subsequently observed throughout the life of the fish. Taken together our observations show that white bars contains iridophores, and strongly suggest that iridophores are themselves responsible for establishing color pattern and maintaining the prominent white coloration of the clownfish white bar.

fhl2a, *fhl2b*, *saiyan*, *gpnmb* and *apoD1a* are differentially expressed in white skin and iridophores

To validate transcriptomic results, we assessed by qRT-PCR the expression of five genes identified as most differentially expressed between white and orange skin on independent skin samples (Fig. 3A, B). For each locus the directionality of the difference in expression was concordant between RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR. The only exception was *clec19a* for which the expression difference was non-significant. Moreover, to test the generality of these results we also examined expression in a second *Amphiprion* species. In *A. frenatus*, whose

adults contain a unique white bar positioned on the head (Salis et al., 2018) on a dark reddish background, we found that white and orange skin genes were also differentially expressed as in *A. ocellaris*, with the exception of *ttc39b* (Fig. 3C).

Among the 86 genes more highly expressed in white skin than orange skin, *fhl2a* and *fhl2b* – already known to be expressed in iridophores (Santos et al., 2014) – and *gpnmb* had higher expression in zebrafish iridophores than melanophores (Higdon et al., 2013). Apolipoprotein D (*apoD1a*) is expressed specifically in cichlids egg-spots but its function in pigmentation, if any, has not been characterized (Gu & Xia, 2017). Finally, the gene with the second highest change in white skin was an ortholog to zebrafish gene ENSDARG00000055172 (Ensembl)/795494 (NCBI) (Fig. 3A) with no associated function in Ensembl, NCBI or ZFIN databases. This gene is currently annotated as *si:ch211-256m1:8* and we refer to it as *saiyan* in reference to the manga comics characters that can become shiny.

White bars appear late during postembryonic development, starting at stage 4 and being well visible at stage 6 (N.R., P.S. and V.L., in preparation; Salis et al., 2018). To test for an association between expression of these 5 genes and bar ontogeny, we used a transcriptomic dataset for skins across multiple post-embryonic stages. As shown in Supp. Fig. 5, all 5 genes had minima of expression prior to overt bar development at stage 4, followed by increases through the climax of bar development at stage 6, and drops in expression by early juvenile stage 7.

To assess the spatial localization of these transcripts and confirm the presence of all five genes in iridophores, we performed in situ hybridization on *A. ocellaris* white and orange adult skin. *fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, and *apoD1a* were expressed in the stratum laxum of the dermis, mainly composed of iridophores as observed in Fig. 1B, suggesting that those genes are effectively expressed in iridophores (Fig. 4B, D, F - **arrowheads**). None of those 3 genes were expressed in orange skin sections (Fig 4C, E, G) and no signal was observed with the sense probes in the white sections (Supp Fig. 6). In contrast, we could not detect a clear difference of expression by in situ hybridization between white and orange skins for *saiyan* and *gpnmb* (not shown). To confirm the association of *fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, and *apoD1a* with iridophores we looked at their expression in TAE treated fishes in which no iridophores were observed (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, those genes are not anymore expressed in the white skin of fishes treated for 20 days in TAE 0.6 μ M (Supp Fig 7).

Taken together these data show that at least the 3 genes *fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, and *apoD1a* are specifically expressed in iridophores.

***fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, *saiyan* and *gpnmb* are required for iridophore development in zebrafish**

To test if *fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, *apoD1a*, *gpnmb* and *saiyan* are essential for iridophore development, we assayed their function in zebrafish. We predicted that if these genes are required for iridophore lineage, then loss of function mutations should result in iridophore deficiencies at early larval stages. Accordingly we generated fish mosaic (F0) for somatically induced CRISPR/Cas9 mutations and compared the numbers of dorsal iridophores that developed with those of wild-type sibling controls. Given that *fhl2a* and *fhl2b* are paralogous loci, we tested their effects simultaneously. At 4 days post-fertilization, fish mosaic for mutations in

apoda.1 (*apoD1a* clownfish orthologue) did not have iridophore defects but those harboring mutations in *fhl2a/fhl2b*, *gpnmb*, and *saiyan* exhibited significantly fewer iridophores than uninjected siblings, even after controlling for variation in standard length (Fig. 5). Thus, most of those DEG genes in *A. ocellaris* white skin were required for early iridophore development in zebrafish, consistent with *A. ocellaris* pigment cells of white skin representing a specific class of iridophore required for generating the striking white bars of clownfish.

DISCUSSION

Our findings suggest that clownfish have iridophores enriched in white bars and that these cells are critically important in producing the white color, though we cannot formally exclude contributions of other mechanisms or cell types (e.g., dermal cells of the stratum laxum).

First, microscopic analyses showed that cells with a typical iridophore morphology (round shape cells with flattened reflecting platelets) were abundant in white bars (Fig. 1) (Fujii, 1993; Scharlt et al., 2015). The iridophores in clownfish white bars were similar to zebrafish S-type iridophores that contain densely stacked purine platelets with a platelet size of ca. 0.5-2 μm , as compared to L-iridophores that have larger purine platelets (ca. 10 μm) organized parallel to the dermis (Hirata et al., 2003). This latter type was not observed. The relationship between the iridescent cells that we see in light microscopy and the iridophores that we see in electron microscopy – likely responsible for the white color – is still unclear. The radial arrangement of iridophore platelets observed in TEM is a likely contributor to the matte appearance (Fujii, 1993). However, we have not seen in our sections iridophores with a parallel or at least non-radial organization that would contribute to Tyndall scattering required for iridescence. Therefore future work should clarify the existence of possible subtypes among clownfish iridophores.

Second, using transcriptomic analysis, we independently confirmed that cells with transcriptional profiles similar to iridophores contribute to white but not orange skin (Fig. 2). We compared genes specific to zebrafish iridophores (Higdon et al., 2013) with genes differentially expressed in clownfish white skin and showed that overlap between datasets was significantly greater than would be expected by chance, showing that white skin in clownfish had a transcriptomic signature of iridophores. In particular, 12 genes were common to both datasets and could thus constitute conserved iridophore markers within actinopterygians. Although significant, this overlap was relatively small compared to the size of respective clownfish (86) and zebrafish sets of genes (237). This presumably reflects differences in sample origins: zebrafish RNA-Seq data were obtained from purified iridophores whereas clownfish data were derived from whole skin in which many other cell types are present (Higdon et al., 2013). Thus, clownfish data represented a more diverse set of cells compared to the zebrafish dataset, though biological species differences in iridophore transcriptomes may also explain some of the differences between both datasets. These and other possibilities will be resolvable with transcriptomic profiling of purified populations of clownfish iridophores.

Third, we retrieved previously identified iridophore markers in the list of the most DEGs in white skin (Fig. 3). Among the 12 genes found in common between the clownfish white bar and zebrafish iridophores, 4 (*pnp4a*, *prtfcd1*, *tfec* and *fh12a*) were already demonstrated or inferred to be important for the development or function of iridophores in teleost fishes (Lister et al., 2011; McMenamin et al., 2014; Kimura et al., 2017; Petratou et al., 2018; Santos et al., 2014; Welin et al., 2010).

Fourth, our pharmacological experiments showed that treatment with TAE 684, an inhibitor of Ltk and Alk tyrosine kinase receptors expressed by zebrafish iridophores (Colanesi et al., 2012; Fadeev et al., 2016; Fadeev et al., 2018; Lopes et al., 2008; Rodrigues et al., 2012), reduced the number of presumptive iridophores at an ultrastructural level and concomitantly reduced the white hue of the bars at the organismal level (Fig. 2). Both *alk* and *ltk* were expressed in cells of white skin, *alk* being more highly expressed in white skin than orange skin (Supp. Table 3). Therefore Ltk, Alk or both are required for iridophores. Here, we extended previous analyses by showing that TAE 684 treatment affects iridophores and the white hue of juvenile fish even after bars have formed (Salis et al., 2018). Interestingly, early blockade of bar formation prevented subsequent normal development of posterior bars, but not the most anterior ones (Fig. 2B). Moreover, anterior bars that had developed during early TAE treatment were able to regenerate a nearly normal white appearance after removal of TAE, whereas posterior bars that failed to develop initially under these conditions also failed to develop subsequently. Anterior-posterior differences in TAE sensitivity suggest intrinsic differences between bars, and perhaps distinct Ltk or Alk mediated processes, worthy of further investigation.

Fifth, we found that zebrafish with somatic mutations in orthologues of 3-4 genes most differentially expressed in clownfish white skin had significantly fewer iridophores compared to controls (Fig. 5). Owing to the mosaicism of these F0 fish, it is likely that not all cells carried mutant alleles and so these analyses likely underestimate the magnitude of phenotypic effects attributable to loss of function mutations. Among the genes tested (*fh12a* and *2b* being tested together because of their sequence relatedness), only one (*apoda.1*) failed to generate a clear phenotype.

Lastly, our data are not consistent with clownfish white skin pigment cells being leucophores, as opposed to iridophores. Leucophores are dendritic cells with round organelles that lack an overt organization (Schartl et al., 2015), distinct from the round cells with flat, highly organized organelles that we observed in clownfish. To date, only one gene, *slc2a15b*, has been documented to have expression specific to leucophores (Kimura et al., 2014). This gene was not expressed detectably in clownfish skin samples, whereas its teleost-specific paralog, *slc2a15a*, was expressed at comparable levels between white and orange skin. Eventual identification of additional leucophore-specific markers will enable future studies of these questions.

The comparison of white and orange colored skin provided a set of genes differentially expressed in each condition, some of which might constitute new iridophore and xanthophore markers. Among the 10 most DEGs in clownfish white bar, four were previously associated with confirmed or putative iridophore differentiation processes: *fh12a*

and *fhl2b*, *tfec* and *gpnmb*. As discussed above *fhl2* genes were associated with iridophores found in cichlid egg-spots (Santos et al., 2014) and were expressed in zebrafish iridophores (Higdon et al., 2013). *Gpnmb* was also expressed in iridophores of zebrafish (Higdon et al., 2013) and cichlids (Santos et al., 2014).

Among the 10 most DEGs in white skin, we identified two other genes (*gja5* and *trpm1a*) associated with pigmentation although not necessarily in iridophores. Mutations in *gja5* result in the zebrafish *leopard* mutant phenotype, characterized by a spotted pattern (Irion et al., 2014; Watanabe et al., 2006). In addition, we identified several genes that, to our knowledge, have not been previously assigned roles in pigmentation. Three of those (*ada2*, *prtfdc1*, *slc2a9*) are involved in purine metabolism (Welin et al., 2010; Pei et al., 2016), consistent with a function in platelet formation.

Ranked second within the most DEGs in white skin was *saiyan* also identified in the comparison between both zebrafish and iridophore datasets. Its role in iridophore development was functionally confirmed in zebrafish, as mosaic CRISPR/Cas9 F0 fish mosaic for *saiyan* mutations harbored ~40% fewer iridophores compared to wild-type (Fig. 5).

Conclusion

We have shown that the white color of an iconic fish species, the clownfish *A. ocellaris*, is composed of iridophores and we identified several new genes of likely importance to the development or maintenance of these cells. This analysis opens the door to functional analyses of the extraordinarily diverse pigmentation patterns of coral reef fishes and will allow for integrated studies relating developmental genetics and evolution of pattern formation to the fascinating behaviors and ecologies of these fishes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TL hold a grant from the French MENRT. We thank Bruno Frédéric for critical reading. We thanks the OOB's Bio2Mar and Biopic platforms for technical help. Clownfish husbandry supported by the CNRS interdisciplinarity actions and EMBRC-France (ANR-10-INBS-02). Additional support provided by NIH R35 GM122471 to D.M.P.

REFERENCES

- Anisimova M, Gil M, Dufayard JF, Dessimoz C, & Gascuel O (2011). Survey of Branch Support Methods Demonstrates Accuracy, Power, and Robustness of Fast Likelihood-based Approximation Schemes. *Systematic Biology*, 60, 685–699.
- Colanesi S, Taylor KL, Temperley ND, Lundegaard PR, Liu D, North TE, Ishizaki H, Kelsh NR & Patton EE. (2012). Small molecule screening identifies targetable zebrafish pigmentation pathways. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Research*, 25, 131–143. (doi: 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2012.00977.x) [PubMed: 22252091]
- Cuthill IC, Allen WL, Arbuckle K, Caspers B, Chaplin G, Hauber ME, Caro T (2017). The biology of color. *Science*, 357, eaan0221. [PubMed: 28774901]

- Djurdjević I, Kreft ME, & Sušnik Bajec S. (2015). Comparison of pigment cell ultrastructure and organisation in the dermis of marble trout and brown trout, and first description of erythrophore ultrastructure in salmonids. *Journal of Anatomy*, 227, 583–595. [PubMed: 26467239]
- Eom DS, Bain EJ, Patterson LB, Grout ME, & Parichy DM. (2015). Long-distance communication by specialized cellular projections during pigment pattern development and evolution. *eLife* 4, e12401. [PubMed: 26701906]
- Eom DS, & Parichy DM. (2017). A macrophage relay for long-distance signaling during postembryonic tissue remodeling. *Science*, 355, 1317–1320. [PubMed: 28209639]
- Fadeev A, Krauss J, Singh AP, & Nüsslein-Volhard C. (2016). Zebrafish Leucocyte tyrosine kinase controls iridophore establishment, proliferation and survival. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Research*, 29, 284–296. (doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12454) [PubMed: 26801003]
- Fadeev A, Mendoza-Garcia P, Irion U, Guan J, Pfeifer K, Wiessner S, Palmer RH. (2018). ALKALs are in vivo ligands for ALK family receptor tyrosine kinases in the neural crest and derived cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 115, E630–E638. [PubMed: 29317532]
- Frohnhofer HG, Krauss J, Maischein HM, & Nüsslein-Volhard C. (2013). Iridophores and their interactions with other chromatophores are required for stripe formation in zebrafish. *Development*, 140, 2997–3007. [PubMed: 23821036]
- Fujii R (1993). Cytophysiology of Fish Chromatophores. *International Review of Cytology*, 143, 191–255.
- Galkin AV, Melnick JS, Kim S, Hood TL, Li N, Li L, Warmuth M. (2007). Identification of NVP-TAE684, a potent, selective, and efficacious inhibitor of NPM-ALK. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 104, 270–275. [PubMed: 17185414]
- Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Regev A. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29, 644–652.
- Gu L, & Xia C. (2017). Revelation of the Genetic Basis for Convergent Innovative Anal Fin Pigmentation Patterns in Cichlid Fishes. *bioRxiv*, in press
- Higdon CW, Mitra RD, & Johnson SL. (2013). Gene expression analysis of zebrafish melanocytes, iridophores, and retinal pigmented epithelium reveals indicators of biological function and developmental origin. *PLoS One*, 8, e67801. [PubMed: 23874447]
- Hirata M, Nakamura K, Kanemaru T, Shibata Y, & Kondo S. (2003). Pigment cell organization in the hypodermis of zebrafish. *Developmental Dynamics*, 227, 497–503. [PubMed: 12889058]
- Irion U, Frohnhofer HG, Krauss J, Çolak Champollion T, Maischein HM, Nüsslein-Volhard C. (2014). Gap junctions composed of connexins 41.8 and 39.4 are essential for colour pattern formation in zebrafish. *Elife*, 3, e05125. [PubMed: 25535837]
- Kim D, Langmead B, & Salzberg SL. (2015). HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods*, 12, 357–360. [PubMed: 25751142]
- Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B & Schilling TF. (1995) Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Developmental Dynamics*, 203, 253–310. [PubMed: 8589427]
- Kimura T, Nagao Y, Hashimoto H, Yamamoto-Shiraishi Y, Yamamoto S, Yabe T, Naruse K. (2014) Leucophores are similar to xanthophores in their specification and differentiation processes in medaka. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 111, 7343–7348. [PubMed: 24803434]
- Kimura T, Takehana Y, & Naruse K. (2017) pnp4a is the causal gene of the medaka iridophore mutant *guanineless*. *G3*, 7, 1357–1363. [PubMed: 28258112]
- Kopylova E, Noé L, & Touzet H. (2012). SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 3211–3217. [PubMed: 23071270]
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Durbin R; 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009 The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 2078–2079. [PubMed: 19505943]
- Lister JA, Lane BM, Nguyen A, & Lunney K. (2011). Embryonic expression of zebrafish MiT family genes tfe3b, tfeb, and tfec. *Developmental Dynamics* 240, 2529–2538. [PubMed: 21932325]

- Lopes SS, Yang X, Müller J, Carney TJ, McAdow AR, Rauch GJ, Kelsh RN. (2008). Leukocyte Tyrosine Kinase Functions in Pigment Cell Development. *PLoS Genetics*, 4, e1000026. [PubMed: 18369445]
- Lorin T, Brunet FG, Laudet V, & Volf JN. (2018). Teleost Fish-Specific Preferential Retention of Pigmentation gene-containing families after whole genome duplications in vertebrates. *G3*, 8, 1795–1806. [PubMed: 29599177]
- Love MI, Huber W, & Anders S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, 15, 550. [PubMed: 25516281]
- McMenamin SK, Bain EJ, McCann AE, Patterson LB, Eom DS, Waller ZP, Parichy DM. (2014). Thyroid hormone-dependent adult pigment cell lineage and pattern in zebrafish. *Science*, 345, 1358–1361. [PubMed: 25170046]
- Nagao Y, Suzuki T, Shimizu A, Kimura T, Seki R, Adachi T, Hashimoto H. (2014). Sox5 Functions as a Fate Switch in Medaka Pigment Cell Development. *PLoS Genetics*, 10, e1004246. [PubMed: 24699463]
- Nagao Y, Takada H, Miyadai M, Adachi T, Seki R, Kamei Y, Hashimoto H. (2018). Distinct interactions of Sox5 and Sox10 in fate specification of pigment cells in medaka and zebrafish. *PLoS Genetics*, 14, e1007260. [PubMed: 29621239]
- Nakamasu A, Takahashi G, Kanbe A, & Kondo S. (2009). Interactions between zebrafish pigment cells responsible for the generation of Turing patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 106, 8429–8434. [PubMed: 19433782]
- Patterson LB, & Parichy DM. (2013). Interactions with Iridophores and the Tissue Environment required for patterning melanophores and xanthophores during zebrafish adult pigment stripe formation. *PLoS Genetics*, 9, e1003561.
- Pei W, Xu L, Varshney GK, Carrington B, Bishop K, Jones M, Burgess SM. (2016). Additive reductions in zebrafish PRPS1 activity result in a spectrum of deficiencies modeling several human PRPS1-associated diseases. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 29946. [PubMed: 27425195]
- Petratou K, Subkhankulova T, Lister JA, Rocco A, Schwetlick H & Kelsh RN. (2018). A systems biology approach uncovers the core gene regulatory network governing iridophore fate choice from the neural crest. *PLoS Genetics*, 14(10), e1007402.
- Rodrigues FSLM, Yang X, Nikaido M, Liu Q & Kelsh R. (2012). A simple, highly visual in vivo screen for anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibitors. *ACS Chem. Biol* 7, 1968–1974. [PubMed: 22985331]
- Salis P, Roux N, Soulat O, Lecchini D, Laudet V, & Frédérick B. (2018). Ontogenetic and phylogenetic simplification during white stripe evolution in clownfishes. *BMC Biology*, in press.
- Santos ME, Braasch I, Boileau N, Meyer BS, Sauter L, Böhne A, Salzburger W. (2014). The evolution of cichlid fish egg-spots is linked with a cis-regulatory change. *Nature Communications*, 5, 5149.
- Schartl M, Larue L, Goda M, Bosenberg MW, Hashimoto H, & Kelsh RN. (2015). What is a vertebrate pigment cell? *Pigment Cell Melanoma Research*, 29, 8–14. [PubMed: 26247887]
- Shah AN, Davey CF, Whitebitch AC, Miller AC, & Moens CB. (2015). Rapid reverse genetic screening using CRISPR in zebrafish. *Nature Methods*, 12, 535–540. [PubMed: 25867848]
- Singh AP, Nüsslein-Volhard C 2015 Zebrafish stripes as a model for vertebrate colour pattern formation. *Curr. Biol* 25, 81–92. (doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.013)
- Sire JY. (1988). Evidence that mineralized spherules are involved in the formation of the superficial layer of the elasmoid scale in cichlids *Cichlasoma octofasciatum* and *Hemichromis bimaculatus* (Pisces, Teleostei): an epidermal active participation? *Cell Tissue Research*, 253, 165–172. [PubMed: 2843285]
- Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. (2015). Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Research*, 4, 1521. [PubMed: 26925227]
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Mesirov JP. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 102, 15545–15550. [PubMed: 16199517]

- Thisse C, Thisse B, Schilling TF, & Postlethwait JH. (1993). Structure of the zebrafish *snail1* gene and its expression in wild-type, spadetail and no tail mutant embryos. *Development*, 119, 1203–1215. [PubMed: 8306883]
- Watanabe M, Iwashita M, Ishii M, Kurachi Y, Kawakami A, Kondo S, & Okada N. (2006). Spot pattern of leopard *Danio* is caused by mutation in the zebrafish connexin41.8 gene. *EMBO Reports*, 7, 893–897. [PubMed: 16845369]
- Watanabe M, & Kondo S. (2015). Is pigment patterning in fish skin determined by the Turing mechanism? *Trends in Genetics*, 31, 88–96. [PubMed: 25544713]
- Welin M, Egeblad L, Johansson A, Stenmark P, Wang L, Flodin S, Nordlund P. (2010). Structural and functional studies of the human phosphoribosyltransferase domain containing protein 1. *FEBS Letters*, 277, 4920–4930.

Significance

Coral reef fish exhibit an extraordinary set of colors and patterns, therefore providing ample material to study the cellular and genomic basis of color diversity in vertebrates. Here, we asked whether *Amphiprion ocellaris* clownfish's white bars depend on iridophores, as in zebrafish interstripes, or on leucophores, as found in medaka. Using electron microscopy, transcriptomic analysis and genetic approaches we reveal that white cells of *A. ocellaris* are iridophores. Our data illustrate the diverse pattern elements that can be generated by a conserved set of pigment cell classes.

Figure 1: Pigment cells organization in white skin of *A. ocellaris*.

(A) Stereomicroscope image of white skin in a living *A. ocellaris* juvenile showing iridescent cells (e.g., white arrowhead) and melanophores (black arrowhead). Image shown is typical of 20 individuals inspected. (B-C) TEM image of a transverse section through white bar skin. The various skin layers are indicated. (C) High magnification of an iridophore (boxed in C) showing parallel platelets (inset). (D) Cartoon representing a transverse section of the white skin of *A. ocellaris* with iridophores (represented by the blue round cells) and melanophores (black star cells). The majority of pigment cells are found in

the stratum lexum of the dermis (grey). (M: melanophores, I: Iridophores, Nu: nucleus, Sc: Scale, De: dermis, Hy: Hypodermis, Ep: Epidermis, BM: Basal Membrane, sl: stratum lexum, sco: stratum compactum). All TEM experiments have been replicated in 4 individuals.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 2: Iridophore survival control the white hue.

(A-upper photographs) Effect of TAE 684 on white bars in juveniles. Stereomicroscope picture of clownfish juveniles of 36 days old treated from 20 dph until 36 dph with DMSO (left) or 0.6 μ M TAE 684 (right). **(A-bottom photographs)** TEM images of transverse sections through the white body bar of clownfish juveniles (3 months dph) treated during 16 days with DMSO (left) or 0.6 μ M TAE 684 (right). **(B)** Effect of removal of TAE 684 on white bars in juvenile. Left: Pictures of a clownfish individual treated with TAE 0.6 μ M from 5 dph until 20 dph (20 dph- up) and kept in the drug for 16 days more (36 dph- bottom).

Right: Pictures of a clownfish individual treated with TAE 0.6 μ M from 5 dph until 20 dph (20 dph-up) and then treated with DMSO only from 20 dph until 3 months (36 dph- middle; 3 months bottom). (I: Iridophores, Ep: Epidermis, BM: Basal Membrane, sl: stratum lexum of Dermis). TAE experiments have been replicated in 10 individuals.

Figure 3: *fh12a*, *fh12b*, *apoD1a*, *saiyan* and *gpnmb* are differentially expressed in the white skin. (A) Volcano plot comparing the orange and white skin transcriptomes in *A. ocellaris*. Statistically DEGs (FDR < 0.05, Benjamini-Hochberg correction) are highlighted compared to background genes. Insets indicate the 10 most significant genes in each condition (dashed area). (B) Histogram representing the relative gene expression determined by qPCR of *fh12a*, *fh12b*, *saiyan*, *gpnmb* and *apoD1a* (y-axis) in white skin (white bar) compared to orange skin (orange bar) in independent *A. ocellaris* skins. (t-test; *** $P < 0.0005$). (C) Histogram representing the relative gene expression determined by qPCR in white (white bar)

compared to orange skin (orange bar) in *A. frenatus* (t-test; * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.005$, *** $P<0.0005$).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 4: *fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, *apoD1a* are specifically expressed in iridophores within skin.

(A) For in situ hybridization experiments, skin area was dissected in a way that each sample consistently included both prospective white and orange skin (white body bar and posterior orange skin) (grey rectangle). The skin was then cut transversally using microtome to locate the expression of genes in the different skin layers (B-G) In situ hybridization for transcript of *apoD1a* (B-C), *fhl2a* (D-E), *fhl2b* (F-G) in transverse sections of white (B,D and F) and orange skins (C, E and G) of *A. ocellaris* adults. (H-I) Cartoon of a transverse section of white (H) and orange skin (I). *ep* = epidermis, *sc* = scale, *sl* = *stratum leuxum*, *sco* = *stratum*

compactum, *de* = dermis, *hy* = hypodermis, *ir* = iridophores, *m* = melanophores. ISH experiments have been replicated in 4 individuals.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 5: *fhl2a*, *fhl2b*, *saiyan* and *gpnmb* are essential for development of iridophores in zebrafish.

(A) Quantification of dorsal iridophores in zebrafish 4 dpf larvae. Each dot corresponds to one individual. Asterisks indicate significant differences in iridophores complements (non-parametric comparisons with control using Steel method; **, $P < 0.01$, ***, $P < 0.001$).

Although sizes differed between larvae that were controls and those that carried somatically induced mutations, these differences in length did not themselves account for variation in iridophore numbers as indicated by preliminary analysis of covariance that identified

significant overall differences among groups ($F_{4,88}=4.13$, $P<0.005$) even after controlling for potential overall effects of standard length ($F_{1,88}=0.05$, $P=0.8$), as well as potential genotype x standard length interaction ($F_{4,88}=1.18$, $P=0.3$; using inverse-transformed counts to normalize residuals to meet model assumptions). **(B)** Typical phenotypes of uninjected controls and individuals mosaic for somatically induced mutations, with insets to illustrate dorsal iridescent iridophores used in analyses. In wt individuals, numerous iridophores (located with the arrowhead) were present along dorsal midlines whereas injected individuals had fewer iridophores even after controlling for differences in body length.