Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Intermittent catheterisation for long-term bladder management (Review)

Prieto J, Murphy CL, Moore KN, Fader M

Prieto J, Murphy CL, Moore KN, Fader M.

Intermittent catheterisation for long-term bladder management.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD006008.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006008.pub4.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL	1
WHAT'S NEW	1
HISTORY	1
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	2

[Intervention Review]

Intermittent catheterisation for long-term bladder management

Jacqui Prieto¹, Catherine L Murphy¹, Katherine N Moore², Mandy Fader¹

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ²Faculty of Nursing, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Contact address: Mandy Fader, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Room AA80, South Academic Block (Mail-point11), Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK. m.fader@soton.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Incontinence Group.

Publication status and date: Withdrawn from publication for reasons stated in the review, published in Issue 8, 2017.

Citation: Prieto J, Murphy CL, Moore KN, Fader M. Intermittent catheterisation for long-term bladder management. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD006008. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006008.pub4.

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

The editorial group responsible for this previously published document have withdrawn it from publication.

REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL

This review has been withdrawn following Feedback from Andrei V. Krassioukov, Kathleen Christison, Matthias Walter, Jean-Jacques J.M. Wyndaele, Thomas M. Kessler and Michael Kennelly who have identified possible errors in the analysis of data in the review. These concern handling of cross-over and multi-arm trials as well as corrections and clarifications of data used. This review has been withdrawn whilst these issues are addressed. Changes are also required to reflect current methodological standards. The review will be re-published following updating, revision and [peer review](#).

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 30 September 2013.

Date	Event	Description
10 August 2017	Amended	This review has been withdrawn following Feedback from a group of readers who have identified possible errors in the analysis of data in the review. These concern handling of cross-over and multi-arm trials as well as corrections and clarifications of data used. This review has been withdrawn whilst these issues are addressed. Changes are also required to reflect current methodological standards. The review will be re-published following updating, revision and peer review .

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 2, 2006

Review first published: Issue 4, 2007

Date	Event	Description
9 August 2017	Amended	This review has been withdrawn following Feedback from a group of readers who have identified possible errors in the analysis of data in the review. These concern handling of cross-over and multi-arm trials as well as corrections and clarifications of data used. This review has been withdrawn whilst these issues are addressed. Changes are also required to reflect current methodological standards. The review will be re-published following updating, revision and peer review .
8 August 2017	Amended	This review has been withdrawn following correspondence from a reader who identified possible errors in the analysis of data in the review. These concern handling of cross-over and multi-arm trials as well as corrections and clarifications of data used. This review has been withdrawn whilst these issues are checked. Changes are also required to reflect current methodological standards. The review will be re-published following updating, revision and peer review .
10 September 2014	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	Added 17 new studies in this update. Risk of bias was re-assessed of all the included trials as per current recommendation
10 September 2014	New search has been performed	Added 17 new studies in this update. Risk of bias was re-assessed of all the included trials as per current recommendation
22 August 2007	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Substantive amendment

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- No sources of support supplied

External sources

- National Institute for Health Research, UK.

This project was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), via Cochrane Infrastructure funding to the Cochrane Incontinence Group. The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Systematic Reviews Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health. The NIHR is the largest single funder of the Cochrane Incontinence Group.