Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women (Review)

Flower A, Wang LQ, Lewith G, Liu JP, Li Q

Flower A, Wang LQ, Lewith G, Liu JP, Li Q.

Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2015, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD010446.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010446.pub2.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE MAIN COMPARISON	3
BACKGROUND	5
OBJECTIVES	6
METHODS	6
RESULTS	8
Figure 1.	9
Figure 2.	11
Figure 3.	12
ADDITIONAL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	14
DISCUSSION	19
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	19
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	20
REFERENCES	20
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	24
DATA AND ANALYSES	39
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 CHM versus antibiotics, Outcome 1 Effectiveness.	40
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 CHM versus antibiotics, Outcome 2 Recurrence.	41
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics, Outcome 1 Effectiveness.	41
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics, Outcome 2 Recurrence.	42
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 CHM versus CHM, Outcome 1 Effectiveness.	43
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 CHM versus CHM, Outcome 2 Recurrence.	43
APPENDICES	43
WHAT'S NEW	49
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	49
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	49
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	50
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	50
INDEX TERMS	50

Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Andrew Flower¹, Li-Qiong Wang², George Lewith³, Jian Ping Liu², Qing Li²

¹Complementary and Integrated Medicine Research Unit, Department of Primary Care, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ²Centre for Evidence-Based Chinese Medicine, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China. ³Southampton, UK

Contact address: Andrew Flower, Complementary and Integrated Medicine Research Unit, Department of Primary Care, University of Southampton, Southampton, Sussex, BN8 5SG, UK. flower.power@which.net.

Editorial group: Cochrane Kidney and Transplant Group.

Publication status and date: Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 6, 2017.

Citation: Flower A, Wang LQ, Lewith G, Liu JP, Li Q. Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD010446. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010446.pub2.

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Acute urinary tract infection (UTI) is a common bacterial infection that affects 40% to 50% of women. Between 20% and 30% of women who have had a UTI will experience a recurrence, and around 25% will develop ongoing recurrent episodes with implications for individual well-being and healthcare costs. Prophylactic antibiotics can prevent recurrent UTIs but there are growing concerns about microbial resistance, side effects from treatment and lack of long-term benefit. Consequently, alternative treatments are being investigated. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) has a recorded history of treating UTI symptoms and more recent research suggests a potential role in the management of recurrent UTIs. This review aimed to evaluate CHM for recurrent UTI.

Objectives

This review assessed the benefits and harms of CHM for the treatment of recurrent UTIs in adult women, both as a stand-alone therapy and in conjunction with other pharmaceutical interventions.

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Kidney and Transplant's Specialised Register to 7 May 2015 through contact with the Trials Search Co-ordinator, using search terms relevant to this review. We also searched AMED, CINAHL and the Chinese language electronic databases Chinese BioMedical Literature Database (CBM), China Network on Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), VIP and Wan Fang Databases to July 2014.

Selection criteria

We included randomised controlled trials (RCTs) comparing treatments using CHM with either an inactive placebo or conventional biomedical treatment. RCTs comparing different CHM strategies and treatments were eligible for inclusion. Quasi-randomised studies were excluded.

Data collection and analysis

Data extraction was carried out independently by two authors. Where more than one publication of one study existed, these were grouped and the publication with the most complete data was used in the analyses. Where relevant outcomes were only published in earlier versions these data were used. All meta-analyses were performed using relative risk (RR) for dichotomous outcomes with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Main results

We included seven RCTs that involved a total of 542 women; of these, five recruited post-menopausal women (aged from 56 to 70 years) (422 women). We assessed all studies to be at high risk of bias. Meta-analyses comparing the overall effectiveness of treatments during acute phases of infection and rates of recurrence were conducted. Analysis of three studies involving 282 women that looked at CHM versus antibiotics suggested that CHM had a higher rate of effectiveness for acute UTI (RR 1.21, 95% CI 1.11 to 33) and reduced recurrent UTI rates (RR 0.28, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.82). Analysis of two studies involving 120 women that compared CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics alone found the combined intervention had a higher rate of effectiveness for acute UTI (RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.47) and resulted in lower rates of recurrent infection six months after the study (RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.35 to 0.80).

One study comparing different CHM treatments found Er Xian Tang was more effective in treating acute infection in post-menopausal women than San Jin Pian (80 women: RR 1.28, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.57). Analysis showed that active CHM treatments specifically formulated for recurrent UTI were more effective in reducing infection incidence than generic CHM treatments that were more commonly used for acute UTI (RR 0.40, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.77).

Only two studies undertook to report adverse events; neither reported the occurrence of any adverse events.

Authors' conclusions

Evidence from seven small studies suggested that CHM as an independent intervention or in conjunction with antibiotics may be beneficial for treating recurrent UTIs during the acute phase of infection and may reduce the recurrent UTI incidence for at least six months post-treatment. CHM treatments specifically formulated for recurrent UTI may be more effective than herbal treatments designed to treat acute UTI. However, the small number and poor quality of the included studies meant that it was not possible to formulate robust conclusions on the use of CHM for recurrent UTI in women either alone or as an adjunct to antibiotics.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common problem that can have a serious negative impact on well-being and healthcare costs. Although preventative antibiotics can help reduce numbers of recurrent infections, there are growing concerns about antibiotic resistance, side effects and the lack of long-term benefits from treatment. Consequently, alternative treatments such as Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) are being considered.

We evaluated the evidence for the effectiveness and safety of CHM for treating recurrent UTIs in women. Our searches to May 2015 for Western and July 2014 for Chinese literature led to the inclusion of seven studies that met our selection criteria for this review. These involved a total of 542 women.

The studies suggested that CHM used either on its own or with antibiotic treatment may be more effective than antibiotics alone for relieving acute UTIs and preventing recurrent episodes. There were only two studies that explicitly stated that adverse events were to be reported; neither reported any adverse events.

However, studies were small and assessed as having poor methodological quality; and most study participants were post-menopausal. Therefore, results should be interpreted cautiously and can only be considered as preliminary findings that may not be relevant to pre-menopausal women. Further research is required to provide more rigorous evidence before CHM can be routinely recommended as a treatment option for recurrent UTIs.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE MAIN COMPARISON [\[Explanation\]](#)

CHM versus antibiotics for women with recurrent UTI						
Patient or population: women with recurrent UTI Settings: China Intervention: CHM Comparison: antibiotics						
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Antibiotics	CHM				
Effectiveness	Study population		RR 1.24 (1.13 to 1.37)	282 (3)	⊕○○○ very low	
	764 per 1000	948 per 1000 (864 to 1000)				
	Moderate					
	769 per 1000	954 per 1000 (869 to 1000)				
Recurrence Follow-up: mean 5 months	Study population		RR 0.28 (0.09 to 0.82)	282 (3)	⊕○○○ very low	
	550 per 1000	154 per 1000 (50 to 451)				
	Moderate					
	712 per 1000	199 per 1000 (64 to 584)				

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

CHM - Chinese herbal medicine; UTI - urinary tract infection

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Acute lower urinary tract infection (UTI), or cystitis, is a superficial bacterial infection of the bladder mucosa characterised by symptoms of burning on urination, urinary frequency including nocturia and urgency. UTIs are considered uncomplicated if the patient is not pregnant or elderly and there are no known functional or anatomical abnormalities of the genitourinary tract (Hooton 1996).

UTIs are the most common bacterial infection that women present with in primary care settings (Aydin 2014; Butler 2006; Foxman 2010; Little 2010). Up to half of all women experience one UTI during their lives, and at least 11% report UTIs annually (Kunin 1994; Silverman 2013). UTI treatment has a substantial impact on healthcare resources, and UTI accounts for 1% to 3% of all general practice consultations in the UK (Stapleton 1999), and nearly seven million office visits and one million emergency department visits, resulting in 100,000 hospitalisations, in the US (Foxman 2010). The most common pathogens causing uncomplicated UTI are *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) (80% to 90%), *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (5% to 10%), *Proteus* spp. and other gram-negative rods (Milo 2005).

Recurrent UTI is widely defined as three UTIs in the last 12 months or two episodes in the last six months (Albert 2004). Between 20% and 30% of women who have had one UTI will have a recurrence (Sanford 1975), and around 25% of these will develop subsequent recurrent episodes (Hooton 1996). Recurrent UTI can have a significant negative effect on quality of life (Flower 2014; Renard 2014) and a high impact on healthcare costs as a result of outpatient visits, diagnostic tests and prescriptions. Precise economic estimates are difficult to derive but in the US approximately 15% of all community-prescribed antibiotics are dispensed for UTIs at an estimated annual cost of over USD 1 billion (Mazzulli 2002). The direct and indirect costs of community-acquired UTIs in the US are estimated at around USD 2.3 billion each year (Foxman 2010).

Antibiotics are the mainstay treatment for acute and recurrent UTI. Although antibiotics can reduce the duration of severe symptoms in acute episodes (Falagas 2008; Little 2010a), antibiotic resistance is estimated at 20% for trimethoprim and cephalosporins, and 50% for amoxicillin (Christiaens 2002). Antibiotic resistance and previous UTIs have been positively associated with increased duration of severe symptoms (Little 2010). It is predicted that antibiotic resistance will continue to increase (Kumarasamy 2010). Antibiotic prophylaxis is used to prevent recurrent UTIs. Treatment usually lasts for between six and 12 months but can be extended for up to five years (Franco 2005). A review of antibiotics for prevention of recurrent UTIs in non-pregnant women found that given continuously for six to 12 months antibiotics were significantly more effective than placebo in preventing recurrent in-

fection (risk ratio (RR) 0.15, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.08 to 0.28; number needed to treat to benefit 1.85, 95% CI 1.60 to 2.20) (Albert 2004). Severe side effects such as urticaria, nausea and vomiting, and less serious but unpleasant side effects including oral and vaginal candidiasis and gastrointestinal disturbances may require treatment to be withdrawn. These side effects can cause considerable discomfort and may contribute to some women's expressed preference to avoid using antibiotics (Leydon 2010). Once prophylaxis is discontinued, even after extended periods of therapy, 50% to 60% of women become re-infected within three months (Car 2003; Harding 1982); antibiotic prophylaxis does not exert a long-term effect on the baseline infection rate.

A number of complementary therapies are used to treat recurrent UTI. Jepson 2012 found that cranberries had little effect in reducing rates of UTI recurrence. There is some evidence that CHM may be useful for treating UTI recurrence.

Description of the intervention

CHM is part of a system of Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM). CHM involves the use of complex herbal formulae usually comprising 10 to 15 herbs delivered as decoctions (infused in water), encapsulated herbal granules, or pills. CHM formulae may be standardised or individualised according to specific needs. Although biomedical diagnoses are commonly used in CHM practice to optimise treatment effectiveness, these may be differentiated into TCM syndromes according to analysis of presenting signs and symptoms.

CHM has been used to treat UTI symptoms for over 2000 years (Maciocia 1994). Recent clinical research in China suggests that CHM may alleviate UTI symptoms (Liu 1987; Xu 1989; Zhan 2007; Zhang 2005) and reduce one year post-treatment recurrence rates from 30% when antibiotics were used alone to 4.4% when antibiotics and CHM were combined (Zhang 2005).

How the intervention might work

The herbal products used in CHM contain highly active compounds that have been extensively researched and, in some instances, developed as pharmaceutical drugs.

The biological plausibility of CHM for recurrent UTI is supported by in vitro research suggesting that some commonly used Chinese herbs may confer significant diuretic, antibiotic, immune enhancing, antipyretic, anti-inflammatory and pain relieving activities for treatment of recurrent UTIs (Bensky 2004; Chen 2004; Huang 1999; Zhu 1998). Individual herbs such as Huang Lian (*Coptis chinensis* Franch) have broad spectrum antibacterial activity but also exhibit specific action against *E. coli* (Yan 2008), the most common cause of recurrent UTI. CHM formulae have demonstrated a marked in vitro inhibitory activity against *E. coli* and other bacteria known to be responsible for UTIs including

Staphylococcus aureus, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Proteus mirabilis* (Peng 2010). Yet other CHM formulae have demonstrated a dose-dependent ability to decrease *E. coli* adherence to bladder epithelial cells, to inhibit an underlying mechanism of acute and recurrent UTI (Tong 2011a). There is growing evidence that some herbal medicines can disable bacterial efflux pumps, an important mechanism underlying development of bacterial resistance to antibiotic drugs (Stavri 2007), and may serve as an important adjuvant treatment to conventional antibiotics.

Why it is important to do this review

This review evaluated the extent and quality of clinical evidence relating to CHM for treatment of recurrent UTIs. Benefits of CHM, either as stand-alone or adjuvant treatment, may make an important contribution to managing this common and problematic condition.

OBJECTIVES

This review assessed the benefits and harms of CHM for the treatment of recurrent UTIs in adult women, both as stand-alone therapy and in conjunction with other pharmaceutical interventions.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

All RCTs comparing treatment using CHM with either an inactive placebo or conventional biomedical treatment were eligible for inclusion. RCTs comparing different CHM strategies and treatments were considered. Quasi-randomised studies were excluded because they introduced an unacceptable level of bias into the analyses.

Types of participants

Inclusion criteria

We included ambulatory women, aged 16 years and over, who had histories of three or more recurrent UTIs in the preceding 12 months. At least one episode was required to have laboratory confirmation of bacterial infection (bacterial growth of at least 10^2 CFU/mL in urine (Franco 2005)) in association with symptoms and signs of UTI including dysuria, frequency, urgency including nocturia, pyuria and haematuria.

Exclusion criteria

We excluded women aged up to 16 years, pregnant women, and those with complicated UTIs (such as associated with pyelonephritis, diabetes, neurological conditions or urinary tract obstruction, or in women who were catheterised) or who did not have laboratory confirmation of at least one UTI in the previous 12 months.

Types of interventions

1. CHM versus placebo
2. CHM versus biomedicine
3. CHM plus biomedicine versus biomedicine (with or without placebo)
4. CHM versus CHM.

For our purposes, 'biomedicine' referred to the practice of clinical medicine based on the current biological understanding of pathophysiological processes. All forms of oral herbal interventions (pills, herbal granules, herbal decoctions) were considered. Herbs administered as injection and CHM combined with acupuncture or another TCM therapy were excluded.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

1. Reduction in both symptomatic episodes, including urinary frequency, urgency, dysuria or haematuria, and bacteriologically-confirmed episodes of UTI during the study
2. Rates of relapse within 12 months of completing the study.

Secondary outcomes

1. Reduction in severity (e.g. intensity of lower abdominal pain, urgency, frequency and burning) and duration of acute UTIs
 2. Reduction in the use of acute and prophylactic antibiotics
 3. Improvements in quality of life (as estimated by validated outcomes measures such as the Short Form 36 (SF-36))
 4. Any recorded adverse events (including liver and renal toxicity)
 5. Health economic data relating to CHM treatment.
- We did not consider changes in surrogate biochemical markers reported in studies.

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

We searched the Cochrane Kidney and Transplant Specialised Register to 7 May 2015 through contact with the Trials' Search Co-ordinator using search terms relevant to this review. The Specialised Register contains studies identified from the following sources.

1. Quarterly searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
2. Weekly searches of MEDLINE OVID SP
3. Handsearching of kidney-related journals and the proceedings of major kidney conferences
4. Searching of the current year of EMBASE OVID SP
5. Weekly current awareness alerts for selected kidney journals
6. Searches of the World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trials Register Search Portal (ICTRP) and ClinicalTrials.gov.

Studies contained in the Specialised Register were identified through search strategies for CENTRAL, MEDLINE, and EMBASE based on the scope of Cochrane Kidney and Transplant. Details of these strategies as well as a list of handsearched journals, conference proceedings and current awareness alerts are available in the specialised register section of information about the [Cochrane Kidney and Transplant](#).

See [Appendix 1](#) for search terms used in the strategies for this review.

We searched AMED (Allied and Complementary Medicine) via OvidSP from 1995 and CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health) via EBSCO from 1937 to November 2014. We also searched Chinese language electronic databases to July 2014 using the terms urinary tract infection, cystitis, recurrent urinary tract infection, Chinese medicine, herbal medicine, plant extract, complementary medicine.

- Chinese BioMedical Literature Database (CBM) from 1978
- CNKI (China Network on Knowledge Infrastructure) from 1979
- VIP database from 1989
- Wan Fang Database from 1990.

Searching other resources

1. Reference lists of review articles, relevant studies and clinical practice guidelines.
2. Letters seeking information about unpublished or incomplete studies sent to investigators known to be involved in previous studies.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

The search strategy described was used to obtain titles and abstracts of studies that were relevant to the review. Titles and abstracts were screened independently by two authors who discarded studies that were not applicable. However, studies and reviews that included relevant data or information on studies were retained initially. Two authors independently assessed retrieved abstracts and, if necessary, the full texts of these studies to determine which satisfied the inclusion criteria.

Data extraction and management

Data extraction was carried out independently by two authors using standard data extraction forms. Where more than one publication of one study existed, these were grouped together and the publication with the most complete data was used in the analyses. Where relevant outcomes were only published in earlier versions these data were used. Any discrepancy between published versions has been highlighted.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The following items were independently assessed by two authors using the risk of bias assessment tool ([Higgins 2011](#)) (see [Appendix 2](#)).

- Was there adequate sequence generation (selection bias)?
- Was allocation adequately concealed (selection bias)?
- Was knowledge of the allocated interventions adequately prevented during the study?
 - Participants and personnel (performance bias)
 - Outcome assessors (detection bias)
- Were incomplete outcomes data adequately addressed (attrition bias)?
- Were reports of the study free of suggestion of selective outcome reporting (reporting bias)?
- Was the study apparently free of other problems that could put it at risk of bias?

Measures of treatment effect

For dichotomous outcomes (such as a reported UTI versus no reported UTI) results were expressed as risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). If continuous scales of measurement had been used to assess treatment effects (for example number of days reported with UTI symptoms), the mean difference (MD) was to be used, or the standardised mean difference (SMD) if different scales had been used. In practice, only [Gu 2011](#) reported using a continuous scale to measure quality of life.

High levels of study heterogeneity determined our use of random-effects models for meta-analyses.

Because recurrent UTIs are episodic and provide outcomes that are not stable and are difficult to measure precisely, a meta-analysis of change scores, based on a comparison of changes from baseline, was not feasible. However, we conducted meta-analyses comparing both overall treatment effectiveness during acute phases of infection and rates of recurrence during follow-up periods.

Unit of analysis issues

The unit of analysis was individual patients. A single measurement for each outcome from each participant was collected and analysed.

Dealing with missing data

Any further information required from the original author was requested (e.g. by e-mailing corresponding author/s) and any relevant information obtained in this manner was included in the review. We requested further information relating to randomisation methods from the authors of seven studies and received responses from two that enabled inclusion of these studies in the review. Evaluation of important numerical data such as screened, randomised patients as well as intention-to-treat, as-treated and per protocol populations was performed. Attrition rates, such as dropouts, losses to follow-up and withdrawals, were investigated.

Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity was analysed using a χ^2 test on $N-1$ degrees of freedom, with an alpha of 0.05 used for statistical significance, and with the I^2 test (Higgins 2011). I^2 values of 25%, 50% and 75% correspond to low, medium and high levels of heterogeneity.

Assessment of reporting biases

We included seven small studies so it was inappropriate to construct funnel plots.

Data synthesis

Data were pooled using the random-effects model due to the highly heterogeneous nature of the included studies.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We planned to conduct subgroup analysis to explore possible sources of heterogeneity, for example the effects of different CHM formulations such as a standardised formula versus tailored formula, control group type, and the effects of treatment on diagnostic syndromes determined according to TCM theory. However, the limited number of included studies meant this was not possible.

We also planned to tabulate adverse effects and assess these using descriptive techniques to enable incorporation of a diverse range of possible measures. Risk difference was to be calculated for each adverse effect, either compared with no treatment or another agent. Because only two included studies provided limited data on adverse effects this was not possible.

Sensitivity analysis

Given the limited numbers of included studies included in the meta-analysis and the relative parity in terms of size, duration, origin and risk of bias of the studies, it was not appropriate to conduct sensitivity analyses.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: [Characteristics of included studies](#); [Characteristics of excluded studies](#) and [Characteristics of studies awaiting classification](#).

Results of the search

We identified 947 records, of which 231 were duplicates. We excluded 459 records that were animal studies (29); narrative reviews (36); investigated unrelated health problems (186); used non-CHM interventions (89); were not RCTs (115); or included populations unrelated to this review (4).

Of the remaining 257 records, 214 were excluded because they: included both men and women (77); did not meet diagnostic criteria for recurrent UTIs (91); included upper and complicated UTIs (13); were not RCTs (26); did not report clinical outcomes (2); or were duplicates (5).

We assessed 43 full text studies and included seven RCTs in this review (see [Characteristics of included studies](#); [Characteristics of excluded studies](#); Figure 1).

Figure 1. Study flow diagram

Included studies

We included seven parallel RCTs that involved 542 women. All were conducted in China and were reported in Chinese medical journals.

Comparison and control groups

Three studies (Ma 2011; Shen 2007; Zhao 2011) compared CHM with conventional antibiotic treatments. Chen 2008 and Luo 2011 compared CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics alone; Gu 2011 and Qin 2004 compared two different CHM regimens. The active treatment period ranged from 4 to 16 weeks.

Participants

Five studies (Chen 2008; Gu 2011; Ma 2011; Shen 2007; Zhao 2011) recruited older, post-menopausal women whose average ages ranged from 56 to 70 years. Luo 2011 and Qin 2004 recruited

younger women whose average ages were 41 years and 44 years, respectively.

Herbal treatment

Herbs were administered as decoctions in the three studies that compared CHM with antibiotics (Ma 2011; Shen 2007; Zhao 2011) and the two that compared CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics (Chen 2008; Luo 2011). In the studies that compared CHM with CHM, Gu 2011 compared an active decoction with a standardised pill as control; and Qin 2004 compared two CHM capsules. Details of all herbs used are presented in [Characteristics of included studies](#).

Chen 2008 and Gu 2011 were conducted at the same hospital in China and used the same modified version of the herbal formula Er Xian Tang (Two Immortals Decoction) as active treatment. In terms of TCM, the formulae were designed to 'nourish and harmonise kidney Yin and Yang, clear empty heat, drain damp and eliminate toxins'. These descriptions refer to treatment principles

aimed to alleviate well defined symptoms and signs organised into syndromes before the development of modern medicine (Maciocia 1994).

Shen 2007 combined Er Xian Tang with Bai Tou Weng Tang (Pulsatilla decoction) to target the same treatment principles but with emphasis on toxins originating from the bowel. Ma 2011 and Zhao 2011 used different formulae aimed at 'clearing liver fire, eliminating toxins and draining damp', and the formula used by Luo 2011 'eliminated toxins, drained damp and heat and nourished the kidneys and spleen'. Qin 2004 did not disclose ingredients in the active CHM capsule.

All studies except Gu 2011 and Qin 2004 reported adjusting treatment according to the precise nature of each presentation (e.g. including herbs to alleviate lower back pain or stop haematuria). Ma 2011 described two sequential formulae used during the study with initial treatment aimed at relieving acute infection followed by a nourishing treatment administered when the urine culture was negative to consolidate treatment benefits and prevent recurrence.

In Gu 2011, both groups received 14 days of antibiotic treatment to alleviate acute infection symptoms before the women being randomised to a herbal decoction or San Jin Wan (Three Golds Pill), a common proprietary herbal treatment used for UTI.

Biomedical treatment

All studies that used antibiotics as the control tested for microbial sensitivity to establish the appropriate antibiotic. Chen 2008, Ma 2011 and Zhao 2011 specified that when acute symptoms had been relieved the prescribed antibiotic dose was reduced and used prophylactically for the remainder of the study period. Luo 2011 and Shen 2007 did not specify antibiotic use.

Outcome measures

With the exception of Gu 2011, which used SF-36 as a quality of life measure, there were no other internationally validated outcome measures used. Studies applied National Guidelines on Clinical Research of Novel Traditional Chinese Herbs for the Treatment of Urinary Tract Infection (National Guidelines 1993) to categorise the intervention effectiveness as cured, markedly effective, effective, or ineffective. These categories were commonly defined as follows.

- Cured: negative urine cultures measured on two separate occasions during the study and at follow-up. All UTI signs and symptoms such as frequency, urgency, dysuria and cloudy urine were resolved

- Markedly effective: signs and symptoms improved but were not completely resolved. Negative urine culture
- Effective: signs and symptoms improved but urine culture positive
- Ineffective: no apparent improvement in signs and symptoms or urinalysis.

All studies combined cured, markedly effective and effective into a single overall effectiveness score expressed as a percentage, which was compared with control and subjected to statistical analysis. All studies followed up participants to assess recurrent episodes of infection. Shen 2007 followed up participants at three months post-treatment, all other studies at six months.

Excluded studies

We excluded 36 studies after full-text review.

- Thirteen were observational studies that lacked control groups (Flower 2012; Guo 2013; Li 2007; Liao 2005; Liu 2005; Liu 2011; Shu 2007; Tu 2002; Wang 2009; Zhang 1998; Zhang 2005b; Zhang 2013; Zhou 2007)
- Hou 2011 described a case history
- Seven did not provide sufficient information on randomisation (Lu 2008; Wu 2011; Xu 2009; Xu 2013; Yang 2007; Yu 2009; Zhai 2006). Attempts were made to contact authors but contact failed or authors declined to reply to our requests
- Six reported inadequate or unreliable methods of randomisation including quasi-randomisation (Huang 2007; Qin 2007), markedly unequal group sizes suggesting inadequate randomisation (Peng 2009; Yang 2012), using an unreliable method of randomisation and comparison between groups (Liu 2012a), reporting insufficient detail on randomisation, baseline equivalence or the outcomes measures used (Liu 2013)
- Five reported treating urethritis or acute UTI rather than recurrent UTI (Chai 2008; Ding 2010; Li 2006b; Zhan 2007; Zhang 2005b)
- Tong 2011 and Liu 2012b included both men and women; Zhan 2007 involved participants who did not meet the inclusion criteria
- Albrecht 2007 did not investigate CHM
- Peng 2007 investigated injection as the CHM administration route.

Risk of bias in included studies

Study design and methods were generally poorly reported leading to uncertain or high risks of bias (Figure 2; Figure 3).

Figure 2. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies

Figure 3. Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study

	Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Other bias
Chen 2008							
Gu 2011							
Luo 2011							
Ma 2011							
Qin 2004							
Shen 2007							
Zhao 2011							

Allocation

All included studies randomised participants into active and control treatment groups using random numbers tables. All appeared to have successfully randomised participants in terms of numbers allocated to each group, age, duration and severity of disease. [Gu 2011](#) and [Shen 2007](#) did not provide P values for baseline equivalence to statistically confirm successful randomisation. However, there appeared to be parity between the treatment and control groups in all studies. Overall, we assessed the included studies to be at low risk of bias for this domain. No information was provided relating to allocation concealment; this was assessed at unclear risk of bias.

Blinding

[Qin 2004](#) compared two CHM capsules but blinding was unclear; efficacy outcomes were not reported. Six studies ([Chen 2008](#); [Gu 2011](#); [Luo 2011](#); [Ma 2011](#); [Shen 2007](#); [Zhao 2011](#)) compared a herbal decoction with antibiotic tablets or herbal pills. The physical difference in appearance between a herbal tea (decoction) and a herbal tablet or pill meant it was not possible to blind participants to treatment; this was assessed as leading to high risk of bias. Assessor blinding was not reported.

Incomplete outcome data

Five studies ([Gu 2011](#); [Luo 2011](#); [Qin 2004](#); [Shen 2007](#); [Zhao 2011](#)) reported no dropouts during the study or any subsequent losses to follow-up and were assessed at low risk of attrition bias. [Ma 2011](#) reported no dropouts during the study but described a loss to follow-up which was not taken into account in the analysis. [Chen 2008](#) adequately reported some dropouts during the study and subsequent follow-up but these were not accounted for in the analysis. Both [Ma 2011](#) and [Chen 2008](#) were assessed at high risk of attrition bias.

Selective reporting

Study protocols were not available; reporting bias was assessed as unclear.

Other potential sources of bias

None of the studies provided power calculation data to ensure adequate participant recruitment to minimise type 1 and type 2 errors. Although outcome measures conformed to Chinese national guidelines it was not clear if these were validated appropriately. None of the included studies reported funding sources.

Effects of interventions

See: [Summary of findings for the main comparison](#) CHM versus antibiotics for women with recurrent UTI; [Summary of findings 2](#) CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics for women with recurrent UTI; [Summary of findings 3](#) CHM versus CHM for women with recurrent UTI

Overall reduction in symptoms

Chinese herbs versus antibiotic treatment

Three studies ([Ma 2011](#); [Shen 2007](#); [Zhao 2011](#)) assessed CHM versus antibiotics.

Effectiveness

CHM had a significantly higher rate of effectiveness than antibiotics for treating acute UTI ([Analysis 1.1](#) (3 studies, 282 women): RR 1.21, 95% CI 1.11 to 1.33; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Recurrence

CHM resulted in significantly fewer recurrent episodes of infection ([Analysis 1.2](#) (3 studies, 282 women): RR 0.28, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.82; $I^2 = 80\%$). [Shen 2007](#) accounted for all of the heterogeneity between the studies, but when removed there was no change in significance (RR 0.46; 95% CI 0.30 to 0.70).

Chinese herbs plus antibiotics versus antibiotics alone

Two studies assessed Chinese herbs plus antibiotics versus antibiotics alone ([Chen 2008](#); [Luo 2011](#)).

Effectiveness

Results from two small studies reported that CHM plus antibiotics had a higher rate of effectiveness for acute UTI than antibiotics alone ([Analysis 2.1](#) (2 studies, 120 women): RR 1.24, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.47; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Recurrence

CHM plus antibiotics were associated with a reduced rate of recurrent infection compared with antibiotics only ([Analysis 2.2](#) (2 studies, 120 women): RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.35 to 0.80; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Chinese herbs versus Chinese herbs

Two studies ([Gu 2011](#); [Qin 2004](#)) compared active CHM remedies specifically formulated for recurrent UTI with a generic control CHM for acute UTIs.

Effectiveness

[Gu 2011](#) evaluated the comparative effectiveness of a modification of the traditional formula Er Xian Tang with a patented Chinese remedy San Jin Pian. After eight weeks of treatment they reported a significant improvement in overall effectiveness scores in the Er Xian Tang group compared with women in the San Jin Pian group ([Analysis 3.1](#) (1 study, 80 women): RR 1.28, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.57).

Recurrence

Er Xian Tang treatment was associated with a reduced rate of recurrent infection compared with San Jin Pian at six months ([Analysis 3.2](#) (2 studies, 140 women): RR 0.40, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.77; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Quality of life

[Gu 2011](#) used the SF-36 to evaluate changes in quality of life. It was reported that women using Er Xian Tang improved their average quality of life scores from 96.9 to 112.1 compared with those using San Jin Pian whose scores improved from 94.9 to 97.4 points ($P < 0.05$).

Adverse events

[Gu 2011](#) and [Zhao 2011](#) reported on adverse events experienced; neither found any change in liver and kidney function in either the Er Xian Tang or San Jin Pian group. There were no other reports of serious adverse events.

ADDITIONAL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS [\[Explanation\]](#)

CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics for women with recurrent UTI						
Patient or population: women with recurrent UTI						
Settings: China						
Intervention: CHM plus antibiotics						
Comparison: antibiotics						
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Antibiotics	CHM and antibiotics				
Effectiveness	Study population		RR 1.24 (1.04 to 1.47)	120 (2)	⊕○○○ very low	
	733 per 1000	902 per 1000 (755 to 1000)				
	Moderate					
	733 per 1000	902 per 1000 (755 to 1000)				
Recurrence Follow-up: mean 6 months	Study population		RR 0.50 (0.32 to 0.77)	120 (2)	⊕○○○ very low	
	567 per 1000	300 per 1000 (198 to 453)				
	Moderate					
	567 per 1000	301per 1000 (198 to 454)				

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

CHM - Chinese herbal medicine; UTI - urinary tract infection

CHM versus CHM for women with recurrent UTI						
Patient or population: women with recurrent UTI Settings: China Intervention: CHM Comparison: CHM						
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
	Chinese herbs	Chinese herbs				
Effectiveness	Study population		RR 1.28 (1.03 to 1.57)	80 (1)	⊕○○○ very low	
	725 per 1000	928 per 1000 (747 to 1000)				
	Moderate					
	725 per 1000	928 per 1000 (747 to 1000)				
Recurrence Follow-up: mean 6 months	Study population		RR 0.4 (0.21 to 0.77)	140 (2)	⊕○○○ very low	
	357 per 1000	143 per 1000 (75 to 275)				
	Moderate					
	367 per 1000	147 per 1000 (77 to 283)				

Quality of life	see comment	see comment	see comment	80 (1)	⊕○○○ very low	Gu 2011 used SF-36 to evaluate changes in QoL; reported that women in the active treatment arm improved the average QoL score from 96.9 to 112.1 compared with control group participants who improved the QoL score from 94.9 to 97.4 points (P < 0.05)
-----------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------	------------------	--

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

CHM - Chinese herbal medicine; QoL - quality of life; UTI - urinary tract infection

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

We investigated CHM for the management of recurrent UTIs in women. Evidence from the included studies suggests that CHM either as an independent intervention or in conjunction with antibiotics may be beneficial for recurrent UTIs treated during the acute phase of infection and may reduce the incidence of recurrent UTI for at least six months post-treatment. However, the reliability of this review was limited by the small size and limited number of included studies, absence of power calculations to ensure sufficient numbers of study participants, inadequate use of validated outcomes measures and overall high risk of bias.

Limited data from [Ma 2011](#), [Shen 2007](#) and [Zhao 2011](#) suggest that CHM may provide more effective treatment than antibiotics alone for acute UTI episodes and when used prophylactically for long-term management of recurrent infections in post-menopausal women. However, these findings were generalizable to post-menopausal women only.

Reports from [Chen 2008](#) and [Luo 2011](#) suggest that CHM may help to potentiate antibiotic effectiveness for acute treatment and longer-term prophylaxis.

Analysis of data from [Qin 2004](#) and [Gu 2011](#), which compared two CHM remedies, showed that active CHM treatments specifically formulated for recurrent infections were more effective in preventing UTI recurrence than generic control CHM more commonly used to treat acute UTI.

Two studies that explicitly stated adverse events were to be reported did not report any episodes. There were insufficient data to establish the safety of CHM for women with UTIs.

Poor methodological quality and resultant high risk of bias meant that the results were inconclusive; more rigorous research is required to inform definitive conclusions about the role of CHM in managing recurrent UTIs for women.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

None of the studies used a placebo control to help identify some of the contextual effects that may be involved in CHM treatment. Whilst creating a plausible, therapeutically inert control for a herbal decoction is problematic, it is possible ([Flower 2011](#)) and desirable for CHM.

Although physical differences in treatments meant it was not possible to blind participants to herbal decoction or antibiotic pill, greater attention should have been paid to participants' expectations and treatment preferences. This could have an important influence on study participants' experiences.

Although all studies reported follow-up periods there were considerable losses to follow-up in [Chen 2008](#) and [Gu 2011](#). Follow-

up periods did not extend beyond six months, a relatively short time period for this condition, which undermines the likelihood of assessing longer-term treatment benefits.

More details about validation of the outcome measures used need to be reported. If outcome measures are not validated this should be undertaken to ensure reliable measures of change in recurrent UTIs.

Quality of the evidence

Study reporting quality was suboptimal. None of the included studies reported on allocation concealment or assessor blinding. There was no reporting of power calculations, and it was unclear if studies recruited sufficient numbers of participants to claim statistical significance for their findings. No data were reported with confidence intervals, which further limited the generalisability of findings.

Overall, included studies were assessed at high risk of bias and the quality of the evidence was poor. The limited number of included studies and participants meant that the findings remain inconclusive, based on the current evidence. In addition there was a patient selection bias that focused on the treatment of post-menopausal women, which limits the generalisability of these findings.

Potential biases in the review process

It is possible that there was publication bias in the studies we considered for this review as none of the included studies reported negative findings for active CHM interventions. It was also possible that some published studies may not have been included in the Chinese databases.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

This is the first rigorous systematic review to analyse CHM for recurrent UTIs.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

We found limited evidence from seven RCTs about the possible role of CHM as a treatment for recurrent UTI, either as the sole intervention or as an adjunct to antibiotic treatment for post-menopausal women. CHM may provide an effective treatment during the acute phase of UTI and when given prophylactically to prevent recurrence in the six months following treatment. However, the small number and poor quality of the included studies

meant that it was not possible to formulate robust conclusions on the use of CHM for recurrent UTI in women, when administered alone or as an adjunct to antibiotics.

Implications for research

Given the growing problem of microbial resistance to antibiotic treatments the results of this review should encourage new, more rigorously conducted research into the possible role of CHM for UTI recurrence.

In particular, adequate allocation concealment and assessor blinding, power calculations, use of placebo controls and properly validated outcome measures will help to clarify how CHM, particularly variations of the formula Er Xian Tang (used for recurrent

UTIs in post-menopausal women), may contribute to biomedical treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank the referees for their comments and feedback during the preparation of this review.

Andrew Flower is currently funded as a postdoctoral researcher by the UK National Institute of Health Research. Jianping Liu was partially funded by a grant (R24 AT001293-10) from the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) of the US National Institutes of Health (www.nccam.nih.gov).

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Chen 2008 *{published data only}*

Chen M, Wang Y, Gu XC. Clinical observation of modified “erxian decoction” plus antibiotics in treating chronic urinary tract infection in middle-aged and old women. *Shanghai Zhongyiyao Zazhi [Shanghai Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2008;**42**(1):48–9.

Gu 2011 *{published data only}*

Gu XC, Xu Z, Chen M, Wang M. Study of erding erxian decoction compared with sanjin tablet in treating recurrent urinary tract infection. *Zhongguo Zhongyiyi Jiehe Shenbing Zazhi [Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Nephrology]* 2011;**12**(7):623–4.

Luo 2011 *{published data only}*

Luo M. Clinical study of bushen tonglin decoction on female with chronic urinary tract infection. Hubei University of Chinese Medicine 2011.

Ma 2011 *{published data only}*

Ma XY, Zhi Y, Zhang X, Zhao H, Gao GJ. Clinical study of Xianqing Houbu method in treating senile female recurrent urinary tract infection. *Zhongguo Zhongyiyi Jizhen [Journal of Emergency in Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2011;**20**(12):1918–9.

Qin 2004 *{published data only}*

Qin SG. Clinical analysis of Ningmitai capsule on 60 cases chronic urinary tract infection. *Hebei Yixue [Hebei Medicine]* 2004;**10**(8):700–2.

Shen 2007 *{published data only}*

Shen Y, Yao Q. Clinical observation of “baitouweng decoction” and “erxian decoction” in treating lower urinary infection in 52 postmenopausal women. *Shanghai Zhongyiyao Zazhi [Shanghai Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2007;**41**(12):37–8.

Zhao 2011 *{published data only}*

Zhao KS, Liu BL, Wei W, Ma QY, Zhao WJ, Zhang SR. Clinical study of clearing liver fire, removing dampness, strengthening spleen and tonifying kidney methods in treating middle-aged and old woman with chronic urinary tract infection. *International Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine* 2011;**33**(11):976–8.

References to studies excluded from this review

Albrecht 2007 *{published data only}*

Albrecht U, Goos KH, Schneider B. A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of a herbal medicinal product containing *Tropaeoli majoris herba* (Nasturtium) and *Armoraciae rusticanae radix* (Horseradish) for the prophylactic treatment of patients with chronically recurrent lower urinary tract infections. *Current Medical Research & Opinion* 2007;**23**(10):2415–22. MEDLINE: 17723159

Chai 2008 *{published data only}*

Chai D, Jiang H. Clinical effect of lomefloxacin and shenshu pill in the treatment of recurrent urethritis. *Journal of Modern Clinical Medicine* 2008;**34**(4):258–9.

Ding 2010 *{published data only}*

Ding F, Zhang Y, Chang Y. Sheshiliuhuang decoction on cytokines in patients with urinary tract infection. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi/Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi [China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica]* 2010;**35**(7):919–21. MEDLINE: 20575400

Flower 2012 *{published data only}*

Flower A, Lewith G. A prospective case series exploring the role of Chinese herbal medicine in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine* 2012;**4**:e421–8. EMBASE: 2013042266]

Guo 2013 *{published data only}*

Guo HH, Yang HT. Prof Yang's experience of treating recurrent UTIs. *Zhongguo Zhongyiyi Jiehe Shenbing Zazhi*

[Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Nephrology] 2013;14(2):98–9.

Hou 2011 {published data only}

Hou YH, Wang YG. Case history of Prof Huang's application of Qing Xin Lian Zi Yin in the treatment of cystitis. *Jilin Zhongyiyao [Jilin Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2011;31(11):1106–7.

Huang 2007 {published data only}

Huang MJ. Clinical observation of Sanling Jiedu formulae in the treatment of recurrent lower urinary tract infection in female patients. *Hubei Zhongyi Zazhi [Hubei Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2007;29(6):38.

Li 2006b {published data only}

Li Z, Fu P, Qiu H, Zhou L, Fan J, Zhang R, et al. Shenling granule for lower urinary tract infection (damp-heat in lower-Jiao): A randomized controlled trial. *Chinese Journal of Evidence Based Medicine* 2006;6(1):9–13. EMBASE: 2006065612

Li 2007 {published data only}

Li Y, Han Y. Recent developments in the treatment of recurrent UTIs in older women with Chinese medicine. *Jilin Zhongyiyao [Jilin Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2007;27(3):65–6.

Liao 2005 {published data only}

Liao JF. Integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine on 40 cases chronic urinary tract infection. *Fujian Zhongyiyao [Fujian Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2005;36(2):29–30.

Liu 2005 {published data only}

Liu CJ. 42 cases of recurrent UTIs treated with Pei Yuan Tong Lin Tang (cultivate the original and free urinary obstruction decoction). *Shiyong Zhongyiyao Zazhi [Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2005;19(4):355.

Liu 2011 {published data only}

Liu L. Observation study of the use of Bu Zhong Yi Qi Tang in the treatment of 35 cases of recurrent UTIs. *Special Issue of the Society of Chinese Medicine* 2011;19:191.

Liu 2012a {unpublished data only}

Liu SW. Etiological analysis experimental study and clinical treatment of recurrent urinary tract infection by fuzhengqingrelishi. China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences 2012.

Liu 2012b {unpublished data only}

Liu HJ. Clinical effects observation of tonifying kidney clearing method in treatment of recurrent urinary. Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine 2012.

Liu 2013 {published data only}

Liu JY. Integrated CHM and WM treatment for older women with RUTIs. *Chinese medicine Modern Distance Education of China* 2013;11(2):32–3.

Lu 2008 {published data only}

Lu Y, Zhao L, Wang D. Clinical observation of sanjin tablet in inhibit bacterial process of chronic urinary tract infection in female patients. *Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi [China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica]* 2008;33(21):2554–5.

Peng 2007 {published data only}

Peng GJ, Wu YS. Study of Xueshuantong injection on female palindromic urinary tract infection. *Zhongguo Zhongxiyi Jiehe Zazhi [Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine]* 2007;16(5):588–9.

Peng 2009 {published data only}

Peng GJ, Wu YS. Clinical observation of treatment of sanjin tablets in 50 cases of women with recurrent urinary tract infections. *China Medical Herald* 2009;6(8):74–5.

Qin 2007 {published data only}

Qin Y. Clinical study of warming the yang and promoting blood movement in recurrent UTIs in post-menopausal women. *ACTA Universitatis Traditionis Medicalis Sinensis Pharm Shanghai* 2007;21(3):46–7.

Shu 2007 {published data only}

Shu J, Wu ZY, Xu B. Experience of Dr Wu ZY in the treatment of recurrent UTIs. *Liaoning Zhongyi Xueyuan Xuebao [Journal of Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2007;9(6):96–7.

Tong 2011 {published data only}

Tong YN. Clinical observation of a combination of Chinese and Western medicine in the treatment of 32 older women with recurrent UTIs. *Jilin Hospital Journal* 2011;32(11): 2156–7.

Tu 2002 {published data only}

Tu X, Sun JS. Clinical observation of tonify the kidneys and supplement Qi method to prevent recurrent UTIs. *Journal of Zhejiang Integrated medicine* 2002;12(7):441–2.

Wang 2009 {published data only}

Wang JW, Wang XJ. Use of San Jian Pian in the treatment of 60 cases of recurrent UTIs. *Chinese Clinical Journal of Rational Drug Use* 2009;2(18):51.

Wu 2011 {published data only}

Wu QX. Clinical study of the Qingxin Lianzi Yin in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infection in 33 cases. *Xiandai Zhongyiyao [Modern Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2011;31(6):29–30.

Xu 2009 {published data only}

Xu WW, Chen XH. Improvement of immune state in female patients with recurrent urinary tract infection with Sanjin tablet. *Chinese Journal of Difficult and Complicated Cases* 2009;8(1):23–5.

Xu 2013 {published data only}

Xu ZY. Improvement in immune function in patients with RUTIs using San Jin Pian. *Acta Universitatis Traditionis Medicalis Sinensis Pharmacologiaeque Shanghai* 2013;27(1): 30–3.

Yang 2007 {published data only}

Yang YZ. Clinical observation of Zishen Tongguan Liqi Huoxue method in the treatment of recurrent urinary tract infection. *Shiyong Zhongyiyao Zazhi [Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2007;23(7):434.

Yang 2012 {published data only}

Yang ZW. Clinical observation of treatment of ziyintonglin in 36 cases of women with recurrent urinary tract infections. *China Foreign Medical Treatment* 2007;31(13):48,50.

Yu 2009 {published data only}

Yu H. Clinical observation of clear heat and tonify kidneys in post menopausal women with RUTIs. *Zhongyiyao Linchuang Zazhi [Clinical Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 2009;**21**(4):306–8.

Zhai 2006 {published data only}

Zhai XL. Preventative and curative effect of Liu Wei Di Huang Wan in the treatment of post-menopausal recurrent UTIs. *World Science & Technology of TCM & Materia Medica* 2006;**8**(2):99–101.

Zhan 2007 {published data only}

Zhan YL, Li XY, Wu SX. Clinical observation on effect of compound Shiwei Tablet in treating urinary tract infection. *Zhongguo Zhongyiyi Jiehe Zazhi [Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine]* 2007;**27**(3):249–51. MEDLINE: 17432690

Zhang 1998 {published data only}

Zhang JH. The primacy of tonifying the Kidneys in the treatment of recurrent UTIs. *Beijing Zhongyi [Beijing Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine]* 1998;**4**:25.

Zhang 2005b {published data only}

Zhang M, Zhang D, Xu Y, Duo X, Zhang W. A clinical study on the treatment of urinary infection with Zishen Tongli Jiaonang. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine* 2005;**25**(3):182–5. MEDLINE: 16334719

Zhang 2013 {published data only}

Zhang YZ, Hao YQ, Cji JM. The use of adapted Qing Xin (clear the heart) Lin Zi Yin in the treatment of 40 cases of Qi and Yin deficiency related recurrent UTIs. *Heilong Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine* 2013;**1**:15.

Zhou 2007 {published data only}

Zhou X, Li G. 40 cases using Xiao Chai Hu Tang and Liu Wei Di Huang Tang in the treatment of recurrent UTIs. *Shiyong Zhongyi Neike Zazhi [Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Internal Medicine]* 2007;**21**(7):66.

References to studies awaiting assessment

Yin 2011 {published data only}

Yin M, Zhang H, Xu X, Chen Y. Effects of sanjin tablets on T lymphocyte subsets of peripheral blood of women with recurrent urinary tract infection. *Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi [China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica]* 2011;**36**(16): 2294–6. MEDLINE: 22097348

References to ongoing studies

ISRCTN95402719 {published data only}

Flower A, Lewith G. A randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled, feasibility study exploring the role of Chinese herbal medicine in the treatment of women with recurrent urinary tract infections. <http://www.isrctn.com/> ISRCTN95402719 2015.

Additional references

Albert 2004

Albert X, Huertas I, Pereiró II, Sanfélix J, Gosálbes V, Perrotta C. Antibiotics for preventing recurrent urinary tract infection in non-pregnant women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001209.pub2]

Aydin 2014

Aydin A, Ahmed K, Zaman I, Khan MS, Dasgupta PP. Recurrent urinary tract infections in women. *International Urogynecology Journal* 2014;**4**(1):1–10.

Bensky 2004

Bensky D, Clavey S, Stoger E. *Chinese Herbal Medicine: Materia Medica*. 3rd Edition. Vol. 1, Eastland Press, 2004. [ISBN–13: 978–0939616428]

Butler 2006

Butler CC, Hillier S, Roberts Z, Dunstan F, Howard A, Palmer S. Antibiotic-resistant infections in primary care are symptomatic for longer and increase workload: outcomes for patients with E. coli UTIs. *British Journal of General Practice* 2006;**56**(530):686–92. MEDLINE: 16954001

Car 2003

Car J, Sheikh A. Recurrent urinary tract infection in women. *BMJ* 2003;**327**(7425):1204. MEDLINE: 14630757

Chen 2004

Chen JK, Chen TT. *Chinese medical herbology and pharmacology*. 1st Edition. City of Industry: Art of Medicine Press, 2004. [ISBN: 0–9740635–0–9]

Christiaens 2002

Christiaens TC, De Meyere M, Verschraegen G, Peersman W, Heytens S, De Maeseneer JM. Randomised controlled trial of nitrofurantoin versus placebo in the treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infection in adult women. *British Journal of General Practice* 2002;**52**(482):729–34. MEDLINE: 12236276

Falagas 2008

Falagas ME, Athanasiou S, Iavazzo C, Tokas T, Antsaklis A. Urinary tract infections after pelvic floor gynecological surgery: prevalence and effect of antimicrobial prophylaxis. A systematic review. *International Urogynecology Journal* 2008;**19**(8):1165–72. MEDLINE: 18401538

Flower 2011

Flower A, Lewith G, Little P. Combining rigour with relevance: a novel methodology for testing Chinese herbal medicine. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 2011;**134**(2): 373–8. MEDLINE: 21187138

Flower 2014

Flower A, Bishop FL, Lewith G. How women manage recurrent urinary tract infections: an analysis of postings on a popular web forum. *BMC Family Practice* 2014;**15**(1): 1–8. MEDLINE: 25260870

Foxman 2010

Foxman B. The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. *Nature Reviews Urology* 2010;**7**(12):653–60. MEDLINE: 21139641

Franco 2005

Franco AV. Recurrent urinary tract infections. *Best Practice & Research. Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2005;**19**(6): 861–73. MEDLINE: 16298166

Harding 1982

Harding GK, Ronald AR, Nicolle LE, Thomson MJ, Gray GJ. Long-term antimicrobial prophylaxis for recurrent urinary tract infection in women. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases* 1982;**4**(2):438–43. MEDLINE: 6981161

Higgins 2011

Higgins JP, Green S (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Hooton 1996

Hooton TM, Scholes D, Hughes JP, Winter C, Roberts PL, Stapleton AE, et al. A prospective study of risk factors for symptomatic urinary tract infection in young women. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1996;**335**(7):468–74. MEDLINE: 8672152

Huang 1999

Huang KC. *The Pharmacology of Chinese herbs*. 2nd Edition. London: CRC Press, 1999. [Print ISBN: 978-0-8493-1665-4]

Jepson 2012

Jepson RG, Williams G, Craig JC. Cranberries for preventing urinary tract infections. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 10. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001321.pub5]

Kumarasamy 2010

Kumarasamy KK, Toleman MA, Walsh TR, Bagaria J, Butt F, Balakrishnan R, et al. Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2010;**10**(9):597–602. MEDLINE: 20705517

Kunin 1994

Kunin CM. Urinary tract infections in females. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1994;**18**(1):1–10. MEDLINE: 8054415

Leydon 2010

Leydon GM, Turner S, Smith H, Little P. Women's views about management and cause of urinary tract infection: qualitative interview study. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c279. MEDLINE: 20139217

Little 2010

Little P, Merriman R, Turner S, Rumsby K, Warner G, Lowes JA, et al. Presentation, pattern, and natural course of severe symptoms, and role of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance among patients presenting with suspected uncomplicated urinary tract infection in primary care: observational study. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:b5633. MEDLINE: 20139213

Little 2010a

Little P, Moore MV, Turner S, Rumsby K, Warner G, Lowes JA, et al. Effectiveness of five different approaches

in management of urinary tract infection: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c199. MEDLINE: 20139214

Liu 1987

Liu P. Care of patients with acute urinary tract infection treated by Chinese herbal drugs. *Chung-Hua Hu Li Tsa Chih [Chinese Journal of Nursing]* 1987;**22**(3):116. MEDLINE: 3650136

Maciocia 1994

Maciocia G. *The practice of Chinese medicine*. 1st Edition. London: Churchill Livingstone, 1994.

Mazzulli 2002

Mazzulli T. Resistance trends in urinary tract pathogens and impact on management. *Journal of Urology* 2002;**168**(4 Pt 2):1720–2. MEDLINE: 12352343

Milo 2005

Milo G, Katchman E, Paul M, Christiaens T, Baerheim A, Leibovici L. Duration of antibacterial treatment for uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2005, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004682.pub2]

National Guidelines 1993

Committee. National Guidelines on Clinical Research of Novel Traditional Chinese Herbs for urinary tract infections. In: Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China, editor(s). *National Guidelines on Clinical Research of Novel Traditional Chinese Herbs*. 1. Vol. 1, Beijing: Ministry of Health of the People's Republic of China, 1993: 153.

Peng 2010

Peng MM, Fang Y, Hu W, Huang Q. The pharmacological activities of Compound Salvia Plebeia Granules on treating urinary tract infection. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 2010;**129**(1):59–63. MEDLINE: 20211234

Renard 2014

Renard J, Ballarini S, Mascarenhas T, Zahran M, Quimper E, Choucair J, et al. Recurrent lower urinary tract infections have a detrimental effect on patient quality of life: a prospective, observational study. *Infectious Diseases & Therapy* 2014;**4**(1):1–11.

Sanford 1975

Sanford JP. Urinary tract symptoms and infections. *Annual Review of Medicine* 1975;**26**:485–98. MEDLINE: 1096777

Silverman 2013

Silverman JA, Schreiber HL, Hooton TM, Hultgren SJ. From physiology to pharmacy: developments in the pathogenesis and treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections. *Current Urology Reports* 2013;**14**(5):448–56. MEDLINE: 23832844

Stapleton 1999

Stapleton A. Host factors in susceptibility to urinary tract infections. *Advances in Experimental Medicine & Biology* 1999;**462**:351–8. MEDLINE: 10599438

Stavri 2007

Stavri M, Piddock LJ, Gibbons S. Bacterial efflux pump inhibitors from natural sources. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2007;**59**(6):1247–60. MEDLINE: 17145734

Tong 2011a

Tong QY, Wu QC, Zhao DK, Liu YR, Cao MM, Zhang LP, et al. Effects of Chinese herbs on the hemagglutination and adhesion of *Escherichia coli* strain in vitro. *African Journal of Traditional Complementary & Alternative Medicine* 2011;**8**(1):82–7. MEDLINE: 22238488

Xu 1989

Xu JF, Liu BH, Cui XM, Sun SL, Yu PJ, Gao RJ, et al. Observation on therapeutic effect of clearing heat and dredging stranguria in 103 cases of urinary tract infection. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine* 1989;**9**(3):163–5. MEDLINE: 2615443

Yan 2008

Yan D, Jin C, Xiao XH, Dong XP. Antimicrobial properties of berberines alkaloids in *Coptis chinensis* Franch by

microcalorimetry. *Journal of Biochemical & Biophysical Methods* 2008;**70**(6):845–9. MEDLINE: 17804078

Zhang 2005

Zhang M, Zhang D, Xu Y, Duo X, Zhang W. A clinical study on the treatment of urinary infection with Zishen Tongli Jiaonang. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine* 2005;**25**(3):182–5. MEDLINE: 16334719

Zhu 1998

Zhu YP. *Chinese Materia Medica-Chemistry, pharmacology and applications*. Amsterdam: Harwood, 1998. [ISBN: 9789057022852]

References to other published versions of this review**Flower 2013**

Flower A, Lewith G, Liu JP, Li Q. Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010446]

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Chen 2008

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study design: RCT Study duration: 6 weeks active treatment Study follow-up: 6 months
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Country: China Setting: outpatients of Yue Yang and Shanghai hospitals of TCM Women were selected from those presenting with the traditional syndromes of kidney Yang deficiency with retained damp and toxic heat Number: treatment group (30); control group (30) Mean age (years): treatment group (61.73); control group (58.85) Sex: female Mean duration of disease (years): treatment group (1.97); control group (2.12)
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The active treatment combined CHM with acute antibiotic treatment (prescribed after cultured urine and bacterial sensitivity testing) for 14 days followed by a reduced nightly dose of prophylactic antibiotics given over a 6-week period. The CHM was comprised of a modified Er Xian Tang prescription: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Curculigo orchioide</i>s Gaertn. (Xian Mao) 10 g, <i>Epimedium grandiflorum</i> var. <i>thunbergianum</i> (Miq.) Nakai (Xian Ling Pi) 10 g, <i>Morinda officinalis</i> F.C.How (Ba Ji Tian) 9 g, <i>Anemarrhena asphodeloides</i> Bunge (Zhi Mu) 15 g, <i>Phellodendron amurense</i> Rupr.(Huang Bai) 15 g, <i>Angelica sinensis</i> (Oliv.) Diels (Dang Gui) 9 g, <i>Taraxacum mongolicum</i> Hand-Mazz. (Pu Gong Ying) 15 g, <i>Viola philippica</i> Cav. (Zi Hua Di Ding) 15 g. The herbs were boiled in water to produce a herbal decoction which was taken morning and evening for 6 weeks. CHM treatment was further modified so that if there was urinary frequency and urgency <i>Dianthus superbus</i> L. (Qu Mai) and <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L.(Bian Xu) were added; if there was weakness and fatigue <i>Dioscorea oppositifolia</i> L. (Shan Yao), <i>Cornus officinalis</i> Siebold and Zucc. (Shan Zhu Yu) were added; if there was lower abdominal pain then <i>Lindera aggregata</i> (Sims) Kosterm (Wu Yao) and <i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Mill. (Xiao Hui Xiang) were added; and if there was dry mouth and lower back weakness then <i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> Thunb (Nu Zhen Zi) and <i>Eclipta prostrata</i> L. (Han Lian Cao) were added; and finally if there was lower back pain and signs of blood stasis then <i>Prunus persica</i> L. Batsch (Tao Ren) and <i>Carthamus tinctorius</i> L. (Hong Hua) were added <p>Control group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The same antibiotic regimen as described for the intervention arm
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effective treatment Recent infection at 6 months Adverse reactions
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eight participants dropped out (4 from each group); 2 were found not to meet the entry criteria after being enrolled (one having pelvic inflammatory disease and the

	other diabetes) and 6 failed to complete the study (3 from each group). The study results were not reported with an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, this has been provided in brackets beside the reported results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The participants were rated based on changes in the severity of their symptoms (in accordance with National Guidelines for Clinical Research of CHM treatments of urinary tract infections). Results were grouped as 'cure', 'significant improvement', 'improvement': which were aggregated into an overall score of 'effective treatment', and 'ineffective' • Funding: not reported 	
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A random numbers table was used. Base-line equivalence was established between groups
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	It was not possible to blind participants because of physical differences between a decoction and a pill
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	ITT analysis was not undertaken
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors Outcomes used were not internationally validated or available for review in the study report Funding source not reported

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Study design: RCT• Study duration: 8 weeks• Follow-up: 6 months	
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Country: China• Setting: outpatients clinics at Yue Yang and Shanghai hospitals of TCM• Women were selected from those presenting with the traditional syndromes of kidney Yang deficiency and retained damp and toxic heat• Number: treatment group (40); control group (40)• Mean age (years): treatment group (59.35); control group (59.20)• Sex: female• Mean duration of disease (years): treatment group (4.68); control group (5.83)	
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• After 14 days antibiotic treatment for acute UTI participants were randomised to Er Xian Tang comprising:<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ <i>Curculigo orchioides</i> Gaertn. (Xian Mao) 10 g, <i>Epimedium grandiflorum</i> var. <i>thunbergianum</i> (Miq.) Nakai (Xian Ling Pi) 10 g, <i>Morinda officinalis</i> F.C.How (Ba Ji Tian) 9 g, <i>Anemarrhena asphodeloides</i> Bunge (Zhi Mu) 15 g, <i>Phellodendron amurense</i> Rupr.(Huang Bai) 15 g, <i>Angelica sinensis</i> (Oliv.) Diels (Dang Gui) 9 g, <i>Taraxacum mongolicum</i> Hand-Mazz. (Pu Gong Ying) 15 g, <i>Viola philippica</i> Cav. (Zi Hua Di Ding) 15 g.• The herbs were boiled in water to produce a herbal decoction which was taken morning and evening for 8 weeks <p>Control group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• After 14 days of antibiotic treatment for an acute UTI the study participants were randomised to San Jin Pian - an over the counter herbal remedy comprising:<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ <i>Radix Rosa laevigata</i> Michx. (Jin Ying Gen), <i>Rhizoma Smilax china</i> L. (Ba Qia), <i>Herba Lygodium japonicum</i> (Thunb.) Sw. (Hai Jin Sha), <i>Herba Centella asiatica</i> (L.) Urb. (Ji Xue Cao), <i>Lysimachia christinae</i> Hance (Gold Coin Grass, Jin Qian Cao).• 3 tablets 3 times/d	
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Effective treatment at 8 weeks• Post-study UTI at 6 months• Serious adverse reactions	
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• As with Chen 2008 participants were rated based on changes in symptom severity (in accordance with National Guidelines for Clinical Research of CHM treatments for UTIs). Results were grouped as 'cure', 'significant improvement', 'improvement': aggregated into an overall score of 'effective treatment', and 'ineffective'• Funding: not reported	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A random numbers table was used. Base-line equivalence was established between groups

Gu 2011 (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	It was not possible to blind participants because of physical differences between a decoction and a pill
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	All participants completed the study
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors Outcomes used were not internationally validated or available for review in the study report Funding source not reported

Luo 2011

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study design: open RCT • Study duration: 3 weeks • Follow-up: 6 months
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Country: China • Setting: Hubei Provincial Hospital of TCM outpatients • Women were selected from those presenting with the traditional syndromes of kidney Yang deficiency and retained damp and toxic heat • Number: treatment group (30); control group (30) • Mean age (years): treatment group (42); control group (40) • Sex: female • Duration of disease (range, years): treatment group (0.75 to 23); control group (0.5 to 21)
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment combined 1 week of antibiotic treatment (selected after urine culture and microbial sensitivity tests) with two weeks of the Chinese herbal formula Bushen Tonglin Tang administered as a herbal decoction and comprised of the following herbs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>Taraxacum mongolicum</i> Hand-Mazz. (Pu Gong Ying) 15 g, <i>Viola philippica</i> Cav. (Zi Hua Di Ding) 15 g, <i>Phellodendron amurense</i> Rupr. (Huang Bai) 15 g, <i>Dianthus superbus</i> L. (Qu Mai) 15 g and <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L. (Bian Xu) 15 g, <i>Talcum</i> (Hua Shi) 10 g, <i>Rehmannia glutinosa</i> (Gaertn.) DC. (Sheng Di Huang) 15 g,

	<i>Atractylodes macrocephala</i> Koidz (Chao Bai Zhu) 15 g, <i>Dioscorea oppositifolia</i> L. (Shan Yao), <i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fisch. (Sheng Gan Cao) 5 g. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The resultant liquid was split into two doses and taken morning and evening for 14 days Control group <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 14 days of antibiotic treatment selected after urine culture and microbial sensitivity tests	
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cured• Evidence of bacteriuria at 4 weeks post-treatment• Safety data were not reported	
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• This study is an unpublished Master’s thesis• Funding: not reported	
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Bias	Authors’ judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A computer generated random numbers table was used for randomisation
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Participants could not be blinded because of the physical difference between decoction and antibiotic tablet
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	All 60 participants completed the study and provided data at 6 months follow-up
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors Outcomes were not internationally validated or available for review in the study report Funding source not reported

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study design: open RCT Study duration: 4 weeks Follow-up: 6 months
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Country: China Setting: Beijing Air Force and Beijing Liberation Army Hospital outpatients Women were selected from those presenting with the traditional syndromes of kidney Yang deficiency and retained damp and toxic heat; recruited with symptoms of an acute UTI and positive urine bacterial culture with a history of recurrent UTIs lasting more than 1 year Number: treatment group (48); control group (48) Mean age (years): treatment group (70.1); control group (67.2) Sex: female Mean duration of disease (years): treatment group (96.4); control group (6.8)
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qing Re Jie Du Tiao Gan Tang until urine cultures became negative. Qing Re Jie Du Tiao Gan Tang comprised: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Bupleurum chinense</i> DC.(Chai Hu) 10 g, <i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i> Georg. (Huang Qin) 15 g, <i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i> Willd. ex Spreng. (Hu Zhang) 15 g, <i>Verbena officinalis</i> L. (Ma Bian Cao) 15 g, Talcum (Hua Shi) 15g, <i>Dianthus superbus</i> L. (Qu Mai) 15 g, <i>Lophatherum gracile</i> Brongn (Dan Zhu Ye) 6 g, <i>Paris polyphylla</i> var. <i>yunnanensis</i> (Franch.) Hand-Mazz. (Cao He Che) 15 g, <i>Forsythia suspensa</i> (Thunb.) Vahl (Lian Qiao) 15 g, <i>Hedyotis diffusa</i> Willd. (Bai Hua She She Cao) 15 g, <i>Semen Plantago asiatica</i> L. (Che Qian Zi) 20 g, <i>Paeonia lactiflora</i> Pall. (Bai Shao) 20 g, <i>Curcuma aromatica</i> Salisb. (Yu Jin) 15 g, <i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fisch. (Sheng Gan Cao) 10 g Herbs were boiled to produce a decoction taken twice daily Once the urine culture was found to be negative participants in this arm were prescribed Bu Shen Jian Pi Huo Xue Jie Du Tang (nourish the kidney, strengthen the spleen, move blood, and eliminate toxins decoction) which was comprised of: <i>Astragalus membranaceus</i> (Fisch.) Bunge (Huang Qi) 20 g, <i>Cornus officinalis</i> Sieb. et Zucc. (Shan Zhu Yu) 20 g, <i>Epimedium brevicornu</i> Maxim. (Ying Yang Huo) 10 g, <i>Atractylodes macrocephala</i> Koidz (Bai Zhu) 15 g, <i>Poria cocos</i> (Schw) (Fu Ling) 20 g, <i>Salvia miltiorrhiza</i> Bunge (Dan Shen) 20 g, <i>Ligusticum striatum</i> DC. (Chuan Xiong) 10 g, <i>Bupleurum chinense</i> DC (Chai Hu) 10 g, <i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i> Georg. (Huang Qin) 15 g, <i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i> Willd. ex Spreng. (Hu Zhang) 15 g, <i>Verbena officinalis</i> L. (Ma Bian Cao) 15 g, Talcum (Hua Shi) 15 g, <i>Paeonia lactiflora</i> Pall (Bai Shao) 20 g, <i>Curcuma aromatica</i> Salisb. (Yu Jin) 15 g, <i>Rehmannia glutinosa</i> (Gaertn.) DC. (Sheng Di Huang) 20 g, <i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fisch. (Sheng Gan Cao) 10 g Herbs were boiled to produce a decoction taken twice daily <p>Control group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Levofloxacin 0.2 g twice daily. Once the urine bacterial culture became negative then treatment was reduced to a nightly dose of levofloxacin 0.2 g for a further 2 weeks <p>Duration of treatment in both groups was not more than 4 weeks</p>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effective treatment (judged according to urine culture and symptomatic changes in urine frequency, urgency, and dysuria) Recurrent UTI at 6-month follow-up

Ma 2011 (Continued)

Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No explanation was given for the variation in numbers at 6 months follow-up• Funding: not reported	
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A random numbers table was used. Base-line equivalence was established between groups
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	It was not possible to blind participants because of the physical differences between decoction and pill
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No participant dropouts. However there was a disproportionate loss to follow-up in the control group that could bias the reported results
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors Outcomes were not internationally validated or available for review in the study report Participant preference bias could exist with regard to whether herbs or antibiotics were preferred or selected. This was not assessed Funding source not reported

Qin 2004

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study design: double blind RCT • Study duration: 4 weeks • Follow-up: 6 months
---------	--

Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Country: China Setting: Hebei hospital of TCM; inpatients and outpatients Women were selected from those presenting with the traditional syndromes of kidney Yang deficiency and retained damp and toxic heat Number: treatment group (30); control group (30) Mean age (years): treatment group (46.1); control group (42.4) Sex: female Mean duration of disease $\pm$ SD (years): treatment group (3.89 ± 1.72); control group (4.12 ± 1.85)
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ning Mi Tai: 4 capsules, 3 times/d <p>Control group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> San Jin: 4 capsules, 3 times/d <p>Details of the herbal components of the two capsules were not adequately reported</p>
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recurrent infection at 6-month follow-up
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding source not reported

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A computer generated random numbers table was used
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No dropouts
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	<p>No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors</p> <p>Outcomes were not internationally validated or available for review in the study</p>

		report Funding source not reported
--	--	---------------------------------------

Shen 2007

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study design: open RCT Study duration: 4 weeks Follow-up: 3 months
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Country: China Setting: Shanghai Hospital of TCM; outpatients Number: treatment group (52); control group (52) Mean age (years): treatment group (65); control group (67) Sex: female Mean duration of disease (years): treatment group (6.3); control group (5.8)
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bai Tou Weng Tang and Er Xian Tang which comprised: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Anemone chinensis</i> Bunge (Bai Tou Weng) 15 g, <i>Phellodendron amurense</i> Rupr. (Huang Bai) 15 g, <i>Coptis chinensis</i> Franch. (Huang Lian) 6 g, <i>Fraxinus chinensis</i> subsp. <i>rhynchophylla</i> (Hance) A.E.Murray (Qin Pi) 12 g, <i>Anemarrhena asphodeloides</i> Bunge (Zhi Mu) 15 g, <i>Curculigo orchioides</i> Gaertn. (Xian Mao) 6 g, <i>Epimedium grandiflorum</i> var. <i>thunbergianum</i> (Miq.) Nakai (Xian Ling Pi) 6 g, <i>Angelica sinensis</i> (Oliv.) Diels (Dang Gui) 9 g, <i>Morinda officinalis</i> F.C.How (Ba Ji Tian) 9 g. If participants reported urgency, frequency and pain then <i>Taraxacum mongolicum</i> Hand-Mazz. (Pu Gong Ying) 15 g, <i>Herba Plantago asiatica</i> L. (Che Qian Cao) 15 g and <i>Herba Scutellaria barbata</i> D.Don (Ban Zhi Lian) 15 g were added 150 mL of this decoction was taken twice daily for 4 weeks <p>Control</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ofloxacin capsule 0.2 g twice daily. If not effective, different antibiotics that had been chosen after testing to ensure microbial sensitivity were used. Treatment was for 4 weeks
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effective treatment at 4 weeks Recurrence at 3 months
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funding source not reported

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A random numbers table was used. Baseline equivalence was established between groups
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported

Shen 2007 (Continued)

Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	It was not possible to blind participants because of the physical differences between a decoction and a pill
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No dropouts or losses to follow-up
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors Outcomes were not internationally validated or available for review in the study report Participant preference bias could exist with regard to whether they wanted to take herbs or antibiotics. This was not assessed Funding source not reported

Zhao 2011

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Study design: open RCT Study duration: 4 months Follow-up: 6 months
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Country: China Setting: Beijing Hospital of TCM; outpatients All women had symptoms of a UTI and positive bacterial urine cultures Number: treatment group (42); control group (40) Mean age (years): treatment group (56.1); control group (56.8) Sex: female Duration of disease (range, $\pm$ SD (years)): treatment group (1 to 12, 3.6); control group (1.5 to 10.5, 3.2)
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prescribed a formula aimed to clear liver fire, drain damp, invigorate the spleen, and nourish the kidneys using the following herbs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Bupleurum chinense</i> DC. (Chai Hu) 10 g, <i>Gardenia jasminoides</i> J.Ellis ((Zhi) Zhi Zi) 10 g, <i>Citrus reticulata</i> Blanco (Qing Pi) 10 g, <i>Lindera aggregata</i> (Sims) Kosterm. (Wu Yao) 10 g, <i>Dianthus superbus</i> L. (Qu Mai) 15 g, Talcum (Hua Shi) 10 g, <i>Smilax glabra</i> Roxb. (Tu Fu Ling) 20 g, <i>Hedyotis diffusa</i> Willd. (Bai Hua She She Cao) 30 g, <i>Herba Plantago asiatica</i> L. (Che Qian Cao) 10 g, <i>Rehmannia glutinosa</i> (Gaertn.)

	DC. (Shu Di Huang) 10 g, <i>Lycium chinense</i> Mill. (Gou Qi Zi 15 g), <i>Epimedium grandiflorum</i> var. <i>thunbergianum</i> (Miq.) Nakai (Xian Ling Pi) 10 g, <i>Poria cocos</i> (Schw) (Fu Ling) 10 g, <i>Atractylodes macrocephala</i> Koidz (Chao Bai Zhu) 15 g, <i>Angelica sinensis</i> (Oliv.) Diels (Dang Gui) 12 g, <i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fisch. (Zhi Gan Cao) 10 g <ul style="list-style-type: none">○ This formula was modified according to the individual participant presentation● Herbs were taken for a total of 4 months. For the first 2 months 200 mL was taken twice daily but for the second 2 months this dose was halved to 200 mL/d Control group <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Antibiotics were selected according to microbial sensitivity testing	
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Effective treatment● Recurrent UTI at 6 months● Kidney and liver function● The study also reported positive changes in immune parameters (IgA, IgG, IgM, CD4, CD 8 and CD4/CD8 ratios) in the CHM group that did not appear in the antibiotic group	
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Funding source not reported	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	A random numbers table was used. Base-line equivalence was established between groups
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not reported
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	It was not possible to blind participants because of the physical differences between a decoction and a pill
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not reported
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No dropouts or losses to follow-up
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Study protocol was unavailable
Other bias	Unclear risk	No power calculation was performed to determine the required sample size to adequately power the study to detect type 1 and type 2 errors Outcomes were not internationally validated or available for review in the study

Zhao 2011 (Continued)

		report Participant preference bias could exist with regard to whether they wanted to take herbs or antibiotics. This was not assessed Funding source not reported
--	--	---

CHM - Chinese herbal medicine; TCM - traditional Chinese medicine; UTI - urinary tract infection

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Albrecht 2007	Wrong intervention: not CHM
Chai 2008	Wrong population: not recurrent UTI
Ding 2010	Wrong population: acute UTI
Flower 2012	Observational study with no control group
Guo 2013	Observational study with no control group
Hou 2011	Case history
Huang 2007	Inadequate randomisation: allocation by odd or even number of order of admission
Li 2006b	Wrong population: acute UTI
Li 2007	Observational study with no control group
Liao 2005	Observational study with no control group
Liu 2005	Observational study with no control group
Liu 2011	Observational study with no control group
Liu 2012a	Inadequate randomisation. This study used a 2:1 randomisation for a very small population with no power calculation. Study compared 20 active versus 10 control participants and 40 active vs. 20 control participants. We do not know if these were sufficient numbers to inform meaningful comparison between groups. Adding these unequal groups together and comparing with Western medicine could create unreliable selection bias
Liu 2012b	Did not meet inclusion criteria - it includes both men and women

(Continued)

Liu 2013	Inadequate randomisation, baseline equivalence and outcome measures
Lu 2008	Insufficient reporting of randomisation method
Peng 2007	Wrong interventions: CHM administered by injection
Peng 2009	Insufficient randomisation method reporting and uneven participant allocation
Qin 2007	Inadequate randomisation
Shu 2007	Observational study with no control group
Tong 2011	Wrong population: included men and women
Tu 2002	Observational study with no control group
Wang 2009	An observational study with no control group
Wu 2011	Insufficient reporting on randomisation method
Xu 2013	Insufficient reporting on randomisation method
Xu 2009	Insufficient reporting on randomisation method
Yang 2007	Insufficient reporting on randomisation method
Yang 2012	We found a 20% difference in group size between study arms which suggests poor randomisation, or possibly an undeclared bias or dropout rate that is not accounted for in an ITT analysis. Follow-up period was for 6 weeks only
Yu 2009	Insufficient information on randomisation method
Zhai 2006	Insufficient information on randomisation method
Zhan 2007	Wrong population: acute UTI
Zhang 1998	Observational study with no control group
Zhang 2005b	Observational study with no control group
Zhang 2013	Observational study with no control group
Zhou 2007	Observational study with no control group

CCHM - Chinese herbal medicine; ITT - intention-to-treat; UTI - urinary tract infection

Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment *[ordered by study ID]*

Yin 2011

Methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study design: open RCT • Study duration: 3 months • Follow-up: 3 months
Participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Country: China • Number: treatment group 30; control group 28 • Sex: female
Interventions	<p>Treatment group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intervention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Sanjin tablet for 3 months • Control <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ low dose antibiotics for 3 months
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • After treatment, the CD3+, CD4+ T cells and CD4+/CD8+ in peripheral blood of the treatment group were enhanced compared with untreated and the control group ($P < 0.05$) • Recurrence rate of the treatment group were significantly better than the control group ($P < 0.01$)
Notes	Authors were contacted to request further information about methods applied to measure clinical outcomes as opposed to assessing immune parameters and bacteriuria

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. CHM versus antibiotics

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Effectiveness	3	282	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.21 [1.11, 1.33]
2 Recurrence	3	282	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.28 [0.09, 0.82]

Comparison 2. CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Effectiveness	2	120	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.24 [1.04, 1.47]
2 Recurrence	2	120	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.53 [0.35, 0.80]

Comparison 3. CHM versus CHM

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Effectiveness	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
2 Recurrence	2	140	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.40 [0.21, 0.77]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 CHM versus antibiotics, Outcome 1 Effectiveness.

Review: Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Comparison: 1 CHM versus antibiotics

Outcome: 1 Effectiveness

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 CHM versus antibiotics, Outcome 2 Recurrence.

Review: Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Comparison: 1 CHM versus antibiotics

Outcome: 2 Recurrence

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics, Outcome 1 Effectiveness.

Review: Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Comparison: 2 CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics

Outcome: 1 Effectiveness

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics, Outcome 2 Recurrence.

Review: Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Comparison: 2 CHM plus antibiotics versus antibiotics

Outcome: 2 Recurrence

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 CHM versus CHM, Outcome 1 Effectiveness.

Review: Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Comparison: 3 CHM versus CHM

Outcome: 1 Effectiveness

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 CHM versus CHM, Outcome 2 Recurrence.

Review: Chinese herbal medicine for treating recurrent urinary tract infections in women

Comparison: 3 CHM versus CHM

Outcome: 2 Recurrence

APPENDICES

Appendix I. Electronic search strategies

Database	Search terms
CENTRAL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (urin* near/3 infection*):ti,ab,kw 2. ("uti" or "utis"):ti,ab,kw 3. bacteriuri*:ti,ab,kw 4. pyuri*:ti,ab,kw 5. cystitis:ti,ab,kw 6. (#1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5) 7. ((traditional or integrative) near/3 (chinese or medicine)):ti,ab,kw 8. "chinese medicine":ti,ab,kw 9. herb*:ti,ab,kw 10. ((plant or plants) near/5 (chinese or traditional or extract* or preparation* or medicinal)) 11. phytotherapy:ti,ab,kw 12. "alternative medicine":ti,ab,kw 13. complimentary next therap*:ti,ab,kw 14. (decoction or granule* or pill or pills or tablet*):ti,ab,kw 15. ("shi wei" or shiwei):ti,ab,kw 16. huangbai:ti,ab,kw 17. "ba zheng" 18. "tong lin":ti,ab,kw 19. ("li zhi cao" or "lizhi cao"):ti,ab,kw 20. "tu fu ling":ti,ab,kw 21. (#7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20) 22. (#6 AND #21)
MEDLINE	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Drugs, Chinese Herbal/ 2. Medicine, Traditional/ 3. Medicine, Chinese Traditional/ 4. Phytotherapy/ 5. Plants, Medicinal/ 6. Plant Extracts/ 7. Herbal Medicine/ 8. Plant Preparations/ 9. Drugs, Non-Prescription/ 10. Complementary Therapies/ 11. ((traditional or integrative) adj3 (chinese or medicine)).tw. 12. chinese medicine.tw. 13. ((plant or plants) adj5 (chinese or traditional or extract* or preparation* or medicinal)).tw. 14. herb*.tw. 15. (decoction or granule* or pill or pills or tablet*).tw. 16. or/1-15 17. Urinary Tract Infections/ 18. Bacteriuria/ 19. Pyuria/ 20. Cystitis/

(Continued)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 21. (urin* adj3 infection*).tw. 22. bacteriur*.tw. 23. pyuri*.tw. 24. (uti or utis).tw. 25. cystitis.tw. 26. or/17-25 27. and/16,26
EMBASE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alternative Medicine/ 2. Traditional medicine/ 3. Chinese Medicine/ 4. Herbal Medicine/ 5. Chinese Drug/ 6. Chinese Herb/ 7. Medicinal Plant/ 8. Plant Medicinal Product/ 9. Phytotherapy/ 10. Plant Extract/ 11. Herb/ 12. Non prescription Drug/ 13. ((traditional or integrative) adj3 (chinese or medicine)).tw. 14. chinese medicine.tw. 15. ((plant or plants) adj5 (chinese or traditional or extract* or preparation* or medicinal)).tw. 16. herb*.tw. 17. (decoction or granule* or pill or pills or tablet*).tw. 18. or/1-17 19. Urinary Tract Infection/ 20. Bacteriuria/ 21. Pyuria/ 22. Cystitis/ 23. (urin* adj3 infection*).tw. 24. bacteriur*.tw. 25. pyuri*.tw. 26. (uti or utis).tw. 27. cystitis.tw. 28. or/19-27 29. and/18,28
AMED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. drugs chinese herbal/ 2. traditional medicine chinese/ 3. plants medicinal/ 4. plant extracts/ 5. herbal drugs/ 6. ((traditional or integrative) adj3 (chinese or medicine)).tw. 7. chinese medicine.tw. 8. ((plant or plants) adj5 (chinese or traditional or extract* or preparation*)).tw. 9. herb*.tw. 10. (decoction or granule* or pill or pills or tablet*).tw. 11. or/1-10

(Continued)

	12. urinary tract infections/ 13. cystitis/ 14. bacteriur*.tw. 15. pyuri*.tw. 16. cystitis.tw. 17. (urin* adj3 infection*).tw. 18. (uti or utis).tw. 19. or/12-18 20. and/11,19
CINAHL	S1 (MH "Medicine, Chinese Traditional") S2 (MH "Drugs, Chinese Herbal") S3 (MH "Medicine, Traditional") AND (MH "China") S4 (MH "Medicine, Herbal") AND (MH "China") S5 (MH "Plants, Medicinal") S6 (MH "Plant Extracts") S7 (TI traditional OR integrative) n3 (TI chinese OR medicine) S8 (AB traditional OR integrative) n3 (AB chinese OR medicine) S9 (TI "chinese medicine") OR (AB "chinese medicine") S10 (TI plant OR plants) n5 (TI chinese OR traditional OR extract* OR preparation* OR medicinal) S11 (AB plant OR plants) n5 (AB chinese OR traditional OR extract* OR preparation* OR medicinal) S12 (TI herb*) OR (AB herb*) S13 (TI decoction OR granule* OR pill OR pills OR tablet*) S14 S1 or S2 or S3 or S4 or S5 or S6 or S7 or S8 or S9 or S10 or S11 or S12 or S13 S15 (MH "Urinary Tract Infections") S16 (MH "Bacteriuria") S17 (MH "Cystitis") S18 (TI urin*) n3 (TI infection*) S19 (AB urin*) n3 (AB infection*) S20 (TI uti OR utis) OR (AB uti OR utis) S21 (TI bacteriur* OR pyuri*) OR (AB bacteriur* OR pyuri*) S22 (TI cystitis) OR (AB cystitis) S23 S15 or S16 or S17 or S18 or S19 or S20 or S21 or S22 S24 S14 and S23

Appendix 2. Risk of bias assessment tool

Potential source of bias	Assessment criteria
Random sequence generation Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> Random number table; computer random number generator; coin tossing; shuffling cards or envelopes; throwing dice; drawing of lots; minimization (minimization may be implemented without a random element, and this is considered to be equivalent to being random)

(Continued)

	<p><i>High risk of bias:</i> Sequence generated by odd or even date of birth; date (or day) of admission; sequence generated by hospital or clinic record number; allocation by judgement of the clinician; by preference of the participant; based on the results of a laboratory test or a series of tests; by availability of the intervention</p> <p><i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information about the sequence generation process to permit judgement</p>
<p>Allocation concealment Selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of allocations prior to assignment</p>	<p><i>Low risk of bias:</i> Randomisation method described that would not allow investigator/participant to know or influence intervention group before eligible participant entered in the study (e.g. central allocation, including telephone, web-based, and pharmacy-controlled, randomisation; sequentially numbered drug containers of identical appearance; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes)</p> <p><i>High risk of bias:</i> Using an open random allocation schedule (e.g. a list of random numbers); assignment envelopes were used without appropriate safeguards (e.g. if envelopes were unsealed or non-opaque or not sequentially numbered); alternation or rotation; date of birth; case record number; any other explicitly unconcealed procedure</p> <p><i>Unclear:</i> Randomisation stated but no information on method used is available</p>
<p>Blinding of participants and personnel Performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study</p>	<p><i>Low risk of bias:</i> No blinding or incomplete blinding, but the review authors judge that the outcome is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of participants and key study personnel ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken</p> <p><i>High risk of bias:</i> No blinding or incomplete blinding, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of key study participants and personnel attempted, but likely that the blinding could have been broken, and the outcome is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding</p> <p><i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information to permit judgement</p>
<p>Blinding of outcome assessment Detection bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by outcome assessors</p>	<p><i>Low risk of bias:</i> No blinding of outcome assessment, but the review authors judge that the outcome measurement is not likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of outcome assessment ensured, and unlikely that the blinding could have been broken</p> <p><i>High risk of bias:</i> No blinding of outcome assessment, and the outcome measurement is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding; blinding of outcome assessment, but likely that the blinding</p>

(Continued)

	could have been broken, and the outcome measurement is likely to be influenced by lack of blinding
	<i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information to permit judgement
Incomplete outcome data Attrition bias due to amount, nature or handling of incomplete outcome data	<p><i>Low risk of bias:</i> No missing outcome data; reasons for missing outcome data unlikely to be related to true outcome (for survival data, censoring unlikely to be introducing bias); missing outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with similar reasons for missing data across groups; for dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on the intervention effect estimate; for continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardized difference in means) among missing outcomes not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on observed effect size; missing data have been imputed using appropriate methods</p> <p><i>High risk of bias:</i> Reason for missing outcome data likely to be related to true outcome, with either imbalance in numbers or reasons for missing data across intervention groups; for dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk enough to induce clinically relevant bias in intervention effect estimate; for continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardized difference in means) among missing outcomes enough to induce clinically relevant bias in observed effect size; 'as-treated' analysis done with substantial departure of the intervention received from that assigned at randomisation; potentially inappropriate application of simple imputation</p> <p><i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information to permit judgement</p>
Selective reporting Reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting	<p><i>Low risk of bias:</i> The study protocol is available and all of the study's pre-specified (primary and secondary) outcomes that are of interest in the review have been reported in the pre-specified way; the study protocol is not available but it is clear that the published reports include all expected outcomes, including those that were pre-specified (convincing text of this nature may be uncommon)</p> <p><i>High risk of bias:</i> Not all of the study's pre-specified primary outcomes have been reported; one or more primary outcomes is reported using measurements, analysis methods or subsets of the data (e.g. subscales) that were not pre-specified; one or more reported primary outcomes were not pre-specified (unless clear justification for their reporting is provided, such as an unexpected adverse effect); one or more outcomes of interest in the review are reported incompletely so that they cannot be entered in a meta-analysis; the study report fails to include results for a key outcome</p>

(Continued)

	that would be expected to have been reported for such a study
	<i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information to permit judgement
Other bias Bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table	<i>Low risk of bias:</i> The study appears to be free of other sources of bias
	<i>High risk of bias:</i> Had a potential source of bias related to the specific study design used; stopped early due to some data-dependent process (including a formal-stopping rule); had extreme baseline imbalance; has been claimed to have been fraudulent; had some other problem
	<i>Unclear:</i> Insufficient information to assess whether an important risk of bias exists; insufficient rationale or evidence that an identified problem will introduce bias

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 7 May 2015.

Date	Event	Description
1 June 2017	Amended	Contact details updated.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

1. Draft the protocol: AF, JPL, GL, PL
2. Study selection: QL, AF
3. Extract data from studies: QL, AF
4. Enter data into RevMan: QL, AF
5. Carry out the analysis: AF, QL, JPL
6. Interpret the analysis: AF, QL, JPL, GL, PL
7. Draft the final review: AF
8. Disagreement resolution: JPL, GL
9. Update the review: AF

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- Andrew Flower: is currently receiving a postdoctoral grant from the UK National Institute of Health Research to investigate the possible role of CHM in the treatment of recurrent UTIs. He is also a Chinese herbal practitioner who treats patients with UTIs and lectures on the subject

- Li-Qiong Wang: none known
- George Lewith: none known
- Jian Ping Liu: none known
- Qing Li: none known

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- Beijing University of Chinese Medicine (2011-CXTD-09), China.

External sources

- National Institute of Health Research, UK.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

We proposed using funnel plots to assess the possible impact of small study bias or reporting bias; subgroup analysis to assess possible sources of study heterogeneity; and sensitivity analysis to assess the impact of a number of factors on study findings. We also planned to report on possible adverse effects from CHM. However, the limited number and small size of included studies meant there were insufficient data to meet these objectives.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Phytotherapy; Acute Disease; Drugs, Chinese Herbal [*therapeutic use]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Recurrence; Urinary Tract Infections [*drug therapy; prevention & control]

MeSH check words

Adult; Aged; Female; Humans; Middle Aged