The use of adjuvant local antibiotic hydroxyapatite bio-composite in the management of open Gustilo Anderson type IIIB fractures. A prospective review

Noman Jahangir^{a,*}, Noman Niazi^a, Ahmed Aljawadi^a, Adam Reid^b, Jason Wong^b, Efstathios Drampalos^a, Anand Pillai^a

^a Trauma and Orthopaedics, Wythenshawe Hospital, MFT, Southmoor road, Manchester, M23 9LT, UK

^b Plastic Surgery, Wythenshawe Hospital, MFT, Southmoor road, Manchester, M23 9LT, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Open fractures

Gustilo Anderson IIIB

Local antibiotics

Orthoplastic fix and flap approach

1. Introduction

Open fractures of the tibia are the most common open long bone fracture reported in literature with annual incidence of 3.4 per 100,000.¹ Most of these fractures are secondary to high velocity trauma. Road traffic accident is the cause in over 50% of patients.² Gustilo and Anderson classified open fractures into three subtypes.³ Type I fractures have a less than one cm wound with simple fracture pattern and minimal soft tissue damage. Type II are fractures with wounds of 1–10 cm with moderate soft tissue injury. Type III are severe forms and are further subdivided into; III A > 10 cm wound with adequate soft tissue cover, III B > 10 cm wound requiring plastic surgical soft tissue cover, III C have vascular injury requiring repair. Despite low interobserver agreement, Gustilo Anderson classification remains the most widely used classification system for open fractures.^{4,5} The system also has a prognostic value with complication rates, and infection rates increasing with the grade of the injury.^{4,5} Type III B are the most severe type of open fractures with a historical reported rate of infection in up to 52% cases and up to 16% amputation risk.⁶

The standards of management of open fractures have evolved over the last 40 years. In 1974, Patzakis et al reported on the relative reduction in rate of infection with the use of systemic antibiotics (13.9% without antibiotic therapy v 2.4% with antibiotic therapy).⁷ Patzakis and Wilkins subsequently demonstrated that antibiotic administration within 3 h of the injury had the maximal benefit in comparison to delayed therapy (4.7% infection rate v 7.4% infection rate).⁸ The role of

radical early debridement of the wound in reduction of infection was advocated by various authors (Gustilo 1976,³ Patzakis 1983,⁹ Russel 1990¹⁰). Godina in 1986 demonstrated a significant reduction in infection rates after such fractures with early soft tissue coverage.¹¹ This has been further reinforced by the studies of Caudle (1987),¹² Fisher (1991)¹³ and Gopal (2000).¹⁴ These studies form the evidence base for the current UK standards published by the British Orthopaedic Association (BOA) and British Association of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery (BAPRAS).¹⁵ The guidelines support a combined orthopaedic and plastic surgical management of these complex injuries in a timely manner.

Despite advances in the management of these injuries, deep infection continues to remain a problem especially in III B fractures. Henley in 1998¹⁶ reported a 15% risk of infection and Rohde¹ in 2007 a 10% risk. Doshi in 2017 reviewed and reported on 787 tibial fractures with a 53.8% infection rate with IIIB injuries in comparison to 7.7% infection rate in type I fractures.¹⁷

The use of local antibiotics in open fractures is not a new concept. In the 1800s, Joseph Lister first used carbolic acid on wounds.¹⁸ In 1920, Alexander Fleming advocated the use of local antiseptics to wounds to reduce the burden of bacteria.¹⁹ Jensen in 1939 reported the instillation of sulfanilamide crystals and the reduced infection rate for open fractures.²⁰ Dombrowski and Dunn in 1965 published on the benefits of closed wound irrigation-suction with antibiotics.²¹ Osterman published on a series of 1085 open fractures with significantly lower infection rates with combined adjuvant local antibiotic bead use in comparison to

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: noman.jahangir2@mft.nhs.uk (N. Jahangir).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2019.03.013>

Received 16 January 2019; Accepted 24 March 2019

Available online 09 April 2019

0972-978X/ Crown Copyright © 2019 Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd on behalf of Prof. PK Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. All rights reserved.

systemic antibiotic therapy in isolation (3.7% v 12%).²² The findings of Osterman were further reinforced by Keating et al. (4% deep infection with combined systemic and local adjuvant therapy vs 16% deep infection with systemic antibiotics alone).²³ Craig et al., in 2014 published a systematic review and meta-analysis of the additional benefit of local prophylactic antibiotic therapy for infection rates in open tibia fractures treated with intramedullary nailing with a reduction in infection rates in III B & C fractures from 31.18% to 8.76%.²⁴

Aim of this study is to look at the infection and union rate for Gustilo Anderson IIIB fractures in our unit with fix and flap approach using local antibiotics.

2. Materials and methods

We present a review of prospective data collected on Gustilo Anderson III B open fractures which were managed in our orthopaedic unit. Patients either presented directly or they were referred from other local hospitals after initial debridement and provisional stabilization. As per protocol, all patients received systemic antibiotics (Co-Amoxiclav 1.2 gm IV 8 hourly) at presentation. Additionally, at the time of first debridement, a single dose of Gentamicin at 5 mg/kg (reduced to 3 mg/kg in moderate to severe renal impairment) was administered. Patients with penicillin allergy were given Teicoplanin, Gentamicin and Metronidazole in age and weight appropriate doses. Systemic antibiotic therapy was continued until definitive wound closure. All patients underwent a single stage “fix and flap approach”, with definitive skeletal stabilization, followed by soft tissue coverage in the same sitting. Peri-operatively multiple deep tissue and bone samples were collected and sent to microbiology for extended culture and sensitivity. In this study, we used Cerament G at the fracture site per-operatively after skeletal stabilization to bone voids and gaps. Cerament G is gentamicin eluting synthetic bone graft substitute, in a powder form, mixed into to a paste for application. Cerament G consists of hydroxyapatite and calcium sulphate, which has shown incorporation into bone radiologically in follow up studies.^{25,26} Cerament G contains 17.5 mg/ml of gentamicin as a paste which been designed to have a neutral pH (7.0–7.2), so it does not reduce antibiotic activity. Mixing and injection devices ensure a homogenous distribution of antibiotic, whilst the material properties of Cerament mean that all of this antibiotic is made available for elution and delivered in a controlled fashion. All patients were followed up until fracture union and wound healing. Patient and injury demographics, orthopaedic and plastic surgical treatment details, microbiology, deep infection rates, fracture union rates and complications are presented and compared with published literature.

3. Results

3.1. Patient demographics

Fifty-one patients with mean age of 40.9 years (11–90 yrs) were included. Forty were male (78.4%), 11 were female (21.5%). The mechanism of injury was road traffic accidents in 26 patients (50.9%), work related injuries in eight, a fall from height in nine, blast injuries in four patients and a further four with various other mechanisms. The location of fracture was the tibia in 30 patients (58.8%), pilon and peri-articular ankle in 10 (19.6%) and foot in five (9.8%). Six patients (11.7%) had multiple fractures involving multiple limbs. The location of injury was diaphysis tibia in 30 patients (58.8%), metaphysis in 13 (25.4%) and articular in 8 (15.6%) patients.

3.2. Orthopaedic interventions

The method of fixation was plating in 19 patients (37.2%), circular frame in 16 patients (31.3%) and intramedullary nailing in eight patients (15.6%). Internal fixation was supplemented by external fixation in three patients for additional stability (5.8%). The remaining five

patients (9.8%) had various other fixations such as malleolar screws or krishner wires for foot fractures. All patients had initial wound debridement, washout and provisional fracture stabilization with an external fixator on the next available trauma list, which was within 12 h in 24 patients (47%) and > 12 h in 27 patients (53%). Mean time to first debridement from admission was 11 h (3–19 h). Vacuum dressings were used to temporarily cover the wound. There was a mean interval of 8.2^{1–23} days before patients had definitive surgery and soft tissue coverage. This was due to patient co-morbidities and delay in transfer. For soft tissue cover, a free anterolateral thigh flap was used in 21 patients (41.1%) and a local rotational flap in 23 patients (45%). One patient had latissimus dorsi flap and six patients (11.7%) had other forms of soft tissue cover including split thickness skin grafting. Soft tissue reconstruction was tailored according to the severity of soft tissue loss, availability of healthy tissue for transfer and patient fitness. Fourteen patients (27%) had greater than 50% circumferential loss of bone on anteroposterior and lateral views. Segmental loss of bone was dealt with acute shortening (maximum 4 cm) in three patients.

3.3. Microbiology results

Microbiology culture results from deep tissue samples collected at the time of definitive surgery were available for all except one patient. 28 samples (54.9%) were culture negative. 14 samples (27.4%) grew a single organism and eight (15.6%) grew mixed organisms at time of definitive fixation and flap surgery. Gentamicin resistance was detected in three of the organisms isolated; Staph. epidermidis in two samples and S. hemolyticus in one. Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci (VRE), was found in one sample. Staphylococcus spp was the most commonly found organism and was isolated in 11 samples as a single pathogen and as a mixed growth in four samples. Among the Staphylococci spp., Staph. aureus was isolated in nine samples, Staph. epidermidis in five samples and Staph. hemolyticus in one sample (Fig. 1).

Outcome Data - All patients were followed up until union and wound healing. The mean follow up was 13.9 months (6–45 months). There were no deep infections encountered in our series. Primary union rate in our study was 84.3% (43/51). Mean time to achieve union was 32.5 weeks (15–42 weeks).

Delayed union was present in 4 patients (7.8%) who had no radiological progression of healing. These patients had autologous bone marrow aspirate concentrate (BMAC) applied to the fracture site at a mean of 37 weeks (range 25–50 weeks) with subsequent evidence of union at a mean of 53.7 weeks (range 45–75 weeks).

Non-union developed in 3 (5.8%) patients. All of them had external fixation which was revised to internal fixation with autologous bone grafting at a mean of 15 months (13–17 months). Deep tissue microbiology samples at revision were all negative for deep infection. Clinical union was achieved at a mean of 7 months from revision (range 6–10 months).

In all 7-delayed and non-union cases, the fracture was diaphyseal in location. Six out of 7 cases (85.7%) had an external circular frame and 1 was internally fixed using plate. None of them had any significant bone loss. The commonest denominator linking these failures to unite was smoking (6 out of 7 cases).

Incidence of pin site infection was 3/19 (15%). Two settled with oral antibiotics and one patient needed exchange of pin. The mean number of surgical procedures per patient was three (range 2–7), including the first debridement.

One patient required amputation (1.9%) due to early soft tissue reconstruction failure within the first week of definitive fixation. He was a 24 years old male, presented with a tibia shaft fracture with severe soft tissue injury. Due to the severity of the injury, primary amputation was discussed from the outset. On the patient's wish, reconstruction was attempted using a circular frame and anterolateral thigh flap. However, the flap developed early necrosis leading to exposed bone, requiring below knee amputation within first 2 weeks.

Fig. 1. Microbiology culture results.

4. Discussion

The primary aims of treatment in open fractures are management of soft tissue injury, prevention of infection and skeletal stabilization with aim of restoring function of the extremity.^{1,27} Thorough and radical debridement, removal of devitalised tissue and necrotic bone fragments is the key to reduce future infection risk.^{28,29}

Orthopaedic dogma would suggest that debridement and washout of open fractures should be done within 6 h - probably on the basis of studies which looked at the proliferation of micro-organism load following contamination.³⁰ However recent reviews have not found any significant differences in infection if debridement is done earlier than 6 h or delayed.^{28–32} Our data would support that there is no difference in deep infection rates, union rates and limb salvage if the initial debridement is done within 12 h or within 24 h from time of injury.

The fracture union rates achieved by us (84.3%) is comparable to those published by Doshi et al., in 2017 (83.5%)¹⁷ and Naique in 2006 (85%).³³ The mean time to union from our study (32.5 weeks) is also comparable those achieved by Tielinen in 2007(35 weeks)³⁴ and Naique (29 weeks).³³ The limb salvage rate in our series was 98.1%, this also compares well to earlier published reports by Rohde in 2007 (94%)³⁵ and Naique 93%.³³ Tampe et al., reported 7% risk of amputation for patients with Gustilo Anderson III B and C.³⁶ In our study, only 1(1.9%) patient required an amputation due to soft tissue reconstruction failure, although it is important to note that none of our patients had vascular injury requiring repair.

Historic studies have shown incidence of infection of up to 52% in open fractures (Gustilo 1984).⁶ Patzakis et al., in 1974, pointed out that early systemic antibiotics in open fractures reduces the infection risk.⁷ This has been supported by recent Cochrane review that demonstrated that the use of early systemic antibiotics reduces relative infection risk by 59%.³⁷ Despite use of systemic antibiotic therapy the infection rate in open III B fractures remains high (Doshi 2017- 8%,¹⁷ Matthew 2015–14.9%,³⁸ Mohseni 2011–16%,³⁹ Rohde 2007–18.4%,³⁵ Naique 2006–8.5%³³).

A recent move to a combined Orthoplastic “fix and flap” approach has shown a reduced risk of infective complications.⁴⁰ A retrospective study from Bristol demonstrated that if soft tissue cover is not achieved at the time of fracture fixation, infection rate was 34.6%, which reduced down to 4.6% with fix and flap approach.³⁸

Local antibiotics at the fracture site are effective in reducing infection, especially in Gustilo Anderson III fractures, without associated systemic toxicity.^{42,43} They can be used in powder form^{44,45} or aqueous

solution.⁴⁶ Disadvantages of not using a carrier is that the levels of antibiotic in local area are not sustained for longer period and the delivery is not predictable or effective (antibiotic dumping).⁴⁷ All the patients in our series had a combined orthoplastic fix and flap approach with adjuvant local antibiotic therapy. Whilst our union rates, time to union and limb salvage rates are comparable to some of the best outcomes in published literature for such injuries, we had no deep infections. We feel this could be due to the added benefit conferred by local adjuvant antibiotics. In vitro studies have shown gentamicin elution from Cerament G has a high initial peak (> 1000 g/mL) and remains above minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for at least 28 days. It has been proven that serum antibiotic levels remain in safe range despite high local tissue concentration.⁴⁸ These levels of gentamicin can be effective in biofilm prevention and eradication. In vivo studies have shown that it offers local gentamicin concentration levels 64–150 times higher than MIC for gentamicin sensitive pathogens such as Staph. aureus and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. We believe that local antibiotics are also effective in planktonic form after debridement. In addition, the dissolution of calcium sulphate allows high early release of antibiotics leaving a more porous hydroxyapatite scaffold to support ingrowth of blood vessels and subsequent new bone formation with no secondary removal procedure required. Studies have questioned the routine use of tissue cultures in open fractures due to lack of correlation between positive cultures and future infection risk.^{49,50} It is important to note that in our study, deep tissue cultures collected at the time of definitive fixation were positive in 44% of our patients despite initial radical debridement. Gentamicin resistance was also detected in 6%, yet none of these patients had deep infection at follow up. We feel this is likely due to the very high local tissue concentration of Gentamicin achieved with no true antibiotic resistance.⁵¹ Like McNally et al. we believe that lab culture reported gentamicin resistance refers to concentrations which can be given systemically without toxicity and the effectiveness of antibiotic at levels up to 1000 the MIC is not known.⁵² In our series, there was no case of systemic antibiotic toxicity. Bowen and Widmaier⁵³ reported that the risk of infection in open fractures is multifactorial. Our results of no infection, high union rates and high limb salvage rates, may be due to the combination of different factors. We would highlight that adequate initial debridement, removal of dead tissue, multiple bacteriological sampling, skeletal stabilization, soft tissue cover and the collaborative MDT approach including plastic surgeons and infectious disease specialists is an important element of success with these challenging cases. We accept that the results reported by this study may not be due only to the Cerament G application.

Table 1

Gustilo Anderson IIIB Open fracture, Outcomes from literature.

Study	N	Deep Infection n(%)	Union time wks	Union rate with primary surgery	Reoperation	Limb Salvage
Gustilo 1984 ⁶	77	52%				
Naique 2006 ³³	76	6 (8.5%)	29	85%		93%
Tielinen 2007 ⁴¹	19		35	53%	47%	
Rohde 2007 ³⁵	38	7(18.4%)	–	71%	36.8%	94%
Mohseni 2011 ³⁹	25	4 (16%)				
Mathew 2015 ³⁸	46	2(4.2%)	24.4			
Doshi 2017 ¹⁷	21	7 (33.3%)		83.5%		
Our study	52	0 (0%)	32.5	84.3%	21.5%	98.1%

However, our results are superior in comparison with other studies^{6,17,33–35,38,39} (Table 1). Our results would therefore suggest that this new biodegradable CAS/HA composite may offer significant added advantages in the management of this cohort of patients.

5. Conclusion

Open fractures are challenging cases and require a multidisciplinary approach. Although relatively low in number, our series is one of the largest due to rarity of these injuries. Our infection and reoperation rate are amongst the lowest, with comparable union rates in published literature. We propose that successful outcomes can be achieved by meticulous technique and a multi-disciplinary approach. We believe that Cerament G provides high local antibiotic concentration locally at the fracture site and could be beneficial in local prophylaxis to reduce the risk of biofilm formation on metalwork, while the hydroxyapatite scaffold could improve union rates. This improves patient experience and reduces healthcare costs. Additional, long term studies are required, and will help to improve our understanding of the use of local antibiotic delivery systems in such injuries.

Conflict of interest

Noman Jahangir declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Elniel AR, Giannoudis PV. Open fractures of the lower extremity. 3. 2018 May; 2018 May;316–325 5 <http://online.boneandjoint.org.uk/doi/10.1302/2058-5241.3.170072>.
- Jenkins PJ, Keating JF, Simpson AH. Fractures of the tibial shaft. 2010;28(10):489–493. Available from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0263931910001547>.
- Gustilo RB, Anderson JT. Prevention of infection in the treatment of one thousand and twenty-five open fractures of long bones: retrospective and prospective analyses. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 1976 Jun;58(4):453–458.
- Brumback RJ, Jones AL. Interobserver agreement in the classification of open fractures of the tibia. The results of a survey of two hundred and forty-five orthopaedic surgeons. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 1994 Aug;76(8):1162–1166.
- Horn BD, Rettig ME. Interobserver reliability in the Gustilo and Anderson classification of open fractures. *J Orthop Trauma.* 1993;7(4):357–360.
- Gustilo RB, Mendoza RM, Williams DN. Problems in the management of type III (severe) open fractures: a new classification of type III open fractures. *J Trauma [Internet].* 1984 Aug;24(8):742–746. Available from <http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=6471139&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>.
- Patzakis MJ, Harvey JPJ, Ivler D. The role of antibiotics in the management of open fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 1974 Apr;56(3):532–541.
- Patzakis MJ, Wilkins J. Factors influencing infection rate in open fracture wounds. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1989 Jun(243):36–40.
- Patzakis MJ, Wilkins J, Moore TM. Use of antibiotics in open tibial fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1983 Sep(178):31–35.
- Russell GG, Henderson R, Arnett G. Primary or delayed closure for open tibial fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1990 Jan;72(1):125–128.
- Godina M. Early microsurgical reconstruction of complex trauma of the extremities. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1986 Sep;78(3):285–292.
- Caudle RJ, Stern PJ. Severe open fractures of the tibia. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 1987 Jul;69(6):801–807.
- Fischer MD, Gustilo RB, Varecka TF. The timing of flap coverage, bone-grafting, and intramedullary nailing in patients who have a fracture of the tibial shaft with extensive soft-tissue injury. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 1991 Oct;73(9):1316–1322.
- Gopal S, Majumder S, Batchelor AG, Knight SL, De Boer P, Smith RM. Fix and flap: the radical orthopaedic and plastic treatment of severe open fractures of the tibia. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2000 Sep;82(7):959–966.
- Trickett RW, Rahman S, Page P, Pallister I. From guidelines to standards of care for open tibial fractures. 97. 2015 Sep 1; 2015 Sep 1:469–475 6 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5126246/>.
- Henley MB, Chapman JR, Agel J, Harvey EJ, Whorton AM, Swiontkowski MF. Treatment of type II, IIIA, and IIIB open fractures of the tibial shaft: a prospective comparison of unreamed interlocking intramedullary nails and half-pin external fixators. *J Orthop Trauma.* 1998 Jan;12(1):1–7.
- Doshi P, Gopalan H, Sprague S, Pradhan C, Kulkarni S, Bhandari M. Incidence of infection following internal fixation of open and closed tibia fractures in India (INFINITI): a multi-centre observational cohort study. *BMC Musculoskel Disor BioMed Central.* 2017 Apr 14;18(1) 156–6.
- Pitt D, Aubin J-M. Joseph Lister: father of modern surgery. *Can J Surg J Can Chir.* 2012 Oct 1;55(5):E8–E9 Canadian Medical Association.
- Tan SY, Tatsumura Y. Alexander Fleming (1881–1955): discoverer of penicillin. *Singap Med J.* 2015 Jul 1;56(7):366–367 Singapore Medical Association.
- Mueller RS. The local use of sulfanilamide in the treatment of peritoneal infections. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1942 Jan 17;118(3):189–193.
- Dombrowski ET, Dunn AW. Treatment of osteomyelitis by debridement and closed wound irrigation-suction. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1965 Nov;43:215–231.
- Ostermann PA, Seligson D, Henry SL. Local antibiotic therapy for severe open fractures. A review of 1085 consecutive cases. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1995 Jan;77(1):93–97.
- Keating JF, O'Brien PJ, Blachut PA, Meek RN, Broekhuysen HM. Locking intramedullary nailing with and without reaming for open fractures of the tibial shaft. A prospective, randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 1997 Mar;79(3):334–341.
- Craig J, Fuchs T, Jenks M, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Additional Benefit of Local Prophylactic Antibiotic Therapy for Infection Rates in Open Tibia Fractures Treated with Intramedullary Nailing. *International Orthopaedics.* vol 38. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2014 May 1:1025–1030 5.
- Svacina J. Traumatic periprosthetic acetabular fracture treated with one-stage exchange and bone reconstruction using a synthetic bone graft substitute. *Case Rep Orthop.* 2016;2016:5.
- Kaczmarczyk J, Sowinski P, Goch M, Katulska K. Complete Twelve Month Bone Remodeling with a Bi-phasic Injectable Bone Substitute in Benign Bone Tumors: A Prospective Pilot Study. *London.* vol 16. 2015; 2015:369. Available from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4661983/>.
- Okike K, Bhattacharya T. Trends in the management of open fractures. A critical analysis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 2006 Dec;88(12):2739–2748.
- Halawi MJ, Morwood MP. Acute management of open fractures: an evidence-based review. *Orthopedics.* 2015 Nov;38(11):e1025–e1033.
- Ali AM, Noyes D, Cogswell LK. Management of open fractures of the lower limb. *Br J Hosp Med.* 2013 Oct;74(10):577–580.
- Werner CML, Pierpont Y, Pollak AN. The urgency of surgical debridement in the management of open fractures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2008 Jul;16(7):369–375.
- Schenker ML, Yannascoli S, Baldwin KD, Ahn J, Mehta S. Does timing to operative debridement affect infectious complications in open long-bone fractures? A systematic review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol.* 2012 Jun;94(12):1057–1064.
- Kamat AS. Infection Rates in Open Fractures of the Tibia: Is the 6-Hour Rule Fact or Fiction? *Advances in Orthopedics [Internet].* vol 2011. Hindawi; 2011 Oct 27:1–4 7 <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/aorth/2011/943495/>.
- Naique SB, Pearce M, Nanchahal J. Management of severe open tibial fractures: the need for combined orthopaedic and plastic surgical treatment in specialist centres. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2006 Mar;88(3):351–357.
- Tielinen L, Lindahl JE, Tukiainen EJ. Acute unreamed intramedullary nailing and soft tissue reconstruction with muscle flaps for the treatment of severe open tibial shaft fractures. *Injury.* 2007 Aug;38(8):906–912.
- Rohde C, Greives MR, Cetrulo C, Lerman OZ, Levine JP, Hazen A. Gustilo grade IIIB tibial fractures requiring microvascular free flaps: external fixation versus intramedullary rod fixation. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2007 Jul;59(1):14–17.
- Tampe U, Weiss RJ, Stark B, Sommar P, Dabbagh Al Z, Jansson K-Å. Lower extremity soft tissue reconstruction and amputation rates in patients with open tibial fractures

- in Sweden during 1998–2010. *BMC Surg. BioMed Central*. 2014;14:80–0.
37. Gosselin RA, Roberts I, Gillespie WJ. Antibiotics for preventing infection in open limb fractures. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2004;1:CD003764.
 38. Mathews JA, Ward J, Chapman TW, Khan UM, Kelly MB. Single-stage orthoplastic reconstruction of Gustilo-Anderson Grade III open tibial fractures greatly reduces infection rates. *Injury [Internet]*. 2015 Nov;46(11):2263–2266. Available from <http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=26391592&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>.
 39. Mohseni MA, Soleimanpour J, Mohammadpour H, Shahsavari A. AO tubular external fixation vs. unreamed intramedullary nailing in open grade IIIA-IIIB tibial shaft fractures: a single-center randomized clinical trial. *Pakistan J Biol Sci*. 2011 Apr;14(8):490–495.
 40. Gopal S, Majumder S, Batchelor AG, Knight SL, De Boer P, Smith RM. Fix and flap: the radical orthopaedic and plastic treatment of severe open fractures of the tibia. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2000 Sep;82(7):959–966.
 41. Tielinen L, Lindahl JE, Tukiainen EJ. Acute unreamed intramedullary nailing and soft tissue reconstruction with muscle flaps for the treatment of severe open tibial shaft fractures. *Injury*. 2007 Aug;38(8):906–912.
 42. Zalavras CG, Patzakis MJ, Holtom P. Local antibiotic therapy in the treatment of open fractures and osteomyelitis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res [Internet]*. 2004 Oct (427):86–93. Available from <http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=15552142&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks>.
 43. Ostermann PA, Henry SL, Seligson D. The role of local antibiotic therapy in the management of compound fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1993 Oct(295):102–111.
 44. Tubaki VR, Rajasekaran S, Shetty AP. Effects of using intravenous antibiotic only versus local intrawound vancomycin antibiotic powder application in addition to intravenous antibiotics on postoperative infection in spine surgery in 907 patients. *Spine*. 2013;38(25).
 45. Kanj WW, Flynn JM, Spiegel DA, Dormans JP, Baldwin KD. Vancomycin prophylaxis of surgical site infection in clean orthopedic surgery. *Orthopedics*. 2013 Feb;36(2):138–146.
 46. Lawing CR, Lin F-C, Dahners LE. Local injection of aminoglycosides for prophylaxis Against infection in open fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am Vol*. 2015 Nov;97(22):1844–1851.
 47. Tennent DJ, Shiels SM, Sanchez CJJ, et al. Time-dependent effectiveness of locally applied vancomycin powder in a contaminated traumatic orthopaedic wound model. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2016 Oct;30(10):531–537.
 48. Stravinskas M, Nilsson M, Horstmann P, Petersen M, Tarasevicius S, Lidgren L. *Antibiotic Containing Bone Substitute in Major Hip Surgery: A Long Term Gentamicin Elution Study*. vol 3. 2018; 2018:5.
 49. Lee J. Efficacy of cultures in the management of open fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1997 Jun;339:71–75.
 50. Valenziano CP, Chattar-Cora D, O'Neill A, Hubli EH, Cudjoe EA. Efficacy of primary wound cultures in long bone open extremity fractures: are they of any value? *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2002 Jun;122(5):259–261.
 51. Horstmann P, Hettwer W, Song Z, Petersen m. In-vivo gentamicin concentrations in plasma and drain fluid after bone defect reconstruction using a gentamicin-eluting bone graft substitute. *Orthop Proc Br Edit Soc Bone Jt Surg*. 2015 Dec 1;97-B(SUPP_16) 103–3.
 52. Morgenstern M, Vallejo A, McNally MA, et al. The effect of local antibiotic prophylaxis when treating open limb fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bone Jt Res*. 2018 Aug 4;7(7):447–456.
 53. Bowen TR, Widmaier JC. Host classification predicts infection after open fracture. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2005 Apr(433):205–211.