Received:
16 May 2018

Revised:
02 July 2018

Accepted:
04 July 2018

<https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20180442>

Cite this article as:

Göller Bulut D, Bayrak S, Uyeturk U, Ankarali H. Mandibular indexes and fractal properties on the panoramic radiographs of the patients using aromatase inhibitors. *Br J Radiol* 2018; **91**: 20180442.

FULL PAPER

Mandibular indexes and fractal properties on the panoramic radiographs of the patients using aromatase inhibitors

¹DUYGU GÖLLER BULUT, ¹SEVAL BAYRAK, ²UMMÜGÜL UYETURK and ³HANDAN ANKARALI

¹Department of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Dentistry, Bolu, Turkey

²Department of Medical Oncology, Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Medicine, Bolu, Turkey

³Biostatistics and Medical Informatics Department, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Address correspondence to: Dr Seval Bayrak

E-mail: dtseval@hotmail.com

Objective: This study was conducted to evaluate fractal dimension (FD), mandibular cortical width (MCW), panoramic mandibular index (PMI), and mandibular cortical index (MCI) on panoramic radiographs to determine the mandibular cortical and trabecular bone changes in females with breast cancer undergoing aromatase inhibitors (AIs) treatment.

Methods: In this retrospective study, FD analysis, PMI, MCI, and MCW were assessed over panoramic radiographs of 34 females under AI therapy as a patient group and 34 healthy age-gender matched individuals as a control group.

Results: Mean FD values and MCW were slightly but not significantly lower in the patient group (1.47 ± 0.06 and 4.1 ± 1.2 respectively). FDs measured on the supra-cortical area above the angulus mandibula (FD2) and anterior to the mental foramen (FD4) were significantly

lower in patients ($p = 0.037$ and $p = 0.046$ respectively). Among the measured regions in patients, FD2 was significantly lower ($p = 0.001$). PMI was also significantly lower in patients ($p = 0.001$) and MCI were similar in both groups ($p = 0.604$).

Conclusion: AI use affects bone quality and evaluating FD, PMI, and MCW in panoramic radiography can be used to determine the effect of this drug on the jaw bones in the early period.

Advances in knowledge: Aromatase inhibitors are the most commonly used drugs in breast cancer patients. This drug is thought to have osteoporotic effects on the jawbone. This study is the first study to evaluate osteoporotic changes with measurements made on panoramic radiographs and the results of the study are significant. We think this study will shed light on the studies that will investigate the effects of the drug on the jaw bones.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is one of the major health problems today, one in every eight females struggles with this disease.¹ It has been known for a long time that nearly one-third of all BCs are estrogen-dependent and will decline after estrogen deficiency.^{1,2} Decreasing the effects of estrogen can be mediated by mediators that block estrogen at the receptor level, for example, tamoxifen, or by inhibitors of estrogen biosynthesis, as the aromatase inhibitors (AIs).^{1,3} The third generation AIs letrozole, anastrozole, and exemestane are the preferred agent in these patient groups.⁴⁻⁶ Side effects of AIs result from rapid decreases in circulating estrogen.⁷ The main adverse effects of AIs causing the depletion of estrogen in females are associated with reduction of bone mineral density (BMD), skeletal and alveolar bone loss resulting in osteopenia, osteoporosis, fractures, and joint symptoms in females.^{4,5,8,9}

Osteoporosis is a progressive systemic skeletal disease, causing increased fracture risk and bone fragility, characterized by osteopenia (low bone mass) and micro architectural corruption of bone tissue.¹⁰ Various methods can be used to measure bone mass like dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, digital X-ray radiogrammetry, quantitative ultrasound, quantitative CT, and other radiographic techniques.^{10,11} The most accepted method is X-ray absorptiometry for assessing BMD, but it has high cost and limited availability in routine use. Radiographs and image processing techniques have been developed to allow the quantification of mandibular bone mass and trabecular microarchitecture to predict low BMD of the skeletal system in patients.¹²⁻¹⁵ The efficiency of the panoramic radiograph in identifying mandibular osteoporosis was shown in different populations.⁴ Mandibular indices measured on panoramic radiographs are good predictors of osteopenia/osteoporosis.⁵ Fractal dimension (FD) analysis is another technique that has been used to

measure mandibular bone mass and trabecular architecture. This is a mathematical technique that can help to digitize complex structures.¹² In this method, a mathematical and morphological image processing system has been used to perform FD analysis.¹⁶ Fractal analysis and mandibular indexes are parameters that can be measured in existing panoramic images of patients and do not require an extra imaging and material need.

Hence this study was undertaken to estimate the bone quality and quantity of patients under AIs therapy by FD analysis and assessment of panoramic mandibular index (PMI), mandibular cortical width (MCW), and mandibular cortical index (MCI) on dental panoramic radiographs.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The Research Ethics Committee of the University approved this study (Decision no:2017/195). Panoramic radiographs of females who had previously applied for dental treatment in the Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology were used to obtain the data of the present study. To be included in the study, the females should have dental panoramic radiographs performed that meet the technical quality criteria and the mandibular cortical bone and borders must be completely visible. Images of patients without periapical pathology in the teeth adjacent to the areas where fractal dimension measurement was to be performed were included. Females with diseases such as diabetes, osteoporosis or hypo or hyperparathyroidism that affect bone density, temporomandibular joints diseases that can affect the subcondylar area, and who have mandibular pathologies such as cystic tumors or who have panoramic radiographs with inadequate diagnostic quality are excluded from the study.

The study consisted of two different groups; patient group: females using AIs for more than 2 years and, control group: gender- and age-matched healthy females for each BC patient. The final sample consisted of panoramic radiographs of 68 selected females (34 females under AIs treatment and 34 for the control group) from the same archives. All radiographs were taken using the same panoramic machine Soredex (Cranex Novus, Tuusula, Finland at 70 kVp, 10 mA for 8 s exposure time). The patients were positioned such that the Frankfort plane was parallel to the floor and the sagittal plane was parallel to the vertical plane of the dental panoramic machine.

Measurement

The images were analyzed using ImageJ v. 1.3 software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) at a correction for 30% magnification, relatively, to better simulate the clinical situation.

FD analysis of each sample was performed using the box-counting proposed method by White and Rudolph.¹⁷ Regions of interest (ROIs) from four different regions of the right and left mandibles were selected as follows:

- (1) ROI 1: the subcortical area in the condyle.
- (2) ROI 2: the supracortical area above the angulus mandible.
- (3) ROI 3: above the mandibular canal distal side to the second premolar.
- (4) ROI 4: anterior to the mental foramen (Figure 1).

Figure 1. ROIs on panoramic radiographs for fractal dimension analysis. ROIs, regions of interest.

Both sets of panoramic radiographs were converted to tagged image file formats due to their high resolution. Each ROI was selected in an 18×19 pixel size, cropped, and duplicated. Gaussian Blur was used to remove the brightness changes depending on the upper soft tissues and varying bone thicknesses. The resulting image was then removed from the original image. Bone marrow cavities and trabeculae were separated from each other by adding 128 gray values to each pixel location. FD is calculated after performing binary, erode, dilate, invert, and skeletonize operations (Figure 2).

- (1) MCW was measured by the vertical line drawn to the interior mandible from the middle of mental foramen (Figure 3a).
- (2) PMI was the ratio of MCW to the distance between inferior border of mental foramen and inferior mandibular cortex (Figure 3a).

PMI and MCW were measured separately on the right and left mandibular sides and their means were calculated.

MCI, the state of the underlying cortical bone of the mandible behind the mental foramen on the left and right sides. It consists of three classes based on the classification of Klemetti *et al*.¹⁸ C1 = normal cortex: the endosteal margin of the cortex is matched and tapered on both sides; C2 = moderately worn cortex: endosteal margin, lacunar resorption resulting in semilunar defects or formation of endosteal cortical residues; C3 = over eroded, eroded or porous cortex: forms dense layers of cortex, endosteal, and clearly porous cortical remnants (Figure 3b,c).

One dentomaxillofacial radiologist with 10 years of experience carried out all the measurements independently. The FD, MCW, PMI, and MCI analyses were performed at the same time. To assess the intra-examiner calibration of ROI selection, measurements and fractal analysis of the trabecular structure of the four different regions were assessed by re-evaluating randomly selected 20% CBCT images again 2 weeks later.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS for Windows SPSS® v. 16.0 (IBM Corp., New York, NY; formerly SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The intra-observer correlation coefficient was 0.94 for all assessments. The measurements were evaluated using the independent samples *t*-test to compare the means of all values

Figure 2. Steps of fractal dimension analysis. (a) Blurred image of the cropped and duplicated ROI. (b) Subtracted Blurred image from the original image. (c) Addition of a gray value of 128 to each pixel location. (d) Binarization. (e) Erosion. (f) Dilatation. (g) Inversion. (h) Skeletonization. ROI, region of interest.

between patients and controls. Repeated measurement analysis of variance was used to compare subclasses. Pearson chi-square analysis was used to analyze the correlations between the variables in the groups. $p < 0.05$ values were considered to define statistical significance.

RESULTS

Descriptive values of the measurements made in the patient and control group were given in Table 1. When the table was observed,

Figure 3. Measurements of mandibular cortical width (red line). (a) PMI (ratio of A/B). (b) MCI C1. (c) MCI C2. MCI, mandibular cortical index; PMI, panoramic mandibular index.

it was found that the mean of FD2 and FD4 was significantly higher in controls ($p = 0.037$ and $p = 0.046$ respectively) than in patients, but the mean FD values obtained by averaging the FD values of four different regions were not significantly different between the two groups. Mean FD1, FD3, and mean FD values obtained by averaging the FD values of four different regions and MCW were slightly but not significantly higher in the control group. Also, the mean PMI was significantly higher in controls ($p = 0.001$). No significant difference was found between patient and control subjects in other measurements.

The FD values measured from four different sites in two groups were compared; there was no significant difference between FD1, FD2, FD3, and FD4 in the control group ($p = 0.088$), but in the patient group, FD2 was significantly lower than in the other three regions ($p = 0.001$). However, no significant difference was found between the other three regions. Distribution of the results of MCI to groups was shown in Table 2. It was determined that MCI were similar in both groups ($p = 0.604$).

DISCUSSION

BC is the most common malignancy among females in the world. It is responsible for 25% of all cancers in females in Turkey and more common in postmenopausal females and around the age of 61 years.^{19,20} AIs have become a standard practice in early-stage BC patients in the postmenopausal period because they reduce the recurrence rate of the disease. For this reason, tens of thousands of females use these drugs so knowledge of potential side effects of AIs therapies is important.⁴ Most of the dental complications are seen due to the anti-estrogen effect of AIs. They decrease levels of circulating estrogen in postmenopausal females by blocking the action of the aromatase enzyme, which converts androgens to estrogens. One task of estrogen is to inhibit bone resorption cytokines such as IL-1, TNF- α , and IL-6. However, since estrogen deficiency is seen in AI-using patients, it is thought that bone loss may be advanced according to patients who do not use this drug.^{3,21}

Table 1. Descriptive values of measurements in patient and control group

	Control						Patient						<i>p</i> value
	<i>n</i>	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum		<i>N</i>	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum		
34	63.47	7.46	53	75	34		63.47	7.46	53	75	1.000		
FD1	34	1.48	0.11	1.21	1.68		34	1.48	0.12	1.22	1.67		0.791
FD2	34	1.46	0.1	1.23	1.66		34	1.41	0.12	1.21	1.62		0.037*
FD3	34	1.53	0.11	1.32	1.74		34	1.51	0.1	1.31	1.73		0.354
FD4	34	1.51	0.09	1.28	1.69		34	1.47	0.06	1.41	1.7		0.046*
Mean FD	34	1.49	0.05	1.4	1.59		34	1.47	0.06	1.35	1.6		0.397
PMI	34	0.48	0.09	0.23	0.57		34	0.4	0.06	0.32	0.58		0.001*
MCW	34	4.64	1.2	2.4	6.3		34	4.12	1.24	1.8	6.3		0.090

FD, fractal dimension; MCW, mandibular cortical width; *n*, number; PMI, panoramic mandibular index; SD, standard deviation.* Statistically significance level is $p < 0.05$

Table 2. Distribution of the MCI according to groups

		Control		Patient	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
MCI	1	22	64,7	24	70,6
	2	12	35,3	10	29,4
Total		34	100	34	100

MCI, mandibular cortical index; *n*, number.

In their pilot study, Taichman *et al*³ have shown that AIs use resulted in increased gingival bleeding and periodontitis risk. Previous studies^{4,22} have shown that estrogen level changes alveolar bone density in postmenopausal females. Din *et al*²² and Yasar Bilge *et al*⁵ stated that the main side effects of AIs are decreasing of bone mineral density causing osteoporosis, osteopenia, and fractures in a female. It is estimated that AI-related skeletal bone loss is between 3.9 and 4.1% in the first two years of treatment.⁷ Therefore, the hypothesis that AI use increases alveolar bone loss in postmenopausal age due to estrogen insufficiency is a logical hypothesis.^{3,4}

As far as we know, our study is the first study to analyze fractal dimensions and measure radiomorphometric indexes on panoramic radiographs in patients using AIs. Fractal geometric evaluation has been used in various fields of science as well as in various studies in order to evaluate the trabecular structure of the bone in dentistry.^{12,15,16,23–26} The bone micro-architecture is impaired in patients with osteoporosis of the bone due to various causes (diabetes, osteoporosis, sickle cell anemia, etc); the number and the thickness of trabecular bone are reduced, and the distance between the trabeculae is greater. Thus, changes in the bone micro-architecture resulting from osteoporosis change the intensity and texture of the image by altering the weakness of the X-ray. For this reason, fractal geometric applications and FD can be used to describe the complex structure of the trabecular bone.¹⁶ The FD calculated on two-dimensional radiographs gives a change in the bone structure such as thickening and resorption in trabeculae and changes in density.²⁵ The high FD value indicates that the bone is more complex and dense, whereas the lower FD indicates that the bone is more porous.^{27,28} The measurement of FD is easy and the region to be measured is determined subjectively. The most commonly used method for FD measurement is the box-counting method and we used this method in the present study.^{25,27}

In Demiralp *et al*'s study on panoramic radiography, it was indicated that the FD findings were higher in patients using bisphosphonates, and the cause of the findings was linked to the decrease in bone resorption in these patients.²⁵ In studies done with panoramic radiography, Demirbas *et al*²⁸ found that patients with sickle cell anemia had lower FD. Similarly, Gumussoy *et al*¹⁶ found that FD was lower in patients with chronic renal failure than in the control group. In the study of Updike *et al*,²⁹ FD was found to be lower in patients with moderate to severe periodontitis and in another study conducted by Chen *et al*³⁰ FD increased in the periapical tissue healing after endodontic treatment. In the present study, the mean FD was lower in patients using AI,

and FD measured in the supracortical area above the angulus mandible and anterior to the mental foramen was significantly lower in these patients. This confirms our hypothesis that the trabecular bone of AI-using patients is thinner and less dense.

Likewise, there are several studies evaluating the correlation between panoramic radiograph and BMD.^{12,13,16,18,25,26,31} Bone quality and quantity was assessed by measurements such as MCW, MCI, and PMI measured from specific locations on the panoramic radiographs.^{10,13,32–36} Panoramic radiography was used in our study because it was taken more frequently in the patient examination and was an easily applicable method. The results of the several studies in the literature show that patients with osteoporosis present lower MCW values.^{13,16,17,24,32,37–39} Some authors^{12,13,23,31} evaluated MCI in these patients with lower MCW and found an association between MCI and MCW. The study by Gulsahi et al⁴⁰ found that MCW and MCI had sufficient diagnostic efficacy in osteoporotic patients, but PMI could not be used to assess osteoporosis. Whereas in some studies,^{33,41}

MCI was found insignificant in the comparison of osteoporosis and healthy groups similar with the present study but we found PMI and MCW lower in the group using AIs than the healthy controls as expected.

There are so many drugs used for the treatment of any disease and affect the bone metabolism as a side effect. AI is one of these drugs, and most of the BC patients use it. Knowing the effectiveness of these drugs on the jawbone during treatment is an important guide in the treatment plan for dentists. The osteoporotic effect is necessary to determine in the early period and panoramic radiography is the most economical and easily applicable imaging technique. As shown in the studies and in the present study, the PMI, MCW, and the FD of the trabecular bone are parameters that can be used to determine bone quality and quantity. The main limitation of our study is the small number of patients. It is possible to obtain more stable results with more patients and a study to be done by forming a patient group according to the type, dose, and duration of use of the drug.

REFERENCES

- Buzdar AU, Robertson JF, Eiermann W, Nabholz JM. An overview of the pharmacology and pharmacokinetics of the newer generation aromatase inhibitors anastrozole, letrozole, and exemestane. *Cancer* 2002; **95**: 2006–16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.10908>
- Theobald AJ. Management of advanced breast cancer with endocrine therapy: the role of the primary healthcare team. *Int J Clin Pract* 2000; **54**: 665–9.
- Taichman LS, Inglehart MR, Giannobile WV, Braun T, Kolenic G, Van Poznak C. Periodontal health in women with early-stage postmenopausal breast cancer newly on aromatase inhibitors: a pilot study. *J Periodontol* 2015; **86**: 906–16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2015.140546>
- Eagle I, Benavides E, Eber R, Kolenic G, Jung Y, Van Poznak C, et al. Periodontal health in breast cancer patients on aromatase inhibitors versus postmenopausal controls: a longitudinal analysis. *J Clin Periodontol* 2016; **43**: 659–67. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.12562>
- Yasar Bilge NS, Korkmaz C. Does aromatase inhibitors cause sjogren's syndrome and polyneuropathy? *World J Oncol* 2014; **5**: 181–2. doi: <https://doi.org/10.14740/wjon695w>
- Burstein HJ, Prestrud AA, Seidenfeld J, Anderson H, Buchholz TA, Davidson NE, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline: update on adjuvant endocrine therapy for women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010; **28**: 3784–96. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.26.3756>
- Eastell R, Adams JE, Coleman RE, Howell A, Hannon RA, Cuzick J, et al. Effect of anastrozole on bone mineral density: 5-year results from the anastrozole, tamoxifen, alone or in combination trial 18233230. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; **26**: 1051–7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.11.0726>
- Ramesh A, Mahajan K, Thomas B, Shenoy N, Bhandary R. Alveolar bone mass in pre- and postmenopausal women with serum calcium as a marker: a comparative study. *Indian J Dent Res* 2011; **22**: 878. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9290.94667>
- Makker A, Singh MM, Mishra G, Singh BP, Jain GK, Jadhav S. Relationship between bone turnover biomarkers, mandibular bone mineral density, and systemic skeletal bone mineral density in premenopausal and postmenopausal Indian women. *Menopause* 2012; **19**: 642–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/gme.0b013e31823dbb7f>
- Nair VV, Thomas S, Thomas J, Mathew CM. Panoramic radiographs for detecting osteopenia: A pilot study. *Clin Pract* 2017; **7**: 973. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4081/cp.2017.973>
- Kanis JA, Burlet N, Cooper C, Delmas PD, Reginster JY, Borgstrom F, et al. European guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int* 2008; **19**: 399–428. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-008-0560-z>
- Apolinário AC, Sindeaux R, de Souza Figueiredo PT, Guimarães AT, Acevedo AC, Castro LC, et al. Dental panoramic indices and fractal dimension measurements in osteogenesis imperfecta children under pamidronate treatment. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2016; **45**: 20150400. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20150400>
- Ferreira Leite A, de Souza Figueiredo PT, Ramos Barra F, Santos de Melo N, de Paula AP. Relationships between mandibular cortical indexes, bone mineral density, and osteoporotic fractures in Brazilian men over 60 years old. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2011; **112**: 648–56. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2011.06.014>
- Oliveira ML, Pedrosa EF, Cruz AD, Haiter-Neto F, Paula FJ, Watanabe PC. Relationship between bone mineral density and trabecular bone pattern in postmenopausal osteoporotic Brazilian women. *Clin Oral Investig* 2013; **17**: 1847–53. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-012-0882-2>
- Alman AC, Johnson LR, Calverley DC, Grunwald GK, Lezotte DC, Hokanson JE. Diagnostic capabilities of fractal dimension and mandibular cortical width to identify men and women with decreased bone mineral density. *Osteoporos Int* 2012; **23**: 1631–6. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-011-1678-y>
- Gumussoy I, Miloglu O, Cankaya E, Bayrakdar IS. Fractal properties of the trabecular pattern of the mandible in chronic renal failure. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2016; **45**: 20150389. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20150389>

17. White SC, Rudolph DJ. Alterations of the trabecular pattern of the jaws in patients with osteoporosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1999; **88**: 628–35. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1079-2104\(99\)70097-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1079-2104(99)70097-1)
18. Klemetti E, Kolmakow S. Morphology of the mandibular cortex on panoramic radiographs as an indicator of bone quality. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 1997; **26**: 22–5. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.dmfr.4600203>
19. Karakuş N, Kara N, Yiğit S, İ O. Evaluation of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations in breast cancer patients. *CMJ* 2017; **39**: 374–9.
20. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A, statistics C. Cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018; **201868**: 7–30. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21442>
21. Lerner UH. Inflammation-induced bone remodeling in periodontal disease and the influence of post-menopausal osteoporosis. *J Dent Res* 2006; **85**: 596–607. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910608500704>
22. Din OS, Dodwell D, Wakefield RJ, Coleman RE. Aromatase inhibitor-induced arthralgia in early breast cancer: what do we know and how can we find out more? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010; **120**: 525–38. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-010-0757-7>
23. Sindeaux R, Figueiredo PT, de Melo NS, Guimarães AT, Lazarte L, Pereira FB, et al. Fractal dimension and mandibular cortical width in normal and osteoporotic men and women. *Maturitas* 2014; **77**: 142–8. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2013.10.011>
24. Southard TE, Southard KA, Krizan KE, Hillis SL, Haller JW, Keller J, et al. Mandibular bone density and fractal dimension in rabbits with induced osteoporosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2000; **89**: 244–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1067/moe.2000.102223>
25. KÖ D, EŞ Kurşun-Çakmak, Bayrak S, Akbulut N, Atakan C, Orhan K. Trabecular structure designation using fractal analysis technique on panoramic radiographs of patients with bisphosphonate intake: a preliminary study. *Oral Radiol*: 1–6.
26. Yaşar F, Akgünlü F. The differences in panoramic mandibular indices and fractal dimension between patients with and without spinal osteoporosis. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2006; **35**: 1–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr/97652136>
27. Arsan B, Köse TE, Çene E, Özcan İ. Assessment of the trabecular structure of mandibular condyles in patients with temporomandibular disorders using fractal analysis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2017; **123**: 382–91. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2016.11.005>
28. Demirbaş AK, Ergün S, Güneri P, Aktener BO, Boyacıoğlu H. Mandibular bone changes in sickle cell anemia: fractal analysis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2008; **106**: e41–e48. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2008.03.007>
29. Updike SX, Nowzari H. Fractal analysis of dental radiographs to detect periodontitis-induced trabecular changes. *J Periodontol Res* 2008; **43**: 658–64. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0765.2007.01056.x>
30. Chen SK, Oviir T, Lin CH, Leu LJ, Cho BH, Hollender L. Digital imaging analysis with mathematical morphology and fractal dimension for evaluation of periapical lesions following endodontic treatment. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005; **100**: 467–72. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2005.05.075>
31. Lee K, Taguchi A, Ishii K, Suei Y, Fujita M, Nakamoto T, et al. Visual assessment of the mandibular cortex on panoramic radiographs to identify postmenopausal women with low bone mineral densities. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005; **100**: 226–31. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2004.11.052>
32. Alonso MBCC, Cortes ARG, Camargo AJ, Arita ES, Haiter-Neto F, Watanabe PCA. Assessment of panoramic radiomorphometric indices of the mandible in a brazilian population. *ISRN Rheumatol* 2011; **2011**: 1–. doi: <https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/854287>
33. Dagistan S, Bilge OM. Comparison of antegonial index, mental index, panoramic mandibular index and mandibular cortical index values in the panoramic radiographs of normal males and male patients with osteoporosis. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2010; **39**: 290–4. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr/46589325>
34. Ledgerton D, Horner K, Devlin H, Worthington H. Panoramic mandibular index as a radiomorphometric tool: an assessment of precision. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 1997; **26**: 95–100. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.dmfr.4600215>
35. Carmo JZB, Medeiros SF. Mandibular inferior cortex erosion on dental panoramic radiograph as a sign of low bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet* 2017; **39**: 663–9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1606622>
36. Benson BW, Prihoda TJ, Glass BJ. Variations in adult cortical bone mass as measured by a panoramic mandibular index. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1991; **71**: 349–56. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(91\)90314-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(91)90314-3)
37. Law AN, Bollen AM, Chen SK. Detecting osteoporosis using dental radiographs: a comparison of four methods. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1996; **127**: 1734–42. doi: <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1996.0134>
38. Southard TE, Southard KA, Jakobsen JR, Hillis SL, Najim CA. Fractal dimension in radiographic analysis of alveolar process bone. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1996; **82**: 569–76. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1079-2104\(96\)80205-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1079-2104(96)80205-8)
39. Taguchi A, Suei Y, Ohtsuka M, Otani K, Tanimoto K, Ohtaki M. Usefulness of panoramic radiography in the diagnosis of postmenopausal osteoporosis in women. Width and morphology of inferior cortex of the mandible. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 1996; **25**: 263–7. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.25.5.9161180>
40. Gulsahi A, Yüzügüllü B, Imirzalioglu P, Genç Y. Assessment of panoramic radiomorphometric indices in Turkish patients of different age groups, gender and dental status. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2008; **37**: 288–92. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr/19491030>
41. Amorim MA, Takayama L, Jorgetti V, Pereira RM. Comparative study of axial and femoral bone mineral density and parameters of mandibular bone quality in patients receiving dental implants. *Osteoporos Int* 2006; **17**: 1494–500. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-006-0131-0>