The Utility of Forensic Anthropology in the Medical Examiner's Office

Christian M. Crowder, Jason M. Wiersema, Bradley J. Adams, Dana E. Austin, Jennifer C. Love

ABSTRACT

Over the past few decades, the field of forensic anthropology has seen major advancements and experienced a considerable growth of professionals in medical examiner/coroner offices. Despite this expansion, misconceptions regarding the role and utility of the anthropologist in the medicolegal setting still exist. This article brings together practitioners employed full-time in four medical examiner's offices, with each practitioner providing a unique perspective and emphasis regarding their role as an anthropologist. Discussed is the history of the anthropology division in each office as well as the types of casework and ancillary duties completed by the anthropologists. Consistently, the anthropologists are involved in the search and recovery of human remains, managing long-term unidentified cases, facilitating disposition of unclaimed decedents, and developing mass disaster protocols for their respective agency. Also consistent across the four offices is the fact that the anthropologists receive far more consult requests for trauma evaluation of nonskeletonized cases than any other type of case. *Acad Forensic Pathol.* 2016 6(3): 349-360

AUTHORS

Christian M. Crowder PhD D-ABFA, Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences

Roles: Project conception and/or design, manuscript creation and/or revision, approved final version for publication, accountable for all aspects of the work.

Jason M. Wiersema PhD D-ABFA, Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences - Forensic Anthropology Division

Roles: Project conception and/or design, manuscript creation and/or revision, approved final version for publication, accountable for all aspects of the work.

Bradley J. Adams PhD D-ABFA, City of New York Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Roles: Data acquisition, analysis and/or interpretation, manuscript creation and/or revision, approved final version for publication, accountable for all aspects of the work.

Dana E. Austin PhD D-ABFA, Fort Worth Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and Forensic Laboratories

Roles: Data acquisition, analysis and/or interpretation, manuscript creation and/or revision, approved final version for publication, accountable for all aspects of the work.

Jennifer C. Love PhD D-ABFA, District of Columbia Office of the Chief Medical Examiner - Anthropology

Roles: Data acquisition, analysis and/or interpretation, manuscript creation and/or revision, approved final version for publication, accountable for all aspects of the work

CORRESPONDENCE

Christian M. Crowder PhD D-ABFA, 1885 Old Spanish Trail, Houston TX 77054-2001, christian.crowder@ifs.hctx.net

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per Journal Policies, ethical approval was not required for this manuscript

STATEMENT OF HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

This article does not contain any studies conducted with animals or on living human subjects

STATEMENT OF INFORMED CONSENT

No identifiable personal data were presented in this manuscript

DISCLOSURES & DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors, reviewers, editors, and publication staff do not report any relevant conflicts of interest

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

The authors have indicated that they do not have financial relationships to disclose that are relevant to this manuscript

KEYWORDS

Forensic pathology, Forensic anthropology, Trauma analysis, Medical examiner office

INFORMATION

ACADEMIC FORENSIC PATHOLOGY: THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

©2017 Academic Forensic Pathology International • (ISSN: 1925-3621) • <https://doi.org/10.23907/2016.037>

Submitted for consideration on 1 Jul 2016. Accepted for publication on 4 Aug 2016

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the field of forensic anthropology is signified by the broadening of the discipline's scope of work and the growing presence of anthropologists in medical examiner/coroner offices. These two aspects are interrelated considering that the increasing representation of anthropologists in the medicolegal context correlates with the burgeoning breadth of forensic anthropological applications. In medicolegal death investigation, the utility of anthropology has grown beyond traditional skeletal analysis involving the construction of the biological profile (age, sex, ancestry, and stature). More common roles today include skeletal trauma analysis and decedent identification. Despite advancements of training and research in forensic anthropology, the misconception appears to persist that the utility of the forensic anthropologist is limited to the analysis of unidentified skeletal remains or simply the application of physical anthropology methods and theory to medicolegal skeletal casework. Therefore, the perceived need for anthropology staff in medical examiner and coroner offices may often be gauged by how many unidentified skeletal cases are received annually.

Medical examiners and coroners (ME/C) typically consult a forensic anthropologist who is on staff at their facility or employed by a local university or museum. Further, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Laboratory and The University of North Texas Health Science Center - Center for Human Identification are federally funded agencies that provide anthropology services to ME/C upon request through law enforcement. There are numerous advantages to having a full-time anthropologist working at ME/C offices. These include rapid response for scene or autopsy consultations, prompt completion of case reports, and continuity with chain of custody within the same agency. In addition, full-time forensic anthropologists often assume additional roles in the ME/C office. Currently, anthropologists employed by ME/C offices are responsible for daily tasks such as supervising other divisions within the office, managing long-term unidentified decedent cases, facilitating final disposition for unclaimed decedents, submitting and track-

ing DNA submissions for decedent identifications, and coordinating tissue procurement agencies. Staff anthropologists in many offices have handled larger projects such as the development or enhancement of existing mass disaster response protocols along with contributions toward training and implementation. Contributions to training and research programs have allowed for creation of postdoctoral fellowship programs and enhancements to pathology fellow learning opportunities.

Currently, there are fewer than 15 forensic anthropologists certified by the American Board of Forensic Anthropology (ABFA) who hold full-time positions in a ME/C office. The number of nonboard-certified anthropologists with full-time positions in ME/C offices is not well documented. There are several offices that employ an anthropologist primarily in another role, such as death investigator or autopsy technician, who are consulted for skeletal cases when needed. In these instances, the anthropologists are typically not ABFA board-certified and may have a master's degree rather than a PhD. The largest employer of forensic anthropologists is the Department of Defense POW/MIA Accounting Agency. Other federal agencies that employ full-time forensic anthropologists include the FBI and the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System.

This article brings together anthropologists employed full-time by four large medical examiner's offices, either as sole practitioners or within multi-staffed divisions, to review the breadth and variation of their contributions. Each practitioner provides a perspective of their roles based on the context and region in which they work. The following information is provided by each practitioner regarding their office: 1) the history and structure of the anthropology position, 2) the roles of the anthropologist(s), 3) a summary of casework activity and statistics, and 4) non-casework activities (database management, research, teaching, and training). The goal of this paper is to demonstrate the utility of the anthropologist in the medicolegal setting.

DISCUSSION

Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences—Houston, Texas

The Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences (HCIFS) serves primarily Harris County, a population of four million, and provides limited services to several surrounding counties. The HCIFS is organized into the Crime Laboratory Service and the Medical Examiner Service, which consists of five divisions: Forensic Pathology, Forensic Investigations and Emergency Management, Morgue Services, Forensic Imaging, and Anthropology. The Medical Examiner Service is accredited by the National Association of Medical Examiners and the Texas Medical Association Continuing Medical Education program, and offers a Forensic Pathology Fellowship accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. In addition to these accreditations, the HCIFS holds three other accreditations within its crime laboratory: American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board - International (ASCLD/LAB-International), the Texas Forensic Science Commission, and the American Board of Forensic Toxicology. In 2015, the Forensic Anthropology Laboratory was the first medical examiner's office in the United States to achieve accreditation under the American National Standards Institute – American Society for Quality (ANSI-ASQ) National Accreditation Board (ANAB) ISO/IEC 17020 Forensic Inspection Agency Accreditation program.

In 2006, three PhD-level anthropologists were hired at the HCIFS, resulting in the establishment of the Forensic Anthropology Division (FAD). The mission of the FAD is to provide anthropological consultation to the medical examiner for active cases, 24-hour scene response capability to assist with scene investigations, and to assist with the decedent identification. The FAD also maintains leadership of the Identification and Disposition Committee, which was developed to resolve identification issues in current cases, submit and track DNA samples, review and maintain long-term unidentified decedent case files, and assist with decedent referrals to county burial. Currently, the FAD consists

of four doctoral-level forensic anthropologists, three of which are board-certified. Three of these positions have additional responsibilities outside of the FAD. One member manages tissue procurement activities and county burial referrals for unknown or unclaimed decedents, another directs the forensic investigations and emergency management division, and the third is a training coordinator for the Quality Division.

In 2015, the FAD received over 300 requests for anthropologic analysis, representing a 35% increase from its first full year of service in 2007. These requests include consultation resulting in verbal and written reports, scene recoveries, trials, and pretrial consultations. **Figures 1 and 2** demonstrate the breadth of anthropological casework at the HCIFS. The bulk of FAD caseload (69% in 2015) is trauma analysis, which has steadily increased from 53% in 2007. It should be noted that the majority of the trauma analyses involve fleshed and/or moderately decomposed remains. Interestingly, the number of skeletonized cases varies significantly on an annual basis, from a low of six in 2007 to a high of 34 in 2012. While these cases tend to require more in-depth analysis and time for examination, they constitute a small percentage of the overall caseload.

The anthropologists at the HCIFS are highly active in research and publication. They regularly present research findings at scientific meetings such as the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, International Association of Identification, and National Association of Medical Examiners. The division conducts original research and has post-doctorate and summer internship training programs. The anthropologists are also active as members of scientific working groups in forensic anthropology. The FAD has a robust training program for Anthropology staff and fellows at the HCIFS and has obtained grant funding for forensic anthropology fellowships and research positions.

At the HCIFS, the FAD is also involved in the training of various forensic practitioners and students. The FAD provides lectures for the rotating medical residents and students, law enforcement, legal personnel, new HCIFS employees, interns, and some members

Figure 1: Breakdown (in percent) of typical anthropological activity in 2015 for Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences (HCIFS), District of Columbia Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (DC-OCME), and the Tarrant County Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and Forensic Laboratories (TCME), while New York City Office of Chief Medical Examiner (NYC-OCME) shows the average activity over multiple years. The percentages do not reflect the volume of casework, but rather the distribution of workload. The activity categories are considered mutually exclusive even though a single case depicted in the percentage may be counted in more than one category (e.g., field recovery, consult-report, and pretrial). These activities represent significantly different interactions with casework.

Figure 2: Breakdown (in percent) of anthropological analysis requested in 2015 for Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences (HCIFS), District of Columbia Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (DC-OCME), and the Tarrant County Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and Forensic Laboratories (TCME), while New York City Office of Chief Medical Examiner (NYC-OCME) shows the average over multiple years. In order to provide continuity between the offices depicted, the analyses performed were distilled into five categories, with four representing specific types of analyses requested. The identification category includes cases in which the anthropologist performed antemortem and postmortem comparisons. The “Other” category for NYC-OCME represents analyses such as reassociation of fragmentary remains and evaluation of exhumation cases from City Burial. The data are presented in percentages considering that the categories are not mutually exclusive, meaning that a case submitted for analysis may involve multiple categories.

of the general public. The forensic pathology fellows are provided hands-on training in anthropological techniques. Each year, the FAD mentors one anthropology intern, typically a graduate student, who observes the anthropologists during their daily casework and is mentored in a research project that they present at the end of their internship. When grant funding is available, the FAD offers a Forensic Anthropology Postdoctoral Fellowship with the purpose of training individuals to be practitioners. The FAD personnel conduct other training courses and hands-on workshops for specialized law enforcement groups, such as FBI Evidence Response Teams, and participate in the annual Topics in Forensic Science Conference hosted by the HCIFS.

In addition to internal and external training the FAD is involved in community outreach projects. One example of this was the development of “Missing in Harris County Day.” The program was designed to raise awareness of missing persons in the Harris County area and provide members of the community, who have missing loved ones, a place to file a missing persons report with law enforcement officers, have the missing person’s information entered into the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) database, and voluntarily provide familial DNA samples for identification purposes. More information on this initiative and similar programs can be found in the article authored by Derrick and Figura within this issue (1).

In order to improve mass fatality preparedness and streamline daily operations, emergency management, forensic investigations, and forensic anthropology have integrated roles at the HCIFS. Emergency management in the medicolegal setting is, at least in part, synonymous with mass fatality response. The methods employed in the mass fatality context are simply modifications of the same methods employed to manage daily cases by the operational areas (Pathology, Anthropology, Investigations and Morgue). In order to best prepare the HCIFS to accommodate a surge in cases associated with a mass fatality incident, the agency has elected to incorporate techniques for the management of large numbers of remains into the daily operations of the Investigations Division. The primary changes

made to accommodate this integration were 1) to completely integrate the Forensic Investigations and Emergency Management into a single division, which is directed by a staff anthropologist, and 2) to modify the agency’s identification procedures to reflect the daily adoption of emergency management concepts. As part of this process, the identification policies for the office were integrated into a single multidisciplinary strategy that operates under the administrative supervision of the Director of Forensic Anthropology and the operational direction of the Identification Manager, a newly created ancillary position also filled by a staff anthropologist. This arrangement benefits the efficiency of the identification of daily cases, and by extension, better positions the HCIFS to manage its operations in the emergency context.

New York City Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Originally established in 1918, the New York City Office of Chief Medical Examiner (NYC-OCME) currently employs approximately 30 medical examiners and performs an average of 5500 autopsies each year. The agency also offers the country’s largest forensic pathology fellowship program, having produced 100 board-certified forensic pathologists since 1990. Headquartered in Manhattan, the NYC-OCME has operations in all five boroughs of New York. In addition to standard medical examiner services, the NYC-OCME is home to the largest public DNA crime laboratory in North America, a toxicology laboratory, a histology laboratory, a forensic anthropology laboratory, and the nation’s only public molecular genetics laboratory. The NYC-OCME also maintains a division of specially trained experts to respond to any mass fatality event.

In 1999, the NYC-OCME hired its first full-time forensic anthropologist. Since that time, the role of forensic anthropology within the agency has expanded. This expansion is largely due to New York City’s high volume of cases, as well as the complex investigations associated with the 9/11/2001 World Trade Center (WTC) disaster. Since 1999, the core staffing level of full-time forensic anthropologists has fluctuated between one and eight people; however, this does not

account for the large number of anthropologists who have been temporarily hired to work on WTC related projects. Currently, the Forensic Anthropology Unit (FAU) consists of five full-time anthropologists (three assigned exclusively to the FAU and two who have additional responsibilities). Four of the anthropologists have PhD degrees and one has an MS degree. Two of these anthropologists are board-certified by the American Board of Forensic Anthropology.

Although headquartered in Manhattan, the FAU is responsible for coverage of all five boroughs in New York City (Brooklyn, the Bronx, Manhattan, Queens, and Staten Island), with a population of over eight million. The FAU is available to assist the medical examiners with gross and radiographic analysis of bone and cartilage. Generally, the FAU performs analyses and writes reports at the request of the staff medical examiners. Cases that may warrant anthropological consultation include decomposed or skeletonized remains, remains of questioned human or nonhuman origin or forensic significance, unidentified individuals, skeletal trauma (including blunt force, sharp force, gunshot, dismemberment, and thermal alteration), and skeletal pathologies and anomalies. The FAU is also available on-call 24 hours a day to assist medicolegal investigators with scene search and recovery. Depending on the circumstances, the FAU will respond to certain types of death scenes (e.g., buried bodies, surface scatters, and mass fatality incidents). **Figures 1 and 2** demonstrate the breadth of anthropological casework at the NYC-OCME.

Between 2005 and 2015, the FAU analyzed over 1400 cases. The annual caseload has fluctuated from a low of 72 cases to a high of 189 cases and an average of 127 cases (note: in general, a “case” signifies that a formal report was generated; informal consultations with medical examiners or medicolegal investigators that did not result in the generation of an anthropology report are not routinely tracked by the FAU). Approximately 75% of the total cases involve trauma and/or biological profile analysis and the remaining 25% of the cases involve remains that are not forensically significant (e.g., nonhuman remains or historic cemetery remains). Approximately 15% of the cases sub-

mitted to the FAU also involve a scene visit by FAU staff. The FAU is also a critical component of NYC-OCME’s disaster response team. The FAU fills several roles within the disaster response team, which can be divided into three operational areas: Field, Morgue, and the Family Assistance Center. FAU members are trained for various types of mass fatality events, including both routine and hazmat scenarios, through periodic large-scale, multi-agency drills that allow personnel to practice in a realistic context.

The FAU continues to play a lead role in the NYC-OCME’s ongoing WTC operations. The various roles of the anthropologists involved with the WTC operations include forensic oversight of renewed search and recovery efforts (primarily conducted from 2006 to 2013), serving as custodians for the retention and release of over 11 000 WTC remains currently housed within the 9/11 Repository, being the primary point of contact for WTC victims’ families, conducting DNA sampling, and completing an anthropological review of DNA results.

Members of the FAU are also involved in research and routinely present and publish research results. They are also active with various forensic organizations and have served as or currently serve as members on the Scientific Working Group of Forensic Anthropology, the Scientific Working Group on Disaster Victim Identification, the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, the American Board of Forensic Anthropology, the editorial board of the *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, and the Organization of Scientific Area Committees.

At the NYC-OCME, the FAU is very involved with both internal and external training for various forensic practitioners and students. The FAU provides training on basic forensic anthropology for the rotating medical residents and students as part of the NYC-OCME monthly lecture series. The FAU also works closely with the forensic pathology fellows each year, taking the opportunity to show young forensic pathologists how anthropologists can assist them with various aspects of their casework. Graduating fellows and pathologists who are slated to take the forensic pathology board examination are offered training in an-

thropological techniques. FAU personnel conduct other training courses, lectures, and hands-on workshops for groups such as the New York City Police Department (NYPD) Homicide Detectives, NYPD Crime Scene Unit, and the Fire Department of the City of New York Fire Marshalls. In addition, the FAU developed a Visiting Scientist Program that allows advanced graduate students and professionals with a forensic anthropology focus to gain professional development through immersion with the unit for one month. The Visiting Scientist Program was initiated in 2008 and as of the completion of the 2015/2016 program, 65 people have participated. Finally, the FAU mentors several interns per year. Most student interns are selected from the Human Skeletal Biology Masters Program in the Anthropology Department at New York University (of which several members of the FAU are affiliated faculty).

District of Columbia Office of the Chief Medical Examiner

The District of Columbia Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (DC-OCME) was established in 1971 and serves a population of approximately 650 000 residents. DC-OCME received full accreditation from the National Association of Medical Examiners in 2016. The office provides medical examiner and toxicology services to the District. The medical examiner services consist of five units: Anthropology, Identification, Investigation, Mass Fatality Preparation, and Pathology. In 2015, approximately 3000 deaths were reported to the office and DC-OCME accepted jurisdiction of 1085 cases. Of these, 714 cases were autopsied.

During a two-year interval (2002-2004) the office employed a master's-level anthropologist as the mortuary supervisor. In addition to managing the mortuary operations and staff, the anthropologist implemented methods to collect fingerprints from decomposed decedents thus greatly increasing the number of scientific identifications on these difficult cases. The anthropologist also processed all unclaimed decedents for final disposition and organized and reviewed long-term unidentified skeletal cases by compiling case data into a central database.

In 2014, a full-time forensic anthropology position and forensic anthropology laboratory were established in the office. Prior to 2014, traditional skeletal analyses and radiograph comparisons to establish identification were outsourced to forensic anthropologists at the National Museum of Natural History Department of Anthropology. With the hiring of a doctoral-level, American Board of Forensic Anthropology-certified forensic anthropologist, anthropological analyses came in-house and expanded from traditional skeletal cases to consultations of skeletal and fleshed decedents. The staff anthropologist responds to the autopsy suite to consult on skeletal findings and remove skeletal elements and cartilage, when necessary, for analysis. For example, the anthropologist is available to perform pediatric skeletal examinations (2) in cases suspicious for nonaccidental injury as well as fracture pattern analyses in cases of questionable fall versus assault. The on-site services reduced the anthropological analysis turnaround time from greater than a month to an average of 14 days. Furthermore, the anthropologist responds to death scenes that involve burned, skeletal, buried, or dismembered decedents to ensure thorough scene processing and decedent recovery. In 2015, the first full year of in-house anthropology services at the DC-OCME, the anthropologist received nearly 150 cases (**Figures 1 and 2**).

In addition to anthropology casework, the forensic anthropologist supervises the Identification Unit (ID Unit) and facilitates final disposition of unclaimed decedents. The ID Unit is a staff of six individuals who are responsible for facilitating visual identifications at the DC-OCME and fingerprint submissions to law enforcement. Also, the staff process death certificates through the electronic death reporting system, receive and process cremation requests, facilitate the release of decedents, and triage incoming telephone calls. In 2015, the anthropologist and ID Unit facilitated the final disposition of 98 unclaimed decedents. The average length of time between the date DC-OCME received the decedent and date of disposition was 56 days. The anthropologist, with the support of the ID Unit, reviews and processes unidentified decedent cold cases. Fingerprints are resubmitted to various agencies including the FBI and the Department of

Homeland Security. Decedent descriptions are entered into NamUs. DNA samples are submitted to the University of North Texas, Health Science Center for profile development and upload to CODIS, the Combined DNA Index System. To date, six unidentified decedent cold cases have been identified.

Finally, the forensic anthropologist played a large role in developing the office's mass fatality response plan; specifically, writing the mass fatality victim identification concept of operations (ConOp) and contributing to the scene response plan (SRP). The ConOp delineates acceptable identification methods under various circumstances, details antemortem and postmortem data collection protocols, defines the mechanism for systematic comparison of antemortem and postmortem data, and describes the case tracking and data management system. Relying on training in archaeological methods and techniques, the anthropologist made significant contributions to the SRP addressing fragmented decedents and decedent recovery from large structure collapses. The contributions included developing the search, documentation, and recovery of human remains protocol as well as a defining a numbering system for fragmented remains to be employed on scene.

Tarrant County Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and Forensic Laboratories—Fort Worth, Texas

The Tarrant County Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and Forensic Laboratories (TCME) in Fort Worth, Texas, provides autopsy, death investigation, and crime laboratory services for Tarrant, Parker, Denton, and Johnson Counties in North Texas. The office also provides autopsy services for multiple Justice of the Peace jurisdictions in surrounding counties and crime laboratory services for over 150 law enforcement agencies. The larger cities of Fort Worth, Arlington, Denton, and Weatherford are included in the region. A portion of the Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Texas Motor Speedway, multiple rail lines, U.S. Interstates, and multiple lakes fall within the jurisdiction.

The TCME divisions include Forensic Pathology, Forensic Death Investigation, Human Identification,

Toxicology and Chemistry, Crime Laboratory, Morgue Services, Histology, Evidence, and Administration. The Crime Laboratories consist of Forensic Biology, Trace Analysis, Firearm and Toolmark Examination, Latent Fingerprints, and Forensic Photography. Forensic Anthropology falls under the Human identification division. The TCME is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, The American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board, and the National Association of Medical Examiners. In 2015, there were 11 013 reported deaths with 3567 Jurisdiction accepted cases. Over 3600 bodies were brought to the facility with 1494 receiving an external examination, 362 receiving a partial autopsy, and 1711 receiving a complete autopsy.

The Forensic Anthropologist position at TCME initially began as a Trace Evidence Analyst position. In 1993, a newly hired trace analyst with a master's degree in forensic anthropology replaced a consulting academic-based forensic anthropologist. This hiring practice continued as another master's-level anthropologist with duties in trace analysis and anthropology replaced the first trace analyst/anthropologist. In 1996, the current anthropologist was hired with a doctorate in anthropology, specialized training in forensic anthropology, and many years of graduate level casework experience that included morgue consultation with forensic pathologists. It should be noted that the anthropologist had a bachelor's of science in biochemistry that fulfilled the trace position minimum requirement of 30 hours of chemistry. The position remained a dual role within the trace analysis laboratory until 2003. Trace duties for the anthropologist primarily included collection of trace evidence from decedents, clothing, vehicles, and other items of evidence; hair and fiber identification and comparison; and fracture pattern matching. In 2003, the increased demand for anthropology services led to a single role position focused entirely on managing the anthropology laboratory. In 2006, the anthropologist was moved out of the Crime Laboratory administratively and placed within the newly constructed Human Identification Laboratory. The new unit consisted of a forensic odontology director, fingerprint specialists, and the forensic anthropologist.

The TCME Anthropology Laboratory is responsible for multiple tasks within the office. In 2015, the Anthropology Laboratory received nearly 90 cases (**Figure 1**). The evaluation of human remains originates with a request for assistance in the case by the assigned pathologist. The bulk of the anthropology laboratory casework is skeletal trauma analysis of decomposed, skeletal, burned, and fresh human remains (**Figure 2**). The climate in North Texas leads to a high number of decomposed remains that are examined at TCME. In fresh bodies, common trauma analyses for adult decedents include fracture documentation in the hyoid bone and neck cartilages in suspected strangulation cases, evaluation of gunshot wounds, blunt force trauma, and sharp force injury. Pediatric trauma cases are evaluated by analyzing skeletal segments of the body after removal and cleaning. In these cases, the pathologist and anthropologist determine which injuries to examine histologically and remove those sections prior to skeletal processing. Analysis combining histological cellular data with gross bone evidence is discussed jointly with the pathologists.

Traditional skeletal analysis to create a biological profile comprises about 10% of the casework (**Figure 2**). Metric data from the skeleton are collected with a digitizer and calipers and are analyzed with software to estimate sex, ancestry, and stature. Procedures including digital radiography, stereomicroscopy, and visual examination are used to evaluate the skeleton for antemortem conditions, perimortem trauma, and taphonomic indicators.

Positive identification by comparison of antemortem radiographic images with postmortem images is a common request, although the majority of the unidentified cases at TCME are resolved through fingerprint comparison (87% in 2015). In addition, the anthropologist maintains the database containing information on long-standing unresolved identity cases (3). This database includes the pertinent information on the circumstances of the case, the biological profile of the individual, and the results of comparisons with missing persons. This database was created in 2005 in response to the launch of the CODIS database for missing persons and unidentified bodies and a national

impetus to resolve cold identity cases. The Anthropologist obtained all available fingerprint and DNA samples on the older cases and submitted them for processing and inclusion in various databases. Multiple identifications immediately resulted with additional identifications facilitated by the inclusion in CODIS.

Nonhuman bone identification and scene response are regularly requested services. Digital photography allows analysis of images of suspected nonhuman bones sent via telephone text message or email. This is a valuable service to any law enforcement agency that could potentially expend valuable resources on a case of no consequence. In cases of known human remains at a scene or suspected burials, the TCME anthropologist responds within the jurisdiction. The forensic anthropology field team at TCME is comprised of volunteer scientific staff who are given permission by their supervisors to respond to scenes at the request of the anthropologist. The occurrence of buried body and surface scatter recoveries ranges from two to seven annually. The extent of the recovery varies with each scene and time expenditure in the past has ranged from two hours to five days. Assistance to law enforcement agencies outside of the jurisdiction is offered remotely and involves the analysis of crime scene photographs and recommendations for best practices for an individual scene.

Additional duties for the forensic anthropologist include training of forensic pathology fellows and visiting scientists. The anthropologist also presents skeletal analysis findings to the pathologists when the case is reviewed. Court testimony, primarily limited to homicide trials, is required one to two times per year with an equal number of trial preparations for cases that end in plea agreements.

Summary

Examining the roles of the anthropologists in multiple medical examiner offices shows that the contribution of forensic anthropology far exceeds the role of simply examining skeletonized remains. Over several decades, there has been a paradigm shift in the conceptual framework by which the forensic anthropol-

ogist operates, with specific reference to the analysis of trauma and taphonomy, as well as the use of the anthropologist for recovery and death scene reconstruction (4). Furthermore, anthropologists assume supervisory positions beyond anthropology casework and are actively involved in other aspects of agency operations such as decedent identification, body disposition, and disaster preparedness. This shift has increased the need for anthropologists in the medical examiner's setting and has greatly expanded the role of forensic anthropology.

The authors have uniformly experienced that the anthropology caseload builds as medical examiners learn to appreciate the full range of assistance that forensic anthropologists can provide. In fact, as shown in **Figure 2**, skeletal trauma analysis is the most requested service, ranging from 45-69% of the total caseload received by the four forensic anthropology laboratories examined in this paper. Human identification for three of the offices comprised 10-43% of the annual caseload (**Figure 2**). The development of the biological profile was found to comprise 4-15% of the total case load and scene response occupied less than 10% of the total case load in all laboratories, with the majority below 5% (**Figures 1 and 2**). These percentages will vary somewhat from year to year, but the emphasis on assisting the pathologist with skeletal trauma analysis and identification of remains consistently comprises the bulk of the caseload.

As noted by Austin and Fulginiti (3) and exemplified in this paper, anthropologists within the medicolegal system frequently perform diverse roles in addition to laboratory analyses. These roles span into several operational areas; however, the areas that most frequently involve the anthropologist are mass fatality preparedness, management of long-term unidentified decedent cases, and research and training programs.

Regarding mass fatality incident (MFI) response, the anthropologist's skill set is typically employed in multiple operational areas including Field Operations, Morgue Operations, and the Family Assistance Center (FAC). During field operations, the anthropologist's training in archaeological methods is essential to en-

sure proper recovery and documentation of a scene, especially in complex instances involving body fragmentation. Regarding disaster morgue operations, the anthropologist assists in triage to evaluate remains and resolve any commingling of remains prior to examination by the forensic pathologist. The anthropologist also provides consultation for the pathologist during the examination of remains as described previously. Finally, anthropologists have been used in the FAC to assist with disaster victim identification, ensuring that the necessary antemortem records are collected. In addition to performing key roles during an incident, forensic anthropologists are frequently involved in the development of the agency's mass fatality response plan.

Long-term unidentified decedent database creation and maintenance is primarily undertaken by the anthropology laboratory in all of the offices discussed in this paper. The review of potential matches is assisted by fingerprint specialists, forensic odontologists, and forensic biologists, with the anthropologist guiding the process and tracking the results of the comparisons, as well as coordinating with law enforcement regarding these cases. The tracking of long-term unidentified decedent cases (i.e., cold cases) became a major consideration after 2005 when the National Institute of Justice supported medical examiner offices with grant opportunities to allow backlog reduction of these types of cases. Forensic anthropologists participate in national training and coordinate with state missing person clearinghouses to move these cases forward by entering accurate data into national databases, including NCIC (National Crime Information Center) and NamUs, as well as submitting DNA samples to CODIS. Anthropologists have proven to be well-qualified to review the case information, specifically the biological profile, and coordinate the efforts for the ME/C offices.

Knowledge in forensic practice is advanced through training and research. Furthermore, continuing education is essential for maintaining certification/licensure and facilitating professional development. The academic training of a forensic anthropologist emphasizes research and teaching, thus qualifying them to

contribute significantly in the education and training programs offered by the medical examiner's office. The training opportunities are an excellent way for various groups to understand the diverse roles of a forensic anthropologist. These may range from working with law enforcement on recovery scene protocols to introducing forensic pathology fellows to various types of laboratory analyses. The goal of this educational outreach is generally not to train personnel to become forensic anthropologists but rather for the participants to fully appreciate how forensic anthropologists can assist them in various aspects of their medicolegal death investigations.

CONCLUSION

As shown through the National Association of Medical Examiners accreditation checklist, utilization of forensic anthropology is an important component of medicolegal death investigation. More importantly, the contributions of forensic anthropology to a ME/C office far exceed consultation on unidentified skeletal

remains. These contributions include, but are not limited to, scene response, skeletal trauma analysis, decedent identification, mass disaster response planning, long-term unidentified decedent case management, and other morgue operational tasks. The intent of this article is to showcase the various roles forensic anthropologists can play in a ME/C office. Perhaps with a better understanding of these roles by ME/C agencies, it will become more commonplace for ME/C offices to employ full-time forensic anthropologists.

REFERENCES

- 1) Derrick S, Figura B. The role of the anthropologist in identification at two urban medical examiner offices: OCME New York City and Harris County IFS. *Acad Forensic Pathol*. 2016 Sep; 6(3):413-23.
- 2) Love JC, Sanchez LA. Recognition of skeletal fractures in infants: an autopsy technique. *J Forensic Sci*. 2009 Nov; 54(6):1443-6. PMID: 19732272. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2009.01148.x>.
- 3) Austin DE, Fulginiti LC. The Forensic Anthropology Laboratory. Boca Raton: CRC Press; c2008. Chapter 3, The forensic anthropology laboratory in a medical examiner setting; p.23-46.
- 4) Dirkmaat DC, Cabo LL, Ousley SD, Symes SA. New perspectives in forensic anthropology. *Am J Phys Anthropol*. 2008; Suppl 47: 33-52. PMID: 19003882. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20948>.