Cochrane
Library

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Nutrition support for bone marrow transplant patients (Review)

Murray SM, Pindoria S

Murray SM, Pindoria S.

Nutrition support for bone marrow transplant patients.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD002920.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002920.pub4.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL	1
WHAT'S NEW	1
HISTORY	1

[Intervention Review]

Nutrition support for bone marrow transplant patients

Susan M Murray¹, Sima Pindoria²

¹Royal College of Physicians, London, UK. ²Centre for Paediatric Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Child Health, London, UK

Contact address: Susan M Murray, Royal College of Physicians, London, UK. suzdmurray@aol.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Pain, Palliative and Supportive Care Group.

Publication status and date: Withdrawn from publication for reasons stated in the review, published in Issue 3, 2017.

Citation: Murray SM, Pindoria S. Nutrition support for bone marrow transplant patients. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD002920. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002920.pub4.

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

The editorial group responsible for this previously published document have withdrawn it from publication.

REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL

This review is now out of date although it is correct as of the date of publication [Issue 4, 2008]. The original author team is unable to complete the update, hence the decision to withdraw.

We are seeking new authors to develop a new protocol which would serve to update the existing review and incorporate the latest evidence into a new Cochrane Review. However, we would suggest that the current topic is too broad and would therefore recommend reassessing the title prior to registration. Please contact PaPaS if you are interested: <http://papas.cochrane.org/contact-us>.

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 30 April 2008.

Date	Event	Description
4 April 2014	Amended	This review has been withdrawn. Please see Published notes .

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 1, 2001

Review first published: Issue 2, 2002

Date	Event	Description
4 April 2014	Feedback has been incorporated	Feedback added regarding a query about the appropriateness of the Pytilik 2002 study for inclusion. See Feedback .
12 November 2008	Amended	Contact details updated
13 August 2008	Amended	This review update should have been put up for publication in Issue 3, 2008 but unfortunately due to technical error did not make it
14 May 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.
30 April 2008	New citation required and conclusions have changed	<p>This review is made up of four small sub reviews. For the update of this review 17 potential studies were identified in the re-run of the search which was conducted in June 2006. Five of the 17 studies were included, three of which were grouped within three other reviews which are included in this review that is:</p> <p>Oral Glutamine versus placebo (one study Aquino 2005 (n = 120 children)), Parenteral nutrition (PN) with Glutamine versus standard PN (one study Pytilik 2002 (n = 40)) and Parenteral nutrition versus Intravenous hydration (one study Roberts 2003 (n = 55)) . The two other studies were not pooled but added to the eight other heterogenous studies identified in the original review</p> <p>The addition of data from the studies by Aquino 2005 and Roberts 2003 does not affect the results or conclusions of the sub reviews that they were part of. However, for the sub review that compared PN with additional glutamine versus standard PN, following further analysis with the additional data from Pytilik 2002 the pooled result for hospital duration did not show the same benefit to patients who received the intervention PN with Glutamine in that, hospital duration no longer seemed to be reduced for patients who received the intervention. However, the likelihood that these patients will have less infections remains the same. In the original review of this material we proposed that for patients who were unable to have an adequate oral diet and who had gastrointestinal failure resulting in the need for PN, PN with added glutamine should be</p>

(Continued)

		<p>considered. We would now alter this to say that giving patients PN with additional glutamine could be of benefit but also further research on this is required to further confirm this.</p> <p>Previous readers of this review would benefit from reading this updated review</p>
--	--	--