Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2019 April 16; 58(17): 5718–5722. doi:10.1002/anie.201900717.

A $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide complex capable of aldehyde deformylation

Adriana M. Magherusan^a, Subhasree Kal^b, Daniel N. Nelis^a, Lorna M. Doyle^a, Erik R. Farquhar^c, Lawrence Que Jr.^b, and Aidan R. McDonald^a

^aAdriana M. Magherusan, Daniel N. Nelis, Lorna M. Doyle, Dr. Aidan R. McDonald, School of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, College Green, Dublin 2, Ireland, aidan.mcdonald@tcd.ie

^bSubhasree Kal, Prof. Lawrence Que, Jr., Department of Chemistry and Centre for Metals in Biocatalysis, University of Minnesota, 207 Pleasant St. SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

^cDr. Erik R. Farquhar, Case Western Reserve University Centre for Synchrotron Biosciences, National Synchrotron Light Source II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

Abstract

Ribonucleotide reductases (RNRs) are essential enzymes required for DNA synthesis. In class Ib Mn_2 RNRs superoxide ($\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$) was postulated to react with the Mn^{II}_2 core to yield a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide moiety. The reactivity of complex **1** ($[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2(\text{BPMP})](\text{ClO}_4)$ (**1**, where $\text{HBMPMP} = 2,6\text{-bis}[(\text{bis}(2\text{-pyridylmethyl})\text{amino})\text{methyl}]\text{-4-methylphenol}$)) towards $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ was investigated at -90°C , generating a metastable species, **2**. The electronic absorption spectrum of **2** displayed features ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 440, 590\text{ nm}$) characteristic of a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide species, representing just the second example of such. Electron paramagnetic resonance and X-ray absorption spectroscopies, and mass spectrometry supported the formulation of **2** as a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide complex. Unlike all other previously reported Mn_2 -peroxides, which were unreactive, **2** proved to be a capable oxidant in aldehyde deformylation. Our studies provide insight into the mechanism of O_2 -activation in Class Ib Mn_2 RNRs, and the highly reactive intermediates in their catalytic cycle.

Graphical Abstract

Nucleophilic reactivity: The reaction of a Mn^{II}_2 complex with superoxide yielded a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide complex which mimics intermediates in class Ib Mn_2 ribonucleotide reductases. The $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide species displayed an unexpected nucleophilic character in aldehyde deformylation.

Keywords

ribonucleotide reductases; Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-peroxide; superoxide activation; nucleophilic reactivity; aldehyde deformylation

Ribonucleotide reductases (RNRs) are essential enzymes that play a pivotal role in the regulation of DNA synthesis.^[1] Three different RNR classes have been reported (I, II, and III).^[2] Our attention is focused on class Ib Mn₂ RNRs, which use a Mn₂ cofactor for catalytic activity.^[3] Stubbe and co-workers have proposed that superoxide anion (O₂^{•-}), rather than dioxygen, reacts with the Mn^{II}₂ core, to form a mixed valent Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-(hydro)peroxide adduct.^[3d] Mn-peroxide species have often been implicated as precursors to high-valent oxidants,^[4] and the Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-(hydro)peroxide was postulated to be a precursor to the active oxidant, a μ-O-Mn^{III}Mn^{IV} moiety. We expect a Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-(hydro)peroxide to be highly reactive, however, no insight into any Mn₂-peroxide reactivity is currently available. Exploring the reactivity of the peroxide core will provide information on how external stimuli (*i.e.* H⁺, electrophiles) activate the peroxide core in RNRs.

We recently reported the first example of a Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-peroxide complex formed from the reaction of O₂^{•-} with [Mn^{II}₂(O₂CCH₃)₂(N-Et-HPTB)](ClO₄)₂ (N-Et-HPTB = N,N,N',N'-tetrakis(2-(1-ethylbenzimidazolyl))-2-hydroxy-1,3-diaminopropane, Figure 1).^[5] However, that complex proved to be unreactive as either an electrophilic or nucleophilic oxidant.^[5] All other reported Mn₂-peroxide complexes (one Mn^{III}₂- and one Mn^{IV}₂-peroxide)^[6] also displayed no reactivity towards external substrates. Herein, we report the preparation of the second example of a Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-peroxide complex and demonstrate it to be (to the best of our knowledge) the first example of a reactive Mn₂-peroxide.

Results and discussion.

[Mn^{II}₂(O₂CCH₃)₂(BPMP)](ClO₄) (**1**, where HBPMP = 2,6-bis[(bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amino)methyl]-4-methylphenol, Figure 1) was synthesised as previously described.^[7] To a solution of **1** at -90 °C was added KO₂ and 18-crown-6. An instantaneous reaction occurred (complete within 30 s), as evidenced by changes in the electronic absorption spectrum (Figure 2), resulting in the formation of a new species (**2**).

The electronic absorption spectrum of **1** displayed no absorbance bands above 400 nm, whereas **2** displayed two low intensity features at λ_{max} = 440 and 590 nm (Figure 2). The electronic absorption features of **2** were remarkably similar to those of [Mn₂(O₂)(N-Et-HPTB)]²⁺ that we recently reported (λ_{max} = 460, 610 nm, Figure S1).^[5] Furthermore, such

features are also characteristic of mononuclear Mn^{III} -peroxide complexes, which have been reported to display absorption bands at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 400 - 500 \text{ nm}$ and $550 - 650 \text{ nm}$.^[8] This led us to conclude that species **2** was the second example of a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide complex.

Cold injection electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) on a just-thawed solution of **2** revealed a peak at $m/z = 770.2933$ with the appropriate isotope distribution pattern to be consistent with its formulation as the mono-cation $\{[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{BPMP})](\text{ClO}_4)\} + [\text{6a}, \text{4a}]$ (Figures 2, S2). In this cation both acetate ligands from the precursor complex **1** were absent. This mirrors our observations made with $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$ where the starting material contained an acetate ligand, but by ESI-MS we identified only the $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$ dication.^[5]

At 2 K complex **2** displays a 22-line electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) signal centered at $g \sim 1.96$ (Figure 3), which can be attributed to an $S = \frac{1}{2} \text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ species.^[9] A 36-line spectrum would be expected assuming an isotropic g -tensor, however, the number of lines is expected to increase with anisotropy, but at the same time there is overlap, resulting in a 22-line spectrum for **2**. The yield of **2** was determined by EPR integration to be $\sim 50\%$ (Figure S3). The EPR signal of **2** has a wide spectral width and is well-resolved below 10 K. Above 10 K the signal broadens, obscuring its characteristic 22-line pattern. Similar behavior was reported for the EPR signals of previously reported $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ complexes, which exhibit well-resolved 24-29-line signals but only at temperatures below 15 K.^[10a, 10b, 9, 10c, 10d] Furthermore, $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$, the only other $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide reported to date, also displayed a well-resolved 29-line EPR signal centered at $g \sim 1.96$ with a wide spectral width but was only well resolved below 10 K (Figures 3, S4).^[5] This temperature dependence is indicative of a weak coupling interaction between the Mn ions.^[10c]

Hendrickson and co-workers previously reported a mixed valent form of complex **1** $([\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2(\text{BPMP})]^{2+})$ which displayed a 29-line signal centred at $g \sim 2$ at 7.5 K^[10a] and differs from the 22-line EPR spectrum exhibited by **2** at 2 K. Thus, we conclude that complex **2** represented a unique $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ complex supported by the BPMP ligand. Furthermore, while the electronic absorption spectrum of **2** exhibited two weak absorption bands at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 440$ and 590 nm , the Hendrickson complex displayed three absorption bands at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 427, 478$ and 620 nm which were markedly more intense as well.^[7a] The different EPR and electronic absorption spectra support our postulate that complex **2** and $[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2(\text{BPMP})]^{2+}$ are different species, leading us to define complex **2** as $[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{O}_2)(\text{BPMP})]^{2+}$.

To gain better insight into the oxidation state of **2**, Mn K-edge X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy (XANES) was carried out on frozen samples of **1** and **2**. The edge energy of **1** was found to be 6548.4 eV, while that of **2** was 6548.9 eV, an increase of 0.5 eV (Table S1, Figures 4, S5). Systematic studies of Mn coordination compounds have shown that each integer change in formal oxidation state elicits a blue-shift of 2-4 eV in K-edge inflection energy.^[11] For a mixed valent Mn_2 species, a shift of 1 eV would therefore be expected upon quantitative oxidation of a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}$ precursor (**1**) to a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide (**2**) adduct. Here, the yield of **2** was only $\sim 50\%$, according to EPR analysis, consistent with the observed blue-shift of 0.5 eV. For the previously reported peroxide complex $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$ we

observed an ~1 eV shift upon conversion of the Mn^{II}_2 starting material to the $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ species $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$ (obtained in 80% yield, Figure S6).^[5]

Notably, there was a significant increase in the pre-edge area from 5.8 to 9.4 units upon conversion of **1** to **2**, respectively (Figure 4, S7, Table S1). The increased pre-edge area is indicative of a decrease in symmetry from octahedral Mn sites in **1** to a lower degree of symmetry (possibly 5-coordinate Mn site(s)) in **2**.^[11b, 12] The pre-edge peak of **1** can be constructed as a combination of two independent peaks at 6540.0 eV and 6540.8 eV (Figures S7-S9). The weighted average of these two transitions give a pre-edge energy of approximately 6540.3 eV, which is identical to that of **2** (also 6540.3 eV, Table S1). This is not unexpected, as appreciable changes in pre-edge energy are generally not found until the Mn^{IV} state is achieved.^[11c] It has been shown that octahedral Mn^{II} complexes exhibit two $1s \rightarrow 3d$ transitions of similar energy ($1s \rightarrow 3d(e_g)$ and $1s \rightarrow 3d(t_{2g})$), separated by ~0.8 – 1 eV.^[13, 11b] We assume that the two features observed derive from the octahedral nature of the Mn sites in **1**. The observation of a loss of symmetry in the pre-edge feature for **2**, upon conversion of **1** to **2**, indicates a change in coordination environment at the metals. The loss of an electron, through Mn oxidation, could lead to an increase in the number of vacant valence d -orbitals present, thus affecting the $1s \rightarrow 3d$ transitions. This would in turn increase the area of the pre-edge feature, as is observed.^[11b, 12] A change comparable to this was reported by Kovacs, DeBeer, and co-workers, wherein a 5-coordinate starting Mn^{II} complex was oxidised to a 6-coordinate Mn^{III}_2 -peroxide complex, leading to a *decrease* in the pre-edge area, providing support for our interpretation.^[14] The shift of the edge energy by 0.5 eV as well as the changes to pre-edge area and decreased site symmetry support our assignment of **2** as a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ species. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) analysis on **2** was not carried out due to the low yield obtained (~ 50%). Based on the spectroscopic results along with the mass spectrometric evidence, we concluded that **2** was a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$ -peroxide complex.

At -90°C , **2** displayed a $t_{1/2} = 2$ h, but decayed fully within 180 s upon warming to room temperature. The electronic absorption spectrum of **2** at -90°C remained unchanged upon the addition of triphenylphosphine (PPh_3), cyclohexene, or substrates containing weak X–H bonds (all added in 100-fold excess, including 1-methyl-1,4-cyclohexadiene, 9,10-dihydroanthracene, and 2,4-di-*tert*-butylphenol). Hence, **2** was determined to be a poor electrophilic oxidant. This observation was consistent with our observations for $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$,^[5] which was also unreactive towards such substrates.

In contrast, at -90°C in 1:9 $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{THF}$ **2** reacted readily with aldehyde substrates including 2-phenylpropionaldehyde (PPA), cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (CCA), and *para*-substituted benzaldehydes (*p*-X- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}(\text{H})\text{O}$). The reactions with PPA and CCA (Figures S10-S17) afforded acetophenone and cyclohexanone, respectively, as evidenced by gas chromatography mass spectrometry. By plotting the change in the absorbance of the $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 440$ nm feature of **2** against time and fitting the resulting curve, first order rate constants (k_{obs}) for the reaction with PPA or CCA were determined (Figures S10, S14). To calculate a second order rate constant (k_2) we plotted k_{obs} against [substrate] for a series of [substrate] (Figures S12, S16). At low [substrate] the relationship appeared to be linear allowing for an

estimation of k_2 values (Table 1). However, and surprisingly, at higher [substrate] the rate at which **2** reacted increased exponentially.^[21]

We believe that, as typical for Baeyer-Villiger oxidations (Scheme 1),^[15] the reaction between **2** and aldehyde involves initial *reversible* nucleophilic attack of the peroxide on the electrophilic aldehyde C-atom, followed by Criegee-rearrangement (where the C-atom α to the aldehyde C-atom attacks the distal O-atom resulting in O–O bond scission, which is irreversible, Scheme 1).^[16] The latter is normally ascribed as the rate-limiting step in such reactions. We postulate that at low [substrate] the kinetic results provide insight into the reversible nucleophilic attack, and at high [substrate] the kinetic results are likely associated with the Criegee rearrangement.

2 also reacted with electron-poor p -X-C₆H₄C(H)O (X = H, Cl, CF₃, CN, NO₂, Figures S15-S20). The products of the oxidation of these substrates were the respective benzoic acids. No reaction was observed when **2** was reacted with p -OCH₃-C₆H₄C(H)O or p -CH₃-C₆H₄C(H)O (~3000 equiv.). k_2 values were determined for the substrates that did react. Importantly, exponential changes to k_{obs} were not observed for the benzaldehyde substrates (to the limits of [substrate] before precipitation of the substrate). This suggests that for the p -X-C₆H₄C(H)O substrates the kinetic results provide insight into the initial nucleophilic attack, while the subsequent Criegee rearrangement does not influence the kinetics. This observation is consistent with Criegee rearrangement being slower for the electron-poor α -C atom (relative to the aldehyde ketone) benzaldehydes than for the electron-rich α -C PPA and CCA. Overall, this indicates that at low [PPA] or low [CCA], and for all [p -X-C₆H₄C(H)O], nucleophilic attack is rate limiting. While at high [PPA] or [CCA] Criegee rearrangement is rate limiting.

A Hammett plot of the $\log(^Rk_2/^Hk_2)$ versus the *para*-substituent (σ_p) was linear and resulted in a positive ρ value of 0.64 (Figure 5). Previous reports showed that the nucleophilic character of the {M^{III}O₂} unit (M = Mn, Ni, Co) could be confirmed by using p -X-PhC(O)H. For these metal-peroxides a Hammett plot was always linear with a positive ρ value in the range of 1.7-2.5.^[17] The slightly higher ρ values were obtained for mononuclear complexes and when compared to the ρ value of 0.64 obtained for **2** indicate that the electronic influence of the substrate on the rate of nucleophilic attack may be diminished for **2**. Nonetheless, these results confirm that the rate limiting step in the reaction of **2** and p -X-C₆H₄C(H)O was nucleophilic attack. **2** was thus a capable aldehyde deformylating oxidant, and the first Mn₂-peroxide to display reactivity towards external substrates.

Previously reported *mononuclear* Mn^{III}-peroxide complexes also proved to be competent PPA and CCA deformylating oxidants (both TMC- (tetramethylcyclam)^[18, 17b, 8d] and polypyridine^[19] supported complexes, Table 1). For PPA, at –90 °C **2** displayed a relatively low estimated k_2 compared to k_2 values determined for those Mn^{III}-peroxide complexes. It is important, however, to consider the different temperatures these measurements were performed at: –90 °C for **2**; 0 °C for [Mn^{III}(O₂)(Pro3Py)]⁺; +20 °C for TMC-supported complexes. In contrast, **2** oxidised CCA at –90 °C at rates comparable to those determined for TMC-supported complexes at significantly higher temperatures (+10 °C, Table 1), while still displaying lower reactivity than polypyridine-supported complexes (–40 °C). Overall, **2**

proved to be an effective deformylating oxidant considering the low temperature the reactivity was performed under.^[18, 17b, 8d] The reactivity properties of previously reported Mn₂-peroxide complexes were not addressed.^[6] The lower metal oxidation state in **2** with respect to the other examples (Mn^{III}₂- and Mn^{IV}₂-peroxide complexes) could enhance its nucleophilic reactivity.^[6] We believe that **2** was more reactive than [Mn₂(O₂)(N-Et-HPTB)]²⁺ due to the presence of less steric bulk in **2** allowing for more facile access to the peroxide core. That aldehydes reacted with **2** demonstrates that an electrophile is required to activate the Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-peroxide core, as in the RNRs where H⁺ is postulated to activate the core.

Conclusions.

We prepared and characterized a meta-stable Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-peroxide complex from the reaction between a Mn₂^{II} complex and superoxide anion, mimicking the postulated biochemistry of the class Ib Mn₂ RNRs. The complex displayed features typical of a Mn^{II}Mn^{III}-peroxide complex by electronic absorption, EPR, and XAS spectroscopies, and mass spectrometry. Interestingly, **2** was found to be an efficient nucleophilic aldehyde deformylation reagent, exhibiting comparable reaction rates to previously reported mononuclear Mn-peroxide complexes. To the best of our knowledge this is the first example of a reactive Mn₂-peroxide entity, and furthermore demonstrates that an electropositive species (H⁺ for RNRs, electrophilic aldehyde for **2**) is required to activate the peroxide core in RNRs.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

This publication has emanated from research supported by the Irish Research Council (IRC) under Grant Numbers GOIPG/2014/942 to A. Magherusan and GOIPG/2017/525 to D. Nelis. Research in the McDonald lab is supported in part by the European Union (ERC-2015-STG-678202) and research grants Science Foundation Ireland (SFI/15/RS-URF/3307, SFI/17/RS-EA/3470). Support for this research in the Que lab has been provided by the US National Institutes of Health (GM38767). XAS measurements benefited from support of SSRL by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515, as well as support of the SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program through the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research and the National Institutes of Health (P41-GM-103393). E.R.F. is supported by NIH grant P30-EB-009998.

References

- [1]. Cotruvo JA, Stubbe J, Annu. Rev. Biochem 2011, 80, 733–767. [PubMed: 21456967]
- [2]. a) Jordan A, Reichard P, Annu. Rev. Biochem 1998, 67, 71–98 [PubMed: 9759483] b) Kolberg M, Strand KR, Graff P, Andersson KK, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2004, 1699, 1–34 [PubMed: 15158709] c) Nordlund P, Reichard P, Annu. Rev. Biochem 2006, 75, 681–706. [PubMed: 16756507]
- [3]. a) Boal AK, Cotruvo JA Jr., Stubbe J, Rosenzweig AC, Science 2010, 329, 1526–1530 [PubMed: 20688982] b) Cotruvo JA Jr., Stubbe J, Biochemistry 2010, 49, 1297–1309 [PubMed: 20070127] c) Cox N, Ogata H, Stolle P, Reijerse E, Auling G, Lubitz W, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 11197–11213 [PubMed: 20698687] d) Cotruvo JA Jr., Stich TA, Britt RD, Stubbe J, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 4027–4039. [PubMed: 23402532]

- [4]. a) Groni S, Blain G, Guillot R, Policar C, Anxolabehere-Mallart E, Inorg. Chem 2007, 46, 1951–1953 [PubMed: 17311375] b) Gennari M, Brazzolotto D, Pecaut J, Cherrier MV, Pollock CJ, DeBeer S, Retegan M, Pantazis DA, Neese F, Rouzies M, Clerac R, Duboc C, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 8644–8653 [PubMed: 26076066] c) Brazzolotto D, Cantu Reinhard FG, Smith-Jones J, Retegan M, Amidani L, Faponle AS, Ray K, Philouze C, de Visser SP, Gennari M, Duboc C, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2017, 56, 8211–8215. [PubMed: 28544340]
- [5]. Magherusan AM, Zhou A, Farquhar ER, Garcia-Melchor M, Twamley B, Que L Jr., McDonald AR, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2018, 57, 918–922. [PubMed: 29165865]
- [6]. a) Bossek TWU, Wieghardt K, Nuber B, Weiss J, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1990, 112, 6387–6388b) Coggins MK, Sun X, Kwak Y, Solomon EI, Rybak-Akimova E, Kovacs JA, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 5631–5640. [PubMed: 23470101]
- [7]. a) Suzuki M, Mikuriya M, Murata S, Uehara A, Oshio H, Kida S, Saito K, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1987, 60, 4305–4312b) Blanchard S, Blondin G, Riviere E, Nierlich M, Girerd JJ, Inorg. Chem 2003, 42, 4568–4578. [PubMed: 12870946]
- [8]. a) Gamelin DR, Kirk ML, Stemmler TL, Pal S, Armstrong WH, Penner-Hahn JE, Solomon EI, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1994, 116, 2392–2399b) Shook RL, Gunderson WA, Greaves J, Ziller JW, Hendrich MP, Borovik AS, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 8888–8889 [PubMed: 18570414] c) Cho J, Sarangi R, Nam W, Acc. Chem. Res 2012, 45, 1321–1330 [PubMed: 22612523] d) Kang H, Cho J, Cho KB, Nomura T, Ogura T, Nam W, Chem. Eur. J 2013, 19, 14119–14125 [PubMed: 24027090] e) Leto DF, Chattopadhyay S, Day VW, Jackson TA, Dalton Trans 2013, 42, 13014–13025 [PubMed: 23872704] f) Leto DF, Jackson TA, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem 2014, 19, 1–15g) Saravanan N, Sankaralingam M, Palaniandavar M, RSC Advances 2014, 4, 12000.
- [9]. Diril H, Chang HR, Nilges MJ, Zhang X, Potenza JA, Schugar HJ, Isied SS, Hendrickson DN, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1989, 111, 5102–5114.
- [10]. a) Diril H, Chang HR, Zhang X, Larsen SK, Potenza JA, Pierpont CG, Schugar HJ, Isied SS, Hendrickson DN, J. Am. Chem. Soc 1987, 109, 6207–6208b) Buchanan RM, Oberhausen KJ, Richardson JF, Inorg. Chem 1988, 27, 971–973c) Cox N, Ames W, Epel B, Kulik LV, Rapatskiy L, Neese F, Messinger J, Wieghardt K, Lubitz W, Inorg. Chem 2011, 50, 8238–8251 [PubMed: 21834536] d) Sano Y, Weitz AC, Ziller JW, Hendrich MP, Borovik AS, Inorg. Chem 2013, 52, 10229–10231. [PubMed: 23992041]
- [11]. a) Weng TC, Hsieh WY, Uffelman ES, Gordon-Wylie SW, Collins TJ, Pecoraro VL, Penner-Hahn JE, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004, 126, 8070–8071 [PubMed: 15225020] b) Farges F, Phys. Rev. B 2005, 71c) Schreiber RE, Cohen H, Leitus G, Wolf SG, Zhou A, Que L Jr, Neumann R, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 8738–8748. [PubMed: 26070034]
- [12]. Roemelt M, Beckwith MA, Duboc C, Collomb MN, Neese F, DeBeer S, Inorg. Chem 2012, 51, 680–687. [PubMed: 22145735]
- [13]. Dräger G, Kirchner T, Bocharov S, Kao CC, Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process 2001, 73, 687–691.
- [14]. Rees JA, Martin-Diaconescu V, Kovacs JA, DeBeer S, Inorg. Chem 2015, 54, 6410–6422. [PubMed: 26061165]
- [15]. Strukul G, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1998, 37, 1198–1209.
- [16]. Pirovano P, Magherusan AM, McGlynn C, Ure A, Lynes A, McDonald AR, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 5946–5950.
- [17]. a) Jo Y, Annaraj J, Seo MS, Lee YM, Kim SY, Cho J, Nam W, J. Inorg. Biochem 2008, 102, 2155–2159 [PubMed: 18842302] b) Annaraj J, Cho J, Lee YM, Kim SY, Latifi R, de Visser SP, Nam W, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2009, 48, 4150–4153c) Cho J, Sarangi R, Annaraj J, Kim SY, Kubo M, Ogura T, Solomon EI, Nam W, Nat. Chem 2009, 1, 568 [PubMed: 20711413] d) Cho J, Sarangi R, Kang HY, Lee JY, Kubo M, Ogura T, Solomon EI, Nam W, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 16977–16986. [PubMed: 21062059]
- [18]. Seo MS, Kim JY, Annaraj J, Kim Y, Lee YM, Kim SJ, Kim J, Nam W, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2007, 46, 377–380.
- [19]. Du J, Xu D, Zhang C, Xia C, Wang Y, Sun W, Dalton. Trans 2016, 45, 10131–10135. [PubMed: 27240634]

- [20]. Geiger RA, Chattopadhyay S, Day VW, Jackson TA, Dalton Trans 2011, 40, 1707–1715.
[PubMed: 21264424]
- [21]. k_{obs} measurements at very high [substrate] were too fast to perform accurate kinetic analysis.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1.

$[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_2(\text{BPMP})](\text{ClO}_4)$ (**1**) and $[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]^{2+}$

Figure 2.

Electronic absorption spectra of **1** (black trace, 1.5 mM) and **2** (grey trace, from the reaction of **1** (1.5 mM) with KO_2 (1.25 equiv.) at -90°C in 1:9 $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{THF}$). Inset: The ESI-MS spectrum showing the molecular ion for **2** ($\{[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{BPMP})](\text{ClO}_4)\}^+$).

Figure 3. Perpendicular mode X-band EPR spectrum of **2** at 2 K (black trace, obtained from the reaction of **1** (1.5 mM) and KO_2 in 1:9 $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{THF}$, 9.64 GHz, 0.2 mW microwave power) and $[\text{Mn}_2(\text{O}_2)(\text{N-Et-HPTB})]_2$ (grey trace, for comparison).

Figure 4.
Normalised XANES spectra of **1** (solid trace) and **2** (dashed trace).
The inset shows an expansion of the pre-edge region.

Figure 5.
Hammett plot for the reaction between **2** and *p*-X-C₆H₄C(H)O (X = H, Cl, CF₃, CN, NO₂).

Scheme 1.

Nucleophilic attack of **2** on aldehyde substrates followed by irreversible Criegee rearrangement.

Table 1.

Rate constants for deformylation by Mn-peroxide complexes.

	PPA		CCA	
	k_2 [$M^{-1}s^{-1}$] (T [$^{\circ}C$])	Ref	k_2 [$M^{-1}s^{-1}$] (T [$^{\circ}C$])	Ref
2	0.0006 (–90)		0.014 (–90)	
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(12-TMC)]^+$	0.04 (20)	[8d]	0.3 (0)	[18]
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(13-TMC)]^+$	0.03 (20)	[8d]	0.02 (10)	[17b]
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(14-TMC)]^+$	0.04 (20)	[8d]	0.04 (10)	[17b]
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(Pro3Py)]^+$	0.003 (0)	[19]	-	-
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(L^7py_2^{6-Me})]^+$	-		0.32 (–40)	[20]
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(L^7py_2^{4-Me})]^+$	-		0.40 (–40)	[20]
$[Mn^{III}(O_2)(L^8py_2^H)]^+$	-		0.19 (–40)	[20]

12-TMC = 1,4,7,10-tetramethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane; 13-TMC = 1,4,7,10-tetramethyl-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclotridecane; 14-TMC = 1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane. $L^7py_2^{6-Me}$ = 1,4-bis(6-methyl-2-pyridylmethyl)-1,4-diazepane; $L^7py_2^{4-Me}$ = 1,4-bis(4-methyl-2-pyridylmethyl)-1,4-diazepane; $L^8py_2^H$ = 1,5-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)-1,5-diazacyclooctane.