A clinical audit of sterile medical devices: a French experience of quality and safety improvement in hospital services

Valeria Vinciguerra,¹ Annie Fagot-Gandet,² Cyril Boronad²

¹Specialization School in Hospital Pharmacy, University of the Studies of Turin, Turin, Italy

²Hospital Centre of Cannes (Centre Hospitalier de Cannes-CH Cannes), Cannes, France

Correspondence to

Dr Annie Fagot-Gandet, Hospital Centre of Cannes (Centre Hospitalier de Cannes-CH Cannes), 15 Avenue des Broussailles—CS 50008, Cannes 06414, France; a.FAGOT-GANDET@ch-cannes.fr

Received 26 June 2015

Accepted 29 September 2015

Published Online First

27 October 2015

ABSTRACT

Objectives To audit the quality and safe management of sterile medical devices (SMDs), to examine the pharmacist's role in a multidisciplinary team, and to provide an example of hospital staff assessment of SMDs.

Methods SMD management was assessed using the National Agency for Support to the Performance of Health and Medical-Social Establishment tool in the Hospital Centre of Cannes, France, in August 2013. Safety policy in the healthcare establishment, and the SMD cycle in the hospital pharmacy, SMD cycle and care practices in the visceral–vascular–urological surgery care unit were evaluated by four health professionals and three hospital pharmacists. A total of 1850 references to SMDs for the hospital pharmacy and 1110 references to SMDs for the care unit were analysed. The percentage of risk control was defined as: 0–33% 'low', 34–66% 'medium', 67–100% 'high'.

Results Risk control was 'high' (67%) for the safety policy in the health establishment, 'high' (68%) and 'medium' (64%) for the SMD cycle in the hospital pharmacy and the care unit, respectively, and 'high' (88%) for the care practices. Good scores were obtained in both services.

Conclusions Safety and quality standards were investigated, and satisfied. Training of health professionals and information about, and the presence of, detailed procedures for safety policy and care practices showed good results. The main weak points were a deficit in IT support and a lack of procedures in the SMD cycle. The hospital pharmacist was shown to be a key figure in the multidisciplinary team.

INTRODUCTION

In the European *Guidelines on the qualification and classification of stand-alone software used in healthcare within the regulatory framework of medical devices*, a medical device (MD) is “any instrument, apparatus, appliance, software, material or other article, whether used alone or in combination, including the software intended by its manufacturer to be used specifically for diagnostic and/or therapeutic purposes and necessary for its proper application, intended by the manufacturer to be used for human beings for the purpose of: diagnosis, prevention, monitoring, treatment or alleviation of disease; diagnosis, monitoring, treatment, alleviation of or compensation for an injury or handicap; investigation, replacement or modification of the anatomy or of a physiological process; control of conception; and which does not achieve its

principal intended action in or on the human body by pharmacological, immunological or metabolic means, but which may be assisted in its function by such means”.¹

Sterile medical devices (SMDs) include devices such as dressings, implantable devices and surgical instruments. Owing to their peculiarities, their management is complex, and requires good knowledge.

SMD management presents both inherent and external different risk factors. The former depend on the product characteristics; the latter include SMD use non-compliant with good health professional practices, and/or poor communication or organisation, which might cause adverse events.²

Every step in the SMD cycle—analysis of the request, purchase, order, reception, storage, distribution, transport, reception, use, return and monitoring—is a key factor that might affect the good management of SMDs.^{2–4}

The complexity of SMD management, non-adherence to professional and/or good organisational practices, communication problems² and the involvement of several health professionals^{2–4} contribute to an increased risk. In France SMDs used in hospitals are managed by the hospital pharmacy.

The high possibility of errors requires evaluation of the management methods to find the gaps and to propose solutions, and for this a clinical audit might be effective.⁵ It has been shown that patient care is improved by the use of clinical audit,^{6,7} that regular audits should be carried out,⁸ and that critical points in specific processes are highlighted through such an audit when various health professionals are involved.^{6,9} In particular, pharmacists have been shown to be a key participant in multidisciplinary audits.^{9,10}

In France, the National Support Agency for the performance of health and medical-social establishments (Agence Nationale d'Appui à la Performance des établissements de santé et médico-sociaux—ANAP) has devised tools to enable health professionals to improve hospital performance, such as the Diagnostic SMDs Tool (Outil Diagnostique Dispositifs Médicaux Stérile).² This tool is an audit, which allows staff to assess the SMD cycle, both in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit.

The self-assessment includes the following points:

1. To determine the safety of the process in order to close any gap found.
2. To encourage an exchange of information among professionals in a multidisciplinary team.

CrossMark

To cite: Vinciguerra V, Fagot-Gandet A, Boronad C. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* 2016;**23**:134–140.

Table 1 Tree view of the ANAP tool^{1*}

Questionnaire	Hospital pharmacy/institutional instances concerning the SMD policy		Care unit	
Topic	SMD cycle safety policy of the establishment	SMD cycle in the care unit	SMD cycle in the care unit	Care practices and evaluation of these practices
Axis/subtopic	Quality and safety management of the SMD cycle—10 questions. IMDs—10 questions. Agreed loan—deposit of the IMD—4 questions	Premises—7 questions. Request—10 questions. Order—reception—7 questions. Storage—4 questions. Distribution—6 questions. Transport—6 questions. Returns-disposal—6 questions	Request—9 questions. Reception—4 questions. Storage—8 questions. Use of SMDs—4 questions. Monitoring of SMDs—7 questions. Returns-disposal—5 questions	Infusion practices—6 questions. Prevention of BEA—4 questions. Bedsore care—4 questions. Other care practices—2 questions
Number of items	24	46	37	16
Total items in the questionnaire (n)	70		53	

Sterile medical device cycle: safety policy of the department

Quality and safety management of the SMD cycle: concerns the effect of health establishment policies from the committees in charge. It includes questions about the presence, the adherence to practices and material related to health policies in the establishment.

IMDs: an IMD is a "medical device to be totally or partially introduced, surgically or medically, into the human body or by medical intervention into a natural orifice, and is intended to remain after the procedure."¹ The policy includes general questions about the management of IMDs.

Agreed loan—deposit of the IMD: includes questions about procedures and monitoring of IMDs.

SMD cycle in the hospital pharmacy and/or in the care unit

Premises: includes questions about the descriptions, typology and management of the premises where SMDs are stored in the hospital pharmacy.

Request: includes questions about how requests are made, received and managed by the care units to the hospital pharmacy, and the personnel involved.

Order-reception: includes questions about where and how order and reception take place in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit, and about the personnel involved.

Storage: includes questions about where and how SMDs are stored in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit, and about the personnel involved.

Distribution: includes questions about how personnel in the hospital pharmacy are involved in this step, if they are trained and adhere to procedures.

Transport: previews the SMD reception by the companies, the SMD management in the hospital pharmacy and the SMD deliverance to the care unit. It includes questions about training of personnel in the hospital pharmacy.

Returns-disposal: refers to the return and disposal of defective SMDs. It includes questions about the procedures, their availability and the training of personnel.

Use of SMDs: it includes questions about the training of personnel, the procedure availability and adherence, and the control of the expired SMDs.

Monitoring of SMDs: consists of all steps of SMD monitoring, from the patient information to the SMD traceability. It includes questions about the procedure availability and adherence to procedures.

Care practices and evaluation of these practices

All the subtopics include questions about the training of personnel, the procedure and availability of good practices, and adherence, and/or specific information (prevention of BEA—use of the SMD for the prevention of BEA, bedsore care—correct use of equipment, other care practices—prescription practices, indications).

*Adapted from the Outil Diagnostic Dispositifs Médicaux Stérile de la Nationale d'Appui à la Performance des établissements de santé et médico-sociaux (ANAP).

BEA, blood exposure accidents; IMD, implantable medical device; SMD, sterile medical device.

urological surgery care unit was 2393 (vascular surgery=432, urological surgery=849, visceral surgery=1112), and hospital stays comprised 3068 patients (vascular surgery=541, urological surgery=1228, visceral surgery=1299). The number of SMD references managed was 1110 (surgical SMDs=230, SMDs for the operating room=880).

Results were automatically generated on a Microsoft Excel sheet.

RESULTS

Data were first collected in the pharmacy, and then in the care unit. Answers to all the questions were given.

Questionnaire dedicated to the hospital pharmacy

There are 70 questions on the 123 total items (57%) in the hospital pharmacy's questionnaire of which 24 concern the sterile medical device cycle safety policy of the establishment and 46 the sterile medical device cycle in the hospital pharmacy.

Table 2 describes the risk value for every topic and subtopic, the level of adherence to the audit standards, and the global score achieved for every field. Figure 2 represents the radar chart, with all the scores achieved for each topic and subtopic. The relative percentages are shown in table 2. In the hospital pharmacy the global risk control reflects the level of adherence to the audit (average score 67%), according to the score obtained in the two topics (SMD cycle safety policy of the establishment 67%, SMD cycle in the hospital pharmacy 68%; table 2). Thus the safety scores reflect the degree of adherence to the audit standards.

In particular, a high risk control was obtained for the topic 'sterile medical device cycle safety policy of the establishment' (68%); notably, the score was 'high' for the 'quality and safety management of the SMD cycle' (78%) and the implantable medical devices (IMDs; 71%), but 'medium' for the 'agreed loan—deposit of the IMD' (43%), which markedly reduces the overall score.

For the topic 'sterile medical device cycle in the hospital pharmacy' (68%) the following results were achieved: hospital pharmacy premises (100%) 'high', request (86%) 'high', order—reception (70%) 'high', storage (40%) 'medium', distribution (75%) 'high', transport (25%), 'low', returns—disposal (50%) 'medium'. Thus the better points in the SMD cycle are the request and order—reception. A high score was also achieved for the premises but not for storage. Good storage is linked to suitable premises but despite the good standards of the latter, the procedures for storage were poor (two questions out of four were negative). Two of six questions on transport were negative due to a lack of procedures and the absence of any analysis of the anomalies. In transport, returns—disposal lacked good procedures.

The biggest faults do not relate to non-adherence to professional good practices, or to organisation and communication, but rather to incomplete availability of tools to avoid external risks.

Questionnaire dedicated to the care unit

Fifty-three out of the 123 (43%) questions related to the care unit: 37 for the *Sterile Medical Device cycle in the care unit* and 16 for the *Care practices and practices evaluation*.

Table 2 Score achieved from the hospital pharmacy

Score for item, axis and sub-item		Risk number			Percentage of risk control	Audit levels	Score achieved
		No control	Control	Total			
1	SMD cycle safety policy of the establishment	6	22	33	67	High	3
A	Quality and safety management of the SMD cycle	2	7	9	78	High	3
B	The implantable medical devices	2	12	17	71	High	3
C	Agreed loan—deposit of the implantable medical device	2	3	7	43	Medium	2
2	SMD cycle in the hospital pharmacy	13	38	56	68	High	3
Axis 2	Premises	0	7	7	100	High	3
D	Premises hospital pharmacy	0	7	7	100	High	3
Axis 3	Request—order—reception	4	19	24	79	High	3
E	Request	2	12	14	86	High	3
F	Order—reception	2	7	10	70	High	3
Axis 4	Storage, distribution, transport	7	10	21	48	Medium	2
G	Storage	2	2	5	40	Medium	2
H	Distribution	1	6	8	75	High	3
I	Transport	4	2	8	25	Low	1
Axis 5	Returns—disposal	2	2	4	50	Medium	2
J	Returns—disposal	2	2	4	50	Medium	2

SMD, sterile medical device.

Table 3 describes the risk control number and percentages, and the score achieved for every topic and subtopic. The score for the management of the ‘SMD cycle in the care unit’ (64%) was lower than that for the hospital pharmacy (2 vs 3), while scores were high for the ‘care practices and practices evaluation’ (88%).

Figure 3 represents the radar chart.

For the ‘sterile medical device cycle in the care unit’ audit showed a medium score, obtained as follows: request (70%) ‘high’, reception (50%) ‘medium’, storage (46%) ‘medium’, use of SMDs (67%) ‘high’, monitoring of SMDs (86%) ‘high’, management of the returns and disposal of SMDs (57%) ‘medium’. The variability in the scores for different steps is evident. The

absence of procedures is one of the most important deficiencies (1/7 questions in monitoring of the SMDs, 2/5 questions in management of the returns and disposal of SMDs), but there is also some organisational defect, such as the irregular control of disposal. But there is good communication between care unit and hospital pharmacy.

A high score was obtained for the ‘care practices and practices evaluation’; in detail the score was broken down as infusion practices (89%) ‘high’, prevention of blood exposure accidents (BEAs—83%) ‘high’, bed sore care (100%) ‘high’, other care practices (50%) ‘medium’. The good findings for these subtopics were achieved by high adherence to good professional practices.

Figure 2 Score synthesis on the radar chart for the hospital pharmacy.

Politique de sécurisation de l'ES=Security policy of the establishment, Locaux=Premises, Request=Demande, Commande-Réception=Order-Reception, Stockage=Storage, Délivrance=Issuance, Transport=Transport, Return-elimination>Returns-Disposal.

Table 3 Score achieved by the care unit

Score for item, axis and sub-item		Risk number			Percentage of risk	Audit levels	Score achieved
		No control	Control	Total			
1	SMD cycle in the care unit	12	36	56	64	Medium	2
Axis 1	Request—reception—SMD storage	8	16	29	55	Medium	2
A	Request	2	7	10	70	High	3
B	Reception	2	3	6	50	Medium	2
C	Storage	4	6	13	46	Medium	2
Axis 2	Use—SMD monitoring	2	16	20	80	High	3
D	Use of SMDs	1	4	6	67	High	3
E	Monitoring of SMDs	1	12	14	86	High	3
Axis 3	Management of returns and disposal of SMDs	2	4	7	57	Medium	2
F	Management of returns and disposal of SMDs	2	4	7	57	Medium	2
2	Care practices and practices evaluation	0	21	24	88	High	3
Axis 4	Care practices and practices evaluation	0	21	24	88	High	3
G	Infusion practices	0	8	9	89	High	3
H	Prevention of BEA	0	5	6	83	High	3
I	Bed-sore care	0	7	7	100	High	3
J	Other care Practices	0	1	2	50	Medium	2

BEA, blood exposure accident; SMD, sterile medical device.

DISCUSSION

After the audit a review of SMD management was carried out.

SMD cycle safety policy of the establishment

Results showed that the safety policy was satisfactory, and was slightly better than for other French health establishments, which also used the ANAP audit.⁴

The strong points were characterised by the presence of several committees, the risk management activity and the management of IMDs.

Committees have different responsibilities: writing procedure for the correct use and disposal of the SMDs, information to the prescribers on monitoring the consumption of IMDs, writing of specific protocols on the expiration of IMDs. Table 4 describes the main committees in French Hospitals and their SMD expertise.

Risk management has proved extremely important, and to develop it further, a system of advisories and a collection of undesirable events related to the SMDs used has been set up. All the warnings have been discussed in multidisciplinary meetings.

Furthermore, MD vigilance has been an active part of health risk management. To extend this, a reporting and collection system of adverse events, related to the SMD cycle, has been implemented. This system has been integrated into the care risk global policy and IMDs have been considered especially important.

The management of IMDs is a key factor in the training of physicians on authorised and unauthorised SMD use, which has been regulated by an IT system, not used in the other SMDs. Possible IMD installation failure has also been pointed out to the hospital pharmacy. Every operation related to IMDs use has been recorded and monitored both in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit.

Figure 3 Score synthesis on the radar chart for the care unit. SMDs, sterile medical devices.

Demande=Request, Réception=Reception, Stockage=Storage, Utilisation des DMS=Use of SMDs, Suivi des DMS=Monitoring of SMDs, Gestion des retours et Elimination des DMS=Management of the returns and disposal of SMDs, Pratiques de soins et Evaluation des pratiques=Care practices and evaluation of these practices.

Table 4 Main committees in French public health establishments

Committee	Competence
Committee for the prevention of hospital infections (Comité de Lutte contre les Infections Nosocomiales—CLIN)	Responsible for giving information on the correct use of products, carries out audits and disseminates information
Committee of Medicines and SMDs (Commission du Médicament et des Dispositifs Médicaux Stériles—COMEDIMS)	Realises, develops and updates the drug and SMD handbook, drafts the recommendations for the correct prescription of drugs and SMDs, defines, in co-operation with health professionals, therapeutic priorities to increase coherent drug policies
Medical Establishment Committees (Commission médicale d'établissement—CME)	Develops quality and safety care programmes, also including SMDs

SMD, sterile medical device.

The main weak points are the absence of procedure-regulating returns, the disposal of SMDs, and SMD and IMD management, in general.

Other important activities include the standardisation of bio-medical equipment for infusion, and also the advisories system and the processing of the BEAs.

Cycle of SMD in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit

Findings obtained were comparable to data shown in the 2012 summary, where the findings of 21 French health establishments that used the ANAP audit were presented.⁵

The audit provided an immediate and clear diagnosis that reflected the practical situation and produced an immediate and clear map of procedures that help multidisciplinary work.^{2–4}

For the hospital pharmacy, scores on the order—reception and storage were higher than results reported for other hospitals, while lower scores were obtained for transport and returns. For the care unit for the reception and the monitoring of the SMDs, there appeared to be no difference from other hospitals, while for the request and the use of SMDs results were higher for storage and lower for returns.⁴

Higher scores might have been obtained owing to the availability of premises situated in a supervised access zone—in particular, monitored entry into the hospital pharmacy, and to dedicated areas in which the baseline activities (ie, reception, storage, distribution) were routinely carried out. Correct reception and storage of SMDs was possible owing to suitable premises (both in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit), which guaranteed good conservation and maintenance of all the products. This was important also for return operations to the suppliers: SMDs to be returned must be kept separate from all other MDs.

In addition, to ensure good quality storage of products, checks by specific personnel were carried out periodically in the hospital pharmacy, while the standards of correct storage were respected in the care unit.

The existence of a handbook of SMDs was a fundamental tool for physicians and nurses in ordering products. Additionally, any market changes were consistently communicated to the care unit by the hospital pharmacy.

Negative results were primarily due to the lack of procedure and to insufficient computerisation, both in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit. This gap is similar in other French health establishments.¹¹

An important role was played by continuing training and information both in the hospital pharmacy and in the care unit. It facilitates the correct restocking and use of SMDs by health professionals. Refresher courses have been periodically organised for hospital pharmacists, assistants, health workers and nursing staff.

The hospital pharmacist played a key role, thanks to essential and up-to-date information and correct control of SMD use.

In addition, centralisation of the management of SMDs by the hospital pharmacist produced better risk control and improved cooperation among staff. The participation of the hospital pharmacist in clinical audit was important, and should be reorganised in multidisciplinary teams (eg, the quality evaluation committee).

Care practices and their evaluation

Local scores were higher than for other French institutions.⁴ Contrary to previous audit data, higher scores are due to the presence of specific procedures and protocols relating to good perfusion practices, prevention of BEAs, bed sore care and other care practices, such as urinary probes, tracheal aspiration, gastrostomy, haemostatic perioperative practices, and all practices related to the specific type of care unit.

Information on the management of the implantable devices was given to the patients, with the IMD identification chart.

Evaluation of the best use of off-label IMDs when needed was considered not applicable.

Limitations

One of the drawbacks of the analysis was the relatively small amount of data.

Another limitation was the comparison with results of other French hospitals, because complete information was unavailable.

CONCLUSION

The clinical audit was effective, and consistent with the main ANAP objectives.^{2–4} Thanks to the self-assessment procedure,

What this paper adds

What is already known on this subject

- Improvements in patient care are achieved by clinical audits.
- Audit should be used in hospital services.
- Management of medical devices is complex and presents many risk factors.

What this study adds

- The advantages of the sterile medical device (SMD) management audit are described.
- An example of SMD cycle analysis is given, in view of the lack of published reports on this topic.
- Multidisciplinary cooperation is illustrated.
- The hospital pharmacist is shown to be a key health professional.
- An interesting international working experience is shown.

the most critical issues of the SMD cycle were identified and multidisciplinary cooperation was favoured.^{6 7}

Moreover, the hospital pharmacy proved to be a reference for all health professionals and committees, and a link between the different participants. The hospital pharmacist's role was fundamental in satisfying various needs and controlling the procedure.

Our future goals include improving the management of SMDs and bridging all the gaps, and verifying the present data by a further audit. Extension of the audit to other care units is also being considered.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to Professor Marina Gallarate and Dr Gianluca Miglio, of the Department of Drug Science and Technology, University of the Studies of Turin, for their revision of the article.

Contributors VV: data collection, data analysis, discussion of results, preparation and writing of the manuscript, agreed final version of manuscript. AF-G: data collection, discussion of results, obtaining ANAP (Agence Nationale d'Appui à la Performance des établissements de santé et médico-sociaux) authorisation for data publication, agreed final version of manuscript. CB: promoting ANAP audit use, data collection, discussion of results.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- 1 Medical devices—European Commission. http://ec.europa.eu/health/medical-devices/files/meddev/2_1_6_o_en.pdf (accessed 15 Apr 2015).
- 2 Sécuriser le cycle des Dispositifs Médicaux Stériles dans les Etablissements de Santé. <http://www.anap.fr/publications-et-outils/outils/detail/actualites/securiser-le-cycle-des-dispositifs-medicaux-steriles/> (accessed 20 Aug 2013).
- 3 Sécurisation du cycle des dispositifs médicaux établissements de santé, Outil Inter Diag Dispositifs Médicaux Stériles. http://www.euro-pharmat.com/documents/journees_lille/conferences_lille/15oralelille.pdf (accessed 30 Dec 2014).
- 4 Sécurisation du cycle des Dispositifs, Médicaux en Etablissements de Santé, Outil Inter Diag Dispositifs Médicaux Stériles. http://www.jiqhs.fr/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/AT15_TALLA_ANAP_Inter-Diag_Dispositifs-M%C3%A9dicaux-St%C3%A9riles_jiqhs2012.pdf (accessed 30 Dec 2014).
- 5 Management of Medical Devices. Areas requiring further strengthening, global forum on medical devices, Bangkok, 2010. http://www.who.int/medical_devices/03_medical_devices_management_david_porter.pdf (accessed 28 May 2015).
- 6 A guide to clinical audit—Appendix 7. http://psnc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/a_guide_to_clinical_audit.pdf (accessed 20 May 2014).
- 7 Ministero della Salute Italiano, Dipartimento della qualità "direzione generale della programmazione sanitaria, dei livelli essenziali di assistenza e dei principi etici di sistema, l'audit clinico. http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_pubblicazioni_1552_allegato.pdf (accessed 6 Jan 2015).
- 8 Maripuu H, Mamoon AA, Kearney MP. An audit of antimicrobial treatment of lower respiratory and urinary tract infections in a hospital setting. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* 2014;21:139–44.
- 9 Dodds LJ. Pharmacist contributions to ensuring safe and accurate transfer of written medicines-related discharge information: lessons from a collaborative audit and service evaluation involving 45 hospitals in England. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* 2014;21:150–5.
- 10 Brøndgaard Nielsen L, Croft Buck T. Clinical pharmacy: developing the role of the pharmacists. *Eur J Hosp Pharm* 2012;19:547–8.
- 11 Audit des systèmes d'information hospitaliers auprès d'établissements représentatifs, rapport final, May 2014. <http://www.anap.fr/publications-et-outils/publications/detail/actualites/audit-des-systemes-dinformation-hospitaliers-aupres-detablissements-representatifs/> (accessed 31 May 2015).
- 12 Centre Hospitalier de Cannes. <http://www.ch-cannes.fr/centre-hospitalier-de-cannes-3.html> (accessed Jan 2015).
- 13 Centre Hospitalier de Cannes. <http://www.ch-cannes.fr/patients-et-visiteurs/notre-offre-de-soins/chirurgie-vasculaire-277.html> (accessed Apr 2015).