Low Concentration of *Salmonella enterica* and Generic *Escherichia coli* in Farm Ponds and Irrigation Distribution Systems Used for Mixed Produce Production in Southern Georgia

Elizabeth M. Antaki,¹ George Vellidis,² Casey Harris,² Peiman Aminabadi,¹ Karen Levy,³ and Michele T. Jay-Russell¹

Abstract

Studies have shown that irrigation water can be a vector for pathogenic bacteria. Due to this, the Food Safety Modernization Act's (FSMA) produce safety rule requires that agricultural water directly applied to produce be safe and of adequate sanitary quality for use, which may pose a challenge for some farmers. The purpose of this research was to assess the presence and concentration of *Salmonella* and generic *Escherichia coli* in irrigation water from distribution systems in a mixed produce production region of southern Georgia. Water samples were collected during three growing seasons at three farms irrigating crops with surface water (Pond 1, Pond 2) or groundwater (Well) during 2012–2013. *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli* populations were monitored by culture and Most Probable Number (MPN). Confirmed isolates were characterized by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and serotyping. In Pond 1, *Salmonella* was detected in 2/21 surface, 5/26 subsurface, 10/50 center pivot, and 0/16 solid set sprinkler head water samples. In Pond 2, *Salmonella* was detected in 2/18 surface, 1/18 subsurface, 6/36 drip line start, and 8/36 drip line end water samples. Twenty-six well pumps and 64 associated drip line water samples were negative. The overall mean *Salmonella* concentration for positive water samples was 0.03 MPN/100 mL (range <0.0011–1.8 MPN/100 mL). Nine *Salmonella* serovars comprising 22 pulsotypes were identified. Identical serovars and subtypes were found three times on the same day and location: Pond 1-Pivot-Cantaloupe (serovar Rubislaw), Pond 1-Pivot-Peanut (serovar Saintpaul), and Pond 2-Drip Line Start-Drip Line End-Yellow Squash (serovar III_16-z10:e,n,x,z15). Generic *E. coli* was detected in water from both farm ponds and irrigation distribution systems, but the concentrations met FSMA microbial water quality criteria. The results from this study will allow producers in southern Georgia to better understand how potential pathogens move through irrigation distribution systems.

Keywords: *Escherichia coli*, Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA), irrigation water, on-farm food safety, *Salmonella*

Introduction

FRESH OR MINIMALLY PROCESSED fruits and vegetables are being increasingly recognized as vehicles of human foodborne illnesses. Zoonotic bacterial enteric pathogens such as *Salmonella enterica* may contaminate fresh produce in contact with poor-quality irrigation water during production and harvesting. Foodborne pathogens have been found in groundwater, surface water, and human wastewater that may come in contact with crops (Steele and Odumeru, 2004; Cooley *et al.*, 2007, 2014; Greene *et al.*, 2008; Mandrell, 2010; Gorski *et al.*, 2011; Benjamin *et al.*, 2013; Strawn *et al.*, 2013; Vereen *et al.*, 2013).

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, 2015) recognizes this risk, and in its recently finalized “Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption” (hereafter referred to as the Produce Safety Rule), growers must ensure that agricultural water is safe and of adequate sanitary quality for its intended use. Agricultural water is defined as water that comes into direct contact with produce and includes irrigation water that is applied using direct water application methods, such as overhead sprinkler irrigation. Growers are required to establish an initial Microbial Water Quality Profile (MWQP) for each water source by collecting a minimum of 20 samples

¹Western Center for Food Safety, University of California-Davis, Davis, California.

²Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, University of Georgia-Tifton, Tifton, Georgia.

³Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia.

during time periods close to harvest over 2–4 years, followed by annual surveys. New online tools have been developed to assist farmers with these calculations (WCFS, 2016).

These requirements may be challenging for farmers using surface water sources, such as constructed farm ponds, commonly found on mixed-produce farms in the southeastern coastal plain (SECP) of the United States. In the SECP, a variety of irrigation sources are used, but the most common is the constructed farm pond, which is typically created by damming a second- or third-order stream. During the growing season, the ponds are used as irrigation sources and are replenished by the stream, direct surface runoff during precipitation events, and sometimes by ground water from nearby wells (Sheridan and Ferreira, 1992; Cho *et al.*, 2010; Jang *et al.*, 2013).

Recent studies conducted in the SECP have shown measurable concentrations of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, and *Salmonella* in water samples (Rajabi *et al.*, 2011; Gu *et al.*, 2012, 2013; Luo *et al.*, 2015). To better understand the modes of contamination of produce, our objective was to conduct a study to assess the presence and concentration of *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli* in irrigation water sources and different distribution systems on three mixed-produce farms.

Materials and Methods

Project area description

SECP is a subtropical low-elevation ecoregion situated between the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1). It covers ~143,843 km², which includes portions of Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, and Virginia. The combination of long frost-free periods of >240 days, plentiful water, and a long growing season has made this region an important vegetable production area. Southern Georgia is in the heart of SECP and has been identified by federal agencies and researchers as being representative of the agricultural practices, climate, and water resources of the SECP (USGS, 2014).

Sample distribution and collection

This research was conducted during three growing seasons on three farms within the southern Georgia region. Two were irrigated from either Pond 1 or Pond 2, while the third was irrigated from a deep groundwater well. Pond 1 fed a center pivot irrigation system and a solid set sprinkler system. Pond 2 fed three different drip irrigation systems. Figure 2 shows a typical pond–pivot or pond–drip distribution system for crop irrigation. Water was collected in sterile 1 L bottles from the surface and subsurface (1 m deep) of each pond near the water intake for the pump while the pump was running. Water samples were collected from the start and end of randomly selected drip lines, and center pivot or solid set sprinklers using sterile catch cups then and transferred to 1 L bottles. One liter samples of tailwater resulting from irrigation water runoff, as well as swabs from pivot sprinkler heads and biofilms from within the interior of the pivot's main pipe, were collected from the field irrigated by Pond 1. On the third farm, the deep groundwater well and the drip irrigation system it fed were also sampled using the methods described above. All samples were stored on ice and shipped overnight to the University of California, Davis, Western Center for Food Safety, for further analysis.

Other parameters for analysis

During each sampling event, the temperature (°C), pH, dissolved oxygen concentration (DO Conc., mg/L), and turbidity (nephelometric turbidity units [NTU]) of the pond were measured with a multiparameter water quality sensor (YSI, Incorporated, Yellow Springs, OH). The type of crop that was being irrigated by each system was also recorded. Weather parameters, including total 5-day precipitation (mm) per 5-day period, average 5-day high/low temperature ranges (°C), and humidity (relative humidity, %), were collected from the nearest Georgia Weather Network weather station (GAEMN, 2015).

FIG. 1. Map of the Southern Coastal Plain Land Resource Region, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS).

FIG. 2. A general sampling schematic for a center pivot and drip line irrigation systems fed by a pond. Yellow arrows indicate the location points where water was collected from during this study.

Pathogen isolation and quantification

Water samples were processed for the presence and quantification of *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli*. *Salmonella* was quantified using a Most Probable Number (MPN) method performed by filtering each 1 L sample at three different volumes: 2, 20, and 200 mL (880 mL in total), in quadruplicates. Each aliquot was vacuum filtered through a Millipore membrane (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA) with a Sentino magnetic filter funnel (Pall, Inc., Cortland, NY), folded twice with sterile forceps and submerged into corresponding wells in a 12-well plate, which contained 3 mL of buffered peptone water (Remel, Inc., Lenexa, KS). The plates were enriched at 37°C for 20 h, shaking at 50 rpm. One hundred microliters of each volume was transferred into 1 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis R10 (RV) broth (Becton, Dickinson (BD) and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ) in a 96-well deep plate and enriched for 48 h at 42°C. Five microliters from each RV well was streaked onto a square Xylose-Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) agar plate (BD) and incubated for another 48 h at 37°C. Suspect *Salmonella* colonies were then streaked for isolation on a new XLD agar plate and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Based upon the number of replicates and dilutions positive for *Salmonella*, the MPN values were determined using the MPN-Bacteriological Analytical Manual computer program (Atwill *et al.*, 2015). Concentrations were expressed in MPN/100 mL with the lowest limit of detection being <0.0011 MPN/100 mL as determined by the range of the MPN analysis. *Salmonella enterica* serotype Braenderup H9812 was used as a positive control during processing (ATCC number = BAA-664).

For generic *E. coli* quantification, two different single volumes of 5 and 95 mL were filtered through the same process from the collected 1 L water samples. Membranes were transferred using sterile forceps to ChromeEC agar plates (CHROMagar, Springfield, NJ). ChromeEC plates were incubated overnight at 37°C, and colonies were counted and recorded from each dilution agar plate the next day as CFU/100 mL; plates that were too numerous to count were assigned an upper detection level of 3001 CFU/100 mL. In addition, using data from this study, we calculated a MWQP as defined in the Produce Safety Rule for Pond 1 ($n=47$) and Pond 2 ($n=36$) surface and subsurface irrigation water samples (WCFS 2016; Supplementary Data; Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertpub.com/fpd).

Salmonella DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction confirmation

Up to six suspect *Salmonella* colonies were chosen from each XLD plate to test for confirmation. Each colony was streaked onto a small Luria Agar broth plate (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and incubated at 37°C overnight. A loop full of bacterial colonies was inoculated into a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube (USA Scientific, Orlando, FL) containing 100 μ L of DNase-free water (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), boiled at 100°C for 20 min and centrifuged at maximum speed for 10 min. *Salmonella* was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction using a species-specific sequence within the *Hind*III DNA fragment as described previously (Kawasaki *et al.*, 2005). Isolates were banked in microbank

tubes (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Round Rock, TX) and held at -80°C until further analysis.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and serotyping

One *Salmonella* isolate from each positive sample was analyzed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis using *Xba*I digestion and a *Salmonella* Braenderup ATCC BAA664 molecular size standard as described previously (Ribot *et al.*, 2006). Isolates showing DNA smears were retested by digesting plugs with *Xba*I and adding 50 μM thiourea (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to the running buffer of 0.5 $\times$ Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE). A dendrogram was constructed using band-based analysis with an optimization at 2.5%, band-matching tolerance at 1.5%, followed by unweighted-pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) method for clustering with BioNumerics software 7.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Isolates were submitted to the California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory in San Bernardino, CA, for serotyping; “untypeable” strains were sent to the National Veterinary Services Laboratory in Ames, IA, for further testing.

Statistical analyses

Univariable analysis was used to explore the association between *Salmonella* presence in samples and individual variables, including season, weather, water source, type of distribution system, and physiochemical water parameters (pH, DO, temperature, turbidity). Quadratic forms of all continuous variables were analyzed to determine any nonlinear association. For multivariable binary logistic regression, a forward stepwise algorithm was used with $p \leq 0.05$ to retain parameters in the final model with pond water source set as a fixed effect. All analyses were performed using STATA computer software version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

Results

In total, *Salmonella* was found in 34/285 (11.9%) water samples, including both ponds and associated pivot or drip line distribution systems (Table 1). *Salmonella* was not detected in solid set sprinkler water samples, tailwater, or swabs. Well pump and associated drip line water were also negative. The overall mean *Salmonella* concentration for positive water

TABLE 1. PERCENT OF SAMPLES POSITIVE FOR *SALMONELLA* IN EACH IRRIGATION WATER SOURCE, WITH ARITHMETIC MEAN, MINIMUM, AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS OF *SALMONELLA* AND GENERIC *ESCHERICHIA COLI*

Irrigation water source	Dates of collection	No. positive/ No. tested (%)	Salmonella (MPN/100 mL)			Generic E. coli (CFU/100 mL)		
			Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max
Pond 1								
Surface water	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	2/21 (9.5)	0.02	<LOD ^a	0.30	7.62	<LOD	65.26
Subsurface water (1 m)	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	5/26 (19.2)	0.07	<LOD	1.40	236.47	<LOD	3001.00 ^a
Pivot sprinkler heads	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	10/50 (20.0)	0.03	<LOD	0.30	67.95	<LOD	3001.00
Solid set sprinkler heads	Fall 2012– Winter 2012	0/16 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	2.37	<LOD	6.32
Tail water	Spring 2012– Winter 2012	0/5 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	1811.34	<LOD	3001.00
Swab from pivot sprinkler head	Summer 2012	0/8 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD
Swab from interior pivot pipe	Fall 2012	0/4 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD
Pond 2								
Surface water	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	2/18 (11.1)	0.12	<LOD	1.80	7.45	<LOD	40.00
Subsurface water (1 m)	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	1/18 (5.6)	0.02	<LOD	0.30	3.50	<LOD	9.47
Drip (start of line)	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	6/36 (16.7)	0.02	<LOD	0.26	4.15	<LOD	13.68
Drip (end of line)	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	8/36 (22.2)	0.08	<LOD	0.64	86.62	<LOD	3001.00
Well 1								
Well pump	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	0/26 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD
Drip (start of line)	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	0/32 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD
Drip (end of line)	Spring 2012– Summer 2013	0/32 (0.0)	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD

^a<LOD, less than the limit of detection=0.0011; too numerous to count assigned value of 3001 CFU/100 mL. CFU, colony-forming unit; MPN, Most Probable Number.

samples was 0.03 MPN/100 mL (range <0.0011–1.8 MPN/100 mL). The proportion of positive *Salmonella* samples was highest in the fall (15.3%; 11/72), compared with spring (10.3%; 7/68), summer (9.1%; 16/175), or winter (0.0%; 0/13) months, and the highest concentrations (1.4 and 1.8 MPN/100 mL) were also detected during the fall. However, there was no statistical difference by season (p -value >0.05). In the final model, detecting *Salmonella* from water was 3.7 times higher when an increase in water temperature occurred within the range of 17–25°C (p -value=0.03), (Table 2).

Generic *E. coli* was detected in both ponds and tailwater, but was not found in well water or swab samples from the pivot (Table 1). There was no relationship between the generic *E. coli* counts and the detection of *Salmonella* (p =0.64). Using MWQP standards recently defined in the Produce Safety Rule (FDA, 2015) and a new Excel Tool (WCFS, 2016), surface and subsurface water from both ponds were below the criteria of 2.1 log *E. coli* CFU/100 mL geometric mean (GM) (0.6 log CFU/100 mL for Pond 1 and 0.53 log CFU/100 mL for Pond 2) and 2.61 log CFU/100 mL Statistical Threshold Value (STV) (1.72 log CFU/100 mL for Pond 1 and 0.91 log CFU/100 mL for Pond 2) (Supplementary Data).

Overall, nine serovars comprising 22 pulsotypes (Pond=10, Pivot=10, Drip line start=6, Drip line end=8) were

identified (Fig. 3). No single serovar or pulsotype was dominant. The majority of serovars were found in only one of the two ponds, but three (Muenchen, Saint paul, III_60:r,e,n,x,z15) were isolated from both Pond 1 and Pond 2 on different dates. Several subtypes found in pond–pivot and pond–drip samples collected on the same day and location were indistinguishable. For example, Pulsotype 7 (serovar Rubislaw) was found on the same date (05/17/12) in Pond 1 subsurface and pivot water samples irrigating cantaloupes, as well as on two subsequent dates in pivot water fed by Pond 1 (Fig. 3). Likewise, serovar Saintpaul (pulsotype 21) was found in Pond 1 surface and pivot water irrigating peanuts on 06/24/13. In Pond 2, pulsotype 19 (serovar III_16:z10:e,n,x,z15) was found on the same day (10/16/12) along the entire drip irrigation chain during a yellow squash crop rotation.

Discussion

To better understand the potential modes of preharvest produce contamination, we assessed the presence and concentration of *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli* in irrigation water sources exiting different distribution systems on mixed-produce farms in southern Georgia. *Salmonella* was detectable in farm ponds and some of the irrigation systems they supplied for crop irrigation, whereas well water was not found to be a source of the pathogen.

Salmonella detection, concentration, and diversity

Although *Salmonella* prevalence was relatively high in our water samples, the mean concentration was low (Table 1). These values are similar to what was reported by Luo *et al.* (2015), who found that 28.2% of pond samples collected in the southeastern United States were positive for *Salmonella*, with an overall geometric mean concentration of 0.026 MPN/100 mL, even though quantitative methodology (number of replications with selective media) and sampling time were slightly different.

The highest proportions of positive samples and the highest concentrations of *Salmonella* were detected during the fall. This is consistent with the peak detections in September and October reported by Luo *et al.* (2015), as well as with the peak salmonellosis cases in Georgia (Boore *et al.*, 2015). Not surprisingly, seasonal differences in our study area were different from what Cooley *et al.* (2014) reported in California, where the prevalence of *Salmonella* was lowest in the fall, increased during the winter, and was the highest in the spring and summer when there was decreased precipitation and increased temperature. In our study, air temperature and precipitation were not significant factors in the presence of *Salmonella* in the ponds and distribution systems, but differences between geographic regions may play a role in *Salmonella* survival.

In our final multivariable model, pond water temperature was the only covariate shown to have a positive relationship with the detection of *Salmonella* (Table 2). Haley *et al.* (2009) also reported water temperature being positively associated with the presence of *Salmonella*. The occurrence and persistence of *Salmonella* in ambient waters may be governed, in part, by parameters such as water temperature and water chemistry. Other reasons for seasonal patterns might include seasonal changes in pathogen shedding from human and animal hosts, as well as the survival rate of other competing bacteria in the environment. Although we did not study

TABLE 2. UNIVARIABLE AND MULTIVARIABLE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FROM BINARY LOGISTIC REGRESSION WITH THE PRESENCE OF *SALMONELLA* AS THE OUTCOME

Outcome = <i>Salmonella</i>	Coefficient	p > z	(95% confidence interval)
Univariable analysis			
pH	−0.18	0.69	(−1.05, 0.69)
Turbidity	−0.05	0.36	(−0.17, 0.06)
Dissolved oxygen	−0.02	0.69	(−0.17, 0.11)
Average air temperature	−0.01	0.61	(−0.06, 0.04)
Humidity	−0.02	0.42	(−0.08, 0.03)
Five day precipitation	0.17	0.58	(−0.43, 0.77)
Generic <i>E. coli</i> (CFU)	−0.0001	0.64	(−0.0007, 0.0004)
Irrigation source			
Surface ^a	0	—	—
Subsurface	0.32	0.64	(−1.02, 1.67)
Pivot	0.90	0.15	(−0.33, 2.14)
Drip (start of line)	0.34	0.63	(−1.06, 1.75)
Drip (end of line)	0.92	0.17	(−0.38, 2.22)
Season			
Spring ^a	0	—	—
Summer	−0.67	0.24	(−1.81, 0.46)
Fall	0.39	0.36	(−0.44, 1.21)
Winter	0.00	—	—
Multivariable analysis			
Water temperature	1.19	0.03	(0.14, 2.24)
Water temperature (quadratic)	−0.02	0.03	(−0.04, 0.00)
Constants	−16.28	0.01	(−29.14, −3.42)

^aReference category.
CFU, colony-forming unit.

FIG. 3. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis following *Xba*I digestion of selected *Salmonella* isolates from farm ponds and distribution systems. ID: laboratory identification code; Date: date when samples were collected; Rotation: crop grown at the time of collection; Source-Sample type: water irrigation source-site along irrigation system where samples were collected; Serovar: *Salmonella* serovar of isolate; Pulsotype: arbitrarily assigned number of different molecular fingerprints within the comparison, where fingerprints with the same pulsotype were considered indistinguishable.

potential sources of *Salmonella* in the environment, wildlife activity was documented in this region during a previous study (Luo *et al.*, 2015).

Molecular subtyping of isolates indicated that strains from Pond 1–pivot and Pond 2–drip systems collected on the same day and location were indistinguishable from each other (Fig. 3). These findings suggest that strains are being transported through the irrigation systems and could contaminate produce through direct contact with water or splash from contaminated soil. Other isolates found on different days or in different locations were also sometimes indistinguishable, possibly due to the persistence or reintroduction (Cooley *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, we did not find a dominant serovar and only three samples contained Newport, a serovar previously described at high prevalence in this region (Li *et al.*, 2014). The discrepancy may be due to methodological differences between our laboratories, or different environmental conditions at the time of sampling (e.g., wildlife activity, rainfall). For example, a previous survey detected some of the same *Salmonella* serovars (Muenchen, Newport, Rubislaw, Saintpaul) in amphibians and reptiles trapped in

farm ponds in this region (Aminabadi P., unpublished data). Source tracking studies are needed to better define the connections between wildlife and other sources of *Salmonella* in farm ponds and produce fields.

The presence of *Salmonella* in farm ponds and movement of genetically related strains through irrigation water distribution systems imply a potential risk of human illnesses through contaminated produce. Among the distribution systems, Pond 1–Pivot and Pond 2–Dripline showed the highest prevalence, but concentrations were low. We speculated that *Salmonella* may be persisting in pivot biofilms, but swabs were negative. Of note, the Produce Safety Rule standards do not include surface water from drip line systems if it does not contact the harvestable portion of the produce (FDA, 2015). Li *et al.* (2014) found PulseNet patterns from outbreak-related isolates that were identical to *Salmonella* isolates from pond water isolates from the same region and time period, although no illnesses or recalls have been linked to produce from the SECP, to our knowledge. Whole genome sequencing and quantitative microbial risk analyses could shed more light on the predicted public health burden

associated with this agriculture water. Growers may need to consider surface water disinfection to reduce the risk of microbial contamination of produce irrigated with farm pond sources, but there are limited options (e.g., copper sulfate) approved for this use, as well as the environmental concerns.

Generic *E. coli* levels and the produce safety rule

Generic *E. coli* was detected in farm pond water and associated irrigation distribution systems, but not in the well water systems (Table 1). These findings are not surprising as higher concentrations of generic *E. coli* are generally expected in untreated surface water compared with groundwater (FDA, 2015). As shown in previous studies, there was no correlation between pathogen detection and generic *E. coli* levels in water samples, although generic *E. coli* is still considered a good indicator of water quality (Benjamin *et al.*, 2013; Luo *et al.*, 2015). Since this study, FDA released MWQP criteria. To simulate a real-life assessment of untreated agriculture water, we entered our raw data into an online tool that calculates GM and STV (WCFS, 2016). This exercise demonstrated that by using the 2012–2013 data, both ponds met the regulatory criteria (Supplementary Data).

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that a low concentration of *Salmonella* moves through irrigation systems fed by farm ponds. Wells could be contaminated with enteric pathogens, but irrigation with surface water presents a higher risk of preharvest contamination. Knowledge resulting from this project will allow vegetable producers to better understand how pathogens migrate through irrigation distribution systems and to develop mitigation strategies. Future research will examine more locations, the potential for transfer of *Salmonella* to produce through different irrigation systems, detection of *Salmonella* on produce at harvest, and connection to human outbreak strains of *Salmonella*. In addition, the feasibility and effectiveness of water disinfection for farm pond irrigation systems used for fresh produce production need to be evaluated.

Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to the growers enrolled in the study. They would also like to thank Debbie Coker and Herman Henry at the University of Georgia, and Alexis Hake and Yingjia Bengson at the University of California, Davis, for their technical assistance. We also thank Rupinder Mashiana and Linda Harris for assistance with the MWQP excel tool.

Funding

Funds for this work were provided by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (Project No. 5U01FD003572).

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

Atwill ER, Chase JA, Oryang D, Bond RF, Koike ST, Cahn MD, Anderson M, Mokhtari A, Dennis S. Transfer of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from simulated wildlife scat onto

romaine lettuce during foliar irrigation. *J Food Prot* 2015;78:240–247.

Benjamin L, Atwill ER, Jay-Russell M, Cooley M, Carychao D, Gorski L, Mandrell RE. Occurrence of generic *Escherichia coli*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* spp. in water and sediment from leafy green produce farms and streams on the Central California coast. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2013;165:65–76.

Boore AL, Hoekstra RM, Iwamoto M, Fields PI, Bishop RD, Swerdlow DL. *Salmonella enterica* infections in the United States and assessment of coefficients of variation: A novel approach to identify epidemiologic characteristics of individual serotypes, 1996–2011. *PLoS One* 2015;12:e0145416.

Cooley MB, Carychao D, Crawford-Miksza L, Jay MT, Myers C, Rose C, Keys C, Farrar J, Mandrell RE. Incidence and tracking of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a major produce production region in California. *PLoS One* 2007;2:e1159.

Cooley MB, Quiñones B, Oryang D, Mandrell RE, Gorski L. Prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* at public access watershed sites in a California Central Coast agricultural region. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2014;4:1–13.

Cho J, Vellidis G, Bosch DD, Lowrance R, Strickland T. Water quality effects of simulated conservation practice scenarios in the Little River Experimental Watershed. *J Soil Water Conserv* 2010;45:463–473.

[FDA]. Food and Drug Administration. Standards for growing, harvesting, packing, and holding of produce for human consumption. 2015. Available at: <http://federalregister.gov> accessed on June 8, 2016.

[GAEMS]. Georgia Automated Environmental Monitoring Network. 2015. Available at: <http://georgiaweather.net> accessed on June 8, 2016.

Gorski L, Parker CT, Liang A, Cooley MB, Jay-Russell MT, Gordus AG, Atwill ER, Mandrell RE. Prevalence, distribution, and diversity of *Salmonella enterica* in a major produce region of California. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011;77:2734–2748.

Greene SK, Daly ER, Talbot EA, Demma LJ, Holzbauer S, Patel NJ, Hill TA, Walderhaug MO, Hoekstra RM, Lynch MF, Painter JA. Recurrent multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* Newport associated with tomatoes from contaminated fields, 2005. *Epidemiol Infect* 2008;136:157–165.

Gu G, Luo Z, Cevallos-Cevallos JM, Adams P, Vellidis G, Wright A, van Bruggen AHC. Occurrence and population density of *Campylobacter jejuni* in irrigation ponds on produce farms in the Suwannee River Watershed. *Can J Microbiol* 2013;59:339–346.

Gu G, Luo Z, Cevallos-Cevallos JM, Adams P, Vellidis G, Wright A, van Bruggen AHC. Factors affecting the occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157 contamination in irrigation ponds on produce farms in the Suwannee River Watershed. *Can J Microbiol* 2013;59:175–182.

Haley BJ, Cole DJ, Lipp EK. Distribution, diversity, and seasonality of waterborne salmonellae in a rural watershed. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009;75:1248–1255.

Jang T, Vellidis G, Hyman JB, Brooks E, Kurkalova LA, Boll J, Cho JP. A prioritizing model for sediment load reduction. *Environ Manage* 2013;51:209–224.

Kawasaki S, Horikoshi N, Okada Y, Takeshita K, Sameshima T, Kawamoto S. Multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in meat samples. *J Food Prot* 2005;68:551–556.

Li B, Jackson SA, Gangiredla J, Wang W, Liu H, Tall BD, Jean-Gilles Beaubrun J, Jay-Russell M, Vellidis G, Elkins C.

- Genomic evidence reveals numerous *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Newport reintroduction events in Suwannee watershed irrigation ponds. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015;81:8243–8253.
- Li B, Vellidis G, Liu H, Jay-Russell M, Zhao S, Hu Z, Wright A, Elkins C. Diversity and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella enterica* isolates from surface water in south-eastern United States. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2014;80:6355–6365.
- Luo Z, Gu G, Ginn A, Giurcanu M, Adams P, Vellidis G, van Bruggen A, Danyluk M, Wright A. Distribution and characterization of *Salmonella enterica* isolates from irrigation ponds in the southeastern United States. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015;81:4376–4387.
- Mandrell RE. Tracing pathogens in fruit and vegetable production chains. In: *Food Science, Technology, and Nutrition*, Vol. 196. Brul S, Fratamico PM, and McMeekin TA (eds.). Cambridge: Woodhead Publ LTD, 2010, pp. 548–595.
- Rajabi M, Jones M, Hubbard M, Rodrick G, Wright AC. Distribution and genetic diversity of *Salmonella enterica* in the Upper Suwannee River. *Int J Microbiol* 2011;2011:461321.
- Ribot EM, Fair MA, Gautom R, Cameron DN, Hunter SB, Swaminathan B, Barrett TJ. Standardization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* for PulseNet. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2006;3:59–67.
- Sheridan JM, Ferreira VA. Physical characteristic and geomorphic data for Little River watersheds, Georgia. SEWRL Research Report No. 099201, USDA-ARS SEWRL, Tifton, GA 1992.
- Steele M, Odumeru J. Irrigation water as a source of foodborne pathogens on fruit and vegetables. *J Food Prot* 2004;67:2839–2849.
- Strawn LK, Fortes ED, Bihn EA, Nigthingale KK, Gröhn YT, Worobo RW, Wiedmann M, Bergholz PW. Landscape and meteorological factors affecting prevalence of three foodborne pathogens in fruit and vegetable farms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2013;79:588–600.
- [USGS]. United States Geological Survey-Southern Coastal Plain. 2014. Available at: <http://usgs.gov> accessed on June 8, 2016.
- Vereen E, Lowrance RR, Jenkins MB, Adams P, Rajeev S, Lipp EK. Landscape and seasonal factors influence *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* prevalence in a rural mixed used watershed. *Water Res* 2013;47:6075–6085.
- [WCFS]. Western Center for Food Safety. Determining your Microbiological Water Quality Profile (MWQP) for untreated surface water used in the production of fresh produce. Version 3.0. Available at: <http://wcfs.ucdavis.edu>, accessed on June 8, 2016.

Address correspondence to:
 Michele T. Jay-Russell, DVM, PhD
 Western Center for Food Safety
 University of California-Davis
 1477 Drew Avenue, Suite 101
 Davis, CA 95618

E-mail: mjay@ucdavis.edu