HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Ann Epidemiol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Ann Epidemiol. 2019 April ; 32: 28–34.e1. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2019.01.012.

Association of Secondhand Tobacco Smoke Exposure during Childhood on Adult Cardiovascular Disease Risk among Never-Smokers

Maxwell Pistilli¹, Virginia Howard², Monika M Safford³, Brian K Lee¹, Gina Lovasi¹, Mary Cushman⁴, Angela M Malek⁵, Leslie A McClure¹, and for the REGARDS Investigators

¹Drexel University,

²University of Alabama at Birmingham,

³Weill Cornell Medicine,

⁴University of Vermont,

⁵Medical University of South Carolina

Abstract

Corresponding Author: Leslie A McClure, lam439@drexel.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of Interest and Authorship Conformation Form

Please check the following as appropriate:

- All authors have participated in (a) conception and design, or analysis and interpretation of the data; (b) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (c) approval of the final version.
- This manuscript has not been submitted to, nor is under review at, another journal or other publishing venue.
- The authors have no affiliation with any organization with a direct or indirect financial interest in the subject matter discussed in the manuscript
- The following authors have affiliations with organizations with direct or indirect financial interest in the subject matter discussed in the manuscript:

Author's name	Affiliation
Maxwell Pistilli	Drexel University
Virginia Howard	University of Alabama
Monika M Safford	Weill Cornell Medicine
Brian K Lee	Drexel University
Gina Lovasi	Drexel University
Mary Cushman	University of Vermont
Angela M Malek	University of South Carolina
Leslie A McClure	Drexel University

Purpose: Adult secondhand tobacco smoke (SHS) exposure is related to stroke and coronary heart disease (CHD) risk, but long-term effects are less clear. We evaluated whether childhood SHS exposure affects subsequent stroke or CHD risk among adult black and white never-smokers followed for stroke and CHD.

Methods: In this prospective cohort study, inverse probability weights were calculated to correct for bias due to attrition and survey non-response. Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for stroke or CHD, separately, by number of childhood household smokers.

Results: Of 13,142 eligible participants, 6,136 had childhood SHS exposure assessed. Baseline mean (SD) age was 63.5 (9.0), 65% were female, 30% black, 46% reported 0 childhood household smokers, 36% reported 1, and 18% reported 2+. In 60,649 person-years, 174 strokes were observed (2.9% of participants), and in 45,195 person-years 114 CHD events were observed (2.1% of participants). The weighted and adjusted HR (95% CI) of stroke for 2+ vs 0 childhood household smokers was 1.66 (1.29, 2.13), and was 1.15 (0.82, 1.59) for CHD.

Conclusions: We observed a significant association between childhood SHS exposure and stroke, but not CHD, after age 45 and adjusting for missing information.

Keywords

Stroke; Coronary Disease; Tobacco Smoke Pollution; Environmental Exposure

Introduction

Smoking is an established causal risk factor for stroke and cardiovascular disease (1–3). In addition, numerous natural experiments (e.g. indoor smoke-free laws), case-control studies, cohort studies, and meta-analyses have shown that among non-smokers there is an association between secondhand tobacco smoke (SHS) exposure and stroke (4–11), and coronary heart disease (CHD) (2, 12–14). In the REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study, SHS was associated with a 30% increased risk of stroke (95% CI: 2% to 67%) even adjusting for other stroke risk factors (15). Adult smoking has decreased from 43% in 1965 to 18% in 2014 (16); however, children have less control over exposure in their own environment(17), are more susceptible to the effects of SHS (18), and are disproportionately exposed to SHS (19). In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), the percent of children with detectable cotinine levels consistent with secondhand smoke exposure decreased from 85% of all children in 1988–1994 to 41% of children ages 3 to 11 years and 34% of children ages 12 to 19 years in 2011–2012 (20, 21). The health relevance of childhood SHS exposure is supported by previous evidence of both contemporaneous and delayed effects on respiratory health (22–25). The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study reported that exposure to parental smoking during childhood is associated with measures of subclinical cardiovascular or cerebrovascular risk disease in adulthood (26–28), however limited data are available to assess whether childhood SHS exposure affects one's risk of stroke or CHD later in life.

The aim of the current study is to understand whether exposure to SHS as a child is associated with incident stroke and CHD risk as an adult among never-smokers in the REGARDS cohort.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

The REGARDS study is a nationwide prospective cohort study designed to understand racial and regional disparities in stroke mortality among blacks and whites age 45 years or older in the 48 contiguous U.S. states. The study was approved and monitored by institutional review boards at all participating institutions, and study participants provided written informed consent. The methods (29) and a synthesis of results (30) were published previously. Briefly, participants were recruited and enrolled using mail and telephone interviews from January 2003 to October 2007. Following verbal consent, a computer assisted telephone interview (CATI) was used to collect baseline demographics and medical history, followed by an in-home examination where physical measurements, blood samples, medication inventory, and an electrocardiogram (ECG) were obtained. The final cohort of 30,183 was 58% whites and 42% blacks, 55% female, and mean age at baseline of 65.3 years. Participants are followed every 6 months (on-going as of this publication) via telephone to ascertain incident medical events. In July 2012, the ancillary study Childhood and Family Life Factors was initiated to collect childhood information through a mail questionnaire to all active participants.

Measures

Smoking status of the participants was assessed at REGARDS study baseline through the question (“Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your lifetime?”), and those answering affirmatively were excluded from this analysis. Current SHS was asked at baseline as well (“Does anyone living with you smoke cigarettes regularly?”). Childhood SHS was assessed through the Childhood and Family Life Factors questionnaire, which includes questions about the presence (“For most of your childhood, did you live with a regular cigarette smoker in your home?”) and number (“In your childhood, how many smokers lived in your home?”) of adult smokers in the childhood homes (31); no specific age range was provided to define childhood.

Physician diagnosis of history of stroke and heart disease (myocardial infarction [MI] or coronary revascularization, coronary artery bypass grafting, bypass, angioplasty, or stenting) prior to baseline were assessed by self-report, and additionally MI by evidence on the baseline ECG. These data were used to exclude participants who were no longer at risk for incident stroke, or CHD, for the corresponding analyses.

Outcome Variables

Suspected Incident stroke and CHD were ascertained by telephone follow-up every 6 months with living participants or their proxies, and medical records were retrieved for reported hospitalizations and deaths. Deaths were detected by report of family members, proxies, or sources such as the Social Security Death Index or the National Death Index, and death certificate and associated medical records were collected in addition to interviews with

proxies or next of kin. Committees of stroke or CHD experts adjudicated all suspected events. Fatal vs non-fatal outcomes were not differentiated in this analysis. Follow-up and adjudication for stroke events was complete through March 2016, and for CHD events was complete through December 2013 (32, 33). Participants who did not experience a stroke event were censored at the end of March 2016; those who did not have a CHD event were censored at the end of March 2013.

Statistical Analysis

From the parent study, 12,630 participants who reported smoking 100 cigarettes were compared to those who did not to check for an association between childhood SHS exposure and adult smoking, but all subsequent analyses were among never-smokers only. Baseline characteristics were compared by number of childhood household smokers (0, 1, or 2+) using chi-square tests for categorical variables, or ANOVA for continuous variables.

Childhood SHS exposure was not assessed until 10 years after the initiation of the study, which was 5 years after the termination of new participant enrollment, thus there is the potential for bias due to selective attrition of participants at higher risk of stroke or CHD. Additionally, survey non-response among those who were contacted might differ by many of the same characteristics associated with stroke or CHD. To account for these potentially problematic patterns of missing data, we developed inverse probability weights (IPW) for attrition (34) and non-response (35) using baseline sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. In addition to missing data on childhood SHS, data were missing on sociodemographic characteristics and risk factors used in the IPW (and/or main analysis) models. Few observations of any single characteristic were missing in substantial numbers of participants (at most 6.1%), but 16.3% of participants had at least one characteristic missing, therefore a single imputation using fully conditional specification (FCS) (36) was performed to avoid listwise deletion during the estimation of the weights. Logistic regression was used to estimate the probability of a participant having been censored in REGARDS as of January 2013 when the childhood questionnaire results were being received (probability of attrition), or in a separate model, of not returning the survey given that they were active in January 2013 (probability of non-response). Weights were calculated as $1/(1-P)$ where P is the respective probability, and multiplied together to obtain final weights.

Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for stroke or CHD by number of childhood household smokers using model-based estimate of variance. Stroke and CHD were considered in separate models. Age (years), race (black/white), sex (male/female), region (stroke buckle [153-county coastal region of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia], stroke belt [the rest of those states plus Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Arkansas], other) and the interaction between age and race were adjusted for in all stroke models as in Howard et al (30). Age, race, sex, and region were adjusted for in all CHD models as in Safford et al (32). Fully adjusted models included socioeconomic factors, adult SHS exposure [yes/no], annual income, highest education, and greatest parental education (all categorized), and Framingham Risk Score factors. Different Framingham Risk Score factors were selected for the stroke models (self-reported diabetes, history of heart disease, atrial fibrillation, current

antihypertensive medication use, systolic blood pressure [SBP], and Sokolow-Lyon LVH Limb lead criteria [LVH]) and CHD models (self-reported diabetes, SBP, total cholesterol, current antihypertensive medication use, and high-density lipoprotein [HDL] cholesterol). Some covariate data were missing in the fully adjusted models, so we created 10 imputed datasets using FCS (36) and calculated hazard ratios using multiple imputation. Everything other than outcomes and childhood variables were collected at study baseline. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

Among participants from the parent study who answered both the baseline smoking question and the survey childhood SHS exposure question, fewer number of regular smokers in the participant's childhood home was associated with never smoking; 2825 (55%) of 5093 with 0 childhood household smokers reported never smoking, compared to 2237/4868 (46%) with 1, and 1134/2669 (42%) with 2+ ($p<0.001$). All subsequent analyses exclude participants who reported ever smoking.

Among 30,183 participants in REGARDS, 13,142 (44%) reported no prior history of both stroke and heart disease, had follow-up information, and reported never having smoked 100 cigarettes (figure 1). Of the 12,648 participants who reported no stroke prior to study entry 3,583 (28%) were lost to attrition prior to the childhood SHS survey. Of those who were still active when the questionnaire was mailed, 6,003 (66%) returned the survey with non-missing responses for childhood SHS exposure. Of the 11,287 participants with follow-up for CHD and who reported no CHD prior to study entry, 3,137 (28%) were lost to attrition prior to the childhood survey, and of those who were still active when the questionnaire was mailed 5,377 (66%) returned the survey with non-missing responses for childhood SHS exposure.

Table 1 shows the outcomes and baseline characteristics of participants by their attrition and survey response status. Stroke and CHD both occurred more often in participants who were lost to attrition prior to the survey than participants who did not answer, or who did answer (6.1%, 4.1% and 3.0% for stroke, $p<0.001$, 9.9%, 3.9%, 2.6% for CHD, $p<0.001$, respectively). Attrition was more common in older participants (mean 67.2 [SD 10.9]) but age was similar in survey non-responders (63.7 [9.7]) and responders (63.5 [9.0]), $p<0.001$ overall. Blacks were disproportionately less represented among survey responders (30% vs 70% white) because they were lost to attrition (49% vs 51% white) and to survey non-response (54% vs 46% white), $p<0.001$ overall. However, there was no difference in any of the groups by sex (65% to 66% female in all 3 groups, $p=0.76$). The area under the curve (AUC) represents the ability of the model to distinguish between the outcomes on a scale from 0.5 to 1.0. The AUC (standard error) for each weight's prediction of its respective outcome was 0.679 (0.005) for the attrition weights, 0.680 (0.005) for the non-response weights among those active in January 2013, and 0.695 (0.005) for the combination of attrition and non-response. Median (25%ile, 75%ile) weights in the analysis sample were 1.31 (1.21, 1.47) for attrition, 1.39 (1.28, 1.67) for non-response, and 1.86 (1.58, 2.39) for their product.

Among the 6,136 participants eligible for either the stroke (6,003) or the CHD (5,377) analysis, 46% reported 0 childhood household smokers, 36% reported 1 (which included 0.7% who reported “Yes” but did not specify the number of smokers in their household), and 18% reported 2+ (which was exactly 2 for 89%, but a maximum of 7). In 60,649 person-years through March 2016 (median 10.5 years), 174 strokes were observed (2.9% of participants), and in 45,195 person-years through December 2013 (median 8.6 years), 114 CHD events were observed (2.1% of participants). Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of participants by their childhood SHS exposure. Their mean (SD) age was 63.5 (9.0), they were 65% female, and 30% black.

Table 3 shows the results from the Cox proportional hazards models for stroke and CHD, all adjusted by age, sex, race, with the interaction between age and race in the stroke models. The HR (95% CI) of stroke for 2+ vs 0 childhood household smokers exposed was 1.36 (0.89, 2.07), and for 1 vs 0 was 1.00 (0.71, 1.39), $p=0.31$ for an overall difference. After applying the IPW, which brought the weighted sample size from 6,003 to 12,835.2, the HR of stroke for 2+ vs 0 was 1.69 (1.32, 2.17), and for 1 vs 0 was 0.89 (0.72, 1.11), $p<0.001$. After IPW and adjusting for all other covariates, the HR of stroke for 2+ vs 0 was 1.66 (1.29, 2.13), and for 1 vs 0 was 0.91 (0.73, 1.13), $p<0.001$.

The HR of CHD for 2+ vs 0 childhood household smokers exposed was 0.91 (0.52, 1.61), and for 1 vs 0 was 1.01 (0.68, 1.51), $p=0.85$. With IPW the HR for 2+ vs 0 was 1.36 (0.99, 1.88), and for 1 vs 0 was 0.97 (0.75, 1.26), $p=0.01$, and with IPW and full adjustment the HR for 2+ vs 0 was 1.15 (0.82, 1.59), and for 1 vs 0 was 1.00 (0.77, 1.30), $p=0.16$.

A sensitivity analysis involving partial adjustment and weighting only for attrition was also performed, which resulted in a HR of 1.53 (1.10, 2.14) for stroke among 2+ vs 0 SHS exposed, and 0.97 (0.61, 1.54) for CHD among 2+ vs 0 SHS exposed. Models for the attrition weighting and all fully adjusted models are in supplementary table 1.

Adult SHS was also considered as a possible mediator of the childhood SHS association with stroke and CHD, so a sensitivity analysis was performed using the fully adjusted and weighted models but without adjusting for adult SHS, and separately stratified by adult SHS. The HRs for 2+ vs 0 childhood SHS exposed without adjustment were 1.68 (1.30, 2.15) for stroke, and 1.16 (0.84, 1.61) for CHD, which were very similar to the adjusted values, indicating no direct mediation. For stroke, the HR for 2+ vs 0 childhood SHS exposed was 2.09 (1.06, 4.09) among adult SHS exposed (12% of participants) and 1.40 (1.03, 1.90) among adult SHS non-exposed (82% of participants); 6% of participants had unknown adult SHS exposure. No participants with adult SHS exposure experienced CHD during study follow-up, and full results from these models are available in supplementary table 2.

Discussion

The main findings of this study are that among 45+ year old never-smokers, exposure during childhood to SHS from 2 or more household smokers was associated with a 1.66 times increased hazard of stroke, but no significant increased hazard of CHD. The association with stroke was independent of adult SHS exposure as well as demographic, socioeconomic, and

Framingham risk factors. There is a growing body of evidence linking exposures across the life course to chronic diseases in adulthood (37), and despite indications that harm from SHS could be reversible in healthy young adults (38) our study is the first we are aware of to report on these particular associations in a well-characterized older adult cohort. It is important to note that these results were observed only among the IPW data, but not when examining the unweighted data, and thus the results should be interpreted with caution.

A limitation of this study was its reliance on a primary exposure that was retrospectively assessed with respect to both the time of exposure and the time of study entry. Several studies have looked at consequences of childhood SHS exposure that are present into early adulthood (26–28), but it is inherently difficult to study the relationship between an exposure and an outcome that occur at least 27 and possibly 60 or more years apart (39). However, there are few existing studies any better suited than REGARDS to evaluate these relationships. Self-reported retrospective assessments of childhood circumstances are widely used and have been repeatedly shown to predict adult CVD outcomes (40, 41). We acknowledge the limitations of self-reported and retrospective data, however we used questions similar to what other studies have used and validated (31, 42, 43). Exposure assessment years after the study baseline on the other hand posed a challenge specific to this analysis, particularly because loss to follow-up prior to the assessment is associated with both risk factors and outcome (44). Additionally, childhood SHS exposure was part of a mailed survey and therefore subject to non-response bias, though likely less so than many mailed surveys due to the participants' ongoing commitment to the REGARDS study, evidenced by a response rate of 66%. To address selection bias resulting from these latter two issues we used IPW to reclaim our baseline cohort using a pseudo-population based on the participants for whom we could obtain childhood SHS. The AUC for the prediction of attrition (0.679) and non-response (0.680) were not especially high, indicating that the weighted pseudo-population may not accurately represent the baseline cohort, leaving the potential for introduced bias. However, all of the characteristics used to predict likelihood of attrition or survey non-response were measured during the thorough telephone and in-home visits at study baseline, and they represent our best chance at recovering these data.

Based on our IPW analyses we found that our initial unweighted analyses had substantially underestimated the risk of 2+ vs 0 childhood household smokers, both for stroke (HR 1.36 vs 1.69) and CHD (0.91 vs 1.36), and we attribute this to survivorship selection bias. For CHD, much of this risk was confounded by other factors such as cholesterol, thus the non-significant weighted and fully adjusted final estimate of 1.15, so we expect that even if we had SHS exposure assessed in our entire cohort there would be no detectable causal association with CHD. However, fully adjusting our stroke model resulted in almost no change in the magnitude of the association (HR 1.66); therefore we propose that the same mechanisms responsible for the established shorter-term relationships between SHS and subclinical vascular disease (45–49) and stroke (15) and may be responsible for an increased long-term risk of stroke. Data from the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study have shown that exposure to parental smoking during childhood is associated with increased risk of carotid atherosclerotic plaque in adulthood (28) and increased carotid intima-media thickness (26), up to 25 years later. Other research has shown impaired endothelial function measured by flow-mediated dilation in young adults after having been exposed to SHS

during childhood (27). Increased sensitivity of the brain during development may account for the magnitude of the association we found, as well as for the difference between stroke and CHD risk. The precise mechanisms await further research, specifically life course research in which childhood exposure is more directly assessed, and temporal changes over time that mediate stroke outcomes are measured.

Strengths of this study include its being a large, population-based, prospective cohort. Extensive information was obtained in person at study baseline, and careful follow-up was maintained with respect to stroke and CHD outcomes. Furthermore, the novel REGARDS approach to in-home data collection resulted in a cohort that included people who live far from academic medical centers, likely enhancing generalizability. Additionally, because we utilized IPW in our analyses, it is likely that we not only increased our functional sample size, but that we did so in a way that allowed less bias in our estimation, as we accounted for differential loss to follow-up. Limitations beyond those discussed above include the potential lack of reliability in quantifying SHS exposure during childhood or adulthood, lack of precision in the estimation of attrition due to different possible causes, and potential confounding by unmeasured and possibly correlated childhood risk factors. Finally, although our hope is that we diminished the bias by use of IPW, it is alternatively possible that we induced additional bias through our weighting, and thus the results should be viewed with this caveat.

Conclusion

Exposure during childhood to SHS is associated with increased risk of stroke much later in life in our sample weighted to reduce the effects of potential selection bias. Our findings extend the time that stroke risk lingers farther than any of the previous literature, and are particularly relevant to considerations of protecting children, who have far less ability to avoid SHS. In contrast, our findings indicate little or no increased risk from CHD. Future research will hopefully be able to assess exposures and cardiovascular outcomes across the life course in order to better assess temporal mediators and avoid long-term recall bias.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements and Funding

This research project is supported by a cooperative agreement U01 NS041588 from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Service. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke or the National Institutes of Health. The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the REGARDS study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating REGARDS investigators and institutions can be found at <http://www.regardsstudy.org>

List of abbreviations:

SHS	secondhand tobacco smoke
CHD	coronary heart disease

SD	Standard deviation
ECG	electrocardiogram
LVH	left ventricular hypertrophy
HDL	high density lipoprotein
SBP	systolic blood pressure
REGARDS	REasons for Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke Cohort Study
FCS	fully conditional specification

References

1. Shah RS, Cole JW. Smoking and stroke: the more you smoke the more you stroke. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther.* 2010;8(7):917–32. [PubMed: 20602553]
2. Teo KK, Ounpuu S, Hawken S, Pandey MR, Valentin V, Hunt D, et al. Tobacco use and risk of myocardial infarction in 52 countries in the INTERHEART study: a case-control study. *Lancet.* 2006;368(9536):647–58. [PubMed: 16920470]
3. Pearson TA, Blair SN, Daniels SR, Eckel RH, Fair JM, Fortmann SP, et al. AHA Guidelines for Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease and Stroke: 2002 Update: Consensus Panel Guide to Comprehensive Risk Reduction for Adult Patients Without Coronary or Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Diseases. American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee. *Circulation.* 2002;106(3):388–91. [PubMed: 12119259]
4. Iribarren C, Darbinian J, Klatsky AL, Friedman GD. Cohort study of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and risk of first ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack. *Neuroepidemiology.* 2004;23(1–2):38–44. [PubMed: 14739566]
5. Bonita R, Duncan J, Truelsen T, Jackson RT, Beaglehole R. Passive smoking as well as active smoking increases the risk of acute stroke. *Tobacco control.* 1999;8(2):156–60. [PubMed: 10478399]
6. You RX, Thrift AG, McNeil JJ, Davis SM, Donnan GA. Ischemic stroke risk and passive exposure to spouses' cigarette smoking. Melbourne Stroke Risk Factor Study (MERFS) Group. *American journal of public health.* 1999;89(4):572–5. [PubMed: 10191806]
7. Oono IP, Mackay DF, Pell JP. Meta-analysis of the association between secondhand smoke exposure and stroke. *J Public Health (Oxf).* 2011;33(4):496–502. [PubMed: 21422014]
8. Wilson N, Thomson G. Still dying from second-hand smoke at work: a brief review of the evidence for smoke-free workplaces in New Zealand. *N Z Med J.* 2002;115(1165):U240. [PubMed: 12552286]
9. Lee PN, Forey BA. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and risk of stroke in nonsmokers: a review with meta-analysis. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2006;15(5):190–201. [PubMed: 17904075]
10. Qureshi AI, Suri MF, Kirmani JF, Divani AA. Cigarette smoking among spouses: another risk factor for stroke in women. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation.* 2005;36(9):e74–6.
11. Tan CE, Glantz SA. Association between smoke-free legislation and hospitalizations for cardiac, cerebrovascular, and respiratory diseases: a meta-analysis. *Circulation.* 2012;126(18):2177–83. [PubMed: 23109514]
12. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA.: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2006.
13. Dunbar A, Gotsis W, Frishman W. Second-hand tobacco smoke and cardiovascular disease risk: an epidemiological review. *Cardiology in review.* 2013;21(2):94–100. [PubMed: 22968181]

14. Jefferis BJ, Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Wannamethee SG, Feyerabend C, Doig M, et al. Cotinine-assessed second-hand smoke exposure and risk of cardiovascular disease in older adults. *Heart*. 2010;96(11):854–9. [PubMed: 20478864]
15. Malek AM, Cushman M, Lackland DT, Howard G, McClure LA. Secondhand Smoke Exposure and Stroke: The Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *American journal of preventive medicine*. 2015;49(6):e89–97. [PubMed: 26117341]
16. United States. Public Health Service. Office of the Surgeon General. The health consequences of smoking—50 years of progress : a report of the Surgeon General : executive summary. Rockville, MD: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General; 2014 iii, 22 pages p.
17. Treyster Z, Gitterman B. Second hand smoke exposure in children: environmental factors, physiological effects, and interventions within pediatrics. *Rev Environ Health*. 2011;26(3):187–95. [PubMed: 22206195]
18. Raghuvver G, White DA, Hayman LL, Woo JG, Villafane J, Celermajer D, et al. Cardiovascular Consequences of Childhood Secondhand Tobacco Smoke Exposure: Prevailing Evidence, Burden, and Racial and Socioeconomic Disparities: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2016;134(16):e336–e59. [PubMed: 27619923]
19. Hecht SS, Carmella SG, Le KA, Murphy SE, Boettcher AJ, Le C, et al. 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol and its glucuronides in the urine of infants exposed to environmental tobacco smoke. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology*. 2006;15(5):988–92.
20. Homa DM, Neff LJ, King BA, Caraballo RS, Bunnell RE, Babb SD, et al. Vital signs: disparities in nonsmokers' exposure to secondhand smoke--United States, 1999–2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2015;64(4):103–8. [PubMed: 25654612]
21. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Disparities in secondhand smoke exposure--United States, 1988–1994 and 1999–2004. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2008;57(27):744–7. [PubMed: 18614993]
22. Cook DG, Strachan DP. Health effects of passive smoking. 3. Parental smoking and prevalence of respiratory symptoms and asthma in school age children. *Thorax*. 1997;52(12):1081–94. [PubMed: 9516904]
23. Gerald LB, Gerald JK, Gibson L, Patel K, Zhang S, McClure LA. Changes in environmental tobacco smoke exposure and asthma morbidity among urban school children. *Chest*. 2009;135(4):911–6. [PubMed: 19017893]
24. Svanes C, Omenaas E, Jarvis D, Chinn S, Gulsvik A, Burney P. Parental smoking in childhood and adult obstructive lung disease: results from the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. *Thorax*. 2004;59(4):295–302. [PubMed: 15047948]
25. Larsson ML, Frisk M, Hallstrom J, Kiviloog J, Lundback B. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure during childhood is associated with increased prevalence of asthma in adults. *Chest*. 2001;120(3):711–7. [PubMed: 11555497]
26. Gall S, Huynh QL, Magnussen CG, Juonala M, Viikari JS, Kahonen M, et al. Exposure to parental smoking in childhood or adolescence is associated with increased carotid intima-media thickness in young adults: evidence from the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns study and the Childhood Determinants of Adult Health Study. *European heart journal*. 2014;35(36):2484–91. [PubMed: 24595866]
27. Juonala M, Magnussen CG, Venn A, Gall S, Kahonen M, Laitinen T, et al. Parental smoking in childhood and brachial artery flow-mediated dilatation in young adults: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns study and the Childhood Determinants of Adult Health study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2012;32(4):1024–31. [PubMed: 22345167]
28. West HW, Juonala M, Gall SL, Kahonen M, Laitinen T, Taittonen L, et al. Exposure to parental smoking in childhood is associated with increased risk of carotid atherosclerotic plaque in adulthood: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Circulation*. 2015;131(14):1239–46. [PubMed: 25802269]

29. Howard VJC M; Pulley L; Gomez CR; Go RC; Prineas RJ; Graham A; Moy CS; Howard G The reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study: objectives and design. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2005;25(3):135–43. [PubMed: 15990444]
30. Howard GC M; Kissela BM; Kleindorfer DO; McClure LA; Safford MM; Rhodes JD; Soliman EZ; Moy CS; Judd SE; Howard VJ; R. Easons for Geographic; Racial Differences in Stroke, Investigators. Traditional risk factors as the underlying cause of racial disparities in stroke: lessons from the half-full (empty?) glass. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation*. 2011;42(12):3369–75.
31. Lovasi GS, Diez Roux AV, Hoffman EA, Kawut SM, Jacobs DR, Jr., Barr RG. Association of environmental tobacco smoke exposure in childhood with early emphysema in adulthood among nonsmokers: the MESA-lung study. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2010;171(1):54–62. [PubMed: 19942575]
32. Safford MM, Brown TM, Muntner PM, Durant RW, Glasser S, Halanych JH, et al. Association of race and sex with risk of incident acute coronary heart disease events. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2012;308(17):1768–74. [PubMed: 23117777]
33. Howard VJ, Kleindorfer DO, Judd SE, McClure LA, Safford MM, Rhodes JD, et al. Disparities in stroke incidence contributing to disparities in stroke mortality. *Annals of neurology*. 2011;69(4): 619–27. [PubMed: 21416498]
34. Weuve J, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Glymour MM, Beck TL, Aggarwal NT, Wilson RS, et al. Accounting for bias due to selective attrition: the example of smoking and cognitive decline. *Epidemiology*. 2012;23(1):119–28. [PubMed: 21989136]
35. Harkanen T, Kaikkonen R, Virtala E, Koskinen S. Inverse probability weighting and doubly robust methods in correcting the effects of non-response in the reimbursed medication and self-reported turnout estimates in the ATH survey. *BMC public health*. 2014;14:1150. [PubMed: 25373328]
36. van Buuren S Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. *Statistical methods in medical research*. 2007;16(3):219–42. [PubMed: 17621469]
37. Galobardes B, Lynch JW, Davey Smith G. Childhood socioeconomic circumstances and cause-specific mortality in adulthood: systematic review and interpretation. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2004;26:7–21. [PubMed: 15234944]
38. Raitakari OT, Adams MR, McCredie RJ, Griffiths KA, Celermajer DS. Arterial endothelial dysfunction related to passive smoking is potentially reversible in healthy young adults. *Ann Intern Med*. 1999;130(7):578–81. [PubMed: 10189327]
39. Ben-Shlomo Y, Kuh D. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology: conceptual models, empirical challenges and interdisciplinary perspectives. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2002;31(2):285–93. [PubMed: 11980781]
40. Berney LR, Blane DB. Collecting retrospective data: accuracy of recall after 50 years judged against historical records. *Social science & medicine*. 1997;45(10):1519–25. [PubMed: 9351141]
41. Galobardes B, Smith GD, Lynch JW. Systematic review of the influence of childhood socioeconomic circumstances on risk for cardiovascular disease in adulthood. *Annals of epidemiology*. 2006;16(2):91–104. [PubMed: 16257232]
42. Krieger N, Okamoto A, Selby JV. Adult female twins' recall of childhood social class and father's education: a validation study for public health research. *American journal of epidemiology*. 1998;147(7):704–8. [PubMed: 9554610]
43. Troy LM, Michels KB, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Manson JE, Colditz GA, et al. Self-reported birthweight and history of having been breastfed among younger women: an assessment of validity. *International journal of epidemiology*. 1996;25(1):122–7. [PubMed: 8666479]
44. Hernan MA, Hernandez-Diaz S, Robins JM. A structural approach to selection bias. *Epidemiology*. 2004;15(5):615–25. [PubMed: 15308962]
45. Howard G, Wagenknecht LE, Burke GL, Diez-Roux A, Evans GW, McGovern P, et al. Cigarette smoking and progression of atherosclerosis: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 1998;279(2):119–24. [PubMed: 9440661]
46. Diez-Roux AV, Nieto FJ, Comstock GW, Howard G, Szklo M. The relationship of active and passive smoking to carotid atherosclerosis 12–14 years later. *Preventive medicine*. 1995;24(1):48–55. [PubMed: 7740015]

47. Stefanadis C, Dernellis J, Toutouzas P. Mechanical properties of the aorta determined by the pressure-diameter relation. *Pathol Biol (Paris)*. 1999;47(7):696–704. [PubMed: 10522260]
48. Otsuka R, Watanabe H, Hirata K, Tokai K, Muro T, Yoshiyama M, et al. Acute effects of passive smoking on the coronary circulation in healthy young adults. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2001;286(4):436–41. [PubMed: 11466122]
49. Sumida H, Watanabe H, Kugiyama K, Ohgushi M, Matsumura T, Yasue H. Does passive smoking impair endothelium-dependent coronary artery dilation in women? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1998;31(4):811–5. [PubMed: 9525552]

Figure 1:
Flowchart describing the study participants. There are 6,136 unique participants in the stroke and CHD analyses combined. CHD=coronary heart disease

Table 1:

Outcomes and baseline characteristics of participants by attrition and survey response

Characteristic		Total n=13,142	Attrition Prior to Survey n=3811 (29%)	Survey Unanswered n=3195 (24%)	Survey Answered n=6136 (47%)	P-value
Stroke		545 (4.1%)	231 (6.1%)	132 (4.1%)	182 (3.0%)	<0.001
Coronary heart disease (CHD)		662 (5.0%)	377 (9.9%)	125 (3.9%)	160 (2.6%)	<0.001
Age	mean (SD)	64.7 (9.9)	67.2 (10.9)	63.7 (9.7)	63.5 (9.0)	<0.001
	45–54	1913 (15%)	441 (12%)	553 (17%)	919 (15%)	<0.001
	55–64	4914 (37%)	1182 (31%)	1217 (38%)	2515 (41%)	
	65–74	3937 (30%)	1091 (29%)	935 (29%)	1911 (31%)	
	75+	2378 (18%)	1097 (29%)	490 (15%)	791 (13%)	
Gender (Female)		8570 (65%)	2487 (65%)	2099 (66%)	3984 (65%)	0.76
Race (Black)		5409 (41%)	1864 (49%)	1721 (54%)	1824 (30%)	<0.001
Region *	non-Stroke Belt	5693 (43%)	1680 (44%)	1333 (42%)	2680 (44%)	0.001
	Stroke Belt	4609 (35%)	1374 (36%)	1172 (37%)	2063 (34%)	
	Stroke Buckle	2840 (22%)	757 (20%)	690 (22%)	1393 (23%)	
Adult SHS exposure	No	10437 (79%)	2962 (78%)	2451 (77%)	5024 (82%)	<0.001
	Yes	1748 (13%)	535 (14%)	464 (15%)	749 (12%)	
	Unknown/Missing/Refused	957 (7%)	314 (8%)	280 (9%)	363 (6%)	
Income	less than \$20k	2158 (16%)	901 (24%)	573 (18%)	684 (11%)	<0.001
	\$20k-\$ 34k	3058 (23%)	1011 (27%)	740 (23%)	1307 (21%)	
	\$35k-\$74k	3877 (30%)	928 (24%)	911 (29%)	2038 (33%)	
	\$75k and above	2333 (18%)	409 (11%)	502 (16%)	1422 (23%)	
	Refused	1716 (13%)	562 (15%)	469 (15%)	685 (11%)	
Highest education (missing=10)	Less than high school	1360 (10%)	633 (17%)	407 (13%)	320 (5%)	<0.001
	High school graduate	3288 (25%)	1101 (29%)	823 (26%)	1364 (22%)	
	Some college	3287 (25%)	965 (25%)	840 (26%)	1482 (24%)	
	College graduate and above	5197 (40%)	1105 (29%)	1123 (35%)	2969 (48%)	
Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD) (missing=42)		126.8 (16.4)	129.6 (17.5)	127.6 (16.6)	124.5 (15.3)	<0.001
Use of anti-hypertensive medication (missing=510)		6597 (52%)	2115 (58%)	1712 (56%)	2770 (47%)	<0.001
Diabetes (missing=497)		2564 (20%)	971 (27%)	711 (23%)	882 (15%)	<0.001
History of stroke (missing=27)		467 (4%)	222 (6%)	127 (4%)	118 (2%)	<0.001
History of heart disease (missing=235)		1620 (13%)	600 (16%)	361 (12%)	659 (11%)	<0.001
Atrial Fibrillation (self-report or ECG evidence) (missing=268)		1004 (8%)	364 (10%)	251 (8%)	389 (6%)	<0.001
Sokolow-Lyon LVH Limb lead criteria (missing=218)		1433 (11%)	543 (14%)	410 (13%)	480 (8%)	<0.001
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD) (missing=562)		194.2 (39.1)	194.2 (40.8)	194.3 (38.9)	194.2 (38.2)	1.00
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD) (missing=622)		53.5 (16.2)	53.0 (16.4)	53.2 (16.0)	54.1 (16.2)	0.003

SHS=Secondhand tobacco smoke; SD=Standard deviation; ECG=Electrocardiogram; LVH=Left Ventricular Hypertrophy HDL=High density lipoprotein

* Stroke buckle includes a 153-county coastal region of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia

Stroke belt refers to the rest of those states plus Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Arkansas

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2:

Baseline characteristics of participants by number of smokers in childhood home

Baseline Characteristic		Number of smokers in childhood home				P-value
		Total n=6136	0 n=2792 (46%)	1 n=2221 (36%)	2+ n=1123 (18%)	
Age	mean (SD)	63.5 (9.0)	64.5 (9.3)	63.7 (8.9)	60.8 (8.1)	<0.001
	45–54	919 (15%)	369 (13%)	313 (14%)	237 (21%)	<0.001
	55–64	2515 (41%)	1062 (38%)	915 (41%)	538 (48%)	
	65–74	1911 (31%)	916 (33%)	712 (32%)	283 (25%)	
	75+	791 (13%)	445 (16%)	281 (13%)	65 (6%)	
Gender (Female)		3984 (65%)	1810 (65%)	1455 (66%)	719 (64%)	0.69
Race (Black)		1824 (30%)	976 (35%)	596 (27%)	252 (22%)	<0.001
Region *	non-Stroke Belt	2680 (44%)	1215 (44%)	948 (43%)	517 (46%)	0.07
	Stroke Belt	2063 (34%)	974 (35%)	739 (33%)	350 (31%)	
	Stroke Buckle	1393 (23%)	603 (22%)	534 (24%)	256 (23%)	
Adult SHS exposure	No	5024 (82%)	2329 (83%)	1800 (81%)	895 (80%)	0.005
	Yes	749 (12%)	295 (11%)	289 (13%)	165 (15%)	
	Unknown/Missing/Refused	363 (6%)	168 (6%)	132 (6%)	63 (6%)	
Income	less than \$20k	684 (11%)	335 (12%)	236 (11%)	113 (10%)	<0.001
	\$20k–\$34k	1307 (21%)	619 (22%)	493 (22%)	195 (17%)	
	\$35k–\$74k	2038 (33%)	937 (34%)	721 (32%)	380 (34%)	
	\$75k and above	1422 (23%)	603 (22%)	496 (22%)	323 (29%)	
	Refused	685 (11%)	298 (11%)	275 (12%)	112 (10%)	
Highest education (missing=1)	Less than high school	320 (5%)	162 (6%)	110 (5%)	48 (4%)	0.002
	High school graduate	1364 (22%)	610 (22%)	541 (24%)	213 (19%)	
	Some college	1482 (24%)	676 (24%)	541 (24%)	265 (24%)	
	College graduate and above	2969 (48%)	1344 (48%)	1029 (46%)	596 (53%)	
Highest education of parents (missing=76)	Less than high school	2666 (44%)	1207 (44%)	1050 (48%)	409 (37%)	<0.001
	High school graduate	1409 (23%)	597 (22%)	522 (24%)	290 (26%)	
	At least some college	1523 (25%)	714 (26%)	474 (22%)	335 (30%)	
	Unknown	462 (8%)	243 (9%)	145 (7%)	74 (7%)	
Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD) (missing=20)		124.5 (15.3)	125.1 (15.4)	124.2 (15.0)	123.8 (15.4)	0.03
Use of anti-hypertensive medication (missing=215)		2770 (47%)	1292 (48%)	1022 (47%)	456 (42%)	0.004
Diabetes (missing=209)		882 (15%)	409 (15%)	305 (14%)	168 (15%)	0.56
History of stroke (missing=15)		118 (2%)	62 (2%)	38 (2%)	18 (2%)	0.30
History of heart disease (missing=100)		659 (11%)	307 (11%)	238 (11%)	114 (10%)	0.69
Atrial Fibrillation (self-report or ECG evidence) (missing=105)		389 (6%)	172 (6%)	151 (7%)	66 (6%)	0.52
Sokolow-Lyon LVH limb lead criteria (missing=99)		480 (8%)	219 (8%)	183 (8%)	78 (7%)	0.41
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD) (missing=209)		194.2 (38.2)	193.9 (37.8)	194.4 (38.5)	194.6 (38.5)	0.83
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL), mean (SD) (missing=240)		54.1 (16.2)	54.1 (16.1)	53.8 (15.9)	54.5 (17.3)	0.55

SHS=Secondhand tobacco smoke; SD=Standard deviation; ECG=Electrocardiogram; LVH=Left Ventricular Hypertrophy HDL=High density lipoprotein

* Stroke buckle includes a 153-county coastal region of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia

Stroke belt refers to the rest of those states plus Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Arkansas

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3:

Cox proportional hazards of Stroke and CHD by number of smokers in childhood home

Outcome	Number of smokers in childhood home	Outcome n (%) [†]	Unweighted		Weighted			
			Partially Adjusted [*]				Fully Adjusted ^{**}	
			HR (95%CI)	P-value	HR (95%CI)	P-value	HR (95%CI)	P-value
Stroke	0	83 (3.0%)	reference		reference		reference	
	1	60 (2.8%)	1.00 (0.71, 1.39)	0.31	0.89 (0.72, 1.11)	<0.001	0.91 (0.73, 1.13)	<0.001
	2+	31 (2.8%)	1.36 (0.89, 2.07)		1.69 (1.32, 2.17)		1.66 (1.29, 2.13)	
CHD	0	55 (2.3%)	reference		reference		reference	
	1	43 (2.2%)	1.01 (0.68, 1.51)	0.85	0.97 (0.75, 1.26)	0.01	1.00 (0.77, 1.30)	0.16
	2+	16 (1.6%)	0.91 (0.52, 1.61)		1.36 (0.99, 1.88)		1.15 (0.82, 1.59)	

* Partially adjusted models are adjusted for:

Stroke: age, race, sex, region, and the interaction between age and race

CHD: age, race, sex, and region

** Fully adjusted models are adjusted for the above plus:

Stroke: income, education, parent education, adult SHS exposure, and Framingham Stroke Risk Score factors (diabetes, cardiovascular disease history, atrial fibrillation, current antihypertensive medication use, SBP, and LVH)

CHD: income, education, parent education, adult SHS exposure, diabetes, current antihypertensive medication use, SBP, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol

[†] sample sizes: 6003 (stroke) and 5377 (CHD), weighted sample sizes in analyses: 12835.2 (stroke) and 11498.0 (CHD)

CHD=Coronary heart disease; HR=Hazard ratio; CI=Confidence interval; SHS=Secondhand tobacco smoke; LVH=Left Ventricular Hypertrophy; SBP=systolic blood pressure; HDL=High-density lipoproteins