Published in final edited form as:

Am J Cardiol. 2019 April 15; 123(8): 1249–1254. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.01.020.

Sudden Cardiac Death Risk Distribution in the United States Population (From NHANES, 2005-2012)

Kristoff A. Olson, MD, MPH^a, Ravi B. Patel, MD^{a,b}, Faraz S. Ahmad, MD, MS^{a,b}, Hongyan Ning, MD, MS^b, Brittany M. Bogle, PhD, MPH^c, Jeffrey J. Goldberger, MD^d, and Donald M. Lloyd-Jones, MD, ScM^{a,b}

^aDivision of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine/Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois;

^bDepartment of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine/Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois;

^cDepartment of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC;

^dDivision of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida.

Abstract

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) accounts for more than half of all deaths from cardiovascular disease and is the first manifestation of heart disease in 50% of these individuals. We aimed to describe the distribution of predicted SCD risk in the general US population using a recently developed risk score. We previously developed a population-based, 10-year risk score for SCD using data from the multiracial Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities cohort, validated in the Framingham Study. We now estimate 10-year predicted SCD risk among National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) participants (pooled from cycles in 2005–2012) and evaluate the clinical profile of participants in lower risk (0–80th percentile of risk) or high risk (81st–100th percentile of risk) strata. A total of 10,811 participants were included; the mean age of participants was 48 years, and 50% were female. The average predicted 10-year risk of SCD was 3.6% among high risk participants (81st–100th percentile), and 0.37% among low risk participants (0–80th percentile). High risk participants were older, had higher blood pressure, total cholesterol and body mass index, lower high density lipoprotein, and were more likely to be male, black, smokers and diabetic. Among US adults free of cardiovascular disease, the majority of SCD risk appears confined to 10–20% of the population. This risk score, comprised of readily available clinical variables, identifies a subset of individuals in the population who are at an appreciably higher risk

Address for Correspondence: Donald Lloyd-Jones, MD, ScM, Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern Feinberg School of Medicine, 680 N. Lake Shore Dr., Suite 1400, Chicago, IL 60611, Tel: 312-908-1718, Fax: 312-908-9588, dlj@northwestern.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosures
None

of SCD. This enriched cohort represents candidates for additional nuanced and selective screening techniques to further quantify SCD risk.

Keywords

sudden cardiac death; risk prediction; epidemiology

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) accounts for 15% to 20% of all deaths.¹ The majority of those who suffer SCD do not have known severe left ventricular (LV) dysfunction or cardiovascular disease (CVD).^{2–5} A straightforward risk prediction tool is needed to identify those in the general population who are at elevated risk for SCD. We recently developed a population-based 10-year risk score that exhibits promise in identifying individuals at risk for SCD.⁶ The score, derived in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) cohort and subsequently validated in the Framingham Heart Study, incorporates 11 variables that are widely available in the electronic health record. Individuals in the top decile of risk based on this risk score had a predicted 10-year risk of SCD of roughly 5%. We aimed to determine the distribution of predicted SCD risk across various strata of age, sex, and race/ethnicity categories by applying our previously validated SCD risk score to the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2005 to 2012 dataset.

Methods

Individual-level data from four cycles (2005–2006, 2007–2008, 2009–2010, 2011–2012) of NHANES were used. Detailed methods and protocols for NHANES have been previously described.⁷ We included CVD-free, noninstitutionalized, nonpregnant, nonlactating individuals who had completed a mobile examination. Individuals ages 30 to 70 years were included for purposes of consistency with the original Framingham validation cohort. We defined participants as having CVD if they answered “yes” when asked if a doctor or health professional ever told them they had coronary heart disease, heart attack, stroke, or congestive heart failure. We excluded participants with a body mass index (BMI) > 50 kg and total cholesterol > 500 mg/dL because of their effect on the stability of estimates. Due to the nature of the NHANES study, informed consent was not required.

Methods for measuring sociodemographic, medical history, medication use and anthropometric data are described elsewhere.⁷ Briefly, data were collected during an interview and medical evaluation, which included anthropometric measurements and laboratory testing. Age, race/ethnicity, education, income, hypertension medication use, lipid-lowering medication use, and smoking status were ascertained during the interview. Current smoking was defined as a self-report of smoking every day or some days and having smoked ≥ 100 cigarettes over a lifetime. Diabetes mellitus was determined by either self-reported prior diagnosis by a health professional, use of oral diabetic medication or insulin, or a hemoglobin A1c ≥ 6.5%. Obesity was defined as body mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m². Blood pressure measurements were performed by trained and certified physicians using previously described procedures and a mercury manometer; the average of the last 2 measurements was used whenever available, although single measurements were used if they were the only available measurements. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood

pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg or treatment for hypertension.

Our recently developed population-based SCD risk prediction model yields a predicted 10-year risk of SCD using data on various risk factors.⁶ The model was derived in the ARIC cohort and validated in the Framingham Heart Study. Variables included are age, sex, race/ethnicity, total cholesterol, lipid-lowering medication use, hypertension medication use, SBP, DBP, smoking status, diabetes mellitus, and body mass index. In ARIC, SCD was defined as death resulting from fatal myocardial infarction or definite or possible fatal coronary heart disease where the time between symptom onset and death is less than one hour or where the time between hospital admission and death is less than one hour. Deaths were reviewed using coroner records, death certificates, and through contacting kin or primary physician. In Framingham, SCD was defined as death resulting from coronary heart disease within one hour of symptom onset with no other probable cause of death suggested from the medical record and interview of relatives. Suspected SCD events were adjudicated by a panel of three trained physicians who applied criteria for SCD.

The model was originally derived and validated in whites. We then recalibrated the risk score to yield a best fit model for the black ARIC participants. See Online Table 1 in the Supplement for details of the race-specific risk scores. The recalibrated black model was then applied to black NHANES participants, and the original white model was applied to participants of all other races/ethnicities.

The SCD risk prediction score was applied to NHANES participants (from exam cycles 2005–2012) to obtain a predicted 10-year risk for SCD for each individual participant. We then stratified participants as being at either lower predicted risk (0–80th percentile of predicted 10-year risk) or high risk (81st–100th percentile).

We used survey procedures in SAS statistical software (version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) to generate accurate frequencies and variances accounting for the complex, multistage design of NHANES. Analyses were performed for all eligible adults and were stratified by age, sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic subgroups to assess the relationship between these demographic variables and SCD risk burden. We used the survey weights to estimate the number of individuals at high versus lower predicted SCD risk across the various demographic strata.⁷ Analysis of variance, two-sample *t*-tests, or chi square tests used as appropriate to analyze differences in baseline characteristics by race/ethnicity and risk status. A 2-tailed *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Dr. Olson and Dr. Lloyd-Jones had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for its integrity and the data analysis.

Results

We included 10,811 CVD-free, non-institutionalized and nonpregnant individuals ages 30 to 70 years, representing approximately 120 million US adults (Table 1). The mean age of participants was 48 years, and 50% of the sample was female. Sixty-seven percent of

participants had dyslipidemia, 28.9% had hypertension, 21.9% were current smokers, 8.5% had diabetes, and 35.0% were obese.

Predicted SCD risk rose in an exponential fashion across increasing deciles of risk (Figure 1). As observed in the derivation and validation cohorts, the majority of predicted SCD risk was concentrated in the top two deciles. The average predicted 10-year risk of SCD was 3.6% among predicted high risk participants, and 0.37% among lower risk participants.

When compared to lower risk participants, high risk participants were older and more likely to be male (Table 2, Figure 2). High risk participants also had higher blood pressure, total cholesterol, and BMI, lower high density lipoprotein (HDL), and were more likely to be smokers and diabetic. When the subgroup of high risk participants under age 60 was examined, rates of dyslipidemia, smoking, obesity and diabetes were further heightened (Online Table 2 in the Supplement), highlighting the role of these conditions in driving predicted risk when age-related risk is de-emphasized.

Compared to 16.4% of non-Hispanic whites, 12.4% of Hispanics, and 12.4% of those in the “other” race/ethnicity category, 18.0% of non-Hispanic black US adults were predicted to be high risk (Table 3). US adults with less than a high school education were more frequently classified as high risk than were participants with a college degree (19.0% vs. 11.3%). Finally, 18.8% of US adults with household income <\$45,000 were designated as high risk, but only 14.1% of those with income ≥\$45,000 were predicted to be at high risk of SCD.

Discussion

In this study, we describe the distribution of predicted SCD risk in a multiracial representative sample of the US population. The average predicted 10-year risk of SCD was 3.6% among predicted high risk participants, and 0.37% among lower risk participants, a 10-fold difference in risk. Importantly, predicted SCD risk rose in an exponential fashion across increasing deciles of risk, with the majority of predicted SCD risk concentrated in the top two deciles. High risk participants were older, had higher blood pressure, total cholesterol and BMI, lower HDL, were more likely to be male, black, smokers and diabetic, and were poorer and less educated. In aggregate, our findings demonstrate a striking gradient in predicted SCD risk amongst NHANES participants, supporting the utility of our previously derived and validated 10-year risk score as the first step in a sequential screening strategy for SCD.

A feature that is advantageous when taking a population approach to risk reduction is that much of the risk for the outcome in question is concentrated in relatively few individuals. If those individuals are easily identifiable, potential exists to affect a large reduction in the population burden of a disease.⁸ If SCD risk rose steadily by decile, or was relatively flat across deciles, we would have no identifiable subpopulation on whom to focus our SCD-reduction efforts. In this case, we observe an exponential rise in the risk estimates, such that the predicted risk in the top decile is approximately 100 times greater than the risk in the lowest decile. Using the current risk score, the top quintile of the SCD risk distribution has a

10-year predicted SCD risk of approximately 3.7%; the top decile has a 10-year SCD risk of approximately 5.8%.

This risk distribution information aids in determining whether SCD risk stratification would be amenable to a sequential screening strategy in which we can identify and target a high-risk segment of the population. This analysis illustrates two points: 1) the preponderance of SCD risk is concentrated in a relatively small percentage of the population; 2) those who are at elevated risk are identifiable based on readily available health information.

Bayesian sequential testing maximizes positive predictive value in identifying high-risk individuals while sparing the cost and inconvenience of in-depth parallel testing in the general population. This score could initially be applied to an electronic health record to yield a list of individuals at elevated risk. In a second screening step, those who screened as high risk in step one would be candidates for more in-depth evaluation and testing to further delineate risk (e.g., echocardiography, signal-averaged electrocardiography). This implementation of Bayesian sequential testing identifies an enriched subpopulation of higher risk individuals based on the initial round of testing. The predicted 10-year SCD risk is approximately 5.8% in the top decile of the NHANES study. These individuals have a notably higher pre-test probability of SCD than does the general population. The second round of more in-depth testing is then focused on this group and results in the identification of an even smaller group of very high risk individuals who can then be assessed for candidacy for interventions to reduce risk of SCD.

A paradigm shift toward a focus on screening in the general population may brighten our prospects for combating SCD. For a score to be feasibly applied on a population level as the first step in screening, it should be simple and use readily available data. Our score incorporates widely available metrics that are obtained at a routine primary care visit and can be automatically calculated in the electronic health record. Therefore, it may hold advantages over other scores that have recently been published.^{9,10} The inclusion of additional laboratory and electrocardiography parameters in other risk scores may limit their feasibility in screening the general population. Indeed, the United States Preventive Services Task Force recently noted insufficient evidence to recommend screening electrocardiography even among patients at intermediate or high risk of cardiovascular events.¹¹ Bayesian theory illustrates that the goal of the first step in a sequential screening strategy should not be to implement a complex or costly test with perfect test characteristics, but instead to employ a simple tool that identifies with reasonable accuracy those who should be assessed in more detail.

This study has several limitations. Our risk scores were validated only in black and white populations, and further assessment of their applicability to other races/ethnicities is needed. Additionally, SCD outcome data is not available in NHANES, and therefore we are not able to compare predicted versus observed risk in this study. The focus of the manuscript is on illustrating the distribution of predicted SCD risk in the general US population, a task for which NHANES is better suited than is any single cohort study, which tend to be more limited in their representativeness of the US population.

In a multiracial representative sample of the US population, a simple, inexpensive risk score for SCD identifies a quintile of the population at substantial predicted 10-year risk of SCD. These data further elucidate the distribution of predicted SCD risk across the diverse adult US population. This risk score can identify a subgroup of individuals in the US community who are at an appreciably increased risk of SCD, and in so doing provide an enriched pool of individuals who are candidates for additional screenings.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

None

Funding

Dr. Lloyd-Jones and this work are supported in part by grant R21 HL085375 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Dr. Patel is supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award number T32HL069771. Dr. Ahmad was supported in part by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award number T32HL069771.

References

- Hayashi M, Shimizu W, Albert CM. The spectrum of epidemiology underlying sudden cardiac death. *Circ Res* 2015;116:1887–1906. [PubMed: 26044246]
- Chugh SS, Reinier K, Teodorescu C, Evanado A, Kehr E, Al Samara M, Mariani R, Gunson K, Jui J. Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death: clinical and research implications. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2008;51:213–228. [PubMed: 19026856]
- Stecker EC, Vickers C, Waltz J, Socoteanu C, John BT, Mariani R, McAnulty JH, Gunson K, Jui J, Chugh SS. Population-based analysis of sudden cardiac death with and without left ventricular systolic dysfunction: two-year findings from the Oregon Sudden Unexpected Death Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:1161–1166. [PubMed: 16545646]
- Wong MK, Morrison LJ, Qiu F, Austin PC, Cheskes S, Dorian P, Scales DC, Tu JV, Verbeek PR, Wijeyesundera HC, Ko DT. Trends in short- and long-term survival among out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients alive at hospital arrival. *Circulation* 2014;130:1883–1890. [PubMed: 25399397]
- Tseng ZH, Olgin JE, Vittinghoff E, Ursell PC, Kim AS, Sporer K, Yeh C, Colburn B, Clark NM, Khan R, Hart AP, Moffatt E. Prospective Countywide Surveillance and Autopsy Characterization of Sudden Cardiac Death: POST SCD Study. *Circulation* 2018;137:2689–2700. [PubMed: 29915095]
- Bogle BM, Ning H, Goldberger JJ, Mehrotra S, Lloyd-Jones DM. A Simple Community-Based Risk-Prediction Score for Sudden Cardiac Death. *Am J Med* 2018;131:532–539 e535. [PubMed: 29273191]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Questionnaire (or Examination Protocol, or Laboratory Protocol). Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008.
- Dictionary of Epidemiology. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- Deo R, Norby FL, Katz R, Sotoodehnia N, Adabag S, DeFilippi CR, Kestenbaum B, Chen LY, Heckbert SR, Folsom AR, Kronmal RA, Konety S, Patton KK, Siscovick D, Shlipak MG, Alonso A. Development and Validation of a Sudden Cardiac Death Prediction Model for the General Population. *Circulation* 2016;134:806–816. [PubMed: 27542394]
- Waks JW, Sitlani CM, Soliman EZ, Kabir M, Ghafoori E, Biggs ML, Henrikson CA, Sotoodehnia N, Biering-Sorensen T, Agarwal SK, Siscovick DS, Post WS, Solomon SD, Buxton AE, Josephson ME, Tereshchenko LG. Global Electric Heterogeneity Risk Score for Prediction of Sudden

- Cardiac Death in the General Population: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) and Cardiovascular Health (CHS) Studies. *Circulation* 2016;133:2222–2234. [PubMed: 27081116]
11. Force USPST, Curry SJ, Krist AH, Owens DK, Barry MJ, Caughey AB, Davidson KW, Doubeni CA, Epling JW, Jr., Kemper AR, Kubik M, Landefeld CS, Mangione CM, Silverstein M, Simon MA, Tseng CW, Wong JB. Screening for Cardiovascular Disease Risk With Electrocardiography: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA* 2018;319:2308–2314. [PubMed: 29896632]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1. Predicted 10-year Risks of Sudden Cardiac Death in a Representative Sample of the US Population, Stratified by Race/Ethnicity and Sex.

The deciles of estimated risk among 4 different race/ethnicity and sex groups are noted on the x-axis and corresponding sudden cardiac death risk estimates are noted on the y-axis.

Figure 2. Sudden Cardiac Death Risk Status by Age, Sex, and Race.

Sex, race/ethnicity, and age-specific population estimates of risk strata distribution among CVD-free, nonpregnant US adults ages 30 to 70 years. Each panel displays the proportion of the specific populations at high risk (red bars) for sudden cardiac death.

Table 1:

Baseline Participant Characteristics for Overall Sample, and Stratified by Race/Ethnicity*

Variable	Overall (n=10811)	Non-Hispanic Whites (n=4706)	Non-Hispanic Blacks (n=2250)	Hispanic (n=3009)	Other (n=846)
Age (years)	47.6 (0.2)	48.5 (0.2)	46.6 (0.3)	43.9 (0.3)	45.9 (0.5)
Female Sex	50.3%	50.2%	54.0%	47.9%	51.4%
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	203.0 (0.6)	204.1 (0.7)	196.1 (0.9)	203.5 (0.9)	201.3 (2.2)
HDL (mg/dL)	53.3 (0.2)	53.7 (0.3)	56.2 (0.3)	49.6 (0.4)	52.1 (0.8)
Dyslipidemia [†]	67.0%	67.2%	59.0%	72.1%	66.2%
Lipid-lowering medication use	13.4%	14.4%	12.3%	9.1%	12.4%
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	120.4 (0.3)	120.3 (0.3)	124.9 (0.5)	119.1 (0.4)	118.4 (0.7)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	72.9 (0.2)	72.9 (0.3)	74.2 (0.4)	71.6 (0.4)	72.6 (0.5)
Hypertension [‡]	28.8%	27.9%	40.3%	19.3%	22.2%
Hypertension medication use	18.9%	19.4%	28.2%	11.2%	13.7%
Smoker	21.9%	22.2%	26.6%	17.0%	20.5%
Diabetes mellitus	8.5%	6.9%	12.8%	12.6%	10.7%
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.7(0.1)	28.5 (0.1)	30.3 (0.2)	29.5 (0.1)	26.6 (0.3)
Obesity [§]	35.0%	33.8%	46.1%	39.5%	21.8%

*All values given are mean (SE) unless otherwise specified

[†]Dyslipidemia defined as total cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dL or HDL <40 mg/dL for a man or <50 mg/dL for a woman or treatment for dyslipidemia[‡]Hypertension defined as SBP ≥ 140 mmHg or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg or treatment for hypertension[§]Obesity defined as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²

BMI = body mass index; HDL= high density lipoprotein

Table 2:

Baseline Characteristics for High vs. Lower Predicted Risk Participants *

Variable	Risk			p-value [†]
	Overall (n=10811)	High (top 2 deciles) (n=2162)	Low (bottom 8 deciles) (n=8649)	
Age (years)	47.6 (0.2)	58.7(0.2)	45.5 (0.2)	<.001
Female Sex	50.3%	23.6%	55.3%	<.001
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	203.0 (0.6)	210.9 (1.4)	201.5 (0.6)	<.001
HDL (mg/dL)	53.3 (0.2)	48.5 (0.4)	54.2 (0.3)	<.001
Dyslipidemia [‡]	67.0%	72.2%	66.0%	<.001
Lipid-lowering medication use	13.4%	38.2%	8.7%	<.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	120.4 (0.3)	133.4 (0.7)	118.0 (0.2)	<.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	72.9 (0.2)	73.3 (0.5)	72.8 (0.3)	0.30
Hypertension [§]	28.9%	65.8%	20.1%	<.001
Hypertension medication use	18.9%	49.1%	13.2%	<.001
Smoker	21.9%	29.1%	20.5%	<.001
Diabetes mellitus	8.5%	31.8%	4.1%	<.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.7(0.1)	32.4 (0.2)	28.0 (0.1)	<.001
Obesity	35.0%	60.2%	30.3%	<.001

*All values listed are mean(SE) unless otherwise specified

[†]Significance tests for comparisons by risk status based on two sample *t*-test for continuous subject characteristics and Pearson's chi square test for categorical subject characteristics[‡]Dyslipidemia defined as total cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dL or HDL <40 mg/dL for a man or <50 mg/dL for a woman or treatment for dyslipidemia[§]Hypertension defined as SBP ≥ 140 mmHg or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg or treatment for hypertension^{||}Obesity defined as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²

BMI = body mass index; HDL= high density lipoprotein

Table 3.

Relationship Between Socio-Demographics and Predicted Sudden Cardiac Death Risk Status in the US Population

Characteristic	n	Weighted, n	High Risk % (SE)	Low Risk % (SE)	p value *
Overall	10,811	119,596,283			
Age (years)					
30–40	3265	36,010,754	1.1(0.18)	98.9(0.18)	<.001
41–50	2963	36,412,947	6.1(0.50)	93.9(0.50)	
51–60	2556	30,489,703	24.3(1.10)	75.7(1.10)	
61–70	2027	16,682,879	52.9(1.41)	47.1(1.41)	
Race/ethnicity					
Non-Hispanic white	4706	84,457,346	16.4(0.67)	83.6(0.67)	<.001
Non-Hispanic black	2250	11,960,189	18.0(0.85)	82.0(0.85)	
Hispanic	3009	15,529,551	12.4(0.66)	87.6(0.66)	
Other	846	7,649,197	12.4(1.60)	87.6(1.60)	
Sex					
Female	5,369	60,183,213	7.4(0.36)	92.6(0.36)	<.001
Male	5,442	59,413,070	24.3(0.89)	75.7(0.89)	
Education					
Less than high school	12765	19150927	19.0(0.84)	81.0(0.84)	<.001
Completed high school	2382	26093270	18.5(1.14)	81.5(1.14)	
Some college	2992	35751232	16.9(0.95)	83.1(0.95)	
College Degree	2662	38515512	11.3(0.82)	88.7(0.82)	
Annual Household Income					<.001
<\$45,000	4847	39428059	18.8(0.74)	81.2(0.74)	
\$45,000	5187	73750926	14.1(0.71)	85.9(0.71)	

*Significance tests for the comparison of risk distributions across demographic categories are based on the Rao-Scott chi square test