Published in final edited form as:

New J Chem. 2019 February 21; 43(7): 2861–2864. doi:10.1039/C8NJ06145A.

Copper-Catalyzed, Ceric Ammonium Nitrate Mediated *N*-Arylation of Amines

Uma Maheshwar Gonela^a and Seth Y Ablordeppey^a

^a. Dr. Uma Maheshwar Gonela, and Dr. Seth Y Ablordeppey, Division of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, Florida A&M University, College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tallahassee, FL 32307, United States.

Abstract

Cu-Catalyzed, ligand and base free cross-coupling of aryl boronic acids with primary and secondary amines has been reported. This ‘Chan-Evans-Lam’ reaction has revealed that at room temperature, catalytic copper(II) acetate and ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) as an oxidant, *N*-arylation can result in an effective C-N bond formation. This air stable, practical, robust protocol enables a variety of functional group tolerance on both boronic acid and amine partners.

Graphical Abstract

The formation of C-N bonds has been one of the most important transformations in organic synthesis for several decades. Its widespread applications can be found in a variety of fields including the syntheses of pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, dyes and natural products.¹ Transition-metal catalysis has made this transformation more general and practical. In particular, Buchwald, Hartwig and others have made great contributions through the use of Pd and Cu catalyzed C-N bond formation reactions involving the coupling of electrophilic aryl halides and nucleophilic amines.²

In the late 1990's, Cu-mediated cross-coupling of arylboronic acids with amines and phenols was reported by Chan,³ Evans,⁴ and Lam⁵ independently and involved stoichiometric amounts of Cu(II) catalyst and an amine base. Collman et al. demonstrated a limited application of a catalytic version (CuOH.TMEDA) of this reaction in the synthesis of substituted indoles.⁶ Buchwald has reported the role of the ligand, meristic acid in Cu-catalyzed arylation of anilines, however, inferior results for aliphatic amines were observed.⁷

Recent reports have extended the scope of cross-coupling reactions to include potassium aryl trifluoroborate salts,⁸ boronic acid pinacol esters,⁹ arylboroxines¹⁰, diarylboronic acids¹¹ with amines, phenols¹², thioethers¹³ and phosphonate diesters as coupling partners.^{14,15} It is noteworthy that other transition metals such as Ni,^{16,17,18} Mn/Cu bimetallic conditions¹⁹ have exhibited efficacy within this protocol. Another report by Kobayashi et al. described a

visible light mediated Cu(II)-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reaction (myristic acid/*fac*-[Ir(ppy)₃]/2,6-lutidine) between arylboronic acids and anilines.²⁰ This report was limited to aryl amines and aryl boronic acids. Overall, these reports were usually associated with a ligand, a base, molecular sieves, elevated temperatures or poor yields.

Ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) with its low cost, low toxicity, air and moisture stable properties, has attracted widespread applications in organic synthesis.^{21,22} In particular, this greener oxidant is attractive because of its high reduction potential, Lewis acid nature and especially its role as a single-electron-transfer oxidant.²³ With these advantages, we have hypothesized CAN could be utilized as an oxidant in *N*-arylation of amines in the presence of a Cu-catalyst.²⁴

This study reports Cu(OAc)₂ catalyzed cross-coupling of arylboronic acids with primary/secondary amines in the absence of a base and a ligand. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report employing CAN mediated Cu-catalyzed cross-coupling of boronic acids with amines.

Our investigation was initiated with cross-coupling of phenylboronic acid (**1a**) with *p*-anisidine (**2a**) using a catalytic amount of Cu(OAc)₂ and CAN in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature (Table 1, entry 1). Gratifyingly, the desired product resulted in good yield. Subsequently, optimization of the catalyst was evaluated. Cu(I) gave low yield, whereas Cu(II) salts resulted in better yields with Cu(OAc)₂ being the most desirable (entries 2-8). As depicted in Table 1, in the absence of Cu-catalyst or CAN, low or no cross-coupling occurred (entries 8,9). Various solvents including CH₂Cl₂, CH₃CN, THF, toluene, toluene/H₂O were examined. Apparently, anhydrous nonpolar solvents such as CH₂Cl₂ and Toluene are preferred with toluene providing the highest yield of the desired product at room temperature (entries 10-14). It was also observed that extended reaction time resulted in homo-coupling of aryl boronic acid.

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we proceeded to explore the scope of substrate coupling reaction between substituted anilines with phenylboronic acid (Scheme 1). Electron donating and withdrawing substituted anilines were evaluated. Electron withdrawing substituted anilines were generally good-yielding (**4a-g**; 43-73%) however, the electron-rich anilines proved to be greater cross-coupling partners (**3a**, **4h-k**; 56-93%). Evaluation of the substituted anilines also revealed that *meta*-substituted electron withdrawing anilines gave the cross-coupled product in high yields (**4d-e**; 67-71%), *ortho*-substituted electron withdrawing groups produced lower yields (**4f-g**; 49-54%), and the *ortho*-substituted methoxy aniline gave an excellent yield (**4h**; 78%). Finally, the electron deficient heterocyclic amine afforded the desired product **4l**. Encouraged by the aforementioned results, various substituted aryl boronic acids were also examined (Scheme 2). Aromatic boronic acids proceeded well (**6a, b**). In fact, there was no clear difference between electron-rich or electron withdrawing substitution on aryl boronic acid and the corresponding *N*, *N*-diaryl amine products were obtained in good yields (**6c-h**). Further, electron deficient boronic acids were investigated, with halo-substituted boronic acids resulting in excellent yields (**6g** and **6h**).

This protocol was further extended to *N*-arylation of aliphatic amines (Scheme 3), a procedure we have often employed in our lab.²⁵ Gratifyingly, the aliphatic amines were also effective under the cross-coupling conditions (**8a-d**) employed. The coupling of benzylamine with substituted aryl boronic acids afforded the desired products in good yields. However, secondary amines **8b**, **8c**, and **8d** resulted in poor yields. Finally, we further evaluated the utility of the protocol by carrying out a gram scale reaction which resulted in the desired coupled product **3a** in 61% (table 1, entry 15).

To understand the possible reaction mechanism, control experiment was carried out using the free radical trap (Scheme 4). In the presence of an oxidant, boronic acids have been reported to form the aryl radicals through a single-electron transfer.²⁶ When the reaction has carried out under standard condition and in the presence of 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-piperidine-1-oxy (TEMPO), arylated amine product formation was observed. This observation appears to suggest that, this protocol is not proceeding through a free radical mechanism. Following previous reports by Lam and Watson et al.²⁷ we have opined a mechanism by which this protocol proceeds as shown in Scheme 5. The cycle could be initiated with coordination between Cu(II) with an amine. The second step could be transmetalation of **A** with boronic acid to form **B**. According to our hypothesis, CAN could oxidize the Cu(II) (**B**) to Cu(III) (**C**) with subsequent reductive elimination offering the desired *N*-aryl product and Cu(I). Oxidation of Cu(I) in the presence of atmospheric-O₂ would then complete the catalytic cycle.

In summary, we have developed a useful and practical Cu(II)/CAN mediated protocol for the *N*-arylation of aryl and aliphatic amines. Conventional Chan-Evans-Lam protocol requires stoichiometric amount of catalyst and base, whereas this simple and easy reaction setup at room temperature could produce desired products efficiently in the absence of any base, ligand and catalytic amounts of Cu(OAc)₂. This base free condition could also offer arylation of substrates with base-sensitive functional groups.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without the continuing financial support of the NIH/NIGMS SCORE grant number 2SC1GM116724, NIMHD RCMI grant number G12 RR03020 and a Title III Grant to Florida A&M University. The work was also supported in part by the Pharmaceutical Research Center NIH/NCRR grant number 1C06RR12512.

Notes and references

- (a)Yudin AK, Hartwig JF, Catalyzed Carbon-Heteroatom Bond Formation; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010;(b) Monnier F, Taillefer M Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2009, 48, 6954;(c) Hartwig JF, Nature 2008, 455, 314. [PubMed: 18800130] (d) Buchwald SL, Acc. Chem. Res 2008, 41, 1439; [PubMed: 19032082] (e) Surry DS, Buchwald SL, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2008, 47, 6338;(f)Evano G, Blanchard N, Toumi M, Chem. Rev 2008, 108, 3054; [PubMed: 18698737] (g) Beccalli EM, Broggini G, Martinelli M, Sottocornola S, Chem. Rev 2007, 107, 5318; [PubMed: 17973536] (h) Corbet J-P, Mignani G, Chem. Rev 2006, 106, 2651; [PubMed: 16836296] (i) Beletskaya IP, Pure Appl. Chem 2005, 77, 2021;(j) Beletskaya IP, Cheprakov AV, Coord. Chem. Rev 2004, 248, 2337;

- (k) Ley SV, Thomas AW, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2003, 42, 5400; (l) Hartwig JF, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 1998, 37, 2046; (m) Qiao J-X, Lam PYS, *Synthesis* 2011, 829.
2. (a) Louie J, Hartwig JF, *Tetrahedron Lett* 1995, 36, 3609; (b) Guram AS, Rennels RA, Buchwald SL, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl* 1995, 34, 1348; (c) Ma D, Zhang Y, Yao J, Wu S, Tao F, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1998, 120, 12459; (d) Goodbrand HB, Hu N-X, *J. Org. Chem* 1999, 64, 670; (e) Klapars A, Antilla JC, Huang X, Buchwald SL, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001, 123, 7727. [PubMed: 11481007]
3. (a) Chan DMT, Monaco KL, Wang R-P, Winters MP, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 2933; (b) Li JJ, *Name Reaction*, 4th ed.; Springer: Berlin, 2009; pp 101–104.
4. Lam PYS, Clark CG, Saubern S, Adams J, Winters MP, Chan DMT, Combs A, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 2941.
5. Evans DA, Katz JL, West TR, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 2937.
6. (a) Collman JP, Zhong M, *Org. Lett* 2000, 2, 1233; [PubMed: 10810715] (b) Antilla JC, Buchwald SL, *Org. Lett* 2001, 3, 2077; [PubMed: 11418053] (c) Lam PYS, Vincent G, Clark CG, Deudon S, Jadhav PK, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 3415; (d) Finet J-P, Fedorov AY, Combes S, Boyer G, *Curr. Org. Chem* 2002, 6, 597.
7. (a) Antilla JC, Buchwald SL, *Org. Lett* 2001, 3, 2077–2079; [PubMed: 11418053] (b) Sasaki M, Dalili S, Yudin AK, *J. Org. Chem* 2003, 68, 2045–2047. [PubMed: 12608835]
8. (a) Darses S, Genet J-P, *Chem. Rev* 2008, 108, 288–325; [PubMed: 18095714] (b) Quach TD, Batey RA *Org. Lett.* 2003, 5, 4397–4400; [PubMed: 14602009] (c) Jouvin K, Couty F, Evano G, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 3272–3275. [PubMed: 20565123]
9. (a) Vantourout JC, Law RP, Isidro-Llobet A, Atkinson SJ, Watson AJB, *J. Org. Chem* 2016, 81, 3942–3950; [PubMed: 27045570] (b) Sueki S, Kuninobu Y, *Org. Lett* 2013, 15, 1544–1547; [PubMed: 23521042] (c) McGarry KA, Duenas AA, Clark TB *J. Org. Chem* 2015, 80, 7193–7204. [PubMed: 26067569]
10. Xiao Q, Tian L, Tan R, Xia Y, Qiu D, Zhang Y, Wang J, *Org. Lett* 2012, 14, 4230–4233. [PubMed: 22870926]
11. Guan C, Feng Y, Zou G, Tang J, *Tetrahedron* 2017, 73, 6906–6913.
12. (a) Evans DA, Katz JL, West TR, *Tetrahedron Lett* 1998, 39, 2937; (b) Petrassi HM, Sharpless KB, Kelly JW, *Org. Lett* 2001, 3, 139; [PubMed: 11429858] (c) Quach TD, Batey RA, *Org. Lett* 2003, 5, 1381; [PubMed: 12688764] (d) McKinley NF, O'Shea DF, *J. Org. Chem* 2004, 69, 5087; [PubMed: 15255741] (e) Tzschucke CC, Murphy JM, Hartwig JF, *Org. Lett* 2007, 9, 761; [PubMed: 17274622] (f) Shade RE, Hyde AM, Olsen J-C, Merlic CA, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010, 132, 1202; [PubMed: 20067245] (g) Wacharasindhu S, Bardhan S, Wan Z-K, Tabei K, Mansour TS, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009, 131, 4174. [PubMed: 19265423]
13. (a) Jammi S, Sakthivel S, Rout L, Mukherjee T, Mandal S, Mitra R, Saha P, Punniyamurthy T, *J. Org. Chem* 2009, 74, 1971; [PubMed: 19173559] (b) Kantam ML, Neelima B, Sreedhar B, Chakravarti R, Synlett 2008, 1455; (c) Prokopcová H, Kappe CO, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 72, 4440; [PubMed: 17497803] (d) Lengar A, Kappe CO, *Org. Lett* 2004, 6, 771; [PubMed: 14986971] (e) Kar A, Sayyed IA, Lo WF, Kaiser HM, Beller M, Tse MK, *Org. Lett.* 2007, 9, 3405; [PubMed: 17655315] (f) Beaulieu C, Guay D, Wang Z, Evans DA, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, 45, 3233; (g) Huang F, Batey AR, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 7667.
14. Zhuang R, Xu J, Cai Z, Tang G, Fang M, Zhao Y, *Org. Lett.* 2011, 13, 2110–2113. [PubMed: 21417320]
15. (a) Some useful ref's: Reddy MD, Kobori H, Mori T, Wu J, Kawagishi H, Watkins EB, *J. Nat. Prod.* 2017, 80, 2561–2565; [PubMed: 28825818] (b) Reddy CR, Dilipkumar U, Reddy MD, *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 3792–3795; [PubMed: 25008279] (c) Uredi D, Motati DR, Watkins EB, *Org. Lett.* 2018, 20, 6336–6339; [PubMed: 30272985] (d) Naresh G, Kant R, Narender T, *Org. Lett.* 2014, 16, 4528–4531. [PubMed: 25115644]
16. Raghuvanshi DS, Gupta AK, Singh KN, *Org. Lett.* 2012, 14, 4326–4329. [PubMed: 22928959]
17. Kumar KA, Kannaboina P, Rao DN, Das P, *Org. & Biomol. Chem.* 2016, 14, 8989–8997. [PubMed: 27603555]
18. Keesara S, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, 56, 6685–6688.
19. Sawant SD, Srinivas M, Kumar KAA, Reddy GL, Singh PP, Singh B, Sharma AK, Sharma PR, Vishwakarma RA, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 5351–5354.

20. Yoo WJ, Tsukamoto T, Kobayashi S, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 6587–6590.
21. (a) Molander GA, Chem. Rev 1992, 92, 29; (b) Imamoto T, Lanthanide Reagents in Organic Synthesis; Academic: London, 1994; p 119; (c) Nair V, Mathew J, Prabhakaran J, Chem. Soc. Rev 1997, 26, 127; (d) Nair V, Balagopal L, Rajan R, Mathew J, Acc. Chem. Res 2004, 37, 21. [PubMed: 14730991]
22. (a) For selected examples, see: Hwu JR, Chen KL, Ananthan S, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun 1994, 0, 1425; (b) Reddy MVR, Mehrotra B; Vankar YD, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4861; (c) Hwu JR, Chen KL, Ananthan S, Patel HV, Organometallics 1996, 15, 499; (d) Jayakanthan K, Madhusudanan KP, Vankar YD, Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 397; (e) Dingtürk SD, Ridd JH, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 2 1982, 965; (f) Itoh K.-i., Horiuchi CA, Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 1671.
23. (a) For selected reviews, see: Nair V, Deepthi A, Chem. Rev 2007, 107, 1862; [PubMed: 17432919] (b) Sridharan V, Menéndez JC, Chem. Rev 2010, 110, 3805; [PubMed: 20359233] (c) Prajapati NP, Vekariya RH, Patel HD, Synth. Commun 2015, 45, 2399.
24. Svejstrup TD, Ruffoni A, Julia F, Aubert VM, Leonori D, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 14948–14952.
25. (a) Ablordeppey SY, Altundas R, Bricker B, Zhu XY, Kumar EVKS, Jackson T, Khan A, Roth BL, Bioorg. Med. Chem 2008, 16, 7291; [PubMed: 18595716] (b) Peprah K, Zhu XY, Eyunni SVK, Etukala JR, Setola V, Roth BL, Ablordeppey SY, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2012, 20, 1671. [PubMed: 22336245]
26. (a) Demir AS, Reis O, Emrullahoglu M, J. Org. Chem, 2003, 68, 578; [PubMed: 12530885] (b) Tobisu M, Koh K, Furukawa T, Chatani N, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2012, 51, 11363; (c) Riant O, Samuel O, Flessner T, Taudien S, Kagan HB, J. Org. Chem, 1997, 62, 6733; (d) Dickschat A, Studer A, Org. Lett, 2010, 12, 3972; [PubMed: 20718478] (e) Seiple IB, Su S, Rodriguez RA, Gianatassio R, Fujiwara Y, Sobel AL, Baran PS, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2010, 132, 13194; [PubMed: 20812741] (f) Fujiwara Y, Domingo V, Seiple IB, Gianatassio R, Bel MD, Baran PS, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 2011, 133, 3292; [PubMed: 21341741] (g) Lockner JW, Dixon DD, Risgaard R, Baran PS, Org. Lett, 2011, 13, 5628; [PubMed: 21923108] (h) Uchiyama N, Shirakawa E, Nishikawa R, Hayashi T, Chem. Commun, 2011, 47, 11671; (i) Wang J, Wang S, Wang G, Zhang J, Yu X-Q, Chem. Commun, 2012, 48, 11769.
27. (a) Lam PYS, Bonne D, Vicent G, Clark CG, Combs AP, Tetrahedron Lett 2003, 44, 1691–1694; (b) King AE, Brunold TC, Stahl SS, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009, 131, 5044–5045. [PubMed: 19309072]

Previous work (a) Buchwald-Hartwig: Cat. Pd(II)/phosphine/Base, X = Halides
(b) Chan-Evans-Lam: Stoichiometric Cu(II)/Base,
X = Boronic acids

This work Cu(OAc)₂ (10 mol %), CAN (1.5 equiv)/PhMe, r.t); X = Boronic acids

Figure 1.
Outline of Preparation of *N*-Aryl amines

Scheme 1: Scope of Substituted Anilines^a

^aReaction conditions: ArB(OH)₂ (**1**, 1.2 mmol), ArNH₂ (**2**, 1 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂ (0.1 mmol), CAN (1.5 mmol), toluene (5 mL), r.t., for 12 h.

Scheme 2: Scope of Substituted Boronic acids^a

^aReaction conditions: ArB(OH)_2 (1, 1.2 mmol), ArNH_2 (5, 1 mmol), $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ (0.1 mmol), CAN (1.5 mmol), toluene (5 mL), and r.t., for 12 h.

Scheme 3: Scope of Primary and Secondary Amines^a

^aReaction conditions: $\text{ArB}(\text{OH})_2$ (**1**, 1.2 mmol), ArNH_2 (**7**, 1 mmol), $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ (0.1 mmol), CAN (1.5 mmol), toluene (5 mL), and r.t., for 12 h.

Scheme 4.
Controll Experiment with TEMPO

Scheme 5.
Proposed Reaction Mechanism

Table 1:

Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

1a	2a		3a	
entry	catalyst	additive	solvent	yield ^b
1a	2a		3a	
1	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	77
2	CuI	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	nd
3	CuCl ₂	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	45
4	CuBr ₂	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	nd
5	Cu ₂ O	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	nr
6	CuSO ₄	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	nd
7	Cu(acac) ₂	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	trace
8	Cu(OAc) ₂	-	CH ₂ Cl ₂	7
9	-	CAN	CH ₂ Cl ₂	nr
10	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	CH ₃ CN	nd
11	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	PhMe	93
12	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	PhMe/H ₂ O	nd
13	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	THF	nd
14	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	PhMe/50 °C	nr
15	Cu(OAc) ₂	CAN	PhMe	61 ^c

^aReaction conditions: ArB(OH)₂ (**1**, 1.2 mmol), ArNH₂ (**2**, 1 mmol), catalyst (0.1 mmol), additive (1.5 mmol), solvent (5.0 mL), 12 h.^bIsolated yield.^c1 Gram scale. nr = No reaction, starting materials recovered. nd = No desired product.