Published in final edited form as:

Drug Metab Rev. 2018 February ; 50(1): 65–73. doi:10.1080/03602532.2018.1428343.

Pro-psychotic effects of synthetic cannabinoids: interactions with central dopamine, serotonin and glutamate systems

William E. Fantegrossi, Cathryn D. Wilson, and Michael D. Berquist III

University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Little Rock, AR 72205

Abstract

An association between marijuana use and schizophrenia has been noted for decades, and the recent emergence of high-efficacy synthetic cannabinoids (SCBs) as drugs of abuse has lead to a growing number of clinical reports of persistent psychotic effects in users of these substances. The mechanisms underlying SCB-elicited pro-psychotic effects is unknown, but given the ubiquitous neuromodulatory functions of the endocannabinoid system, it seems likely that agonist actions at cannabinoid type-1 receptors (CB1Rs) might modulate the functions of other neurotransmitter systems known to be involved in schizophrenia. The present review surveys what is currently known about the interactions of CB1Rs with dopamine, serotonin and glutamate systems, because all three of those neurotransmitters are well-established in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and psychosis. Identification of molecular mechanisms underlying the pro-psychotic effects of SCB drugs of abuse may establish certain classes of these substances as particularly dangerous, guiding regulations to control availability of these drugs. Likewise, an understanding of the pharmacological interactions which lead to schizophrenia and psychosis subsequent to SCB exposure might guide the development of novel therapies to treat afflicted users.

Introduction

The continuing emergence of novel “marijuana substitute” smoking blends such as *K2* and *Spice* frustrates regulatory efforts to curtail availability of the dangerous synthetic cannabinoids (SCBs) present in these products. These SCBs are often much more potent and efficacious at cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors (CB1R/CB2R) than the main psychoactive constituent of marijuana, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC), suggesting a capacity to induce more intense *in vivo* effects than cannabis. Epidemiological studies suggest that cannabis use, particularly in adolescence, increases risk for psychotic episodes later in life (D’Souza et al., 2009; Evins et al., 2012) and preclinical studies have also demonstrated pro-psychotic effects of Δ^9 -THC in rodents treated during the adolescent period (Rubino and Parolaro, 2013). Fergusson and colleagues (2006) reviewed six prospective studies of cannabis users and found that all of them demonstrated increased risks

Corresponding Author: William E. Fantegrossi, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 4301 West Markham Street, Slot 638, Little Rock, AR 72205, 501-686-8645, WEFantegrossi@uams.edu.

Declaration of interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

of psychosis or psychotic symptoms with odds ratios ranging from 1.77 to 10.9. Alarming reports of acute and lasting psychosis elicited by use of SCBs are rapidly accumulating in the clinical literature (Glue et al., 2013; Spaderna et al., 2013; Durand et al., 2013; Oluwabusi et al., 2013; Hermanns-Clausen et al., 2013; Peglow et al., 2012; Tung et al., 2012; Brakoulias, 2012; Hurst et al., 2011; Benford and Caplan, 2011; Every-Palmer, 2011; Every-Palmer, 2010; Müller et al., 2010; Monte et al., 2017; Vallersnes et al., 2016; Roberto et al., 2016), but the mechanism of psychosis remains poorly understood. Thus, in addition to other toxicities (Seely et al., 2012; Tai and Fantegrossi, 2017), SCB use may also elicit clinically relevant and persistent adverse mental health consequences. As growing numbers of young Americans are exposed to emerging SCB drugs of abuse, it is critical to understand the mechanisms by which SCBs induce a lasting vulnerability to psychosis and begin to define the molecular changes that underlie this effect so that efficacious therapies can be developed. Specifically, this review will focus on the role of CB1 receptors in the regulation of brain dopamine, serotonin and glutamate systems, because these neurotransmitters are all associated with schizophrenia and psychosis (Sawa and Snyder, 2003) and because their release is regulated by CB1 receptors (Howlett et al., 2004). Any or all of these neurotransmitters could therefore mediate the pro-psychotic effects of SCBs. The goal of this review is to address the current lack of knowledge regarding the persistent pro-psychotic effects of SCBs, and to highlight the unique public health challenges posed by these novel compounds. The data here reviewed will demonstrate that SCBs are not simply alternate forms of marijuana, but are dangerous drugs of abuse with persistent adverse neuropsychiatric sequelae arising from their use.

CB1 and CB2 Receptors

In the brain, there are two well-characterized cannabinoid receptor subtypes: cannabinoid type-1 receptors (CB1R; found on presynaptic neurons and densely expressed in the prefrontal cortex and in the hippocampus (Eggen and Lewis, 2007; Tsou et al., 1999)) and cannabinoid type-2 receptors (CB2R; highly-expressed in the peripheral tissues of the immune system, nervous system, and gastrointestinal system (Munro et al., 1993; Navarrete et al., 2013; Wright et al., 2005)). Although CB2R are also found in brain regions such as the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and hypothalamus (reviewed in Demuth and Molleman, 2006; den Boon et al., 2012; Kim and Li, 2015; Onaivi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2016), they are not thought to be involved in psychoactive effects of cannabis or SCBs (reviewed in Pertwee, 2006; Reggio, 2010; Caballero and Tseng, 2012). Therefore, this review will focus primarily on the role of CB1R in pro-psychotic effects of marijuana and SCBs.

Dopamine

Pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia (and arguably the entire field of psychopharmacology itself) began with the discovery that chlorpromazine was an efficacious therapeutic against mania and psychosis. The clinical efficacy of chlorpromazine was a serendipitous discovery, but its mechanism of action was eventually defined as antagonism of dopamine receptors (Carlsson and Lindquist, 1963), allowing for the rational design of antipsychotics and cementing dopamine hyperfunction as the most plausible pathophysiology underlying schizophrenia and psychosis. As the study of dopamine receptors matured, both D1-like and D2-like dopamine receptors have come to be associated

with primary psychotic symptoms (D2-like receptors) and cognitive dysfunctions (D1-like receptors) which accompany schizophrenia. Although other neurotransmitter systems are certainly implicated in the etiology of schizophrenia and psychosis (see below), dopamine systems remain the primary targets of antipsychotic medications, and dysfunction of central dopamine circuits remains the predominant hypothesized mechanism underlying the illness.

First, second, and third generation synthetic cannabinoids (SCBS) have relatively higher binding affinity (*i.e.*, nanomolar inhibition constant [*K_i*] values) for cannabinoid CB1R and CB2R compared to the prototypical cannabinoid, ⁹-THC. Once bound to the cannabinoid receptors, many SCBs function as full agonists that potently activate G-proteins and/or inhibit adenylyl cyclase activity leading to numerous changes in intracellular activities (for review of cannabinoid receptor physiology, Demuth & Molleman, 2006; for SCBs, Ford *et al.*, 2017). Although it is oftentimes convenient to limit the scope of experiments with SCBs to the endocannabinoid system and the intracellular signaling cascades initiated therein, it is well established that cannabinoid receptors affect functioning in other neurotransmitter systems such as the dopaminergic system (reviewed in El Khoury *et al.*, 2012). Indeed, SCBs produce downstream effects on dopaminergic functioning that are not predictable solely by their pharmacological actions at cannabinoid receptors. For example, SCBs are typically characterized as locomotor depressants in rodents (*e.g.*, Tai *et al.* 2015; Gatch & Forster 2014; 2016) and hypolocomotion serves as a primary endpoint in the cannabinomimetic-screening “tetrad” (Compton *et al.*, 1992). However, locomotor *stimulant* effects of SCBs occur within a relatively narrow dose range compared to prototypical psychostimulants. Ossato *et al.* (2017) reported that intraperitoneal injections of 0.3 mg/kg JWH-018 or 1 mg/kg AKB48 produced time-dependent increases in horizontal distance traveled in male CD-1 mice similar to, but, to a lesser extent than, 20 mg/kg cocaine or 10 mg/kg amphetamine. Moreover, these locomotor stimulant effects were attenuated by pretreatment with 1 mg/kg of the CB1R antagonist AM-251, or a combination of 0.1 mg/kg of the dopamine (DA) D₁-like receptor antagonist SCH23390 and 0.05 mg/kg of the DA D₂-like receptor antagonist haloperidol. However, unlike cocaine and amphetamine, JWH-018 and AKB48 display poor binding affinity (*i.e.*, >1000 nM *K_i* values) at the human dopamine transporter (DAT) expressed in CHO membranes (Ossato *et al.*, 2017). Whereas the psychostimulants cocaine and amphetamine increase extracellular concentrations of DA via direct actions at DAT and intracellular vesicles (with respect to the amphetamines), stimulation of CB receptors with nonselective and selective agonists does not appear to modulate DAT function, effect [³H]-DA uptake in mouse striatal synaptosomes (Ossato *et al.*, 2017), or stimulate release or block reuptake of [³H]-DA in rat striatal slices (Köfalvi *et al.*, 2005). Thus, the capacity of SCBs and psychostimulants to produce increases in locomotor activity appears to involve stimulation of DA receptors, but, as discussed below, the pharmacological mechanisms that mediate these effects differ between these drug classes.

Despite a lack of effect at DAT, SCBs produce increases in DA levels and firing activity of DA-expressing neurons. For example, the SCBs JWH-018, AKB48, and 5F-AKB48 stimulate dopamine release in nucleus accumbens of freely-moving mice (Canazza *et al.*, 2016; Ossato *et al.*, 2017), ⁹-THC and WIN55212-2 increase extracellular concentrations of DA in the shell of the nucleus accumbens in Sprague-Dawley rats (Tanda *et al.*, 1997),

and intravenous injections of ^{9} -THC, WIN55212–2, and CP55940 increase the firing rate and bursting activity of A10 DA neurons in anesthetized and unanesthetized Sprague-Dawley rats (Gessaa *et al.*, 1998). However, instead of locally-mediated actions at DA targets (*e.g.*, DAT, DA receptors), previous research indicates that CB receptor stimulation may modulate the firing rate of DA-expressing neurons via inhibition of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission (see below), and/or endocannabinoids may directly stimulate TRPV1 receptors that are directly expressed on DA or glutamatergic neurons (reviewed in El Khoury *et al.*, 2015). For example, the nonselective CB receptor agonist WIN55212–2 and the selective CB1R agonist ACEA inhibits the release of electrically-evoked [^{3} H]-GABA in rat striatal slices, and the inhibitory effects of WIN55212–2 are blocked via coadministration of CB1R antagonists SR141716A and AM251, indicating a CB1R-dependent effect (Köfalvi *et al.*, 2005). In a later study, Morera-Herreras *et al.* (2008) reported that 0.25–2 mg/kg (iv) ^{9} -THC dose-dependently increased the firing rate of neurons in the *substantia nigra pars compacta* (SNpc), a region rich with DA-expressing neurons, of anesthetized Sprague-Dawley rats. In addition, pretreatment with the CB1R antagonist/inverse agonist 0.5 mg/kg rimonabant (iv), pretreatment with the glutamate receptor antagonist kynurenic acid (0.5 μ M, icv), or chemical lesion with ibotenic acid blocked ^{9} -THC's excitatory effect on the neurons in the SNpc (Morera-Herreras *et al.*, 2008). Thus, SCBs likely produce significant effects on dopaminergic functioning that results from the complex, multisynaptic interaction between endocannabinoid and other neurotransmitter systems. To add to this complexity, cannabinoid receptors have the capacity to dimerize with receptors from other protein families indicating the possibility of more direct effects of DA neurotransmission. For example, Kearn *et al.* (2005) observed that CB1R can form a heterooligomeric receptor complex (*i.e.*, dimerize) with dopamine D₂ receptors in HEK 293 cells. Interestingly, stimulation of either receptor *individually* results in activation of G $\alpha_{i/o}$ proteins, leading to inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and lower levels of cyclic AMP within the cell. However, co-stimulation of the CB1-D₂ receptors in the dimer results in preferential activation of G α_s proteins, resulting in an increase in cAMP (Kearn *et al.*, 2005). Thus, dimerizations of cannabinoid receptors with DA receptors produces neurochemical consequences that are distinct from the component receptors functioning alone. A better understanding of these interactions may profoundly modify predictions of *in vivo* pharmacological effects of SCBs based on *in vitro* or *ex vivo* experiments.

Although a full discussion of the complex interactions between CBs and DA receptors is beyond the scope of this review, it is clear from the foregoing discussion of selected examples from preclinical research, the popularity of the subject among literature reviews, and published case reports that SCBs are implicated in human activities, conditions, and bodily functions in which DA functioning is involved. Of particular relevance to the present section, the capacity of cannabinoid agonists to elicit increases in DA concentrations (*e.g.*, Tanda *et al.*, 1997; Canazza *et al.*, 2016; Ossata *et al.*, 2017), desynchronize the functioning of GABAergic interneurons in the hippocampus (*e.g.*, Hoffman & Lupica, 2000) to produce perturbations in learning and sensory processing, and the close interactions shared between the endocannabinoid system and pathways involved in stress and reward (reviewed in Volkow *et al.*, 2017) warrants rigorous investigation of the well-documented association between SCB consumption and psychosis (reviewed in van Amsterdam *et al.*, 2015).

Serotonin

Serotonin (5-HT) was isolated and identified in the late 1940s (Rapport et al., 1948; Rapport, 1949), and shortly thereafter it was proposed that it may play a vital role in cognition and the etiology of schizophrenia (Woolley and Shaw, 1954). Further cementing the relationship between 5-HT and schizophrenia is the fact that many efficacious antipsychotic agents including reserpine (Braun, 1960; Gore et al., 1957), clozapine, risperidone, and olanzapine all exhibit serotonergic mechanisms of action (Meltzer et al., 1989, Meltzer, 1991, 1999; Seeman, 2002). Specifically, atypical antipsychotics which block 5-HT_{2A} receptors with some selectivity over DA receptors exhibit lower incidence of extrapyramidal effects than do typical dopaminergic antipsychotics (Meltzer, 1999; Roth and Meltzer, 2000; Abi-Dargham and Krystal, 2000), which suggests that alterations of 5-HT_{2A} function may be involved in schizophrenia and psychosis. We will therefore focus this discussion on the regulation of 5-HT_{2A} by cannabinoid signaling.

5-HT_{2A} Receptors

The serotonin 2A receptor (5-HT_{2A}R) is found throughout the CNS, and is a G_{q/11}-coupled receptor (Raote et al., 2007; reviewed in Carhart-Harris and Nutt, 2017). It is mainly expressed in the pyramidal neurons of the prefrontal cortex (Jones et al., 2009). In the CNS, 5-HT_{2A} receptors may signal through numerous pathways. Under normal circumstances, 5-HT_{2A}Rs are involved in the regulation of many different functions, especially mood and impulse control (Higley and Linnoila, 1997; reviewed in Celada et al., 2004; Tsuang et al., 2013). Thus, alterations in 5-HT_{2A}R expression and function have been implicated in mental disorders (including schizophrenia) and drug addiction (reviewed in Meltzer et al., 2003; reviewed in Bubar and Cunningham, 2006). Importantly, abused 5-HT_{2A}R agonists, such as psilocybin or 2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodoamphetamine (DOI), cause users to experience altered perception and hallucinations, similar to the psychotic experienced by individuals with schizophrenia (reviewed in Nichols, 2004).

Recently, an indirect involvement modulation of 5-HT_{2A}R expression by SCBs has been proposed as a mechanism for causing psychosis. Interestingly, 5-HT_{2A}R upregulation by SCBs may be exclusively mediated by CB1R. For example, SCBs have been shown to increase the interaction of 5-HT_{2A}Rs and dopamine receptors in the prefrontal cortex; a finding which is also consistent with molecular interactions associated with schizophrenia (Franklin and Carrasco, 2012; reviewed in de Almeida et al., 2008). According to Franklin and Carrasco, activation of the ERK1/2 signaling pathway by selective CB2 receptor agonists seems to suggest a specific upregulation of 5-HT_{2A}Rs *in vitro* (2013). Thus, if certain antipsychotics like clozapine and risperidone function as 5-HT_{2A}R antagonists, while other psychotherapeutics like fluoxetine can be useful in psychosis via downregulation of 5-HT_{2A}Rs, then it may be the case that SCBs elicit pro-psychotic effects via upregulation of the 5-HT_{2A}Rs in a brain region-specific manner (Marek et al., 2005; reviewed in Gray and Roth, 2001; reviewed in Rogoz, 2013; Franklin et al., 2013). However, a recent study found that chronic exposure to JWH-018 prevents alterations in 5-HT_{2A}R expression (Elmore and Baumann, 2017), perhaps suggesting that the interaction between SCBs and brain serotonin systems may depend critically on dose, frequency of administration, or other procedural factors.

A useful behavioral assay sensitive to 5-HT_{2A}R agonists is the head-twitch response (HTR) (reviewed in Gonzalez-Maeso and Selfon, 2009; Martin et al., 1963). Synthetic cannabinoids can inhibit DOI-induced head twitches. For example, Darmani (2001) reported that pretreatment with structurally distinct classical synthetic cannabinoids HU-210, CP 55,940, or WIN 55,212-2 dose-dependently attenuated DOI-induced head twitches in mice. At the time of the writing of this review, synthetic cannabinoids have not been reported to elicit head twitches, however, there is evidence presented in the literature that demonstrates head twitch behavior can be induced by the CB1R antagonist/inverse agonist, rimonabant (Darmani and Pandya, 2000). Rimonabant can induce head twitching behavior in drug-naïve rodents, which can be attenuated by the selective 5-HT_{2A}R antagonist, SR46349B (Darmani and Pandya, 2000; Darmani, 2001; Janoyan et al., 2002). Additionally, DOI-induced head twitch behavior is inhibited when indirect-acting cannabinoid agonists, such as AM404 and URB597 are administered (Egashira et al. 2011). Taken together, these *in vivo* data imply that 5-HT_{2A}R agonists and antagonists produce the opposite psychosis-like effects observed in HTR compared to the cannabinoid receptor agonists and antagonists. The interaction between CB1R signaling and 5-HT_{2A}R expressions and function is an active area of research which may help to further identify the mechanisms of cannabinoid-induced psychosis in the future.

Glutamate

Glutamate (Glu) is the major excitatory neurotransmitter, and it binds to both ionotropic and metabotropic receptors. Metabotropic Glu receptors (mGluR) have at least eight subtypes classified into three groups based on sequence homology, signal transduction, and pharmacology. Maksymetz and colleagues (2017) have recently reviewed current strategies to target mGluR to treat schizophrenia, but there is scant data on interactions between mGluRs and CB receptors, so further discussion of this is largely outside the scope of this review. However, there are three currently recognized ionotropic Glu receptors (α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionic acid (AMPA), kainate, and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors), and the NMDA receptors are most closely associated with neurological disorders, including schizophrenia and psychosis (Mechri et al., 2001; Maeng and Zarate, 2007). Importantly, administration of the noncompetitive NMDA antagonist phencyclidine (PCP) to healthy human subjects reliably induces psychosis-like effects (Davies and Beech 1960; Cohen et al. 1962), as does the structurally-related arylcyclohexylamine ketamine (Krystal et al. 1994; Malhotra et al. 1996). Accordingly, the remainder of this section will focus on interactions between CB1R and NMDA receptors.

Activation of NMDA receptors can elicit endocannabinoid released from postsynaptic neurons (Hashimoto et al., 2007). Subsequently, endocannabinoids can decrease activity of NMDA receptors (Sánchez-Blázquez et al., 2014), perhaps analogous to the effects of PCP and ketamine. Indeed, alterations in NMDA receptor function induced by CB1R agonist actions has been demonstrated in mice (Derkinderen et al., 2003; Marsicano et al., 2003), in hippocampal slices (Khaspekov et al., 2004), and in cultured neurons (Kim et al., 2006). Multiple mechanisms may allow cannabinoids to modulate NMDA receptor activity, including CB1R-mediated attenuation of Glu release (Brown et al., 2003; Melis et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010) and events downstream from CB1R signaling which may attenuate NMDA

receptor signaling (Liu et al., 2009; Hampson et al., 2011). Thus, the high intrinsic efficacy of SCBs may allow for more dramatic regulation of NMDA receptor function than that of lower efficacy CB1R agonists like anandamide or Δ^9 -THC.

At this time, it remains unclear whether or not SCBs interact directly with NMDA receptors, but given the dramatic structural diversity among the SCBs it seems unlikely that any general rule will emerge in this regard. There is perhaps some precedence for SCBs with relevant affinity for NMDA receptors, as the classical SCB HU-210 has a (+)-enantiomer referred to as HU-211 (or sometimes referred to as dexanabinol, dexanabinone, or sinnabidol) which exhibits affinity for NMDA receptors, but is also reported to be non-psychoactive (Feigenbaum et al., 1989). However, the first-generation aminoalkylindole SCB JWH-018 elicits interoceptive effects in nonhuman primates which are not occasioned by administration of ketamine up to doses which disrupted operant responding (Rodriguez and McMahon, 2014), likely suggesting that JWH-018 does not directly interact with NMDA receptors. Whether later-generation SCBs with different chemical structures are more similar to HU-211 or to JWH-018 in terms of NMDA affinity will likely have to be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, but it may be predicted that SCBs displaying significant antagonist affinity at the NMDA site would be more likely to induce pro-psychotic effects in human users.

Conclusions

The landscape of abused SCBs is constantly changing, with new compounds appearing as older drugs are regulated and controlled. Although the chemical scaffolds upon which these drugs are based has also changed over the years, there remains a relatively constant pharmacological truism – that the SCBs almost always exhibit higher efficacy at CB1Rs than Δ^9 -THC. Because agonist actions at CB1Rs can regulate the function of DA, 5-HT and Glu systems implicated in schizophrenia and psychosis, higher efficacy CB1R agonists might alter the function of these three neurotransmitter systems to a greater degree than Δ^9 -THC, although the role of intrinsic CB1R efficacy in this regard has never been parametrically studied. Furthermore, it may be the case that some SCBs might exhibit pharmacologically-relevant affinity for psychosis-associated receptors, including D2, 5-HT_{2A} or NMDA. Given the biotransformation of many SCBs to active metabolites (e.g., Brents et al., 2011), even if the parent drugs themselves do not interact with these receptors, it may be the case that a generated metabolite would do so. Although many studies have demonstrated the risk potential associated with excessive and prolonged SCB consumption, it will be important for policy makers and the general public to understand the major pharmacological and neurobiological consequences of consuming these compounds despite the current national emphasis on legalizing cannabis for medical and perhaps recreational use. Defining the behavioral and cellular mechanisms of SCB-induced pro-psychotic effects will provide critical scientific knowledge to further establish these drugs as public health risks, guide the development of new drug therapies, and perhaps identify novel effective therapies to treat acute SCB-induced psychosis.

References

- Brents LK, Reichard EE, Zimmerman SM, Moran JH, Fantegrossi WE and Prather PL (2011) Phase I hydroxylated metabolites of the K2 synthetic cannabinoid JWH-018 retain in vitro and in vivo cannabinoid 1 receptor affinity and activity. *PLoS One* 6(7):e21917. [PubMed: 21755008]
- Canazza I, Ossato A, Trapella C, Fantinati A, De Luca MA, Margiani G, et al. (2016) Effect of the novel synthetic cannabinoids AKB48 and 5F-AKB48 on “tetrad”, sensorimotor, neurological and neurochemical responses in mice. In vitro and in vivo pharmacological studies. *Psychopharmacology* 233:3685–3709. [PubMed: 27527584]
- Compton DR, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, Martin BR (1992) Pharmacological profile of a series of bicyclic cannabinoid analogs: Classification as cannabimimetic analogs. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 260:201–209. [PubMed: 1309872]
- Demuth DG, Molleman A (2006) Cannabinoid signaling. *Life Sciences* 78:549–563. [PubMed: 16109430]
- Ford BM, Tai S, Fantegrossi WE, Prather PL (2017) Synthetic pot: Not your grandfather’s marijuana. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* 38:257–276. [PubMed: 28162792]
- Gatch MB, Forster MJ (2014) ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol-like effects of novel synthetic cannabinoids found on the Gray Market. *Behavioural Pharmacology* 26:460–468.
- Gatch MB, Forster MJ (2016) ⁹-Tetrahydrocannabinol-like effects of novel synthetic cannabinoids in mice and rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 233:1901–1910. [PubMed: 26875756]
- Hoffman AF, Lupica CR (2000) Mechanisms of cannabinoid inhibition of GABA_A synaptic transmission in the hippocampus. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 20:2470–2479. [PubMed: 10729327]
- Kearn CS, Blake-Palmer K, Daniel E, Mackie K, Glass M (2005) Concurrent stimulation of Cannabinoid CB1 and dopamine D2 receptors enhances heterodimer formation: A mechanism for receptor cross-talk? *Molecular Pharmacology* 67:1697–1704. [PubMed: 15710746]
- Kölfavi A, Rodrigues RJ, Ledent C, Mackie K, Vizi ES, Cunha RA, Sperlág B (2005) Involvement of cannabinoid receptors in the regulation of neurotransmitter release in the rodent striatum: A combined immunochemical and pharmacological analysis. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 25:2874–2884. [PubMed: 15772347]
- El Khoury MA, Gorgievski V, Moutsimilli L, Giros B, Tzavara ET (2012) Interactions between the cannabinoid and dopaminergic systems: Evidence from animal studies. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* 38:36–50. [PubMed: 22300746]
- Morera-Herreras T, Ruiz-Ortega JA, Gómez-Urquijo S, Ugedo L (2008) Involvement of subthalamic nucleus in the stimulatory effect of ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol on dopaminergic neurons. *Neuroscience* 151:817–823. [PubMed: 18164823]
- Murray RM, Quigley H, Quattrone D, Englund A, Di Forti M (2016) Traditional marijuana, high-potency cannabis and synthetic cannabinoids: Increasing risk for psychosis. *World Psychiatry* 15:195–204. [PubMed: 27717258]
- Ossato A, Uccelli L, Bilel S, Canazza I, Di Domenico G, Pasquali M, et al. (2017) Psychostimulant effect of the synthetic cannabinoid JWH-018 and AKB48: Behavioral, neurochemical, and dopamine transporter scan imaging studies in mice. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 8:1–13. [PubMed: 28167920]
- Parsons LH, Hurd YL (2015) Endocannabinoid signaling in reward and addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16:579–594 [PubMed: 26373473]
- Tai S, Hyatt WS, Gu C, Franks LN, Vasiljevik T, Brents LK, Prather PL, Fantegrossi WE (2015) Repeated administration of phytocannabinoid ⁹-THC or synthetic cannabinoids JWH-018 and JWH-073 induces tolerance to hypothermia but not locomotor suppression in mice, and reduces CB1 receptor expression and function in a brain region-specific manner. *Pharmacol Res* 102:22–32. [PubMed: 26361728]
- Tanda G, Pontieri FE, Di Chiara G (1997) Cannabinoid and heroin activation of mesolimbic dopamine transmission by a common MU1 opioid receptor mechanism. *Science* 276:2048–2050. [PubMed: 9197269]

- Volkow ND, Hampson AJ, Baler RD (2017) Don't worry, be happy: Endocannabinoids and cannabis at the intersection of stress and reward. *Annual Review in Pharmacology and Toxicology*. 57:285–308.
- National Institutes of Health (US); Biological Sciences Curriculum Study. NIH Curriculum Supplement Series [Internet] Bethesda (MD): National Institutes of Health (US); 2007 Information about Mental Illness and the Brain. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK20369/>
- Ham S, Kim TK, Chung S, & Im H-I (2017). Drug Abuse and Psychosis: New Insights into Drug-induced Psychosis. *Experimental Neurobiology*, 26(1), 11–24. 10.5607/en.2017.26.1.11 [PubMed: 28243163]
- Panlilio LV, Goldberg SR, & Justinova Z (2015). Cannabinoid abuse and addiction: Clinical and preclinical findings. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 97(6), 616–627. 10.1002/cpt.118 [PubMed: 25788435]
- Altintas M, Inanc L, Oruc GA, Arpacioğlu S, & Gulec H (2016). Clinical characteristics of synthetic cannabinoid-induced psychosis in relation to schizophrenia: a single-center cross-sectional analysis of concurrently hospitalized patients. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 12, 1893–1900. 10.2147/NDT.S107622 [PubMed: 27536110]
- Roberto AJ, Lorenzo A, Li KJ, Young J, Mohan A, Pinnaka S, & Lapidus KAB (2016). First-Episode of Synthetic Cannabinoid-Induced Psychosis in a Young Adult, Successfully Managed with Hospitalization and Risperidone. *Case Reports in Psychiatry*, 2016, 7257489 10.1155/2016/7257489 [PubMed: 27429822]
- Eggan SM, and Lewis DA (2007). Immunocytochemical distribution of the cannabinoid Cb1 receptor in the primate neocortex: a regional and laminar analysis. *Cereb. Cortex*, 17(1):175–19. 10.1093/cercor/bhj136 [PubMed: 16467563]
- Tsou K, Mackie K, Sanudo-Pena MC, and Walker JM (1999). Cannabinoid CB1 receptors are localized primarily on cholecystokinin-containing GABAergic interneurons in the rat hippocampal formation. *Neuroscience*, 93:969–975 [PubMed: 10473261]
- Munro S, Thomas KL, and Abu-Shaar M (1993). Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. *Nature*, 365: 61–65. doi:10.1038/365061a0 [PubMed: 7689702]
- Navarrete F, Rodríguez-Arias M, Martín-García E, Navarro D, García-Gutiérrez MS, Aguilar MA, ... Manzanares J (2013). Role of CB2 Cannabinoid Receptors in the Rewarding, Reinforcing, and Physical Effects of Nicotine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 38(12), 2515–2524. 10.1038/npp.2013.157 [PubMed: 23817165]
- Wright K, Rooney N, Feeney M, Tate J, Robertson D, Welham M, and Ward S (2005). Differential expression of cannabinoid receptors in the human colon: cannabinoids promote epithelial wound healing. *Gastroenterology*, 129(2): 437–453. 10.1053/j.gastro.2005.05.026 [PubMed: 16083701]
- Den Boon FS, Chameau P, Schaafsma-Zhao Q, van Aken W, Bari M, Oddi S, ... Werkman TR (2012). Excitability of prefrontal cortical pyramidal neurons is modulated by activation of intracellular type-2 cannabinoid receptors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(9), 3534–3539. 10.1073/pnas.1118167109 [PubMed: 22331871]
- Kim J, & Li Y (2015). Chronic activation of CB2 cannabinoid receptors in the hippocampus increases excitatory synaptic transmission. *The Journal of Physiology*, 593(Pt 4), 871–886. 10.1113/jphysiol.2014.286633 [PubMed: 25504573]
- Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Gong J-P, Patel S, Meozzi PA, Myers L, ... Uhl GR (2008). Brain Neuronal CB2 Cannabinoid Receptors in Drug Abuse and Depression: From Mice to Human Subjects. *PLoS ONE*, 3(2), e1640 10.1371/journal.pone.0001640 [PubMed: 18286196]
- Wang S, Sun H, Liu S, Wang T, Guan J, and Jia J (2016). Role of hypothalamin cannabinoid receptors in post-stroke depression in rats. *Brain Res. Bull* 121:91–97. 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2016.01.006 [PubMed: 26778127]
- Pertwee RG (2006). Cannabinoid pharmacology: the first 66 years. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 147(Suppl 1), S163–S171. 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706406 [PubMed: 16402100]
- Reggio PH (2010). Endocannabinoid Binding to the Cannabinoid Receptors: What Is Known and What Remains Unknown. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 17(14), 1468–1486. [PubMed: 20166921]

- Caballero A, & Tseng KY (2012). Association of Cannabis Use during Adolescence, Prefrontal CB1 Receptor Signaling, and Schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 3, 101 10.3389/fphar.2012.00101 [PubMed: 22654759]
- Raote I, Bhattacharya A, Panicker MM. Serotonin 2A (5-HT_{2A}) Receptor Function: Ligand-Dependent Mechanisms and Pathways In: Chattopadhyay A, editor. *Serotonin Receptors in Neurobiology*. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press/Taylor & Francis; 2007 Chapter 6. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1853/>
- Carhart-Harris R, & Nutt D (2017). Serotonin and brain function: a tale of two receptors. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* (Oxford, England), 31(9), 1091–1120. 10.1177/0269881117725915
- Jones KA, Srivastava DP, Allen JA, Strachan RT, Roth BL, and Penzes P (2009). Rapid modulation of spine morphology by the 5-HT_{2A} serotonin receptor through kalirin-7 signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 106(46): 19575–19580. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905884106. [PubMed: 19889983]
- Higley JD, and Linnoila M (1997). Low central nervous system serotonergic activity is traitlike and correlates with impulsive behavior. A nonhuman primate model investigating genetic and environmental influences on neurotransmission. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 836:39–56. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1997.tb52354.x [PubMed: 9616793]
- Celada P, Puig MV, Amargós-Bosch M, Adell A, & Artigas F (2004). The therapeutic role of 5-HT_{1A} and 5-HT_{2A} receptors in depression. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*, 29(4), 252–265. [PubMed: 15309042]
- Tsuang HC, Chen WJ, Lin SH, Chen TY, Chang YL, Huang KH, and Lane HY (2013). Impaired impulse control is associated with a 5-HT_{2A} receptor polymorphism in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 208(2): 105–110. 10.1016/j.psychres.2012.09.038 [PubMed: 23063294]
- Meltzer HY, Li Z, Kaneda Y, and Ichikawa J (2003). Serotonin receptors: their key role in drugs to treat schizophrenia. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 27 (7): 1159–1172. 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2003.09.010
- Bubar MJ, and Cunningham KA (2006). Serotonin 5-HT_{2A} and 5-HT_{2C} receptors as potential targets for modulation of psychostimulant use and dependence. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem* 6(18): 1971–1985. [PubMed: 17017968]
- Nichols DE. (2004). Hallucinogens. *Pharmacol Ther.* 101:131–181. 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2003.11.002 [PubMed: 14761703]
- Franklin JM, & Carrasco GA (2012). Cannabinoid-Induced Enhanced Interaction and Protein Levels of Serotonin 5-HT_{2A} and Dopamine D₂Receptors in Rat Prefrontal Cortex. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* (Oxford, England), 26(10), 1333–1347. 10.1177/0269881112450786
- De Almeida J, Palacios JM, and Mengood G (2008). Distribution of 5-HT and DA receptors in primate prefrontal cortex: implications for pathophysiology and treatment. *Prog. Brain Res*, 172:101–115. [PubMed: 18772029]
- Franklin JM, & Carrasco GA (2013). Cannabinoid receptor agonists upregulate and enhance serotonin 2A (5-HT_{2A}) receptor activity via ERK1/2 signaling. *Synapse*, 67(3), 145–159. 10.1002/syn.21626 [PubMed: 23151877]
- Marek GJ, Martin-Ruiz R, Abo A, and Artigas F (2005). The selective 5-HT_{2A} receptor antagonist M100907 enhances antidepressant-like behavioral effects of the SSRI fluoxetine. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 30(12): 2205–2215. 10.1038/sj.npp.1300762 [PubMed: 15886717]
- Gray JA, and Roth BL (2001). Paradoxical trafficking and regulation of 5-HT_{2A} receptors by agonists and antagonists. *Brain Res. Bull.* 56:441–5. [PubMed: 11750789]
- Rogoz Z (2013). Combined treatment with atypical antipsychotics and antidepressants in treatment-resistant depression: preclinical and clinical efficacy. *Pharmacol Rep.* 65(6): 1535–1544. [PubMed: 24553002]
- Franklin JM, Mathew M, & Carrasco GA (2013). Cannabinoid-induced upregulation of serotonin 2A receptors in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus and anxiety-like behaviors in rats. *Neuroscience Letters*, 548, 165–169. 10.1016/j.neulet.2013.05.039 [PubMed: 23721787]
- Elmore JS, and Bauman MH. (2017). Serotonergic responsiveness after repeated exposure to the synthetic cannabinoid JWH-018. *Drug & Alcohol Dependence*, 171:e59 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.08.174

- Gonzalez-Maeso J, and Sealfon SC (2009) Psychedelics and schizophrenia. *Trends Neurosci.* 32: 225–232. [PubMed: 19269047]
- Martin WR, Wikler A, Eades CG, and Pescor FT (1963) Tolerance to and Physical Dependence on Morphine in Rats. *Psychopharmacologia*, 4: 247–260. [PubMed: 14048545]
- Darmani NA, and Pandya DK (2000). Involvement of other neurotransmitters in behaviors induced by the cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist SR 141716A in naive mice. *J Neural Transm.* 107:931–945 [PubMed: 11041273]
- Darmani NA (2001). Cannabinoids of diverse structure inhibit two DOI-induced 5-HT_{2A} receptor-mediated behaviors in mice. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.*, 68(2):311–317. 10.1016/S0091-3057(00)00477-9
- Janoyan JJ, Crim JL, and Darmani NA (2002). Reversal of SR 141716A-induced head-twitch and ear-scratch responses in mice by 9-THC and other cannabinoids. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 71(1–2):155–162. 10.1016/S0091-3057(01)00647-5
- Egashira N, Shirakawa A, Okuno R, Mishima K, Iwasaki K, Oishi R, and Fujiwara M (2011). Role of endocannabinoid and glutamatergic systems in DOI-induced head-twitch response in mice. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 99:52–58. 10.1016/j.pbb.2011.04.003 [PubMed: 21504759]
- Young R Drug Discrimination In: Buccafusco JJ, editor. *Methods of Behavior Analysis in Neuroscience*. 2nd edition. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press/Taylor & Francis; 2009 Chapter 3. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK5225/>
- Wiley JL, Lefever TW, Cortes RA, & Marusich JA (2014). Cross-Substitution of 9-Tetrahydrocannabinol and JWH-018 in Drug Discrimination in Rats. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior*, 0, 123–128. 10.1016/j.pbb.2014.05.016
- Seely KA, Lapoint J, Moran JH and Fattore L (2012) Spice drugs are more than harmless herbal blends: a review of the pharmacology and toxicology of synthetic cannabinoids. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 39(2):234–43. [PubMed: 22561602]
- D'Souza DC, Sewell RA and Ranganathan M (2009) Cannabis and psychosis/schizophrenia: human studies. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 259:413–431. [PubMed: 19609589]
- Evins AE, Green AI, Kane JM and Murray RM (2012) The effect of marijuana use on the risk for schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 73:1463–1468. [PubMed: 23218162]
- Rubino T and Parolaro D (2013) Cannabis abuse in adolescence and the risk of psychosis: A brief review of the preclinical evidence. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* S0278–5846(13)00162–0.
- Fergusson DM, Poulton R, Smith PF and Boden JM (2006) Drugs: Cannabis and psychosis. *BMJ* 332(7534): 172–175. [PubMed: 16424500]
- Glue P, Al-Shaqsi S, Hancock D, Gale C, Strong B and Schep L (2013) Hospitalisation associated with use of the synthetic cannabinoid K2. *NZ Med J* 126(1377):18–23.
- Spaderna M, Addy PH and D'Souza DC (2013) Spicing things up: synthetic cannabinoids. *Psychopharmacology* 228(4):525–40. [PubMed: 23836028]
- Durand D, Delgado LL, de la Parra-Pellot DM and Nichols-Vinueza D (2013) Psychosis and Severe Rhabdomyolysis Associated with Synthetic Cannabinoid Use. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses* 1–13.
- Oluwabusi OO, Lobach L, Akhtar U, Youngman B and Ambrosini PJ (2013) Synthetic cannabinoid-induced psychosis: two adolescent cases. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 22(5):393–5.
- Hermanns-Clausen M, Kneisel S, Szabo B and Auwärter V (2013) Acute toxicity due to the confirmed consumption of synthetic cannabinoids: clinical and laboratory findings. *Addiction* 108(3):534–44. [PubMed: 22971158]
- Peglow S, Buchner J and Briscoe G (2012) Synthetic cannabinoid induced psychosis in a previously nonpsychotic patient. *Am J Addict* 21(3):287–8. [PubMed: 22494236]
- Tung CK, Chiang TP and Lam M (2012) Acute mental disturbance caused by synthetic cannabinoid: a potential emerging substance of abuse in Hong Kong. *East Asian Arch Psychiatry* 22(1):31–3. [PubMed: 22447803]
- Brakoulias V (2012) Products containing synthetic cannabinoids and psychosis. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 46(3):281–2.

- Hurst D, Loeffler G and McLay R (2011) Psychosis associated with synthetic cannabinoid agonists: a case series. *Am J Psychiatry* 168(10):1119. [PubMed: 21969050]
- Benford DM and Caplan JP (2011) Psychiatric sequelae of Spice, K2, and synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists. *Psychosomatics* 52(3):295
- Every-Palmer S (2011) Synthetic cannabinoid JWH-018 and psychosis: an explorative study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 117(2–3):152–7. [PubMed: 21316162]
- Every-Palmer S (2010) Warning: legal synthetic cannabinoid-receptor agonists such as JWH-018 may precipitate psychosis in vulnerable individuals. *Addiction* 105(10):1859–60.
- Müller H, Sperling W, Köhrmann M, Huttner HB, Kornhuber J and Maler JM (2010) The synthetic cannabinoid Spice as a trigger for an acute exacerbation of cannabis induced recurrent psychotic episodes. *Schizophr Res* 118(1–3):309–10. [PubMed: 20056392]
- Sawa A and Snyder SH (2003) Schizophrenia: neural mechanisms for novel therapies. *Mol Med* 9(1–2):3–9. [PubMed: 12765334]
- Carlsson A and Lindquist M (1963) Effect of chlorpromazine and haloperidol of formation of 3-methoxytyramine and normetanephrine in mouse brain. *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol* 20:140–144.
- Monte AA, Calello DP, Gerona RR, Hamad E, Campleman SL, Brent J, Wax P, Carlson RG and ACMT Toxicology Investigators Consortium (Toxic) (2017) Characteristics and Treatment of Patients with Clinical Illness Due to Synthetic Cannabinoid Inhalation Reported by Medical Toxicologists: A Toxic Database Study. *J Med Toxicol* 13(2):146–152. [PubMed: 28397128]
- Vallersnes OM, Dines AM, Wood DM, Yates C, Heyerdahl F, Hovda KE, Giraudon I; Euro-DEN Research Group and Dargan PI (2016) Psychosis associated with acute recreational drug toxicity: a European case series. *BMC Psychiatry* 16:293. [PubMed: 27538886]
- Roberto AJ, Lorenzo A, Li KJ, Young J, Mohan A, Pinnaka S and Lapidus KA (2016) First-Episode of Synthetic Cannabinoid-Induced Psychosis in a Young Adult, Successfully Managed with Hospitalization and Risperidone. *Case Rep Psychiatry* 2016:7257489. [PubMed: 27429822]
- Davies BM and Beech HR (1960) The effect of 1-arylcylohexylamine (sernyl) on twelve normal volunteers. *J Ment Sci* 106:912–24. [PubMed: 13720081]
- Cohen BD, Rosenbaum G, Luby ED and Gottlieb JS (1962) Comparison of phencyclidine hydrochloride (Sernyl) with other drugs. Simulation of schizophrenic performance with phencyclidine hydrochloride (Sernyl), lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD-25), and amobarbital (Amytal) sodium; II. Symbolic and sequential thinking. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 6:395–401. [PubMed: 13880223]
- Krystal JH, Karper LP, Seibyl JP, Freeman GK, Delaney R, Bremner JD, Heninger GR, Bowers MB, Jr and Charney DS (1994) Subanesthetic effects of the noncompetitive NMDA antagonist, ketamine, in humans. Psychotomimetic, perceptual, cognitive, and neuroendocrine responses. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 51(3):199–214. [PubMed: 8122957]
- Malhotra AK, Pinals DA, Weingartner H, Sirocco K, Missar CD, Pickar D and Breier A (1996) NMDA receptor function and human cognition: the effects of ketamine in healthy volunteers. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 14(5):301–7. [PubMed: 8703299]