Published in final edited form as:

Chem Res Toxicol. 2018 October 15; 31(10): 1080–1085. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.8b00151.

Comparative Pharmacokinetics of High and Low Doses of the Herbicide Propanil in Mice

Rosana Schafer^{†,§}, Ted J. Ognibene^{†,§}, Michael A. Malfatti[†], Kenneth W. Turteltaub[†], and John B. Barnett^{*,†,§}

[†]Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94551-0808, United States

[‡]Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Cell Biology, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506-9177, United States

Abstract

We have documented that the herbicide propanil is immunotoxic in mice, and our in vitro tissue culture experiments largely recapitulate the in vivo studies. Laboratory studies on environmental contaminants are the most meaningful when these studies are conducted using concentrations that approximate levels in the environment. Many techniques to measure the distribution and pharmacokinetics (PK) on compounds rely on techniques, such as liquid scintillation counting (LSC) of radio-labeled starting compound, that require concentrations higher than environmental levels. The aim of this study was to compare tissue PK after exposure to propanil concentrations more relevant to levels of exposure to agricultural workers and the general population to concentrations previously reported for laboratory studies. To this end, we conducted a study to measure propanil distribution in three immune organs, using ultrasensitive accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS). We used two doses: the lower dose modeled levels expected in the environment or long-term occupational exposure to low doses, while the higher dose was to model the effects of an accidental exposure. Our results showed that the distribution and PK profiles from these two different concentrations was markedly different. The profile of the high dose (concentration) exposure was indicative of saturation of the detoxifying capability of the animal. In contrast, at the lower environmentally relevant concentration, in vivo concentrations of propanil in spleen, liver, and blood dropped to a very low level by 720 min. In conclusion, these studies highlight the differences in PK of propanil at these two doses, which suggests that the toxicity of this chemical should be re-investigated to obtain better data on toxic effects at doses relevant for humans.

Graphical Abstract

*Corresponding Author: jbbarnett@hsc.wvu.edu. Phone: 304-293-4029.

§These authors contributed equally. J.B.B., K.T., R.S., and J.V. designed the experiments. J.B.B., R.S., and A.R. performed the biological experiments. J.V. performed the AMS assays. T.O. performed the calculations. M.M. performed the PK calculations.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrestox.8b00151.

The calculations necessary to convert AMS values (modern) to tissue concentrations (PDF)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

INTRODUCTION

Laboratory immunotoxicology studies are aimed at understanding the mechanism of an agent's action on immune function. However, the full utility of these experiments to determine possible effects on humans exposed environmentally is often compromised due to a lack of data to indicate the concentrations of the compound typically found in a particular organ, for example, in the spleen, thus, leading to the concern that the laboratory studies may not accurately reflect the effect of the agent because the study concentrations do not approximate environmental or occupational levels.

Propanil, 3,4-dichloropropionaniline, is an herbicide primarily used in the United States to control weeds in the production of rice. In vivo immunomodulatory effects of propanil include dose-dependent changes to T-dependent and T-independent antibody responses as well as the mixed lymphocyte responses and cytokine production from splenocytes.¹ Functionally similar effects were also noted following in vitro propanil exposure of spleen, peritoneal exudate cells (macrophages), or T cell (EL-4) and macrophage cell lines (IC-21).²⁻⁶

Environmental exposures are often chronic low doses, whereas occupational exposures can be both low levels over long periods or acute (accidental) exposure at much higher concentrations. Concentrations used for laboratory studies are often based on estimated organ levels, determined by giving concentrations high enough to cause a measurable toxic effect, or, in some cases, high acute concentrations are used to mimic possible chronic exposures over long periods when it is known that the compound accumulates in vivo to high residual levels. Previously published experiments on propanil have defined a range of concentrations and doses from a no-observable-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) in both the in vivo and in vitro exposure scenarios,¹⁻⁶ however, these were determined without regard to an appropriate environmental or occupational dose or concentration within specific organs of interest.

Typical methods of quantifying small molecule metabolism often require relatively high levels of the starting compound so that the parent compound and/or metabolites can be detected over time. Traditionally, such experiments have been performed using radioactive labeled forms of the compound and measuring radioactivity levels in the cells and organs at various times after exposure. Tissue distribution of higher doses needed for more typical

detection methods, like liquid scintillation counting (LSC), is likely significantly higher from that seen with environmentally relevant concentrations. These higher concentrations may provide misleading results, especially for in vivo experiments because the higher dose could saturate the detoxification pathways and allow nonmetabolized compounds to continue to circulate. Determination of the relevance of in vitro studies also requires knowing the actual tissue propanil levels and decay kinetics after in vivo dosing at concentrations likely to be encountered environmentally.

The high sensitivity of accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) provides a solution to the aforementioned problems. AMS can perform tissue distribution and PK measurements using an input dose that is hypothesized to closely approximate environmental levels. In the case of the higher dose used herein, this is a concentration that more likely approximates the exposure level of an acute exposure accident, for example, during mixing or application of the product in agriculture. AMS is an adaptation of stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry that has been modified for tracing biological pathways using multiple isotopic masses.⁷ The strength of AMS lies in its ability for longer lived isotopes that cannot be effectively quantified by decay counting.⁷ This is especially useful for measuring ¹⁴C, half-life of 5730 years, in biological samples at extremely low concentrations.⁷ AMS lowers concentration detection limits by 12-fold and dramatically lowers mass detection limits by 10⁵-fold compared to LSC, which requires relatively high concentrations of the labeled compound in order to reproducibly detect the isotope.⁸ AMS is capable of reproducibly measuring levels as low as 1.0 attomole (≈60 attocurie) of ¹⁴C per mg of sample level. In contrast, LSC-compatible doses are far higher than is likely to occur from an environmental or occupational exposure and, thus, may provide data that do not represent a scenario that accurately reflects the disposition of the chemical under normal conditions. This report details the differences in the disposition curves when occupational versus environmentally relevant doses of propanil are administered. In addition, as propanil is a heavily used herbicide, it provides information on the disposition of the compound in key organs. Finally, it highlights the utility of using the highly sensitive technique of AMS to measure sample concentrations believed to be relevant for human exposure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals.

[¹²C]-Propanil (3,4-dichloropropionaniline), 99% pure, was purchased from ChemService, Inc. (West Chester, PA). Appropriate concentrations of propanil were obtained first by dilution in ethanol (99%) and finally by dissolving in corn oil (Mazola). ¹⁴C-Labeled propanil (97.2% purity verified by high-pressure liquid chromatography) was synthesized by Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA) as 3',4'-dichloropropionanilide, [ring-¹⁴C] (lot number 123-188-0123). The specific activity of the [¹⁴C]-propanil was 12.3 mCi/mmol. Stock [¹⁴C]-propanil was diluted to a concentration compatible with the AMS in absolute ethanol (99%) (AAPER Alcohol and Chemical Co., Shelbyville, KY).

Animals.

Female C57Bl/6 mice weighing 18–20g were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, DE). Female mice were chosen to allow us to extrapolate our findings with these dose levels from our previously published work, which was all performed using female animals. All animals were allowed to acclimate to our vivarium facilities at least 7 days before their inclusion in an experiment and were provided food and water ad libitum. All animals were assayed between 7 and 12 weeks of age. All animal experiments were approved by the West Virginia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

In Vivo Exposure to Propanil.

C57Bl/6 mice were injected i.p. with [^{14}C]-propanil. Five mice per dose level at each time point were used, and the entire experimental series was repeated. These are designated as the high and low dose, respectively. The high dose was determined by the concentration historically administered in previously published studies on the immunotoxic effects of in vivo propanil exposure plus a nominal increase to provide the isotope (reviewed in Salazar et al.).¹ The low dose (854 pg/g) is a concentration of [^{14}C]-propanil estimated to approximate a dose similar to what is presumed to be anthropogenically relevant and within the reproducible range of the AMS. Higher concentrations of [^{14}C]-compound, such as those used for previous laboratory studies (reviewed in Salazar et al.),¹ would overwhelm the counting electronics of the AMS instrument. Thus, the high-dose level consisted of a mixture of 200 $\mu\text{g/g}$ of [^{12}C]-propanil plus 854 pg/g of [^{14}C]-propanil.

Experimental Design.

The overall experimental design is outlined in Figure 1. At each indicated time point after propanil administration, 50 μL of whole blood was collected directly into a capillary tube via the retroorbital sinus, and then the animal was euthanized for spleen and liver collection. These tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and then shipped on dry ice to LLNL for AMS processing. The details of AMS preparation are as described previously.⁹ Calculations of tissue concentrations from the AMS data are described in the Supporting Information.

Pharmacokinetics and Statistical Analysis.

The pharmacokinetic parameters of propanil were calculated by noncompartmental analysis using PK Solutions Software (Summit Research Services, Montrose, CO). The half-life ($T_{1/2}$), time of maximum propanil concentration (T_{max}), and initial propanil concentration (C_{max}) were determined by observations from the concentration versus time data. Area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for intervals 0 to t , where t is the time of the last measurable concentration (720 min) using the linear trapezoidal method.

Error bars in all figures represent the standard deviation at each time point. The data from one representative experimental series is provided, however, the replicate series provided results that were essentially identical, and the interpretation for both replicates is the same.

RESULTS

Baseline ^{14}C -Measurements.

Nontreated tissues are used to determine the natural level of ^{14}C . An average of three nontreated tissue samples was obtained for the calculations. These determinations showed an average of 1.095 ± 0.014 Modern. This figure is used as the “undosed ^{14}C ” value in eq A1–1 (Supporting Information) to calculate the fmol of [^{14}C]-propanil per mg of tissue. This value also provides a check of the laboratory background levels of ^{14}C . Many laboratories that have previously used [^{14}C]-compounds have background levels sufficiently high to swamp the highly sensitive AMS instrument. The value determined indicates that our laboratory ^{14}C background levels are at contemporary environmental levels, for example, in normal rodent chow, atmospheric, etc.⁹

Disposition of Propanil in the Liver.

The liver is both a major organ involved in detoxification of xenobiotics and an immune organ. Thus, the propanil levels within this organ are important to the understanding of the disposition of propanil from the body. Figure 2 shows the disposition curves for [^{14}C]-propanil from the liver at the two doses utilized (also see Table 1). The liver concentration in animals administered the high dose increased markedly over the first 10 min after which the levels plateaued (Figure 2; Table 1). At this concentration, the disposition of propanil appears to follow a saturation kinetic profile with the highest dose reached at 20–40 min after propanil administration and does not decrease appreciably over the time course of the experiment.

In contrast, animals injected with the low dose demonstrate an early peak at 10–20 min (Figure 2; Table 1). Levels quickly dropped during the next 20 min; however, there appears to be at least one additional disposition pattern from a period beginning at 40 min (Figure 2). Beginning at 40 min, the disposition showed a protracted kinetic pattern.

Disposition of Propanil in the Spleen.

The spleen is a key immune organ, and several of our previous studies^{1–5} have reported the effects of propanil on the function of immune cells from this organ. Thus, a measurement of the disposition of propanil in this organ will allow the correlation of in vitro dose-related effects with actual propanil concentrations in the spleen over time. As shown in Figure 3 (Table 1), disposition of the high dose of propanil from the spleen over time shows a similar pattern to that noted in the liver. Propanil accumulated in the spleen until approximately 40 min after injection, plateaued at this time, and was only decaying slightly after 720 min. This profile appears to be a saturation kinetic pattern.

Measurement of propanil levels after the low dose again demonstrated a peak at 10 min (Figure 3; Table 1). These levels also dropped during the next 30 min. After this precipitous drop, the kinetics of propanil disposition followed a protracted decay pattern very similar to the liver.

Disposition of Propanil in the Blood.

Distribution of propanil throughout the body would occur via the circulatory system. Therefore, important information is obtained by assaying blood levels of [^{14}C]-propanil during the course of the experiment. Animals dosed with the low dose showed a sharp peak of blood activity 10 min after injection (Figure 4; Table 1). There was a substantial decrease by 40 min, however, they did not drop to an initial plateau until 80 min and showed a slower, but still decreasing kinetics between 80 and 240 min. After 240 min, the blood levels essentially plateaued (Figure 4; Table 1). These data are suggestive of three distinct kinetic patterns: the early peak and then degradation or tissue disposition between 0 and 40–80 min, a tissue deposition kinetic curve rise between 40 to 80 min and then decaying over the next 160 to 200 min, and finally a relatively steady-state circulating level from 360 min to the termination of the experiment.

The high-dose levels demonstrated a peak much later, at 80 min, and the decay or tissue deposition was very shallow over the remainder of the experiment. This would be consistent with saturation kinetics, that is, all tissue stores are saturated, and the bulk of the material therefore simply circulates as it decays, is permanently sequestered, or is eliminated through the urinary and/or fetal routes.

Pharmacokinetics of Propanil in Each Organ Assayed.

In the liver, the mean apparent distribution half-life ($t_{1/2\alpha}$) was higher in the high-dose animals compared to the low-dose group (Table 2). The terminal half-life ($t_{1/2\beta}$) in the high-dose animals was negative due to the increasing concentration of propanil in the liver at the later time points. In the spleen, the $t_{1/2\beta}$ was twice as long in the high-dose group compared to the animals receiving the lower dose, indicating a slower elimination from the spleen in the high-dose group. This was also the case for the blood. In all three tissues, the $T_{\max}$ was achieved much sooner in the low-dose group compared to the high-dose group. Overall the low dose of propanil was absorbed more quickly in all three tissues and was eliminated faster compared to the high-dose group, suggesting saturation of elimination mechanisms at the higher dose.

DISCUSSION

The primary objective of this study was to determine the elimination and PK profiles of propanil, an herbicide commonly used on rice to control grassy weeds, when administered at doses that approximate an environmental exposure concentration. The important finding of this study is that the toxicokinetics of propanil are markedly different at environmentally relevant (low) dose than those seen at the higher doses previously used for many laboratory studies.^{1–6}

We reported numerous immunotoxic effects of propanil using dose–response assays in mice and concentration-dependent assays in tissue culture,^{1–6} however, the concentrations used are based on levels needed to show a measurable effect. Critical to our ability to extrapolate our laboratory findings to human exposures, we needed to measure the tissue levels of propanil for a given dose. We utilized two dose levels. The low dose was chosen to model a

very low exposure level to propanil, similar to that expected in some environmental exposures. The high dose was identical to a frankly immunotoxic dose we have previously reported¹ and more likely akin to dose levels associated with acute accidental occupational exposures.

To accomplish our goal of establishing the toxicokinetic profile of propanil at these two concentration levels, we utilized the AMS. The major advantage of AMS for toxicokinetic measurements is its ability to measure compounds at or below what would be expected from an environmental or occupational exposure. In this study, we not surprisingly demonstrated that propanil exposure at environmentally relevant doses provided a substantially different toxicokinetic profile than the higher dose that more closely parallels an acute poisoning. The relationship of our doses is illustrated when they are compared to the potential propanil concentrations obtainable during agricultural application. Barnes et al.¹⁰ measured field concentrations by assaying propanil levels in 10 cm² denim patches placed in the swath of aerially applied propanil during routine agricultural applications. Measured propanil concentrations in five separate applications ranged from 0.532 to 5.233×10^3 μg (mean = $2.771 \pm 0.96 \times 10^3$) of propanil in this 10 cm² denim patch after aerial application of 3.4–4.5 kg propanil per hectare to a field.¹⁰ Using the value stated in this report,¹⁰ that is, a 150-pound (≈ 68 kg) individual would have approximately 1620 cm² of exposed skin, and assuming that 10% of the propanil was absorbed, an individual's mean potential dermal exposure level would be approximately 0.066 mg propanil per kg of body weight (45 mg per 68 kg). This value is ≈ 8 times greater than the low dose used for this study. However, actual systemic levels of agents after dermal exposure vary over a wide range depending on the chemical structure, lipophilicity, etc., and is also likely to be influenced by the nature of the "inert" ingredients in the commercial product. Photodegradation would further reduce the environmental concentrations.¹¹ Barnes et al.¹⁰ also performed air sampling of propanil levels in the swath of the aerial application from 0 to 60 m after spraying and up to 100 m from the field. They measured propanil levels of 1.6 to 17.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ of sampled air per hour. Corsini et al.¹² measured urinary dichloroaniline (DCA) in agricultural workers 30 d after applying the herbicide. They report mean DCA urine levels of 105.6 ng/mL.¹² The workers applied ~ 80 kg of active ingredient over a 1–5 h/d period for 1–3 d,¹² however, given the variables involved in the application process (described above), it is impossible to estimate of each worker's actual exposure level. Propanil was not detectable by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, which they attributed to complete metabolic breakdown to DCA.¹² Respiratory absorption would likely provide a greater likelihood of systemic levels. Since we did not expose our animals via the respiratory tract, we cannot make a comparison between our low-dose concentration and that measured in the air by Barnes et al.¹⁰ or Corsini et al.¹²

What is apparent from the comparison of the two dose levels is that a very low dose appears to be metabolized rather quickly. The lower $t_{1/2\beta}$ in liver than spleen and blood possibly reflects active detoxification by that organ, whereas, blood and spleen levels represent the circulating levels. In contrast, the high, frankly toxic dose shows what appears to be a saturation state where the detoxification mechanism cannot completely metabolize the compound over the 720 min measured. Another caveat is that we chose to use propanil with the ring structure labeled, and thus, the labeled compound measured by the AMS could be a

metabolite of propanil, and this is likely the case at the later time points. However, the two major metabolites of propanil, *N*-hydroxy-3,4-dichloroaniline and 6-hydroxy-3,4-dichloroaniline, are toxic,¹³ and knowledge of their toxicokinetic profile is also valuable information. Given the reduction in labeled compound at the low dose, it appears that in vivo detoxification or urinary/fetal elimination has removed a majority of the compound within 720 min. Tissue levels after the high dose continued to be present at 720 min, indicating that both detoxification and urinary/fetal elimination were not capable of eliminating the compound by this time.

In summary, we demonstrate the utility of AMS to follow the degradation of propanil, an herbicide used extensively in rice agriculture. The lowest (environmental) dose used showed a biphasic elimination curve and tissue levels dropped to near undetectable levels by 720 min. In contrast, higher frankly immunotoxic levels increased to a plateau by 40 min and continued to be present in the tissue at approximately the same levels by 720 min, indicating a saturation of the animal's detoxification capability as well as its inability to eliminate the compound via the urinary/fetal routes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank John Vogel for his valuable contributions to the design and conduct of the AMS experiments and to Kurt Haack for the AMS sample preparation. We also thank Aaron Ruhland for his technical assistance.

Funding

This work was supported by NIH grants ES07512 to J.B.B. AMS was performed at the Research Resource for Biomedical AMS, which is operated at LLNL under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 and is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS), and Biomedical Technology Research Resources (BTRR) under grant number P41GM103483.

ABBREVIATIONS

LLNL	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
NOAEL	no-observable-adverse-effect level
AMS	accelerator mass spectrometry
LSC	liquid scintillation counting

REFERENCES

- (1). Salazar KD, Ustyugova IV, Brundage KM, Barnett JB, and Schafer R (2008) A review of the immunotoxicity of the pesticide 3,4-dichloropropionanilide. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part B* 11, 630–645.
- (2). Klink DJ, II, Ustyugova IV, Brundage KM, and Barnett JB (2008) Modulating Temporal Control of NF-kappaB Activation: Implications for Therapeutic and Assay Selection. *Biophys. J* 94, 4249–4259. [PubMed: 18281385]

- (3). Lewis TL, Brundage KM, Brundage RA, and Barnett JB (2008) 3,4-Dichloropropionanilide (DCPA) inhibits T-cell activation by altering the intracellular calcium concentration following store depletion. *Toxicol. Sci* 103, 97–107. [PubMed: 18281253]
- (4). Hanson ML, Peer CJ, Brundage R, Callery PS, Brundage K, Schafer R, Eremin S, and Barnett JB (2009) Subcellular Localization of the Amide Class Herbicide 3,4-Dichloropropionanilide (DCPA) in T Cells and Hepatocytes. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A* 73, 1–4.
- (5). Lewis TL, Holásková I, and Barnett JB (2013) The toxicity of the N-hydroxy and 6-hydroxy metabolites of 3,4-dichloropropionanilide (DCPA) does not depend on calcium-release activated calcium channel inhibition. *Toxicol. Sci* 131, 395–405. [PubMed: 23065141]
- (6). Blair HC, Soboloff J, Robinson LJ, Tourkova IL, Larrouture QC, Witt MR, Holaskova I, Schafer R, Elliott M, Hirsch R, and Barnett JB (2016) Suppression of arthritis-induced bone erosion by a CRAC channel antagonist. *Rheumatic & Musculoskeletal Diseases Open* 2, e000093. [PubMed: 26819750]
- (7). Gilman SD, Gee SJ, Hammock BD, Vogel JS, Haack K, Buchholz BA, Freeman SP, Wester RC, Hui X, and Maibach HI (1998) Analytical performance of accelerator mass spectrometry and liquid scintillation counting for detection of ¹⁴C-labeled atrazine metabolites in human urine. *Anal. Chem* 70, 3463–3469. [PubMed: 9726169]
- (8). Vogel JS, and Turteltaub KW (1998) Accelerator mass spectrometry as a bioanalytical tool for nutritional research *Mathematical Modeling in Experimental Nutrition: Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, Vol. 445, issue 397–410, pp 397–410, Springer, Boston, MA.
- (9). Barnes CJ, Lavy TL, and Mattice JD (1987) Exposure of non-applicator personnel and adjacent areas to aerially applied propanil. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol* 39, 126–133. [PubMed: 3607310]
- (10). Konstantinou IK, Zarkadis AK, and Albanis TA (2001) Photodegradation of Selected Herbicides in Various Natural Waters and Soils under Environmental Conditions. *J. Environ. Qual* 30, 121–130. [PubMed: 11215643]
- (11). McMillan DC, Bradshaw TP, Hinson JA, and Jollow DJ (1991) Role of metabolites in propanil-induced hemolytic anemia. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol* 110, 70–78. [PubMed: 1871773]
- (12). McMillan DC, Freeman JP, and Hinson JA (1990) Metabolism of the arylamide herbicide propanil. I. Microsomal metabolism and in vitro methemoglobinemia. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol* 103, 90–101. [PubMed: 2315934]
- (13). McMillan DC, Leakey JE, Arlotto MP, McMillan JM, and Hinson JA (1990) Metabolism of the arylamide herbicide propanil. II. Effects of propanil and its derivatives on hepatic microsomal drug-metabolizing enzymes in the rat. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol* 103, 102–112. [PubMed: 2315923]

Figure 1.

Outline of the experimental design. C57Bl/6 mice were injected with propanil at time zero. At 1, 10, 20, 40, 80, 120, 240, 360, 720 min after propanil injection, blood was collected into a capillary tube, and then the animal was euthanized and the liver and spleen removed by surgical ablation. All tissue was then snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for shipment to the AMS facility at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). New surgical instruments were used on each animal to avoid cross contamination. At the AMS facility, frozen tissue was processed as outlined. Detailed methodology is found in Vogel et al.⁹

Figure 2.

Time course of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil degradation in liver. Liver samples were collected and analyzed as described. Values on the ordinate reflect only the actual concentration of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil in the liver. Total propanil in the tissue of high-dose animals was 2.342×10^5 times the level of the $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil. Since the ring structure was labeled, these ^{14}C levels could reflect either $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil or its metabolite. Data are the mean for five replicate samples ($\pm\text{SD}$) for one representative experiment. This experiment was repeated three times. Note that the level of error was calculated for all data points on both curves, however, the level of error was often so small that the bar was covered by the data point symbol.

Figure 3.

Time course of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil degradation in spleen. Spleen samples were collected and analyzed as described. Values on the ordinate reflect only the actual concentration of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil in the spleen. Total propanil in the tissue of high-dose animals was 2.342×10^5 times the level of the $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil. Since the ring structure was labeled, these ^{14}C levels could reflect either $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil or its metabolite. Data are the mean for five replicate samples ($\pm\text{SD}$) for one representative experiment. This experiment was repeated three times. Note that the level of error was calculated for all data points on both curves, however, the level of error was often so small that the bar was covered by the data point symbol.

Figure 4.

Time course of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil degradation in whole blood. Blood samples were collected and analyzed as described. Values on the ordinate reflect only the actual concentration of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil in the blood. Total propanil in the tissue of high-dose animals was 2.342×10^5 times the level of the $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil. Since the ring structure was labeled, these ^{14}C levels could reflect either $[^{14}\text{C}]$ -propanil or its metabolite. Data are the mean for five replicate samples ($\pm\text{SD}$) for one representative experiment. This experiment was repeated three times. Note that the level of error was calculated for all data points on both curves, however, the level of error was often so small that the bar was covered by the data point symbol.

Table 1.

Measured Propanil Tissue Levels Following a Single Intraperitoneal Administration of ^{14}C -Propanil in C57BL/6 Mice

time	high ($\mu\text{g/g}$) ^a	low (pg/g) ^b
Liver Levels		
1	90.83 $\pm$ 22.47	1362.07 $\pm$ 135.57
10	249.99 $\pm$ 74.36	2792.07 $\pm$ 917.84
20	237.01 $\pm$ 46.70	2825.80 $\pm$ 989.37
40	335.91 $\pm$ 57.57	1121.53 $\pm$ 140.67
80	268.85 $\pm$ 26.34	854.87 $\pm$ 175.38
120	240.83 $\pm$ 50.05	763.14 $\pm$ 14.88
240	262.55 $\pm$ 28.81	476.81 $\pm$ 73.93
360	286.30 $\pm$ 85.90	284.44 $\pm$ 82.88
720	407.49 $\pm$ 262.17	188.00 $\pm$ 34.20
Spleen Levels		
1	56.10 $\pm$ 32.76	57.39 $\pm$ 48.61
10	77.68 $\pm$ 10.18	520.28 $\pm$ 47.00
20	125.23 $\pm$ 22.08	361.40 $\pm$ 22.17
40	146.72 $\pm$ 19.62	102.26 $\pm$ 4.98
80	141.02 $\pm$ 12.19	34.24 $\pm$ 5.57
120	132.77 $\pm$ 40.28	37.82 $\pm$ 4.97
240	130.71 $\pm$ 16.82	13.96 $\pm$ 2.78
360	135.68 $\pm$ 46.79	14.23 $\pm$ 0.57
720	97.11 $\pm$ 23.94	12.09 $\pm$ 1.60
Blood Levels		
1	2.86 $\pm$ 0.92	40.71 $\pm$ 40.79
10	88.41 $\pm$ 1.41	1009.66 $\pm$ 77.95
20	127.84 $\pm$ 13.51	958.68 $\pm$ 36.91
40	180.29 $\pm$ 16.15	367.95 $\pm$ 8.13
80	195.70 $\pm$ 31.98	122.16 $\pm$ 17.72
120	161.44 $\pm$ 36.13	153.56 $\pm$ 11.49
240	181.45 $\pm$ 3.98	73.69 $\pm$ 0.86
360	224.85 $\pm$ 31.59	40.50 $\pm$ 1.74
720	143.54 $\pm$ 27.55	34.50 $\pm$ 3.30

^aHigh dose: [^{12}C]propanil [200 $\mu\text{g/g}$] + [^{14}C]propanil [854 pg/g].

^bLow dose: [^{14}C]propanil [854 pg/g].

Table 2.

Pharmacokinetics Parameters of Propanil Following a Single Intraperitoneal Administration of ^{14}C Propanil in C57BL/6 Mice

parameter	high dose ^a	low dose ^b
Liver Levels		
C_{MAX}	$481.1 \pm 196.8 \mu\text{g/g}$	$3427.8 \pm 795.2 \text{ pg/g}$
T_{MAX}	$4.4 \pm 6.5 \text{ hr}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ hr}$
$T_{1/2\alpha}$	$2.4 \pm 1.9 \text{ hr}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ hr}$
$T_{1/2\beta}$	$-262 \pm 374.7 \text{ hr}$	$5.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ hr}$
$\text{AUC}_{0-\text{T}}$	$3667.5 \pm 1211 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{hr/g}$	$6234.4 \pm 579.2 \text{ pg}\cdot\text{hr/g}$
Spleen Levels		
C_{MAX}	$162.1 \pm 22.4 \mu\text{g/g}$	$520.3 \pm 46.9 \text{ pg/g}$
T_{MAX}	$3.1 \pm 2.5 \text{ hr}$	$0.2 \pm 0.0 \text{ hr}$
$T_{1/2\alpha}$	$-4.4 \pm 6.9 \text{ hr}$	$0.2 \pm 0.01 \text{ hr}$
$T_{1/2\beta}$	$17.0 \pm 4.3 \text{ hr}$	$8.1 \pm 1.1 \text{ hr}$
$\text{AUC}_{0-\text{T}}$	$14841 \pm 279.7 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{hr/g}$	$424.8 \pm 12.5 \text{ pg}\cdot\text{hr/g}$
Blood Levels		
C_{MAX}	$217.5 \pm 30.1 \mu\text{g/g}$	$1024.8 \pm 56.5 \text{ pg/g}$
T_{MAX}	$3.1 \pm 2.5 \text{ hr}$	$0.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ hr}$
$T_{1/2\alpha}$	$1.8 \pm 1.7 \text{ hr}$	$0.2 \pm 0.04 \text{ hr}$
$T_{1/2\beta}$	$11.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ hr}$	$5.0 \pm 0.01 \text{ hr}$
$\text{AUC}_{0-\text{T}}$	$1749 \pm 655 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{hr/g}$	$1319.8 \pm 68.8 \text{ pg}\cdot\text{hr/g}$

^aHigh dose: [^{12}C]propanil [200 $\mu\text{g/g}$] + [^{14}C]propanil [854 pg/g].

^bLow dose: [^{14}C]propanil [854 pg/g].