Published in final edited form as:

Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2019 April ; 42(4): 569–576. doi:10.1007/s00270-018-02160-y.

Outcomes after transarterial embolization of neuroendocrine tumor liver metastases using spherical particles of different sizes

Rebecca Zener, Hyukjun Yoon, Etay Ziv, Anne Covey, Karen T. Brown, Constantinos T. Sofocleous, Raymond H. Thornton, and F. Edward Boas*

Interventional Radiology Service, Department of Radiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Ave., New York, NY 10065

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate initial response and overall survival (OS) of neuroendocrine tumor (NET) liver metastases initially treated with transarterial embolization (TAE) using spherical particles of different sizes.

Methods: A single-institution retrospective review was performed of 160 patients with NET liver metastases initially treated with TAE using $< 100\ \mu\text{m}$ ($n=77$) or only $100\ \mu\text{m}$ ($n=83$) spherical particles. For each patient, we evaluated: initial response by mRECIST, time to progression, overall survival, complications, primary site, tumor grade and degree of differentiation, volume of liver disease, extrahepatic disease, NET-related symptoms, comorbidities, Child Pugh score, performance status, lobar versus selective embolization, and arteriovenous shunting.

Results: Initial response was higher for TAE using particles $<100\ \mu\text{m}$ versus TAE using only particles $100\ \mu\text{m}$ (64% vs 42%, $p=0.007$). Multivariate logistic regression showed that use of particles $<100\ \mu\text{m}$, and liver $<50\%$ replaced with tumor were independent predictors of a better initial response rate. There was no difference in major or minor complications between the two particle size groups. Median overall survival after TAE was 55 months for well to moderately differentiated NET, and 13 months for poorly differentiated or undifferentiated NET. There was no significant difference in survival between TAE patients treated with $<100\ \mu\text{m}$ versus only $100\ \mu\text{m}$ particles.

*Corresponding author.

Conflict of interest: FEB is a co-founder of Claripacs, LLC. He received research funding (investigator-initiated) and support for research meetings from Guerbet. He received research support (investigator-initiated) from GE. He received research supplies (investigator-initiated) from Bayer. He received a research grant and speaker fees from Society of Interventional Oncology, which were sponsored by Guerbet. He is an investor in Labdoor, Qventus, CloudMedx, and Notable Labs.

Compliance with ethical standards

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. For this type of study formal consent is not required. This HIPAA-compliant retrospective study was approved by the IRB.

Informed consent: For this type of study informed consent is not required. This study has obtained IRB approval from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and the need for informed consent was waived.

Consent for publication: For this type of study consent for publication is not required.

Conclusion: NET patients treated with TAE using particles <100 μm had better initial response, but the same overall survival, compared to TAE using only particles 100 μm .

Keywords

neuroendocrine tumor; carcinoid; transarterial embolization; particle size

Introduction

The best initial locoregional therapy for neuroendocrine tumor (NET) liver metastases is unknown. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines recommend either transarterial embolization (TAE), transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), or radioembolization (RE) for progressive unresectable liver-dominant NET metastases that are either symptomatic or have a large tumor burden [1].

Prior studies have shown mixed results when comparing TAE, TACE, and RE. In a retrospective study, patients with NET liver metastases treated with TAE or TACE had no difference in survival, but patients treated with radioembolization had worse survival [2]. However, a meta-analysis showed similar survival after TACE and radioembolization [3]. Radioembolization of NET is associated with long term liver toxicity [4]. TACE using drug-eluting beads is associated with a high rate of biliary complications [5].

To our knowledge, there is no published human data comparing outcomes after TAE using different particle sizes. In rabbits, TAE of liver tumors (VX2) using 300–500 micron Embospheres resulted in particles depositing outside the tumor, while 100–300 micron Embospheres deposited inside the tumor [6]. However, even 100–300 micron particles failed to generate hypoxia in rabbit VX2 tumors [7]. This could be due to the development of microcollaterals after embolization [8], allowing continued perfusion of the tumors. Particles smaller than 100 micron might be required to occlude end arterioles, in order to achieve tumor ischemia and necrosis. For both hepatocellular carcinoma [9] and NET [2], outcomes after TAE and TACE are similar, suggesting that ischemia is the dominant mechanism causing tumor necrosis.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate initial response, complications, time-to-progression, and overall survival (OS) of neuroendocrine tumor (NET) liver metastases initially treated with TAE using spherical particles of different sizes (< 100 μm versus 100 μm). In addition, this study examined factors that predict outcomes after TAE.

Methods

Patient selection

This Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-compliant retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB). All liver tumor embolization procedures performed from June 2009 to April 2016 were reviewed: 1278 procedures on 671 patients. For each patient, imaging and pathology reports were reviewed to identify patients with neuroendocrine tumor liver metastases that were treated with embolization. Exclusion

criteria were: patients with prior locoregional therapy (liver ablation or radioembolization), and patients who received ablation at the time of initial embolization.

Based on these criteria, 160 patients with NET liver metastases were identified: 77 patients were initially embolized using < 100 μ m spherical particles, and 83 patients were initially embolized using only 100 μ m spherical particles. Average age at the time of initial locoregional therapy was 59, and 53% of patients were male.

Transarterial embolization technique

Transarterial embolization was performed by a board-certified, fellowship-trained interventional radiologist, under moderate sedation, monitored anesthesia care, or general anesthesia. Embolization was performed using spherical particles: Embospheres (40–120 μ m or 100–300 μ m, Merit Medical, South Jordan, Utah), Bead Block (100–300 μ m, BTG, London, UK), or Embozene (100 μ m, Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Massachusetts). Embolization was performed to stasis, which sometimes required the use of larger particles. Patients with bilobar disease underwent staged treatment, with right and left lobe treatments performed 4–8 weeks apart.

Patients received octreotide 250 mcg SC pre-procedure. Patients also received cefazolin 1 g IV, but patients with a history of bilioenteric anastomosis or other biliary intervention received cefotetan 2 g IV, and patients with a cephalosporine allergy or severe penicillin allergy received clindamycin 900 mg IV and gentamicin 1.5 mg/kg IV. Post-procedure, patients with a history of biliary intervention received antibiotics for 5 days, and were discharged with ciprofloxacin 500 mg PO bid and metronidazole 500 mg PO tid.

Data collection and analysis

Response after the initial TAE was evaluated by mRECIST [10] on the first post-procedure CT or MRI, generally obtained 1 month post-treatment. Time to progression at any site was evaluated by mRECIST, after completion of the originally planned staged treatment (for example, right and left lobe embolization). Overall survival after initial TAE was evaluated. Post-procedure complications were classified as minor or major, based on guidelines from the Society of Interventional Radiology [11].

The following tumor and clinical variables were evaluated: primary site (lung, pancreas, small bowel, or other), tumor grade and degree of differentiation, volume of liver disease, presence of extrahepatic tumor, presence of NET-related symptoms, urgency of the initial procedure, age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index at the time of initial locoregional therapy, numerical Child Pugh score at the time of initial locoregional therapy, and ECOG performance status. The age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index provides an estimate of the risk of postoperative mortality due to age and comorbidities [12].

The following procedural variables were evaluated: smallest particle size used for TAE, degree of selectivity of the embolization, whether an extrahepatic vessel was embolized during the initial treatment, and angiographic evidence of arteriovenous shunting.

Proportions were compared using a chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression was performed to determine predictors of immediate response. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards model was used to determine predictors of time to progression and overall survival after initial locoregional therapy. Kaplan Meier curves were compared using a log rank test. Statistical tests were performed in Mathematica 9 (Wolfram Research, Champaign, IL).

Results

Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Most patients were Child Pugh A, ECOG 0, had extrahepatic disease, and received lobar treatments.

Complications

There was no difference in complications after TAE using $< 100 \mu\text{m}$ versus $100 \mu\text{m}$ spherical particles (Table 2). Major complications were: post-embolization syndrome requiring prolongation of hospital stay by > 24 hours ($n=25$), dyspnea ($n=8$), hypertensive urgency ($n=3$), liver abscess requiring drainage ($n=3$), altered mental status ($n=2$), acute cholecystitis ($n=2$), atrial fibrillation ($n=2$), aspiration pneumonia ($n=1$), bacteremia ($n=1$), contrast induced nephropathy ($n=1$), and pulmonary edema ($n=1$). Minor complications were: post-embolization syndrome ($n=15$), dyspnea ($n=4$), access site bleeding or bruising ($n=3$), aspiration pneumonia ($n=1$), asymptomatic celiac artery dissection ($n=1$), chest pain ($n=1$), and atrial fibrillation ($n=1$). Some patients had multiple complications.

Initial response

Initial response by mRECIST is shown in Table 3. 53% of patients had a complete or partial response on imaging. However, TAE patients who received particles smaller than $100 \mu\text{m}$ had a higher initial response rate. Multivariate logistic regression showed that use of particles $<100 \mu\text{m}$, and liver $<50\%$ replaced with tumor were independent predictors of a better initial response rate (Table 4).

Time to progression

Median time to progression at any site after TAE was 10 months for well to moderately differentiated NET, and 3.4 months for poorly differentiated or undifferentiated NET. A multivariate Cox proportional hazards model showed that small bowel NET, well to moderately differentiated NET, and disease volume $<50\%$ of liver were independent predictors of longer time-to-progression (Table 5). There was no difference in time-to-progression after TAE using particles $<100 \mu\text{m}$ versus TAE using only particles $100 \mu\text{m}$.

Overall survival

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards model showed that well to moderately differentiated NET, liver $<50\%$ replaced by tumor, and lack of AV shunting were the only independent predictors of improved OS (Table 6). Median overall survival after TAE was 55 months for well to moderately differentiated NET, and 13 months for poorly differentiated or

undifferentiated NET. For well to moderately differentiated NET, overall survival (and 95% confidence interval) was 87% (80–92%) at 1 year, 66% (57–74%) at 2 years, 59% (48–67%) at 3 years, and 48% (35–60%) at 5 years. For poorly differentiated or undifferentiated NET, overall survival was 51% (12–81%) at 1 year, 34% (5–69%) at 2 years, 17% (1–53%) at 3 years, and 17% (1–53%) at 5 years.

There was no significant difference in overall survival between TAE patients treated with <100 μm versus only 100 μm particles (Figure 1). In a multivariate Cox proportional hazards model, including all tumor, clinical, and procedural variables, use of < 100 μm particles did not affect survival (RR 0.89, $p=0.73$).

Discussion

NET patients treated with TAE using 40–120 μm Embospheres had better initial response, but the same time-to-progression and overall survival, compared to TAE using only particles 100 μm . Thus, better initial response does not necessarily translate into better survival.

Several other studies have similarly shown that, for locoregional therapy, improved response often does not result in improved survival [13; 14]. The reason is unknown. Treatment toxicity could play a role, but in our study, there was no difference in complications between the two groups. Another possibility is that locoregional therapy can induce systemic effects that might counter the local benefits of the treatment [15]. One promising approach for improving survival (not just response) after locoregional therapy is the use of adjuvant medications that modulate these harmful systemic effects [15; 16].

There are some important caveats to the use of small particles (40–120 μm Embospheres) for embolizing liver tumors. The amount of 40–120 micron Embospheres should be limited to 5 syringes to limit potential shunting to the lungs, which can be fatal [17]. In addition, if there is concern for off-target embolization, then small particles should not be used [18].

The only independent predictors of improved overall survival after TAE were: well to moderately differentiated NET, liver <50% replaced by tumor, and lack of AV shunting. These factors were also found to be important in previously published studies [2; 19].

This study has several limitations. First, it is a small single-center retrospective study. Second, the 100 μm group included several different types of particles: 100–300 μm Embospheres, 100–300 μm Bead Block, and 100 μm Embozene. Third, the recent approval of PRRT [20] by the US FDA could affect the roles of TAE and RE in treating NET.

In conclusion, NET patients treated with TAE using particles <100 μm had better initial response, but the same overall survival, compared to TAE using only particles 100 μm . The only independent predictors of improved overall survival after TAE or RE were: well to moderately differentiated NET, liver <50% replaced by tumor, and lack of AV shunting.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded in part through an NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant (P30 CA008748).

Funding: This research was funded in part through an NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant (P30 CA008748). The funding source played no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, or writing the manuscript.

Disclosures

FEb is a co-founder of Claripacs, LLC. He received research funding (investigator-initiated) and support for research meetings from Guerbet. He received research support (investigator-initiated) from GE. He received research supplies (investigator-initiated) from Bayer. He received a research grant and speaker fees from Society of Interventional Oncology, which were sponsored by Guerbet. He is an investor in Labdoor, Qventus, CloudMedx, and Notable Labs.

References

1. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors. Available at https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf. Accessed 2018-06-04.
2. Chen JX, Rose S, White SB, et al. Embolotherapy for Neuroendocrine Tumor Liver Metastases: Prognostic Factors for Hepatic Progression-Free Survival and Overall Survival. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2017; 40(1):69–80. [PubMed: 27738818]
3. Yang TX, Chua TC, Morris DL. Radioembolization and chemoembolization for unresectable neuroendocrine liver metastases - a systematic review. *Surg Oncol*. 2012; 21(4):299–308. [PubMed: 22846894]
4. Tomozawa Y, Jahangiri Y, Pathak P, et al. Long-Term Toxicity after Transarterial Radioembolization with Yttrium-90 Using Resin Microspheres for Neuroendocrine Tumor Liver Metastases. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2018; 29(6):858–865. [PubMed: 29724520]
5. Bhagat N, Reyes DK, Lin M, et al. Phase II study of chemoembolization with drug-eluting beads in patients with hepatic neuroendocrine metastases: high incidence of biliary injury. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2013; 36(2):449–459. [PubMed: 22722717]
6. Lee KH, Liapi E, Vossen JA, et al. Distribution of iron oxide-containing Embosphere particles after transcatheter arterial embolization in an animal model of liver cancer: evaluation with MR imaging and implication for therapy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2008; 19(10):1490–1496. [PubMed: 18755602]
7. Levy EB, Gacchina Johnson C, Jacobs G, et al. Direct Quantification and Comparison of Intratumoral Hypoxia following Transcatheter Arterial Embolization of VX2 Liver Tumors with Different Diameter Microspheres. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2015; 26(10):1567–1573. [PubMed: 26231108]
8. Cho KJ, Lunderquist A. Experimental hepatic artery embolization with Gelfoam powder. Microfil perfusion study of the rabbit liver. *Invest Radiol*. 1983; 18(2):189–193. [PubMed: 6862809]
9. Brown KT, Do RK, Gonen M, et al. Randomized Trial of Hepatic Artery Embolization for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Using Doxorubicin-Eluting Microspheres Compared With Embolization With Microspheres Alone. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016; 34(17):2046–2053. [PubMed: 26834067]
10. Alexander ES, Soulen MC (2018) Liver-directed therapies for neuroendocrine metastases In: Cardona K, Maithel S, (eds) *Primary and Metastatic Liver Tumors*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland,
11. Khalilzadeh O, Baerlocher MO, Shyn PB, et al. Proposal of a New Adverse Event Classification by the Society of Interventional Radiology Standards of Practice Committee. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2017; 28(10):1432–1437 e1433. [PubMed: 28757285]
12. Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1994; 47(11):1245–1251. [PubMed: 7722560]
13. Vilgrain V, Pereira H, Assenat E, et al. Efficacy and safety of selective internal radiotherapy with yttrium90 resin microspheres compared with sorafenib in locally advanced and inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma (SARAH): an open-label randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017; 18(12):1624–1636. [PubMed: 29107679]
14. Wasan HS, Gibbs P, Sharma NK, et al. First-line selective internal radiotherapy plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone in patients with liver metastases from colorectal cancer (FOXFIRE, SIRFLOX, and FOXFIRE-Global): a combined analysis of three multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncol*. 2017; 18(9):1159–1171. [PubMed: 28781171]
15. Boas FE, Ziv E, Yarmohammadi H, et al. Adjuvant Medications That Improve Survival after Locoregional Therapy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2017; 28(7):971–977 e974. [PubMed: 28527884]

16. Zhou B, Yan Z, Liu R, et al. Prospective Study of Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE) with Ginsenoside Rg3 versus TACE Alone for the Treatment of Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Radiology*. 2016; 280(2):630–639. [PubMed: 26885681]
17. Brown KT. Fatal pulmonary complications after arterial embolization with 40–120- micro m tris-acryl gelatin microspheres. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2004; 15(2 Pt 1):197–200. [PubMed: 14963189]
18. Boas FE, Sofocleous CT (2019) Embolotherapy for the management of liver malignancies other than hepatocellular carcinoma In: Mauro MA, Murphy KPJ, Thomson K, Venbrux A, Morgan R, (eds) *Image-Guided Interventions*, 3rd Elsevier, Philadelphia,
19. Sofocleous CT, Petre EN, Gonen M, et al. Factors affecting periprocedural morbidity and mortality and long-term patient survival after arterial embolization of hepatic neuroendocrine metastases. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2014; 25(1):22–30; quiz 31. [PubMed: 24365504]
20. Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E, et al. Phase 3 Trial of (177)Lu-Dotatate for Midgut Neuroendocrine Tumors. *N Engl J Med*. 2017; 376(2):125–135. [PubMed: 28076709]

Number at risk

< 100 μm:	77	64	44	27	17	6	1
≥ 100 μm:	83	66	43	30	20	17	13

Figure 1.

Overall survival after initial TAE performed using < 100 μm particles (blue curve, 55 month median survival), versus only using ≥ 100 μm particles (red curve, 43 month median survival), $p=0.11$.

Table 1.

Patient characteristics

Total patients	160
Primary site	
Lung	8 (5%)
Pancreas	68 (43%)
Small bowel	40 (25%)
Other or unknown	44 (28%)
Degree of differentiation / grade	
Well differentiated, low grade	99 (62%)
Well differentiated, intermediate to high grade; or moderately differentiated	46 (29%)
Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated	7 (4%)
Unknown	8 (5%)
Charlson comorbidity index (age adjusted)	6.4
Child Pugh score	5.2
ECOG performance status	
0	144 (90%)
1	14 (9%)
2	2 (1%)
< 100 µm particles used	77 (48%)
Arteriovenous shunt on angiography	4 (3%)
Particle embolization of extrahepatic vessel at initial treatment	9 (6%)
Extrahepatic disease	127 (79%)
Disease volume 50% of liver	59 (37%)
Degree of selectivity	
Lobar	126 (79%)
Selective	34 (21%)
Symptomatic or functional NET	77 (48%)
Urgent inpatient treatment	4 (3%)
Additional therapy	
Radioembolization	4 (3%)
Ablation	2 (1%)
Liver resection	20 (13%)
Primary resection	43 (27%)
PRRT	18 (11%)
Somatostatin analogue	58 (36%)

Total patients	160
Everolimus	21 (13%)
Sunitinib	7 (4%)
Cytotoxic chemotherapy	29 (18%)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

Complications after TAE, on a per-patient basis. Patients who had both minor and major complications are only recorded as major complications in this table.

	<100 μm	100 μm	<i>p</i>
major complications	15 (19%)	23 (28%)	0.27
minor complications	10 (13%)	13 (16%)	0.66
no complications	52 (68%)	47 (57%)	

Table 3.

Initial response to treatment (mRECIST) after TAE using particles < 100 micron, versus TAE using only particles ≥ 100 micron. Patients who received particles < 100 micron had a higher initial response rate (CR +PR, Fisher's exact test, $p=0.007$).

	< 100 μm	100 μm	All patients
CR	10 (13%)	10 (12%)	20 (13%)
PR	39 (51%)	25 (30%)	64 (40%)
SD	10 (13%)	28 (34%)	38 (24%)
PD	18 (23%)	20 (24%)	38 (24%)
Total	77	83	160

Table 4.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression to predict immediate response (CR or PR) by mRECIST. OR = odds ratio. OR>1 means the variable is associated with better response, and OR<1 means the variable is associated with worse response. Variables with p<0.1 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis.

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	OR	P	OR	P
< 100 µm particles used	2.4	0.0070 *	2.2	0.025 *
Primary site				
Lung	0.93	0.86		
Pancreas	0.90	0.71		
Small bowel	2.6	0.012 *	2.2	0.063
Other or unknown	1			
Degree of differentiation / grade				
Well differentiated, low grade	2.1	0.017 *	1.3	0.50
Well differentiated, intermediate to high grade; or moderately differentiated	0.79	0.48		
Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated	0.33	0.033 *	0.15	0.11
Unknown	1			
Charlson comorbidity index (age adjusted)	0.94	0.30		
Child Pugh score	0.86	0.60		
ECOG performance status	1.5	0.34		
Arteriovenous shunt on angiography	0.29	0.28		
Particle embolization of extrahepatic vessel at initial treatment	1.8	0.41		
Extrahepatic metastases	0.37	0.013 *	0.43	0.067
Disease volume 50% of liver	0.30	0.00024 *	0.40	0.015 *
Degree of selectivity				
Lobar	1			
Selective	1.1	0.80		
Symptomatic or functional NET	1.4	0.31		
Urgent inpatient treatment	0.29	0.28		

* = statistically significant result.

Table 5.

Univariate and multivariate prediction of time to progression at any site after TAE (Cox proportional hazards model). Variables with $p < 0.1$ in the univariate model were included in the multivariate model. RR = relative risk of death.

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	RR	P	RR	P
< 100 μ m particles used	0.78	0.15		
Primary site				
Lung	1.2	0.57		
Pancreas	1.2	0.20		
Small bowel	0.44	<0.001 *	0.52	0.0042 *
Other or unknown	1			
Degree of differentiation / grade				
Well differentiated, low grade	0.64	0.010 *	1.3	0.51
Well differentiated, intermediate to high grade; or moderately differentiated	1.4	0.076	1.9	0.13
Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated	5.0	<0.001 *	6.4	0.0014 *
Unknown	1			
Charlson comorbidity score (age adjusted)	1.0	0.92		
Child Pugh score	1.1	0.46		
ECOG performance status	0.79	0.48		
Arteriovenous shunt on angiography	1.2	0.80		
Particle embolization of extrahepatic vessel at initial treatment	0.83	0.61		
Extrahepatic metastases	1.5	0.051	1.4	0.13
Disease volume 50% of liver	1.8	0.0011*	1.7	0.0088*
Degree of selectivity				
Lobar	1			
Selective	0.84	0.42		
Symptomatic or functional NET	0.78	0.15		
Urgent inpatient treatment	0.63	0.43		

Table 6.

Univariate and multivariate prediction of overall survival after TAE (Cox proportional hazards model). Variables with $p < 0.1$ in the univariate model were included in the multivariate model. RR = relative risk of death.

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	RR	P	RR	P
< 100 μ m particles used	0.64	0.11		
Primary site				
Lung	1.7	0.25		
Pancreas	0.71	0.22		
Small bowel	0.70	0.25		
Other or unknown	1			
Degree of differentiation / grade				
Well differentiated, low grade	0.71	0.20		
Well differentiated, intermediate to high grade; or moderately differentiated	1.4	0.20		
Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated	3.2	0.013 *	5.0	0.0012 *
Unknown	1			
Charlson comorbidity score (age adjusted)	1.0	0.76		
Child Pugh score	1.6	0.066	1.4	0.17
ECOG performance status	2.1	0.12		
Arteriovenous shunt on angiography	3.6	0.030 *	7.5	0.0079 *
Particle embolization of extrahepatic vessel at initial treatment	0.85	0.75		
Extrahepatic metastases	2.1	0.045 *	1.4	0.44
Disease volume 50% of liver	3.1	<0.001 *	2.9	<0.001 *
Degree of selectivity				
Lobar	1			
Selective	0.41	0.055	0.35	0.073
Symptomatic or functional NET	0.85	0.55		
Urgent inpatient treatment	0.57	0.57		