Published in final edited form as:

Water Res. 2019 March 01; 150: 68–76. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.11.059.

Phototransformation of halophenolic disinfection byproducts in receiving seawater: Kinetics, products, and toxicity

Jiaqi Liu^{a,b}, Xiangru Zhang^{a,*}, Yu Li^a, Wanxin Li^a, Chen Hang^a, and Virender K. Sharma^b

^aDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China

^bDepartment of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Public Health, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX USA

Abstract

Flushing toilet with seawater is an effective method for preserving freshwater resources, but it introduces iodide and bromide ions into domestic wastewater. During chlorine disinfection, iodide and bromide ions in the saline wastewater effluent lead to the formation of iodinated and brominated aromatic disinfection byproducts (DBPs). Examples of aromatic DBPs include iodophenolic, bromophenolic and chlorophenolic compounds, which generally display substantially higher toxicity than haloaliphatic DBPs. This paper presented for the first time the rates of phototransformation of 21 newly identified halophenolic DBPs in seawater, the receiving waterbody of the wastewater effluent. The phototransformation rate constants (k) were in the range from 7.75×10^{-4} to $4.62 \times 10^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$, which gave half-lives of 1.5–895 h. A quantitative structure-activity relationship was established for the phototransformation of halophenolic DBPs as $\log k = -0.0100 \times \Delta G_f^0 + 5.7528 \times \log MW + 0.3686 \times pK_a - 19.1607$, where ΔG_f^0 is standard Gibbs formation energy, MW is molecular weight, and pK_a is dissociation constant. This model well predicted the k values of halophenolic DBPs. Among the tested DBPs, 2,4,6-triiodophenol and 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol were found to exhibit relatively high risks on marine organisms, based on toxicity indices and half-lives. In seawater, the two DBPs underwent photonucleophilic substitutions by bromide, chloride and hydroxide ions, resulting in the conversion to their bromophenolic and chlorophenolic counterparts (which are less toxic than the parent iodophenolic DBPs) and to their hydroxyphenolic counterparts (iodo(hydro)quinones, which are more toxic than the parent iodophenolic DBPs). The formed iodo(hydro)quinones further transformed to hydroxyl-iodo(hydro)quinones, which have lower toxicity than the parent compounds.

Keywords

Disinfection byproducts; DBPs; Photoconversion; Phototransformation; Toxicity

*Corresponding author. xiangru@ust.hk (X. Zhang).

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.11.059>.

1. Introduction

Flushing toilet with seawater is an effective method to conserve freshwater. This has been practiced in Hong Kong, Marshall Islands, Avalon, and Kiribati (Boehm et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). Consequently, the domestic wastewaters contain relatively high concentrations of inorganic ions such as iodide and bromide. In Hong Kong, the iodide and bromide ions in wastewater effluents have been found in the ranges of 30–60 µg/L and 20–31 mg/L, respectively (Gong and Zhang, 2013; Yang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Gong et al., 2018). Chlorination of water, rich in bromide and iodide ions, generates a suite of brominated, iodinated, and chlorinated disinfection byproducts (DBPs) (Richardson et al., 2007; Agus et al., 2009; Song et al., 2010; Criquet et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2012; Roccaro et al., 2013; Bond et al., 2014; Hua et al., 2015; Zhu and Zhang, 2016; Sharma et al., 2017; Li and Mitch, 2018; Richardson and Postigo, 2018; Yan et al., 2016, 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2018). There has been a growing concern regarding brominated and iodinated DBPs due to their substantially higher toxicity than that induced by the chlorinated counterparts (Echigo et al., 2004; Richardson et al., 2007; Dad et al., 2013; Yang and Zhang, 2013; Liu and Zhang, 2014; Sharma et al., 2014). In recent years, different groups of halophenolic DBPs have been identified in chlorinated wastewater effluents, including 5-halosalicyclic acids, 4-halophenols, 2,4- dihalophenols, 2,6- dihalophenols, 2,4,6-trihalophenols, 2,6- dihalo-4-nitrophenols, 3,5-dihalo-4-hydroxybenzaldehydes, 3,5- dihalo-4-hydroxybenzoic acids, and 2,5-dibromohydroquinone (Yang and Zhang, 2016). Toxicity of twenty halophenolic DBPs and five haloaliphatic DBPs has been evaluated by measuring the growth inhibition to the marine alga *Tetraselmis marina* and the developmental toxicity to the marine polychaete *Platynereis dumerilii* (Liu and Zhang, 2014; Yang and Zhang, 2013). The results revealed that halophenolic DBPs generally induced dozens to hundreds of times higher toxicity than haloaliphatic DBPs. Moreover, of the halophenolic DBPs tested, 2,4,6-triiodophenol exhibited the highest growth inhibition to the marine alga; 2,6-diiodo-4- nitrophenol and 2,4,6-triiodophenol were two of the most toxic DBPs to the marine polychaete (Liu and Zhang, 2014; Yang and Zhang, 2013).

Chlorinated saline wastewater effluents containing DBPs are continuously discharged into seawater (the ultimate receiving water body), and consequently halophenolic DBPs (especially iodophenolic and bromophenolic ones) might chronically do harm to marine species (Yang et al., 2015). Fortunately, the solar irradiation could transform most of toxic DBPs to less toxic products, causing a decrease in the toxicity of wastewater effluents (mixtures of all DBPs) (Liu et al., 2017; Lv et al., 2017). However, some toxic halogenated DBPs were likely persistent in receiving seawater (Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Information). Although great progress has been made to understand phototransformation of DBPs from the mixture point of view, information on individual halophenolic DBPs is still lacking.

Recently, the quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) approach has been increasingly applied in studies of emerging water contaminants to establish relationships between experimental (including chemical and toxicological) observations and

physicochemical properties of the molecules (Yang and Zhang, 2013; Liu and Zhang, 2014; Xiao et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2015; Borhani et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). This approach enables prediction of properties on the assumption that compounds with similar structures behave alike and that the property differences are attributable to enthalpy changes caused by different types and numbers of functional groups (Chen, 2011). Different QSAR models have been developed for the hydrolysis of DBPs (Wang et al., 2018; Yu and Reckhow, 2015; Chen, 2011; Glezer et al., 1999). Currently, a large number of DBPs (especially the toxic halophenolic ones) in chlorinated wastewater effluents are still unknown, and might be gradually identified and confirmed in future studies. It is important to develop a QSAR model for phototransformation of halophenolic DBPs, enabling prediction of the stability of halophenolic DBPs which were not included in this study.

Accordingly, the present paper aimed to: (i) investigate the phototransformation kinetics of various groups of halophenolic DBPs; (ii) develop a QSAR model for the phototransformation kinetics of halophenolic DBPs; (iii) delineate the phototransformation mechanisms of two selected iodophenolic DBPs, 2,4,6-triiodophenol and 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol (which are of relatively high risks to marine organisms as shown later in the Results and Discussion) in seawater by identifying products and transformation pathways; and (iv) evaluate toxicity variations of the two iodophenolic DBPs during transformation against the marine polychaete *P. dumerilii*. This species has been successfully used in measuring the comparative toxicity of various DBPs, wastewater effluent and drinking water samples (Yang and Zhang, 2013; Yang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015, 2017; Li et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2017; Han et al., 2017; Han and Zhang, 2018).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals, solvents and experimental setup

Ultrapure water (18.2M Ω •cm) was supplied by a NANOpure system (Barnstead). Seawater was collected from Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong. The pH of seawater was 8.2 and concentrations of iodide, bromide, chloride, nitrate, and total organic carbon (TOC) were 32.1 μ g/L, 64 mg/L, 19200 mg/L, <0.025 mg/L as N, and 1.1 mg/L as C, respectively. The iodide concentration was quantified per the method by Gong and Zhang (2013). Bromide, chloride and nitrate were measured with an ion chromatograph (Dionex). TOC was measured with a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu). Prior to use, the seawater was filtered with a 0.45 μ m filter, autoclaved at 121 $^{\circ}$ C for 20min, and cooled to ambient temperature. Additionally, the seawater was further aerated for 15 min for cultivating the polychaete. Standard compounds of DBPs were purchased from different suppliers, with details shown in Table S1. Other chemicals and organic solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

In phototransformation studies, 100 mL quartz flasks (base diameter 67 mm, overall height 110 mm, neck diameter 22 mm, neck length 25 mm) were obtained from Technical Glass Products Inc., U.S. Eight full-spectrum simulated sunlight lamps (BlueMax Spectra 5900 47" T5 High Definition Fluorescent Tube) were acquired from Full Spectrum Solutions, U.S., and the spectrum is shown in Fig. S2. A chamber with length $\times$ width $\times$ height of 1180 mm $\times$ 485 mm $\times$ 615 mm was self-constructed in the lab. The simulated sunlight lamps were fixed at the top of the chamber and the distance between two adjacent lamps was 60 mm.

The light intensity at the bottom of the chamber was calculated as 6134 ± 231 lux (equivalent to 48.5 ± 1.8 W/m², per details shown in Fig. S3). The temperature in the chamber was controlled at 22 °C. This chamber could hold totally 96 quartz flasks (100 mL each). During each test, the 96 quartz flasks, each containing a 40 mL solution (i.e., a certain number of flasks contained DBP test solutions, depending on the experimental design, and the other flasks contained seawater), were placed in the chamber. The quartz flasks were repositioned every 2 h to eliminate the difference in locational illumination. The volume of each test solution was daily measured and replenished to 40 mL by adding ultrapure water to compensate for evaporation loss.

2.2. Phototransformation of 21 halophenolic DBPs in seawater

The phototransformation of 21 halophenolic DBPs (as shown in Table 1) in seawater was studied. These DBPs were newly identified in chlorinated saline wastewater effluents (Yang and Zhang, 2013; Ding et al., 2013). For each DBP, ~20mg standard compound was dissolved in 4 L seawater to obtain a solution at 5 mg/L, and the solution was quickly adjusted to pH 8.2 (i.e., the pH of seawater) with NaOH and HCl solutions. Then, four 40 mL solutions were taken out and placed into 100 mL quartz flasks. Two aliquots (as duplicates) were exposed to simulated sunlight for 84 h, and then pretreated, followed by the analysis with a Waters Acquity ultra performance liquid chromatograph/electrospray ionization-triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (UPLC/ESI-tqMS). Details are shown in the Supplementary Information. The other two duplicate aliquots were pretreated and analyzed immediately after preparation.

2.3. QSAR modelling

In QSAR modelling, the logarithm of the reaction rate constant ($\log k$) was used as the dependent (Wang et al., 2018). Physiochemical parameters were selected as independent variables (molecular descriptors) based on the phototransformation mechanism of halophenolic DBPs. Multiple regression analyses were performed with the software STATISTICA 12.0 (StatSoft). The quality of the model was characterized by the square of correlation coefficient (r^2), the Fisher criterion (F), the significance level (p), and the standard error of estimate (σ).

2.4. Phototransformation and toxicity variation of 2,4,6- triiodophenol and 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol in seawater

A 3L solution of 2,4,6-triiodophenol (or 2,6-diiodo-4- nitrophenol) was prepared by dissolving the standard compound in seawater at 5 mg/L. The pH of seawater remained the same after the addition of 2,4,6-triiodophenol (or 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol) at this low concentration. Then the solution was divided into 72 portions, and each portion was 40 mL and placed into a 100 mL quartz flask.

Seventy portions were divided evenly into seven groups and put under the irradiation of simulated sunlight for seven exposure times (0.025–600 h for 2,4,6-triiodophenol and 0.025–684 h for 2,6- diiodo-4-nitrophenol). After a specific exposure time, two duplicate portions were pretreated following the same procedure as that for the solutions of the 21 DBPs as shown in the Supplementary Information. Then, the duplicate pretreated solutions were

combined and subjected to precursor ion scan (PIS), product ion scan, and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) analyses using UPLC/ESI-tqMS. PIS of m/z 126.9 is a powerful method for fast, selectively, and sensitively detecting iodine-containing compounds (Gong and Zhang, 2015). For identifying and monitoring iodine-containing phototransformation products, UPLC/ESI-tqMS MRM scan and product ion scan were performed. The structure of each product was proposed according to the retention time, the isotopic ratio of the ion cluster, and the fragment information in product ion scans. The other eight portions of 2,4,6-triiodophenol (or 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol) seawater solutions in each group were combined into a 320 mL solution. After specific pretreatment, duplicate developmental toxicity tests were conducted with the embryos of the marine polychaete *P. dumerilii* following the procedure in previous studies (Yang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017) (see details in the Supplementary Information).

The remaining two portions were kept in darkness for 600 h for 2,4,6-triiodophenol (or 684 h for 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol). These samples were pretreated and analyzed with UPLC/ESI-tqMS following the same procedure as that for the solutions exposed to light.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phototransformation rates of 21 halophenolic DBPs

The phototransformation of 21 halophenolic DBPs in seawater within 84 h of light exposure was investigated. The degradation of these DBPs followed pseudo-first-order reactions, according to our previous study (Liu et al., 2017). Table 1 lists the percentages of the phototransformation of DBPs within 84 h light exposure. The calculated pseudo-first-order rate constants (k , h^{-1}) and half-lives (h) are also given in Table 1. The results suggested that iodophenolic DBPs were transformed faster than their bromophenolic counterparts, which in turn were transformed faster than their chlorophenolic counterparts. For the four groups of DBPs tested (2,4,6-trihalophenols, 4-halophenols, 2,6-dihalo-4-nitrophenols, and 3,5-dihalo-4-hydroxybenzaldehydes), the descending order of the phototransformation rates within each group was: 2,4,6-triiodophenol > 2,4,6-tribromophenol > 2,4,6-trichlorophenol; 4-iodophenol > 4-bromophenol > 4-chlorophenol; 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol > 2,6-dibromo-4-nitrophenol > 2,6-dichloro-4-nitrophenol; 3,5-diiodo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde > 3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde > 3,5-dichloro-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde. Abusallout and Hua (2016a) and Wang et al. (2017) studied the photolysis of haloaliphatic DBP analogues and also observed the same descending order of photolysis rates: iodinated DBPs > brominated counterparts > chlorinated counterparts.

To better understand and capture the roles of functional groups on the photolytic stability of halophenolic DBPs, the k values were interpreted using a QSAR model. The standard Gibbs energies of formation (G_f°) of DBPs may represent their relative stability. The G_f° value of each DBP was calculated using the software Chem3D Ultra 8.0 (CambridgeSoft) and given in Table S2. A regression analysis of $\log k$ versus ΔG_f^0 was conducted (Equation (1) and Table S3).

$$\log k = -0.00319 \times \Delta G_f^0 - 2.58277 \quad (1)$$

$$r^2 = 0.3098, F(1,19) = 8.5282, p = 0.00877, \sigma = 0.5778$$

The low correlation coefficient suggests that other factors also contribute to the k values of halophenolic DBPs. The authors' previous research demonstrated that halophenolic DBPs underwent S_N2 photonucleophilic substitution when they entered receiving seawater: bromophenolic and iodophenolic DBPs were converted to their chlorophenolic or hydroxyphenolic counterparts, via substituting the bromine and iodine atoms with chloride or hydroxide ions in seawater; chlorophenolic DBPs were converted to their hydroxyphenolic counterparts, via substituting the chlorine atoms with hydroxide ions in seawater (Liu et al., 2017). As shown later in this manuscript, besides chloride and hydroxide ions, iodophenolic DBPs were also substituted by bromide ions to form their brominated counterparts. Accordingly, we considered the substitution possibilities (P_{sub}) as one factor affecting the phototransformation rate constant. P_{sub} is related to the number of each type of halogen atoms in a halophenol (n) and the number of substitution types of one halogen type (m), and we defined it as the sum of the products of n and m of all halogen types, i.e., $\sum (n \times m)$. For iodine, m is 3 as it can be substituted by Br^- , Cl^- and OH^- ; for bromine, m is 2 as it can be substituted by Cl^- and OH^- ; for chlorine, m is 1 as it can be substituted by OH^- only. The calculation of the P_{sub} value of 2-bromo-4-chlorophenol is exemplified here. This halophenol contains one chlorine atom and one bromine atom, so its P_{sub} can be calculated as $1 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 = 3$. The P_{sub} values of the tested DBPs are presented in Table S2. A multiple regression analysis of $\log k$ versus ΔG_f^0 and $\log P_{\text{sub}}$ was conducted, and a QSAR was obtained Equation (2) and Table S3).

$$\log k = -0.00528 \times \Delta G_f^0 + 1.69789 \times \log P_{\text{sub}} - 3.58772 \quad (2)$$

$$r^2 = 0.5584, F(2,18) = 11.381, p = 0.00064, \sigma = 0.4749$$

To further improve the prediction of the k values, ionization of halophenolic DBPs in seawater was considered. In the S_N2 photonucleophilic substitution of each halophenolic DBP, the nucleophile (bromide, chloride, or hydroxide) attacks on the DBP to form an unstable complex (Liu et al., 2017). Compared with the neutral form, the negatively charged form of a halophenolic DBP might be difficult to be attacked because of the charge repulsion. Logarithmic dissociation constant (pK_a) is a quantitative measure of ionization of a halophenolic DBP in seawater. The pK_a values of the tested DBPs at 25 °C, obtained from SciFinder, are given in Table S2. By including pK_a as a descriptor, the QSAR was significantly improved, as shown in Equation (3) and Table S3. A plot of measured $\log k$ versus predicted $\log k$ is shown in Fig. 1a.

$$\log k = -0.01028 \times \Delta G_f^0 + 3.21592 \times \log P_{sub} + 0.27402 \times pK_a - 6.50578 \quad (3)$$

$$r^2 = 0.8365, F(3,17) = 28.987, p < 0.00000, \sigma = 0.2973$$

Wang et al. (2018) analyzed the hydrolysis rates of aliphatic DBPs, and found that iodinated DBPs hydrolyzed faster than their brominated counterparts, which in turn hydrolyzed faster than their chlorinated counterparts. They established a QSAR model for the hydrolysis of aliphatic DBPs, using molecular weight (MW) (because the atomic weight of halogen follows the rank order of iodine > bromine > chlorine) and the total number of halogen atoms in a DBP molecule as descriptors. Accordingly, the QSAR model of Equation (3) was modified by replacing $\log P_{sub}$ with $\log MW$ and adding the logarithm of the total number of halogen atoms in a molecule ($\log N$) as a descriptor, as shown in Equation (4) and Table S3.

$$\log k = -0.0107 \times \Delta G_f^0 + 5.5409 \times \log MW + 0.3920 \times pK_a + 0.9085 \times \log N - 19.1031 \quad (4)$$

$$r^2 = 0.8752, F(4,16) = 28.041, p < 0.00000, \sigma = 0.2678$$

The model was slightly improved, but $\log N$ is “insignificant” in this regression ($p > 0.05$, Table S3). This indicates that the multiple regression should be re-conducted by removing $\log N$. As shown in Equation (5), r^2 of the regression slightly decreased because the removal of one descriptor, but the F value significantly increased. The plot of measured $\log k$ versus predicted $\log k$ using Equation (5) is shown in Fig. 1b.

$$\log k = -0.0100 \times \Delta G_f^0 + 5.7528 \times \log MW + 0.3686 \times pK_a - 19.1607 \quad (5)$$

$$r^2 = 0.8474, F(3,17) = 31.469, p < 0.00000, \sigma = 0.2872$$

The insignificant contribution of $\log N$ to the QSAR model might result from the positive correlation between MW and N . For a group of halophenolic DBP analogues, the MW increases with the increase of the total number of halogen atoms on the benzene ring, e.g., the MWs of chlorophenols follow the rank order of chlorophenol (128.6) < dichlorophenol (163.0) < trichlorophenol (197.5). Equations (3) and (5) are both statistically acceptable, and Equation (5) is slightly better. Besides, the concept and calculation of P_{sub} are somewhat complicated, while the calculation of MW is easier. Accordingly, Equation (5) is adopted as the QSAR model for the phototransformation of halophenolic DBPs.

It should be pointed out that the phototransformation of halophenolic DBPs in natural marine environment mainly depends on the actual intensity of sunlight and the penetration of sunlight in seawater. The solar intensity on horizontal surface depends on the solar elevation angle. Tables S4-S6 show the solar elevations and intensities on horizontal surface in three coastal regions with different latitudes, including Singapore (1.3° N 103.8° E), Hong Kong (22.3° N 114.2° E), and Boston, MA, U.S. (42.4° N 71.0° W), on March 20, 2018

(when the sun directed at the equator). In this study, halophenolic DBP solutions were contained in 100 mL quartz flasks, with the water depth of ~1.1 cm, and the sunlight (6134 ± 231 lux) penetration could be considered as 100%. In natural marine water, the penetration of sunlight decreased with water depth (Liu et al., 2017). The phototransformation rates of halophenolic DBPs might vary with actual sunlight intensity received by the DBPs in seawater. The kinetics data and QSAR model obtained in this study show the “comparative” k values of halophenolic DBPs, which may aid in determining the persistent DBPs.

The authors' group previously evaluated the toxicity of the 21 tested halophenolic DBPs using a marine alga and a marine polychaete (Liu and Zhang, 2014; Yang and Zhang, 2013). The EC_{50} value of each DBP against each marine species is listed in Table S7. The toxicity index was calculated as the reciprocal of the EC_{50} value $\times 1000$ (Pan et al., 2014). The risk of each halophenolic DBP on the alga or the polychaete was calculated as the product of the toxicity index and the half-life (Table S7). 2,4,6-Triiodophenol and diiodo-4-nitrophenol showed relatively high risks among the 21 halophenolic DBPs, suggesting that these two DBPs deserve more attention. Thus, the phototransformation of 2,4,6-triiodophenol and diiodo-4-nitrophenol was further investigated.

3.2. Phototransformation and toxicity variation of 2,4,6- triiodophenol in seawater

When the 2,4,6-triiodophenol solutions were kept in darkness for 600 h, no change in concentration was observed, indicating that no transformation of 2,4,6-triiodophenol occurred without light irradiation. Fig. 2 shows the ESI-tqMS PIS spectra of m/z 126.9 of 2,4,6-triiodophenol solutions at different light exposure times. The intensity of ion m/z 471 (corresponding to 2,4,6-triiodophenol) decreased with light exposure time, indicating the phototransformation of 2,4,6-triiodophenol. Several phototransformation products were detected (Table S8). After 0.025 h light exposure, diiodohydroquinone (m/z 361, including 2,6-diiodo-1,4- hydroquinone and 2,4-diiodo-1,6-hydroquinone) was detected in the solution, and it might be generated via the substitution of an iodine atom in 2,4,6-triiodophenol with a hydroxyl group. Additionally, diiodohydroquinone was in equilibrium with diiodoquinone (m/z 360, including 2,6-diiodo-1,4-quinone and 2,4-diiodo-1,6-quinone). UPLC/ESI-tqMS MRM scans of ions m/z 361 and m/z 360 were conducted for all solutions. The variation in the total peak area (i.e., the summation of the peak areas of ions m/z 361 and m/z 360) with exposure time indicates the change in the concentration of diiodohydroquinone. As shown in Fig. S4, diiodohydroquinone formed and subsequently converted, with the maximum concentration showing up at the light exposure time of 40 h. After 11.5 h of light exposure, chlorodiiodophenol (m/z 379/381) and bromodiiodophenol (m/z 423/425) were detected (Fig. 2), and they might form from the halogen atom substitution in 2,4,6-triiodophenol (i.e., via the substitution of iodine atom by chloride and bromide, respectively).

The substitutions of iodine by hydroxide, chloride and bromide ions may follow the S_N2 photonucleophilic substitution mechanism (Liu et al., 2017) as follows:

When exposed to sunlight, 2,4,6-triiodophenol became excited. The iodine atoms are at the para- and ortho-positions to the hydroxyl group (an electron donating group), and thus they are readily substituted. In seawater, hydroxide, chloride and bromide ions could serve as nucleophiles. They added to the photo-excited 2,4,6-triiodophenol molecules to generate unstable δ -complexes, e.g., 4,4-hydroxyiodo-2,6-diiodophenol, 4,4-chloroiodo-2,6-diiodophenol, and 4,4-bromoiodo-2,6-diiodophenol, respectively. These δ -complexes tended to transform to stable compounds by leaving either one functional group on the 4-position. Because the dissociation energies follow the rank order of $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{-I}$ (272.0 kJ/mol) < $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{-Br}$ (336.4 kJ/mol) < $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{-Cl}$ (399.6 kJ/mol) < $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{-OH}$ (463.6 kJ/mol) (Cottrell, 1958), the iodine atom on the 4-position in all the three δ -complexes left as an iodide ion, yielding the substitution products 2,6-diiodo-1,4-hydroquinone, 4-chloro-2,6-diiodophenol and 4-bromo-2,6-diiodophenol.

In the UPLC/ESI-tqMS MRM chromatograms of ion clusters m/z 379/381 and m/z 423/425 (Figs. S5 and S6), two peaks were observed for each ion cluster. Accordingly, ion cluster m/z 379/381 might correspond to 4-chloro-2,6-diiodophenol and 2-chloro-4,6-diiodophenol, and ion cluster m/z 423/425 might correspond to 4-bromo-2,6-diiodophenol and 2-bromo-4,6-diiodophenol. This suggested that the iodine atoms at both para- and ortho-positions to the hydroxyl group might be substituted. The peak areas of chlorodiiodophenol and bromodiiodophenol (as the summation of both isomers) increased from the exposure time of 11.5–110 h and then decreased (Figs. S4–S6). The decrease resulted from further photonucleophilic substitution.

After 110 h light exposure, dichloroiodophenol (m/z 287/289/291) and bromochloroiodophenol (m/z 331/333/335) were detected (Fig. 2), and they were the products of photonucleophilic chlorine substitution of chlorodiiodophenol and bromodiiodophenol, respectively. According to the UPLC/ESI-tqMS MRM chromatograms (Figs. S7 and S8), two dichloroiodophenols (2,6-dichloro-4-iodophenol and 2,4-dichloro-6-iodophenol) and one bromochloroiodophenol (2-bromo-6-chloro-4-iodophenol) were generated. The peak areas of dichloroiodophenol (as the summation of both isomers) and 2-bromo-6-chloro-4-iodophenol increased from the exposure time of 110–334 h and then decreased (Figs. S4, S7, and S8). Accordingly, photonucleophilic substitution played an important role in the transformation of 2,4,6-triiodophenol in seawater (Fig. 3a). Photonucleophilic hydroxyl substitution was observed in the photodegradation of 2-

chlorophenol (Rao et al., 2003), and photonucleophilic chlorine substitution was found in phototransformation of iodophenolic and bromophenolic DBPs in seawater (Liu et al., 2017). This is the first time that the photonucleophilic bromine substitution of iodophenolic DBPs was observed.

After 236 h light exposure, iodotrihydroxyquinone (m/z 282) was observed (Fig. 2), and its peak area in UPLC/ESI-tqMS MRM chromatogram kept increasing within the exposure period from 236 to 600 h (Fig. S4). Iodotrihydroxyquinone was proposed to be a transformation product of diiodoquinone, as exemplified by the transformation of 2,6-diiodo-1,4-quinone (Fig. 3b). First, 2,6-diiodo-1,4-quinone underwent two sequential photo-addition reactions with water, leading to two more hydroxyl groups substituted on the benzene ring and the formation of 2,6-diiodo-3,5-dihydroxy-1,4-quinone (with the mechanism shown in Fig. S9a-b). Second, 2,6-diiodo-3,5-dihydroxy-1,4-quinone was transformed to 2-iodo-3,5,6-trihydroxy-1,4-quinone via photonucleophilic hydroxyl substitution. Another possible pathway is that 2,6-diiodo-1,4-quinone was first transformed to 2-hydroxy-6-iodo-1,4-quinone via photonucleophilic hydroxyl substitution, and then 2-hydroxy-6-iodo-1,4-quinone underwent two sequential photo-addition reactions with water, generating 2-iodo-3,5,6-trihydroxy-1,4-quinone (with the mechanism shown in Fig. S9c-d). The mechanism of photo-addition reaction between haloquinone and water under UV radiation has been suggested earlier (Qian et al., 2013).

Fig. 4a shows the normal development percentages of the marine polychaete embryos in the 2,4,6-triiodophenol solutions with different light exposure times. Notably, each concentration in Fig. 4a was the concentration of 2,4,6-triiodophenol in the concentrated sample before phototransformation. For each curve, the value of EC_{50} was calculated per Yang and Zhang (2013)'s method. According to the previous study (Yang and Zhang, 2013), the EC_{50} values of the same DBP solution from three different batches of embryos were within a relative standard deviation of 1.2%.

Photonucleophilic bromine and chlorine substitution triggered the transformation from 2,4,6-triiodophenol to its bromophenolic and chlorophenolic counterparts, which might decrease the toxicity of "2,4,6-triiodophenol" (to be exact, the overall toxicity of "2,4,6-triiodophenol and its transformation products"). However, per the EC_{50} values (Table S9), when the exposure time increased from 0.025 to 40 h, the toxicity of "2,4,6-triiodophenol" slightly increased (by 7.6%). This toxicity increase agrees with the concentration increase of diiodo(hydro)quinone. Halo(hydro)quinones are a new class of highly toxic DBPs in disinfected wastewater and drinking water. Halohydroquinones were found to be substantially more toxic than other halophenolic DBPs (Yang and Zhang, 2013). Certain haloquinones generated intracellular reactive oxygen species in T24 bladder cancer cells, and bound to oligodeoxynucleotides (Anichina et al., 2010; Du et al., 2013). When the light exposure time exceeded 40 h, the concentration of diiodo(hydro)quinone decreased, and the toxicity of "2,4,6-triiodophenol" also decreased. This indicates that further phototransformation of diiodo(hydro)quinone was a detoxification process. Photo-addition reaction with water led to more substitutions of hydroxyl groups on the benzene ring, which lowered $\log K_{OW}$ of the (hydro)quinone product and thus lowered the toxicity of the product. This is consistent with the results of the previous study (Liu et al., 2017). After 600

h of light exposure, the toxicity of “2,4,6-triiodophenol” decreased by 78%, compared with the initial toxicity.

3.3. Phototransformation and toxicity variation of 2,6-diiodo-4- nitrophenol in seawater

The concentration of 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol in the solution kept under darkness for 684 h was almost the same as the initial concentration, suggesting that no transformation occurred. Fig. S10 shows the ESI-tqMS PIS spectra of m/z 126.9 of 2,6-diiodo-4- nitrophenol seawater solutions with different light exposure times. The intensity of ion m/z 390 (corresponding to 2,6-diiodo-4- nitrophenol) decreased with the light exposure time, suggesting the occurrence of phototransformation. After 11.5 h light exposure, 2-chloro-6-iodo-4-nitrophenol (m/z 298/300) and 2-bromo-6-iodo-4-nitrophenol (m/z 342/344) were formed via the photonucleophilic chlorine and bromine substitutions of 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol, respectively. The ion intensity of 2-chloro-6-iodo-4-nitrophenol increased from the exposure time of 11.5–110 h and stayed the same afterwards, and the ion intensity of 2-bromo-6-iodo-4-nitrophenol increased from the exposure time of 11.5–110 h and decreased afterwards. Interestingly, 2,6-diiodo-1,4- hydroquinone (m/z 361) was generated via the substitution of the nitro group in 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol with a hydroxyl group. This photonucleophilic substitution occurred because the C_6H_5-OH bond (463.6 kJ/mol) shows higher dissociation energy than the $C_6H_5-NO_2$ bond (215.5 kJ/mol) (Cottrell, 1958), and also because the nitro group is at the meta-position of both iodine atoms (electron withdrawing groups) and the para-position of the hydroxyl group (an electron donating group) (Liu et al., 2017). 2,6-Diiodo-1,4- hydroquinone was in equilibrium with 2,6-diiodo-1,4-quinone (m/z 360) in water. The total intensity of ions m/z 360 and m/z 361 increased from the exposure time of 11.5–40 h, then decreased afterwards, and became undetectable after 362 h of light exposure. Further transformation of 2,6-diiodo-1,4-(hydro)quinone should follow the reactions as observed in the phototransformation of 2,4,6-triiodophenol. At the exposure time of 110 h, another photonucleophilic hydroxyl substituted product, 2-iodo-4-nitro-1,6- hydroquinone (m/z 280), was detected. This compound was in equilibrium with 2-iodo-4-nitro-1,6-quinone (m/z 279). The total intensity of ions m/z 280 and m/z 279 increased from the exposure time of 110–684 h. Within 684 h of light exposure, the main reactions for the transformation of 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol were photonucleophilic bromine, chlorine and hydroxyl substitutions (Fig. S11). Interestingly, 33% of 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol remained after 684 h of light exposure, while 2,4,6-triiodophenol was undetectable after 600 h of light exposure (Fig. 2). This confirmed that the phototransformation of 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol (m/z 390) was slower than that of 2,4,6-triiodophenol.

Fig. 4b shows the normal development percentages of the marine polychaete embryos in the 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol solutions with different light exposure times. Per the EC_{50} values (Table S10), the toxicity of “2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol” (to be exact, the overall toxicity of “2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol and its transformation products”) increased by 14.9% within the first 40 h of light exposure, which matched well with the intensity increase of 2,6-diiodo-1,4- (hydro)quinone. This confirmed that the formation of halo(hydro) quinone increased the toxicity. With further phototransformation of 2,6-diiodo-1,4-(hydro)quinone from the exposure time of 40–110 h, the toxicity of “2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol” decreased.

Later, another toxic haloquinone product, 2-iodo-4-nitro-1,6- (hydro)quinone was generated, and its ion intensity increased with the exposure time; as a result, the toxicity of “2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol” kept slightly increasing within the exposure period from 110 to 684 h. From these results, it can be concluded that 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol is a relatively persistent DBP with relatively high toxicity in receiving seawater. Besides, 2,6-dibromo-4-nitrophenol and 2,6-dichloro-4-nitrophenol also showed low phototransformation rates (Table 1). It is expected that different (hydro)quinone products might also form during the phototransformation of these two DBPs, and their toxicity might maintain at relatively high levels after a long exposure time. Accordingly, controlling the formation of halonitrophenolic DBPs deserves a high priority in wastewater treatment.

It needs mentioning that, according to Abusallout and Hua (2016b), sunlight irradiation of nitrate and natural organic matter (NOM) in water could result in the formation of HO·, NO· and NO₂· radicals and excited NOM triplet state that could cause the indirect phototransformation of halophenolic DBPs. On the other hand, sunlight irradiation could convert halide ions in seawater to halogen radicals (Yang and Pignatello, 2017) that could react with NOM in seawater to form halogenated organics (Sankoda et al., 2017; Calza et al., 2008). As assessed in the Supplementary Information, compared with the phototransformation of halophenolic DBPs, either the indirect phototransformation or the formation of halophenolic DBPs in the seawater under sunlight irradiation might be negligible.

4. Conclusions

This study investigated the phototransformation of 21 halophenolic DBPs in receiving seawater. The reaction rate constants (k , h⁻¹) were well predicted using a QSAR model that employed three physicochemical descriptors, ΔG_f^0 , log MW and pK_a. Among the tested DBPs, 2,4,6-triiodophenol and 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol exhibited relatively high risks (i.e., relatively high toxicity indices and long half-lives) on marine organisms. These two iodophenolic DBPs were transformed to their bromophenolic, chlorophenolic, and hydroxyphenolic counterparts via photonucleophilic substitutions by bromide, chloride and hydroxide ions in seawater, respectively.

By combining with our previous study (Liu et al., 2017), it can be concluded that the toxicity of a halophenolic DBP changed during its phototransformation in receiving seawater. Photonucleophilic bromine and chlorine substitutions might decrease the toxicity of iodophenolic DBPs, and photonucleophilic chlorine substitution might decrease the toxicity of bromophenolic DBPs. However, via photonucleophilic hydroxyl substitution, halophenolic DBPs were transformed to their hydroxyphenolic analogues, halo(hydro)quinones, and the toxicity might significantly increase. Fortunately, halo(hydro)quinones could further transform to less toxic products. As expected, the variation in toxicity of a halophenolic DBP in receiving seawater mainly depended on the formation and further transformation of its hydroxyphenolic counterpart, halo(hydro)quinone.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong, Theme-based Research Scheme (No. T21– 711/16R) and Collaborative Research Fund (No. C7044–14G). Dr. Jiaqi Liu was also supported by the U.S. National Institutes of Health-Institutional Training Grant T32 ES026568. The authors thank Yulan Ouyang, Yan Hang Ho and Shueng Yu Sin for preparing and pretreating samples.

References

- Abusallout I, Hua G, 2016a Photolytic dehalogenation of disinfection byproducts in water by natural sunlight irradiation. *Chemosphere* 159, 184–192. [PubMed: 27289205]
- Abusallout I, Hua G, 2016b Natural solar photolysis of total organic chlorine, bromine and iodine in water. *Water Res.* 92, 69–77. [PubMed: 26841230]
- Agus E, Voutchkov N, Sedlak DL, 2009 Disinfection by-products and their potential impact on the quality of water produced by desalination systems: a literature review. *Desalination* 237, 214–237.
- Anichina J, Zhao Y, Hrudey SE, Le XC, Li X-F, 2010 Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry characterization of interactions of newly identified water disinfection byproducts halobenzoquinones with oligodeoxynucleotides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 9557–9563. [PubMed: 21069955]
- Boehm AB, Yamahara KM, Love DC, Peterson BM, McNeill K, Nelson KL, 2009 Covariation and photoinactivation of traditional and novel indicator organisms and human viruses at a wastewater-impacted marine beach. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 8046–8052. [PubMed: 19924921]
- Bond T, Huang J, Graham NJD, Templeton MR, 2014 Examining the interrelationship between DOC, bromide and chlorine dose on DBP formation in drinking water—a case study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 470, 469–479. [PubMed: 24176694]
- Borhani TN, Saniedanesh M, Bagheri M, Lim JS, 2016 QSPR prediction of the hydroxyl radical rate constant of water contaminants. *Water Res.* 98, 344–353. [PubMed: 27124124]
- Calza P, Massolino C, Pelizzetti E, Minero C, 2008 Solar driven production of toxic halogenated and nitroaromatic compounds in natural seawater. *Sci. Total Environ.* 398, 196–202. [PubMed: 18452974]
- Chen B, 2011 Hydrolytic stabilities of halogenated disinfection byproducts: review and rate constant quantitative structure-property relationship analysis. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 28, 385–394.
- Cottrell TL, 1958. *The Strengths of Chemical Bonds*, second ed. Butterworth, London.
- Criquet J, Allard S, Salhi E, Joll CA, Heitz A, von Gunten U, 2012 Iodate and iodo-trihalomethane formation during chlorination of iodide-containing waters: role of bromide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 7350–7357. [PubMed: 22667818]
- Dad A, Jeong CH, Pals JA, Wagner ED, Plewa MJ, 2013 Pyruvate remediation of cell stress and genotoxicity induced by haloacetic acid drinking water disinfection by-products. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 54, 629–637. [PubMed: 23893730]
- Ding G, Zhang X, Yang M, Pan Y, 2013 Formation of new brominated disinfection byproducts during chlorination of saline sewage effluents. *Water Res.* 47, 2710–2718. [PubMed: 23510691]
- Du H, Li J, Moe B, McGuigan CF, Shen S, Li X-F, 2013 Cytotoxicity and oxidative damage induced by halobenzoquinones to T24 bladder cancer cells. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 2823–2830. [PubMed: 23368424]
- Echigo S, Itoh S, Natsui T, Araki T, Ando R, 2004 Contribution of brominated organic disinfection by-products to the mutagenicity of drinking water. *Water Sci. Technol.* 50, 321–328.
- Gao Y, Jiang J, Zhou Y, Pang S, Ma J, Jiang C, Yang Y, Huang Z, Gu J, Guo Q, Duan J, Li J, 2018 Chlorination of bisphenol S: kinetics, products, and effect of humic acid. *Water Res.* 131, 208–217. [PubMed: 29289922]

- Glezer V, Harris B, Tal N, Iosefzon B, Lev O, 1999 Hydrolysis of haloacetonitriles: linear free energy relationship, kinetics and products. *Water Res.* 33, 1938–1948.
- Gong T, Zhang X, 2013 Determination of iodide, iodate and organoiodine in waters with a new total organic iodine measurement approach. *Water Res.* 47, 6660–6669. [PubMed: 24075720]
- Gong T, Zhang X, 2015 Detection, identification and formation of new iodinated disinfection byproducts in chlorinated saline wastewater effluents. *Water Res.* 68, 77–86. [PubMed: 25462718]
- Gong T, Zhang X, Liu W, Lv Y, Han J, Choi K, Li W, Xian Q, 2018 Tracing the sources of iodine species in a non-saline wastewater. *Chemosphere* 205, 643–648. [PubMed: 29715678]
- Han J, Zhang X, 2018 Evaluating the comparative toxicity of DBP mixtures from different disinfection scenarios: a new approach by combining freeze-drying or rotoevaporation with a marine polychaete bioassay. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 10552–10561. [PubMed: 30125089]
- Han J, Zhang X, Liu J, Zhu X, Gong T, 2017 Characterization of halogenated DBPs and identification of new DBPs trihalomethanols in chlorine dioxide treated drinking water with multiple extractions. *J. Environ. Sci.* 58, 83–92.
- Hua G, Reckhow DA, Abusallout I, 2015 Correlation between SUVA and DBP formation during chlorination and chloramination of NOM fractions from different sources. *Chemosphere* 130, 82–89. [PubMed: 25862949]
- Jiang J, Zhang X, Zhu X, Li Y, 2017 Removal of intermediate aromatic halogenated DBPs by activated carbon adsorption: a new approach to controlling halogenated DBPs in chlorinated drinking water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 3435–3444. [PubMed: 28199792]
- Jiang J, Li W, Zhang X, Liu J, Zhu X, 2018 A new approach to controlling halogenated DBPs by GAC adsorption of aromatic intermediates from chlorine disinfection: effects of bromide and contact time. *Separ. Purif. Technol.* 203, 260–267.
- Jin X, Peldszus S, Huck PM, 2015 Predicting the reaction rate constants of micropollutants with hydroxyl radicals in water using QSPR modeling. *Chemosphere* 138, 1–9. [PubMed: 26005810]
- Li X-F, Mitch WA, 2018 Drinking water disinfection byproducts (DBPs) and human health effects: multidisciplinary challenges and opportunities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 1681–1689. [PubMed: 29283253]
- Li Y, Zhang X, Yang M, Liu J, Li W, Graham NJD, Li X, Yang B, 2017 Three- step effluent chlorination increases disinfection efficiency and reduces DBP formation and toxicity. *Chemosphere* 168, 1302–1308. [PubMed: 27919529]
- Li W, Zhang X, Li X, Lee JHW, 2018 Mystery of the high chlorine consumption in disinfecting a chemically enhanced primary saline sewage. *Water Res.* 145, 181–189. [PubMed: 30142516]
- Liu J, Zhang X, 2014 Comparative toxicity of new halophenolic DBPs in chlorinated saline wastewater effluents against a marine alga: halophenolic DBPs are generally more toxic than haloaliphatic ones. *Water Res.* 65, 64–72. [PubMed: 25090624]
- Liu J, Zhang X, Li Y, 2015 Effect of boiling on halogenated DBPs and their developmental toxicity in real tap water In: Karanfil T, Mitch WA, Westerhoff P, Xie Y (Eds.), *Recent Advances in Disinfection By-Products*. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, pp. 45–60.
- Liu J, Zhang X, Li Y, 2017 Photoconversion of chlorinated saline wastewater DBPs in receiving seawater is overall a detoxification process. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 58–67. [PubMed: 27958704]
- Lv X, Zhang X, Du Y, Wu Q, Lu Y, Hu H, 2017 Solar light irradiation significantly reduced cytotoxicity and disinfection byproducts in chlorinated reclaimed water. *Water Res.* 125, 162–169. [PubMed: 28850886]
- Pan Y, Zhang X, Wagner ED, Osioł J, Plewa MJ, 2014 Boiling of simulated tap water: effect on polar brominated disinfection byproducts, halogen speciation, and cytotoxicity. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 149–156. [PubMed: 24308807]
- Qian Y, Wang W, Boyd JM, Wu M, Hrudey SE, Li X-F, 2013 UV-induced transformation of four halobenzoquinones in drinking water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 4426–4433. [PubMed: 23560392]
- Rao NN, Dubey AK, Mohanty S, Khare P, Jain R, Kaul SN, 2003 Photocatalytic degradation of 2-chlorophenol: a study of kinetics, intermediates and biodegradability. *J. Hazard Mater.* B101, 301–314.

- Richardson SD, Postigo C, 2018 Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry of emerging disinfection by-products In: Cappiello A, Palma P (Eds.), *Advances in the Use of Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS): Instrumentation Developments and Applications*. Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 267–295.
- Richardson SD, Plewa MJ, Wagner ED, Schoeny R, DeMarini DM, 2007 Occurrence, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: a review and roadmap for research. *Mutat. Res.* 636, 178–242. [PubMed: 17980649]
- Roccaro P, Chang H, Vagliasindi FGA, Korshin GV, 2013 Modeling bromide effects on yields and speciation of dihaloacetonitriles formed in chlorinated drinking water. *Water Res.* 47, 5995–6006. [PubMed: 24035655]
- Sankoda K, Toda I, Sekiguchi K, Nomiya K, Shinohara R, 2017 Aqueous secondary formation of brominated, chlorinated, and mixed halogenated pyrene in presence of halide ions. *Chemosphere* 171, 399–404. [PubMed: 28033570]
- Sharma VK, Zboril R, McDonald TJ, 2014 Formation and toxicity of brominated disinfection byproducts during chlorination and chloramination of water: a review. *J. Eng. Sci. Health Part B* 49, 212–228.
- Sharma VK, Yang X, Cizmas L, McDonald TJ, Luque R, Sayes CM, Yuan B, Dionysiou DD, 2017 Impact of metal ions, metal oxides, and nanoparticles on the formation of disinfection byproducts during chlorination. *Chem. Eng. J.* 317, 777–792.
- Song H, Addison JW, Hu J, Karanfil T, 2010 Halonitromethanes formation in wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Chemosphere* 79, 174–179. [PubMed: 20153501]
- Tang HL, Chen YC, Regan JM, Xie YF, 2012 Disinfection by-product formation potentials in wastewater effluents and their reductions in a wastewater treatment plant. *J. Environ. Monit.* 14, 1515–1522. [PubMed: 22596061]
- Wang L, Niu R, Chen B, Wang L, Zhang G, 2017 A comparison of photodegradation kinetics, mechanisms, and products between chlorinated and brominated/iodinated haloacetic acids in water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 330, 1326–1333.
- Wang L, Chen B, Zhang T, 2018 Predicting hydrolysis kinetics for multiple types of halogenated disinfection byproducts via QSAR models. *Chem. Eng. J.* 342, 372–385.
- Xiao R, Ye T, Wei Z, Luo S, Yang Z, Spinney R, 2015 Quantitative structure- activity relationship (QSAR) for the oxidation of trace organic contaminants by sulfate radical. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 13394–13402. [PubMed: 26451961]
- Yan M, Li M, Roccaro P, Korshin GV, 2016 Ternary model of the speciation of I/ Br/Cl-trihalomethanes formed in chloraminated surface waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50, 4468–4475. [PubMed: 27007081]
- Yan M, Roccaro P, Fabbicino M, Korshin GV, 2018 Comparison of the effects of chloramine and chlorine on the aromaticity of dissolved organic matter and yields of disinfection by-products. *Chemosphere* 191, 477–484. [PubMed: 29059555]
- Yang Y, Pignatello JJ, 2017 Participation of the halogens in photochemical reactions in natural and treated waters. *Molecules* 22, 1684.
- Yang M, Zhang X, 2013 Comparative developmental toxicity of new aromatic halogenated DBPs in a chlorinated saline sewage effluent to the marine polychaete *Platynereis dumerilii*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 10868–10876. [PubMed: 24024886]
- Yang M, Zhang X, 2016 Current trends in the analysis and identification of emerging disinfection byproducts. *Trends Environ. Anal. Chem.* 10, 24–34.
- Yang M, Liu J, Zhang X, Richardson SD, 2015 Comparative toxicity of chlorinated saline and freshwater wastewater effluents to marine organisms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 14475–14483. [PubMed: 26505276]
- Yu Y, Reckhow DA, 2015 Kinetic analysis of haloacetonitrile stability in drinking waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 11028–11036. [PubMed: 26275044]
- Zhang D, Chu W, Yu Y, Krasner SW, Pan Y, Shi J, Yin D, Gao N, 2018 Occurrence and stability of chlorophenylacetonitriles, a new class of nitrogenous aromatic DBPs, in chlorinated and chloraminated drinking waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 5, 394–399.

Zhu X, Zhang X, 2016 Modeling the formation of TOCl, TOBr and TOI during chlor (am) ination of drinking water. *Water Res.* 96, 166–176. [PubMed: 27038586]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 1.
 (a,b) Plots of measured $\log k$ of the 21 halophenolic DBPs against $\log k$ values predicted from Equations (3) and (5), respectively.

Fig. 2.

ESI-tqMS PIS spectra of m/z 126.9 of 2,4,6-triiodophenol seawater solutions with the light exposure times of (a) 0.025, (b) 11.5, (c) 40, (d) 110, (e) 236, (f) 334, and (g) 600 h. The y-axes are all on the same scale. Proposed structures of some ions and ion clusters are shown at the top of the figure. For chlorodiiodophenol, bromodiiodophenol and dichloroiodophenol, isomers were detected using UPLC/ESI-tqMS MRM scans.

Fig. 3. Phototransformation pathway of 2,4,6-triiodophenol in seawater: **(a)** photonucleophilic substitution of 2,4,6-triiodophenol, and **(b)** further phototransformation of the hydroxyl-substituted product. The products were tentatively proposed. The structures marked with m/z values indicate that the corresponding molecular ions or ions clusters were detected by ESI-tqMS PIS of m/z 126.9.

Fig. 4. Normal development percentages of the marine polychaete *P. dumerilii* in the (a) “2,4,6-triiodophenol” and (b) “2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol” solutions with different light exposure times. The x-axes indicate the concentrations of 2,4,6-triiodophenol and 2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol in the concentrated test sample prior to phototransformation.

Table 1
Phototransformation of 21 halophenolic DBPs in seawater, observed under 84 h light exposure.

DBP	Phototransformation (%)	$k(h^{-1})^a$	Half-life (h) ^a
2,4,6-trichlorophenol	15.2 ± 1.1	1.96×10^{-3}	353.1
2,4,6-tribromophenol	22.7 ± 2.1	3.07×10^{-3}	226.1
2,4,6-triodophenol	27.3 ± 2.0	3.80×10^{-3}	182.6
2,6-dichloro-4-nitrophenol	13.6 ± 0.8	1.74×10^{-3}	398.3
2,6-dibromo-4-nitrophenol	15.0 ± 0.5	1.93×10^{-3}	358.3
2,6-diiodo-4-nitrophenol	16.7 ± 0.9	2.18×10^{-3}	318.7
4-chlorophenol	6.3 ± 0.2	7.75×10^{-4}	894.8
4-bromophenol	8.0 ± 0.4	9.93×10^{-4}	698.3
4-iodophenol	9.8 ± 0.6	1.23×10^{-3}	564.5
3,5-dichloro-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde	29.4 ± 1.1	4.14×10^{-3}	167.2
3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde	35.0 ± 1.6	5.13×10^{-3}	135.2
3,5-diiodo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde	76.7 ± 4.2	1.73×10^{-2}	40.0
2,4-dichlorophenol	37.7 ± 1.4	5.63×10^{-3}	123.0
2,6-dichlorophenol	12.2 ± 2.0	1.55×10^{-3}	447.5
2,4-dibromophenol	49.5 ± 2.8	8.13×10^{-3}	85.2
2,6-dibromophenol	29.4 ± 1.6	4.14×10^{-3}	167.2
2-bromo-4-chlorophenol	47.7 ± 2.8	7.72×10^{-3}	89.8
4-bromo-2-chlorophenol	35.8 ± 2.1	5.28×10^{-3}	131.4
5-chlorosalicylic acid	88.8 ± 4.8	2.61×10^{-2}	26.6
5-bromosalicylic acid	98.7 ± 2.5	1.21×10^{-1} ^b	5.7 ^b
2,5-dibromohydroquinone	100	4.62×10^{-1} ^c	1.5 ^c

^aThe results were calculated per the pseudo-first-order phototransformation.

^bThe results were obtained in our previous study (Liu et al., 2017).

^cThe concentration of 2,5-dibromohydroquinone was undetectable by the light exposure time of 84 h. Per our previous study (Liu et al., 2017), 2,5-dibromohydroquinone completely transformed within 3 h light exposure.