Published in final edited form as:

Biophys Chem. 2019 March ; 246: 35–39. doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2018.12.006.

Contribution of 3'T and 3'TT overhangs to the thermodynamic stability of model siRNA duplexes

Jolanta Lisowiec-Wachnicka^a, Brent M. Znosko^b, and Anna Pasternak^a

^aInstitute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Z. Noskowskiego 12/14, 61-704 Poznań, Poland ^bSaint Louis University, 3501 Laclede Ave., St. Louis, MO 63103-2010

Abstract

Herein, we report comprehensive thermodynamic studies on 36 RNA/DNA duplexes designed as siRNA mimics to determine the energetic contribution of 3'T and 3'TT dangling ends. The thermodynamic effect induced by the presence of 3'T overhangs on the stability of RNA duplexes ranges from –0.28 to –0.92 kcal/mol and strongly depends on the type and orientation of the adjacent base pair. Further extension of the 3'-dangling end length, by a second T residue, results in additional stabilization of 0.14 to 0.21 kcal/mol. The results revealed that the thermodynamic contribution of 3'-dangling T and TT on RNA duplexes differs from the influence of 3'-dangling U and UU on RNA duplexes and 3'-dangling T and TT on DNA duplexes. This data suggests that using the contribution of 3'-dangling T values for RNA duplexes, instead of 3'-dangling T values for DNA duplexes or 3'-dangling U values for RNA duplexes, would improve the prediction of the stability of siRNA duplexes.

Keywords

thermodynamics; siRNA; 3'-dangling ends; DNA; RNA; RISC selection

1. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of RNA interference (RNAi) by Fire et al. expanded the knowledge about post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression and opened the way for the design of new therapeutics based on siRNA molecules [1]. The strategy of using siRNA molecules is widely applied to silence the expression of selected genes [2–4].

It is likely that therapeutics based on siRNA and miRNA as biopharmaceuticals will be used in the future as drugs for various diseases. Clinical trials using RNAi as a treatment in humans are still ongoing. Some of the drugs based on siRNA molecules successfully passed phases I and II of clinical trials [5]. Several important features, such as pharmacodynamics,

Correspondence to: Anna Pasternak.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

pharmacokinetics, absorption, metabolism, distribution, and excretion, have to be considered when designing functional siRNA molecules as pharmaceuticals [5]. The most popular therapeutic target towards which scientists use siRNA molecules is cancer [5], including breast cancer, melanoma, lung cancer, prostate cancer, and colon cancer [5].

There are many computer programs that help in the design of active miRNA molecules [6]. Most of these programs agree on the main features required for the design of active miRNA molecules. A well designed siRNA should have a non-self-complementary sequence [7,8], more A/U pairs at the 5' end of the antisense strand and more G/C pairs at the 3' end of the sense strand [9], generally weaker bonds at the 5' end of the antisense strand [7,9,10], no internal repeats [8,9], nucleotide A at the sixth position of the antisense strand [7], nucleotide A at the third and nineteenth position of the sense strand [8], no G nucleotide at the thirteenth position, the absence of G/C nucleotides at the nineteenth position of the sense strand [8,10], and the presence of U in the ninth position of the sense strand of the siRNA duplex [8,10]. However, the use of Ui-Tei rules (part of the rules described above: more A/U pairs at the 5' end of the antisense strand and more G/C pairs at the 3' end of the sense strand, generally weaker bonds at the 5' end of the antisense strand, no internal repeats) [9] for the design of a number of siRNA molecules resulted in 98% of the siRNA molecules silencing below 33%, suggesting that the rules need to be improved. Many of the parameters used in the programs for siRNA design are based on the difference in thermodynamic stability of the siRNA duplex ends [11,12]. Overhangs, both single and double nucleotides, affect thermodynamic stability of RNA and may be another feature to consider when designing siRNA molecules.

Thermodynamic data show that sequence of dangling ends and type and orientation of the duplex closing base pair affect the thermodynamic stability of RNA and DNA duplexes [13,14]. In general, it was shown that 3'-dangling ends in RNA are more stabilizing than in DNA [15,16]. In addition, there are also some significant differences in the influence of 5' and 3' overhangs on the thermodynamic stability of RNA and DNA. It was reported that DNA overhangs at the 5' end are more energetically favorable than the ones situated at the 3'-end, whereas for RNA dangling ends, the effect is reversed [13,17]. All thermodynamic studies performed so far focus on the contribution of RNA dangling ends on RNA duplexes or DNA overhangs on DNA duplexes. The thermodynamic parameters derived from the above studies are currently used to estimate thermodynamic stability of siRNAs that are usually designed with DNA TT 3'-dangling ends on RNA duplexes, since data is not available for DNA dangling ends on RNA duplexes. If the energetic contribution of DNA overhangs on RNA is different from DNA overhangs on DNA and RNA overhangs on RNA, then it is essential to provide detailed thermodynamic parameters for DNA overhangs on RNA duplexes.

In this paper, we report the thermodynamic analysis of 3'T and 3'TT DNA dangling ends on model siRNA duplexes and their influence on siRNA stability depending on type and orientation of the closing Watson-Crick RNA base pair, *i.e.* A-U, U-A, G-C, or C-G. This data can now be used to improve design of siRNA for therapeutic uses.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Oligonucleotide synthesis

Oligoribonucleotides were synthesized on an automated DNA/RNA synthesizer, using β -cyanoethyl phosphoramidite chemistry [18]. The details of deprotection and purification of oligoribonucleotides were described previously [19]. The composition of all oligonucleotides was confirmed using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

2.2 UV melting studies

Oligonucleotides were melted in buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM Na₂EDTA, pH 7.0. The relatively low NaCl concentration resulted in melting temperatures in the range which allowed for melting curves with upper and lower baselines. Oligonucleotide single-strand concentrations were calculated from high temperature absorbances, and single-strand extinction coefficients were approximated by a nearest-neighbor model. Absorbance versus temperature melting curves were measured at 260 nm with a heating rate of 1°C/min from 0 to 90°C on a Beckman DU 640 spectrophotometer with a water cooled thermoprogrammer. Melting curves were analyzed, and thermodynamic parameters were calculated using a two-state model within MeltWin 3.5 software. For all sequences, the H° value derived from T_m^{-1} versus $\ln(C_T/4)$ plots was within 15% of the H° value derived from averaging the fits of individual melting curves, as expected if the melting proceeds according to two-state model (Table S1).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Specific interactions between nucleoside residues allow for designing of oligonucleotides that are model systems for structural motifs formed within nucleic acids. Comprehensive thermodynamic analysis of such model molecules provides thermodynamic parameters of folding that can be divided into thermodynamic contribution of particular base pairs. Nearest neighbor parameters facilitate understanding of the complicity of separate base pairs in the stability of structural motifs and are irreplaceable in accurate calculations of thermodynamic stability as well as in prediction of nucleic acid secondary structures. The nearest neighbor model was described for the first time in the 1980's by Turner and co-workers [20–22]. Since then, a significant amount of thermodynamic data concerning Watson-Crick canonical base pairs, mismatches, as well as 5'- and 3'-dangling ends have been published [16,23–28]. The literature data indicate that the presence of 3'-dangling ends significantly increases thermodynamic stability of DNA and RNA duplexes [13,29–33]. Nevertheless, there are substantial differences in the contribution of DNA and RNA unpaired nucleotides on the thermodynamic stability of DNA and RNA duplexes, respectively. One of the most important differences is the magnitude of stabilization contributed by 3'-dangling ends, since such motifs stabilize RNA duplexes more than DNA duplexes. In spite of the fact that comprehensive thermodynamic analyses were already performed for 3'-unpaired nucleotides within DNA and RNA duplexes [13,29], there is still a lack of data for 3'-overhangs of DNA nucleotides on RNA duplexes, such as seen in model siRNA duplexes.

In this study, oligonucleotides were designed to mimic siRNA duplexes, *i.e.* they consist of an RNA core with 3'T or 3'TT overhangs (Table 1). The sequences were selfcomplementary, which resulted in lower errors in the 3'-dangling end contribution [13]. All of the oligonucleotides were designed to eliminate the possibility of folding into competing structures, *e.g.* slipped duplexes. The thermodynamic effects of the 3'T and 3'TT dangling ends were determined based on type (A-U or G-C) and sequence context (A-U or U-A) of the adjacent Watson-Crick RNA base pair and were an average of the effects obtained for three duplexes with different core sequences but the same 3'-dangling end motif.

The energetic contribution of a 3'T dangling end was calculated based on experimental values, according to the equation:

$$\Delta\Delta G^{\circ}_{37}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} XT \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2}[\Delta G^{\circ}_{37(\text{duplex with } \begin{smallmatrix} XT \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})} - \Delta G^{\circ}_{37(\text{core duplex})}] \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

whereas, thermodynamic effect of double 3'TT dangling ends was calculated according to:

$$\Delta\Delta G^{\circ}_{37}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} XTT \\ Y \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2}[\Delta G^{\circ}_{37(\text{duplex with } \begin{smallmatrix} XTT \\ Y \end{smallmatrix})} - \Delta G^{\circ}_{37(\text{core duplex})}] \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

The X and Y correspond to any RNA residues that form a closing Watson-Crick base pair, the value of 1/2 is due to the use of self-complementary duplexes.

According to the data in Table 1, the presence of 3'T dangling ends always stabilizes RNA duplexes. The most significant increase in thermodynamic stability is observed for a 3'T unpaired nucleoside adjacent to a CG closing base pair in the $\begin{smallmatrix} 5' \\ \text{CT} \\ \text{G} \end{smallmatrix} 3'$ context. The presence of an unpaired T residue adjacent to a CG pair at both 3' ends of an RNA duplex results in thermodynamic stabilization ranging from -1.75 to -1.92 kcal/mol, with the average of -0.92 kcal/mol per each 3'T dangling end (Tables 1 and 2). On the contrary, direct proximity of the other pyrimidine residue, *i.e.* uridine, results in a significantly lower contribution of 3'T. In this case, the increase of thermodynamic stability varies from -0.43 to -0.64 kcal/mol per two 3'T residues (one 3'T residue on each end of the duplex) with an average ΔG°_{37} of -0.28 kcal/mol per each 3'T overhang. A similar thermodynamic effect was also observed for a GC closing base pair. The unpaired T residue at both 3' ends of an RNA duplex in the proximity of G changed RNA duplex thermodynamic stability by -0.64 to -0.75 kcal/mol, with an average of 0.36 kcal/mol per each 3'T dangling end. The presence of a 3'T residue adjacent to adenosine forming the last type of closing base pair, *i.e.* AU, on each end of the duplex induced an increase in RNA duplex thermodynamic stability of -0.86 to -1.10 kcal/mol. The average stabilization per each 3'T adjacent to A was -0.50 kcal/mol. Analysis of thermodynamic effects caused by a single, unpaired 3'T residue on the stability of an RNA duplex indicates that stabilization depends on both type

and orientation of the closing base pair, which is consistent with previous reports concerning thermodynamics of RNA 3'-dangling ends within RNA and DNA 3'-dangling ends within DNA duplexes [13,29].

Literature data suggest that the thermodynamic effect of any dangling end is a result of stacking interactions between the terminal unpaired nucleoside and one or both of the bases in the adjacent base pair [13]. The sequence dependence of stacking is probably an outcome of solvation and dipole effects [13,34]. In our studies, similar magnitude of stabilization is observed for 3'T overhangs that are adjacent to purine residues, i.e. -0.36 vs. -0.50 kcal/mol for G and A, respectively (Table 2). The 3'-unpaired T in the proximity of U stabilizes an RNA duplex similar to a 3'-unpaired T adjacent to a purine ($\Delta G_{37}^{\circ} = -0.28$ kcal/mol). Nevertheless, the direct neighborhood of 3'T with cytidine causes an increase in stability which differs more than 0.4 kcal/mol from the other three residues ($\Delta G_{37}^{\circ} = -0.92$ kcal/mol). This suggests that stacking interactions might not be the only factor that contributes to an increase of RNA duplex thermodynamic stability by a 3'T dangling end.

The effect of one DNA 3'-overhang on DNA duplexes and one RNA 3'-overhang on RNA duplexes have been studied previously (Table 3). The influence of a dangling T at the 3' end of a DNA duplex in 1 M NaCl was +0.13 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{T}}\text{AT}^{3'}$, -0.52 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{G}}\text{CT}^{3'}$,

-0.35 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{C}}\text{GT}^{3'}$, and -0.29 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{A}}\text{TT}^{3'}$ [17]. For dangling U on an RNA

duplex, in 1 M NaCl, the change of Gibbs free energy was -0.6 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{U}}\text{AU}^{3'}$, -1.2

kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{G}}\text{CU}^{3'}$, -0.6 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{C}}\text{GU}^{3'}$ and -0.1 kcal/mol for ${}^{5'}_{\text{A}}\text{UU}^{3'}$ [33]. Comparison

of the results described herein with literature data concerning the influence of U or T 3'-dangling ends within RNA or DNA duplexes, respectively, shows that the tendency of changing thermodynamic stability of RNA duplexes by the presence of a 3'T dangling end is quite different in reference to 3'-dangling T on DNA duplexes but similar to RNA duplexes containing a 3'U dangling end. The data analysis shows that the presence of a 3'-unpaired T on a DNA duplex causes the most favorable change of Gibbs free energy when 3'T is adjacent to cytidine and the most energetically unfavorable when it is adjacent to adenosine, with the following order of stabilization based on the nucleotide adjacent to the 3'T: dC>dG>dT>dA. On the contrary, the magnitude of RNA duplex stabilization caused by the presence of a 3'-dangling U can be arranged in the order rC>rG=rA>rU, where C is the most favorable and U is the least favorable neighborhood for 3'-dangling U. Our results show that the contribution of 3'-unpaired T within RNA duplexes is more like 3'U within RNA than 3'T within DNA and is the most favorable adjacent to C and the least favorable when is placed close to U or G according to the following direction: C>A>G U.

The direct comparison of the magnitude of thermodynamic effects presented herein with recently published data is difficult since various salt concentrations were used in the studies. However, it is clear that the magnitude of thermodynamic contribution will be higher in 1 M NaCl in comparison to 100 mM NaCl. Analysis of Tables 2 and 3 shows that even in 100 mM NaCl, the presence of a 3'-dangling T on RNA duplexes is more stabilizing in case of

$5'_{\text{AT}}3'$ and $5'_{\text{CT}}3'$ than $3'$ -dangling T on DNA duplexes and comparable in case of $5'_{\text{GT}}3'$ and $5'_{\text{UT}}3'$. Nevertheless, in 1 M NaCl, the magnitude of thermodynamic stabilization caused by $3'$ -unpaired T on RNA is expected to be more stabilizing in reference to DNA duplexes. Therefore, we can hypothesize that, in general, the presence of $3'$ unpaired T on RNA duplexes in 1 M NaCl would be more stabilizing than a $3'$ dangling T on a DNA duplex. On the contrary, the majority of thermodynamic effects caused by the presence of $3'$ -dangling T nucleotides on RNA duplexes are slightly less stabilizing in 100 mM NaCl in comparison to the effects caused by $3'$ -unpaired U on RNA duplexes measured in 1 M NaCl. The only exception is $3'$ T neighboring with U which stabilizes an RNA duplex more than a $3'$ U neighboring with U. However, also here, we can hypothesize that a $3'$ T overhang would stabilize RNA duplexes more than a $3'$ unpaired U in 1 M NaCl, since the difference between G°_{37} values in both salt conditions is small.

The effect of adding a second $3'$ -T overhang, resulting in formation of $3'$ TT on an RNA duplex is not as remarkable as a single $3'$ -unpaired T nucleoside. An additional T residue always increases the thermodynamic stability, but the value of G°_{37} oscillates in the range -0.14 - -0.21 kcal/mol (Table 2). Our results are in agreement with other studies concerning $3'$ UU overhangs within RNA duplexes [33]. Previously published data indicate that addition of the second dangling U residue when the first dangling nucleotide is U results in change of thermodynamic stability only by -0.1 kcal/mol, and the effect seems to be sequence independent.

The results described herein show that thermodynamic contribution of $3'$ -dangling T and $3'$ -dangling TT on RNA duplexes differs from published data for $3'$ dangling U and $3'$ -dangling UU on RNA duplexes and $3'$ -dangling T on DNA duplexes. This data suggests that using the contribution of $3'$ -dangling T values for RNA duplexes (instead of $3'$ -dangling T values for DNA duplexes or $3'$ -dangling U values for RNA duplexes) would improve the prediction of the stability of siRNA duplexes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This publication was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, under the KNOW program, Polish National Science Center grant UMO-2013/10/E/NZ1/00741 and UMO-2017/25/B/NZ7/00127 to AP, UMO-2016/21/D/NZ5/01906 to JLW, and the National Institutes of Health grant 2R15GM085699-03 to BMZ.

References

- [1]. Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Nature* 391 (1998) 806–811. [PubMed: 9486653]
- [2]. Watts JK, Corey DR, Silencing disease genes in the laboratory and the clinic, *J. Pathol* 226 (2012) 365–379. [PubMed: 22069063]
- [3]. Barata P, Sood AK, Hong DS, RNA-targeted therapeutics in cancer clinical trials: Current status and future directions, *Cancer Treat. Rev* 50 (2016) 35–47. [PubMed: 27612280]

- [4]. Bramsen JB, Pakula MM, Hansen TB, Bus C, Langkjær N, Odadzic D, Smicius R, Wengel SL, Chattopadhyaya J, Engels JW, Herdewijn P, Wengel J, Kjems J, A screen of chemical modifications identifies position-specific modification by UNA to most potently reduce siRNA off-target effects, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38 (2010) 5761–5773. [PubMed: 20453030]
- [5]. Chakraborty C, Sharma AR, Sharma G, Doss CGP, Lee SS, Therapeutic miRNA and siRNA: Moving from bench to clinic as next generation medicine, *Mol. Ther. - Nucleic Acids* 8 (2017) 132–143. [PubMed: 28918016]
- [6]. Fakhr E, Zare F, Teimoori-Toolabi L, Precise and efficient siRNA design: A key point in competent gene silencing, *Cancer Gene Ther.* 23 (2016) 73–82. [PubMed: 26987292]
- [7]. Amarzguioui M, Prydz H, An algorithm for selection of functional siRNA sequences, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 316 (2004) 1050–1058. [PubMed: 15044091]
- [8]. Reynolds A, Leake D, Boese Q, Scaringe S, Marshall WS, Khvorova A, Rational siRNA design for RNA interference, *Nat. Biotechnol* 22 (2004) 326–330. [PubMed: 14758366]
- [9]. Ui-Tei K, Naito Y, Nishi K, Juni A, Saigo K, Thermodynamic stability and Watson-Crick base pairing in the seed duplex are major determinants of the efficiency of the siRNA-based off-target effect, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36 (2008) 7100–7109. [PubMed: 18988625]
- [10]. Jagla B, Aulner N, Kelly PD, Song DA, Volchuk A, Zatorski A, Shum D, Mayer T, De Angelis DA, Ouerfelli O, Rutishauser U, Rothman JE, Sequence characteristics of functional siRNAs Sequence characteristics of functional siRNAs, *RNA* 11 (2005) 864–872. [PubMed: 15923373]
- [11]. Schwarz DS, Hutvagner G, Du T, Xu Z, Aronin N, Zamore PD, Asymmetry in the assembly of the RNAi enzyme complex, *Cell.* 115 (2003) 199–208. [PubMed: 14567917]
- [12]. Khvorova A, Reynolds A, Jayasena SD, Functional siRNAs and miRNAs exhibit strand bias, *Cell.* 115 (2003) 209–216. [PubMed: 14567918]
- [13]. Bommarito S, Peyret N, SantaLucia J, Thermodynamic parameters for DNA sequences with dangling ends, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28 (2000) 1929–1934. [PubMed: 10756193]
- [14]. Xia T, SantaLucia J, Jr., Burkard ME, Kierzek R, Schroeder SJ, Jiao X, Cox C, Turner DH, Thermodynamic parameters for an expanded nearest-neighbor model for formation of RNA duplexes with Watson-Crick base pairs, *Biochemistry* 37 (1998)
- [15]. Ohmichi T, Nakano S, Miyoshi D, Sugimoto N, Long RNA dangling end has large energetic contribution to duplex stability, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 124 (2002) 10367–72 [PubMed: 12197739]
- [16]. SantaLucia J, Jr., Hicks D, The thermodynamics of DNA structural motifs, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct* 33 (2004) 415–440. [PubMed: 15139820]
- [17]. Liu JD, Zhao L, Xia TB, The dynamic structural basis of differential enhancement of conformational stability by 5' - and 3' -dangling ends in RNA, *Biochemistry* 47 (2008) 5962–5975. [PubMed: 18457418]
- [18]. McBride LJ, Caruthers NH, An investigation of several deoxynucleoside phosphoramidites useful for synthesizing deoxyoligonucleotides, *Tetrahedron Letters* 24(1983)245–248.
- [19]. Kotkowiak W, Pasternak A, Kierzek R, Studies on transcriptional incorporation of 5'-N-triphosphates of 5'-amino-5'-deoxyribonucleosides, *PLoS One* 11 (2016) 1–12.
- [20]. Freier SM, Kierzek R, Jaeger JA, Sugimoto N, Caruthers MH, Neilson T, Turner DH, Improved free-energy parameters for predictions of RNA duplex stability, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 83 (1986) 9373–9377. [PubMed: 2432595]
- [21]. Petersheimf M, Turner DH, Base-stacking and base-pairing contributions to helix stability: Thermodynamics of double-helix formation with CCGG, CCGGp, CCGGAp, ACCGGp, CCGGUp, and ACCGGUp, *Biochemistry* 22 (1983) 256–263. [PubMed: 6824629]
- [22]. Turner DH, Sugimoto N, Freier SM, RNA structure prediction, *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem* 17 (1988) 167–192. [PubMed: 2456074]
- [23]. McDowell JA, Turner DH, Investigation of the structural basis for thermodynamic stabilities of tandem GU mismatches: Solution structure of (rGAGGUCUC)2 by two-dimensional NMR and simulated annealing, *Biochemistry* 35 (1996) 14077–14089. [PubMed: 8916893]
- [24]. Kierzek R, Burkard ME, Turner DH, Thermodynamics of single mismatches in RNA duplexes, *Biochemistry* 38 (1999) 14214–14223. [PubMed: 10571995]
- [25]. Schroeder SJ, Turner DH, Factors affecting the thermodynamic stability of small asymmetric internal loops, *Biochemistry* 39 (2000) 9257–9274. [PubMed: 10924119]

- [26]. SantaLucia J, Jr., A unified view of polymer, dumbbell, and oligonucleotide DNA nearest-neighbor thermodynamics, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* 95 (1998) 1460–1465. [PubMed: 9465037]
- [27]. Chen JL, Dishler AL, Kennedy SD, Yildirim I, Liu B, Turner DH, Serra MJ, Testing the nearest neighbor model for canonical RNA base pairs: Revision of GU parameters, *Biochemistry*. 51 (2012) 3508–3522. [PubMed: 22490167]
- [28]. Andronescu M, Condon A, Turner DH, Mathews DH, The determination of RNA folding nearest neighbor parameters, In: Gorodkin J, Ruzzo WL (Eds.), *RNA Seq. Struct. Funct. Comput. Bioinformatic Methods*, Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, (2014) 45–70.
- [29]. Serra MJ, Turner DH, Predicting thermodynamic properties of RNA, *Methods Enzymol*. 259 (1995) 242–261. [PubMed: 8538457]
- [30]. Freier SM, Alkema D, Sinclair A, Neilson T, Turner DH, Contributions of dangling end stacking and terminal base-pair formation to the stabilities of XGGCCp, XCCGGp, XGGCCYp, and XCCGGYp helices, *Biochemistry* 24 (1985) 4533–4539. [PubMed: 4063336]
- [31]. Freier SM, Petersheim M, Hickey DR, Turner DH, Thermodynamic studies of RNA stability, *J Biomol Struct Dyn*. 1 (1984) 1229–1242. [PubMed: 6086053]
- [32]. Dickman R, Thermodynamic contributions of 5'- and 3'-single strand dangling-ends to the stability of short duplex DNAs, *J. Biophys. Chem* 03 (2012) 1–15.
- [33]. O'Toole AS, Miller S, Serra MJ, Stability of 3' double nucleotide overhangs that model the 3' ends of siRNA, *RNA* 11 (2005) 512–516. [PubMed: 15769878]
- [34]. Newcomb LF, Gellman SH, Aromatic stacking interactions in aqueous solution: evidence that neither classical hydrophobic effects nor dispersion forces are important, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 116 (1994) 4993–4994.
- [35]. Schroeder SJ, Turner DH, Optical melting measurements of nucleic acid thermodynamics, *Methods Enzymol*. 468 (2009) 371–387. [PubMed: 20946778]

Highlights

- The energetic contribution of 3'T and 3'TT dangling ends within RNA duplexes has been determined
- The thermodynamic effect induced by the presence of 3'T overhangs strongly depends on the type and orientation of the adjacent base pair
- Further extension of the 3'-dangling end length, by a second T residue, results in additional stabilization
- The thermodynamic contribution of 3'-dangling T and TT on RNA duplexes differs from the influence of 3'-dangling U and UU on RNA duplexes and 3'-dangling T and TT on DNA duplexes

Table 1.Thermodynamic parameters of duplex formation^a.

Duplexes (5'-3')	T_M^{-1} vs $\log C_T$ plots				
	$-H^\circ$ (kcal/mol)	$-S^\circ$ (eu)	$-G_{37}^\circ$ (kcal/mol)	T_M^b (°C)	$G_{37}^\circ^c$ (kcal/mol)
UUCUGCAGAA	79.5±1.8	223.1±5.6	10.34±0.10	56.3±1.9	0
UUCUGCAGAAT	89.9±1.5	253.3±4.4	11.36±0.07	57.9±1.4	-1.02±0.12
UUCUGCAGAATT	86.7±5.6	242.3±17.2	11.55±0.30	59.6±5.7	-1.21±0.32
UUGUGCACAA	76.0±2.8	210.8±8.4	10.63±0.16	58.6±3.2	0
UUGUGCACAAT	85.6±2.0	238.9±6.0	11.49±0.11	59.6±2.0	-0.86±0.19
UUGUGCACAATT	89.6±1.4	250.1±4.2	11.97±0.08	60.5±1.4	-1.34±0.17
UGUUGCAACA	79.2±1.5	221.4±4.5	10.52±0.07	57.2±1.6	0
UGUUGCAACAT	85.6±1.6	238.7±4.8	11.62±0.09	60.1±1.6	-1.10±0.12
UGUUGCAACATT	96.8±1.7	273.6±5.3	11.88±0.09	58.3±1.5	-1.36±0.12
GCUUGCAAGC	98.8±3.6	276.5±10.8	13.08±0.23	62.1±3.3	0
GCUUGCAAGCT	107.1±2.3	296.9±6.9	15.00±0.19	66.6±2.1	-1.92±0.29
GCUUGCAAGCTT	109.2±3.3	302.8±9.8	15.26±0.26	66.9±3.0	-2.18±0.35
GUCUGCAGAC	100.3±3.1	279.5±9.2	13.58±0.21	63.5±2.8	0
GUCUGCAGACT	105.6±2.9	291.1±8.6	15.33±0.24	68.2±2.8	-1.75±0.32
GUCUGCAGACTT	110.7±4.0	306.1±11.7	15.75±0.32	68.1±3.6	-2.17±0.38
GGUUGCAACC	91.4±4.3	253.6±13.0	12.74±0.27	63.0±4.4	0
GGUUGCAACCT	105.2±4.0	292.2±11.7	14.61±0.32	65.8±3.6	-1.87±0.42
GGUUGCAACCTT	105.0±5.2	290.2±15.5	14.96±0.42	67.1±4.9	-2.22±0.50
CAUUGCAAUG	89.3±2.2	259.7±6.8	8.79±0.05	48.2±1.7	0
CAUUGCAAUGT	89.7±2.5	258.8±7.7	9.43±0.08	50.6±2.1	-0.64±0.09
CAUUGCAAUGTT	91.6±1.4	264.2±4.2	9.67±0.04	51.1±1.1	-0.88±0.07
CAAUGCAUUG	81.4±4.5	234.3±14.1	8.76±0.12	49.2±4.0	0
CAAUGCAUUGT	89.8±2.8	258.8±8.8	9.51±0.10	50.8±2.4	-0.75±0.15
CAAUGCAUUGTT	92.6±1.4	267.4±4.2	9.67±0.04	51.0±1.1	-0.91±0.13
CGUUGCAACG	91.0±1.7	254.2±5.2	12.15±0.11	60.8±1.7	0
CGUUGCAACGT	98.4±4.9	275.7±14.6	12.89±0.34	61.6±4.5	-0.74±0.35
CGUUGCAACGTT	101.2±2.0	283.4±5.9	13.35±0.13	62.4±1.8	-1.20±0.17
ACUUGCAAGU	85.7±1.0	243.6±3.2	10.11±0.04	53.9±1.0	0
ACUUGCAAGUT	92.8±1.2	264.4±3.8	10.75±0.05	55.0±1.1	-0.64±0.07
ACUUGCAAGUTT	89.9±2.3	254.2±7.1	11.08±0.11	56.8±2.1	-0.97±0.12
ACGUGCACGU	85.9±1.5	234.6±4.6	13.13±0.11	66.5±1.7	0

Duplexes (5'-3')	T_M^{-1} vs $\log C_T$ plots				
	$-H^\circ$ (kcal/mol)	$-S^\circ$ (eu)	$-G_{37}^\circ$ (kcal/mol)	T_M^b (°C)	$G_{37}^\circ^c$ (kcal/mol)
ACGUGCACGUT	92.9±2.5	255.4±7.4	13.72±0.20	66.4±2.6	-0.59±0.23
ACGUGCACGUTT	91.4±5.0	249.5±15.2	14.06±0.34	68.3±5.6	-0.93±0.36
ACCUGCAGGU	97.9±2.7	268.2±8.0	14.74±0.22	68.6±2.8	0
ACCUGCAGGUT	101.9±3.4	279.7±10.1	15.17±0.29	68.9±3.4	-0.43±0.36
ACCUGCAGGUTT	100.0±4.9	271.5±14.4	15.77±0.43	71.8±5.2	-1.03±0.48

^a – measured in 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM Na₂EDTA pH 7

^b – calculated for 10⁻⁴ M oligomer concentration, random errors in the T_M are typically 1-2 °C

^c – calculated according to 2*Eq. 1 or 2*Eq. 2, G_{37}° values >0.5 kcal/mol are considered a significant difference [35]

^d – change of duplex melting temperature, calculated by subtraction of T_M value of the RNA duplex without dangling ends from T_M value of isosequential RNA duplex with single/double 3' T-overhangs.

Table 2.Thermodynamic parameters for 3'T and 3'TT dangling ends on RNA duplexes.^a

$5' \text{XT} 3'$ Y	Average free energy contribution ^b [kcal/mol]	$5' \text{XTT} 3'$ Y	Average free energy contribution ^c [kcal/mol]	Difference ^d [kcal/mol]
AT U	-0.50±0.04	ATT U	-0.65±0.06	-0.15
CT G	-0.92±0.10	CTT G	-1.10±0.12	-0.18
GT C	-0.36±0.07	GTT C	-0.50±0.04	-0.14
UT A	-0.28±0.07	UTT A	-0.49±0.10	-0.21

^a – measured in 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium cacodylate, 0.5 mM Na₂EDTA, pH 7.0^b – an average value calculated according to Eq.1 for three model duplexes containing a 3'-dangling T with the same adjacent pair^c – an average value calculated according to Eq.2 for three model duplexes containing a 3'-dangling TT with the same adjacent pair^d – energetic contribution of the second 3'-dangling T, calculated by subtraction of G°_{37} value determined for 3'T from G°_{37} value determined for 3'TT

Table 3.

Thermodynamic parameters for 3'T and 3'U dangling ends within DNA and RNA duplexes.^a

DNA ^b		RNA ^c	
$5' \text{XT} 3'$ Y	Free energy contribution [kcal/mol]	$5' \text{XU} 3'$ Y	Free energy contribution [kcal/mol]
AT T	+0.13	AU U	-0.6
CT G	-0.52	CU G	-1.2
GT C	-0.35	GU C	-0.6
TT A	-0.29	UU A	-0.1

^a - 1 M NaCl buffer

^b - the average error in the free energy contribution values is 0.07 kcal/mol, see original reference for statistical analysis of the values [13]

^c - see original reference for statistical analysis of the values [29]