Published in final edited form as:

Curr Mol Biol Rep. 2019 February ; 5(1): 65–74. doi:10.1007/s40610-019-0116-3.

MicroRNAs are Critical Regulators of Osteoclast Differentiation

Henry C. Hrdlicka¹, Sun-Kyeong Lee², and Anne M. Delany^{1,3}

¹Center for Molecular Oncology, UConn Health, Farmington, CT 03030

²Center on Aging, UConn Health, Farmington, CT 03030

Abstract

Purpose of review: Our goal is to comprehensively review the most recent reports of microRNA (miRNA) regulation of osteoclastogenesis. We highlight validated miRNA-target interactions and their place in the signaling networks controlling osteoclast differentiation and function.

Recent findings: Using unbiased approaches to identify miRNAs of interest and reporter-3'UTR assays to validate interactions, recent studies have elucidated the impact of specific miRNA-mRNA interactions during *in vitro* osteoclastogenesis. There has been a focus on signaling mediators downstream of the RANK and CSF1R signaling, and genes essential for differentiation and function. For example, several miRNAs directly and indirectly target the master osteoclast transcription factor, Nfatc1 (e.g. miR-124 and miR-214) and Rho-GTPases, Cdc42 and Rac1 (e.g. miR-29 family).

Summary: Validating miRNA expression patterns, targets, and impact in osteoclasts and other skeletal cells is critical for understanding basic bone biology and for fulfilling the therapeutic potential of miRNA-based strategies in the treatment bone diseases.

Keywords

Osteoclast; differentiation; fusion; miRNA; noncoding RNA; microarray

Introduction

Osteoclast differentiation and function requires an orchestrated series of events including: osteoclast progenitor (OCP) commitment, pre-osteoclast motility, pre-osteoclast fusion, mature osteoclast attachment to the bone surface, and secretion of bone resorbing molecules. Differentiation, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*, is driven primarily by osteoblast-lineage derived macrophage-colony-stimulating-factor (M-CSF) and receptor-activator of NFκB-ligand (RANKL). These cytokines induce the activation and increased expression of nuclear-factor of activated T cells-1 (NFATc1), the master transcription factor of osteoclastogenesis.

³Address Correspondence to: Anne M. Delany, Center for Molecular Oncology, UConn Health, Farmington, CT 06030, adelany@uchc.edu, 860.679.8730.

Conflict of Interest

Henry C. Hrdlicka, Sun-Kyeong Lee, and Anne M. Delany each declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

NFATc1 further promotes the activation and expression of other transcription factors, signaling pathways, and genes essential for osteoclast differentiation, such as nuclear factor κ B (NF κ B), microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF), phosphoinositide 3-kinase/protein kinase B (PI3K/AKT), thrombospondin-1 (THBS1), tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP; ACP5), and dendritic-cell specific transmembrane protein (DC-STAMP). Proteins essential for osteoclast function include cathepsin K (CTSK), and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) (Figure 1a.) [1]. Moreover, pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. tumor necrosis factor α [TNF α] and interleukin 1 β [IL-1 β]) can enhance osteoclastogenesis while other anti-inflammatory cytokines dampen or inhibit differentiation completely (e.g. interferon β [IFN β]) (reviewed in detail in [2, 3]). In addition to this regulation by paracrine and inflammatory factors, osteoclast differentiation and function is intrinsically regulated by microRNAs (miR; miRNA).

During the course of osteoclastogenesis many microRNAs are differentially expressed [4–7]. These miRNAs are initially transcribed as long primary transcripts which undergo multiple processing steps in the nucleus and cytoplasm, resulting in the generation of mature 21–27 base miRNAs (reviewed in detail in [8, 9]). The mature miRNA strand is incorporated into the multiprotein RNA induced silencing complex (RISC), directing its activity to mRNA transcripts containing complementary sequences. The result of mRNA-RISC interaction includes inhibition of translation, mRNA cleavage and/or mRNA destabilization (reviewed in detail in [10, 11]). The importance of miRNA regulation in osteoclast differentiation was highlighted by Sugatani and Hruska in 2009. They observed that when OCPs were pretreated with siRNAs targeting key miRNA processing components (i.e. Dicer, DGCR8, or Ago2), osteoclastic differentiation was ablated almost entirely. In mice, conditional deletion of Dicer in OCPs resulted in mild osteopetrosis due to decreased osteoclast number and function [5].

In the osteoclast-miRNA literature, miRNAs are broadly divided into either positive or negative regulators of differentiation. These categories are further subdivided into miRNAs which are basally expressed in either precursors or mature osteoclasts and those which are induced or down-regulated in response to drug treatment or disease (e.g. glucocorticoid treatment, inflammation, osteoporosis, etc.).

The goal of this article is to comprehensively review recently reported positive and negative miRNA regulators of osteoclastogenesis, highlighting the cell source and context in which they are expressed. We believe it is important to consider the source of OCPs (bone marrow or peripheral blood mononuclear cells) and culture/osteoclast differentiation conditions because these can have a significant impact on the course of differentiation [12].

Overall, we restrict our discussion to miRNAs demonstrated to target specific proteins or pathways important for osteoclast commitment, differentiation, and survival. We further limit our review to validated miRNA-target interactions determined by 3'-UTR-luciferase assays and those strongly suggested by miRNA manipulation followed by Western blot analysis; miRNA-target interactions suggested only by bioinformatics will not be discussed here.

miRNAs regulating osteoclast precursor commitment and early differentiation

To induce osteoclastogenesis, M-CSF and RANKL must first bind and activate their respective receptors, colony stimulating factor-1 receptor (CSF1R) and receptor activator of NF κ B (RANK). Activation of CSF1R and RANK initiate several signaling cascades which simultaneously promote OCP commitment to the osteoclast lineage and early differentiation events (Figure 1b).

Upon RANK activation, the RANK adaptor molecule TNF receptor associated factor 6 (TRAF6), a ubiquitin ligase, complexes with TGF- β activated kinase-1 (TAK1) and TGF- β activated kinase-1 binding protein (TAB1). The formation of this complex subsequently recruits SMAD3 [13]. The TRAF6-TAB1-TAK1-SMAD3 complex promotes the downstream ubiquitination of the NF κ B inhibitor, I κ B α , allowing for NF κ B translocation to the nucleus. There, NF κ B promotes transcription of NFATc1, the master transcription factor of osteoclastogenesis (Figure 1b) [14]. During differentiation, NF κ B activation is supported by the down-regulation of murine (mmu-) mmu-miR-145, which targets Smad3. Additionally, the upregulation of human (hsa-) hsa-miR-99b supports NF κ B activity by targeting insulin like growth factor 1 receptor (Igflr), whose signaling inhibits NF κ B activation [15–17].

hsa-miR-125a, however, has been reported to have conflicting roles in NF κ B signaling. In one study, miR-125a was reported to be upregulated over 21 days of differentiation and to promote NF κ B signaling by targeting TNF- α induced protein 3 (Tnfaip3), a deubiquitinating protease which induces TRAF6 degradation [16, 18]. In contrast, another study reported miR-125a was downregulated over 15 days of differentiation and inhibited NF κ B signaling by targeting Traf6 [19]. Thus, miR-125a is one miRNA reported to have conflicting roles in osteoclastogenesis, despite being examined in similarly differentiated CD14+ human PBMCs.

As a master regulator of osteoclastogenesis, NFATc1 is responsible for driving early differentiation as well as solidifying OCP commitment to the osteoclast lineage. Downregulation of mmu-miR-124 in response to RANK signaling may support the upregulation of NFATc1 in differentiating cells, as it has been suggested to target Nfatc1 [20]. Successful NFATc1 signaling results in the activation of additional transcription factors, including MITF. MITF amplifies NFATc1 signaling by upregulating many of the same target genes as NFATc1. In the absence of MITF, few non-functional osteoclasts form [21]. MITF expression and activity during differentiation is supported by the downregulation of mmu-miR-155 and mmu-miR-340, both of which have been reported to directly target Mitf [22, 23]. Additionally, mmu-miR-155 downregulation results in the de-repression of suppressor of cytokine signaling-1 (Socs1). Increased SOCS1 activity subsequently reinforces osteoclast differentiation by antagonizing the inhibitory effects of IFN β and transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) on RANK signaling [22, 24–26].

In addition to RANK:RANKL signaling, CSFR:M-CSF signaling also induces PI3K/AKT signaling. PI3K/AKT signaling promotes the degradation of I κ B α , again resulting in the

translocation of NF κ B and the increased transcription of Nfatc1 [27, 28]. mmu-miR-214 promotes these events by targeting phosphatase and tensin homolog (Pten), which converts active PIP₃ to inactive PIP₂ [29–31]. mmu-miR-34c has also been reported to support PI3K signaling by targeting leucine rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 4 (Lgr4), a receptor that can compete for RANKL. Moreover, LGR4 signaling prevents the inactivation of GSK3 β ; active GSK3 β prevents the activation and nuclear translocation of NFATc1 [27, 32, 33].

As early differentiation occurs, additional immunomodulatory molecules which enhance differentiation are expressed downstream of RANK signaling. For example, IL-15, an autocrine cytokine, enhances osteoclastogenesis by promoting the upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNF α , IL-1, and IL-6) [2], IL-15 expression and activity is supported by the downregulation of hsa-miR-212, which directly targets Il15 [16]. Monocytic cells transfected with a miR-212 inhibitor displayed decreased osteoclastic differentiation in the presence of RANKL. Likewise, cyclooxygenase 2 (Cox2; PTGS2) is activated downstream of RANK signaling, and it is also targeted by hsa-miR-212, as well as hsa-miR-132 [16]. Cox2 promotes the synthesis of prostaglandin E2 (PGE₂). PGE₂, like other prostaglandins, mediates inflammation through G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) subtypes EP-1, -2, -3, and -4. However, the outcome of this signaling is controversial, as PGE₂ has been shown to have both positive and negative effects on osteoclastogenesis [34–36].

During this time of early NFATc1 driven differentiation, osteoclast commitment is further supported by the miR-29 family, which consists of mmu-miR-29a, -29b, and -29c. These miRNAs are upregulated during RANKL induced differentiation in both murine BMMs and the RAW264.7 mouse monocyte cell line. The inhibition of miR-29 family members in murine cells results in the formation of smaller and fewer osteoclasts. The miR-29 family is thought to support commitment by targeting nuclear factor I/A (Nfia), which inhibits the differentiation of monocytes to both the macrophage and osteoclast lineages, and by targeting G-protein coupled receptor 85 (Gpr85) and Cd93, which are macrophage-specific gene transcripts [37]. While these data suggest miR-29 is a positive regulator of osteoclast differentiation, a contrasting study reported that during the differentiation of human PBMCs, hsa-miR-29b is significantly downregulated. In this system, miR-29b overexpression impaired osteoclast formation and inhibited induction of FOS and MMP2, although direct targeting of their mRNAs by miR-29b was not demonstrated [38–40]. Thus, miR-29 is an additional miRNA family reported to have differing roles in osteoclastogenesis, depending on the cell system used.

Lastly, upregulation of hsa-miR-148a during osteoclastogenesis supports commitment by targeting MAF (avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma) BZIP Transcription Factor B (Mafb), a transcription factor which inhibits OCP differentiation by redirecting precursors to the macrophage lineage instead [41, 42].

miRNAs regulating pre-osteoclast maturation

As OCPs become committed to the osteoclast lineage and begin to differentiate they are considered pre-osteoclasts. Pre-osteoclasts are highly motile and form long pseudopodia in search of fusion partners. These events are facilitated, in part, by Rho-GTPase family members RHOA, CDC42, and RAC1. Rho-GTPases are small molecular switch proteins which mediate the actin remodeling events needed for migration and fusion, as well the formation of the actin ring and sealing zone needed for bone resorption. As with other GTPase molecules, Rho-GTPases are considered active when GTP bound and inactive when GDP bound (reviewed in detail in [43]). Several miRNAs have been reported to support osteoclast differentiation by fine-tuning the expression and activation of Rho-GTPase family members.

For example, in addition to causing the formation of smaller and fewer osteoclasts, inhibition of mmu-miR-29 in RAW264.7 cells decreases their motility. The mmu-miR-29 family is thought to fine-tune actin remodeling required for motility and differentiation, in part, by targeting Rho-GTPase Cdc42 and SLIT-ROBO-GTPase activating protein-2 (Srgap2), a negative regulator of RAC1 Rho-GTPase [37].

As pre-osteoclasts migrate and extend membrane protrusions, they eventually find fusion partners and form large multinucleated cells. Osteoclast fusion is mediated by numerous proteins, including DC-STAMP and the interaction of CD47 and thrombospondin-1 (THBS1) [44]. In human PBMCs, the contribution of THBS1 in fusion is fine-tuned by hsa-let-7e, which is upregulated with differentiation and targets Thbs1 transcripts [16].

DC-STAMP, one of the most prominent fusion markers, is induced by MITF part-way through differentiation, with its levels persisting throughout differentiation [45, 46]. During this time, upregulation of DC-STAMP is supported by the downregulation of mmu-miR-7b, which has been suggested to target Dcstamp [47]. In contrast, DC-STAMP expression is inhibited indirectly by the upregulation of mmu-miR-26a during differentiation. mmu-miR-26a targets connective tissue growth factor (Ctgf), which promotes osteoclastogenesis by inducing DC-STAMP expression [48, 49]. Induction of both positive and negative regulators of osteoclast fusion during differentiation suggests that controlling osteoclast size is important, especially since osteoclast surface area is thought to correlate with osteoclast activity.

In addition to actin remodeling and fusion, as pre-osteoclasts migrate they interact with matrix proteins on the bone surface, including vitronectin, osteopontin, and fibronectin [50]. Matrix proteins can influence osteoclast differentiation and function by interacting with integrins on the cell surface. In particular, integrin $\alpha 4$ (ITGA4) dimerizes with integrin $\beta 1$ (ITGB1) to form VLA-4 (very late antigen-4; $\alpha 4 \beta 1$ integrin), which binds fibronectin [51, 52]. These integrin interactions with fibronectin can have stage dependent effects. For example, fibronectin is thought to hinder pre-osteoclast differentiation, but then upregulate mature osteoclast activity [50]. These interactions are then fine-tuned by the upregulation of hsa-miR-let-7e during differentiation, which targets Itga4 [16].

miRNAs regulating osteoclast function and survival

Once mature-multinucleated osteoclasts are formed, the integrin $\alpha\text{v}\beta 3$ adheres the cell to the bone surface and a tight sealing zone forms around the area to be resorbed [53]. This sealing zone is reinforced by the formation of dense actin rings. mmu-miR-31 inhibition significantly disrupts actin ring formation and bone resorption in mature osteoclasts and also results in increased RHOA protein, whose RNA is a putative miR-31 target. It is possible the de-repression of Rhoa by miR-31 inhibition increases the amount of active RHOA, which is known to inhibit the formation of the actin ring and sealing zone [43, 54].

Once the sealing zone is in place, protons and proteolytic enzymes are then secreted into the resorption area; as this occurs a distinct “ruffled border” of plasma membrane forms [53]. The resorption area is acidified to pH 5 by the proton pump vacuolar-like H(+)-ATPase (V-ATPase) which is essential for bone resorption [55]. In this acidic microenvironment, the inorganic components of the bone matrix begin to degrade and the secreted proteases become activated.

Cathepsin K and MMP9 are the primary proteases which degrade the organic component of bone matrix. They are initially secreted through the ruffled border as pro-proteins. In the acidic resorption area, pro-cathepsin K autolytically cleaves into active cathepsin K. Active cathepsin K then cleaves pro-MMP9 into active MMP9; both proteases are then free to digest the collagen fibers of the bone matrix [56]. Several miRNAs have been reported to regulate the expression and secretion of these proteases. For example, mmu-miR-365 and mmu-miR-186 negatively regulate Mmp9 and Ctsk, respectively [57, 58]. Further, mmu-miR-20a was found to target autophagy related 16 like 1 (Atgl6ll) [59]. While typically associated with the formation of the autophagosome during cellular stress, there is evidence that ATG16L1, and other members of the ATG family of proteins, participate in the packaging and secretion of proteases (i.e. cathepsin K and MMP9) at the ruffled border [60]. Thus, these miRNAs appear to be negative regulators of osteoclast function.

Mature osteoclasts eventually reach a point where they have accumulated sufficient cellular damage and undergo programmed cell death, or apoptosis. In general, apoptosis is a complex process involving several pathways and mechanisms which result in the destruction of the cell. For an in depth review of osteoclast apoptosis please refer to [61].

One mechanism which promotes osteoclast apoptosis is the interaction of the cell-surface death-receptor FAS with its ligand, FAS-ligand (FASLG). FASLG binds to the FAS receptor on a “target” cell, which induces apoptosis of the target cell. OCPs, pre-osteoclasts, and mature osteoclasts express both FAS and FASLG. As expected, inhibition or deletion of either of these molecules increases osteoclast numbers [61, 62]. Cell survival may be supported by mmu-miR-21, which is quickly upregulated with osteoclast differentiation and has been shown to directly target Faslg [63].

In parallel to FAS/FASLG signaling, programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4) also promotes apoptosis. Activation of PDCD4 inhibits protein translation initiation and cap-dependent

translation by binding to eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A1 (EIF4A1). As with Faslg, miR-21 further promotes cell survival by targeting Pdcd4 [64, 65].

Hypothesis generating data-sets

Many of the individual miRNAs discussed herein were initially identified as differentially expressed in microarray analysis of M-CSF and RANKL-induced osteoclast differentiation (Table 2). While the authors of these reports further studied one or several of the identified miRNAs, these unbiased datasets often revealed ten to hundreds of differentially expressed miRNAs with putative roles in osteoclastogenesis. These datasets, and others, are publicly available for independent analysis. Here we will briefly discuss some studies that may be vital resources for generating novel hypotheses of mechanisms by which miRNAs regulate osteoclastogenesis (Table 2). As mentioned earlier, the source of OCPs and differentiation conditions affect the course of osteoclast differentiation. Therefore, we provide these details for consideration.

Osteoporosis is caused by an imbalance in the bone remodeling process, with bone resorption outpacing bone formation. Osteoporosis is often associated with a loss of estrogen, as frequently seen in post-menopausal women, or in mice which have undergone ovariectomy [66, 67]. Several studies have compared differential miRNA expression in osteoporotic post-menopausal women versus their healthy counterparts, or ovariectomized (ovx) mice versus sham operated mice [15, 68, 69].

One unique study used miRNA microarray analysis to compare the miRNA expression profiles of osteoclasts, osteoblasts, and osteocytes, all collected from post-menopausal women. To generate osteoclasts, CD14⁺ PBMCs were isolated from postmenopausal women and cultured in M-CSF and RANKL for 21-24 days, until multinucleated TRAP-positive cells had formed. At this time RNA was extracted from the mature osteoclasts. Primary osteoblasts were obtained from knee trabecular bone collected from postmenopausal women undergoing knee replacement. These bone tissues were cut into small pieces and placed into culture for approximately 3 weeks, until the osteoblast cultures were near confluence, and RNA was harvested. For osteocytes, the authors rationalized using RNA extracted from whole bone, as osteocytes account for approximately 90% of the cell mass in the bone matrix. For this, femoral bone was collected from postmenopausal women undergoing hip replacement; RNA was extracted fresh at the time of collection.

The subsequent analysis found dozen to hundreds of miRNAs uniquely expressed in each tissue, and similar numbers of miRNAs were common among all three cell types. For example, the human osteoclast cultures from these women expressed 340 miRNAs, 101 of which were also expressed in osteoblasts, and 196 of which were also found in whole bone [69]. Osteoporosis is a complex disease. Whereas osteoclasts and cell autonomous effects contribute to the disease, there are likely contributions from the other skeletal cells and the potential cross talk between cell types is critical. Moreover, since exosomes can carry miRNAs from one cell type to another, this dataset gives us a window into how miRNA expression profiles between cell types in the bone environment may contribute to the disease.

In addition to osteoporosis, another cause of pathological bone-loss is chronic inflammation associated with autoimmune disorders, such as rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and systemic lupus erythematosus. [70–72], As already discussed, inflammation and the presence of inflammatory cytokines may enhance osteoclastogenesis [2], Two available datasets examine miRNA expression in murine BMMs and RAW264.7 cells treated with or without the inflammatory cytokine TNF α .

In the first study, miRNA microarray analyses were performed on RNA from RAW264.7 cells treated with RANKL, in the presence or absence TNF α for 0, 24, and 82 hours. 44 miRNAs were differentially expressed between untreated cells at 0 hours and RANKL +TNF α treated cells 82 hours later, whereas 52 miRNAs were differentially expressed between untreated cells and RANKL treated alone for 82 hours [73]. Regarding mmu-miR-29b and mmu-miR-125a discussed earlier, both miRNAs were significantly upregulated during differentiation in response to both treatments. These observations were validated using qPCR analysis of differentiating RAW264.7 cells and murine BMMs treated with RANKL+TNF α , confirming previous reports that miR-125a and miR-29b are upregulated with differentiation [16, 37].

Recombination signal binding protein for immunoglobulin- κ -J (RBPJ) is a key negative regulator that restrains TNF- α -induced osteoclastogenesis and inflammatory bone resorption. In a second study, murine BMMs were isolated from Rbpj-floxed mice crossed with LysM-cre mice, deleting Rbpj specifically in the myeloid lineage. BMMs from these mice were treated with RANKL with or without TNF α for 24 hours, during which time 27 miRNAs were induced and 12 were suppressed by TNF α . Similar to the first study, both mmu-miR-29a and mmu-miR-125a were upregulated during differentiation in this dataset [74]. An interesting analysis would be a comparison of the two datasets to further identify similarly expressed miRNAs in response to TNF α treatment in the two cell systems.

In another study, a population of murine BMMs enriched for osteoclast precursors was treated with RANKL for 1, 3, and 5 days and the miRNA expression profiles were subsequently examined. The goal of this study was to gather a more complete miRNA signature during early, mid, and late differentiation. 93 miRNAs were differentially expressed and were divided into 7 clusters based on expression patterns. To validate the dataset, the expression and role of three differentially expressed miRNAs, mmu-miR-365, mmu-miR-99b, and mmu-miR-451, were further examined. First, using qPCR analysis, the upregulation of miR-365 and miR-99b and the downregulation of miR-451 during differentiation was confirmed. Inhibition of mmu-miR-365 and mmu-miR-99b impaired osteoclast differentiation while the overexpression of mmu-miR-451 had no impact on differentiation [75].

In addition to miRNAs, other non-coding RNAs including long noncoding RNAs (lncRNA) and circular RNAs (circRNAs) are differentially regulated during osteoclastogenesis [76]. Many lncRNAs can interact with DNAs, RNAs and proteins to alter chromatin accessibility and thereby transcription. In contrast, circRNAs regulate gene expression by acting as competitors for specific miRNAs or for transcriptional machinery [77], One recent study profiled mRNAs, lncRNAs and circRNAs in RAW264.7 cells treated for 0, 24, 72, and 96

hours with M-CSF and RANKL. Gene expression in undifferentiated cells was compared with that of pre-osteoclasts (24 hr RANKL), mature osteoclasts (72 hr RANKL), or activated osteoclasts (96 hr RANKL). As expected, hundreds of members from each RNA subgroup were differentially expressed at each stage of differentiation. The authors generated co-expression networks of lncRNA-mRNA and circRNA-miRNA in an attempt to identify core regulation networks for osteoclastogenesis. This well executed study provides some candidate non-coding RNAs which may be further evaluated for an impact on osteoclast function using primary BMMs or human PBMCs [76].

Together, these studies provide datasets containing hundreds of miRNAs differentially expressed throughout osteoclastogenesis in RAW264.7 cells, murine BMMs, and human PBMCs and are all invaluable resources for the comparison of these cell systems. Importantly, the sequence of many mature miRNAs is conserved between mouse and human, broadening the potential utility of these unbiased data sets.

Conclusion

In recent years, nanoparticle technology has seen the development of the bone targeting peptide Asp8, which can be conjugated to the surface of nanoparticles of various compositions [78]. Asp8 preferentially binds the crystallized hydroxyapatite common to bone resorption surfaces, and thus enhances the delivery of nanoparticles to bone resorbing osteoclasts while reducing off-target delivery and potential toxicity to the liver and kidneys, as compared to naked nanoparticles [30, 79]. With the increasing ability to more specifically target specific bone surfaces, miRNA mimics and inhibitors have the potential to become powerful therapeutics for pathologies involving dysregulated osteoclast function. As such, validating and understanding miRNA expression patterns, targets, and impact in multiple cells is important for fulfilling this therapeutic potential. While there has been growing appreciation of the role of miRNAs in osteoclast biology over the last several years, the field is still relatively underdeveloped compared with the fields of osteoblast and chondrocyte biology and requires continued investigation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases of the National Institutes of Health [AR064867, AMD/SKL]; the National Institutes for Dental and Craniofacial Research [T90DE21989]; and the Center for Molecular Oncology at UConn Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The authors thank Dr. Julia Charles (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA) for her careful review of the manuscript.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

• Of importance

•• Of major importance

1. Long CL and Humphrey MB, Osteoimmunology: the expanding role of Immunoreceptors In osteoclasts and bone remodeling. *BoneKEY Rep*, 2012 1(4).

- 2••. Amarasekara DS, Yun H, Kim S, Lee N, Kim H, and Rho J, Regulation of Osteoclast Differentiation by Cytokine Networks. *Immune Netw*, 2018 18(1): p. e8. [PubMed: 29503739] This paper concisely summarizes the pro- and anti-osteoclastic impact of widely studied immunomodulatory cytokines.
3. Schett G, Effects of Inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines on the bone. *Eur J Clin Invest*, 2011 41(12): p. 1361–6. [PubMed: 21615394]
4. Franceschetti T, Dole NS, Kessler CB, Lee S-K, and Delany AM, Pathway Analysis of MicroRNA Expression Profile during Murine Osteoclastogenesis. *PLOS ONE*, 2014 9(9): p. e107262.
5. Sugatani T and Hruska KA , Impaired micro-RNA pathways diminish osteoclast differentiation and function. *J Biol Chem*, 2009 284(7): p. 4667–78. [PubMed: 19059913]
6. Sugatani T, Hildreth BE, 3rd, Toribio RE, Malluche HH, and Hruska KA, Expression of DGCR8-dependent microRNAs is indispensable for osteoclastic development and bone-resorbing activity. *J Cell Biochem*, 2014 115(6): p. 1043–7. [PubMed: 24420069]
7. Mizoguchi F, Izu Y, Hayata T, Hemmi H, Nakashima K, Nakamura T, Kato S, Miyasaka N, Ezura Y, and Noda M, Osteoclast-specific Dicer gene deficiency suppresses osteoclastic bone resorption. *J Cell Biochem*, 2010 109(5): p. 866–75. [PubMed: 20039311]
8. Meijer HA, Smith EM, and Bushell M, Regulation of miRNA strand selection: follow the leader? *Biochem Soc Trans*, 2014 42(4): p. 1135–40. [PubMed: 25110015]
9. Noland CL and Doudna JA, Multiple sensors ensure guide strand selection in human RNAi pathways. *Rna*, 2013 19(5): p. 639–48. [PubMed: 23531496]
10. Bartel DP, MicroRNA Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. *Cell*, 2009 136(2): p. 215–33. [PubMed: 19167326]
11. Hausser J and Zavolan M, Identification and consequences of miRNA–target interactions – beyond repression of gene expression. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 2014 15: p. 599.
12. Jacome-Galarza CE, Lee SK, Lorenzo JA, and Aguila HL, Identification, characterization, and isolation of a common progenitor for osteoclasts, macrophages, and dendritic cells from murine bone marrow and periphery. *J Bone Miner Res*, 2013 28(5): p. 1203–13. [PubMed: 23165930]
13. Yasui T, Kadono Y, Nakamura M, Oshima Y, Matsumoto T, Masuda H, Hirose J, Omata Y, Yasuda H, Imamura T, Nakamura K, and Tanaka S, Regulation of RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis by TGF-beta through molecular interaction between Smad3 and Traf6. *J Bone Miner Res*, 2011 26(7): p. 1447–56. [PubMed: 21305609]
14. Chen ZJ, Ubiquitin Signaling in the NF-κB Pathway. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2005 7(8): p. 758–65. [PubMed: 16056267]
15. Yu FY, Xie CQ, Sun JT, Peng W, and Huang XW, Overexpressed miR-145 inhibits osteoclastogenesis in RANKL-induced bone marrow-derived macrophages and ovariectomized mice by regulation of Smad3. *Life Sci*, 2018 202: p. 11–20. [PubMed: 29577879]
- 16••. de la Rica L, Garcia-Gomez A, Comet NR, Rodriguez-Ubrea J, Ciudad L, Vento-Tormo R, Company C, Alvarez-Errico D, Garcia M, Gomez-Vaquero C, and Ballestar E, NF-kappaB-direct activation of microRNAs with repressive effects on monocyte-specific genes is critical for osteoclast differentiation. *Genome Biol*, 2015 16: p. 2. [PubMed: 25601191] This study extensively characterizes miRNA expression profiles during osteoclastogenesis and demonstrates the necessity of NFκB transcription factor activity for the upregulation of various pro-osteoclast miRNAs.
17. Puzik A, Rupp J, Troger B, Gopel W, Herting E, and Hartel C, Insulin-like growth factor-1 regulates the neonatal immune response in infection and maturation by suppression of IFN-gamma. *Cytokine*, 2012 60(2): p. 369–76. [PubMed: 22898392]
18. Mabileau G, Chappard D, and Sabokbar A, Role of the A20-TRAF6 Axis in Lipopolysaccharide-mediated Osteoclastogenesis. *J Biol Chem*, 2011 286(5): p. 3242–9. [PubMed: 21127049]
- 19•. Guo LJ, Liao L, Yang L, Li Y, and Jiang TJ, MiR-125a TNF receptor-associated factor 6 to inhibit osteoclastogenesis. *Exp Cell Res*, 2014 321(2): p. 142–52. [PubMed: 24360988] This report provides a thorough schematic for investigating the general role of a miRNA during osteoclastogenesis, its targets, and its broader mechanism of action.
20. Lee Y, Kim HJ, Park CK, Kim YG, Lee HJ, Kim JY, and Kim HH, MicroRNA-124 regulates osteoclast differentiation. *Bone*, 2013 56(2): p. 383–9. [PubMed: 23867221]

21. Lu SY, Li M, and Lin YL, Mitf regulates osteoclastogenesis by modulating NFATc1 activity. *Exp Cell Res*, 2014 328(1): p. 32–43. [PubMed: 25152440]
22. Zhang J, Zhao H, Chen J, Xia B, Jin Y, Wei W, Shen J, and Huang Y, Interferon-beta-induced miR-155 inhibits osteoclast differentiation by targeting SOCS1 and MITF. *FEBS Lett*, 2012 586(19): p. 3255–62. [PubMed: 22771905]
23. Zhao H, Zhang J, Shao H, Liu J, Jin M, Chen J, and Huang Y, miRNA-340 inhibits osteoclast differentiation via repression of MITF. *Biosci Rep*, 2017 37(4).
24. Ohishi M, Matsumura Y, Aki D, Mashima R, Taniguchi K, Kobayashi T, Kukita T, Iwamoto Y, and Yoshimura A, Suppressors of Cytokine Signaling-1 and -3 Regulate Osteoclastogenesis in the Presence of Inflammatory Cytokines. *The Journal of Immunology*, 2005 174(5): p. 3024. [PubMed: 15728516]
25. Houde N, Chamoux E, Bisson M, and Roux S, Transforming Growth Factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) Induces Human Osteoclast Apoptosis by Up-regulating Bim. *J Biol Chem*, 2009 284(35): p. 23397–404. [PubMed: 19574221]
26. Zhao H, Zhang J, Shao H, Liu J, Jin M, Chen J, and Huang Y, Transforming Growth Factor β 1/Smad4 Signaling Affects Osteoclast Differentiation via Regulation of miR-155 Expression. *Mol Cells*, 2017 40(3): p. 211–221. [PubMed: 28359146]
27. Moon JB, Kim JH, Kim K, Youn BU, Ko A, Lee SY, and Kim N, Akt induces osteoclast differentiation through regulating the GSK3 β /NFATc1 signaling cascade. *J Immunol*, 2012 188(1): p. 163–9. [PubMed: 22131333]
28. Bai D, Ueno L, and Vogt PK, Akt-mediated regulation of NF κ B and the essentialness of NF κ B for the oncogenicity of PI3K and Akt. *Int J Cancer*, 2009 125(12): p. 2863–70. [PubMed: 19609947]
29. Zhao C, Sun W, Zhang P, Ling S, Li Y, Zhao D, Peng J, Wang A, Li Q, Song J, Wang C, Xu X, Xu Z, Zhong G, Han B, Chang YZ, and Li Y, miR-214 promotes osteoclastogenesis by targeting Pten/PI3k/Akt pathway. *RNA Biol*, 2015 12(3): p. 343–53. [PubMed: 25826666]
30. Cai M, Yang L, Zhang S, Liu J, Sun Y, and Wang X, A bone-resorption surface-targeting nanoparticle to deliver anti-miR214 for osteoporosis therapy. *Int J Nanomedicine*, 2017 12: p. 7469–7482. [PubMed: 29075114]
31. Govender D and Chetty R, Gene of the month: PTEN. *J Clin Pathol*, 2012 65(7): p. 601–3. [PubMed: 22416141]
32. Cong F, Wu N, Tian X, Fan J, Liu J, Song T, and Fu H, MicroRNA-34c promotes osteoclast differentiation through targeting LGR4. *Gene*, 2017 610: p. 1–8. [PubMed: 28130056]
33. Luo J, Yang Z, Ma Y, Yue Z, Lin H, Qu G, Huang J, Dai W, Li C, Zheng C, Xu L, Chen H, Wang J, Li D, Siwko S, Penninger JM, Ning G, Xiao J, and Liu M, LGR4 is a receptor for RANKL and negatively regulates osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption. *Nat Med*, 2016 22(5): p. 539–46. [PubMed: 27064449]
34. Lutter AH, Hempel U, Anderer U, and Dieter P, Biphasic influence of PGE2 on the resorption activity of osteoclast-like cells derived from human peripheral blood monocytes and mouse RAW264.7 cells. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*, 2016 111: p. 1–7.
35. Han SY, Lee NK, Kim KH, Jang IW, Yim M, Kim JH, Lee WJ, and Lee SY, Transcriptional induction of cyclooxygenase-2 in osteoclast precursors is involved in RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis. *Blood*, 2005 106(4): p. 1240. [PubMed: 15860667]
36. Kaneko H, Mehrotra M, Alander C, Lerner U, Pilbeam C, and Raisz L, Effects of prostaglandin E2 and lipopolysaccharide on osteoclastogenesis in RAW264.7 cells. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*, 2007 77(3–4): p. 181–6. [PubMed: 17951042]
37. Franceschetti T, Kessler CB, Lee SK, and Delany AM, miR-29 promotes murine osteoclastogenesis by regulating osteoclast commitment and migration. *J Biol Chem*, 2013 288(46): p. 33347–60. [PubMed: 24085298]
38. Steele R, Mott JL, and Ray RB, MBP-1 Upregulates miR-29b, Which Represses Mcl-1, Collagens, and Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Genes Cancer*, 2010 1(4): p. 381–7. [PubMed: 20657750]
39. Sekiya Y, Ogawa T, Yoshizato K, Ikeda K, and Kawada N, Suppression of hepatic stellate cell activation by microRNA-29b. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 2011 412(1): p. 74–79. [PubMed: 21798245]

40. Rossi M, Pitari MR, Amodio N, Di Martino MT, Conforti F, Leone E, Botta C, Paolino FM, Del Giudice T, Iuliano E, Caraglia M, Ferrarini M, Giordano A, Tagliaferri P, and Tassone P, miR-29b negatively regulates human osteoclastic cell differentiation and function: implications for the treatment of multiple myeloma-related bone disease. *J Cell Physiol*, 2013 228(7): p. 1506–15. [PubMed: 23254643]
41. Kim K, Kim JH, Lee J, Jin HM, Kook H, Kim KK, Lee SY, and Kim N, MafB negatively regulates RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation. *Blood*, 2007 109(8): p. 3253–9. [PubMed: 17158225]
42. Cheng P, Chen C, He HB, Hu R, Zhou HD, Xie H, Zhu W, Dai RC, Wu XP, Liao EY, and Luo XH, miR-148a regulates osteoclastogenesis by targeting V-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog B. *J Bone Miner Res*, 2013 28(5): p. 1180–90. [PubMed: 23225151] This work illustrates an excellent experimental approach for first identifying a miRNA of interest and subsequently studying its role in vitro and in vivo.
43. Touaitahuata H, Blangy A, and Vives V, Modulation of osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption by Rho GTPases. *Small GTPases*, 2014 5: p. e28119. [PubMed: 24614674]
44. Koduru SV, Sun B-h, Walker JM, Zhu M, Simpson C, Dhodapkar M, and Insogna KL, The contribution of cross-talk between the cell-surface proteins CD36 and CD47–TSP-1 in osteoclast formation and function. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 2018 293(39): p. 15055–15069. [PubMed: 30082316]
45. Kukita T, RANKL-induced DC-STAMP Is Essential for Osteoclastogenesis. 2004 200(7): p. 941–6.
46. Chiu Y and Ritchlin CT, DC-STAMP: A Key Regulator in Osteoclast Differentiation. *J Cell Physiol*, 2016 231(11): p. 2402–7. [PubMed: 27018136]
47. Dou C, Zhang C, Kang F, Yang X, Jiang H, Bai Y, Xiang J, Xu J, and Dong S, MiR-7b directly targets DC-STAMP causing suppression of NFATc1 and c-Fos signaling during osteoclast fusion and differentiation. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 2014 1839(11): p. 1084–96. [PubMed: 25123438]
48. Kim K, Kim JH, Kim I, Lee J, Seong S, Park YW, and Kim N, MicroRNA-26a regulates RANKL-induced osteoclast formation. *Mol Cells*, 2015 38(1): p. 75–80. [PubMed: 25518928]
49. Nishida T, Emura K, Kubota S, Lyons KM, and Takigawa M, CCN family 2/connective tissue growth factor (CCN2/CTGF) promotes osteoclastogenesis via induction of and interaction with dendritic cell-specific transmembrane protein (DC-STAMP). *J Bone Miner Res*, 2011 26(2): p. 351–63. [PubMed: 20721934]
50. Gramoun A, Azizi N, Sodek J, Heersche JN, Nakchbandi I, and Manolson MF, Fibronectin inhibits osteoclastogenesis while enhancing osteoclast activity via nitric oxide and interleukin-1 β -mediated signaling pathways. *J Cell Biochem*, 2010 111(4): p. 1020–34. [PubMed: 20672308]
51. Ley K, Pramod AB, Croft M, Ravichandran KS, and Ting JP, How Mouse Macrophages Sense What Is Going On. *Front Immunol*, 2016 7.
52. Desiderio UV, Zhu X, and Evans JP, ADAM2 Interactions with Mouse Eggs and Cell Lines Expressing $\alpha(4)/\alpha(9)$ (ITGA4/ITGA9) Integrins: Implications for Integrin-Based Adhesion and Fertilization. *PLoS One*, 2010 5(10).
53. Zou W and Teitelbaum SL, Integrins, growth factors, and the osteoclast cytoskeleton. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 2010 1192: p. 27–31. [PubMed: 20392214]
54. Mizoguchi F, Murakami Y, Saito T, Miyasaka N, and Kohsaka H, miR-31 controls osteoclast formation and bone resorption by targeting RhoA. *Arthritis Res Ther*, 2013 15(5): p. R102. [PubMed: 24004633]
55. Chatterjee D, Chakraborty M, Leit M, Neff L, Jamsa-Kellokumpu S, Fuchs R, Bartkiewicz M, Hernando N, and Baron R, The osteoclast proton pump differs in its pharmacology and catalytic subunits from other vacuolar H(+)-ATPases. *J Exp Biol*, 1992 172: p. 193–204. [PubMed: 1491225]
56. Christensen J and Shastri VP, Matrix-metalloproteinase-9 is cleaved and activated by Cathepsin K. *BMC Res Notes*, 2015 8.
57. Li G, Bu J, Zhu Y, Xiao X, Liang Z, and Zhang R, Curcumin improves bone microarchitecture in glucocorticoid-induced secondary osteoporosis mice through the activation of microRNA-365 via regulating MMP-9. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*, 2015 8(12): p. 15684–95. [PubMed: 26884838]

58. Ma Y, Yang H, and Huang J, Icarin ameliorates dexamethasone-induced bone deterioration in an experimental mouse model via activation of microRNA186 inhibition of cathepsin K. *Mol Med Rep*, 2018 17(1): p. 1633–1641. [PubMed: 29257214]
59. Sun KT, Chen MY, Tu MG, Wang IK, Chang SS, and Li CY, MicroRNA-20a regulates autophagy related protein-ATG16L1 in hypoxia-induced osteoclast differentiation. *Bone*, 2015 73: p. 145–53. [PubMed: 25485521]
60. Oikawa T, Kuroda Y, and Matsuo K, Regulation of osteoclasts by membrane-derived lipid mediators. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 2013 70(18): p. 3341–3353. [PubMed: 23296124]
61. Xing L and Boyce BF, Regulation of apoptosis in osteoclasts and osteoblastic cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 2005 328(3): p. 709–20. [PubMed: 15694405]
62. Wu X, McKenna MA, Feng X, Nagy TR, and McDonald JM, Osteoclast apoptosis: the role of Fas in vivo and in vitro. *Endocrinology*, 2003 144(12): p. 5545–55. [PubMed: 12960091]
63. Sugatani T and Hruska KA, Down-regulation of miR-21 biogenesis by estrogen action contributes to osteoclastic apoptosis. *J Cell Biochem*, 2013 114(6): p. 1217–22. [PubMed: 23238785]
64. Sugatani T, Vacher J, and Hruska KA, A microRNA expression signature of osteoclastogenesis. *Blood*, 2011 117(13): p. 3648–57. [PubMed: 21273303]
65. Hu C-H, Sui B-D, Du F-Y, Shuai Y, Zheng C-X, Zhao P, Yu X-R, and Jin Y, miR-21 deficiency inhibits osteoclast function and prevents bone loss in mice. *Scientific Reports*, 2017 7: p. 43191. [PubMed: 28240263]
66. Gallagher JC and Tella SH, Prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*, 2014 142: p. 155–70. [PubMed: 24176761]
67. Acosta JJ, Mayer L, Talboom JS, Tsang CW, Smith CJ, Enders CK, and Bimonte-Nelson HA, Transitional versus surgical menopause in a rodent model: etiology of ovarian hormone loss impacts memory and the acetylcholine system. *Endocrinology*, 2009 150(9): p. 4248–59. [PubMed: 19470706]
68. Ma Y, Shan Z, Ma J, Wang Q, Chu J, Xu P, Qin A, and Fan S, Validation of downregulated microRNAs during osteoclast formation and osteoporosis progression. *Mol Med Rep*, 2016 13(3): p. 2273–80. [PubMed: 26783047]
69. De-Ugarte L, Serra-Vinardell J, Nonell L, Balcells S, Arnal M, Nogues X, Mellibovsky L, Grinberg D, Diez-Perez A, and Garcia-Giralt N, Expression profiling of microRNAs in human bone tissue from postmenopausal women. *Hum Cell*, 2018 31(1): p. 33–41. [PubMed: 28933035]
70. Holmdahl R, Malmstrom V, and Burkhardt H, Autoimmune priming, tissue attack and chronic inflammation - the three stages of rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Immunol*, 2014 44(6): p. 1593–9. [PubMed: 24737176]
71. Rubin DC, Shaker A, and Levin MS, Chronic intestinal inflammation: inflammatory bowel disease and colitis-associated colon cancer. *Front Immunol*, 2012 3.
72. Edens C and Robinson AB, Systemic lupus erythematosus, bone health, and osteoporosis. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes*, 2015 22(6): p. 422–31. [PubMed: 26414079]
73. Kagiya T and Nakamura S, Expression profiling of microRNAs in RAW264.7 cells treated with a combination of tumor necrosis factor alpha and RANKL during osteoclast differentiation. *J Periodontol Res*, 2013 48(3): p. 373–85. [PubMed: 23078176]
74. Miller CH, Smith SM, Elguindy M, Zhang T, Xiang JZ, Hu X, Ivashkiv LB, and Zhao B, RBP-J-Regulated miR-182 Promotes TNF-alpha-Induced Osteoclastogenesis. *J Immunol*, 2016 196(12): p. 4977–86. [PubMed: 27183593]
75. Franceschetti T, Dole NS, Kessler CB, Lee SK, and Delany AM, Pathway Analysis of MicroRNA Expression Profile during Murine Osteoclastogenesis. *PLoS One*, 2014 9(9).
76. Dou C, Cao Z, Yang B, Ding N, Hou T, Luo F, Kang F, Li J, Yang X, Jiang H, Xiang J, Quan H, Xu J, and Dong S, Changing expression profiles of lncRNAs, mRNAs, circRNAs and miRNAs during osteoclastogenesis. *Sci Rep*, 2016 6: p. 21499. [PubMed: 26856880] This study lays important ground work for studying more expansive non-coding RNA networks, including miRNAs, that may be regulating osteoclast differentiation.
77. Chen YG, Satpathy AT, and Chang HY, Gene regulation In the Immune system by long noncoding RNAs. *Nat Immunol*, 2017 18(9): p. 962–972. [PubMed: 28829444]

- 78•. Dang L, Liu J, Li F, Wang L, Li D, Guo B, He X, Jiang F, Liang C, Liu B, Badshah SA, He B, Lu J, Lu C, Lu A, and Zhang G, Targeted Delivery Systems for Molecular Therapy In Skeletal Disorders. *Int J Mol Sci*, 2016 17(3). This paper concisely reviews the currently known and utilized methods for targeting small molecules to the bone surface.
- 79••. Liu J, Dang L, Li D, Liang C, He X, Wu H, Qian A, Yang Z, Au DW, Chiang MW, Zhang BT, Han Q, Yue KK, Zhang H, Lv C, Pan X, Xu J, Bian Z, Shang P, Tan W, Liang Z, Guo B, Lu A, and Zhang G, A delivery system specifically approaching bone resorption surfaces to facilitate therapeutic modulation of microRNAs in osteoclasts. *Biomaterials*, 2015 52: p. 148–60. [PubMed: 25818421] This study provides a thorough outline for future work in miRNA delivery to the bone surface.

Figure 1. miRNAs regulate osteoclastogenesis at multiple stages, targeting both positive and negative regulators of commitment, differentiation, and function. Osteoclast differentiation is induced by RANK and CSF1R signaling, resulting in signaling cascades that promote the expression of genes important for both differentiation and function. **A)** Regulators of osteoclastogenesis targeted by miRNAs at each stage of differentiation, including: osteoclast precursor, precursor commitment and early differentiation, maturation, and osteoclast function and survival. Red text indicates negative regulators of differentiation and blue text indicates

positive regulators. **B)** RANK and CSF1R signaling cascades result in the activation and nuclear translocation of key transcription factors, including NFATc1 and NFkB, which in turn induce the expression of genes essential to osteoclast differentiation, maturation, motility, and function.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Summary of featured miRNA regulators of osteoclast differentiation.

miRNA	Target(s)	Reference
Positive regulators		
hsa-miR-let-7e	Thbs1, Itga4	[16]
mmu-miR-21	Faslg, Pdcd4	[63–65]
mmu-miR-29 family	Nfia, Gpr85, Cd93, Srgap2, Cdc42	[37]
mmu-miR-31	Rhoa	[54]
mmu-miR-34c	Lgr4	[32]
hsa-miR-99b	Igf1r	[16]
hsa-miR-125a	Tnfaip3	[16]
hsa-miR-132	Pghs2	[16]
hsa-miR-148a	Mafb	[42]
hsa-miR-212	Il15, Pghs2	[16]
mmu-miR-214	Pten	[29]
Negative regulators		
mmu-miR-7b	Dcstamp	[47]
mmu-miR-20a	Atg16l1	[59]
mmu-miR-26a	Ctgf	[48]
hsa-miR-29b	-	[40]
mmu-miR-124	Nfatc1	[20]
hsa-miR-125a	Traf6	[19]
mmu-miR-145	Smad3	[15]
mmu-miR-155	Mitf, Socs1	[22, 26]
mmu-miR-186	Ctsk	[58]
mmu-miR-340	Mitf	[23]
mmu-miR-365	Mmp9	[57]

Table 2.

Hypothesis generating datasets.

Year. Title	Study design	Reference
2010. Osteoclast-specific Dicer gene deficiency suppresses osteoclastic bone resorption	Dicer ^{fl/fl} ;Cathepsin K-cre mice used to examine the contributions of miRNA processing on miRNA expression profiles in mature osteoclasts and the <i>in vivo</i> phenotype	[7]
2011. A microRNA expression signature of osteoclastogenesis	miRNA expression profiles examined in murine BMMs treated with M-CSF with or without RANKL for 24 hours	[64]
2013. Expression profiling of microRNAs in RAW264.7 cells treated with a combination of tumor necrosis factor alpha and RANKL during osteoclast differentiation	miRNA expression profiles examined in RAW264.7 cells treated with RANKL, in the presence or absence of TNF α for 0, 24, and 82 hours.	[73]
2013. miR-148a regulates osteoclastogenesis by targeting V-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog B	miRNA expression profiles examined in human PBMCs treated with or without MCSF+RANKL for 14 days	[42]
2013. miR-31 controls osteoclast formation and bone resorption by targeting RhoA	miRNA expression profiles examined in murine BMMs treated with M-CSF with or without RANKL for 24 hours	[54]
2014. MiR-7b directly targets DC-STAMP causing suppression of NFATc1 and c-Fos signaling during osteoclast fusion and differentiation	miRNA expression profiles examined in RAW264.7 cells treated with or without MCSF and RANKL for 72 and 192 hours	[47]
2014. Pathway analysis of microRNA expression profile during murine osteoclastogenesis	miRNA expression profiles examined in murine BMMs treated with M-CSF and RANKL for 1, 3, and 5 days	[4]
2015. MicroRNA-26a regulates RANKL-induced osteoclast formation	miRNA expression profiles examined in murine BMMs treated with M-CSF and RANKL for 0, 1, 2, and 3 days	[48]
2015. miR-214 promotes osteoclastogenesis by targeting Pten/PI3k/Akt pathway	miRNA expression profiles examined in RAW264.7 cells treated with or without RANKL for 48 hours	[29]
2015. NF- κ B-direct activation of microRNAs with repressive effects on monocyte-specific genes is critical for osteoclast differentiation	miRNA expression profiles examined in human PBMCs treated with M-CSF and RANKL for 0, 2, and 21 days	[16]
2016. Changing expression profiles of lncRNAs, mRNAs, circRNAs and miRNAs during osteoclastogenesis	Expression profiles of mRNAs, lncRNAs, circRNAs and miRNAs examined in RAW264.7 cells treated for 0, 24, 72, and 96 hours with M-CSF and RANKL	[76]
2016. RBP-J-Regulated miR-182 promotes TNF- α -induced osteoclastogenesis	miRNA expression profiles examined in BMMs treated with RANKL, with or without TNF α , for 24 hours	[74]
2016. Validation of downregulated microRNAs during osteoclast formation and osteoporosis progression	miRNA expression profiles examined in BMMs treated with M-CSF and RANKL for 0 and 5 days	[68]
2018. Expression profiling of microRNAs in human bone tissue from postmenopausal women	miRNA expression profiles of human osteoclasts, osteoblasts, and osteocytes isolated from post-menopausal women	[69]
2018. Overexpressed miR-145 inhibits osteoclastogenesis in RANKL-induced bone marrow-derived macrophages and ovariectomized mice by regulation of Smad3	miRNA expression profiles examined in BMMs treated with M-CSF with or without RANKL for 6 days	[15]