Research

Cite this article: Godin YA, Vainberg B. 2019

Dispersive and effective properties of two-dimensional periodic media. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **475**: 20180298.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2018.0298>

Received: 6 May 2018

Accepted: 7 December 2018

Subject Areas:

applied mathematics, differential equations, wave motion

Keywords:

dispersion relation, effective tensor, periodic medium, asymptotic expansion, wave propagation

Author for correspondence:

Yuri A. Godin

e-mail: ygodin@uncc.edu

Dispersive and effective properties of two-dimensional periodic media

Yuri A. Godin and Boris Vainberg

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223, USA

YAG, 0000-0001-6091-1912

We consider transverse propagation of electromagnetic waves through a two-dimensional composite material containing a periodic rectangular array of circular cylinders. Propagation of waves is described by the Helmholtz equation with the continuity conditions for the tangential components of the electric and magnetic fields on the boundaries of the cylinders. We assume that the cell size is small compared with the wavelength, but large compared with the radius a of the inclusions. Explicit formulae are obtained for asymptotic expansion of the solution of the problem in terms of the dimensionless magnitude q of the wavevector and radius a . This leads to explicit formulae for the effective dielectric tensor and the dispersion relation with the rigorously justified error of order $O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2})$.

1. Introduction

Periodic media have attracted a great deal of attention owing to the possibility of manipulating the dispersion relation. In the case of electromagnetic waves, such media, known as photonic crystals [1], exhibit strong anisotropy of wave propagation, including its total suppression [2,3], non-reciprocal wave transmission [4], slow light [5–7], superlensing [8] and more. The advent of metamaterials has allowed for the engineering of new tunable and switchable devices on length scales far smaller than the wavelength of light [9].

In this paper, we study the propagation of waves in a doubly periodic array of scatterers. The multipole expansion method introduced in [10] was applied to the propagation of electromagnetic waves in a doubly periodic lattice in [11], while in [12] this approach was employed in the problem of elastic

Figure 1. Geometry of a rectangular lattice of cylinders and the fundamental cell $ABCD$. (Online version in colour.)

wave propagation in a two-dimensional solid containing a doubly periodic array of circular holes. Using the method of matched asymptotic expansion, a dispersion relation was obtained in [13] for a doubly periodic array of small rigid scatterers and in [14] for elastic waves in a lattice of cylindrical cavities. Application of the method to the scatterers with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions was considered in [15,16]. A rigorous analysis of a sub-wavelength plasmonic crystal was presented in [17], where the solution of a nonlinear eigenvalue problem is given in terms of convergent high-contrast power series for the electromagnetic fields and the first branch of the dispersion relation.

We consider transverse propagation of electromagnetic waves through a two-dimensional composite material containing a periodic rectangular array $\mathcal{C}$ of circular cylinders with a positive finite dielectric constant ε . The periods of the lattice τ_1 and τ_2 are normalized in such a way that $\ell = \min\{|\tau_1|, |\tau_2|\} = 1$, while the radius of the cylinders $a < 0.5$ (figure 1). We assume that the relative magnetic permeability of the cylinders and the matrix equals unity.

In dimensionless variables, propagation of the TE mode $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, u)$ in the xy -plane is described by the equation

$$-\nabla \cdot (\epsilon^{-1} \nabla u(\mathbf{r})) = v^2 u(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \notin \partial \mathcal{C}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$u = \begin{cases} u_{\text{in}}, & \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{C}, \\ u_{\text{ex}}, & \mathbf{r} \notin \mathcal{C}, \end{cases} \quad \epsilon = \begin{cases} \varepsilon, & \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{C}, \\ 1, & \mathbf{r} \notin \mathcal{C}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

$\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$, $v = \omega/c \ll 1$, where c is the speed of light in vacuum, ω is the frequency of the incident wave and v is normalized by the condition $\ell = 1$. On the cylinders' boundary $\partial \mathcal{C}$, we impose continuity conditions of the tangential components of $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})$

$$[[u(\mathbf{r})]] = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\left[\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{r})}{\partial n} \right] \right] = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Hereafter, brackets $[[\cdot]]$ denote the jump of the enclosed quantity across the interface of the cylinders. In addition, $u(\mathbf{r})$ must satisfy the Floquet–Bloch condition

$$u(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\tau}) = e^{iq \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}} u(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is any of the lattice periods, $\mathbf{q} = (q_x, q_y) = q \hat{\mathbf{q}}$ runs the primitive cell of the dual lattice with $\hat{\mathbf{q}} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ being the unit vector. This condition implies that the function $e^{-iq \cdot \mathbf{r}} u(\mathbf{r})$ is periodic over the fundamental cell $ABCD$ that we symbolically write as

$$[[e^{-iq \cdot \mathbf{r}} u(\mathbf{r})]] = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Subsequently, the inverted brackets $]] \cdot]]$ denote the jump of the enclosed expression and their first derivatives across the opposite sides of the cell of periodicity.

The outline of the paper is the following. In §2, we formulate the main results. In §3, we seek the solution of the problem as a power series in terms of the absolute value of the quasi-momentum q , and then derive recurrence relationships between the coefficients u_k of the power series. We prove that the coefficients are odd functions in space variables if k is odd, and otherwise even. We also prove that the power series for the eigenvalues contains only even powers of q . In §4, we obtain explicit formulae for the coefficients of the series with a given accuracy in terms of the radius a of the cylinders. Explicit approximations of the effective tensor with accuracy $O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2})$ and the dispersion relation with accuracy $O(q^2(q^2 + a^2)^2)$ are obtained in §5. To prove these results, we show in appendix A that the power series in q of the solution u and of the eigenfrequency converge uniformly in a .

We assume that the dielectric constant ϵ is positive and fixed (does not depend on a and q) while a is small. The case of lossy composites and metamaterials will be considered elsewhere. Let us stress again that our goal is explicit formulae with high accuracy and rigorous estimates of the remainders in a multidimensional setting. There are many papers where similar problems were often solved under more general assumptions, but with less demanding goals (e.g. [12,18–23]).

2. Formulation of the problem and the main result

We reduce the above problem to the fundamental cell S centred at the origin,

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta u = v^2 u, \quad r \in S, \quad r = |r| \neq a \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\llbracket u(r) \rrbracket = 0, \quad \left\llbracket \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u(r)}{\partial n} \right\rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket e^{-iq \cdot r} u(r) \rrbracket = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial S. \quad (2.2)$$

The main result of the paper concerns the approximation of the effective dielectric tensor ϵ^* defined from $\langle D \rangle = \epsilon^* \langle E \rangle$, where $\langle E \rangle = (ic/\omega) \langle (1/\epsilon) \nabla \times (0, 0, u) \rangle$ is the average electric field and $\langle D \rangle = \langle \epsilon E \rangle$ is the average electric displacement. Here u is the z -component of the magnetic field: $H = (0, 0, u)$. It states that, in the low-frequency regime with small inclusions when $q^2 + a^2 \ll 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^* = & \left(1 + \frac{2\pi\alpha a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\pi\alpha a^2 q^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} (\tau_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \tau_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) \right) I \\ & + \frac{4\pi\alpha^2 a^4}{\tau_1^2 \tau_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \tau_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1 \end{bmatrix} + O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\alpha = (\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 1)$, $\tau_k = |\tau_k|$, $k = 1, 2$, $\eta_1 = \zeta(\tau_1/2)$, $\tilde{\eta}_2 = i\zeta(i\tau_2/2)$, and $\zeta(z)$ is the Weierstrass zeta-function [24]. We also obtain an approximation of the dispersion relation

$$v^2 = q^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\pi\alpha a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \right) + O(q^2(q^2 + a^2)^2). \quad (2.4)$$

The method used in the paper can be used to obtain the above expressions with higher accuracy.

3. Series expansion of the field

Elliptic problem (2.1) and (2.2) is symmetric, depends analytically on q , and has a simple eigenvalue $v^2 = 0$ when $q = 0$ with the eigenfunction $u = \text{const}$. Thus, the eigenvalue v^2 depends analytically on q for $q \ll 1$, and the eigenfunction $u(r, q)$ can be chosen to be analytic in q , i.e. for

small q we can expand u and v^2 in a power series

$$u(\mathbf{r}, q) = 1 + qu_1(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) + q^2 u_2(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) + q^3 u_3(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) + \dots \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$v^2 = q\lambda_1 + q^2\lambda_2 + q^3\lambda_3 + \dots \quad (3.2)$$

The latter series can be viewed as a perturbation of a simple eigenvalue $v^2 = 0$ corresponding to the eigenfunction $u = 1$. The rigorous justification of (3.1), (3.2) will be given in appendix A. It will be shown there that series (3.1) converges in the Sobolev space $H^1(S)$, and both of them are uniform in a . Moreover, it will be shown below that series (3.2) contains only even powers of q , i.e. $\lambda_{2n+1} = 0$.

Substituting expansions (3.1) and (3.2) into (2.1), we obtain a system of recurrence equations for determination of u_n

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta u_1 = \lambda_1, \quad r \neq a, \quad (3.3)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta u_2 = \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 u_1, \quad r \neq a, \quad (3.4)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta u_3 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 u_1 + \lambda_1 u_2, \quad r \neq a, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta u_k = \lambda_k + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{k-n} u_n, \quad r \neq a, \quad k \geq 4. \quad (3.6)$$

On the boundary $r = a$ functions u_k satisfy the conditions (in what follows we omit dependence of u_k on $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ for brevity)

$$[u_k(\mathbf{r})] = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_k(\mathbf{r})}{\partial n} \right] = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

while on ∂S we have a system of recurrence equations

$$[u_1(\mathbf{r})] = [\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r}], \quad (3.9)$$

$$[u_2(\mathbf{r})] = [\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r}] u_1 - \frac{1}{2!} (\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2, \quad (3.10)$$

$$[u_3(\mathbf{r})] = [\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r}] u_2 - \frac{1}{2!} (\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2 u_1 + \frac{1}{3!} (\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r})^3, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$[u_k(\mathbf{r})] = \left[\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} (\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r})^k + \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} (\mathbf{i}\hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{r})^n u_{k-n} \right], \quad k \geq 4. \quad (3.12)$$

In what follows we need to establish some important properties of the functions u_k . Firstly, we normalize $u(\mathbf{r}, q)$ in such a way that $\int_S u(\mathbf{r}, q) dS = |S| = \tau_1 \tau_2$. This implies that

$$\int_S u_k(\mathbf{r}) dS = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

We will also need Green's formula for solutions of (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} |\nabla u|^2 dS = v^2 \int_S |u|^2 dS, \quad (3.14)$$

which follows from the symmetry of problem (2.1) and (2.2). Indeed, let $S = S_{in} \cup S_{ex}$, where S_{in} is the disc $r < a$. One can multiply both sides of (2.1) by the complex conjugate $\bar{u}$ of u and apply

Green's first identity to each part of S . When we add up the identities, the contour integrals over the boundary $r = a$ are cancelled because of (2.2), and (3.14) follows.

Consider an auxiliary problem for the function $v(r)$

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta v = f, \quad r \in S, \quad r \neq a, \quad (3.15)$$

with the homogeneous conditions

$$\llbracket v(r) \rrbracket = 0, \quad \left\llbracket \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial v(r)}{\partial n} \right\rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket v(r) \rrbracket = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Lemma 3.1. (a) Problem (3.15) and (3.16) with $f = 0$ has a unique solution $v = \text{const}$. (b) The non-homogeneous problem (3.15) and (3.16) has a solution if and only if f is orthogonal to a constant.

Proof. The first statement follows from the application of Green's formula (3.14) with $v = 0$ to (3.15). The second statement is the Fredholm alternative applied to equation (3.15). ■

Lemma 3.2. The pair $u_k(r), \lambda_k$ is defined uniquely from (3.3)–(3.13), i.e. problem (3.3)–(3.13) does not have solutions that are different from those defined in (3.1) and (3.2).

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ be the least number for which there are two different pairs $u_k(r), \lambda_k$. Then 3.3–3.6 implies that there are two different functions, $u_k^{(1)}(r)$ and $u_k^{(2)}(r)$. Hence their difference $v(r) = u_k^{(1)}(r) - u_k^{(2)}(r)$ satisfies (3.15) and (3.16) with $f = \lambda_k^{(1)} - \lambda_k^{(2)}$. From the previous lemma, it follows that $\lambda_k^{(1)} = \lambda_k^{(2)}$ and $v(r) = \text{const}$. The latter together with (3.13) implies $v(r) = 0$. ■

We will use the term odd or even function if the corresponding property holds with respect to the origin, i.e. a scalar function $f(r)$ is odd if $f(-r) = -f(r)$ and is even if $f(-r) = f(r)$. Now we can formulate the result concerning the structure of expansions (3.1) and (3.2).

Theorem 3.3. Functions $u_k(r, \hat{q})$ in expansion (3.1) are odd functions of r for odd k and even ones if k is even. Expansion (3.2) of v^2 contains only even powers of q , i.e. $\lambda_{2k-1} = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction in k . For $k = 1$, the boundary condition (3.9) is odd. Then the even component $v(r)$ of u_1 is the solution of (3.15) and (3.16) with $f = \lambda_1$. From lemma 3.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 = 0$ and lemma 3.2 implies that $v = 0$. Hence, the statement of the theorem is valid for $k = 1$. Assume now that the statement of the theorem holds for $1 \leq k < k_0$. Let us prove it for $k = k_0$. We need to consider two cases of even and odd k_0 .

Case 1: If $k_0 = 2m$ then $\lambda_{k-n} = 0$ in (3.6) when n is odd. Thus, the right-hand side of (3.6) is even by the induction hypothesis. The right-hand side of (3.12) is also even. Thus, the odd component of u_{2m} satisfies the homogeneous problem and equals zero owing to lemma 3.1.

Case 2: Let $k_0 = 2m + 1$. Then the right-hand side of (3.6) is the sum of λ_{2m+1} and an odd function by the induction hypothesis. The right-hand side of (3.12) is odd. Thus, the even component of u_{2m+1} is the solution of (3.15) and (3.16) with $f = \text{const} = \lambda_{2m+1}$. From lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, it follows that $\lambda_{2m+1} = 0$ and the even component of u_{2m+1} is zero. ■

Substitution of expansion (3.1) into (3.14) and taking into account the oddness and evenness of u_k leads to approximation of v^2 . In particular, we obtain to order $O(q^6)$

$$v^2 = q^2 \frac{\int_S (1/\epsilon)(|\nabla u_1|^2 + q^2(|\nabla u_2|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{u}_3))) \, dS}{\int_S (1 + q^2(|u_1|^2 + 2\text{Re} u_2)) \, dS} + O(q^6), \quad (3.17)$$

where $\bar{u}$ is the complex conjugate of u .

4. *A priori* estimates for the power-series terms

Functions u_k in (3.17) and in formulae (5.5) and (5.6) (which are used to find ε^* ; see below) are obtained as solutions of certain boundary value problems which depend on a and can be expanded in power series in a . We need some *a priori* estimates for the solutions of these problems in order to justify the asymptotic convergence of the power series in a . We will start by recalling the Poincaré lemma, which is so simple in our setting (S is a rectangle) that we will prove it.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $v \in H^1(S)$ and*

$$\int_S v(\mathbf{r}) \, dS = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Then $\|v\|_{L_2} \leq C_1 \|\nabla v\|_{L_2}$ and $\|v\|_{H^1} \leq C_2 \|\nabla v\|_{L_2}$.

Proof. We will prove the first inequality since it obviously implies the second with $C_2 = \sqrt{C_1^2 + 1}$. In order to prove the first inequality, we write u in the form of the Fourier series,

$$v = \sum'_{m,n} v_{mn} e^{2\pi i(mx/\tau_1 + ny/\tau_2)},$$

where the prime indicates that the term v_{00} is omitted. This term is zero owing to (4.1). It remains to compare the norms expressed through the Fourier coefficients,

$$\|v\|_{L_2}^2 = c \sum'_{m,n} |v_{m,n}|^2, \quad \|\nabla v\|_{L_2}^2 = c \sum'_{m,n} |v_{m,n}|^2 \left[\left(\frac{m}{\tau_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{\tau_2}\right)^2 \right], \quad c = \tau_1 \tau_2.$$

■

Lemma 4.2. *Let $v(\mathbf{r})$ be the solution of the problem*

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta v(\mathbf{r}) = f(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in S, \quad \mathbf{r} \neq a, \quad (4.2)$$

subject to the conditions

$$\llbracket v(\mathbf{r}) \rrbracket = 0, \quad \left\llbracket \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial v(\mathbf{r})}{\partial n} \right\rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket v(\mathbf{r}) \rrbracket = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

and let condition (4.1) hold. Then

$$\|v\|_{H^1} \leq C \|f\|_{L_2}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Multiplying (4.2) by $\bar{v}$ and applying Green's first identity we obtain

$$\int_S f \bar{v} \, dS = \int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} \bar{v} \Delta v \, dS = \int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} |\nabla v|^2 \, dS = \left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla v \right\|_{L_2}^2. \quad (4.5)$$

To be more accurate, one needs to write Green's first identities separately for each part $S_{\text{in}}, S_{\text{ex}}$ of S , add them and check that the contour integrals over the boundary $r = a$ are cancelled. Equality (4.5) implies $\|\nabla v\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L_2} \|v\|_{L_2}$. It remains to apply lemma 4.1. ■

The next lemma shows that a similar estimate holds when an inhomogeneity appears in the boundary condition.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $v(\mathbf{r})$ satisfies*

$$\Delta v(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in S, \quad \mathbf{r} \neq a, \quad (4.6)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\llbracket v(\mathbf{r}) \rrbracket = h(\phi), \quad \left\llbracket \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial v(\mathbf{r})}{\partial n} \right\rrbracket = g(\phi), \quad \llbracket v(\mathbf{r}) \rrbracket = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where ϕ is the polar angle. Let also $v(0) = 0$. Then

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L_2} \leq c_1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (g^2(\phi) + [g''(\phi)]^2) \, d\phi \right)^{1/2} + \frac{c_2}{a} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (h^2(\phi) + [h''(\phi)]^2) \, d\phi \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Let $h = 0$. After the substitution

$$v = v_1 + w, \quad \text{where } v_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon r^2}{a^2}(a-r)g(\phi), & r < a, \\ 0, & r > a. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

The problem for w is reduced to that outlined in the previous lemma with

$$f = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{a^2}((9r-4a)g(\phi) + (r-a)g''(\phi)), & r < a, \\ 0, & r > a. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

If there is a jump $h(\phi)$ of the function in (4.7) instead of the jump $g(\phi)$ of the derivative, then function v_1 in (4.9) must be replaced by the function

$$v_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2}{a^3}(2r-3a)h(\phi), & r < a, \\ 0, & r > a. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

■

If an inhomogeneity is present in both the equation and the boundary conditions, then the sum of the estimates from lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 gives an estimate of the norm of the gradient.

(a) Approximation of u_1

Harmonic function u_1 is a solution of the static (with $q=0$) problem. It is expedient to look for u_1 in the form of a power series in the inclusion and a combination of a linear and Weierstrass zeta-function and its derivatives outside the inclusion. The choice of the Weierstrass function is dictated by periodicity of the composite and the periodic properties of the Weierstrass function. This approach was used in [25]. Here we use a similar representation of u_1 and achieve the desired accuracy in a using a finite number of terms of the corresponding series.

We introduce complex variable $z = x + iy = re^{i\phi}$, and along with vector periods τ_1 and τ_2 we will use their complex counterparts $\tau_1 > 0$ and $i\tau_2$, $\tau_2 > 0$. The Weierstrass zeta-function [24] is defined by

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum'_{m,n} \left[\frac{1}{z - P_{m,n}} + \frac{1}{P_{m,n}} + \frac{z}{P_{m,n}^2} \right], \quad (4.12)$$

where $P_{m,n} = m\tau_1 + in\tau_2$ are coordinates of the lattice nodes in the complex plane. Prime in the sum means that summation is extended over all pairs m, n except $m = n = 0$. We use its quasi-periodicity property

$$\zeta(z + \tau_1) - \zeta(z) = 2\eta_1, \quad \eta_1 = \zeta\left(\frac{\tau_1}{2}\right) \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\zeta(z + i\tau_2) - \zeta(z) = 2\eta_2, \quad \eta_2 = \zeta\left(\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right), \quad (4.14)$$

where for rectangular lattices η_1 is purely real while η_2 is purely imaginary. It is convenient to introduce real parameter $\tilde{\eta}_2 = i\eta_2$. If we subtract from $\zeta(z)$ its linear part, then the resulting function will be periodic and harmonic. Thus,

$$\left\| \zeta(z) - \frac{2\eta_1}{\tau_1}x + \frac{2i\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2}y \right\| = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

This property is used in the lemma below to find an approximation $\tilde{u}_1$ to u_1 to order $O(a^5)$.

Lemma 4.4. Denote $\tilde{u}_1^{\text{in}} = \tilde{u}_1, r < a$, and $\tilde{u}_1^{\text{ex}} = \tilde{u}_1, r > a$. Let

$$\tilde{u}_1^{\text{in}} = ir(A_1 \cos \phi + B_1 \sin \phi) \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_1^{\text{ex}} = i\hat{q} \cdot r + ia^2 \text{Re} \left[(C_1 + iD_1) \left(\zeta(z) - \frac{2\eta_1}{\tau_1} x + \frac{2i\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} y \right) \right], \quad (4.17)$$

where real constants A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1 are given below. Then $\|u_1 - \tilde{u}_1\|_{H^1} \leq Ca^5$.

Proof. Let us substitute $\tilde{u}_1$ into (3.3), (3.7), (3.8) (with $k=1$) and (3.9). Functions (4.16), (4.17) are harmonic, i.e. (3.3) holds for $\tilde{u}_1$. Owing to (4.15) property (3.9) is satisfied for $\tilde{u}_1^{\text{ex}}$ and its normal derivatives.

To satisfy conditions (3.7) and (3.8) on the boundary $r=a$, we expand $\zeta(z)$ in a Laurent series

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} s_{2k} z^{2k-1}, \quad (4.18)$$

where s_{2k} are real lattice sums

$$s_{2k} = \sum'_{m,n} \frac{1}{p_{m,n}^{2k}}, \quad k=2,3,\dots \quad (4.19)$$

We substitute (4.18) into (4.17) and equate the coefficients of $\cos \phi$ and $\sin \phi$ in (3.7) and (3.8). This leads to

$$A_1 = \frac{2\varepsilon}{\varepsilon+1} \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha\eta_1}{\tau_1} a^2 \right)^{-1} \cos \theta, \quad C_1 = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha\eta_1}{\tau_1} a^2 \right)^{-1} \cos \theta \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$B_1 = \frac{2\varepsilon}{\varepsilon+1} \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} a^2 \right)^{-1} \sin \theta, \quad D_1 = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} a^2 \right)^{-1} \sin \theta, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\alpha = (\varepsilon - 1)/(\varepsilon + 1)$. Hence, approximation (4.16) and (4.17) satisfies exactly (3.3) and (3.9). Conditions (3.7) and (3.8) are satisfied exactly only for the terms containing $\cos \phi$ and $\sin \phi$ but have an error in the terms $\cos n\phi, \sin n\phi$ with $n \geq 3$. This error is large, and lemma 4.3 does not allow us to justify that (4.16) and (4.17) approximates u_1 with the desired accuracy. Therefore, we will add an extra term to $\tilde{u}_1$, but later it will be shown that this extra term can be omitted. Hence, let $\tilde{v}_1 = \tilde{v}_1^{\text{in}}, r < a$, $\tilde{v}_1 = \tilde{v}_1^{\text{ex}}, r > a$, where

$$\tilde{v}_1^{\text{in}} = ir(A_1 \cos \phi + B_1 \sin \phi) + ir^3(A_2 \cos 3\phi + B_2 \sin 3\phi) \quad (4.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_1^{\text{ex}} &= i\hat{q} \cdot r + ia^2 \text{Re} \left[(C_1 + iD_1) \left(\zeta(z) - \frac{2\eta_1}{\tau_1} x + \frac{2i\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} y \right) \right] + ia^4 \text{Re} [(C_2 + iD_2)\zeta''(z)] \\ &= i\hat{q} \cdot r + ia^2 \text{Re} \left[(C_1 + iD_1) \left(\frac{1}{z} - s_4 z^3 - \frac{2\eta_1}{\tau_1} x + \frac{2i\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} y + O(z^5) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + ia^4 \text{Re} \left[(C_2 + iD_2) \left(\frac{2}{z^3} + O(z) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1 remain the same. The function above is still harmonic. Since derivatives of the zeta-function are periodic, their addition to $\tilde{u}_1^{\text{ex}}$ does not violate (3.9). We substitute (4.22),

(4.23) into (3.7) and (3.8) and equate coefficients of $\cos 3\phi$ and $\sin 3\phi$. This gives

$$A_2 = -\frac{2\alpha a^2 \varepsilon s_4}{\varepsilon + 1} \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha \eta_1}{\tau_1} a^2\right)^{-1} \cos \theta, \quad C_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 a^4 s_4 \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha \eta_1}{\tau_1} a^2\right)^{-1} \cos \theta \quad (4.24)$$

and

$$B_2 = \frac{2\alpha a^2 \varepsilon s_4}{\varepsilon + 1} \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha \tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} a^2\right)^{-1} \sin \theta, \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 a^4 s_4 \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha \tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} a^2\right)^{-1} \sin \theta. \quad (4.25)$$

From (4.22)–(4.25), it follows that $\tilde{v}_1$ satisfies (3.7) and (3.8) with the accuracy $O(a^7)$ and $O(a^6)$, respectively. Thus, lemma 4.3 implies that $\|u_1 - \tilde{v}_1\|_{H^1} \leq Ca^7$. One can easily check that $\|\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{v}_1\|_{H^1} = O(a^5)$. ■

(b) Approximation of u_2

Function u_2 is an odd one and does not contribute to the average electric field. However, it appears in (3.11) and in (3.17). Because of that we will determine u_2 to order $O(a^2)$. In the equation u_2 satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Delta u_2 = \lambda_2, \quad r \neq a, \quad (4.26)$$

and one must know λ_2 in order to find u_2 . We will find this with an accuracy higher than that for u_2 since λ_2 is involved not only in (4.26) but also in the dispersion relation (3.2).

Lemma 4.5. *The following relation is valid for λ_2 :*

$$\lambda_2 = 1 - \frac{2\pi \alpha a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} + O(a^4).$$

Proof. It follows from (3.2) and (3.17) that

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{S} \int_S \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\nabla u_1|^2 \, dS = \frac{1}{S} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_{in}} |\nabla u_1^{in}|^2 \, dS + \int_{S_{ex}} |\nabla u_1^{ex}|^2 \, dS \right). \quad (4.27)$$

Lemma 4.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_{in}} |\nabla u_1^{in}|^2 \, dS &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_{in}} |\nabla \tilde{u}_1^{in}|^2 \, dS + O(a^7) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \pi a^2 (A_1^2 + B_1^2) + O(a^7) \\ &= \frac{4\pi a^2 \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon + 1)^2} + O(a^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

From (4.17), (4.20) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{ex}} |\nabla u_1^{ex}|^2 \, dS &= \int_{S_{ex}} \left(\left(\cos \theta - \frac{2a^2 \eta_1}{\tau_1} C_1 \right)^2 + \left(\sin \theta - \frac{2a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} D_1 \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad + 2a^2 \left(\cos \theta - \frac{2a^2 \eta_1}{\tau_1} C_1 \right) \operatorname{Re}[(C_1 + iD_1)\zeta'(z)] - 2a^2 \left(\sin \theta - \frac{2a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} D_1 \right) \operatorname{Im}[(C_1 + iD_1)\zeta'(z)] \\ &\quad \left. + a^4 (|C_1|^2 + |D_1|^2) |\zeta'(z)|^2 \right) dS + O(a^5). \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

To evaluate the integral containing the derivatives of the zeta-function we use Green's theorem along with the quasi-periodicity properties (4.13) and (4.14),

$$\int_{S_{ex}} \zeta'(z) \, dS = \frac{i}{2} \oint_{\partial S_{ex}} \zeta(z) \, d\bar{z} = \eta_1 \tau_2 - \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1 - \frac{i}{2} \oint_{\partial S_{in}} \zeta(z) \, d\bar{z} = \eta_1 \tau_2 - \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1, \quad (4.30)$$

where the last integral vanished owing to expansion (4.18). Finally, we need to evaluate the integral of $|\zeta'(z)|^2$. The integral of the regular part of $\zeta'(z)$ is bounded in a . Therefore, we have

$$\int_{S_{ex}} |\zeta'(z)|^2 \, dS = \int_{S_{ex}} \frac{1}{r^4} r \, dr \, d\phi + O(1) = \int_{r>a} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dr \, d\phi}{r^3} + O(1) = \frac{\pi}{a^2} + O(1). \quad (4.31)$$

Now using the Legendre relation [24], which in our case reads $\eta_1 \tau_2 + \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1 = \pi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{ex}} |\nabla u_1^{ex}|^2 dS &= \tau_1 \tau_2 \left(1 - 4\alpha a^2 \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\tau_1} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{\tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} \sin^2 \theta \right) \right) - \pi a^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha a^2 (\eta_1 \tau_2 - \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1) (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) + \pi \alpha^2 a^2 + O(a^4) \\ &= \tau_1 \tau_2 - 2\pi \alpha a^2 - \pi a^2 + \pi \alpha^2 a^2 + O(a^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Finally substituting all terms in (4.27), we have

$$\lambda_2 = 1 - \frac{2\pi \alpha a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} + \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \left(\frac{4\pi a^2 \varepsilon}{(\varepsilon + 1)^2} - \pi a^2 + \pi \alpha^2 a^2 \right) = 1 - \frac{2\pi \alpha a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} + O(a^4). \quad (4.33)$$

■

Lemma 4.6. *The following approximation for u_2 is valid in the space H^1 ,*

$$\text{If } \tilde{u}_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2, & r < a, \\ \frac{1}{2} (i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2, & r > a, \end{cases} \quad \text{then } \|u_2 - \tilde{u}_2\|_{H^1} \leq Ca^2. \quad (4.34)$$

Proof. Denote a σ -neighbourhood of ∂S by $(\partial S)_\sigma$. We fix σ in such a way that $r > a$ in $(\partial S)_\sigma$. From lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, it follows that u_2 is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Delta u_2 &= 1 + O(a^2), \quad r \in S, \quad r \neq a, \\ \llbracket u_2(r) \rrbracket &= 0, \quad \left\llbracket \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial u_2(r)}{\partial n} \right\rrbracket = 0, \\ \llbracket u_2(r) \rrbracket &= \left\llbracket (i\hat{q} \cdot r) u_1 - \frac{1}{2} (i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2 \right\rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

where u_1 has the following form in $(\partial S)_\sigma$:

$$u_1 = (i\hat{q} \cdot r) + h_1, \quad \|h_1\|_{H^1((\partial S)_\sigma)} \leq Ca^2. \quad (4.35)$$

Let $\eta = \eta(r) \in C^\infty$, $\eta(r) = 1$ in $(\partial S)_{\sigma/2}$, $\eta(r) = 0$ in $S \setminus (\partial S)_\sigma$. Then

$$\|\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)h_1\|_{H^1(S)} \leq Ca^2,$$

and therefore it is enough to prove estimate (4.34) for $v_2 - \tilde{u}_2$ where $v_2 = u_2 - \eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)h_1$. Obviously, v_2 satisfies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Delta v_2 &= 1 + f_2, \quad r \in S, \quad r \neq a, \\ \llbracket v_2(r) \rrbracket &= 0, \quad \left\llbracket \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial v_2(r)}{\partial n} \right\rrbracket = 0, \\ \llbracket v_2(r) \rrbracket &= \left\llbracket \frac{1}{2} (i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2 \right\rrbracket, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_2 = O(a^2) + \Delta[\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)h_1]$. The same relations with $f_2 = 0$ are valid for $\tilde{u}_2$. Hence, lemma 4.2 provides the estimate (4.34) for $v_2 - \tilde{u}_2$ if $\|f_2\|_{L_2} \leq Ca^2$. The latter inequality follows from (4.35). Indeed, $\Delta u_1 = \Delta(i\hat{q} \cdot r) = 0$. Thus $\Delta h_1 = 0$, and, therefore,

$$\Delta[\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)h_1] = \Delta[\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)]h_1 + 2(\nabla[\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)], \nabla h_1).$$

This and (4.35) imply the estimate on f_2 and complete the proof of the lemma. ■

(c) Approximation of u_3

From lemma 4.4 and (4.18), it follows that $\|u_1 - (i\hat{q} \cdot r)\|_{L_2} \leq Ca \ln 1/a$. Together with lemma 4.5, it allow us to rewrite problem (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), (3.11) for u_3 in the form

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta u_3 = (i\hat{q} \cdot r) + f, \quad r \neq a, \quad \|f\|_{L_2} \leq Ca \ln \frac{1}{a}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$[[u_3(r)]] = 0, \quad \left[\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_3(r)}{\partial n} \right] \right] = 0 \quad (4.37)$$

$$\text{and} \quad [[u_3(r)]] = \left[(i\hat{q} \cdot r)u_2 - \frac{1}{2}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2 u_1 + \frac{1}{6}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^3 \right]. \quad (4.38)$$

Similar to the previous case, we formulate lemma 4.7.

Lemma 4.7.

$$\text{If } \tilde{u}_3 = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{6}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^3, & r < a, \\ \frac{1}{6}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^3, & r > a, \end{cases} \quad \text{then } \|u_3 - \tilde{u}_3\|_{H^1} \leq Ca \ln \frac{1}{a}. \quad (4.39)$$

Proof. We will use notation $(\partial S)_\sigma$ and $\eta(r)$ from the previous lemma. We need to single out the main term (as $a \rightarrow 0$) on the right-hand side of (4.38). Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6 imply

$$u_1 = i\hat{q} \cdot r + h_1, \quad \|h_1\|_{H^1((\partial S)_\sigma)} \leq C_1 a^2 \quad (4.40)$$

and

$$u_2 = \frac{1}{2}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2 + h_2, \quad \|h_2\|_{H^1((\partial S)_\sigma)} \leq C_2 a^2. \quad (4.41)$$

We introduce function $v_3 = u_3 - g_3$, $g_3 = \eta(r)((i\hat{q} \cdot r)h_2 - \frac{1}{2}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2 h_1)$. This function satisfies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta v_3 &= i\hat{q} \cdot r + f_3, \quad r \in S, \quad r \neq a, \\ [[v_3(r)]] &= 0, \quad \left[\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial v_3(r)}{\partial n} \right] \right] = 0, \\ [[v_3(r)]] &= \left[\frac{1}{6}(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^3 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $f_3 = O(a \ln a) + \Delta g_3$, and the last relation above (for the jump of v_3 on ∂S) is a -independent. From (4.40), (4.41), it follows that $\|g_3\|_{H^1} \leq Ca^2$, and therefore one can prove lemma 4.7 for v_3 instead of u_3 . We note that $\tilde{u}_3$ satisfies the same relations as those for v_3 with $f_3 = 0$. Thus, estimate (4.39) for $v_3 - \tilde{u}_3$ will follow from lemma 4.2 if we show that $\|f_3\|_{L_2} \leq Ca \ln 1/a$. Thus, to complete the proof of the lemma it suffices to show that $\|\Delta g_3\|_{L_2} \leq Ca^2$.

From equations (3.3), (3.4) (where $\lambda_1 = 0$) and (4.40), (4.41), it follows that $\Delta h_1 = 0$, $\Delta h_2 = 1 - \lambda_2 = O(a^2)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g_3 &= h_2 \Delta(\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)) + 2\langle \nabla[\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)], \nabla h_2 \rangle + \eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)O(a^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}h_1 \Delta(\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2) - \langle \nabla[\eta(r)(i\hat{q} \cdot r)^2], \nabla h_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

Now the desired estimate on Δg_3 follows from (4.40) and (4.41). ■

5. Effective dielectric tensor and the dispersion relation

Let us recall that the two-dimensional electric component of the TE mode is determined by $E = (ic/\omega\epsilon)\nabla \times H = (ic/\omega\epsilon)[u_y, -u_x]$ and that $D = \epsilon E$. The effective dielectric tensor ϵ^* of the problem

relates the average electric field and the average electric displacement over the fundamental cell

$$\langle D \rangle = \epsilon^* \langle E \rangle. \quad (5.1)$$

In the principal axes, ϵ^* has a diagonal form and can be found from the relation

$$\int_S \nabla^\perp u \, dS = \epsilon^* \int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla^\perp u \, dS, \quad \nabla^\perp u = [u_y, -u_x]. \quad (5.2)$$

We represent ϵ^* in the form

$$\epsilon^* = I + \tilde{\epsilon}, \quad (5.3)$$

where I is a 2×2 identity matrix and $\tilde{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\epsilon}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\epsilon}_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Substituting (5.3) into (5.2), we obtain the equation for $\tilde{\epsilon}$

$$\tilde{\epsilon} \int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla^\perp u \, dS = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \int_{S_{in}} \nabla^\perp u \, dS. \quad (5.4)$$

Observe that on the right-hand side of (5.4) integration is performed only over S_{in} . With expansion (3.1), theorems 3.3 and A.1 on the uniform convergence of u (see appendix A) we obtain for the entries of $\tilde{\epsilon}$

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_y (u_1 + q^2 u_3) \, dS = \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \int_{S_{in}} \partial_y (u_1^{in} + q^2 u_3^{in}) \, dS + O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2}) \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_2 \int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_x (u_1 + q^2 u_3) \, dS = \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon} \int_{S_{in}} \partial_x (u_1^{in} + q^2 u_3^{in}) \, dS + O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2}). \quad (5.6)$$

Now using (4.20) and (4.21), we evaluate the integrals involved in (5.5) and (5.6)

$$\int_{S_{in}} \partial_x u_1^{in} \, dS = \pi i a^2 A_1 = \frac{2\pi i \epsilon a^2}{\epsilon + 1} \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha \eta_1}{\tau_1} a^2\right) \cos \theta + O(a^6), \quad (5.7)$$

$$\int_{S_{in}} \partial_y u_1^{in} \, dS = \pi i a^2 B_1 = \frac{2\pi i \epsilon a^2}{\epsilon + 1} \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha \tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} a^2\right) \sin \theta + O(a^6), \quad (5.8)$$

$$\int_{S_{in}} \partial_x u_3^{in} \, dS = -\frac{i\epsilon}{2} \cos \theta \int_{S_{in}} (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)^2 \, dS = -\frac{\pi i \epsilon a^4}{8} \cos \theta + O\left(a^5 \ln \frac{1}{a}\right) \quad (5.9)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \int_{S_{in}} \partial_y u_3^{in} \, dS = -\frac{i\epsilon}{2} \sin \theta \int_{S_{in}} (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)^2 \, dS = -\frac{\pi i \epsilon a^4}{8} \sin \theta + O\left(a^5 \ln \frac{1}{a}\right). \quad (5.10)$$

Integrals over $S_{ex} = S \setminus S_{in}$ are evaluated using Green's theorem

$$\int_{S_{ex}} \nabla^\perp u_1^{ex} \, dS = -\oint_{\partial S} [u_1^{ex} \, dx, u_1^{ex} \, dy] + \oint_{\partial S_{in}} [u_1^{in} \, dx, u_1^{in} \, dy]. \quad (5.11)$$

Integrals over the boundary ∂S are evaluated by the property (3.9) of u_1

$$\oint_{\partial S} u_1^{ex} \, dx = \int_{-\tau_1/2}^{\tau_1/2} u_1^{ex} \left(x, -\frac{\tau_2}{2}\right) \, dx - \int_{-\tau_1/2}^{\tau_1/2} u_1^{ex} \left(x, \frac{\tau_2}{2}\right) \, dx = -i\tau_1 \tau_2 \sin \theta \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$\oint_{\partial S} u_1^{ex} \, dy = \int_{-\tau_2/2}^{\tau_2/2} u_1^{ex} \left(\frac{\tau_1}{2}, y\right) \, dy - \int_{-\tau_2/2}^{\tau_2/2} u_1^{ex} \left(-\frac{\tau_1}{2}, y\right) \, dy = i\tau_1 \tau_2 \cos \theta. \quad (5.13)$$

The second integral is evaluated using (4.16), (4.20) and (4.21)

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial S_{in}} u_1^{in} \, dx &= -ia^2 \int_0^{2\pi} (A_1 \cos \phi + B_1 \sin \phi) \sin \phi \, d\phi = -\pi ia^2 B_1 \\ &= -\frac{2\pi ia^2 \epsilon}{\epsilon + 1} \sin \theta \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2}{\tau_2} + O(a^6)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Similarly,

$$\oint_{\partial S_m} u_1^{\text{in}} dy = \frac{2\pi i a^2 \varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} \cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha a^2 \eta_1}{\tau_1} + O(a^6) \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Finally from (4.39), we estimate integrals of u_3 to order $O(a^4)$

$$\int_S \partial_x u_3 dS = -\frac{i}{2} \cos \theta \int_S (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)^2 dx dy = -\frac{i\tau_1 \tau_2}{24} \cos \theta (\tau_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \tau_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\int_S \partial_y u_3 dS = -\frac{i}{2} \sin \theta \int_S (x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)^2 dx dy = -\frac{i\tau_1 \tau_2}{24} \sin \theta (\tau_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \tau_2^2 \sin^2 \theta). \quad (5.17)$$

Substituting evaluated integrals into (5.5) and (5.6) we obtain components of the effective tensor with accuracy $O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2})$

$$\varepsilon_1^* = 1 + \frac{2\pi\alpha a^2(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2/\tau_2)}{\tau_1 \tau_2 - 2\pi\alpha a^2(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2/\tau_2)} + \frac{q^2 \pi\alpha a^2 \tau_1 \tau_2 (1 - 2\alpha a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2/\tau_2)(\tau_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \tau_2^2 \sin^2 \theta)}{(\tau_1 \tau_2 - 2\pi\alpha a^2(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \tilde{\eta}_2/\tau_2))^2} \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_2^* = 1 + \frac{2\pi\alpha a^2(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \eta_1/\tau_1)}{\tau_1 \tau_2 - 2\pi\alpha a^2(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \eta_1/\tau_1)} + \frac{q^2 \pi\alpha a^2 \tau_1 \tau_2 (1 - 2\alpha a^2 \eta_1/\tau_1)(\tau_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \tau_2^2 \sin^2 \theta)}{(\tau_1 \tau_2 - 2\pi\alpha a^2(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \eta_1/\tau_1))^2}. \quad (5.19)$$

With Legendre's relation $\eta_1 \tau_2 + \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1 = \pi$, the series expansion of ε^* gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^* = & \left(1 + \frac{2\pi\alpha a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{\pi\alpha a^2 q^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} (\tau_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + \tau_2^2 \sin^2 \theta) \right) \mathbf{I} \\ & + \frac{4\pi\alpha^2 a^4}{\tau_1^2 \tau_2^2} \begin{bmatrix} \eta_1 \tau_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\eta}_2 \tau_1 \end{bmatrix} + O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

For the square lattice $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$, we have $\eta_1 = \tilde{\eta}_2 = \pi/2\tau$ [26]. Then in our approximation ε^* becomes isotropic $\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon^* \mathbf{I}$, where

$$\varepsilon^* = 1 + 2\alpha \frac{\pi a^2}{\tau^2} + 2\alpha^2 \left(\frac{\pi a^2}{\tau^2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{12} \pi\alpha a^2 q^2 + O((q^2 + a^2)^{5/2}). \quad (5.21)$$

It should be remarked that while the static part of (5.21) agrees with the expansion of Maxwell's formula the frequency-dependent correction differs substantially from that obtained in [11].

Going back to evaluation of (3.17), one can easily check that

$$|\nabla u_2|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla \bar{u}_3) = O(a^2) \quad (5.22)$$

and

$$|u_1|^2 + 2\text{Re} u_2 = O(a^2). \quad (5.23)$$

Then from (4.33) and (3.17) we obtain the dispersion relation

$$v^2 = q^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha\pi a^2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \right) + O(q^2(q^2 + a^2)^2). \quad (5.24)$$

Thus, the first correction in the dispersion relation does not depend on the shape of the lattice but only on the concentration of the scatterers.

6. Conclusion

We have considered the problem of transverse propagation of electromagnetic waves through a doubly periodic rectangular array of circular dielectric cylinders of radius a . The solution of the problem is sought in the form of a power series in terms of the magnitude q of the quasi-momentum of the Bloch wave. We prove that the eigenfunction and the eigenvalue are analytic

functions of q^2 that converge uniformly in a . We find explicitly frequency correction terms to the effective dielectric tensor as well as to the dispersion relation and rigorously estimate the remainders. The approach devised in the paper can also be used to find higher order terms of the effective tensor and the dispersion relation.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Authors' contributions. Both authors contributed equally to all parts of the paper.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. The work of B.V. was partially supported by NSF grant no. DMS-1714402 and a Simons Foundation grant.

Appendix A. Uniform property of the series expansion

The following theorem shows that the series expansion of the eigenfunction u is uniform in a .

Theorem A.1. *Let $a \leq a_0 \leq \min(\tau_1, \tau_2)$. Then there are constants $q_0, \lambda_0 > 0$ such that the eigenvalue problem (2.1) and (2.2) has a unique eigenvalue $\lambda = v^2$ when $q \leq q_0, |\lambda| \leq \lambda_0$, and the eigenvalue is simple. The corresponding eigenfunction $u = u(\mathbf{r}, q, a)$ normalized by the condition*

$$\int_S u(\mathbf{r}, q) dS = |S| = \tau_1 \tau_2 \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

is analytic in q

$$u = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(\mathbf{r}, a) q^n, \quad q \leq q_0, \quad \|u_n\|_{H^1} \leq C_n, \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

where C_n do not depend on a and the series converges in $H^1(S)$ uniformly in a . The corresponding eigenvalue λ can also be expanded in a power series in $q, q \leq q_0$, which converges uniformly in $a, a \leq a_0$.

Proof. Let us reduce the problem (2.1) and (2.2) to an equivalent one where the domain of the operator does not depend on q . Let

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}) = 1 + (e^{iq \cdot \mathbf{r}} - 1)\alpha(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

where $\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ is a C^∞ function whose graph is shown in figure 2. The substitution $u = \beta v$ in (2.1) and (2.2) and multiplication of the equation by β^{-1} reduces the problem to the following one:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta + B_q\right) v = \lambda v, \quad B_q v = \frac{1}{\epsilon \beta} (2 \nabla \beta \cdot \nabla v + v \Delta \beta), \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

where $\lambda = v^2$ and the domain $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(a)$ of operators $(1/\epsilon)\Delta$ and B_q consists of functions $v \in H^2(S_{in}) \oplus H^2(S_{ex})$ that satisfy $\llbracket v(\mathbf{r}) \rrbracket = 0, \llbracket (1/\epsilon)(\partial v(\mathbf{r})/\partial n) \rrbracket = 0$ and the periodicity condition $\llbracket v(\mathbf{r}) \rrbracket = 0$.

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma A.2. *There exist constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ such that the operator $(1/\epsilon)\Delta + B_q$ does not have eigenvalues in the annulus $\gamma_1 q \leq |\lambda| \leq \gamma_2$ when $a \leq a_0, 0 < q \leq \gamma_2/\gamma_1$.*

Proof. We normalize the eigenfunction v in (4.2) by the condition $\|v\|_{L^2} = 1$. Thus

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} |v_{nm}|^2 = \frac{1}{\tau_1 \tau_2}, \quad \text{where } v = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} v_{nm} e^{2\pi i(mx/\tau_1 + ny/\tau_2)}. \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

Let us show that the coefficient v_{00} cannot be very small. Clearly, $(1/\epsilon\beta)(2|\nabla\beta| + |\Delta\beta|) \leq Cq$ for small q . Thus,

$$\|B_q v\|_{L_2} \leq Cq(\|\nabla v\|_{L_2} + 1). \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

From here and Green's formula applied to (4.2), it follows that

$$\int_S \frac{1}{\epsilon} |\nabla v|^2 dS \leq \|B_q v\|_{L_2} \cdot \|v\|_{L_2} + |\lambda| \|v\|_{L_2}^2 \leq Cq(\|\nabla v\|_{L_2} + 1) + |\lambda|, \quad q \ll 1. \quad (\text{A } 7)$$

Figure 2. Graph of the function $\alpha(r)$.

Hence,

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L_2}^2 \leq C_1(|\lambda| + q) \quad \text{for } q \ll 1, \quad (\text{A } 8)$$

i.e.

$$(2\pi)^2 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} |v_{m,n}|^2 \left[\left(\frac{m}{\tau_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{\tau_2} \right)^2 \right] \tau_1 \tau_2 \leq C_1(|\lambda| + q) \quad \text{for } q \ll 1. \quad (\text{A } 9)$$

This and (A 5) imply the existence of $C_0 > 0$ such that $|v_{00}| > C_0$ for small enough $|\lambda| + q$.

Lemma 3.1 implies that a non-trivial solution of (A 4) exists only if

$$\int_S (\lambda v - B_q v) \, dS = 0. \quad (\text{A } 10)$$

From (A 6) and (A 8), it follows that

$$\int_S |B_q v| \, dS \leq C_2 [q\sqrt{|\lambda| + q} + q] \leq C_3 q, \quad (\text{A } 11)$$

if $|\lambda| + q$ is small. Thus (A 10) implies that $|\lambda|C_0 \leq C_3 q$ for small eigenvalues when $q \ll 1$, i.e. there exists $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that eigenvalues λ in the circle $|\lambda| \leq \gamma_2$ are located only inside a smaller circle $|\lambda| < \gamma_1 q$, $\gamma_1 = C_3/C_0$, when q is small enough. ■

Continuing the proof of the theorem we assume below that $a \leq a_0$, $q \leq \gamma_2/\gamma_1$. Thus, the circle $\Gamma = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = \gamma_2\}$ splits the spectrum of $(1/\epsilon)\Delta + B_q$ into two parts. Since operator $(1/\epsilon)\Delta + B_q : \mathcal{D}(a) \rightarrow L_2$, where $\mathcal{D}(a)$ was defined in (A 4), has a discrete spectrum, operator

$$P_q = \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \Delta + B_q - \lambda \right)^{-1} d\lambda \quad (\text{A } 12)$$

is a projection on the space spanned by the eigenfunctions of $(1/\epsilon)\Delta + B_q$ with eigenvalues inside Γ . We will show below that $\|P_q - P_0\| < 1$ if q and γ_2 are small enough. Hence [27, sec. XII.2], the ranges of P_q and P_0 have the same dimensions. We reduce γ_2 , if needed, to guarantee that P_0 is the projection on the simple eigenfunction $u = \text{const.}$ of $(1/\epsilon)\Delta$. Then $(1/\epsilon)\Delta + B_q$ has a unique simple eigenvalue in Γ when $q, \gamma_2 \ll 1$. The corresponding eigenfunction is proportional to $P_q f$ with an arbitrary f such that $P_q f \neq 0$. Function f needs to be normalized to guarantee (A 1).

It was shown above that $(1/\epsilon)\Delta - \lambda$ is invertible when $\lambda \in \Gamma$, i.e. $((1/\epsilon)\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} : L_2 \rightarrow H^1$ is bounded. We need an estimate for this operator with a constant that does not depend on a .

Let

$$\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta - \lambda\right)u = f \in L_2, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(a), \quad a \leq a_0, \quad \lambda \in \Gamma. \quad (\text{A } 13)$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\int_S (\lambda u + f) \, dS = 0, \quad (\text{A } 14)$$

and from Green's formula it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla u \right\|_{L_2}^2 - |\lambda| \|u\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \int_S |fu| \, dS \leq \gamma_2 \|u\|_{L_2}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \|f\|_{L_2}^2. \quad (\text{A } 15)$$

Thus,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_2}^2 \leq \left(2\gamma_2 \|u\|_{L_2}^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \|f\|_{L_2}^2\right) \max(\epsilon). \quad (\text{A } 16)$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} |u_{m,n}|^2 \left[\left(\frac{m}{\tau_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{\tau_2}\right)^2 \right] \leq C\gamma_2 \left[2\gamma_2 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} |u_{m,n}|^2 + |u_{0,0}|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \|f\|_{L_2}^2, \quad (\text{A } 17)$$

where $u_{m,n}$ are Fourier coefficients of u . If γ_2 is small enough, then the latter estimate and (A 14) imply

$$\sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} |u_{m,n}|^2 \left[\left(\frac{m}{\tau_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{\tau_2}\right)^2 \right] \leq C_1 \frac{|f_{00}|^2}{\gamma_2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \|f\|_{L_2}^2 \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L_2}^2. \quad (\text{A } 18)$$

From here and (A 14), it also follows that

$$\|u\|_{L_2}^2 = \left[\sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} |u_{m,n}|^2 + |u_{0,0}|^2 \right] \tau_1 \tau_2 \leq C \|f\|^2. \quad (\text{A } 19)$$

Thus, $\|((1/\epsilon)\Delta - \lambda)^{-1}f\|_{H^1} \leq C\|f\|_{L_2}$, where C does not depend on a and $\lambda \in \Gamma$.

Now we write

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta + B_q - \lambda = (1 + T_q) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta - \lambda\right), \quad T_q = B_q \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta - \lambda\right)^{-1} : L_2 \rightarrow L_2, \quad (\text{A } 20)$$

where operator T_q is analytic in q , its power series converges in the norm space uniformly in a and $\|T_q\| \rightarrow 0$ as $|q| \rightarrow 0$. It remains to write P_q in the form

$$P_q = \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Delta - \lambda\right)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-T_q)^n \, d\lambda \quad (\text{A } 21)$$

and expand T_q in a power series in q . This proves that $\|P_q - P_0\| < 1$ if $q \ll 1$ and provides a power series for $P_q f$ which converges in $H^1(\mathcal{S})$ uniformly in a . Power expansion of $\lambda = v^2$ follows immediately from (3.14). ■

References

- Joannopoulos JD, Johnson SG, Winn JN, Meade RD. 2011 *Photonic crystals: molding the flow of light*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Figotin A, Godin YA, Vitebsky I. 1998 Two-dimensional tunable photonic crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 2841–2848. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.57.2841)
- Kosaka H, Kawashima T, Tomita A, Notomi M, Tamamura T, Sato T, Kawakami S. 1999 Self-collimating phenomena in photonic crystals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **74**, 1212–1214. (doi:10.1063/1.123502)
- Figotin A, Vitebsky I. 2001 Nonreciprocal magnetic photonic crystals. *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 066609. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.63.066609)
- Figotin A, Vitebskiy I. 2006 Slow light in photonic crystals. *Waves Random Complex Media* **16**, 293–382. (doi:10.1080/17455030600836507)

6. Molchanov S, Vainberg B. 2004 Slowdown of the wave packages in finite slabs of periodic media. *Waves Random Media* **14**, 411–423. (doi:10.1088/0959-7174/14/3/012)
7. Krauss TF. 2007 Slow light in photonic crystal waveguides. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **40**, 2666–2670. (doi:10.1088/0022-3727/40/9/S07)
8. Luo C, Johnson SG, Joannopoulos JD, Pendry JB. 2003 Subwavelength imaging in photonic crystals. *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 045115. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.68.045115)
9. Zheludev NI, Kivshar YS. 2012 From metamaterials to metadevices. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 917–924. (doi:10.1038/nmat3431)
10. Rayleigh JWS. 1892 On the influence of obstacles arranged in rectangular order upon the properties of a medium. *Philos. Mag.* **34**, 481–502. (doi:10.1080/14786449208620364)
11. McPhedran RC, Poulton CG, Nicorovici NA, Movchan AB. 1996 Low frequency corrections to the static effective dielectric constant of a two-dimensional composite material. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **452**, 2231–2245. (doi:10.1098/rspa.1996.0119)
12. Zalipaev VV, Movchan AB, Poulton CG, McPhedran RC. 2002 Elastic waves and homogenization in oblique periodic structures. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **458**, 1887–1912. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2001.0948)
13. McIver P. 2007 Approximations to wave propagation through doubly-periodic arrays of scatterers. *Waves Random Complex Media* **17**, 439–453. (doi:10.1080/17455030701481831)
14. Guo S, McIver P. 2011 Propagation of elastic waves through a lattice of cylindrical cavities. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **467**, 2962–2982. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2011.0069)
15. Krynkina A, McIver P. 2009 Approximations to wave propagation through a lattice of Dirichlet scatterers. *Waves Random Complex Media* **19**, 347–365. (doi:10.1080/17455030802616855)
16. Schnitzer O, Craster RV. 2017 Bloch waves in an arbitrary two-dimensional lattice of subwavelength Dirichlet scatterers. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **77**, 2119–2135. (doi:10.1137/16M107222X)
17. Fortes SP, Lipton RP, Shipman SP. 2010 Sub-wavelength plasmonic crystals: dispersion relations and effective properties. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **466**, 1993–2020. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2009.0542)
18. Meng S, Guzina BB. 2018 On the dynamic homogenization of periodic media: Willis' approach versus two-scale paradigm. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **474**, 20170638. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2017.0638)
19. Craster RV, Kaplunov J, Pichugin AV. 2010 High-frequency homogenization for periodic media. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **466**, 2341–2362. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2009.0612)
20. Vanel AL, Schnitzer O, Craster RV. 2017 Asymptotic network models of subwavelength metamaterials formed by closely packed photonic and phononic crystals. *Europhys. Lett.* **119**, 64002. (doi:10.1209/0295-5075/119/64002)
21. Cherednichenko KD, Smyshlyaev VP, Zhikov VV. 2006 Non-local homogenized limits for composite media with highly anisotropic periodic fibres. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A Math.* **136**, 87–114. (doi:10.1017/S0308210500004455)
22. Babych NO, Kamotski IV, Smyshlyaev VP. 2008 Homogenization of spectral problems in bounded domains with doubly high contrasts. *Networks Heterogeneous Media* **3**, 413–436. (doi:10.3934/nhm)
23. Joyce D, Parnell WJ, Assier RC, Abrahams ID. 2017 An integral equation method for the homogenization of unidirectional fibre-reinforced media; antiplane elasticity and other potential problems. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **473**, 20170080. (doi:10.1098/rspa.2017.0080)
24. Whittaker ET, Watson GN. 1927 *A course of modern analysis*, 4th edn. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. (Reprinted in 1996. Table errata: *Math. Comp.* v. 36 (1981), no. 153, p. 319.)
25. Godin YA. 2013 Effective complex permittivity tensor of a periodic array of cylinders. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 053505. (doi:10.1063/1.4803490)
26. Abramowitz M, Stegun IA (eds). 1964 *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, no. 55. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
27. Reed M, Simon B. 1978 *Analysis of operators*, vol. 4. New York, NY: Academic Press.