Published in final edited form as:

J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2019 March ; 66(2): 354–368. doi:10.1111/jeu.12673.

Discrimination Experiments In *Entamoeba* and Evidence from other Protists Suggest Pathogenic Amebas Cooperate with Kin to Colonize Hosts and Deter Rivals

Avelina Espinosa^{a,b} and Guillermo Paz-y-Miño-C^b

^aDepartment of Biology, Roger Williams University, Bristol, Rhode Island, USA

^bNew England Center for the Public Understanding of Science, Roger Williams University, Bristol, Rhode Island, USA

Abstract

Entamoeba histolytica is one of the least understood protists in terms of taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/recognition ability. Yet, the capacity to tell apart same or self (clone/kin) from different or non-self (non-clone/non-kin) has long been demonstrated in pathogenic eukaryotes like *Trypanosoma* and *Plasmodium*, free-living social amebas (*Dictyostelium*, *Polysphondylium*), budding yeast (*Saccharomyces*), and in numerous bacteria and archaea (prokaryotes). Kin discrimination/recognition is explained under inclusive fitness theory; that is, the reproductive advantage that genetically closely related organisms (kin) can gain by cooperating preferably with one another (rather than with distantly related or unrelated individuals), minimizing antagonism and competition with kin, and excluding genetic strangers (or cheaters = non-cooperators that benefit from others' investments in altruistic cooperation). In this review, we rely on the outcomes of in vitro pairwise discrimination/recognition encounters between seven *Entamoeba* lineages to discuss the biological significance of taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/recognition in a range of generalist and specialist species (close or distantly related phylogenetically). We then focus our discussion on the importance of these laboratory observations for *E. histolytica*'s life cycle, host infestation, and implications of these features of the amebas' natural history for human health (including mitigation of amebiasis).

Keywords

Amoebozoa; biological cheating; green-beard genes; inclusive fitness; kin recognition; kin selection; population bottleneck; protists

SCIENTIFIC observations indicate that a large variety of unicellular eukaryotes (protists), members of major phylogenetic lineages, can tell apart same or self (clone/kin) from different or non-self (non-clone/non-kin). Indeed, protists have taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/recognition ability (Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2016, 2018). One of such

protists is *Entamoeba histolytica*, an iconic Amoebozoa due to its relevance to human health (Fig. 1).

The capacity to discriminate/recognize kin, which is also common in invertebrates, vertebrates and plants (reviews in Holmes and Sherman 1983; Fletcher and Michener 1987; Blaustein et al. 1988; Hepper 1991; Sherman et al. 1997; Tang-Martínez 2001; Starks 2004; Biedrzycki and Bais 2010; Penn and Frommen 2010; Dudley et al. 2013), has been explained historically under inclusive fitness theory (Hamilton 1963, 1964); that is, the reproductive advantage that genetically closely related organisms (kin) can gain by cooperating preferably with one another (rather than with unrelated ones), minimizing antagonism and competition with kin, and excluding genetic strangers (or cheaters = non-cooperators that benefit from others' cooperation). The term inclusive fitness refers to the total genetic contribution an individual makes to future generations via its/his/her own reproduction (direct fitness) or via helping others (kin) to reproduce (indirect fitness; Gardner et al. 2016). For comprehensive reviews of kin recognition in unicellular eukaryotes, as well as prokaryotes (bacteria and some archaea) see Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C (2014a), Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa (2016, 2018).

In recent clone-recognition experiments, we demonstrated that amebas of diverse species could discriminate self from different (Espinosa et al. 2016). We first showed that seven *Entamoeba* cell-lines kept in our laboratory could be characterized (by human observers) morphometrically as per the amebas' length, width, and cell-surface area (*E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS, *E. dispar*, *E. moshkovskii* Laredo, *E. moshkovskii* cf. Snake, *E. terrapinae*, *E. invadens* IP-1 and *E. invadens* VK-1:NS); we documented that the lineages differed statistically from one another based on these measurements (i.e. single-variable analyses of length, width, and cell-surface area; or canonical-discriminant analyses involving length x width; Fig. 2; Espinosa et al. 2016). More importantly, we also demonstrated that in mix-cell-line cultures between closely-related (*E. invadens* IP-1 vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS) or distant-phylogenetic clone-lines (*E. terrapinae* vs. *E. moshkovskii* Laredo), amebas consistently aggregated with clone members and avoided unrelated clones (which happen to be heterospecifics). Our studies included the identification of putative cell-signals secreted by the amebas (RasGap/Ankyrin, coronin-WD40, actin, protein kinases, heat shock 70, and ubiquitin) and which known functions in *Entamoeba* spp. included cell proliferation, cell adhesion, cell movement, and stress-induced encystation (Espinosa et al. 2016).

In this review, we center our analysis on *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS and discuss the significance of its taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/recognition potential for the life cycle, host-infestation, and implications of these aspects of the amebas' biology for human health (i.e. mitigation of amebiasis). Based on our in vitro discrimination/recognition experiments and analogous evidence reported in the literature about other pathogenic or free-living protists (i.e. *Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*, *Dictyostelium*, *Polysphondylium* and *Saccharomyces*), we propose that *E. histolytica* can cooperate with kin to colonize hosts and deter genetically unrelated, or distantly related rivals. Even though kin-recognition alleles have not yet been identified in *E. histolytica*, we think that such alleles do exist and that silencing them, or chemically altering the proteins they encode for, the amebas' capacity to

aggregate and, therefore, colonize hosts, encyst or form abscesses inside them, can potentially be disrupted (treatment).

INTRA- AND INTER-LINEAGE DISCRIMINATION ABILITY

Although evidence of taxa- and kin-discrimination/recognition in invertebrates, vertebrates and some plants has been gathered since the 1960s (references above), the advent of protists models into this field of research is new, early 2000s onwards (Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2014a; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2016, 2018). *Entamoeba* species are among the least understood protists in terms of taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/recognition potential (Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b). Here we often use the terms discrimination/recognition together in reference to the academic field of *kin recognition* and for that practical reason (i.e. discrimination/recognition = ability to distinguish one cell line, or clone, from another, or between “same” and “different” in the context of genetic relatedness), but there is a historical debate about the proper use of both terms that goes beyond the scope of this review (Blaustein et al. 1988; Sherman et al. 1997; Tang-Martínez 2001; Starks 2004; Penn and Frommen 2010; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018).

The seven cell-lines kept in our laboratory are representative of major lineages within the genus *Entamoeba* (Fig. 3; for a detailed phylogeny of the *Entamoeba* genus see Elsheikha et al. 2018). Some, like *E. invadens* IP-1 and *E. invadens* VK-1:NS, differ only in a single nucleotide in the *ssrRNA* sequence (Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b), yet they discriminate one another as much as any other pair of phylogenetically more distant taxa (we infer that *Ei*-IP-1 and *Ei*-VK-1:NS differ substantially in their nuclear genomes, as distinctive species, but only the *Ei*-IP-1 genome has been sequenced; Ehrenkaufer et al. 2013). In fact, all cell lines segregate into single-clone clusters when grown in diverse combinations of mixed cultures (Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b; Espinosa et al. 2016), as noted in the phylogeny of Fig. 3: most closely related sister taxa *E. invadens* IP-1 vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS (marked as a in connecting arrows in Fig. 3); more distantly related sister taxa *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. dispar* (marked as b in connecting arrows in Fig. 3); between a representative of a known multi-species clade (e.g. *E. moshkovskii* Laredo) vs. the most distant member of that clade (*E. terrapinae*; marked as c in connecting arrows in Fig. 3); as well as between members of two distinct clades (*E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS, marked as d in connecting arrows in Fig. 3; or *E. dispar* vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS, marked as e in connecting arrows in Fig. 3). Note that we have obtained comparable results with the pair *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. moshkovskii* Laredo (marked as f in connecting arrows in Fig. 3). In Fig. 4 we only show the microscopy images corresponding to the exemplar pair *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. dispar* (laboratory protocols and images of other pairings are provided in Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b; Espinosa et al. 2016).

The morphometric data (Fig. 2; Espinosa et al. 2016) combined with the mix-cell-line-culture outcomes between phylogenetically close or distant lineages (above and Figs. 3 and 4) demonstrate that *Entamoeba* spp. are capable of in vitro taxa- and clone-discrimination/recognition. Equal abilities have been reported previously in other Amoebozoa/Mycetozoa (Fig. 1), including the free-living social amoebas in the genera *Dictyostelium* and

Polysphondylium (Queller et al. 2003; Ostrowski et al. 2008; Benabentos et al. 2009; Li and Purugganan 2011; Hirose et al. 2011; Mehdiabadi et al. 2006; Kaushik et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2016; Noh et al. 2018). Note that the cell-lines in our laboratory correspond to niche-generalists/specialists: commensal (*E. dispar* and *E. terrapinae*) and pathogenic (*E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS, *E. moshkovskii* Laredo, *E. moshkovskii* cf. Snake, *E. invadens* IP-1, and *E. invadens* VK-1:NS; Clark and Diamond 1991; Eichinger 2001; Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b; Faust and Guillen 2012; Weedall and Hall 2015). *Entamoeba moshkovskii* can be found in riverine sediments to brackish coastal pools (Clark and Diamond 1997) or, like *E. dispar*, it can also be pathogenic (Ali et al. 2003; Shimokawa et al. 2012; Oliveira et al. 2015; Ngoben et al. 2017).

IMPLICATIONS OF CLONE-DISCRIMINATION ABILITY FOR *E. histolytica*'s life cycle

Host-biont colonization in various pathogenic protists (e.g. *Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*) includes sequential population crashes (bottlenecks), from the moment of arrival at the host-biont (either insect or vertebrate in the cases of *Trypanosoma* and *Plasmodium*) to the colonization of tissues and organs, proliferation within them, and subsequent dispersal to new host-bionts (Sinden et al. 2007; Dyer et al. 2013; Neal and Taylor 2014; Peacock et al. 2014; Koffi et al. 2015; Caljon et al. 2016). The host-biont immune system, the gut digestive enzymes (e.g. when *Trypanosoma* or *Plasmodium* cross the insect's gut to invade the body cavity or arrive at the salivary glands), or competition with con- and heterospecifics that had settled previously in the host-biont anatomy (i.e. priority effects that favor first colonizers) contribute to crash the populations of invaders (Rendueles et al. 2015; Kraemer et al. 2016). Repetitive population bottlenecks combined with serial founder effects lead to clonality in surviving invaders, which ultimately benefit from cooperating with kin (e.g. Nkhoma et al. 2012; Trevino et al. 2017). In instances in which *Trypanosoma* or *Plasmodium* detect the presence and proliferation of competitor clones inside the host (by means of cell-signaling mechanisms of quorum sensing), the cells can respond via fast clonal expansion, active inhibition of competitors (e.g. excretion of hormones that block competitors' growth; Portugal et al. 2011), habitat/niche partitioning within the host by colonizing distinctive host environments (Ramiro et al. 2016), or cooperative behaviors with kin, like social motility in *Trypanosoma* (SoMo, below), which exclude unrelated cells (Roditi 2016; Roditi et al. 2016). Comparably, *Plasmodium* can reduce the investment in sexual reproduction (i.e. the production of male or female gametocytes, which *Plasmodium* can fine-regulate depending on crowding; Reece et al. 2008) and instead continue in blood-stages, replicate clonally, achieve "safety in numbers," and postpone the terminal maturation into gametocytes (Pollitt et al. 2011; Portugal et al. 2011; Guttery et al. 2015; Bechetsi and Waters 2017). These strategies help explain why *Trypanosoma* and *Plasmodium* monoclonal infections (or co-infections of genetically related strains) tend to be more frequent than polyclonal infections (but see Gaunt et al. 2003; dos Santos Lima et al. 2014; Messenger et al. 2015), although ecological contingencies inside and outside the host-biont environments (e.g. anti-pathogen drugs, drug resistance, or host population dynamics, spatial distribution, nutrition and health) also play a role in inducing clonality (Duffy et al. 2009, 2013; Falk et al. 2015; Weir et al. 2016; Tibayrenc and Ayala 2017; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018).

We think that *E. histolytica* experiences analogous population dynamics during host-biont colonization, from the instant of arrival at the host's oral cavity (an enzymatic environment), to the exposure to digestive secretions in the gut (high acidity), colonization of the intestinal lumen (excystation in the small intestine) and the mucous layer in the small/large intestines, and encystation prior to dispersal out, to other host bionts (Fig. 5). Host colonization infrequently includes the perforation of the colon endothelium (a tissue guarded by white-blood cells), invasion of the blood capillaries and vessels (also protected by the immune system), and migration to the host-biont organs (i.e. the occasional abscesses in the liver, lungs, or rarely in the brain; Fig. 5). In fact, just like in other pathogenic or free-living protists (*Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*, or the social amoebas *Dictyostelium* or *Polysphondylium*), each successful stage of *E. histolytica*'s life cycle is probably preceded by a population bottleneck (PB as suggested in Fig. 5).

Why are the in vitro taxa- and clone-discrimination experiments (Figs. 3 and 4) informative of *E. histolytica*'s life history? To address this question, we would like to take a look at *Trypanosoma*'s social motility (SoMo), which was discovered in the laboratory fairly recently (Oberholzer et al. 2010, 2015). When *T. brucei* cells were inoculated in the center of an agar surface (on a petri dish), the trypanosomes formed migration swarms, star-shaped and with multiple spreading fronts or "spokes." The collective in vitro motility depended on each individual cell's flagellum for propulsion (cells with abnormal flagellum could not swarm). It was later proposed that swarming was central to the trypanosomes journey from the vector-biont midgut (the tsetse fly) to the salivary glands, where the cells completed development into mammalian-infective morphs (trypomastigotes; Oberholzer et al. 2010, 2015; Imhof and Roditi 2015). Imhof et al. (2015) documented that intact social motility gave a virulence advantage to wild-type *T. brucei* carriers of the *Rft1* allele over null mutants *Rft1*^{-/-} whose ability to migrate was compromised and, therefore, could not perforate the insect's midgut, mobilize into the fly's body cavity (i.e. the ectoperitrophic space) and, therefore, aim for the salivary glands (i.e. for transmission into new hosts). A parallel scenario of arduous migration, population crashes (bottlenecks) and repetitive founder effects are experienced by *Plasmodium* spp. once inside a mosquito-biont (Sinden et al. 2007; Pollitt et al. 2010; Reece et al. 2011; Neal and Taylor 2014). Cell death is such that in *P. berghei* (agent of the African rodent malaria) 80–90% of the infective cells (ookinetes) perish inside the insect vector. Part of this mortality is attributable to the vector's immunity and the toxicity inside the mosquito's midgut (review on the molecular aspects of *Plasmodium* spp. development inside the vector in Bennink et al. 2016).

Thus, our in vitro clone discrimination/recognition experiments with *Entamoeba* spp. (Figs. 3 and 4) are, possibly, an indication that, to maximize fitness and deter rivals, amoebas associate and cooperate with clone members (kin) precisely to overcome the predictable challenges of population demise and stochastic opportunities to recover inside the host (Fig. 5). Single amoebas cannot survive, and associations or cooperation with genetic strangers will be maladaptive in terms of fitness and prone to cheating (Gilbert et al. 2007; Santorelli et al. 2008; Ho and Shaulsky 2015; Levin et al. 2015; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). Consequently, clonal proliferation of kin, or cooperation with the closest genetic relatives that are still compatible for cell-cell alliances, shall be most advantageous (Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). In cases of co-infections by diverse

clones or ameba species (as reported in human-, great-ape- and rhesus-macaque hosts; Guan et al. 2016; Ngoben et al. 2017; Vlková et al. 2018), the ameba ability to discriminate/recognize taxa-, clone- or kin will also facilitate fine-scale (millimeter, perhaps microscopic) niche partitioning when invading the host and colonizing its tissues/organs, or forming abscesses (the latter as multi-taxa communities of bacteria and ameba species and their clones; Reyna-Fabián et al. 2016). Reyna-Fabián et al. (2016) have reported bi-species (*E. histolytica* HM1:IMSS and *E. dispar* SAW-760) infection in a liver abscess from a single human host in Mexico (genotyped as per tRNA short-tandem repeats, STRs, 6DA or DA(1), respectively; see Ali et al. 2005 for genotyping approach). Ali et al. (2008) have documented genetically distinctive *E. histolytica* infections in 16 patients from Bangladesh (where amebiasis is endemic), one from the United States and one from Italy (both countries with no endemic amebiasis), when contrasting the ameba genotypes in the intestine (i.e. stool samples) versus the liver (i.e. abscess samples; also via tRNA-linked STRs loci analysis, corroborated by PCR of the SREHP gene). Because liver abscesses developed during months after the initial amebas arrived in the gut (and once the early infection had cleared), it was likely that the distinctive genotype in the intestine, which differed from the liver abscess genotype, originated in secondary infections among the Bangladeshi patients (i.e. intestine versus liver-abscess genotypes varied at 6 loci assessed). By contrast, the United States and Italy patients carried closely related genotypes in the intestine and liver (i.e. 5 of the 6 loci were identical in each case, and one locus was different in each case), possibly derived from a single ancestor (Ali et al. 2008). In the total 18 cases examined, the liver abscesses were monoclonal. We are confident to suggest that in these scenarios of mixed-species (or potentially mixed clones) presence in liver abscesses, amebas use their ability to tell apart same (clone) from different (other clone or species) to actively segregate and associate preferentially with kin or with the closest genetic relative available. Fine-scale clone segregation (at the millimeter scale) has been widely documented in both free-living and laboratory experiments with social amebas (*D. discoideum*, *D. purpureum* and *D. giganteum*; Fortunato et al. 2003; Sathe et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2016).

Although chimeric clusters of unrelated cells have been observed in free-living social amebas when forming fruiting bodies (e.g. *D. discoideum*, *D. giganteum* or *D. purpureum*; Fortunato et al. 2003; Kaushik et al. 2006; Sathe et al. 2010), clonal associations for fruiting-body formation and spore production often surpass reproductive success (i.e. spore production) in respect to mixed cell aggregations (Mehdiabadi et al. 2006, 2009). Mating types have also been reported in *D. purpureum* and successful crossings (i.e. during the sexual part of the amebas' life cycle in which cells merge) tend to occur preferentially between genetically closely related, compatible cell lines (Mehdiabadi et al. 2009; Gilbert et al. 2012). In dictyostelids, kin-discrimination/recognition ability is crucial because fruiting body formation and spore production involve inevitable cell death (20–30% of the cells can die while forming the stalk that supports the fruiting body; Ostrowski et al. 2008). Likewise, mortality in *E. histolytica* during host invasion has been linked to the interaction among host immunity, virulence of the ameba strain and species composition of the gut biome (Gilchrist et al. 2012; Morton et al. 2015; Watanabe and Petri 2015; Burgess and Petri 2016; Morgado et al. 2016; Nakada-Tsukui and Nozaki 2016). Thus, in our view, altruistic cooperation

between close *E. histolytica* relatives shall maximize survival and reproduction of self, self-like or kin (Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018).

Moreover, in cyst-forming taxa like *E. histolytica* as well as in other protists (e.g. *Balantidium coli*, *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Giardia lamblia* and *Toxoplasma gondii*), encysting allows groups of cells to survive the harshness of the host environment (as well as outside the host; see molecular mechanisms of encystation in Schaap and Schilde 2018), but because both cyst formation and programmed cell death (PCD) co-occur as integral parts of these organisms' life cycles (including during abscess formation in *E. histolytica*), the need for a synchronous balance between encysting/abscessing and PCD has been proposed (Khan et al. 2015). Because abscesses are communities of organisms living in close proximity, sometimes formed by multiple clones prone to cheat on one another (i.e. genetically unrelated non-cooperators taking advantage of the PCD-benefits intrinsic to kin, as per inclusive fitness), the occurrence of adaptive PCD requires the ability to discriminate between same/clone- and different/non-clone-members (Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018).

Keep in mind that like *Trypanosoma* and *Plasmodium*, pathogenic or social amebas do undergo instances of sexual reproduction in their life cycles (i.e. genetic recombination and its benefits; Manske et al. 2012; Nkhoma et al. 2012; dos Santos Lima et al. 2014; Peacock et al. 2014, 2016; Koffi et al. 2015; Weedall and Hall 2015; Trevino et al. 2017), but the predominant clonal expansion of populations and subpopulations has long been associated with opportunistic fitness advantage during host invasion (to cope with host immunities) and when facing stochastic environmental change inside the host or in the wild (Suriptiastuti 2010; Duffy et al. 2013; Koffi et al. 2015; Tibayrenc and Ayala 2017). Recombination, therefore, does not occur with enough frequency to alter the prevalent pattern of clonal population structure (Tibayrenc 1993; Tibayrenc et al. 1990; Tibayrenc and Ayala 2017). The role of clonality as a maximizing-fitness strategy (correlated with density-dependent factors linked to pathogen-host ecological interactions) has also been documented in *Giardia*, *Leishmania*, *Naegleria*, *Toxoplasma* and *Trichomonas* (Tibayrenc et al. 1990; Tibayrenc and Ayala 2017).

POSSIBLE RELEVANCE TO HUMAN HEALTH

Since encystation (Coppi et al. 2002; Schaap and Schilde 2018) and/or abscess formation require cell aggregation (abscesses depend on cells coming together), we think that disruption of the amebas' capacity to group (via gene silencing or drugs) might be a viable approach to mitigate amebiasis. Blocking encystation could reduce the dissemination of infection because transmission relies on cyst dormancy (Mi-ichi et al. 2016; Herman et al. 2017), but it shall not cure amebiasis considering that most hosts are asymptomatic and release cysts undetected (Mi-ichi et al. 2016). However, we know little, if anything, about the genes involved in *E. histolytica* taxa-, clone- or kin-discrimination/recognition. We speculate that such potential genes might operate analogously to the "green-beard" alleles *csA* or *tgrB1* and *tgrC1* in *D. discoideum* (green-beards = tag genes for recognition; Dawkins 1976), but homologs to these genes in *Entamoeba* spp. have not been identified (we have search for them in public databases: AmoebaDB, *Entamoeba* Welcome Sanger Institute, EnsemblProtists, NCBI, UniProt; see links in references). To remain in intimate

proximity, *D. discoideum* amebas rely on cell-membrane adhesion proteins encoded by *csA*; when wild-type *csA*⁺ cells are grown in mixed cultures with *csA*[−] knockouts, the amebas cluster preferentially with those equipped with fully functional adhesion polypeptides (Queller et al. 2003; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2016, 2018). Interestingly, the *tgrB1* and *tgrC1* alleles work as complementary pairs; *tgrB1* and *tgrC1* are necessary and sufficient for amebas to discriminate between same (self or clone) and different (other clones), and to adhere to each other during the social phase of the life cycle (Hirose et al. 2011). Just like *csA*, the *tgr* genes are polymorphic and encode for plasma membrane glycoproteins (Hirose et al. 2011). Hirose et al. (2015) have demonstrated that the *tgrB1-tgrC1* genes not only work in reciprocal recognition between cells (=allorecognition), but also that these alleles are required for two major functions during the amebas' social cycle. First, discrimination/recognition between genetically compatible cell lines (clone/kin) during active aggregation for social cooperation and fruiting body formation (i.e. the amebas actively pursue such aggregations); this includes prevention of exploitation by unrelated cells (non-clone/non-kin) or cheaters (i.e. the active exclusion of the latter). And, second, coordinated and cooperative cell motility, cell polarization for collective movement (i.e. correct positioning of each cell in respect to the neighboring cells, something mediated by the *tgrB1-tgrC1*-encoded plasma membrane proteins), and later cell differentiation during fruiting body formation (i.e. the allocation of specific cells to the specialized structures of the fruiting body; this involves PCD; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). These allorecognition-mediated events, without which the multicellular aggregation cannot occur, are likely coupled by cell signaling codependent on the compatibility and matching between cells carrying the right copies of the *tgrB1-tgrC1* alleles (some of these molecular pathways can be explored in Heidel et al. 2011; Du et al. 2015; Kawabe et al. 2015; Glöckner et al. 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). Note that green-beard-gene mediated cellular aggregations for cooperation have also been documented in budding yeast (*FLO1* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, a member of the Dikarya in Fig. 1; Smukalla et al. 2008; Gardner and West 2010; Goossens et al. 2015), the bacteria *Myxococcus xanthus* (*trA*; Pathak et al. 2012, 2013; Cao et al. 2015; Wall 2016; Cao and Wall 2017), *Burkholderia thailandensis* (operon *bcpAIOB* and CDS system; Garcia et al. 2016), *Escherichia coli* (operon *cdiBAI*, *bamA* and CDI system; Aoki et al. 2005; Ruhe et al. 2013; Willett et al. 2015; Danka et al. 2017), as well as in *Agrobacterium*, *Bacillus*, *Citrobacter*, *Dickeya*, *Edwardsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Erwinia*, *Klebsiella*, *Neisseria*, *Paenibacillus*, *Pectobacterium*, *Photobacterium*, *Proteus*, *Rhizobium*, *Salmonella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Xenorhabdus*, *Vibrio* and *Yersinia* (i.e. CDI systems; KDLs gene array; *idsABCDEF* operon; *idrABCDE* cluster and *tss* cluster - Type VI Secretion System; Ti plasmid including *virB1-11* cluster which encodes the Type IV Secretion System; *rhsA*, *rhsB*, *rhsI*, *mosABC* and *mocABRC* clusters; and *dfsB*; review in Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). In essence, green-beard genes are quite common in microbes and it is reasonable to suspect their existence in *Entamoeba* spp.

As noted earlier, we have identified putative cell-signals secreted by the amebas during co-growth (*E. invadens* IP-1 vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS; Fig. 3) in clone-discrimination/recognition experiments (Espinosa et al. 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). Briefly, we found six proteins common to *Ei*-IP-1 and *Ei*-VK-1:NS, as follows: RasGap/Ankyrin, coronin-WD40, actin, protein kinases, heat shock 70, and ubiquitin. Some of their known

functions in *Entamoeba* spp. included: cell proliferation, cell adhesion, cell movement (i.e. pseudopod formation assisted by actin polymerization), migration, and stress-induced encystation (which are all relevant in the context of cell-cell recognition for aggregation; Weber et al. 2006; Eckert et al. 2011; Bosch and Siderovski 2013a, b; Herrera-Martínez et al. 2013; Espinosa et al. 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). Specifically, in *E. histolytica*, heat shock 70 (*EhHsp70*) helps amebas cope with oxidative stress and it is expressed during liver-abscess formation (Akbar et al. 2004; Weber et al. 2006; Santos et al. 2015; Nagaraja and Ankri 2018). Heat-shock proteins are also synthesized during thermal stress in *S. cerevisiae* and encystation in *Giardia lamblia* (Lujan et al. 1996; Morano et al. 1998). And in *E. invadens*, a variety of analogous polypeptides (to the ones found by us, above) are expressed during stress-induced encystation (G-proteins, protein kinase phospho-EiIF2 α , heat-shock proteins Hsp70/90, ubiquitins, Gal/GalNAc lectin; Field et al. 2000; Ehrenkaufer et al. 2013; Hendrick et al. 2016; Mi-ichi et al. 2016; Nagaraja and Ankri 2018). Moreover, comparable protein-functions to those listed here have been identified in homologs in *Dictyostelium* and *Plasmodium* (Loomis 2015; Olshina et al. 2015; Dictybase, see link in references). In *P. falciparum*, coronin coordinates the alignment of actin filaments that seem to guide directional motility in the cells (Olshina et al. 2015).

Other researchers have proposed that during encystation, *Entamoeba* cells (observations based on the reptilian pathogen *E. invadens*) attach to one another via molecular bindings of galactose (Gal)-terminated ligands to specific receptors on neighboring cells (Eichinger 2001; Mi-ichi et al. 2016). Although the identity of the hypothetical (Gal)-terminate ligand is unknown, another protein, the Gal/Gal-NAc lectin, is suspected to be “the receptor.” The latter inference is based on experiments with *E. histolytica* in which Gal/Gal-NAc lectin mediates cell-cell adherence (between trophozoites), binding of trophozoites to the mucous layer of the host’s intestinal endothelia, or to bacteria and red-blood cells during colonization of the gut (Eichinger 2001). Thus, the hypothetical galactose (Gal)-terminated ligand and its suspected Gal/Gal-NAc lectin receptor might mediate trophozoite aggregation for encystation (in our view of kin trophozoites), but this needs extensive research (Mi-ichi et al. 2016).

In sum, we have suggested that these extra- and intracellular signals likely interact in the overall physiology of *Entamoeba* spp., inducing cells to respond adaptively to clone-clone associations (same versus different) for proliferation, locomotion or encystation. In this respect, there is evidence that secretion of coronin to the milieu, by *E. histolytica*, and of RasGap, actin, protein kinases, heat-shock, and ubiquitin, by *D. discoideum*, are directly involved in cell-cell adhesion, regulation of proliferation, or cell aggregation (proteomic analyses; Bakthavatsalam and Gomer 2010; Biller et al. 2014; Espinosa et al. 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). This implies that the proteins we have identified have similar functions in other *Entamoeba* clones. Realize that this area of work requires the identification of the genes involved in these cell-cell interactions (above), but it is evident that *E. invadens* IP-1 and *E. invadens* VK-1:NS (as per our observations; Espinosa et al. 2016), and likely *E. histolytica*, excrete to their surroundings (and secrete intracellularly) chemical cues for recognition and aggregation (Espinosa et al. 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018). Thus, by interfering with the production of these secretions and/or altering their active chemical properties once present in the milieu, we think that amebas’

aggregations (i.e. *E. histolytica*'s) could be disrupted and, therefore, their capacity to encyst and be transmitted from infected to healthy individuals. Current anti-amebic drugs seem to work either at the level of the intestinal lumen, where trophozoites proliferate via cell divisions (Fig. 5, life-cycle stage number 5; e.g. drugs paromomycin, iodoquinol and diloxanide furoate) or at the level of the intestine's endothelium or tissue abscesses, on the invasive trophozoites that have already penetrated the gut or colonized internal organs (Fig. 5, life-cycle stages numbers 5a and 5b; e.g. drugs metronidazole, tinidazole and ornidazole, generically called nitroimidazoles), but not at both levels (Ali and Nozaki 2007; Anesi and Gluckman 2015; El-Dib 2017). Note that the localized efficacy of these drugs is linked to their differential absorption in the lumen versus the intestinal endothelium/tissue abscesses, rather than to the amebas' sensitivity to the compounds; in fact, some nitroimidazoles can work well in the lumen if they are chemically prevented from being absorbed (through the gut lining) and allowed to remain in the lumen where they can act upon the trophozoites (Mirelman et al. 1989). The nitroimidazole drugs (as well as auranofin, which still is in clinical trials) apparently bind to amebas' metabolic enzymes (i.e. thioredoxin dismutase, thioredoxin and superoxide dismutase) and block the trophozoites' capacity to cope with oxidative stress (Leitsch et al. 2007; Andrade and Reed 2015). However, these drugs, particularly the nitroimidazoles that are most commonly prescribed, are highly toxic to patients (causing nausea, vomiting, headaches, dizziness, vertigo, neuronal damage; Ali and Nozaki 2007), have generated resistance (*E. histolytica* to metronidazole; Wassmann et al. 1999), or can be mutagenic and carcinogenic (as reported in bacterial DNA, rodents, and humans after long exposures to the drugs; Cavaliere et al. 1983; Bendesky et al. 2002; Löfmark et al. 2010). For these reasons, we think that exploring the possibility of managing amebiasis from an alternative perspective (i.e. disrupting the amebas capacity to form aggregations, as suggested above) may supplement current therapeutics. But these research programs need further development.

CONCLUSIONS

The *Entamoeba* spp. ability to discriminate/recognize taxa-, clone-, or kin, as observed in laboratory aggregation/segregation experiments (Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b; Espinosa et al. 2016; Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2016, 2018), suggests that amebas use it during their life cycles to associate and cooperate preferentially with kin, and to deter genetically distant or unrelated rivals (i.e. potential cheaters). Because taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/ recognition has been documented in a variety of unicellular-eukaryotic lineages (Fig. 1), bacteria and some archaea (review in Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018), as well as in animals and plants (references above), we infer that in *Entamoeba* spp. (Fig. 3), and specifically in *E. histolytica* (Figs. 3 and 4), taxa-, clone- and kin-discrimination/ recognition are —like in other organisms— crucial for survival and reproduction in terms of direct and indirect fitness (inclusive fitness; Hamilton 1963, 1964).

Analogously to the in vitro social migration (SoMo) observations in *Trypanosoma* (Oberholzer et al. 2010, 2015), which were later linked to swarm coordinated movements (kin) inside the tsetse fly gut and body cavity (Imhof and Roditi 2015), our in vitro observations of *Entamoeba* spp. aggregation behavior (particularly *E. histolytica*), in which cells segregate with kin and avoid unrelated clones (Fig. 4), are an indication that group-

forming with kin helps amebas to survive population bottlenecks awaiting them inside the host (Fig. 5). Population recovery via fast clonal propagation (“safety in numbers”) and subsequent association and cooperation with close genetic relatives will secure highest fitness gain (as documented in *Trypanosoma* and *Plasmodium*; Reece et al. 2008; Pollitt et al. 2011; Portugal et al. 2011; Guttery et al. 2015; Roditi 2016; Roditi et al. 2016; Bechti and Waters 2017).

In cases in which *Entamoeba* spp. (e.g. *E. histolytica* or *E. dispar*) form abscesses in the host’s tissues and/or organs (i.e. the Reyna-Fabián et al.’s 2016 study, above), it is possible that amebas segregate spatially according to kinship and clone identity, similar to the segregation observed in social amebas in the field or laboratory (*D. discoideum*, *D. purpureum* and *D. giganteum*; Fortunato et al. 2003; Sathe et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2016). But this needs to be investigated by carefully sampling the abscesses at increasing microscopic (µm) to macroscopic (mm) distances in order to assess clone-clone spatial distribution in multi-clone or multi-species infections (as it has been done with social amebas and myxobacteria; Kraemer et al. 2016; Smith et al. 2016). This is relevant since abscesses are communities of microbes and studies suggest that they can be composed of genotype mixes (e.g. Reyna-Fabián et al. 2016), although the prevalence of clonality in protistan infections is often assumed (Tibayrenc 1993; Tibayrenc et al. 1990; Tibayrenc and Ayala 2017); thus, clone segregation in abscesses needs to be confirmed.

Even though we do not know the genes encoding for *E. histolytica* preferential aggregation with kin, we think that such alleles exist and operate comparably to the *csA* or *tgrB1-trgC1* green-beards in *D. discoideum* (i.e. plasma membrane glycoproteins; Queller et al. 2003; Hirose et al. 2011, 2015) or perhaps *FLO1* in *S. cerevisiae* (i.e. Flo-adhesin plasma membrane proteins encoded by the gene, which form lectin-like bonds with mannan oligosaccharide chains in the surface of the cell-walls; the proteins stick cells to each other; Verstrepen and Klis 2006; Smukalla et al. 2008). But we do know that aggregations of *E. invadens* IP-1 and *E. invadens* VK-1:NS (and likely *E. histolytica*) secrete into the milieu signals associated with cell proliferation, cell adhesion, cell movement, and stress-induced encystation (RasGap/Ankyrin, coronin-WD40, actin, protein kinases, heat shock 70, and ubiquitin; Espinosa et al. 2016). Thus, because encystation (Coppi et al. 2002; Schaap and Schilde 2018) and abscess formation require cell aggregation (i.e. abscesses are bound to cell recruitment), we postulate that disruption of *E. histolytica* capacity to group, via silencing of alleles yet to be discovered, or drugs that alter the chemistry of the recruitment signals secreted into the milieu, might be approaches to mitigating amebiasis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Layla Ferland, Matthew Gabrielle, Meagan Hackey, Kate Higgins, Harsha Kumar, Joshua Leitao, Steve McDonough, Kevin Schindewolf-Franca, and Hanna Sobon for technical assistance. Scott Rutherford provided statistical advice. *Entamoeba* clones were provided by C. Graham Clark, Daniel Eichinger and Christopher D. Huston. A. Espinosa is supported by NIH-NIGMS grant # 2P20GM103430. A. Espinosa and G. Paz-y-Miño-C are sponsored by New England Science Public and the New England Center for the Public Understanding of Science at Roger Williams University. An anonymous reviewer provided important comments to improve the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Akbar MA, Chatterjee NS, Sen P, Debnath A, Pal A, Bera T & Das P 2004 Genes induced by a high-oxygen environment in *Entamoeba histolytica*. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol, 133:187–196. doi.10.1016/j.molbiopara.2003.10.006 [PubMed: 14698431]
- Ali V & Nozaki T 2007 Current therapeutics, their problems, and sulfur-containing-amino-acid metabolism as a novel target against infections by “amitochondriate” protozoan parasites. Clin. Microbiol. Rev, 20:164–187. doi.10.1128/CMR.00019-06 [PubMed: 17223627]
- Ali IKM, Hossain MB, Roy S, Ayeh-Kumi PF, Petri WA, Haque R & Clark CG 2003 *Entamoeba moshkovskii* infections in children in Bangladesh. Emerg. Infect. Dis 9: 580–584. doi.10.3201/eid0905.020548 [PubMed: 12737742]
- Ali IKM, Zaki M & Clark CG 2005 Use of PCR amplification of tRNA gene-linked short tandem repeats for genotyping *Entamoeba histolytica*. J. Clin. Microbiol, 43:5842–5847. doi.10.1128/JCM.43.12.5842-5847.2005 [PubMed: 16333065]
- Ali IKM, Solaymani-Mohammadi S, Akhter J, Roy S, Gorrini C, Calderaro A, Parker SK, Haque R, Petri WA & Clark CG 2008 Tissue invasion by *Entamoeba histolytica*: evidence of genetic selection and/or DNA reorganization events in organ tropism. PLoS Neglect. Trop. D 2:e219 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000219
- AmoebaDB. <http://amoebadb.org/amoeba/>. Website accessed May 8, 2018.
- Andrade RM & Reed SL 2015 New drug target in protozoan parasites: the role of thioredoxin reductase. Front. Microbiol, 6:975. doi.10.3389/fmicb.2015.00975 [PubMed: 26483758]
- Anesi. JA & Gluckman S 2015 Amebic liver abscess. Clin. Liver Dis, 6:41–43. 10.1002/cld.488
- Aoki SK, Pamma R, Hernday AD, Bickham JE, Braaten BA & Low DA 2005 Contact-dependent inhibition of growth in *Escherichia coli*. Science, 309:1245–1248. doi.10.1126/science.1115109 [PubMed: 16109881]
- Bakthavatsalam D & Gomer RH 2010 The secreted proteome profile of developing *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells. Proteomics, 10:2556–2559. doi.10.1002/pmic.200900516 [PubMed: 20422638]
- Bechti DP & Waters AP 2017 Genomics and epigenetics of sexual commitment in *Plasmodium*. Int. J. Parasitol, doi.10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.03.002
- Benabentos R, Hirose S, Sugang R, Curk T, Katoh M, Ostrowski EA, Strassmann JE, Queller DC, Zupan B, Shaulsky G & Kuspa A 2009 Polymorphic members of the *lag* gene family mediate kin discrimination in *Dictyostelium*. Curr. Biol, 19:567–572. doi.10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.037 [PubMed: 19285397]
- Bendesky A, Menéndez D & Ostrosky-Wegman P 2002 Is metronidazole carcinogenic? Mutat. Res, 511:133–144. 10.1016/S1383-5742(02)00007-8 [PubMed: 12052431]
- Bennink S, Kiesow MJ & Pradel G 2016 The development of malaria parasites in the mosquito midgut. Cell. Microbiol, 18:905–918. doi.10.1111/cmi.12604 [PubMed: 27111866]
- Biedrzycki ML & Bais HP 2010 Kin recognition in plants: a mysterious behaviour unsolved. J. Exp. Bot, 61:4123–4128. doi.10.1093/jxb/erq250 [PubMed: 20696656]
- Biller L, Matthiesen J, Kühne V, Lotter H, Handal G, Nozaki T, Saito-Nakano Y, Schümann M, Roeder T, Tannich E, Krause E & Bruchhaus I 2014 The cell surface proteome of *Entamoeba histolytica*. Mol. Cell. Proteomics, 13:132–144. doi.10.1074/mcp.M113.031393 [PubMed: 24136294]
- Blaustein AR, Porter RH & Breed MD (eds). 1988 Kin recognition in animals: empirical evidence and conceptual issues. Behav. Genet, 18:405–564. doi.10.1007/BF01065510 [PubMed: 3190635]
- Bosch DE & Siderovski DP 2013a G protein signaling in the parasite *Entamoeba histolytica*. Exp. Mol. Med, 45. doi.10.1038/emm.2013.30
- Bosch DE & Siderovski DP 2013b Structural determinants of ubiquitin conjugation in *Entamoeba histolytica*. J. Biol. Chem, 288:2290–2302. doi.10.1074/jbc.M112.417337 [PubMed: 23209297]
- Burgess SL & Petri WA 2016 The intestinal bacterial microbiome and *E. histolytica* infection. Curr. Trop. Med. Rep, 3:71–74. doi.10.1007/s40475-016-0083-1 [PubMed: 27525214]
- Caljon G, De Muylder G, Durnez L, Jennes W, Vanaerschot M & Dujardin J-C. 2016 Alice in microbes’ land: adaptations and counter-adaptations of vector-borne parasitic protozoa and their hosts. FEMS Microbiol. Rev, 40:664–685. doi.10.1093/femsre/fuw018 [PubMed: 27400870]

- Cao P & Wall D 2017 Self-identity reprogrammed by a single residue switch in a cell surface receptor of a social bacterium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 114:3732–3737. doi.10.1073/pnas.1700315114 [PubMed: 28320967]
- Cao P, Dey A, Vassallo CN & Wall D 2015 How myxobacteria cooperate. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 427:3709–3721. doi.10.1016/j.jmb.2015.07.022 [PubMed: 26254571]
- Cavaliere A, Bacci M, Amorosi A, Del Gaudio M & Vitali R 1983 Induction of lung tumors and lymphomas in BALB/c mice by metronidazole. *Tumori*, 69:379–382. doi.10.1177/030089168306900502 [PubMed: 6649066]
- Clark CG & Diamond LS 1991 The Laredo strain and other ‘*Entamoeba histolytica*-like’ amoebae are *Entamoeba moshkovskii*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 46:11–18. doi.10.1016/0166-6851(91)90194-B [PubMed: 1677159]
- Clark CG & Diamond LS 1997 Intraspecific variation and phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Entamoeba* as revealed by riboprinting. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 44:142–54. doi.10.1111/j.1550-7408.1997.tb05951.x [PubMed: 9109261]
- Coppi A, Merali S & Eichinger D 2002 The enteric parasite *Entamoeba* uses an autocrine catecholamine system during differentiation into the infectious cyst stage. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 277:8083–8090. doi.10.1074/jbc.M111895200 [PubMed: 11779874]
- Danka ES, Garcia EC & Cotter PA 2017 Are CDI systems multicolored, facultative, helping greenbeards?” *Trends Microbiol.*, 25:391–401. doi.10.1016/j.tim.2017.02.008 [PubMed: 28285908]
- Dawkins R 1976 *The Selfish Gene* Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford 89 p.
- Dictybase. <http://dictybase.org/Downloads/multiple-mutants.html>. Website accessed May 8, 2018.
- dos Santos Lima V, das Chagas Xavier SC, Roman Maldonado IF, Rodrigues Roque AL, Paulo Vicente AC & Jansen AM 2014 Expanding the knowledge of the geographic distribution of *Trypanosoma cruzi* TcII and TcV/TcVI genotypes in the Brazilian Amazon. *PLoS ONE*, 9. doi.10.1371/journal.pone.0116137
- Du Q, Kawabe Y, Schilde C, Z-h. Chen & Schaap P 2015 The evolution of aggregative multicellularity and cell–cell communication in the Dictyostelia. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 427:3722–3733. doi.10.1016/j.jmb.2015.08.008 [PubMed: 26284972]
- Dudley SA, Murphy GP & File AL 2013 Kin Recognition and competition in plants. *Funct. Ecol.*, 27:898–906. doi.10.1111/1365-2435.12121
- Duffy CW, Morrison LJ, Black A, Pinchbeck GL, Christley RM, Schoenefeld A, Tait A, Turner CMR & MacLeod A. 2009 *Trypanosoma vivax* displays a clonal population structure. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 39:1475–1483. doi.10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.05.012 [PubMed: 19520081]
- Duffy CW, MacLean L, Sweeney L, Cooper A, Turner CMR, Tait A, Sternberg J, Morrison LJ & MacLeod A 2013 Population genetics of *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense*: clonality and diversity within and between foci. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 7. doi.10.1371/journal.pntd.0002526
- Dyer NA, Rose C, Ejeh NO & Acosta-Serrano A 2013 Flying tryps: survival and maturation of trypanosomes in tsetse flies. *Trends Parasitol.*, 29:188–196. doi.10.1016/j.pt.2013.02.003 [PubMed: 23507033]
- Eckert C, Hammesfahr B & Kollmar M 2011 A holistic phylogeny of the coronin gene family reveals an ancient origin of the tandem-coronin, defines a new subfamily, and predicts protein function. *BMC Evol. Biol.*, 11. doi.10.1186/1471-2148-11-268
- Ehrenkaufer GM, Weedall GD, Williams D, Lorenzi HA, Caler E, Hall N & Singh U 2013 The genome and transcriptome of the enteric parasite *Entamoeba invadens*, a model for encystation. *Genome Biol.*, 14:R77. doi.10.1186/gb-2013-14-7-r77 [PubMed: 23889909]
- Eichinger D 2001 A role for a galactose lectin and its ligands during encystment of *Entamoeba*. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 48:17–21. doi.10.1111/j.1550-7408.2001.tb00411.x [PubMed: 11249188]
- El-Dib NA 2017 *Entamoeba histolytica*: an overview. *Curr. Trop. Med. Rep.*, 4:11–20. doi.10.1007/s40475-017-0100-z
- Elsheikha HM, Regan CS & Clark CG 2018 Novel *Entamoeba* findings in nonhuman primates. *Trends Parasitol.*, 34:283–294. doi.10.1016/j.pt.2017.12.008 [PubMed: 29396202]
- EnsemblProtists. http://protists.ensembl.org/Entamoeba_histolytica/Info/Index. Website accessed May 8, 2018.

- Entamoeba Welcome Sanger Institute. <http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/downloads/protozoa/entamoeba.html>. Website accessed May 8, 2018.
- Espinosa A & Paz-y-Miño-C G 2012 Discrimination, crypticity and incipient taxa in *Entamoeba*. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol, 59:105–110. doi.10.1111/j.1550-7408.2011.00606.x [PubMed: 22299709]
- Espinosa A & Paz-y-Miño-C G 2014a Evidence of taxa-, clone-, and kin-discrimination in protists: ecological and evolutionary implications. J. Evol. Ecol, 28:1019–1029. doi.10.1007/s10682-014-9721-z
- Espinosa A & Paz-y-Miño-C G 2014b Examining crypticity in *Entamoeba*: a behavioral and biochemical tale. In: Trueba G (ed.), Why Does Evolution Matter? The Importance of Understanding Evolution Cambridge Scholars, Cambridge, p. 181–190.
- Espinosa A, Paz-y-Miño-C G, Hackey M & Rutherford S 2016 *Entamoeba* clone-recognition experiments: morphometrics, aggregative behavior, and cell-signaling characterization. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol, 63:384–393. doi.10.1111/jeu.12313 [PubMed: 26990199]
- Falk BG, Glor RE & Perkins SL 2015 Clonal reproduction shapes evolution in the lizard malaria parasite *Plasmodium floridense*. Evolution, 69:1584–1596. doi.10.1111/evo.12683 [PubMed: 25959003]
- Faust DM & Guillen H 2012 Virulence and virulence factors in *Entamoeba histolytica*, the agent of human amoebiasis. Microbes Infect, 14:1428–1441. doi.10.1016/j.micinf.2012.05.013 [PubMed: 22710276]
- Field J, van Dellen K, Ghosh SK & Samuelson J 2006 Responses of *Entamoeba invadens* to heat shock and encystation are related. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol, 47:511–514. doi.10.1111/j.1550-7408.2000.tb00083.x
- Fletcher DJC & Michener CD 1987 Kin Recognition in Animals John Wiley & Sons, New York 476 p.
- Fortunato A, Strassmann JE, Santorelli L & Queller DC 2003 Co-occurrence in nature of different clones of the social amoeba, *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Mol. Ecol, 12:1031–1038. doi.10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01792.x [PubMed: 12753221]
- Garcia EC, Perault AI, Marlatt SA & Cotter PA 2016 Interbacterial signaling via Burkholderia contact-dependent growth inhibition system proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113:8296–8301. doi.10.1073/pnas.1606323113 [PubMed: 27335458]
- Gardner A & West SA 2010 Greenbeards. Evolution, 64:25–38. doi.10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00842.x [PubMed: 19780812]
- Gardner A, Griffin AS & West SA 2016 Theory of cooperation. In: Wiley John & Ltd Sons. (ed.), Encyclopedia of Life Sciences John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, p. 1–8. doi.10.1002/9780470015902.a0021910.pub2
- Gaunt MW, Yeo M, Frame IA, Stothard JR, Carrasco HJ, Taylor MC, Solis Mena S, Veazey P, Miles GAJ, Acosta N, Rojas de Arias A & Miles MA 2003 Mechanism of genetic exchange in American Trypanosomes. Nature, 421:936–939. doi.10.1038/nature01438 [PubMed: 12606999]
- Gilbert OM, Foster KR, Mehdiabadi NJ, Strassmann JE & Queller DC 2007 High relatedness maintains multicellular cooperation in a social amoeba by controlling cheater mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104:8913–8917. doi.10.1073/pnas.0702723104 [PubMed: 17496139]
- Gilbert OM, Strassmann JE & Queller DC 2012 High relatedness in a social amoeba: the role of kin-discriminatory segregation. Proc. Royal Soc. London B: Biol. Sci, 279:2619–2624. doi.10.1098/rspb.2011.2514
- Gilchrist CA, Ali IKM, Kabir M, Alam F, Scherbakova S, Ferlanti E, Weedall GD, Hall N, Haque R, Petri WA & Caler E 2012 A Multilocus Sequence Typing System (MLST) reveals a high level of diversity and a genetic component to *Entamoeba histolytica* virulence. BMC Microbiol, 12. doi.10.1186/1471-2180-12-151
- Glöckner G, Lawal HM, Felder M, Singh R, Singer G, Weijer CJ & Schaap P 2016 The multicellularity genes of dictyostelid social amoebas. Nat. Commun, 7. doi.10.1038/ncomms12085
- Goossens KVV, Ielasi FS, Nookaew I, Stals I, Alonso-Sarduy L, Daenen L, Van S. E. Mulders, Stassen C, van Eijdsden RGE, Siewers V, Delvaux FR, Kasas S, Nielsen J, Devreese B & Willaert RG 2015 Molecular mechanism of flocculation self-recognition in yeast and its role in mating and survival. mBio, 6. doi.10.1128/mBio.00427-15

- Guan Y, Feng M, Cai J, Min X, Zhou X, Xu Q, Tan N, Cheng X & Tachibana H 2016 Comparative analysis of genotypic diversity in *Entamoeba nuttalli* isolates from Tibetan macaques and rhesus macaques in China. *Inf. Gen. Evol.*, 38:126–131. doi.10.1016/j.meegid.2015.12.014
- Guttery DS, Roques M, Holder AA & Tewari R 2015 Commit and transmit: molecular players in *Plasmodium* sexual development and zygote differentiation. *Trends Parasitol.*, 31:676–685. doi.10.1016/j.pt.2015.08.002 [PubMed: 26440790]
- Hamilton WD 1963 The evolution of altruistic behavior. *Amer. Nat.*, 97:354–356.
- Hamilton WD 1964 The genetical evolution of social behaviour. I. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 7:1–16. doi.10.1016/0022-5193(64)90038-4 [PubMed: 5875341]
- Heidel AJ, Lawal HM, Felder M, Schilde C, Helps NR, Tunggal B, Rivero F, John U, Schleicher M, Eichinger L, Platzer M, Noegel AA, Schaap P & Glöckner G 2011 Phylogeny-wide analysis of social amoeba genomes highlights ancient origins for complex intercellular communication. *Genome Res.*, 21:1882–1891. doi.10.1101/gr.121137.111 [PubMed: 21757610]
- Hendrick HM, Welter BH, Hapstack MA, Sykes SE, Sullivan WJ & Temesvari LA 2016 Phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor-2 α during stress and encystation in *Entamoeba* species. *PLoS Pathog.*, 12. doi.10.1371/journal.ppat.1006085
- Hepper PG 1991 *Kin Recognition* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 470 p.
- Herman E, Siegesmund MA, Bottery MJ, van Aerle R, Shather MM, Caler E, Dacks JB & van der Giezen M 2017 Membrane trafficking modulation during *Entamoeba* encystation. *Sci. Rep.*, 7. doi.10.1038/s41598-017-12875-6
- Herrera-Martínez M, Hernández-Ramírez VI, Lagunes-Guillén AE, Chávez-Munguía B & Talamás-Rohana P 2013 Actin, RhoA, and Rab11 participation during encystment in *Entamoeba invadens* *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2013. doi.10.1155/2013/919345
- Hirose S, Benabentos R, H-I. Ho, Kuspa A & Shaulsky G 2011 Self-recognition in social amoebae is mediated by allelic pairs of *Tiger* Genes. *Science*, 333:467–470. doi.10.1126/science.1203903 [PubMed: 21700835]
- Hirose S, Santhanam B, Katoh-Kurosawa M, Shaulsky G & Kuspa A 2015 Allorecognition, via *TgrB1* and *TgrC1*, mediates the transition from Unicellularity to multicellularity in the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Development*, 142:3561–3570. doi.10.1242/dev.123281 [PubMed: 26395484]
- H-I. Ho & Shaulsky G 2015 Temporal regulation of kin recognition maintains recognition-cue diversity and suppresses cheating. *Nat. Commun.*, 6. doi.10.1038/ncomms8144
- Holmes WG & Sherman PW 1983 Kin recognition in animals: the prevalence of nepotism among animals raises basic questions about how and why they distinguish relatives from unrelated individuals. *Amer. Scientist*, 71:46–55.
- Imhof S & Roditi I 2015 The social life of African trypanosomes. *Trends Parasitol.*, 31:490–498. doi.10.1016/j.pt.2015.06.012 [PubMed: 26433252]
- Imhof S, Vu XL, Büttikofer P & Roditi I 2015 A glycosylation mutant of *Trypanosoma brucei* links social motility defects in vitro to impaired colonization of tsetse flies in vivo. *Eukaryot. Cell*, 14:588–592. doi.10.1128/EC.00023-15 [PubMed: 25862152]
- Kaushik S, Katoch B & Nanjundiah V 2006 Social behaviour in genetically heterogeneous groups of *Dictyostelium giganteum*. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 59:521–530. doi.10.1007/s00265-005-0077-9
- Kawabe Y, Schilde C, Du Q & Schaap P 2015 A conserved signalling pathway for amoebozoan encystation that was co-opted for multicellular development. *Sci. Rep.*, 5. doi.10.1038/srep09644
- Khan NA, Iqbal J & Siddiqui R 2015 Stress management in cyst-forming free-living protists: programmed cell death and/or encystment. *BioMed Res. Internat* doi.10.1155/2015/437534
- Koffi M, De Meeüs T, Séré M, Bucheton B, Simo G, Njiokou F, Salim B, Kaboré J, MacLeod A, Camara M, Solano P, Gaston Belem AM & Jamonneau V 2015 Population genetics and reproductive strategies of African trypanosomes: revisiting available published data. *PLoS Neglect. Trop. Dis.*, 9. doi.10.1371/journal.pntd.0003985
- Kraemer SA, Wielgoss S, Fiegna F & Velicer GJ 2016 The biogeography of kin discrimination across microbial neighbourhoods. *Mol. Ecol.*, 25:4875–4888. doi.10.1111/mec.13803 [PubMed: 27540705]

- Leitsch D, Kolarich D, Wilson IB, Altmann F & Duchêne M 2007 Nitroimidazole action in *Entamoeba histolytica*: a central role for thioredoxin reductase. *PLoS Biol*, 5:e211. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050211 [PubMed: 17676992]
- Levin SR, Brock DA, Queller DC & Strassmann JE 2015 Concurrent coevolution of intra-organismal cheaters and resisters. *J. Evol. Biol*, 28:756–765. doi:10.1111/jeb.12618 [PubMed: 25772340]
- Li SI & Purugganan MD 2011 The cooperative amoeba: *Dictyostelium* as a model for social evolution. *Trends Genet*, 27:48–54. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2010.11.003 [PubMed: 21167620]
- Löfmark S, Edlund C & Nord CE 2010 Metronidazole is still the drug of choice for treatment of anaerobic infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis*, Suppl 1:S16–23. doi:10.1086/647939
- Loomis WF 2015 Genetic control of morphogenesis in *Dictyostelium*. *Dev. Biol*, 402:146–161. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.03.016 [PubMed: 25872182]
- Manske M, Miotto O, Campino S, Auburn S, Almagro-Garcia J, Maslen G, O'Brien J, Djimde A, Doumbo O, Zongo I, Ouedraogo JB, Michon P, Mueller I, Siba P, Nzila A, Borrmann S, Kiara SM, Marsh K, Jiang H, Su XZ, Amaratunga C, Fairhurst R, Socheat D, Nosten F, Imwong M, White NJ, Sanders M, Anastasi E, Alcock D, Drury E, Oyola S, Quail MA, Turner DJ, Ruano-Rubio V, Jyothi D, Amenga-Etego L, Hubbard C, Jeffreys A, Rowlands K, Sutherland C, Roper C, Mangano V, Modiano D, Tan JC, Ferdig MT, Amambua-Ngwa A, Conway DJ, Takala-Harrison S, Plowe CV, Rayner JC, Rockett KA, Clark TG, Newbold CI, Berriman M, MacInnis B & Kwiatkowski DP 2012 Analysis of *Plasmodium falciparum* diversity in natural infections by deep sequencing. *Nature*, 487:375–379. doi:10.1038/nature11174 [PubMed: 22722859]
- Mehdiabadi NJ, Jack CN, Talley Farnham T, Platt TG, Kalla SE, Shaulsky G, Queller DC & Strassmann JE 2006a Social evolution: kin preference in a social microbe. *Nature*, 442:881–882. doi:10.1038/442881a [PubMed: 16929288]
- Mehdiabadi NJ, Kronforst MR, Queller DC & Strassmann JE 2009 Phylogeny, reproductive isolation and kin recognition in the social amoeba *Dictyostelium purpureum*. *Evolution*, 62:542–548. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00574.x
- Messenger LA, Garcia L, Vanhove M, Huaranca C, Bustamante M, Torrico M, Torrico F, Miles MA & Llewellyn MS 2015 Ecological host fitting of *Trypanosoma cruzi* TcI in Bolivia: mosaic population structure, hybridization and a role for humans in Andean parasite dispersal. *Mol. Ecol*, 24:2406–2422. doi:10.1111/mec.13186 [PubMed: 25847086]
- Mi-ichi F, Yoshida H & Hamano S 2016 *Entamoeba* encystation: new targets to prevent the transmission of amebiasis. *PLoS Pathog*, 12. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005845
- Mirelman D, De Meester F, Stolarsky T, Burchard GD, Ernst-Cabrera K & Wilchek M 1989 Effects of covalently bound silica-nitroimidazole drug particles on *Entamoeba histolytica*. *J. Infect. Dis*, 159:303–309. doi:10.1093/infdis/159.2.303 [PubMed: 2536782]
- Morano KA, Liu PC & Thiele DJ 1998 Protein chaperones and the heat shock response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol*, 1:197–203. doi:10.1016/S1369-5274(98)80011-8 [PubMed: 10066474]
- Morgado P, Manna D & Singh U 2016 Recent advances in *Entamoeba* biology: RNA interference, drug discovery, and gut microbiome. *F1000Research*, 5. doi:10.12688/f1000research.9241.1
- Morton ER, Lynch J, Froment A, Lafosse S, Heyer E, Przeworski M, Blekhnman R & Ségurel L 2015 Variation in rural African gut microbiota is strongly correlated with colonization by *Entamoeba* and subsistence. *PLoS Genet*, 11. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005658
- Nagaraja S & Ankri S 2018 Utilization of different omic approaches to unravel stress response mechanisms in the parasite *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol* doi:10.3389/fcimb.2018.00019
- Nakada-Tsukui K & Nozaki T 2016 Immune response of amebiasis and immune evasion by *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Front. Immunol*, 7. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2016.00175
- NCBI. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/27>. Website accessed May 8, 2018.
- Neal AT & Taylor PD 2014 Local mate competition and transmission bottlenecks: a new model for understanding malaria parasite and other sex ratios. *J. Theoret. Biol*, 363:381–389. doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.08.037 [PubMed: 25172770]
- Ngobeni R, Samie A, Moonah S, Watanabe K, Petri WA & Gilchrist CA 2017 *Entamoeba* species in South Africa: correlations with the host microbiome, parasite burdens, and first description of

Entamoeba bangladeshi outside of Asia. J. Infect. Dis, 216:1592–1600. doi.10.1093/infdis/jix535 [PubMed: 29236996]

Nkhoma SC, Nair S, Cheeseman IH, Rohr-Allegrini C, Singlam S, Nosten F & Anderson TJC 2012 Close kinship within multiple-genotype malaria parasite infections. Proc. Royal Soc B, 279:2589–2598. doi.10.1098/rspb.2012.0113

Noh S, Geist KS, Tian X, Strassmann JE & Queller DC 2018 Genetic signatures of microbial altruism and cheating in social amoebas in the wild. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA doi.10.1073/pnas.1720324115

Oberholzer M, Lopez MA, McLelland BT & Hill KL 2010 Social motility in African trypanosomes. PLoS Pathog, 6. doi.10.1371/journal.ppat.1000739

Oberholzer M, Saada EA & Hill KL 2015 Cyclic AMP regulates social behavior in African trypanosomes. mBio, 6. doi.10.1128/mBio.01954-14

Oliveira FM, Neumann E, Gomes MA & Caliairi MV 2015 *Entamoeba dispar*: could it be pathogenic. Trop. Parasitol, 5:9–14. doi.10.4103/2229-5070.149887 [PubMed: 25709947]

Olshina MA, Angrisano F, Marapana DS, Riglar DT, Bane K, Wong W, Catimel B, M-X Yin, Holmes AB, Frischknecht F, Kovar DR & Baum J 2015 *Plasmodium falciparum* coronin organizes arrays of parallel actin filaments potentially guiding directional motility in invasive malaria parasites. Malar. J, 14. doi.10.1186/s12936-015-0801-5

Ostrowski EA, Katoh M, Shaulsky G, Queller DC & Strassmann JE 2008 Kin discrimination increases with genetic distance in a social amoeba. PLoS Biol, 6. doi.10.1371/journal.pbio.0060287

Pathak DT, Wei X, Bucuvalas A, Haft DH, Gerloff DL & Wall D 2012 Cell contact–dependent outer membrane exchange in Myxobacteria: genetic determinants and mechanism. PLoS Gen, 8. doi.10.1371/journal.pgen.1002626

Pathak DT, Wei X, Dey A & Wall D 2013 Molecular recognition by a polymorphic cell surface receptor governs cooperative behaviors in bacteria. PLoS Gen, 9. doi.10.1371/journal.pgen.1003891

Paz-y-Miño-C G & Espinosa A 2016 Kin discrimination in protists: from many cells to single cells and backwards. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol, 63:367–377. doi.10.1111/jeu.12306 [PubMed: 26873616]

Paz-y-Miño-C G & Espinosa A 2018 Kin Recognition in Protists and Other Microbes: Genetics, Evolution, Behavior and Health Cambridge Scholars Pub., Newcastle 428 p.

Peacock L, Bailey M, Carrington M & Gibson W 2014 Meiosis and haploid gametes in the pathogen *Trypanosoma brucei*. Current Biol, 24:181–186. doi.10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.044

Peacock L, Bailey M & Gibson W 2016 Dynamics of gamete production and mating in the parasitic protist *Trypanosoma brucei*. Parasit. Vectors, 9. doi.10.1186/s13071-016-1689-9

Penn DJ & Frommen JG 2010 Kin recognition: an overview of conceptual issues, mechanisms and evolutionary theory. In: Kappeler PM (ed.), Animal Behaviour: Evolution and Mechanisms Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 55–85. 10.1007/978-3-642-02624-9_3

Pollitt LC, Colegrave N, Khan SM, Sajid M & Reece SE 2010 Investigating the evolution of apoptosis in malaria parasites: the importance of ecology. Parasites & Vectors, 3. doi.10.1186/1756-3305-3-105

Pollitt LC, Mideo N, Drew DR, Schneider P, Colegrave N & Reece SE 2011 Competition and the evolution of reproductive restraint in malaria parasites. Am. Nat, 177:358–367. doi.10.1086/658175 [PubMed: 21460544]

Portugal S, Carret C, Recker M, Armitage AE, Gonçalves LA, Epiphanyo S, Sullivan D, Roy C, Newbold CI, Drakesmith H & Mota MM 2011 Host-mediated regulation of superinfection in malaria. Nature Med, 17:732–737. doi.10.1038/nm.2368 [PubMed: 21572427]

Queller DC, Ponte E, Bozzaro S & Strassmann JE 2003 Single-gene greenbeard effects in the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Science, 299:105–106. doi.10.1126/science.1077742 [PubMed: 12511650]

Ramiro RS, Pollitt LC, Mideo N & Reece SE 2016 Facilitation through altered resource availability in a mixed-species rodent malaria infection. Ecol. Lett, 19:1041–1050. doi.10.1111/ele.12639 [PubMed: 27364562]

Reece SE, Drew DR & Gardner A 2008 Sex ratio adjustment and kin discrimination in malaria parasites. Nature, 453:609–614. doi.10.1038/nature06954 [PubMed: 18509435]

- Reece SE, Pollitt LC, Colegrave N & Gardner A 2011 The meaning of death: evolution and ecology of apoptosis in protozoan parasites. *PLoS Pathog*, 7. doi.10.1371/journal.ppat.1002320
- Rendueles O, Amherd M & Velicer GJ 2015 Positively frequency-dependent interference competition maintains diversity and pervades a natural population of cooperative microbes. *Curr. Biol*, 25:1673–1681. doi.10.1016/j.cub.2015.04.057 [PubMed: 26051889]
- Reyna-Fabián ME, Zermelo V, Ximénez C, Flores J, Romero MF, Diaz D, Argueta J, Moran P, Valadez A & Cerritos R 2016 Analysis of the bacterial diversity in liver abscess: differences between pyogenic and amebic abscesses. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg*, 94:147–155. doi.10.4269/ajtmh.15-0458 [PubMed: 26572872]
- Roditi I 2016 The languages of parasite communication. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol*, 208:16–22. doi.10.1016/j.molbiopara.2016.05.008 [PubMed: 27211242]
- Roditi I, Schumann G & Naguleswaran A 2016 Environmental sensing by African trypanosomes. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol*, 32:26–30. doi.10.1016/j.mib.2016.04.011 [PubMed: 27131101]
- Ruhe ZC, Wallace AB, Low DA & Hayes CS 2013 Receptor polymorphism restricts contact-dependent growth inhibition to members of the same species. *mBio*, 4. doi.10.1128/mBio.00480-13
- Santorelli LA, Thompson CRL, Villegas E, Svetz J, Dinh C, Parikh A, Sugang R, Kuspa A, Strassmann JE, Queller DC & Shaulsky G 2008 Facultative cheater mutants reveal the genetic complexity of cooperation in social amoebae. *Nature*, 451:1107–1110. doi.10.1038/nature06558 [PubMed: 18272966]
- Santos F, Nequiz M, Hernández-Cuevas NA, Hernández K, Pineda E, Encalada R, Guillén N, Luis-García E, Saralegui A, Saavedra E, Pérez-Tamayo R & Olivós-García A 2015 Maintenance of intracellular hypoxia and adequate heat shock response are essential requirements for pathogenicity and virulence of *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Cell. Microbiol*, 17:1037–1051. doi.10.1111/cmi.12419 [PubMed: 25611463]
- Sathe S, Kaushik S, Lalremruata A, Aggarwal RK, Cavender JC & Nanjundiah V 2010 Genetic heterogeneity in wild isolates of cellular slime mold social groups. *Microb. Ecol*, 60:137–148. doi.10.1007/s00248-010-9635-4 [PubMed: 20179919]
- Schaap P & Schilde C 2018 Encystation: the most prevalent and underinvestigated differentiation pathway of eukaryotes. *Microbiology* doi.10.1099/mic.0.000653
- Sherman PW, Reeve HK & Pfennig DW 1997 Recognition systems. *In*: Krebs JR & Davies NB (eds.), *Behavioural Ecology: An Evolutionary Approach*. Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, UK, p. 69–96.
- Shimokawa C, Kabir M, Taniuchi M, Mondal D, Kobayashi S, Ali IKM, Sobuz SU, Senba M, Houpt E, Haque R, Petri WA & Hamano S 2012 *Entamoeba moshkovskii* is associated with diarrhea in infants and causes diarrhea and colitis in mice. *J. Infect Dis*, 206:744–751. doi.10.1093/infdis/jis414 [PubMed: 22723640]
- Sinden RE, Dawes EJ, Alavi Y, Waldock J, Finney O, Mendoza J, Butcher GA, Andrews L, Hill AV, Gilbert SC & Basáñez M-G 2007 Progression of *Plasmodium berghei* through *Anopheles stephensi* is density-dependent.” *PLoS Pathogens*, 3. doi.10.1371/journal.ppat.0030195
- smith j., Strassmann JE & Queller DC 2016 Fine-scale spatial ecology drives kin selection relatedness among cooperating amoebae. *Evolution*, 70:848–859. doi.10.1111/evo.12895 [PubMed: 26931797]
- Smukalla S, Caldara M, Pochet N, Beauvais A, Guadagnini S, Yan C, Vincens MD, Jansen A, Prevost MC, Latgé J-P, Fink GR, Foster KR & Verstrepe KJ 2008 *FLO1* is a variable green beard gene that drives biofilm-like cooperation in budding yeast. *Cell*, 135:726–737. doi.10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.037 [PubMed: 19013280]
- Starks PT ed. 2004 Recognition systems. *Ann. Zool. Fennici*, 41:691–892.
- Surpiastuti S 2010 Host-parasite interactions and mechanisms of infection in amebiasis *Univ. Med*, 29:104–113.
- Tang-Martínez Z 2001 The mechanisms of kin discrimination and the evolution of kin recognition in vertebrates: a critical re-evaluation. *Behav. Process*, 53:21–40. doi.10.1016/S0376-6357(00)00148-0
- Tibayrenc M 1993 *Entamoeba*, *Giardia* and *Toxoplasma*: clones or cryptic species? *Parasitol. Today*, 9:102–105. doi.10.1016/0169-4758(93)90217-4 [PubMed: 15463723]

- Tibayrenc M, Kjellberg F & Ayala FJ 1990 A clonal theory of parasitic protozoa: the population structures of *Entamoeba*, *Giardia*, *Leishmania*, *Naegleria*, *Plasmodium*, *Trichomonas*, and *Trypanosoma* and their medical and taxonomical consequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 87:2414–2418. doi:10.1073/pnas.87.20.8185b [PubMed: 2320563]
- Tibayrenc M & Ayala FJ 2017 Is predominant clonal evolution a common evolutionary adaptation to parasitism in pathogenic parasitic protozoa, fungi, bacteria, and viruses? *Advan. Parasitol*, 97:243–325. doi:10.1016/bs.apar.2016.08.007
- Trevino SG, Nkhoma SC, Nair S, Daniel BJ, Moncada K, Khoswe S, Banda RL, Nosten F & Cheeseman IH 2017 High-resolution single-cell sequencing of malaria parasites. *bioRxiv* doi: 10.1101/130864
- UniProt. <https://www.uniprot.org/proteomes/UP000001926>. Website accessed May 8, 2018.
- Verstrepen KJ & Klis FM 2006 Flocculation, adhesion and biofilm formation in yeasts. *Mol. Microbiol*, 60:5–15. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05072.x [PubMed: 16556216]
- Vlaková K, Kreisinger J, Paf o B, řzková D, Tagg N, Hehl AB & Modrý D 2018 Diversity of *Entamoeba* spp. in African great apes and humans: an insight from Illumina MiSeq high-throughput sequencing. *Int. J. Parasitol* doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2017.11.008
- Wall D 2016 Kin recognition in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol*, 70:143–160. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095325 [PubMed: 27359217]
- Wassmann C, Hellberg A, Tannich E & Bruchhaus I 1999 Metronidazole resistance in the protozoan parasite *Entamoeba histolytica* is associated with increased expression of iron-containing superoxide dismutase and peroxiredoxin and decreased expression of ferredoxin 1 and flavin reductase. *J. Biol. Chem*, 274:26051–26056. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.37.26051 [PubMed: 10473552]
- Watanabe K & Petri WA 2015 Molecular biology research to benefit patients with *Entamoeba histolytica* infection. *Mol. Microbiol*, 98:208–217. doi:10.1111/mmi.13131 [PubMed: 26173474]
- Weber C, Guigon G, Bouchier C, Frangeul L, Moreira S, Sismeiro O, Gouyette C, Mirelman D, Coppee JY & Guillén N 2006 Stress by heat shock induces massive down regulation of genes and allows differential allelic expression of the Gal/GalNAc lectin in *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Eukaryot. Cell*, 5:871–875. doi:10.1128/EC.5.5.871-875.2006 [PubMed: 16682464]
- Weedall GD & Hall N 2015 Sexual reproduction and genetic exchange in parasitic protists. *Parasitology*, 142:S120-S127. doi:10.1017/S0031182014001693 [PubMed: 25529755]
- Weir W, Capewell P, Foth B, Clucas C, Pountain A, Steketee P, Veitch N, Koffi M, De Meeûs T, Kaboré J, Camara M, Cooper A, Tait A, Jamonneau V, Bucheton B, Berriman M & MacLeod A 2016 Population genomics reveals the origin and asexual evolution of human infective trypanosomes. *eLife*, 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.11473
- Willett JLE, Ruhe ZC, Goulding CW, Low DA & Hayes CS 2015 Contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) and CdiB/CdiA two-partner secretion proteins. *J. Mo. Biol*, 427:3754–3765. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2015.09.010
- Worden AZ, Follows MJ, Giovannoni SJ, Wilken S, Zimmerman AE & Keeling PJ 2015 Rethinking the marine carbon cycle: factoring in the multifarious lifestyles of microbes. *Science*, 347. doi: 10.1126/science.1257594

Figure 1.

Entamoeba histolytica, a member of the Amoebozoa/Archamoebae (bottom right), belongs to one, among many, eukaryotic unicellular lineages (highlighted in bold) in which taxa-, clone- or kin-discrimination/recognition ability has been documented in the laboratory or inferred from observations in the wild. The academic field of kin recognition can be traced back to the 1960s and experiments with eukaryotic invertebrates and vertebrates (Opisthokonts/Bilateria, bottom left) and some plants (Archaeplastids/Tracheophytes, right). The advent of protists models into this field is new, early 2000s onwards, and *Entamoeba* species are among the least studied (redrawn and modified from Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa 2018, after Worden et al. 2015).

Figure 2.

The seven *Entamoeba* clones kept in our laboratory were morphometrically different from one another as per cell length, width and soma surface area. Of these three measurements, cell length was the most informative for clone-morphometric discrimination purposes. Length allowed us to distinguish statistically among most clones, except for *E. terrapinae* that was statistically indistinguishable from *E. moshkovskii* cf. Snake, which, in turn, was statistically indistinguishable from *E. dispar*. Cell width and soma surface area were less informative than length for clone-morphometric discrimination purposes, but both allowed us to roughly group amebas of distinctive widths and soma areas; as follows: according to width, *E. histolytica* was the widest-bodied ameba; *E. invadens* VK-1:NS, *E. terrapinae* and *E. moshkovskii* Laredo were intermediate-width-bodied amebas; and *E. moshkovskii* cf.

Snake, *E. dispar* and *E. invadens* IP-1 were thin-bodied amebas. According to soma area, *E. invadens* IP-1 and *E. invadens* VK-1:NS were the largest-bodied-area amebas; *E. moshkovskii* Laredo, *E. histolytica* and *E. terrapinae* were the intermediate-area-bodied amebas; *E. moshkovskii* cf. Snake was a small-area-bodied ameba; and *E. dispar* was the smallest-area-bodied ameba. When we combined length and width in a canonical discriminant analysis (the plot shown in this figure), we could tell each ameba clone apart with 95% confidence, except for *E. moshkovskii* cf. Snake and *E. dispar*, which mean lengths-/widths-intercepts overlapped partially. Therefore, morphometrics alone (i.e. either single variables or combinations of variables) allowed us to tell apart each ameba clone. The canonical plot depicts the spatial dimensions for maximum morphometric separation among the *Entamoeba* cell lines. The labeled rays (length and width) show the directions of the covariates in the canonical space; the rays branch out from the point 0,0, which corresponds to the grand mean ($N = 2,777$ amebas); each multivariate mean is denoted by a + symbol, inside a small circle, as per *Entamoeba* clone (the small circle corresponds to the 95% confidence level area for each mean); the large circles depict the regions that contain 50% of the data points per clone. Note how *E. invadens* IP-1 (right-bottom circle) is the most elongated ameba in respect to the other taxa; *E. dispar* is the smallest (short and thin; left-bottom circle), and *E. histolytica* is the widest (top-left circle). Wilks Lambda = 0.572, $F_{12, 5,538} = 148.51$, $P < 0.0001$; the full model explains 43% of the variance shared between the variables length and width, with length alone capturing most of the association (34%) between extent-of-elongation and ameba-clone identity; width alone captured 14% of the association. Redrawn and modified after Espinosa et al. (2016) and Paz-y-Miño-C and Espinosa (2018).

Figure 3.

Phylogeny based on *ssrRNA* sequences of the *Entamoeba* clones discussed in this review. The seven clones depicted are representative of major lineages within the genus *Entamoeba* (for a detailed phylogenetic reconstruction of the genus see Elsheikha et al. 2018). Arrows indicate pairwise clone recognition experiments, as conducted in our laboratory, between: most closely related sister taxa *E. invadens* IP-1 vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS (marked as a); more distantly related sister taxa *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. dispar* (marked as b); between a representative of a known multi-species clade (e.g. *E. moshkovskii* Laredo) vs. the most distant member of that clade (*E. terrapinae*, marked as c); and between members of two distinct clades (*E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS, marked as d; or *E. dispar* vs. *E. invadens* VK-1:NS, marked as e). We have obtained comparable results (i.e. discrimination between same/self/clone and different/non-self/non-clone) with the pair *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS vs. *E. moshkovskii* Laredo (marked as f). Accession numbers NCBI: *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS X56991; *E. dispar* Z49256.1; *E. moshkovskii* Laredo AF149906.1; *E. terrapinae* AF149910.1; and *E. invadens* IP-1 AF149905.1. The *ssrRNA* sequence of *E. invadens* VK-1:NS was provided by C. Graham Clark. The placement of *E. moshkovskii* cf. Snake in the phylogeny (dashed-line branching) is tentative because its *ssrRNA* sequence is under review. Numbers indicate statistical branch support based on Approximate Likelihood-Ratio Test.

Figure 4.

Clone-discrimination/recognition experiments in which clone-aggregation preference is shown by *Entamoeba histolytica* HM-1:IMSS and *E. dispar* in mixed- (top) or single-cell-line (bottom) cultures. **A.** Fluorescent micrograph of *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS labeled green and *E. dispar* labeled red, each clone aggregates in distinct clusters. **B.** Reverse-color labeling of trophozoites of *E. histolytica* HM-1:IMSS (red) and *E. dispar* (green), the clones aggregate in distinct clusters. **C.** *Entamoeba histolytica* HM-1:IMSS labeled with both green and red dyes; trophozoites mix equally and look yellow under the microscope. **D.** *Entamoeba dispar* labeled with both green and red dyes. In all trials, cells were labeled with CellTracker Green CMFD and Red (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). All images taken at 36-h, scale bar = 100-μm, 10x magnification (laboratory protocols and images of other pairings, as pointed at in Fig. 3, are provided in Espinosa and Paz-y-Miño-C 2012, 2014a, b; Espinosa et al. 2016).

Figure 5.

Population bottlenecks (PB) in the life cycle of *Entamoeba histolytica* (as suggested in this review). (1) Upon host's ingestion of contaminated food and water, ameba-cysts (dormant stage of the organism) will face the enzymatic milieu of the oral cavity (the mouth environment can be highly variable in temperature, concentration and mix of chemicals originated from diverse foods and the host's own microbiota); (2) once reaching the stomach, the cysts will be exposed to high acidity, an inducer of severe ameba-cysts-population crashes; (3) cysts arrival at the small intestine, a more favorable environment for excystation; (4) not all amebas released during excystation will survive (simply due to intrinsic differential survival); (5) the colonization of the mucous layer on the small-intestine endothelium (nutrient-rich) will induce fast ameba clonal proliferation, but the successful population expansion will depend on variable conditions inside the host and be limited by the presence of different clone competitors (priority effects, see text); (5a) a potential trophozoite invasion of the colon in the large intestine (if it occurs) will be countered by the host's immune responses (i.e. endothelium guarded by white-blood cells) and also by other ameba clones already established in the colon; (5b) in the uncommon cases of systemic infection, the liver, lungs and other organs (rarely the brain) can be colonized by amebas, which form abscesses, but abscess formation involves high mortality (both caused by host immunity and amebas' own programmed cell death, PCD, imposed by abscess development); (from 5 to 6) prior to being eliminated from the body, the amebas must encyst, but not all cells will successfully form cysts; (7) and (8) cysts released into the external environment will face additional population crashes, although not directly

associated with the fate of the host. To overcome the challenges of population demise (PBs) and stochastic opportunities to recover inside the host, amebas will need to associate and cooperate with clone members (kin); single amebas will not survive and associations or cooperation with genetic strangers will be maladaptive (prone to cheating). Dotted line indicates cases of direct elimination of cysts from the small intestine.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript