Published in final edited form as:

Biol Psychiatry. 2019 February 15; 85(4): e21–e22. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.06.003.

The Potential of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for ASD: A Consensus Statement

Eleanor J. Cole¹, Peter G. Enticott², Lindsay M. Oberman³, M. Frampton Gwynette⁴, Manuel F. Casanova⁴, Scott L.J. Jackson⁵, Ali Jannati⁶, James C. McPartland⁵, Adam J. Naples⁵, Nicolaas A.J. Puts⁷, and rTMS in ASD Consensus Group

¹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California. ecole@stanford.edu ²School of Psychology, E.P. Bradley Hospital and Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island ³Neuroplasticity and Autism Spectrum Disorder Program and Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, E.P. Bradley Hospital and Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island ⁴Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts ⁵Child Study Center, School of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts ⁶Berenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts ⁷Division of Neuroradiology, Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

To the Editor,

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) affects approximately 1 in 59 children, but there are currently no biomedical treatments available that target core symptoms (1). Preliminary evidence suggests that repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) may have the potential to alleviate difficulties experienced by individuals with ASD (2). The evidence supporting the use of rTMS for ASD has led researchers in the field to form a consensus group that has met annually since 2014. Here we summarize discussions from the most recent meeting in May 2017, including recommendations for future research directions.

Presenters at the international consensus meeting described published clinical trials and protocols for social and executive deficits in ASD (3–6) and more recent unpublished trial data that raise questions about long-term efficacy. There are also efforts underway to examine conventional rTMS treatments for depression in an autistic population and mounting anecdotal reports in support of applying theta burst stimulation to prefrontal regions, including right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) (7). Existing rTMS studies investigating therapeutic use in ASD have been reviewed in detail previously (8), and rTMS has significant promise for the alleviation of clinical symptoms in ASD.

Financial Disclosures

The international consensus meeting held on the 9th and 10th May 2017 was organized and supported by the Clearly Present Foundation with additional support from Neuronetics and The Medical University of South Carolina. All authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Despite this promising evidence, studies continue to be hampered by small sample sizes, inconsistent use of sham (placebo) protocols, and largely subjective clinical assessments. Additionally, studies have not addressed the heterogeneous nature of ASD. It was agreed that future rTMS studies should recruit ASD participants based on the presentation of particular characteristics, rather than simply having an ASD diagnosis. Blinded clinical ratings were also deemed critical. With respect to stimulation site, there needs to be a clear link between neurobiological targets and outcome measures. There was general agreement around the targeting of three cortical sites in particular: right IFG (targeting social impairments, communicative deficits (9), right temporoparietal junction (TPJ)/posterior superior temporal sulcus (pSTS; targeting theory of mind, social comprehension, attention (10), and left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC; targeting comorbid depressive disorder, executive dysfunction (11).

To maximize treatment efficacy and safety of rTMS for ASD, optimal stimulation parameters must be determined. Variable stimulation parameters include pulse frequency, stimulation intensity, the number of magnetic pulses delivered, and inter-session interval (12–14). Current rTMS protocols have been based on the optimal stimulation parameters to induce neurophysiological changes in neurotypical individuals (15–18). However, individuals with ASD have been shown to exhibit atypical cortical plasticity (19–21) and reduced levels of cortical inhibition (22–24). Other individual factors, such as motor threshold and polymorphisms in the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) gene, also contribute to interindividual variability in response to rTMS (25,26). Optimal stimulation parameters may therefore require a degree of individualization, but this requires valid and reliable neurobiological assessment.

To facilitate clinical translation, we also require further knowledge of the neurological basis of difficulties associated with ASD and reliable ways to measure these difficulties. Studies such as the Autism Biomarkers Consortium for Clinical Trials (ABC-CT), a multi-site trial investigating biomarkers for social-communicative functioning, may provide translatable knowledge. For instance, identifying reliable biomarkers could potentially identify individuals who are more likely to respond to particular treatments, including rTMS, or provide more sensitive metrics of engagement of targeted neural systems. There is also potential benefit in the use of interactive tasks and implicit measures to provide more naturalistic and sensitive measures of social functioning and underlying neurobiology (27,28).

To conclude, data from existing rTMS studies in ASD suggest that rTMS has therapeutic potential, but these studies have significant limitations that presently preclude translation. Definitive studies of the safety and efficacy of rTMS for ASD are needed. The variability in clinical presentation in ASD, coupled with the multitude of potential stimulation approaches, render this a complex and challenging endeavor. There is general agreement from this consensus group that progress will necessarily involve large, multisite, double-blind, sham-controlled trials with carefully selected neurobiological targets and outcome measures. It also remains that we require a greater understanding of neurophysiological heterogeneity in ASD, which may lead to opportunities for individualized assessments that can determine appropriate therapeutic protocols and maximize clinical outcomes.

Acknowledgements

The formation of the rTMS in Autism Consensus Group was initiated by the Clearly Present Foundation. Members of the rTMS in Autism Consensus Group include: Natalia Albein-Urios, Carol Barnett, Paul Croarkin, Glen Elliot, Carl Feinstein, Sunday Francis, Meng-Chuan Lai, Jennifer Levitt, James McCracken, Stewart Mostofsky, Alvaro Pascual-Leone, Safa Rashtchy, Alexander Rotenberg, Brenda Schultz, Bonnie Taylor, Kim Hollingsworth Taylor, Jennifer Vanden Burgt, Jeremy Veenstra-VanderWeele, Winifred Wu.

References

1. Murphy CM, Ellie Wilson C, Robertson DM, et al. Autism spectrum disorder in adults: Diagnosis, management, and health services development. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2016;12:1669–1686. doi:10.2147/NDT.S65455 [PubMed: 27462160]
2. Gómez L, Vidal B, Maragoto C, et al. Non-Invasive Brain Stimulation for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Short-Term Outcome Study. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2017;7(3):63. doi:10.3390/bs7030063
3. Ameis SH, Daskalakis ZJ, Blumberger DM, et al. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Executive Function Deficits in Autism Spectrum Disorder: Clinical Trial Approach. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*. 2017;27(5):413–421. doi:10.1089/cap.2016.0146 [PubMed: 28346865]
4. Casanova MF, Hensley MK, Sokhadze EM, et al. Effects of weekly low-frequency rTMS on autonomic measures in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2014;8. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2014.00851
5. Casanova MF, Baruth JM, El-Baz A, Tasman A, Sears L, Sokhadze E. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) modulates event-related potential (ERP) indices of attention in autism. *Transl Neurosci*. 2012;3(2):170–180. doi:10.2478/s13380-012-0022-0 [PubMed: 24683490]
6. Enticott PG, Fitzgibbon BM, Kennedy HA, et al. A double-blind, randomized trial of deep Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) for autism spectrum disorder. *Brain Stimul*. 2014;7(2):206–211. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2013.10.004 [PubMed: 24280031]
7. Robinson JE, Pascual-Leone A Just M Switched On: A Memoir of Brain Change and Emotional Awakening. New York: Spiegel & Grau; 2017.
8. Oberman LM, Enticott PG, Casanova MF, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation in autism spectrum disorder: Challenges, promise, and roadmap for future research. *Autism Res*. 2016;9(2):184–203. doi:10.1002/aur.1567 [PubMed: 26536383]
9. Bastiaansen JA, Thioux M, Nanetti L, et al. Age-related increase in inferior frontal gyrus activity and social functioning in autism spectrum disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2011;69(9):832–838. [PubMed: 21310395]
10. Redcay E The superior temporal sulcus performs a common function for social and speech perception: Implications for the emergence of autism. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2008;32(1):123–142. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2007.06.004 [PubMed: 17706781]
11. Just MA, Cherkassky VL, Keller TA, Kana RK, Minshew NJ. Functional and anatomical cortical underconnectivity in autism: Evidence from an fmri study of an executive function task and corpus callosum morphometry. *Cereb Cortex*. 2007;17:951–961. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhl006 [PubMed: 16772313]
12. Daskalakis ZJ. Theta-burst transcranial magnetic stimulation in depression: When less may be more. *Brain*. 2014;137(7):1860–1862. doi:10.1093/brain/awu123 [PubMed: 24833712]
13. Schulze L, Feffer K, Lozano C, et al. Number of pulses or number of sessions? An open-label study of trajectories of improvement for once-vs. twice-daily dorsomedial prefrontal rTMS in major depression. *Brain Stimulation*. 2017.
14. George MS, Raman R, Benedek DM, et al. A two-site pilot randomized 3 day trial of high dose left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for suicidal inpatients. *Brain Stimul*. 2014;7(3):421–431. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2014.03.006 [PubMed: 24731434]
15. Siebner HR, Hartwigsen G, Kassuba T, Rothwell JC. How does transcranial magnetic stimulation modify neuronal activity in the brain? Implications for studies of cognition. *Cortex*. 2009;45(9):1035–1042. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2009.02.007 [PubMed: 19371866]

16. Terao Y, Ugawa Y. Basic mechanisms of TMS. *J Clin Neurophysiol.* 2002;19(4):322–343. doi: 10.1097/00004691-200208000-00006 [PubMed: 12436088]
17. Chung SW, Rogasch NC, Hoy KE, Fitzgerald PB. Measuring brain stimulation induced changes in cortical properties using TMS-EEG. *Brain Stimul.* 2015;8(6):1010–1020. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2015.07.029 [PubMed: 26275346]
18. Chervyakov AV, Chernyavsky AY, Sinitsyn DO, Piradov MA Possible Mechanisms Underlying the Therapeutic Effects of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2015;9. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00303
19. Oberman L, Eldaief M, Fecteau S, Ifert-Miller F, Tormos JM, Pascual-Leone A. Abnormal modulation of corticospinal excitability in adults with Asperger's syndrome. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2012;36(6):2782–2788. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08172.x [PubMed: 22738084]
20. Oberman L, Ifert-Miller F, Najib U, et al. Transcranial magnetic stimulation provides means to assess cortical plasticity and excitability in humans with fragile X syndrome and autism spectrum disorder. *Front Synaptic Neurosci.* 2010;(JUN). doi:10.3389/fnsyn.2010.00026
21. Pedapati EV, Gilbert DL, Erickson CA, et al. Abnormal Cortical Plasticity in Youth with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Case–Control Pilot Study. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2016;26(7):625–631. doi:10.1089/cap.2015.0183 [PubMed: 27007257]
22. Enticott PG, Rinehart NJ, Tonge BJ, Bradshaw JL, Fitzgerald PB. A preliminary transcranial magnetic stimulation study of cortical inhibition and excitability in high-functioning autism and Asperger disorder. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2010;52(8). doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2010.03665.x
23. Enticott PG, Kennedy HA, Rinehart NJ, Tonge BJ, Bradshaw JL, Fitzgerald PB. GABAergic activity in autism spectrum disorders: An investigation of cortical inhibition via transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neuropharmacology.* 2013;68:202–209. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2012.06.017 [PubMed: 22727823]
24. Gaetz W, Jurkiewicz MT, Kessler SK, Blaskey L, Schwartz ES, Roberts TPL. Neuromagnetic responses to tactile stimulation of the fingers: Evidence for reduced cortical inhibition for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and children with epilepsy. *NeuroImage Clin.* 2017;16:624–633. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.06.026 [PubMed: 28971012]
25. Cheeran B, Talelli P, Mori F, et al. A common polymorphism in the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene (BDNF) modulates human cortical plasticity and the response to rTMS. *J Physiol.* 2008;586(Pt 23):5717–5725. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2008.159905 [PubMed: 18845611]
26. Jannati A, Block G, Oberman LM, Rotenberg A, Pascual-Leone A. Interindividual variability in response to continuous theta-burst stimulation (cTBS) in healthy adults. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2017. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2017.08.023
27. Naples AJ, Wu J, Mayes LC, McPartland JC. Event-related potentials index neural response to eye contact. *Biol Psychol.* 2017;127:18–24. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2017.04.006 [PubMed: 28396215]
28. Rolison MJ, Naples AJ, Rutherford HJV, McPartland JC. Modulation of reward in a live social context as revealed through interactive social neuroscience. *Social Neuroscience.* 2017:1–13.