Published in final edited form as:

Trends Microbiol. 2019 February ; 27(2): 118–130. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2018.09.010.

Integrated Signaling Pathways Mediate *Bordetella* Immunomodulation, Persistence and Transmission

M.C. Gestal, L.T. Whitesides, and E.T. Harvill

Department of Infectious Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, GA, USA.

Abstract

The mammalian immune system includes a sophisticated array of antimicrobial mechanisms. However, successful pathogens have developed subversive strategies to detect, modulate, and/or evade immune control and clearance. Independent disciplines study host immunology and bacterial pathogenesis, but interkingdom signaling between bacteria and host during natural infection remains poorly understood. An efficient natural host infection system has revealed complex communication between *Bordetella* spp. and mice, identified novel regulatory mechanisms and demonstrated that bordetellae can respond to microenvironment and inflammatory status cues. Understanding these bacterial signaling pathways and the complex network of them that allows precisely timed expression of numerous immunomodulatory factors will serve as a paradigm for other organisms lacking such a powerful experimental infection system.

Keywords

Signaling; *Bordetella* spp; Immunomodulators; Immune response; Pathogenesis

BACTERIAL SENSING OF THE ENVIRONMENT

The ability of all bacteria to sense and respond to environmental cues is an important feature for their evolutionary success [1–6]. Gram-negative bacteria can increase growth in the presence of catecholamines, suggesting a path for bacteria to respond to host hormones [7]. Sperandio et al. enhanced our knowledge of crosskingdom communication, showing that bacteria are able to respond to host molecules such as hormones by increasing the expression of virulence factors [4–6]. In recent years there have been significant advances in the understanding of host immune signaling, establishing that host signals at different post-infection times trigger bacterial responses that can impact the host [8–11]. But, despite the numerous developments in both fields and accumulating evidence that bacteria sense the host and adapt gene expression accordingly, most work in the field has been done separately

Correspondence: Dr. Monica Cartelle Gestal; mcgestal@uga.edu, Dr. Eric T. Harvill; harvill@uga.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

in the host, or bacteria, or *in vitro*. When *in vivo* experiments are performed to study both signals in conjunction, most of the work is using bacteria that are well studied in regards to quorum sensing but are not natural pathogens of the animal models leading to an immune response that is of questionable relevance to that of natural infection. These models lack the well-evolved relationship of host and pathogen, and lack key host responses (such as different glycosylation patterns) and bacterial strategies (such as intracellular survival) to overcome them, giving an incomplete picture of the natural host-pathogen interactions.

Bordetella spp. include commensals (such as *B. bronchiseptica*) and serious pathogens (*B. pertussis*, or *B. parapertussis*). The dynamics of the host-pathogen relationship is largely determined by a variety of signals exchanged between the two organisms, each sensing aspects of the other. For example, the host can detect bacterial components, secreted effectors and/or (bio)products of bacterial metabolism. Bacteria, in turn, can sense the many host anti-microbial defenses, and can even intercept intracellular signaling molecules [4, 12]. The great complexity of all these potential inter-kingdom communications and our poor understanding of them make it impossible to simulate most aspects of these interactions, leaving us dependent on animal infection systems for their study. Unfortunately, there are few such systems that demonstrate both, intricate complex, and well-evolved interactions in an experimental system that allows both bacterial and host to be manipulated at the molecular level. *Bordetella* spp. include natural and highly efficient pathogens and commensals of mice, providing an exceptional experimental setting to study the communication between bacteria and the host immune response. *Bordetellae* are able to respond to environmental changes, including those of the environment as well as those expected to vary in different host microenvironments and in response to infection, sensing hormones, iron, or CO₂ levels, for example [13–16]. The pathways that regulate these behavioral changes, however, remain unclear. Thus far, BvgAS has been described as the master regulon of *Bordetella* spp. virulence factors, but with the discovery of other two-component systems (TCS) as well as sigma factors, small RNAs (sRNAs) and chaperones, there is increasing evidence that these sensor-response systems are more complicated than previously thought [17–25].

In this review, we will examine the regulatory mechanisms that have been reported in *Bordetella* spp. We will describe how *bordetellae* can sense different environments within the host and express virulence factors that enable them to manipulate host immunity, cause persistent/chronic infection and ultimately facilitate transmission. Finally, we will postulate a perspective that will provide new insights into the ability of *Bordetella* spp. to sense host molecules to trigger a response that will lead to modulation of inflammation and ultimately enable persistence within the host.

BORDETELLA RESPOND TO HOST ENVIRONMENT

Mammalian hosts have a long list of anti-microbial effectors so bacteria are under strong selective pressure to evolve methods to sense and respond to evade them. While these mechanisms to sense and respond to environmental cues have been studied in several organisms such as *Vibrio* and *Pseudomonas* spp. [26–33], *Bordetella* spp. have particularly

powerful experimental systems that allow them to be studied during natural host infection in vivo.

There is growing evidence that bacteria and host communicate via diffusible molecules that can be recognized by bacteria, altering gene expression [1, 4–6, 31–33]. One of the most universal mechanisms to resist bacterial infection includes iron sequestration. The level of free iron ions in the host is a limiting factor for bacterial growth, and, consequentially, bacteria have evolved different mechanisms of iron acquisition including the use of siderophores such as enterobactin, allowing them to divert the iron from the host. Armstrong et al. hypothesized that *Bordetella* spp. may be exposed to nutritionally relevant catecholamine concentrations in the local microenvironment during infection, either on the mucosal surface via serum exudation or through interaction with immune cells [34]. Noradrenaline has been shown to have a strong iron shuttling activity, allowing *Bordetella* spp. to use catecholamines as shuttles that enhance ferric iron uptake from any source available. In the absence of siderophores, catecholamines would remove the iron from transferrin and lactoferrin to directly bind it to *B. bronchiseptica* [13]. The mechanisms by which these neuroendocrine catecholamines; including epinephrine, norepinephrine, and dopamine, function is by increasing transcription of *bfeA* (outer membrane protein) of *B. bronchiseptica*, which is involved in transporting ferric catechol [13, 34].

The primary function of the respiratory tract, gas exchange, leads to a steep increase in CO₂ concentrations across the small distance from air-liquid interface to cell-liquid interface. The ability to detect this range of CO₂ concentration may allow bacteria to sense their relative position within airway fluids and respond with appropriate gene expression patterns. Consistent with these predictions, bordetellae exposed to CO₂ levels in this range (ambient air to 5% CO₂) alter their expression of many genes implicated in infection [15]. In 5% CO₂, *B. bronchiseptica*, *B. pertussis*, and *B. parapertussis* alter the expression of adenylate cyclase toxin (ACT), secretion systems, pertactin (PRN), and other virulence factors [15]. During disease progression, the inflammatory response is active, which means blood will reach the respiratory tissue to deliver immune cells and other immune components. The classical *Bordetella* species, *Bordetella bronchiseptica*, *B. pertussis*, and *B. parapertussis*, can all sense blood and serum components and respond with altered expression of various antigens and increased expression of various known virulence factors and iron scavenging systems. They also change phenotypically, increasing their cytotoxicity likely due to the increased expression of virulence factors such as ACT and/or the type 3 secretion system (T3SS) [35]. Gonyar et al. studied those host factors that increase the expression of a particular toxin and found that exposure of *B. pertussis* to Fetal Bovine Serum, albumin, and calcium increases ACT levels, suggesting that *B. pertussis* can sense the presence of those two specific serum components and respond by increasing production of ACT which not only works as a hemolysin but also plays a major role in the down-regulation of the host inflammatory response [16].

In response to the previously mentioned signals—catecholamines, iron, CO₂, blood, and serum—*Bordetella* spp. respond by increasing expression of sets of genes known as virulence factors that include ACT, pertussis toxin (PTX), T3SS, and filamentous hemagglutinin (FHA), most of which are known for their ability to manipulate the

inflammatory and/or immune response. Recent work reveals intriguing hints, admittedly only partially understood, of how these virulence factors may manipulate host responses. But current knowledge and theory about the dynamics of bacteria-host interactions predict that for optimal effect, each virulence factor must be carefully regulated and expressed precisely in the appropriate conditions to have their optimal effect in a narrow window before adaptive immunity (e.g. antibodies) inhibit their effects. Therefore, *Bordetella* spp. must be able to detect cues of different host locations and conditions such as inflammation and alter gene expression to respond to the challenges and opportunities for immunomodulation in each. This argument leads to important questions: how can *Bordetella* spp. sense the environmental changes, and what are the underlying mechanisms that regulate their responses? Can we manipulate these mechanisms/pathways to design new vaccines and/or therapies? The answers could reveal new targets and/or tools to develop therapies and vaccines.

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF SENSING

Bordetella spp. harbor multiple mechanisms to sense and respond to environmental cues, including Two-Component Systems (TCS), sigma factors, sRNAs, and chaperones, amongst others. Abundant research has been effective in unraveling the mechanisms and molecular connections between different signaling pathways in several organisms including *Bordetella* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* spp. Most of the studies, however, have been done *in vitro*. Here we will review the molecular pathways that *Bordetella* spp. utilize to sense and respond to stimuli in the context of the powerful experimental infection system in which immunomodulation can be studied during natural host infections.

Two-component systems

Three TCSs have been implicated in the interactions between *Bordetella* spp. and their hosts: *BvgAS*, *RisAS*, and *PlrSR* (Figure 1). Two-component systems depend on a kinase activated by conformational changes [36], to propagate signals that ultimately affect gene regulation [37]. Kinases are activated by phosphorylation in response to external signaling. This signaling can be a consequence of any environmental change or imbalance to which the cell is sensitive [17, 20, 38]. Once active, the kinase will vary the levels of phosphorylated BvgA, RisA, or PlrR [18–20, 38–41]. The changes in gene transcription propagated from the two-component systems are necessary for *Bordetella* spp. virulence [22, 42], colonization, persistence, and ultimately transmission, but more studies need to be done to better understand the specific roles of these in adaptation and response to different host molecules, including those specific to the response to host surveillance.

BvgAS TCS

The prototypical master regulator of virulence in *Bordetella* spp. is the Bvg (*Bordetella* virulence gene) system [22, 25, 43]. The expression of genes regulated by Bvg varies based on the environmental circumstances. This creates a phase system in which the bacterium transitions between states of differential gene expression. Although a continuum exists between them, there are three recognized and described phases of this system: plus (Bvg⁺),

minus (Bvg^-), and intermediate (Bvg^i) [18, 43]. The Bvg^+ phase is often thought of as the virulent state and is required for infection of the mammalian host, while the Bvg^- phase is necessary for survival outside the mammalian host and mediates newly discovered interactions with amoebae [43]. The Bvg^+ phase is needed for infecting the mammalian host, where nutrients are abundant and the temperature is favorable (about 37 degrees Celsius) [43]. The Bvg^- phase is an avirulent phase and it is characterized by an increase in motility. It occurs at room temperature and is important to *in vitro* assays. Bvg^- phase can be induced in laboratory conditions by addition of magnesium sulfate in the media [43]. Specifically, under these conditions, *vrg* membrane proteins are highly expressed [44]. The transition from the Bvg^+ phase to the Bvg^- phase can occur intracellularly but appears to be species dependent [44]. FHA is still expressed while toxin production is diminished [43]. Biofilms can form during this period as a result of high FHA expression. Formation of a biofilm makes treatment difficult and increases chronicity [43]. Thus, genes regulated by the Bvg system are related to motility and virulence [45].

In the *Bordetella* field there is a paradigm in which Bvg^+ is the virulent phase associated with the host, and Bvg^- is the environmental phase, but this is likely an over-simplification. Although it is well-established that Bvg^+ mutants can colonize mice while Bvg^- phase locked mutants do not, [46] recent literature has shown that things are not this simple, and other two-component systems can differentially be involved in the regulation of the Bvg system [20, 38] to work jointly in the adaptation of *Bordetella* spp. to different environments.

There are still important questions that remain, such as what is the biological function of Bvg intermediate phase? Or does Bvg controls other regulators such as sigma factors, chaperones, and sRNAs? Importantly, some genes that are optimally expressed in the Bvg^- phase are necessary for the infective cycle of the pathogens. For example, the transmission-associated-exopolysaccharide (tEPS) locus is induced in the Bvg^- phase, and has only a modest effect on colonization in the murine model, but mutants lacking of tEPS are deficient in transmission [47]. These findings, and the observation that *B. pertussis* and *B. parapertussis* retain parts of the Bvg^- phase, indicate that it retains some function in their closed life cycle in their human host. The respiratory system contains a highly variable environment, with gradients of temperature, O_2 and CO_2 , nutrients, and a constellation of host molecules that are accessible in variable concentration. Although the Bvg system was once thought to be a simple switch that turned on/off all the virulence factors, it is now recognized that many signals of microenvironment affect gene expression in complex ways, some of which involve interactions with Bvg and cross talk between signaling pathways.

RisAS TCS

RisAS (reduced intracellular survival) interacts with and can act in some ways in opposition to Bvg [40, 48]. While it may interact with Bvg, RisAS does not require *Bvg* for proper expression [41]. The RisAS system is orthologous to the EnvZ/OmpR system present in other Gram-negative bacteria, and it controls osmoregulation, motility, and virulence [49–58]. RisA is phosphorylated to signal internally and increase expression of cell surface antigens *vraA* and *vraB*, which are still not fully characterized [40, 41, 59], as well as *vrgs*

and genes related to chemotaxis, flagella, iron-regulated genes, and other unknown genes [38, 41]. While *risA* is undoubtedly involved in infection by mediating intracellular survival [60], the role of *risS*, as histidine kinase appears to be unclear [41]. And the fact that RisS is not functional in *B. pertussis*, has made some authors to consider RisA an orphan response regulator in *B. pertussis* until 2016 when Coutte et al. identified RisK and they proposed a model in which Bvg and Ris cooperate under the command of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (GMP) or cyclic-di-GMP [38]. Later on, it was discovered that RisK is required for activation of Bvg⁻ regulated genes by RisA [19].

RisAS appears to play a significant role during *Bordetella* spp. infections as it is required for key biological functions such as respiratory stress and other metabolic processes, suggesting that this TCS might contribute to persistence and chronic infection [48]. RisA is required for the expression of Bvg⁻ repressed genes *vraA* and *vraB* [41]. RisAS regulates the expression of virulence factors including *vrg6*, *vrg18*, *vrg24*, *vrg73*, and *VraA/B* [21]. Despite knowing that regulation of *vrgs* expression requires BvgR and RisA [19, 38], the mechanism is not fully understood, but there is speculation that it might be regulated by c-di-GMP levels, but how exactly this might work remains undiscovered. Recently a role of RisA in *Bordetella* spp. transmission has also been suggested [38]. There is still more evidence that needs to be gathered to unravel the specific role of this two-component systems in transmission, however, Bvg⁻ genes are important for transmission (for example tEPS) and Ris might be jointly regulating the expression of these genes that are Bvg⁻ and are necessary for what is probably the extra-host phase.

Recently the Ris system has gained more attention from researchers in the *Bordetella* spp. field. The reason why the histidine kinase is different between *B. pertussis* and the other two classical *Bordetella* spp. is unknown, but interestingly *B. pertussis* infects human exclusively, while the other two species can also infect animals. The Ris system needs to be further explored to find other genes that are regulated, why there are two alternative kinases in two species or what other regulators can be regulated by this; all its complexity makes it even a more fascinating challenge to uncover.

PlrRS TCS

A third TCS recently discovered in *Bordetella* is *PlrRS* (persistence in the lower respiratory tract, sensor kinase), which is essential for bacterial establishment in the lower respiratory tract [20, 37]. The genes involved in this system are a *ntrY*-like sensor kinase, *plrS*, and *plrR*. *plrS* mutants are not able to colonize the lower respiratory tract but can be rescued by wild-type cells. The infection is also cleared more quickly when mice are infected with the deletion mutant of *plrS* [37]. This indicates the ability conferred by *plrS* to combat the host's inflammatory immune response. Again, FHA plays a role in establishing colonization in the lower respiratory tract, highlighting the protein's role as an essential component of *Bordetella* virulence and infection. The PlrRS system responds to carbon dioxide levels in the environment by differentially regulate gene expression; higher CO₂ levels induce increased virulence [20]. PlrS is an upstream regulator of Bvg and, as such, it also regulates other virulence factors. More studies are necessary to unravel the genes that are under the

command of this regulatory mechanism and how the network between PlrRS and BvgAS works.

These three TCS are still being studied by several groups, the collective knowledge until now is showing an intriguingly complicated and highly sophisticated molecular network that controls gene expression after sensing environmental cues. But, could some of those environmental cues, be immune components that are able to be detected by bacteria and accordingly affect bacterial gene expression? Since the host immune system presents the greatest threat to bacterial colonization, survival, and persistence we suggest that these systems contribute to immunomodulation.

Sigma factors

Bacterial sigma factors can control gene expression by directly binding to the promoter regions of genes. Their activity responds to environmental or developmental signals, changing patterns of gene expression. There are also antisigma factors that control the activity of sigma factors by specifically binding to them and preventing their interaction with the RNA polymerase [61].

σ E (RpoE) is a sub-family of sigma factors that are present in many bacteria. RpoE is involved in stress responses to various environmental stimuli, such as disturbances in the outer membrane [26, 62–64]. In *E. coli*, the mechanism by which RpoE is activated is mediated by RseA, which is an anti-sigma factor located in the cytoplasmic membrane [65]. The σ E in *Bordetella* spp., SigE, was studied first in *B. bronchiseptica*, where the results showed that SigE is involved in cell-envelope stress caused by heat shock, exposure to ethanol and detergent, and specific stresses caused by several beta-lactam antibiotics. Importantly, *sigE* was not required for colonization and persistence in the murine model indicating that it did not have a phenotype that changes its interactions with the host [66].

The anti-sigma factor, *rseA*, which works in conjunction with *rpoE*, was first studied using *B. pertussis* as a model. When comparing this mutant strain to the wild-type strain of *B. pertussis*, a *rseA* deficient mutant presents higher growth at 25°C and produces more outer membrane vesicles, indicating that it plays a role in membrane maintenance as previously described for other species. Interestingly, the mutant strain of *B. pertussis* lacking *rseA* presented higher amounts of ACT while expressing less pertussis toxin and other virulence factors, indicating its role in controlling virulence factors independently of the Bvg TCS. This was an interesting finding as ACT was the only virulence factor that appeared to increase. When studying its role in host interactions, a deficiency in persistence, in both *in vitro* (J774A.1 macrophages and neutrophils) and *in vivo* experiments (CD1 mice), was reported [67], suggesting that this gene might be involved in the first steps of colonization and adaptation to the host. These results suggest that sigma/anti-sigma factors might be playing a key role in adaptation to host environment by regulating expression of specific virulence factors.

Another sigma/anti-sigma factor in *Bordetella* spp. is BtrAS, which is itself Bvgregulated and is involved in regulation of the T3SS. *BtrA* (anti-sigma factor) specifically binds BtrS

(sigma factor). BtrA positively regulates several genes, including those that encode for adhesin and toxins (ACT, FHA, fimbria, Prn, and SphB1), negatively regulates *flaA* expression and represses a subset of genes of the T3SS. The deletion of *btrA* from *B. bronchiseptica* background has no effects on colonization of mouse respiratory tract. In *B. pertussis*, BtrA controls T3SS mediated cytotoxicity [68]. Remarkably, the deletion of BtrA activates expression of homologs of the *B. pertussis* loci that encode pertussis toxin and the type 4 secretion system that exports it [18, 68, 69]. Similarly BtrW, BtrV and BtrU also regulate T3SS indicating that there is more than one mechanism to regulate expression of key genes [70]

Despite the fact that we know sigma factors play an important role in the regulation of the expression of genes related to virulence and host interaction, the intricacies of how they interact with the other bacterial regulatory mechanisms such as TCS, chaperones, or sRNAs remain unclear.

Other regulatory mechanisms in *Bordetella* spp.

In addition to the TCS and sigma factor systems, other regulatory mechanisms exist in *Bordetella* spp. Hfq is involved in virulence, by mediating interactions by interacting with regulatory sRNA and facilitating their antisense interaction with their targets. As such, it plays an important role in many cellular processes in bacteria, responses to stress and regulation of virulence factors [71]. BtcA and Btc22 (BB1618) have been shown to be involved in the regulation of the T3SS [72, 73]. BtcA interacts with BteA, which is responsible for the cytotoxicity of *Bordetella* spp. [74, 75]. Btc22 is involved in secretion and stability of Bsp22 [73]. The membrane-associated chaperones related with protein secretion include DegP and Par27. DegP (also known as HtrA), which degrades misfolded proteins [76], rescues unfolded proteins, assembles the β -barrel outer membrane proteins [77] and extends FHA polypeptide in the periplasm [78]. DegP was also shown to facilitate the periplasmic transit of the FHA precursor [79]. Similarly, Par27 functions as a periplasmic chaperone and presents high affinity for proteins rich in amphipathic β structure including FHA [80]. FimB is also a chaperone required for the biogenesis of the filamentous hemagglutinin and the fimbria [81]. In addition, sRNAs have been detected in *Bordetella pertussis*; however, neither the chaperones nor the sRNAs have been explored in detail as research is still in the early stages.

Currently, there are no described complex regulatory networks explaining the interactions between systems, such as those in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [82], where a well-tailored regulatory network dictates the most suitable phenotype to adapt to specific environments. One example of an opportunity to broaden our understanding of *Bordetella* spp. is in the interaction of the BvgAS and the PlrRS TCS, or RisAS and BvgAS TCS [41] where the subtle mechanisms of this regulation remain unclear. This suggests that there is still more research to be done to understand how *Bordetella* spp. sense environmental cues and to uncover multiple cascades of reactions that could differentially control gene expression to enable bacteria to succeed in and out of the host. What specific two-component systems and other regulatory mechanisms are involved in sensing and responding to host immune surveillance? What specific host molecules trigger a response? What molecules are

recognized by specific systems? Are different molecules causing different responses utilizing the same pathway?

All this reveals that there are key pieces of information that need to be put together to understand the molecular bases of the pathways involved in regulating bacterial behavior and adaptation to host immune signals. This will assist us to better design more targeted therapies and vaccines that disrupt the signals that bacteria might utilize to manipulate or evade immunity.

BORDETELLA SPP. CAN MANIPULATE HOST IMMUNITY

One of the most important evolutionary pressures for bacterial pathogens is the host immune response. The ability to detect cues of host surveillance and respond to them provides a great fitness advantage. *Bordetella* spp. have developed a set of tools that enable it to successfully manipulate or evade host immunity, guaranteeing longer persistence. This provides a great model to understand persistence and chronic diseases and to study bacterial ability to disable the immune system by altering immune signaling pathways in a natural host-pathogen setting.

Several pathogen species including *Bordetella* spp. have been models in the studying of how pathogens can escape [83, 84] and/or manipulate host immunity (Figure 2, Figure 3, Key Figure). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [85–87], *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [9, 88] and many others [89] have been also extensively studied in regards of their ability to manipulate the host response as well as the molecular pathways that enable them to coordinate their behavior. However, the animal models for some of those species are not in the context of the natural host-pathogen interaction, not allowing to full understanding of the cross-signals between both. Mice and rabbits are natural hosts of *B. bronchiseptica*, allowing for the study of host-pathogen interactions in a natural infection model.

Amongst the several virulence factors that *Bordetella* spp. utilizes are ACT, PTX, T3SS, and FHA. ACT binds to CD11b/CD18, Mac-1 present in macrophages, dendritic cells and neutrophils [90, 91] forming pores that alter the intracellular calcium levels and subsequently cellular functions [91–96], and also suppresses T cells [97]. PTX induces lymphocytosis [98], inhibits neutrophil recruitment [99–101], and decreases cytokine chemokine production [100, 101]. The T3SS modulates cell migration as well as IL-10 production [102]. FHA also alters IL-10 production [103] and suppression of IL-17 [104] and by altering inflammation FHA facilitates colonization of the respiratory tract [17]. All these virulence factors are upregulated in the presence of host cues, such as CO₂ levels, catecholamines, iron starvation, blood or serum; indicating that the levels of expression increase in response to the host cues in order to enable bacteria to manipulate host immunity and allow for colonization, persistence, reinfection and transmission.

Altogether this indicates that *Bordetella* spp. virulence factors interfere with host immune signals, causing the host to induce an anti-inflammatory response that ultimately leads to evasion of the host immune response resulting in the establishment of infection and an increase in bacterial persistence. *Bordetella* spp. offer a great opportunity to understand in

detail how these virulence factors are regulated and information is incorporated about the manipulation of the host response. Understanding bacterial immunomodulatory pathways offer the opportunity to develop new strategies for vaccine and therapeutic development.

CONCLUSION AND REMARKS

Bordetella spp. present a great opportunity to enable researchers to gain an indepth understanding of the host-pathogen interactions and the signals that orchestrate this precise and detailed system in which many pathogens manipulate host signals to enhance persistence. The mechanisms that *Bordetella* spp. utilizes to manipulate host immunity are well known, but how *Bordetella* spp. senses environmental cues or how it adapts to them is not fully understood (see Outstanding Questions). We do not fully comprehend how *Bordetella* spp. regulates gene expression or how connections between two-component systems, sigma factors, sRNAs, or chaperones modulate gene expression that allows the bacteria to ultimately hijack host immune response. Using *Bordetella* spp. to understand how bacteria sense immune surveillance and how they activate the expression of genes that counteract and manipulate immunity, will allow for the design of better vaccines and/or therapies that restrain the ability of microorganisms to manipulate the host.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank first of all Dr. Amy Medlock for all the great discussions and suggestion that enriched this manuscript. Thanks to Jonathan Gurr for the edits that have improved this manuscript. Also, thanks to all members of the Harvill Lab for their comments and discussions. This work was supported by the This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) [grant numbers GM083113, AI107016, AI116186, GM113681 to E.T.H], and Georgia Clinical and Translational Science Alliance (grant number 1UL1TR002378-01). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Kendall MM and Sperandio V (2016) What a Dinner Party! Mechanisms and Functions of Interkingdom Signaling in Host-Pathogen Associations. *MBio* 7 (2), e01748. [PubMed: 26933054]
2. Curtis MM and Sperandio V (2011) A complex relationship: the interaction among symbiotic microbes, invading pathogens, and their mammalian host. *Mucosal Immunol* 4 (2), 133–8. [PubMed: 21248724]
3. Kendall MM et al. (2011) Hfq virulence regulation in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strain 86–24. *J Bacteriol* 193 (24), 6843–51. [PubMed: 21984790]
4. Pacheco AR and Sperandio V (2009) Inter-kingdom signaling: chemical language between bacteria and host. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 12 (2), 192–8. [PubMed: 19318290]
5. Parker CT and Sperandio V (2009) Cell-to-cell signalling during pathogenesis. *Cell Microbiol* 11 (3), 363–9. [PubMed: 19068097]
6. Sperandio V et al. (2003) Bacteria-host communication: the language of hormones. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100 (15), 8951–6. [PubMed: 12847292]
7. Lyte M and Ernst S (1992) Catecholamine induced growth of Gram negative bacteria. *Life Sci* 50 (3), 203–12. [PubMed: 1731173]

8. Channappanavar R et al. (2016) Dysregulated Type I Interferon and Inflammatory Monocyte-Macrophage Responses Cause Lethal Pneumonia in SARSCoV-Infected Mice. *Cell Host Microbe* 19 (2), 181–93. [PubMed: 26867177]
9. Liu Y et al. (2014) Suppression of host adaptive immune responses by *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*: role of interleukin 10 and type 1 regulatory T cells. *Mucosal Immunol* 7 (1), 165–76. [PubMed: 23757303]
10. Pereira M et al. (2016) CARD9 negatively regulates NLRP3-induced IL-1 β production on *Salmonella* infection of macrophages. *Nat Commun* 7, 12874. [PubMed: 27670879]
11. Man SM et al. (2014) Inflammasome activation causes dual recruitment of NLRC4 and NLRP3 to the same macromolecular complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111 (20), 7403–8. [PubMed: 24803432]
12. Martínez JL (2014) Interkingdom signaling and its consequences for human health. *Virulence* 5 (2), 243–4. [PubMed: 24503955]
13. Armstrong SK et al. (2012) Involvement of multiple distinct *Bordetella* receptor proteins in the utilization of iron liberated from transferrin by host catecholamine stress hormones. *Mol Microbiol* 84 (3), 446–62. [PubMed: 22458330]
14. Brickman TJ et al. (2011) Transcriptional profiling of the iron starvation response in *Bordetella pertussis* provides new insights into siderophore utilization and virulence gene expression. *J Bacteriol* 193 (18), 4798–812. [PubMed: 21742863]
15. Hester SE et al. (2012) Identification of a CO₂ responsive regulon in *Bordetella*. *PLoS One* 7 (10), e47635. [PubMed: 23112828]
16. Gonyar LA et al. (2017) Albumin, in the Presence of Calcium, Elicits a Massive Increase in Extracellular *Bordetella* Adenylate Cyclase Toxin. *Infect Immun* 85 (6), e00198–17. [PubMed: 28396321]
17. Melvin JA et al. (2014) *Bordetella pertussis* pathogenesis: current and future challenges. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12 (4), 274–88. [PubMed: 24608338]
18. Moon K et al. (2017) The BvgAS Regulon of *Bordetella pertussis*. *MBio* 8 (5), e01526–17. [PubMed: 29018122]
19. Chen Q et al. (2017) Activation of Bvg-Repressed Genes in *Bordetella pertussis* by RisA Requires Cross Talk from Noncooperonic Histidine Kinase RisK. *J Bacteriol* 199 (22), e00475–17. [PubMed: 28827216]
20. Bone MA et al. (2017) *Bordetella* PlrSR regulatory system controls BvgAS activity and virulence in the lower respiratory tract. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114 (8), E1519–E1527. [PubMed: 28167784]
21. Coote JG (2001) Environmental sensing mechanisms in *Bordetella*. *Adv Microb Physiol* 44, 141–81. [PubMed: 11407112]
22. Stibitz S et al. (1988) The vir locus and phase-variation in *Bordetella pertussis*. *Tokai J Exp Clin Med* 13 Suppl, 223–6. [PubMed: 2908523]
23. Weiss AA et al. (1983) Tn5-induced mutations affecting virulence factors of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Infect Immun* 42 (1), 33–41. [PubMed: 6311749]
24. Roy CR et al. (1989) The bvgA gene of *Bordetella pertussis* encodes a transcriptional activator required for coordinate regulation of several virulence genes. *J Bacteriol* 171 (11), 6338–44. [PubMed: 2553677]
25. Stibitz S et al. (1989) Phase variation in *Bordetella pertussis* by frameshift mutation in a gene for a novel two-component system. *Nature* 338 (6212), 266–9. [PubMed: 2537932]
26. Haines-Menges B et al. (2014) Alternative sigma factor RpoE is important for *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* cell envelope stress response and intestinal colonization. *Infect Immun* 82 (9), 3667–77. [PubMed: 24935982]
27. Silva AJ et al. (2010) Attenuation of bacterial virulence by quorum sensing-regulated lysis. *J Biotechnol* 150 (1), 22–30. [PubMed: 20673838]
28. Nakano M et al. (2007) Modulation of pathogenicity with norepinephrine related to the type III secretion system of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *J Infect Dis* 195 (9), 1353–60. [PubMed: 17397007]

29. Abid S et al. (2017) 17 β -Estradiol Dysregulates Innate Immune Responses to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Respiratory Infection and Is Modulated by Estrogen Receptor Antagonism. *Infect Immun* 85 (10), e00422–17. [PubMed: 28784925]
30. Holban AM et al. (2016) Control of biofilm-associated infections by signaling molecules and nanoparticles. *Int J Pharm.* 510(2), 409–18 [PubMed: 26945736]
31. Ng YK et al. (2018) The Quorum Sensing System of *Yersinia enterocolitica* 8081 Regulates Swimming Motility, Host Cell Attachment, and Virulence Plasmid Maintenance. *Genes (Basel)* 9 (6), E307. [PubMed: 29925778]
32. Popat R et al. (2017) Environmental modification via a quorum sensing molecule influences the social landscape of siderophore production. *Proc Biol Sci* 284 (1852), 20170200. [PubMed: 28404780]
33. Holban AM et al. (2015) Recognition and Impact of Host-Derived Molecules on Bacterial Cell-to-Cell Signalling Pathways. *Med Chem.*
34. Brickman TJ et al. (2015) Interspecies variations in *Bordetella* catecholamine receptor gene regulation and function. *Infect Immun* 83 (12), 4639–52. [PubMed: 26371128]
35. Gestal MC et al. (2018) Blood or Serum Exposure Induce Global Transcriptional Changes, Altered Antigenic Profile, and Increased Cytotoxicity by Classical *Bordetellae*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 1969. [PubMed: 30245672]
36. Lesne E et al. (2017) Conformational Changes of an Interdomain Linker Mediate Mechanical Signal Transmission in Sensor Kinase BvgS. *J Bacteriol* 199 (18), e00114–17. [PubMed: 28507245]
37. Kaut CS et al. (2011) A novel sensor kinase is required for *Bordetella bronchiseptica* to colonize the lower respiratory tract. *Infect Immun* 79 (8), 3216–28. [PubMed: 21606184]
38. Coutte L et al. (2016) The multifaceted RisA regulon of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Sci Rep* 6, 32774. [PubMed: 27620673]
39. Scarlato V et al. (1990) Positive transcriptional feedback at the bvg locus controls expression of virulence factors in *Bordetella pertussis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 87 (17), 6753–7. [PubMed: 1697687]
40. Cróinín TO et al. (2005) Activation of the vrg6 promoter of *Bordetella pertussis* by RisA. *J Bacteriol* 187 (5), 1648–58. [PubMed: 15716435]
41. Stenson TH et al. (2005) *Bordetella pertussis* risA, but not risS, is required for maximal expression of Bvg-repressed genes. *Infect Immun* 73 (9), 5995–6004. [PubMed: 16113320]
42. Miller JF et al. (1992) Constitutive sensory transduction mutations in the *Bordetella pertussis* bvgS gene. *J Bacteriol* 174 (3), 970–9. [PubMed: 1732230]
43. Irie Y et al. (2004) The Bvg virulence control system regulates biofilm formation in *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. *J Bacteriol* 186 (17), 5692–8. [PubMed: 15317773]
44. Martinez de Tejada G et al. (1998) Neither the Bvg- phase nor the vrg6 locus of *Bordetella pertussis* is required for respiratory infection in mice. *Infect Immun* 66 (6), 2762–8. [PubMed: 9596745]
45. Nicholson TL et al. (2009) Microarray and functional analysis of growth phasedependent gene regulation in *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. *Infect Immun* 77 (10), 4221–31. [PubMed: 19667046]
46. Vergara-Irigaray N et al. (2005) Evaluation of the role of the Bvg intermediate phase in *Bordetella pertussis* during experimental respiratory infection. *Infect Immun* 73 (2), 748–60. [PubMed: 15664913]
47. Dewan KK et al. (2017) An Extracellular Polysaccharide Locus Required for Transmission of *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. *J Infect Dis* 216 (7), 899–906. [PubMed: 28973366]
48. Jungnitz H et al. (1998) A second two-component regulatory system of *Bordetella bronchiseptica* required for bacterial resistance to oxidative stress, production of acid phosphatase, and in vivo persistence. *Infect Immun* 66 (10), 4640–50. [PubMed: 9746560]
49. Chakraborty S et al. (2017) Non-canonical activation of OmpR drives acid and osmotic stress responses in single bacterial cells. *Nat Commun* 8 (1), 1587. [PubMed: 29138484]
50. Tipton KA and Rather PN (2016) An ompR/envZ Two-Component System Ortholog Regulates Phase Variation, Osmotic Tolerance, Motility, and Virulence in *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain AB5075. *J Bacteriol.* 199(3), e00705–16

51. Cai SJ and Inouye M (2002) EnvZ-OmpR interaction and osmoregulation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem* 277 (27), 24155–61. [PubMed: 11973328]
52. Kenney LJ (1997) Kinase activity of EnvZ, an osmoregulatory signal transducing protein of *Escherichia coli*. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 346 (2), 303–11. [PubMed: 9343378]
53. Forst SA and Roberts DL (1994) Signal transduction by the EnvZ-OmpR phosphotransfer system in bacteria. *Res Microbiol* 145 (5–6), 363–73. [PubMed: 7855421]
54. Waukau J and Forst S (1992) Molecular analysis of the signaling pathway between EnvZ and OmpR in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 174 (5), 1522–7. [PubMed: 1311295]
55. Bernardini ML et al. (1990) The two-component regulatory system ompR-envZ controls the virulence of *Shigella flexneri*. *J Bacteriol* 172 (11), 6274–81. [PubMed: 2121709]
56. Igo MM et al. (1990) Signal transduction in bacteria: kinases that control gene expression. *New Biol* 2 (1), 5–9. [PubMed: 1964084]
57. Wurtzel ET et al. (1982) Osmoregulation of gene expression. I. DNA sequence of the ompR gene of the ompB operon of *Escherichia coli* and characterization of its gene product. *J Biol Chem* 257 (22), 13685–91. [PubMed: 6292199]
58. Mizuno T et al. (1982) Cloning of the regulatory genes (ompR and envZ) for the matrix proteins of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane. *J Bacteriol* 150 (3), 1462–6. [PubMed: 7042694]
59. Stenson TH and Peppler MS (1995) Identification of two bvg-repressed surface proteins of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Infect Immun* 63 (10), 3780–9. [PubMed: 7558280]
60. Zimna K et al. (2001) Role played by the response regulator Ris in *Bordetella bronchiseptica* resistance to macrophage killing. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 201 (2), 177–80. [PubMed: 11470358]
61. Feklístov A et al. (2014) Bacterial sigma factors: a historical, structural, and genomic perspective. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 68, 357–76. [PubMed: 25002089]
62. Klein G and Raina S (2017) Small regulatory bacterial RNAs regulating the envelope stress response. *Biochem Soc Trans* 45 (2), 417–425. [PubMed: 28408482]
63. Vidovic S et al. (2017) Importance of the RpoE Regulon in Maintaining the Lipid Bilayer during Antimicrobial Treatment with the Polycationic Agent, Chlorhexidine. *Proteomics*. 18(3–4). doi: 10.1002/pmic.201700285
64. Klein G and Raina S (2015) Regulated Control of the Assembly and Diversity of LPS by Noncoding sRNAs. *Biomed Res Int* 2015, 153561. [PubMed: 26618164]
65. Missiakas D et al. (1997) Modulation of the *Escherichia coli* sigmaE (RpoE) heat shock transcription-factor activity by the RseA, RseB and RseC proteins. *Mol Microbiol* 24 (2), 355–71. [PubMed: 9159522]
66. Barchinger SE et al. (2012) sigE facilitates the adaptation of *Bordetella bronchiseptica* to stress conditions and lethal infection in immunocompromised mice. *BMC Microbiol* 12, 179. [PubMed: 22897969]
67. Barbier M et al. (2017) Modulation of Pertussis and Adenylate Cyclase Toxins by Sigma Factor RpoE in *Bordetella pertussis*. *Infect Immun* 85 (1), e00565–16. [PubMed: 27849178]
68. Ahuja U et al. (2016) Differential regulation of type III secretion and virulence genes in *Bordetella pertussis* and *Bordetella bronchiseptica* by a secreted anti- σ factor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113 (9), 2341–8. [PubMed: 26884180]
69. Nicholson TL (2007) Construction and validation of a first-generation *Bordetella bronchiseptica* long-oligonucleotide microarray by transcriptional profiling the Bvg regulon. *BMC Genomics* 8, 220. [PubMed: 17617915]
70. Kozak NA et al. (2005) Interactions between partner switcher orthologs BtrW and BtrV regulate type III secretion in *Bordetella*. *J Bacteriol* 187 (16), 5665–76. [PubMed: 16077112]
71. Bibova I et al. (2015) Transcriptional profiling of *Bordetella pertussis* reveals requirement of RNA chaperone Hfq for Type III secretion system functionality. *RNA Biol* 12 (2), 175–85. [PubMed: 25674816]
72. Bibova I et al. (2013) The RNA chaperone Hfq is required for virulence of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Infect Immun* 81 (11), 4081–90. [PubMed: 23980112]

73. Kurushima J et al. (2012) Btc22 chaperone is required for secretion and stability of the type III secreted protein Bsp22 in *Bordetella bronchiseptica*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 331 (2), 144–51. [PubMed: 22458424]
74. Guttman C et al. (2013) BtcA, A class IA type III chaperone, interacts with the BteA N-terminal domain through a globular/non-globular mechanism. *PLoS One* 8 (12), e81557. [PubMed: 24312558]
75. Guttman C et al. (2013) Characterization of the N-terminal domain of BteA: a *Bordetella* type III secreted cytotoxic effector. *PLoS One* 8 (1), e55650. [PubMed: 23383256]
76. Krojer T et al. (2008) Interplay of PDZ and protease domain of DegP ensures efficient elimination of misfolded proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105 (22), 7702–7. [PubMed: 18505836]
77. CastilloKeller M and Misra R (2003) Protease-deficient DegP suppresses lethal effects of a mutant OmpC protein by its capture. *J Bacteriol* 185 (1), 148–54. [PubMed: 12486051]
78. Baud C et al. (2009) Role of DegP for two-partner secretion in *Bordetella*. *Mol Microbiol* 74 (2), 315–29. [PubMed: 19703106]
79. Baud C et al. (2011) Membrane-associated DegP in *Bordetella* chaperones a repeat-rich secretory protein. *Mol Microbiol* 80 (6), 1625–36. [PubMed: 21518392]
80. Hodak H et al. (2008) The peptidyl-prolyl isomerase and chaperone Par27 of *Bordetella pertussis* as the prototype for a new group of parvulins. *J Mol Biol* 376 (2), 414–26. [PubMed: 18164725]
81. Scheller EV and Cotter PA (2015) *Bordetella* filamentous hemagglutinin and fimbriae: critical adhesins with unrealized vaccine potential. *Pathog Dis* 73 (8), ftv079. [PubMed: 26416077]
82. Williams P and Cámara M (2009) Quorum sensing and environmental adaptation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a tale of regulatory networks and multifunctional signal molecules. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 12 (2), 182–91. [PubMed: 19249239]
83. Gorgojo J et al. (2017) *Bordetella parapertussis* Circumvents Neutrophil Extracellular Bactericidal Mechanisms. *PLoS One* 12 (1), e0169936. [PubMed: 28095485]
84. Valdez HA et al. (2016) *Bordetella pertussis* modulates human macrophage defense gene expression. *Pathog Dis* 74 (6), ftw073. [PubMed: 27465637]
85. Dössel J et al. (2012) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-derived rhamnolipids subvert the host innate immune response through manipulation of the human betadefensin-2 expression. *Cell Microbiol* 14 (9), 1364–75. [PubMed: 22500651]
86. Marteyn BS et al. (2017) Harnessing Neutrophil Survival Mechanisms during Chronic Infection by. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 7, 243. [PubMed: 28713772]
87. Flitter BA et al. (2017) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sabotages the generation of host proresolving lipid mediators. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114 (1), 136–141. [PubMed: 27980032]
88. Liu Y and Russell MW (2011) Diversion of the immune response to *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* from Th17 to Th1/Th2 by treatment with anti-transforming growth factor β antibody generates immunological memory and protective immunity. *MBio* 2 (3), e00095–11. [PubMed: 21610119]
89. Hornef MW et al. (2002) Bacterial strategies for overcoming host innate and adaptive immune responses. *Nat Immunol* 3 (11), 1033–40. [PubMed: 12407412]
90. Guernonprez P et al. (2001) The adenylate cyclase toxin of *Bordetella pertussis* binds to target cells via the $\alpha(M)\beta(2)$ integrin (CD11b/CD18). *J Exp Med* 193 (9), 1035–44. [PubMed: 11342588]
91. Fedele G et al. (2017) Invasion of Dendritic Cells, Macrophages and Neutrophils by the *Bordetella* Adenylate Cyclase Toxin: A Subversive Move to Fool Host Immunity. *Toxins (Basel)* 9 (10), E293. [PubMed: 28934122]
92. Hasan S et al. (2017) *Bordetella pertussis* adenylate cyclase toxin disrupts functional integrity of bronchial epithelial layers. *Infect Immun.* 86(3), e00445–17
93. Novák J et al. (2017) Phosphoproteomics of cAMP signaling of *Bordetella* adenylate cyclase toxin in mouse dendritic cells. *Sci Rep* 7 (1), 16298. [PubMed: 29176673]
94. Skopova K et al. (2017) Cyclic AMP-Elevating Capacity of Adenylate Cyclase Toxin-Hemolysin Is Sufficient for Lung Infection but Not for Full Virulence of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Infect Immun* 85 (6), e00937–16. [PubMed: 28396322]

95. Cerny O et al. (2017) cAMP Signaling of Adenylate Cyclase Toxin Blocks the Oxidative Burst of Neutrophils through Epac-Mediated Inhibition of Phospholipase C Activity. *J Immunol* 198 (3), 1285–1296. [PubMed: 28039302]
96. Osickova A et al. (2010) Adenylate cyclase toxin translocates across target cell membrane without forming a pore. *Mol Microbiol* 75 (6), 1550–62. [PubMed: 20199594]
97. Paccani SR et al. (2008) Suppression of T-lymphocyte activation and chemotaxis by the adenylate cyclase toxin of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Infect Immun* 76 (7), 2822–32. [PubMed: 18426886]
98. Morse SI and Morse JH (1976) Isolation and properties of the leukocytosis- and lymphocytosis-promoting factor of *Bordetella pertussis*. *J Exp Med* 143 (6), 1483–502. [PubMed: 58054]
99. Spangrude GJ et al. (1985) Inhibition of lymphocyte and neutrophil chemotaxis by pertussis toxin. *J Immunol* 135 (6), 4135–43. [PubMed: 2999238]
100. Kirimanjeswara GS et al. (2005) Pertussis toxin inhibits neutrophil recruitment to delay antibody-mediated clearance of *Bordetella pertussis*. *J Clin Invest* 115 (12), 3594–601. [PubMed: 16294220]
101. Andreasen C and Carbonetti NH (2008) Pertussis toxin inhibits early chemokine production to delay neutrophil recruitment in response to *Bordetella pertussis* respiratory tract infection in mice. *Infect Immun* 76 (11), 5139–48. [PubMed: 18765723]
102. Skinner JA et al. (2005) *Bordetella* type III secretion modulates dendritic cell migration resulting in immunosuppression and bacterial persistence. *J Immunol* 175 (7), 4647–52. [PubMed: 16177111]
103. Henderson MW et al. (2012) Contribution of *Bordetella* filamentous hemagglutinin and adenylate cyclase toxin to suppression and evasion of interleukin-17-mediated inflammation. *Infect Immun* 80 (6), 2061–75. [PubMed: 22473603]
104. Villarino Romero R et al. (2016) *Bordetella pertussis* filamentous hemagglutinin itself does not trigger anti-inflammatory interleukin-10 production by human dendritic cells. *Int J Med Microbiol* 306 (1), 38–47. [PubMed: 26699834]

Highlights

- Well adapted bacterial pathogens have evolved mechanisms to sense and respond to immune components and host molecules, enabling them to evade, manipulate or escape their anti-microbial effects.
- Bacteria adapt to particular anatomical sites and inflammatory stages by sensing signals specific to each and changing gene expression accordingly.
- Two-component systems, sigma factors, sRNAs, and chaperones allow bacteria to respond to environmental changes by orchestrating gene expression to optimize their fitness.
- Sensing carbon dioxide, iron starvation, hormones and likely many others signals can refine the precise expression of virulence factors.
- Optimal temporal and spatial expression of virulence factors allows effective manipulation of host immune responses allows bacteria to efficiently colonize, infect, persist and increase transmission amongst hosts.

Outstanding Questions

- What specific host signals can *Bordetella* spp. sense?
- How do *Bordetella* spp. regulate/activate the expression of sigma factors, sRNAs or chaperones and what genes do they control?
- How are all the regulatory mechanisms (two-component systems, sigma factors, chaperones and sRNA) interconnected in *Bordetella* spp.?
- Do different host signals induce different bacterial responses?
- Do other bacteria respond to analogous cues in their microenvironment?
- Can inhibitors that impede sensing be effective therapeutics?
- Can we interrupt these pathways to attenuate pathogens, creating safe live vaccines and/or probiotic benign competitors?

Figure 1: Model of the molecular pathways of sensing and responding in *Bordetella* spp.

This figure is a schematic representation of the hypothetical connections between different *Bordetella* spp. regulatory mechanisms. The dotted lines indicate networks that are hypothetical. The bidirectional arrow indicates that the regulation can hypothetically go either way. The question mark indicates that there is no knowledge about the function of those regulators.

Figure 2: Schematic of the known *Bordetella* spp. virulence factors and how these manipulate host immune response.

This figure shows how *Bordetella* changes gene expression (from blue to green), increasing expression of molecules (in black boxes) that down-regulate immune response.

	Gases	T° (°C)	Cellular Components	Microbiota	Immune Response
A Nasal cavity Ref: (31, 53, 56, 68, 88, 93)	78.09% N ₂ 20.95% O ₂ 0.04% CO ₂	30-35 (nose)	Olfactory epithelium (olfactory sensory neurons, glial cells, sustentacular cells, microvillar cells, basal cells, brush cells, Bowman's glands)	Many bacterial species	Variable inflammation, mucosal immunity
B Trachea Ref: (22, 25, 31, 53, 56, 68, 88, 93)	Decreased O ₂ Increased CO ₂ Decreased H ₂ O	37	connective tissues and muscles epithelium composed of goblet cells and ciliated cells variable blood supply hyaline cartilage	Lower diversity	high levels of mucus (inorganic salt, antiseptic enzymes, immunoglobulins, glycoproteins, mucins)
C Lung Ref: (22, 25, 31, 53, 56, 68, 88, 93)	5% CO ₂ high reactive oxygen species gas exchange	37	High levels of serum lung epithelium (goblet cells, basal cells, pseudostratified columnar cells, cuboidal cells, squamous cells) high levels of blood supply and high content of iron-containing red blood cells	Lower diversity	High levels of inflammation Innate and adaptive responses Alveolar macrophages Low levels of mucus
D Blood (deeper tissues) Ref: (68, 128)		37	Blood and serum (into deeper tissues) blood (45% erythrocytes, 54.3% plasma [including thrombocytes], 0.7% leukocytes) albumin, globulin, fibrinogen, neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes, basophils, eosinophils, salts, thrombocytes, water, O ₂ , CO ₂ , N ₂ , hormones, enzymes	Lower diversity	

Figure 3. (Key Figure): Different host microenvironments of *Bordetella* spp..

This figure indicates the different microenvironments within the host respiratory tract that *Bordetella* encounters and adapts to. The table shows the highlights of the different conditions.