Published in final edited form as:

Trends Genet. 2019 February ; 35(2): 89. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2018.10.001.

Knocking out enhancers to enhance epigenetic research

Gregg Duester

Development, Aging and Regeneration Program, Sanford Burnham Prebys Medical Discovery Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

Advances in epigenetics have uncovered a myriad of transcription factor binding sites and chromatin modifications in presumed DNA control elements that regulate gene activation (enhancers) or repression (silencers). Identification of enhancers has relied extensively on production of animals carrying enhancer reporter transgenes, however recent studies reporting knockouts of such presumed enhancers have described many cases in which the enhancer is nonessential [1–5]. In one case, knockout of one presumed testis enhancer for *Sox9* had no effect on testis development, whereas knockout of another single testis enhancer reduced expression enough to result in reversal to an ovary fate [4]. In some cases knockout of two presumed enhancers significantly reduced expression of a nearby gene and resulted in a physiological defect, thus clearly demonstrating a required function and revealing enhancer redundancy [1–3]. However, two of these studies uncovered examples in which double enhancer knockouts had no effect on gene expression or development, specifically knockout of two presumed enhancers for *Sox9* in limb bud [2] and two presumed enhancers for *Tbx5* in forelimb bud [5]. It remains to be determined whether the two presumed limb bud enhancers for either *Sox9* or *Tbx5*, or the nonessential testis enhancer for *Sox9*, are redundant with yet more DNA control elements or whether they are nonredundant, i.e. not able to control the nearby gene. Further enhancer knockouts may reveal that some presumed enhancers are indeed vestigial enhancers or pseudoenhancers that are not able to regulate the nearby gene.

Although enhancer reporter transgene technology has been thought to be a very good method to identify enhancers that function in specific tissues in vivo, the recent knockout studies show that transgene analysis cannot on its own identify enhancers essential for gene regulation. The disconnect is likely due to the fact that an enhancer reporter transgene is generated by linking a potential enhancer to a heterologous basal promoter upstream of a marker gene, which is then randomly inserted into the genome of an animal. By doing this, the enhancer is removed from its endogenous location in the genome and placed in a foreign location very close to a promoter, instead of being located in a position which is normally far from the promoter of the gene it is proposed to control. These recent studies demonstrate that knockout of the endogenous proposed enhancer in its normal location within the genome is required to validate function.

Genomic studies can identify global changes in transcription factor binding sites and epigenetic marks across the entire genome that are often reported to be responsible for

*Correspondence should be addressed to G.D. (duester@sbpdiscovery.org).

changes in gene expression during development and in the adult. However, now that we know many presumed enhancers are nonessential, it is likely that many transcription factor binding sites and epigenetic marks are not providing true insight into gene regulation as they are occurring in nonessential DNA control elements. By identifying enhancers that are required to regulate a gene in vivo, efforts in epigenetics can be focused upon examining the epigenetic changes that occur in these true enhancers. This increase in the signal-to-noise ratio will revolutionize epigenetics.

Recent advances in knockout methodology such as CRISPR/Cas9 make it realistic for journals and funding agencies to require demonstration of functions for presumed enhancers or silencers by in vivo genetic loss-of-function studies, thus validating such studies and providing a solid foundation for future studies. Although it is possible to obtain some insight by generating knockouts in cell lines, function in vivo is also needed to show how the cell line studies relate to biology in vivo. In this way, researchers will be able to make valid conclusions on gene regulation that can be reproduced by others.

References

1. Will AJ et al. (2017) Composition and dosage of a multipartite enhancer cluster control developmental expression of *Ihh* (Indian hedgehog). *Nat Genet* 49 (10), 1539–1545. <https://www.nature.com/articles/ng.3939> [PubMed: 28846100]
2. Osterwalder M et al. (2018) Enhancer redundancy provides phenotypic robustness in mammalian development. *Nature* 554 (7691), 239–243. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature25461> [PubMed: 29420474]
3. Dickel DE et al. (2018) Ultraconserved enhancers are required for normal development. *Cell* 172 (3), 491–499. [https://www.cell.com/cell/fulltext/S0092-8674\(17\)31497-6](https://www.cell.com/cell/fulltext/S0092-8674(17)31497-6) [PubMed: 29358049]
4. Gonen N et al. (2018) Sex reversal following deletion of a single distal enhancer of *Sox9*. *Science* 360 (6396), 1469–1473. <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/360/6396/1469.long> [PubMed: 29903884]
5. Cunningham TJ et al. (2018) Genomic knockout of two presumed forelimb *Tbx5* enhancers reveals they are nonessential for limb development. *Cell Reports* 23, 3146–3151. [https://www.cell.com/cell-reports/fulltext/S2211-1247\(18\)30798-8](https://www.cell.com/cell-reports/fulltext/S2211-1247(18)30798-8) [PubMed: 29898387]