Published in final edited form as:

J Mol Biol. 2019 January 18; 431(2): 391–400. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.11.011.

Selection of protein-protein interactions of desired affinities with a bandpass circuit.

Katherine E. Brechun^{1,2}, Katja M. Arndt^{†,2}, and G. Andrew Woolley^{1,†}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

²Molecular Biotechnology, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany.

Abstract

We have developed a genetic circuit in *Escherichia coli* that can be used to select for protein-protein interactions of different strengths by changing antibiotic concentrations in the media. The genetic circuit links protein-protein interaction strength to β -lactamase activity, while simultaneously imposing tuneable positive and negative selection pressure for β -lactamase activity. Cells only survive if they express interacting proteins with affinities that fall within set high- and low-pass thresholds, *i.e.* the circuit acts as a bandpass filter for protein-protein interactions. We show that the circuit can be used to recover protein-protein interactions of desired affinity from a mixed population with a range of affinities. The circuit can also be used to select for inhibitors of protein-protein interactions of defined strength.

Graphical abstract

Keywords

Synthetic biology; genetic circuit; biological engineering; protein-protein interactions; twin-arginine translocation; selection system

[†]Corresponding authors; awoolley@chem.utoronto.ca katja.arndt@uni-potsdam.de.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no competing interest.

Introduction

Protein-protein interactions are fundamental mediators of biological processes ranging from gene expression to immune responses [1]. In numerous cases it has been observed that, to be functional, a protein-protein interaction should be neither too weak, nor too tight, but just right (this observation has been termed the Goldilocks principle [2, 3]). For example, several studies have found that when the affinity of a peptide/major histocompatibility complex for a Tcell receptor is either too low or too high, immune responses can be impaired [3, 4]. Likewise, basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors homo- and heterodimerize with a range of affinities leading to complex interaction profiles, thereby controlling gene expression with massive combinatorial regulatory potential [5]. Because binding affinities set bounds on binding and dissociation rates, precisely tuned binding affinities are important for the dynamic interplay of protein partners and temporal responsiveness to changes in the environment [6, 7]. Perturbation of finely balanced protein-protein interactions is central to numerous diseased states [8, 9].

An ability to develop new protein-protein interactions and modify existing ones is foundational for numerous applications in biotechnology and medicine. For example, moderate affinity, rather than high affinity, T-cell receptor interactions have been proposed to be less likely to lead to unwanted toxic responses in T-cell-based immunotherapies [3, 10]. Likewise, proteinbased drug delivery systems require tuning of carrier protein affinity in order to control drug release rates and achieve a desired *in vivo* release profile [11]. Systems that enable selection of a specific level of activity may also be used to evolve switchable proteins, by changing the target level of activity in response to a given set of external cues [12].

Current approaches for *in vivo* selection of interacting protein partners focuses on the recovery of interactions with maximal binding strength, eliminating interactions below a selection threshold [13, 14]. Selection methods for discovering and developing protein-protein interactions do uncover interactions of different affinity during the course of a multiple-round selection by varying (or relaxing) stringency, and it has been observed that yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) studies show some correlation between growth rates and protein-protein interaction affinity [14]. Also, by reprogramming sexual agglutination of yeast to allow library on library screening for protein-protein interactions, Younger *et al* showed that next-generation sequencing of the resulting diploid yeast strains could allow quantitative evaluation of thousands of pairwise interactions [15]. While these methods do link growth to the strength of a protein-protein interaction, they do not offer a way of specifically selecting for an intermediate affinity while avoiding higher affinity clones. Using yeast surface display together with a defined binding target, a library of binding partners can be sorted using flow cytometry [16]. Keating and colleagues have used this approach together with next-generation sequencing to rank hundreds of yeast-displayed peptides according to their affinities for a target protein [17]. In this manner, strong, medium and weak affinity binders can be recovered, however, because it is a screening system rather than a selection system, this approach is more limited in the numbers of variants that can be assayed.

Here, we introduce a genetic circuit in *Escherichia coli* that can act as a bandpass filter for protein-protein interactions and show, using proof-of-principle test cases, that the circuit allows one to select for protein-protein interactions of different strengths by changing the antibiotic concentrations in the media. To create this system we combined two previously established sub-circuits: a hitchhiker circuit that links protein-protein interaction strength to β -lactamase activity, [18, 19] and a bacterial bandpass filter that allows selection for cells with specific, tunable levels of β -lactamase activity [12] (Figure 1). The hitchhiker circuit uses the endogenous twin-arginine translocation (Tat) pathway, a bacterial export system across the cytoplasmic membrane that accepts only folded substrates [20, 21], to select for interacting proteins. It has been shown previously that a protein lacking a signal sequence can be transported to the periplasmic space via the Tat pathway by interacting with a protein bearing a Tat-targeting signal sequence – a process termed “hitchhiking” [20–22]. The hitchhiker circuit uses this process to detect protein-protein interactions by fusing one half of an interacting protein pair to a Tat-targeting signal sequence, and the second half to a selectable marker, namely β -lactamase devoid of its native signal sequence. β -lactamase hydrolyzes β -lactam antibiotics, such as ampicillin (Amp), protecting bacteria from cell wall damage [23]. To fulfill this role β -lactamase must be transported to the periplasm. While several factors may influence the β -lactamase activity observed, including Tat-transport efficiency and protein expression levels, the interaction strength of the pair of hitchhiker proteins has been shown to be a dominant factor for controlling the amount of β -lactamase transported, and thereby for controlling cellular Amp resistance levels [19]. We expand on the hitchhiker translocation system by incorporating an inhibitor targeting the hitchhiker pair (Figure 1 inset). We show the binding strength of the inhibitor is inversely correlated with the cellular Amp resistance levels.

The hitchhiker circuit, with or without an inhibitor, achieves the high-pass component of the bandpass filter by allowing cell growth only when cellular β -lactamase activity is high enough to counteract the growth inhibitory effect of Amp. To complete the bandpass filter, cells are simultaneously subjected to negative selection pressure for β -lactamase, *i.e.* cells with β -lactamase activity above a certain level also do not survive. This is achieved by co-opting an endogenous transcriptional response to β -lactam antibiotics present in nearly all members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family [23]. The presence of a β -lactam antibiotic leads to accumulation of cell wall breakdown products, which are imported into the cytoplasm and metabolized as part of the peptidoglycan recycling pathway [23]. One of the resulting fragments, 1,6-anhydroMurNAcpentapeptide (aM-Pp), has been shown to bind to the transcriptional regulator AmpR, leading to the transcription of a genomic β -lactamase (AmpC) via the *ampC* promoter [24]. In the present work, the *ampC* promoter and AmpR from *Citrobacter freundii* [25] are used to control the production of a tetracycline (Tet) resistance gene, as well as a fluorescent marker (green fluorescent protein (GFP), mCherry or Topaz) in response to cell wall breakdown (Figure 1). If β -lactamase levels are too high, all the Amp is hydrolyzed, the inducer aM-Pp does not accumulate, Tet resistance is not induced, and cells are sensitive to tetracycline. This completes the low-pass side of a bandpass filter for protein-protein interactions.

Results and Discussion

The hitchhiker-bandpass circuit is externally tunable.

As a test case, to determine if the hitchhiker circuit could be integrated into the bandpass circuit, we constructed a series of hitchhiker vectors containing a set of synthetic leucine zipper coiled-coils with characterized interaction strengths [27, 28] (Table 1). These sequences were originally developed using an *in vivo* dihydrofolate reductase protein fragment complementation assay and varying degrees of stringency [27]. Although hitchhiking has been demonstrated with a wide range of proteins, [18, 19, 21, 22, 29] we focused our attention on coiled-coil sequences since well-established guidelines exist for the manipulation of their binding affinities [30–32]. We used the Tattargeting signal sequence from *E. coli* trimethylamine *N*-oxide reductase (ssTorA), and TEM β -lactamase without its native Sec signal sequence. When cells containing a bandpass plasmid and a hitchhiker plasmid were exposed to Tet and a range of Amp concentrations, growth and fluorescence were only observed in a narrow range of Amp concentrations (Figure 2). The Amp concentration where cell growth occurred, increased with the interaction strength of the expressed hitchhiker pair (Figure 2A). We then tested the ability to tune the circuit using external stimuli. Increasing the concentration of isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) is expected to increase the expression of the *tac* promoter-driven hitchhiker constructs, ultimately resulting in an increase in β -lactamase transport. Using the heterodimerizing hitchhiker construct WinZip A2/B1, we found that cells grew at higher concentrations of Amp in response to increasing concentrations of IPTG (Figure 2B). Saturation of this effect was observed at approximately 500 μ M IPTG, presumably due to maximal expression levels being reached. Titrating the concentration of Tet adjusts the low-pass filter in the bandpass circuit. In the absence of Tet pressure, the low-pass filter is removed, allowing bacterial growth in low concentrations of Amp. Increasing Tet resulted in a corresponding narrowing of the band of bacterial growth (Figure 2C).

A mixed culture can be separated based on protein-protein interaction strength.

The bandpass circuit has been used previously to separate strains based on β -lactamase activity levels [12, 33]. In our circuit, β -lactamase activity levels are linked to the protein interaction strength of the hitchhiker pair, so it should therefore be possible to separate strains based on protein interaction strength. We developed different fluorescent versions of the bandpass plasmid to create bandpass plasmids with green, red or yellow fluorescent markers (Figure 1) so that the separation could be observed using fluorescence imaging. A mixed culture was created containing an equal mixture of cells expressing a weakly-interacting hitchhiker pair (WinZip B1/B1) with the GFP bandpass plasmid, and cells expressing a strongly-interacting hitchhiker pair (WinZip A2/B1) with the mCherry bandpass plasmid. The mixed culture was spread evenly on an agar plate containing IPTG, Tet, plasmid maintenance antibiotics (spectinomycin (Spec) and chloramphenicol (Cm)), and a radial diffusion gradient of Amp, created by spotting a disk of filter paper in the center of the plate with Amp. After incubation, growth appeared in two isolated rings (Figure 2 D, E). Fluorescence imaging showed the culture with the red fluorescent marker was localized in the inner ring. These cells were expressing the strongly interacting hitchhiker pair, WinZip A2/B1, and required high levels of Amp for growth. The culture with the green

fluorescent marker expressing the weakly interacting hitchhiker pair, WinZip B1/B1, grew where the concentration of Amp was low, forming the outer ring.

A liquid culture format provides increased experimental control and sensitivity.

Performing the hitchhiker-bandpass assay in a 96-well plate format using liquid cultures enabled a greater degree of control over the Amp gradient. First, we confirmed that cells do not obtain passive resistance due to leaked β -lactamase or cell wall breakdown products from neighboring cells by performing a separation of a mixed culture with two different fluorescent markers in liquid culture. The growth of each strain was once again limited to a concentration range of Amp corresponding to the interaction strength of the expressed hitchhiker pairs (Figure S2, S3).

Precise control of the concentration of Amp in liquid cultures enabled separations of higher complexity. We separated a mixed culture of cells expressing three different WinZip peptides, with each strain co-expressing the GFP bandpass plasmid (Figure 2F-H). The mixed culture showed growth maxima in three distinct Amp concentration ranges. Based on the Amp concentration observed to permit growth of pure cultures of each of the strains, we selected three wells from the mixed culture that were each expected to contain a pure culture after incubation. Cells were isolated by making streak plates (5 per well), and a portion of the hitchhiker plasmid was amplified by colony PCR and digested with restriction enzymes to genotype each isolated colony. Each well was found to contain a pure culture of the expected strain (Figure 2H). This experiment was repeated 3 more times with genetic analysis of up to 10 isolated colonies per well. Complete separation was observed in all cases (Figure S6).

To confirmed sequence-independent performance of the assay, we further analyzed the relationship between cellular Amp resistance levels and the interaction strength of the expressed protein pairs using a second series of protein-protein interactions for which extensive *in vitro* data is also available [32, 34, 35]. We constructed a series of hitchhiker vectors containing various lengths of the bZIP domain of cJun and cFos (Table 2). These proteins dimerize via a coiled-coil leucine zipper region and bind to AP-1 sites on DNA via a positively charged basic region. cJun can both homodimerize and heterodimerize with cFos, whereas cFos cannot form stable homodimers [36]. These constructs were tested in the hitchhiker-bandpass assay (Figure 3). The Amp resistance levels observed correlated well with published *in vitro* data. Constructs containing truncated cJun leucine zipper homodimers and truncated cFos/cJun leucine zipper heterodimers interact weakly and grew at low concentrations of Amp. The full-length cJun homodimer exhibited activity similar to the truncated version, potentially because the increase in interaction strength gained by the increased length of the cJun leucine zipper is not strong enough to offset the electrostatic repulsion of the basic domains in the full-length version. The full-length cJun/cFos heterodimer constructs grew at much higher concentrations of Amp, consistent with the strong interaction strength measured *in vitro*. Removal of the cFos basic domain from the full-length construct led to growth in even higher concentrations of Amp. Removing the basic domain from one protein in a bZIP pair has been shown previously to increase the

interaction strength by eliminating the electrostatic repulsion between the two positively charged basic domains [34].

Co-expression of an inhibitor protein can modulate hitchhiker interaction strength.

Although the hitchhiker circuit provides a good assessment of protein-protein interaction strength, other factors are expected to influence the cellular Amp resistance observed for a given pair. These factors include variations in the effective concentration of hitchhiker pairs, the propensity for a pair to form correct ssTorA/ β -lactamase heterodimers (which is decreased in hitchhiker pairs with homodimerizing interaction partners), and details of how a particular pair interacts with the Tat transport machinery. The Tat export pathway has a quality control mechanism that inhibits the transport of improperly folded proteins [37]. While this feature can be beneficial, adding selection pressure for well-folded proteins, [37, 38] it is possible that transport efficiency may be altered by the stability of a protein-protein complex (*i.e.* its “foldedness” at a particular temperature) in addition to the affinities of its components. To avoid any influence this may have on the selection for protein-protein interactions, we explored the option of incorporating an inhibitor of a hitchhiker protein-protein interaction to allow the binding strength of different inhibitors to be compared with respect to a single hitchhiker export pair.

Inhibitor constructs were cloned into a third compatible plasmid (Figure 1) and were designed to contain a C-terminal fusion to blue fluorescent protein (BFP)[39] to monitor inhibitor expression levels and increase *in vivo* stability. When a strong inhibitor is co-expressed in the circuit, it is expected that it would bind to the hitchhiking protein(s) and prevent successful transport of β -lactamase by outcompeting the hitchhiker pair dimerization, restricting cell growth to lower concentrations of Amp. The responsiveness of the hitchhiker-bandpass assay to inhibitor strength was investigated by building and testing a series of cFos inhibitors. Previously, it was shown that potent inhibitors for bZIP proteins could be designed by appending an acidic extension to a leucine zipper, creating favorable electrostatic interactions with the basic domain of the native bZIP protein [34, 40, 41]. We created a series of cFos-based inhibitors containing an acidic extension of increasing length (Table 3). Co-expression of the inhibitors with the cFos(ZIP)/cJun(bZIP) hitchhiker pair shifted growth to lower concentrations of Amp in comparison to a non-inhibiting BFP negative control (Figure 4A). The rank order of the decrease in Amp resistance correlated with the binding strength of the inhibitors.

To confirm the ability of the hitchhiker-bandpass system to respond to inhibitors of different strength we repeated these experiments in another protein-protein interaction system, using CREB, a bZIP transcription factor that is analogous to cJun and cFos, but functions as a homodimer. CREB hitchhiker pairs were constructed containing the CREB leucine zipper with an N-terminal ssTorA fusion, and the CREB bZIP fused to the β -lactamase. We created a series of inhibitors based on A4-CREB [40, 42], a construct containing the CREB leucine zipper with a four-heptad repeat acidic extension, by making point mutations designed to weaken its binding (Table 4). Coiled-coil sequences form helices with a periodicity of seven residues. The residues within this heptad repeat are designated *a – g* to describe their position within the coil. Residues in the *d* positions are located along the coiled-coil

interface and are typically involved in hydrophobic interactions [43, 44]. We mutated two *d* position residues in the A4-CREB-BFP leucine zipper from Leu to Gly (residues L316G, L323G in the murine CREB sequence), a change which is expected to dramatically decrease stability (Table 4) [43, 44]. Additionally, we made a version of A4-CREB-BFP with two *f* position residues in the leucine zipper mutated to Gly (residues N318G, E325G in the murine CREB sequence). The *f* position is surface exposed and Gly substitution at these sites is expected to have less of an effect on coiled-coil stability (Table 4)[43]. The activity of these inhibitors in the hitchhiker-bandpass assay (Figure 4B) showed that the *d* position mutations drastically decreased the strength of the inhibitor, while the *f* position mutations had no detectable effect compared to the non-mutated A4-CREB-BFP inhibitor.

To confirm the change in Amp resistance of the cells was due to a specific interaction between the expressed inhibitor and the hitchhiker pair, we tested the system with a non-specific inhibitor. To do so, we co-expressed the strong inhibitor A4-CREB-BFP with the cFos(ZIP)/cJun(bZIP) hitchhiker pair. This had no detectable effect on the Amp resistance of the cells in comparison to cells co-expressing a BFP negative control with the cFos(ZIP)/cJun(bZIP) hitchhiker pair (Figure S8).

Summary

Protein-protein interactions *in vivo* are tuned through evolutionary pressure to optimize function; this tuning may produce weak, intermediate or high affinity interactions. Currently available selection systems however, focus on the development of interactions with high affinity, recovering all interactions above a set threshold. We have shown, using well-defined test cases of known affinity, that the hitchhiker-bandpass circuit allows selection pressure to be tuned to recover protein-protein interactions with affinities falling within a desired range. The ability to select for weaker or intermediate, or switchable protein-protein interaction affinity *in vivo* is expected to be useful for biotechnological applications requiring tuned interactions.

Materials & Methods

Detailed materials and methods can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Strains.

All cloning was performed using in *E. coli* XL1Blue (Stratagene, *recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17 supE44 relA1 lac* [*F'* *proAB lacIq Z M15 Tn10 (Tetr)*]). Hitchhiker-bandpass experiments were performed in *E. coli* SNO301 (*ampD1, ampA1, ampC8, pyrB, recA, rpsL*) [45].

Plasmid construction.

Plasmids were constructed using classical restriction enzyme-based cloning, Gibson Assembly [46] and SLiCE [47]. The bandpass plasmids were developed using pTS1 (*CloDF13* origin of replication, Spec resistance). The hitchhiker constructs were developed using pACYCDuet2 (*p15A* origin of replication, Cm selectable marker). The inhibitor constructs were developed using pET24b (*ColEI/pMB1* origin of replication, Km selectable

marker). The *T7* promoters in pACYCDuet2 and pET24b were exchanged with the *tac* promoter from pDIMC8-TEM-1 [12] to allow expression in *E. coli* SNO301. Detailed plasmid information can be found in the SI.

Culture preparations.

E. coli SNO301 was electroporated with a bandpass plasmid, a hitchhiker plasmid and when required, an inhibitor plasmid. A single colony was used to make an overnight culture in 5 mL of DYT (double yeast tryptone) supplemented with Cm (25 µg/mL), Spec (100 µg/mL), (Km (50 µg/mL) for 3-plasmid experiments,) and 1% glucose. The following day, the culture was diluted to an OD₆₆₀ of 0.5 in DYT and glycerol (15% final concentration), aliquoted into 100 µL samples, and stored at –80°C.

Agar plate experiments.

Petri dishes were prepared with 15 mL of LB-agar containing Cm (25 µg/mL), Spec (100 µg/mL), Tet (20 µg/mL), and IPTG (300 µM). After solidification, plates were dried for 10 min in a laminar flow hood to decrease moisture content. A sterile disk of filter paper was placed in the center of the plate and spotted with Amp (2 µL, 100 mg/mL). The plates were left for 15 min to allow an Amp gradient to form. Glycerol stock cultures of each strain were thawed on ice, mixed in equal proportions and diluted 20-fold in DYT. The Amp disk was removed, 150 µL of the mixed culture was spread across the entire plate and left to dry for 15 min. A second disk of filter paper was placed in the center of the plate and spotted with Amp (2 µL, 100 mg/mL). The plates were incubated for 90 min at room temperature, then at 37°C for 20 h. After incubation, plates were stored at 4°C for 20 h to allow for fluorescent chromophore maturation. The plates were imaged using a Fusion SL (Vilber Lourmat) imaging system with white light, and with EpiBlue LED lighting (with a F-595 Y3 filter) for the GFP marker, and EpiRed LED lighting (with a F-695 Y5 filter) for the mCherry marker. The greyscale fluorescence images were false coloured, the contrast adjusted, and overlaid using ImageJ software.

Liquid culture experiments.

Round-bottom 96-well plates were prepared with 90 µL of DYT media containing Cm (25 µg/mL), Spec (100 µg/mL), (Km (50 µg/mL) for 3-plasmid experiments,) Tet (20 µg/mL), IPTG (500 µM) and an Amp gradient created by serial dilutions. A glycerol starter culture was thawed on ice, diluted in prepared DYT media, and 10 µL of the diluted culture were added to each well to an inoculation OD₆₆₀ of 0.001 for 2-plasmid experiments, 0.005 for 3-plasmid experiments, and 0.007 for 3-plasmid experiments coexpressing A4-CREB-BFP or A4-CREB-(2×Gly *f*)-BFP (due to apparent toxicity of the expressed proteins). When plating a mixed culture, equal volumes of each starter culture were combined. Experiments were often performed in parallel for replicates. For Figure 2C the IPTG concentration used was 300 µM, and for Figure 2FG the IPTG concentration was increased to 1 mM to achieve better separation of the strains. Plates were incubated with a lid at 32°C with orbital shaking at 400 rpm for 18 – 20 h. Following incubation, plates were centrifuged for 15 min at 4000 rpm at 4°C, the supernatant was removed, and cells were re-suspended in 100 µL of PBS and transferred to a flat-bottom plate for measurements. Optical density (660 nm), and fluorescence (GFP ex. 486 nm, em. 509 nm; mCherry ex. 587 nm, em. 610 nm; Topaz ex.

515 nm, em. 527 nm; BFP ex. 402 nm, em. 457 nm) were measured using an infinite M1000Pro plate reader (Tecan). In the GFP and Topaz mixed culture, GFP was excited at 466 nm to minimize background from Topaz. For the genetic analysis, streak plates were made to isolate single colonies, hitchhiker genes were amplified using colony PCR, and the amplified fragments were digested with *Pst*I and analyzed on an agarose gel.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Marc Ostermeier for the strain SNO301, and the plasmids pTS1-GFP and pDIMC8-TEM-1, Stefan Hoffmann for critical reading of the manuscript, and Franziska Ewert for technical assistance.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R33MH105724 to G.A.W.). K.E.B. was supported by a scholarship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and a research grant from the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst.

References

- [1]. Nooren IM, Thornton JM. Diversity of protein-protein interactions. *EMBO J.* 22 (2003) 3486–92. [PubMed: 12853464]
- [2]. Norris A, Boeke JD. Silent information regulator 3: the Goldilocks of the silencing complex. *Genes Dev.* 24 (2010) 115–22. [PubMed: 20080949]
- [3]. Slansky JE, Jordan KR. The Goldilocks model for TCR-too much attraction might not be best for vaccine design. *PLoS Biol.* 8 (2010).
4. Lever M, Lim HS, Kruger P, Nguyen J, Trendel N, Abu-Shah E, et al. Architecture of a minimal signaling pathway explains the T-cell response to a 1 million-fold variation in antigen affinity and dose. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 113 (2016) E6630–E8. [PubMed: 27702900]
5. Rodriguez-Martinez JA, Reinke AW, Bhimsaria D, Keating AE, Ansari AZ. Combinatorial bZIP dimers display complex DNA-binding specificity landscapes. *Elife.* 6 (2017).
6. Perkins JR, Diboun I, Dessailly BH, Lees JG, Orengo C. Transient protein-protein interactions: structural, functional, and network properties. *Structure.* 18 (2010) 1233–43. [PubMed: 20947012]
7. Schreiber G, Keating AE. Protein binding specificity versus promiscuity. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol* 21 (2011) 50–61. [PubMed: 21071205]
8. Lage K. Protein-protein interactions and genetic diseases: The interactome. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1842 (2014) 1971–80. [PubMed: 24892209]
9. Ryan DP, Matthews JM. Protein-protein interactions in human disease. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol* 15 (2005) 441–6. [PubMed: 15993577]
10. Thaxton JE, Li Z. To affinity and beyond: harnessing the T cell receptor for cancer immunotherapy. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother* 10 (2014) 3313–21. [PubMed: 25483644]
11. Pakulska MM, Miersch S, Shoichet MS. Designer protein delivery: From natural to engineered affinity-controlled release systems. *Science.* 351 (2016) aac4750. [PubMed: 26989257]
12. Sohka T, Heins RA, Phelan RM, Greisler JM, Townsend CA, Ostermeier M. An externally tunable bacterial band-pass filter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 106 (2009) 10135–40. [PubMed: 19502423]
13. Smith GP, Petrenko VA. Phage Display. *Chem. Rev* 97 (1997) 391–410. [PubMed: 11848876]
14. Estojak J, Brent R, Golemis EA. Correlation of two-hybrid affinity data with in vitro measurements. *Mol. Cell. Biol* 15 (1995) 5820–9. [PubMed: 7565735]

15. Younger D, Berger S, Baker D, Klavins E. High-throughput characterization of protein-protein interactions by reprogramming yeast mating. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 114 (2017) 12166–71. [16] [PubMed: 29087945]
16. VanAntwerp JJ, Wittrup KD. Fine affinity discrimination by yeast surface display and flow cytometry. *Biotechnol. Prog* 16 (2000) 31–7. [PubMed: 10662486]
17. Reich LL, Dutta S, Keating AE. SORTCERY-A High-Throughput Method to Affinity Rank Peptide Ligands. *J. Mol. Biol* 427 (2015) 2135–50. [PubMed: 25311858]
18. Strauch EM, Georgiou G. A bacterial two-hybrid system based on the twin-arginine transporter pathway of *E. coli*. *Protein Sci.* 16 (2007) 1001–8. [PubMed: 17456749]
19. Waraho D, DeLisa MP. Versatile selection technology for intracellular protein-protein interactions mediated by a unique bacterial hitchhiker transport mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 106 (2009) 3692–7. [PubMed: 19234130]
20. Berks BC. The twin-arginine protein translocation pathway. *Annu. Rev. Biochem* 84 (2015) 84364.
21. Patel R, Smith SM, Robinson C. Protein transport by the bacterial Tat pathway. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1843 (2014) 1620–8. [PubMed: 24583120]
22. Rodrigue A, Chanal A, Beck K, Muller M, Wu LF. Co-translocation of a periplasmic enzyme complex by a hitchhiker mechanism through the bacterial tat pathway. *J. Biol. Chem* 274 (1999) 13223–8. [PubMed: 10224080]
23. Zeng X, Lin J. Beta-lactamase induction and cell wall metabolism in Gram-negative bacteria. *Front. Microbiol* 4 (2013) 128. [PubMed: 23734147]
24. Dietz H, Pfeifle D, Wiedemann B. The signal molecule for beta-lactamase induction in *Enterobacter cloacae* is the anhydromuramyl-pentapeptide. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother* 41 (1997) 2113–20. [PubMed: 9333034]
25. Lindberg F, Westman L, Normark S. Regulatory components in *Citrobacter freundii* ampC betalactamase induction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 82 (1985) 4620–4. [PubMed: 2991883]
26. Vadlamani G, Thomas MD, Patel TR, Donald LJ, Reeve TM, Stetefeld J, et al. The betalactamase gene regulator AmpR is a tetramer that recognizes and binds the D-Ala-D-Ala motif of its repressor UDP-N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc)-pentapeptide. *J. Biol. Chem* 290 (2015) 2630–43. [PubMed: 25480792]
27. Arndt KM, Pelletier JN, Muller KM, Alber T, Michnick SW, Pluckthun A. A heterodimeric coiled-coil peptide pair selected in vivo from a designed library-versus-library ensemble. *J. Mol. Biol* 295 (2000) 627–39. [PubMed: 10623552]
28. Arndt KM, Pelletier JN, Muller KM, Pluckthun A, Alber T. Comparison of in vivo selection and rational design of heterodimeric coiled coils. *Structure.* 10 (2002) 1235–48. [PubMed: 12220495]
29. Waraho-Zhmayev D, Meksiriporn B, Portnoff AD, DeLisa MP. Optimizing recombinant antibodies for intracellular function using hitchhiker-mediated survival selection. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel* 27 (2014) 351–8. [PubMed: 25225416]
30. Kaplan JB, Reinke AW, Keating AE. Increasing the affinity of selective bZIP-binding peptides through surface residue redesign. *Protein Sci.* 23 (2014) 940–53. [PubMed: 24729132]
31. Mason JM, Muller KM, Arndt KM. Considerations in the design and optimization of coiled coil structures. *Methods Mol. Biol* 352 (2007) 35–70. [PubMed: 17041258]
32. Mason JM, Schmitz MA, Muller KM, Arndt KM. Semirational design of Jun-Fos coiled coils with increased affinity: Universal implications for leucine zipper prediction and design. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (2006) 8989–94. [PubMed: 16754880]
33. Sohka T, Heins RA, Ostermeier M. Morphogen-defined patterning of *Escherichia coli* enabled by an externally tunable band-pass filter. *J. Biol. Eng* 3 (2009) 10. [PubMed: 19586541]
34. Olive M, Krylov D, Echlin DR, Gardner K, Taparowsky E, Vinson C. A dominant negative to activation protein-1 (AP1) that abolishes DNA binding and inhibits oncogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem* 272 (1997) 18586–94. [PubMed: 9228025]
35. Hagemann UB, Mason JM, Muller KM, Arndt KM. Selectional and mutational scope of peptides sequestering the Jun-Fos coiled-coil domain. *J. Mol. Biol* 381 (2008) 73–88. [PubMed: 18586270]
36. Hess J, Angel P, Schorpp-Kistner M. AP-1 subunits: quarrel and harmony among siblings. *J. Cell Sci* 117 (2004) 5965–73. [PubMed: 15564374]

37. Fisher AC, Kim W, DeLisa MP. Genetic selection for protein solubility enabled by the folding quality control feature of the twin-arginine translocation pathway. *Protein Sci.* 15 (2006) 449–58. [PubMed: 16452624]
38. Speck J, Rauber C, Kukenshoner T, Niemoller C, Mueller KJ, Schleberger P, et al. TAT hitchhiker selection expanded to folding helpers, multimeric interactions and combinations with protein fragment complementation. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel* 26 (2013) 225–42. [PubMed: 23223941]
39. Subach OM, Cranfill PJ, Davidson MW, Verkhusha VV. An enhanced monomeric blue fluorescent protein with the high chemical stability of the chromophore. *PLoS One.* 6 (2011) e28674. [PubMed: 22174863]
40. Ahn S, Olive M, Aggarwal S, Krylov D, Ginty DD, Vinson C. A dominant-negative inhibitor of CREB reveals that it is a general mediator of stimulus-dependent transcription of c-fos. *Mol. Cell. Biol* 18 (1998) 967–77. [PubMed: 9447994]
41. Krylov D, Olive M, Vinson C. Extending dimerization interfaces: the bZIP basic region can form a coiled coil. *EMBO J.* 14 (1995) 5329–37. [PubMed: 7489722]
42. Ali AM, Reis JM, Xia Y, Rashid AJ, Mercaldo V, Walters BJ, et al. Optogenetic inhibitor of the transcription factor CREB. *Chem. Biol* 22 (2015) 1531–9. [PubMed: 26590638]
43. Mason JM, Arndt KM. Coiled coil domains: stability, specificity, and biological implications. *Chembiochem.* 5 (2004) 170–6. [PubMed: 14760737]
44. Moitra J, Szilak L, Krylov D, Vinson C. Leucine is the most stabilizing aliphatic amino acid in the d position of a dimeric leucine zipper coiled coil. *Biochemistry.* 36 (1997) 12567–73. [PubMed: 9376362]
45. Lindberg F, Lindquist S, Normark S. Inactivation of the ampD gene causes semiconstitutive overproduction of the inducible *Citrobacter freundii* beta-lactamase. *J. Bacteriol* 169 (1987) 1923–8. [PubMed: 3032901]
46. Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, 3rd, Smith HO. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat. Methods* 6 (2009) 343–5. [PubMed: 19363495]
47. Zhang Y, Werling U, Edelmann W. SLiCE: a novel bacterial cell extract-based DNA cloning method. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40 (2012) e55. [PubMed: 22241772]

Highlights:

- Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) occur with a range of affinities, but selection systems focus on recovering tight binders.
- A genetic circuit functioning as a bandpass selection system for PPIs was developed.
- PPIs with desired affinity can be recovered by changing antibiotic concentrations in the assay.
- The circuit could be used to select for PPIs with tuned or switchable affinity.

Figure 1.

(A) Selection of protein-protein interactions of desired affinities with a bandpass circuit. The hitchhiker plasmid (top) creates a circuit linking protein-protein interaction strength to cellular Amp resistance levels. When the two hitchhiker constructs interact (orange dotted and solid orange), a complex is formed that non-covalently links the Tat-targeting signal sequence (light green) to a β -lactamase devoid of its native signal sequence (dark green). This protein-protein interaction allows the β -lactamase to “hitchhike” to the periplasm via the Tat transport pathway where it hydrolyzes Amp (black oval). Co-expression of an inhibitor (orange stripes) targeting the hitchhiker pair impedes hitchhiker complex formation, lowering cellular Amp resistance levels. This component is optional (dashed box) and is discussed in the second half of the paper. The bandpass plasmid (bottom) produces a circuit that leads to negative selection pressure for β -lactamase activity in the presence of Tet. The plasmid encodes a gene for Tet resistance that is under the control of the *ampC* promoter, which is constitutively repressed by the AmpR transcriptional regulator. When bound by the cell wall breakdown product aM-Pp, AmpR becomes an activator for the *ampC* promoter [26] (B) The combined positive and negative selection pressure for β -lactamase activity limits cell growth to a specific range of Amp concentrations. High concentrations of Amp prevent growth because the β -lactamase activity is insufficient. Low concentrations of Amp prevent growth because the β -lactamase activity is too high; there is no cell wall damage and the Tet resistance gene is not expressed (i.e. cells are Tet sensitive). At intermediate concentrations of Amp, the β -lactamase activity balances the Amp concentration, such that cells survive the pressure, yet sustain non-lethal cell wall damage, leading to expression of the Tet resistance gene. (C) The Amp concentration permitting growth is dictated by the β -lactamase activity level, which is linked to PPI strength. Increasing the PPI strength therefore changes the concentration of Amp permitting growth.

Figure 2.

E. coli with the hitchhiker-bandpass circuit only grow in a specific range of Amp concentrations that depend on the interaction strength of the expressed hitchhiker pairs and can be tuned by external stimuli. (A) Cultures with an mCherry bandpass plasmid and a hitchhiker plasmid expressing (from top to bottom) a weakly-interacting hitchhiker pair (WinZip B1/B1), a medium-strength hitchhiker pair (WinZip A2/A2) or a strongly-interacting pair (WinZip A2/B1) grow only in specific concentration ranges of Amp. Data shows the mean OD₆₆₀ (n = 3) and error bars reflect the SD. (B) Increasing the concentration of IPTG used to induce a culture expressing WinZip A2/B1 (with an mCherry bandpass plasmid) resulted in a corresponding increase in Amp required for growth. IPTG concentrations were 25 (red), 50 (yellow), 100 (green), 250 (cyan), 500 (blue), and 1000 μM (purple). (C) Titration of the Tet concentration controls the position of the low-pass filter. The same strain described in part B was exposed to Tet concentrations of 0 (red), 10 (yellow), 20 (green), 30 (cyan), 40 (blue), and 50 μM (purple). (D, E) A mixed culture of *E. coli* was separated on an agar plate containing tetracycline with a radial diffusion gradient of Amp. The mixed culture contained a strain with the WinZip A2/B1 hitchhiker pair and the mCherry bandpass plasmid, and a second strain with the WinZip B1/B1 hitchhiker pair and the GFP bandpass plasmid. Plating the mixed culture over the entire surface of the plate resulted in growth in two distinct rings. Fluorescence imaging (E) showed the two strains formed two separate rings of growth. (F - H) Separation of a mixed culture of three hitchhiker-bandpass strains in 96-well plate format. (F) Pure cultures of cells expressing weakly (WinZip B1/B1, green), medium (WinZip A2/A2, red) or strongly (WinZip A2/B1, blue) interacting hitchhiker pairs, containing the GFP bandpass plasmid, each grew in distinct ranges of Amp concentrations. (G) An equal mixture of these three strains produced growth maxima in three distinct ranges of Amp concentrations. The graphs show optical density (solid lines) and GFP fluorescence intensity (dashed lines). Bacteria from selected wells (indicated by arrows) were genotyped. The results are shown on an agarose gel (H). Pure cultures of each WinZip B1/B1, WinZip A2/A2, and WinZip A2/B1 (lanes 1 – 3 respectively) were used as controls. Lanes 4 – 8 show cells isolated from the low Amp well,

lanes 9 – 13 show cells isolated from the intermediate Amp well, and lanes 14 – 18 show cells isolated from the high Amp well. M indicates the marker.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 3.

Components of the AP-1 transcription factor were tested to compare known protein-protein interaction strength with observed cellular Amp resistance levels. Cells contained a bandpass plasmid with an mCherry marker, and a hitchhiker plasmid with one of the following protein pairs: truncated cJun/cJun (blue), cJun(bZIP)/cJun(bZIP) (yellow), truncated cFos/cJun (green), cFos(bZIP)/cJun(bZIP) (red) or cFos(ZIP)/cJun(bZIP) (black). The graphs show the mean of the optical density measurements ($n = 3$), and error bars reflect the SD.

Fluorescence measurements from the mCherry bandpass plasmid mirrored the optical density measurements (Figure S7).

Figure 4.

Assessment of inhibitor strength using the hitchhiker-bandpass assay. (A) The cFos(ZIP)/cJun(bZIP) hitchhiker pair was co-expressed with a cFos-based inhibitor with an acidic extension of increasing length: BFP negative control (black), A0-Fos-BFP (gray), A2-Fos-BFP (blue) and A4-Fos-BFP (green). (B) Assessment of CREB inhibitor strength using the hitchhiker-bandpass assay. The CREB hitchhiker pair was co-expressed with a CREB-based inhibitor: BFP negative control (black), A4-CREB-BFP (gray), A4-CREB-BFP with two *f* positions mutated to Gly (blue) and A4-CREB-BFP with two *d* positions mutated to Gly (red). For each set, growth (OD₆₆₀) (left), expression of the fluorescent mCherry reporter (middle) and inhibitor expression levels (BFP fluorescence) (right) are shown. OD and mCherry fluorescence values show the mean (n = 3) and error bars reflect the SD. BFP fluorescence values are shown as the mean of the BFP fluorescence normalized by the OD, using data from wells that had growth (defined as an OD within 75% of the maximum OD of the culture).

Table 1.

WinZip series of hitchhiker constructs

Protein pair [*]	K _D	T _m °C	Source
WinZipB1/B1	(73 μM) ^{**}	(27.6) ^{**}	(Arndt et al. 2000)
WinZipA2 /A2	17 nM	54.2	(Arndt et al. 2000)
WinZipA2/ B1	4.5 nM	63.2	(Arndt et al. 2002)

^{*} The first protein in the pair is fused to ssTorA, and the second protein is fused to β-lactamase

^{**} Value is an estimate due to low stability of the complex (Arndt et al. 2000).

Table 2.

cJun and cFos series of hitchhiker constructs

Hitchhiker pair*	Notes	T _m (°C)	Source
cJun/cJun	Truncated leucine zippers	24	(Mason et al. 2006)
cJun(bZIP)/cJun(bZIP)	Full length bZIP sequences	30	(Olive et al. 1997)
cFos/cJun	Truncated leucine zippers	16	(Mason et al. 2006)
cFos(bZIP)/cJun(bZIP)	Full length bZIP sequences	50	(Olive et al. 1997)
cFos(ZIP)/cJun(bZIP)	Full length cJun bZIP sequence, cFos leucine zipper	53	(Olive et al. 1997)

* The first protein in the pair is fused to ssTorA, and the second protein is fused to β -lactamase

Table 3.

Fos-based inhibitor series

Inhibitor	Notes	T _m with cJun (bZIP) (°C)	Source
BFP	Negative control		
A0-Fos-BFP	Fos leucine zipper	53.4	(Olive et al. 1997)
A2-Fos-BFP	Two heptad repeats of the acidic extension	n.d.	
A4-Fos-BFP	Four heptad repeats of the acidic extension	72.1	(Olive et al. 1997)

Table 4.

CREB-based inhibitor series

Inhibitor	Notes	T _m with CREB(ZIP) (°C) *
BFP	negative control	
A4-CREB-(2x Gly <i>f</i>)-BFP	zipper with mutations in <i>f</i> positions (N318G, E325G)	31
A4-CREB-(2x Gly <i>d</i>)-BFP	zipper with mutations in <i>d</i> positions (L316G, L323G)	−2
A4-CREB-BFP	four heptad repeats of the acidic extension	34

* Prediction by bCIPA (Hagemann et al. 2008; Mason et al. 2006)