Published in final edited form as:

Int J Dev Biol. 2009 ; 53(1): 29–36. doi:10.1387/ijdb.072505hm.

Ocular forkhead transcription factors: seeing eye to eye

HOLLY E. MOOSE¹, LISA E. KELLY², SRIVAMSI NEKKALAPUDI², and HEITHEM M. EL-HODIRI^{*,1,2,3}

¹Integrated Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University

²Center for Molecular and Human Genetics, The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

³Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

Abstract

Forkhead transcription factors comprise a large family of proteins with diverse functions during development. Recently, there has been accumulating evidence that several members of this family of proteins play an important role in the development of the vertebrate retina. Here, we summarize the cumulative data which demonstrates the integral role that forkhead factors play in cell cycle control of retinal precursors, as well as in cell fate determination, during retinal development. The expression patterns for 14 retinal expressed forkhead transcription factors are presented with an emphasis on comparing the expression profiles across species. The functional data regarding forkhead gene products expressed within the retina are discussed. As presented, these data suggest that forkhead gene products contribute to the complex regulation of proliferation and differentiation of retinal precursors during vertebrate eye development.

Keywords

forkhead; retina; eye development; progenitor cell

Introduction

Forkhead factors are an evolutionarily conserved family of proteins whose functions are diverse in developmental processes. The ever-growing family of forkhead proteins are divided into subclasses A to S according to the conservation within their signature winged helix DNA binding domain (Kaestner *et al.*, 2000). They function as transcription factors, modulators of cell cycle machinery function, cell fate determinants, as well as cell survival factors. Recently it has become apparent that several subfamilies of forkhead transcription factors are expressed in developing eye tissue.

*Address correspondence to: Heithem El-Hodiri. Center for Molecular and Human Genetics, The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital, 700 Children's Drive, Columbus. OH 43205, USA. Fax: +1-614-722-2817. Heithem.El-Hodiri@NationwideChildrens.org.

Here, we have compiled the accumulating data regarding eye expression of forkhead gene products to iterate the importance of this family in control of retinal precursors during development. We highlight several forkhead proteins that regulate the activity of retinal progenitor cells within the vertebrate eye. We describe the expression patterns of known retinal forkhead genes across vertebrate species. We also discuss the available functional data regarding forkhead proteins in the retina as transcription factors and cell fate determinants.

In this review, we will use species-specific conventions for writing forkhead gene and gene product names: the forkhead box abbreviation (fox), followed by the family designation, followed by the intra-familial individual gene designation with species-specific capitalization. For example, the forkhead box gene A1 will be designated as FOXA1 (human), FoxA1 (frog), Foxa1 (mammals), or foxa1 (zebrafish). The forkhead proteins mentioned in this review, including alternate names and HomoloGene entries, are included in Table 1.

Overview of eye development

Multiple inductive events between a region of competent neural ectoderm and overlying surface ectoderm properly determine eye fields in vertebrates. At the end of neurulation, a region of the anterior neural plate evaginates from the ventro-lateral wall of the forebrain to form the optic vesicle. The evaginating vesicle causes the overlying ectoderm to thicken, forming the lens placode, which eventually separates from the surface ectoderm forming the lens vesicle. The cells of the lens vesicle differentiate into primary fiber cells or quiescent secondary fiber cells dependant upon their location in that ectodermal tissue. Simultaneous with the development of the lens vesicle, the optic vesicle invaginates to form a bi-layered structure, the optic cup. The outer layer of the optic cup will give rise to the retinal pigmented epithelium of the mature eye, while the inner layer of the optic cup consisting of retinal precursors will give rise to the neural retina. Additional anterior structures, including the cornea, iris, ciliary body and sclera, develop as the lens placode or portions of the optic cup induce morphological changes in surrounding tissues. The cornea is induced as the lens placode comes into contact with overlying surface ectoderm. Both the iris and ciliary body form at the apices of the optic cup, as a result of interactions between presumptive neural and pigmented retinal layers. The sclera develops from mesenchymal tissue that is in contact with the prospective pigmented layer surrounding the eye.

Forkhead transcription factors in anterior eye structures

Forkhead transcription factors are known to play critical roles in the development of the anterior eye. Due to the nature of reciprocal interaction of developing neural retina with developing lens and anterior tissue during eye development in vertebrates, these forkhead genes may also have a role in proper retinal development.

Forkhead genes from the C, E and P subclasses are expressed in anterior eye structures. FOXC1 mutations have been linked to Axenfeld-Reiger Anomaly (ARA) characterized by malformations in iris placement, and Type I Iridogoniodysgenesis, an autosomal dominant

form of iris hypoplasia. (OMIM:601090). Recently, Tamini *et al.*, demonstrated that *foxc1* also directs lens development during zebrafish development (Tamimi *et al.*, 2006). Mice heterozygous for either *Foxc1* or *Foxc2* exhibit anterior segment phenotypes (Smith *et al.*, 2000), and a linkage mapping study showed mutations in bovine *Foxc2* segregated with ocular dysgenesis phenotypes (Abbasi *et al.*, 2006). No human mutation in *FOXC2* has been linked to ARA despite screening of 32 patients with ARA (Smith *et al.*, 2000). However, mutations in the *FoxC2* locus results in distichiasis, a syndrome in which patients present with two rows of eyelashes (Brooks *et al.*, 2000, see OMIM:602402 for additional citations).

Allelic variants of human *FOXE3* have been linked to congenital eye malformation syndromes in humans (reviewed in Medina-Martinez *et al.*, 2005, Medina-Martinez and Jamrich, 2007). A homozygous null mutation causes congenital aphakia, defined as an absence of lens development (Valleix *et al.*, 2006). Also, a single nucleotide insertion resulting in a frameshift mutation in the single coding exon of *FOXE3* causes anterior segment mesenchymal dysgenesis, in which patients present with abnormalities in anterior eye structures including the lens, and have increased risk of glaucoma and corneal opacity (Semina *et al.*, 2001). The mouse dysgenetic lens (*Dyl*) phenotype was shown to be caused by two mutations in the DNA binding domain of *Foxe3*, thus confirming its role in proper lens development (Blixt *et al.*, 2000, Brownell *et al.*, 2000). The ability of *FoxE* family members to contribute to proper lens development is a highly conserved mechanism. Notably, overexpression of *xlFoxE3* in the presumptive lens results in a thickening of lens ectoderm (Kenyon *et al.*, 1999). Morpholino knockdown of the *Danio rerio foxe3* orthologue results in morphants with multilayered lens epithelial cells as well as a significant lens fiber cell dysmorphic phenotype (Shi *et al.*, 2006).

The final forkhead protein to exhibit expression in anterior structures is *FoxP1*. A recent report describes the expression of the *X. laevis* *FoxP* orthologues in developing lens tissue. *FoxP1* shows lens specific expression in addition to faint expression in the neural retina (see below) (Pohl *et al.*, 2005). To date, no ocular phenotype has been identified in association with mutations in genes of the *FoxP* subclass.

Forkhead transcription factors in the developing neural retina

A significant amount of literature describes forkhead proteins in the developing vertebrate retina. We discuss the accumulating expression data for retinal forkhead gene products with a focus on comparison of expression patterns across vertebrate species. This data is also summarized in Table 2.

Two forkhead genes expressed in the developing eye fields include *FoxD1* and *FoxG1*. In lower vertebrates such as *X. laevis*, expression of *FoxD1* in the eye fields begins during early tailbud stages and continues in the temporal region of the retina in subsequent stages (Mariani and Harland, 1998). The *foxd1* orthologue in zebrafish exhibits a comparable expression pattern (Odenthal and Nusslein-Volhard, 1998). In mammals, *Foxd1* is also expressed in the temporal region of the optic cup and retina (Hatini *et al.*, 1994).

Mammalian Foxd1 and Foxg1 are expressed in retinal precursor cells in a distinct, complementary pattern at the optic vesicle stage of development (Hatini *et al.*, 1994). Mammalian Foxg1 is expressed in the anterior neural plate and the nasal retina as development proceeds (Huh *et al.*, 1999). Expression is also evident in the lens and optic nerve (Pratt *et al.*, 2004). It has been suggested that the reciprocal nature of the Foxd1 and Foxg1 expression patterns is related to their function in determining retinal cell fate (see below). The expression of the FoxG1 homologue in *X. laevis* is observed in the telencephalic region of the developing forebrain (Bourguignon *et al.*, 1998, Dirksen and Jamrich, 1995), and is apparent in the ventral/nasal portion of the retina in *Xenopus* embryos in whole embryos (Bourguignon *et al.*, 1998). We demonstrate a specific pattern for FoxG1 in the retinae of *X. laevis* embryos by section *in situ* hybridization in Figure 1. It is expressed in the ganglion cell layer and ventral CMZ at stage 38 and 41, and is later restricted to the ventral portion of the CMZ.

Three members of the FoxP subclass in *Xenopus laevis* exhibit retinal expression. FoxP1, FoxP2 and FoxP4 are expressed in retinal precursor of *X. laevis* beginning at mid-gastrula stage. FoxP2 appears restricted to the dorsal-most cells within the retinal anlage at this stage; it persists in the eye throughout tailbud stages. Expression of FoxP2 appears highly specific to the ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) during maturation of the neural retina as demonstrated by sections of whole mounted *in situ* hybridization patterns (Schon *et al.*, 2006). Using *in situ* hybridization of sectioned material, FoxP2 expression is observed in the ganglion and inner plexiform layers of the central retina during retinal maturation (Figure 1). This discrepancy may be explained in the use of different techniques. *Danio rerio* foxp2 is expressed in the inner plexiform layer after differentiation of neural retinal subtypes (Bonkowsky and Chien, 2005), demonstrating that FoxP2 expression patterns are not conserved among vertebrate species. Expression of Foxp1 and Foxp2 proteins have been described in developing CNS structures in mice (Ferland *et al.*, 2003, Lu *et al.*, 2002, Shu *et al.*, 2001, Takahashi *et al.*, 2003, Tamura *et al.*, 2003), gut (Pohl *et al.*, 2005, Shu *et al.*, 2001), and lung tissues (Lu *et al.*, 2002, Shu *et al.*, 2001) but neither has been specifically investigated in retinal tissue of mammals. Noteworthy, however, is the high conservation of the FoxP family members across species (86% identical between mouse and human orthologues), and their common expression patterns in other reported tissues such as the cerebellum. A single report describes *Xenopus laevis* FoxP4 being expressed in the retinal anlage at neural tube stages and persists in the neural retina through tailbud and tadpole stages of (Schon *et al.*, 2006).

A single citation regarding *X. laevis* FoxK1 describes its expression in the eye primordia, where it is expressed in a dorsal to ventral gradient (Pohl and Knochel, 2004). Whether FoxK1 is maintained in the CMZ after differentiation was not reported. A search of FoxK1 ESTs does not reveal any derived from eye tissue in other species; this leaves open the question of whether FoxK1 in the developing eye tissue is a species-specific phenomenon.

In zebrafish, foxl1 is expressed in the neural retina (Nakada *et al.*, 2006) after neurulation (33hpf), albeit weakly. Expression patterns of the Foxl1 homologue in mammals does not reveal any similarities (Fukuda *et al.*, 2003, Kaestner *et al.*, 1997). In the case of FoxL proteins, there is little conservation beyond the forkhead domain, requiring more

investigation into whether *Danio rerio* foxl1 and mouse Foxl1 are functionally equivalent (Nakada *et al.*, 2006). Even so, the knockdown phenotype (discussed below) reveals a certain involvement for foxl1 in zebrafish eye development.

A recent paper by Pohl *et al.* describes the initiation of FoxO3 expression in the *Xenopus* eye at stage 26, a time in development before retinogenesis occurs (Pohl *et al.*, 2004). FoxO3 expression is specific to the neural retina in *X. laevis*, as the lens is devoid of FoxO3 expression (Pohl *et al.*, 2004). Expression of the mouse homologue of Foxo3 has not been demonstrated in retinal tissue. However, four FoxO family members exist in mammals (Foxo1,3,4 and 6), and Foxo1 is expressed in the photoreceptor layer of P0 mice (Gray *et al.*, 2004). In addition, EST analysis reveals two FoxO3 ESTs derived from mouse eye tissue (AK143198, EL608549). In the future, it will be interesting to compare the expression patterns of these ESTs with the established pattern in *X. laevis*.

Forkhead transcription factors in differentiated retinal cell types

Neural retinal precursors of the optic cup differentiate to produce seven neural retinal cell types (6 neuronal and one glial). The differentiation of the neural retinal precursors occurs in a conserved stereotypical manner to produce proper retinal layering. The differentiation of these cell types is dependant upon proper expression of transcription factors to determine proper cycling of the cells as well as cell fate.

Only two forkhead gene products are known to be expressed exclusively in the fully mature neural retina. A single citation for Foxs1 describes its expression in the outer nuclear layer of the adult mammalian retina, as well as in a subset of ganglion cells at P14 by analysis of a β -galactosidase reporter gene knock-in mouse at the Foxs1 locus (Heglin *et al.*, 2005). However, eye expression has not been described for either endogenous mouse Foxs1 (Kaestner *et al.*, 1993) or the *X. laevis* orthologue, FoxD2 (Pohl and Knochel, 2002).

The Foxf1 β -galactosidase reporter mouse also demonstrates staining in a subset of the cells within the outer nuclear layer of the retina (Kalinichenko *et al.*, 2003). The *X. laevis* homologue of the predominantly mesodermally expressed Foxf1 has not been detected in the eye, although two reports describe complete expression patterns (Koster *et al.*, 1999, Tseng *et al.*, 2004). A single EST described as moderately similar to Foxf1 was isolated from a *Danio rerio* retinal library (Dr.91954), although the expression pattern has not been reported. Species-specific differences may account for the discrepancies between these reports.

Forkhead transcription factors in retinal progenitor cells

Several vertebrate species have a pool of retinal progenitor cells that remain active as slowly dividing precursor cells for all retinal cell types, even after complete differentiation of the retinal cell layers. In zebrafish and *X. laevis*, these cells reside in a compartment that is termed the ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) (Johns, 1977, Straznicki and Gaze, 1971). A spatial gradient along the peripheral to central axis of the CMZ further defines the level of stem cell potential: peripheral cells remain undifferentiated and are slowly dividing (Zone 1), followed by a zone of proliferating neuroblast cells (Zone 2), a region of actively differentiating precursors (Zone 3), and, finally, post-mitotic neurons (Zone 4). In addition, cells residing in

the inner nuclear layer of fish retina normally contribute to the rod photoreceptor lineage (Johns, 1982, Julian *et al.*, 1998). In retinal injury models, these cells have clearly been shown to exhibit multipotency, defining them as a second population of stem cells within the teleost retina (Del Rio-Tsonis and Tsonis, 2003, Hitchcock and Raymond, 1992, Otteson *et al.*, 2001, Otteson and Hitchcock, 2003). In rodents, potential retinal stem cells reside within the pigmented ciliary epithelium (Ahmad *et al.*, 2000, Perron and Harris, 2000, Reh and Fischer, 2001, Tribioli *et al.*, 2002, Tropepe *et al.*, 2000). The expression of a given factor in the retinal stem cell population suggests a potential involvement in the developmental processes of cell-cycle control and differentiation. The gene expression profiles of the subdivisions of the CMZ in *Xenopus* correspond to sequential expression of transcription factors during retinoblast development during embryogenesis (Dorsky *et al.*, 1995, Perron *et al.*, 1998). Thus, knowledge of the spatial expression patterns in lower vertebrates contributes to the understanding of embryonic retinoblast differentiation in mammals. For this reason, we highlight forkhead transcription factors that are expressed in the retinal progenitor population.

The FoxN subclass demonstrates distinct patterns of eye specificity. Mouse Foxn2 is first detected at E10.5 in the optic cup (Tribioli *et al.*, 2002). Expression is less robust in the eye field at 11.5, and is restricted to the nasal ventral region within the eye. FoxN2 is first detected in the early eye field of *X. laevis* embryos and persists through tailbud stages in the differentiating retina (Schuff *et al.*, 2006). In the mature retina, FoxN2 is expressed throughout the CMZ, and in a subset of cells in the ganglion, inner plexiform, and outer plexiform layers at the periphery of the retina (Figure 1).

FoxN3 is robustly expressed in the prospective eye fields at the time of neurulation in *X. laevis* embryos. Expression becomes limited to cells of the inner nuclear layer of the central retina at stage 38. Later, minimal expression can be seen in a subset of cells of both the ganglion cell layer and the inner nuclear layer (Figure 1). Expression is also visible in the lens at stages 38 and 41, appearing in the region of proliferating lens cells. A mouse orthologue of Foxn3 has been identified (Schorpp *et al.*, 1997), although its expression pattern has yet to be published.

Foxn4 expression is predominantly eye-specific in mammals (Gouge *et al.*, 2001). Homologues in both *X. laevis* and *Danio rerio* also exhibit this highly specific pattern of expression (Danilova *et al.*, 2004, Kelly *et al.*, 2007, Schuff *et al.*, 2006). In each species, the expression of FoxN4 begins in the eye field before the time an eye is morphologically evident. Expression is maintained in the neural retina during development, but is downregulated as differentiation occurs. In the mouse, this is evident as Foxn4 is expressed in cells of the ventricular zone, encompassing the entire central retina at E12.5. By P2, Foxn4 is downregulated in both differentiated ganglion and photoreceptor cells layers, but maintained in the ventricular zone. The expression of FoxN4 is similar in zebrafish and *X. laevis*: high expression in the primordial eye fields and downregulated in differentiated retina, although maintained in the CMZ of the respective species (Danilova *et al.*, 2004, Pohl *et al.*, 2005, Schuff *et al.*, 2006). We have determined that FoxN4 is expressed in all but the most peripheral of the CMZ compartments in the maturing retina, and in CMZ zone 2 of the fully differentiated retina (Figure 1).

FoxM1 is expressed in eye fields of *X. laevis* embryos from neurulation through tailbud stage, and also evident in CMZ (Pohl *et al.*, 2005). Additionally, FoxM1 is not expressed in the most peripheral region of the CMZ adjacent to the lens (Figure 1), suggesting it may not be critical to maintain these cells in a true stem cell state. This is consistent with reports regarding Foxm1 function in mammals; Foxm1 was initially published as a factor present in all proliferating mammalian cells, although downregulated in terminally differentiated cells (Korver *et al.*, 1997a, Korver *et al.*, 1997b, Yao *et al.*, 1997, Ye *et al.*, 1997). There has been no report to date of the Foxm1 expression specifically within the developing retina within mammals. A zebrafish entry for foxm1-like clone exists (BC054560), however no expression pattern or functional data has been published. We report for the first time that FoxM1 is expressed in entire CMZ of the developing *Xenopus* retina; expression is maintained in zone 2,3 and 4 of the fully mature retina (Figure 1).

Retinal forkhead function in eye development

The forkhead family of proteins is defined by the conserved forkhead DNA binding domain. These factors function to regulate expression of target genes through their ability to activate or repress transcription in a sequence-specific manner. The following section summarizes the published data regarding transcriptional regulation by forkhead proteins that are expressed in the retina. Discussion of targets is limited to those most pertinent to eye development, and does not represent a comprehensive view of known targets of forkhead proteins.

FoxD1 was shown to be a transcriptional repressor during neurulation events (Mariani and Harland, 1998). Fusion proteins comprising FoxD1 and the engrailed transcriptional repression domain exhibit the same biological activity as the wild type protein, suggesting that FoxD1 normally functions as a transcriptional repressor. Foxd1 activity is not required for the proper specification of neural retinal precursors, since Foxd1 deficient mice develop grossly normal eyes (Hatini *et al.*, 1994; Herrera *et al.*, 2004). However, inactivation of the Foxd1 gene results in an abnormality in optic chiasm formation, as well as anomalies in kidney, forebrain and adrenal gland development (Hatini *et al.*, 1994, Herrera *et al.*, 2004). Mouse Foxd1 is expressed in retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) of the temporal retina, and this expression is required for maintaining the proper number of RGCs as well as for normal RGC axon projections into the optic tract. Although this phenotype allows the retinae of Foxd1 deficient embryos to appear grossly normal, the Foxd1 phenotype has a profound impact on the development of binocular vision by affecting the development of the optic chiasm. In these animals, the Foxg1 expression domain is expanded ventral-temporally. Foxg1 and Foxd1 have been proposed to regulate downstream targets within the optic cup to determine regional specificity of axon projections (Yuasa *et al.*, 1996).

FoxG1 had been hypothesized to have both activating and repressive functions (Ahlgren *et al.*, 2003, Bourguignon *et al.*, 1998, Li *et al.*, 1996, Yao *et al.*, 2001). Overexpression of fusion constructs of FoxG1 containing a strong activation domain or a strong repressor domains fail to recapitulate the full phenotype of wild type FoxG1 (Bourguignon *et al.*, 1998). The dual role in transcriptional activity is supported by sequence data. A conserved portion of the N-terminus of FoxG1 is highly similar to the transactivation domain of FoxA2

(Pani *et al.*, 1992) and the C-terminal region was specifically shown to have repressive function in the chick homologue, *qin* (Li *et al.*, 1995). It remains unclear what allows FoxG1 to change between the activating and repressing function.

Several data suggest retinal expressed targets of FoxG1. FoxG1 induces the expression of Ephrin A family members (Takahashi *et al.*, 2003). When FoxG1 is misexpressed in the temporal retina, it represses EphA3, a tyrosine kinase receptor expressed in the retina, as well as FoxD1. In *X. laevis*, p27XIC1, the homologue of the cdk inhibitor p27Kip1, has been shown to be a direct downstream target of FoxG1 (Ahlgren *et al.*, 2003, Hardcastle and Papalopulu, 2000). The activation of Ephrin family members may indirectly result from regulation of p27XIC1 by FoxG1. The repression of p27Kip1 removes a growth-inhibitory signal, allowing activation of Ephrin A family members (Ahlgren *et al.*, 2003, Pohl and Knochel, 2005). The repressive function of FoxG1 is mediated by interaction with groucho and Hes transcriptional corepressors in telencephalic progenitors (Marcal *et al.*, 2005, Yao *et al.*, 2001). It will be interesting to test the possibility that this mechanism is conserved in retinal progenitors with retinal expressed groucho family members, such as Grg4 or Grg5 (Zhu *et al.*, 2002). The previous data demonstrate that FoxG1 contributes to the control of neuroectoderm proliferation in the developing retina.

FoxG1 loss of function studies demonstrate the importance of FoxG1 in proper eye development. Foxg1 mutant mice never develop an optic stalk, the most ventral of structures to be derived from the optic vesicle during eye development (Huh *et al.*, 1999, Xuan *et al.*, 1995). Instead, optic stalk tissue is replaced by neural retina. In these mutants, Pax6 and Pax2 expression, normally distributed along a dorsal-ventral gradient, is perturbed, suggesting that Foxg1 acts to control a dorsal-ventral gene expression program within the neuroepithelium during eye development. A specific loss of sonic hedgehog (shh) expression in the ventral telencephalic region of neuroepithelium precedes the phenotypic changes in the eye in the Foxg1^{-/-} mice. This raises the possibility that Foxg1 acts upstream of shh and that the Foxg1 phenotype is caused by this local loss of shh signaling (Huh *et al.*, 1999, Xuan *et al.*, 1995). In addition to the morphological phenotypes seen in Foxg1^{-/-} eyes, RGC axon navigation is perturbed. RGC axons extend along the optic nerve to the ventral surface of the hypothalamus. Most RGCs subsequently cross the midline at the optic chiasm and join the contralateral optic tract, while those that do not reside in the ipsilateral optic tract. In Foxg1^{-/-} eyes, the proportion of RGCs that contribute to the ipsilateral optic tract is significantly increased compared to wild type RGCs (Pratt *et al.*, 2004). The authors contend that loss of Foxg1 affects the ability of RGCs to respond to attractive or repulsive cues at the optic chiasm to correctly navigate along optic tracts. These data suggest a dual role for Foxg1 in eye development: initially, in the control of eye morphogenesis by control of gene expression in the retinal epithelium and subsequently in axon guidance of RGCs.

Recently, *Xenopus* knockdown has demonstrated a critical role for FoxN3 in proper eye formation (Schuff *et al.*, 2007). Embryos injected with antisense morpholino oligonucleotides exhibited small eyes with normally laminated retinae. The mechanism of FoxN3 action during eye development appears to be linked to apoptosis, and not cell cycle progression, since injected embryos have higher rates of apoptosis while cell proliferation rates are not affected.

FoxN3 interacts with Sin3 and RPD3, components of the histone deacetylase complex in *Xenopus* (Schuff *et al.*, 2007). Sin3 is thought to act as a co-repressor of histone deacetylases (HDACs) (Laduron *et al.*, 2004). Thus, FoxN3 may act as a transcriptional repressor by recruiting HDACs to target DNA during eye development. Inhibition of HDACs has been linked to an increase in apoptosis (Peart *et al.*, 2003, Sonnemann *et al.*, 2006), revealing why knockdown of FoxN3 increases apoptosis. The interactions of FoxN3 homologues with components of the HDAC complex are conserved across species; it will be interesting to investigate whether the role of FoxN3 in eye development is also conserved.

Another FoxN family member, Foxn4, is involved in retinal cell fate specification. Knocking out the Foxn4 gene in mice results in animals with a reduced number of amacrine and horizontal cells in the differentiated retina (Li *et al.*, 2004). This data suggests that Foxn4 is necessary to enable RPCs to produce amacrine and horizontal cells during retinogenesis, but is not critical for the production/specification of progenitor cells. In this same report, Li *et al.*, show that overexpression of Foxn4 results in an abundance of amacrine cells with no alteration in the horizontal cell subtype. These data indicate that Foxn4 is sufficient for the commitment to the amacrine cell fate, but not for the production of horizontal cells.

Ocular retardation (or) mutant mice give another clue to the complete function of Foxn4. *Or* mice have retinal progenitors that divide at a slower rate than that of wt mice. In *or* mice, Foxn4 expression is limited to a few cells of the central retina at a time point when Foxn4 is normally expressed throughout the proliferating ventricular zone (Gouge *et al.*, 2001). This is intriguing data that suggests that Chx10, the gene mutated in *or* mice, is upstream of Foxn4, and may suggest that Foxn4 is involved in the early proliferation of RPCs.

Downstream targets of Foxn4 include Math3, NeuroD, and Prox1 (Li *et al.*, 2004), although these have not been demonstrated to be direct targets. Collectively, the data suggest that Foxn4 is expressed in proliferating progenitor cells, and plays a role in the commitment to amacrine and horizontal cell fates.

Foxl1 involvement in eye development is demonstrated by the phenotype of foxl1 morphants, which have small eyes as well as degenerated brains (Nakada *et al.*, 2006). In addition to aberrations in eye size, morpholino-injected eyes do not display proper retinal layer formation. An increase in the number of apoptotic cells was observed in the morpholino-injected embryos, contributing to the microphthalmic phenotype. The layering defect suggests that foxl1 may play a role in proper migration of differentiating retinal progenitor cells. Interestingly, overexpression of the same gene results in a similar, yet more severe, phenotype; very small or no eyes are observed in injected embryos (Nakada *et al.*, 2006). Additionally expression of pax6a is absent in tissue where foxl1 is overexpressed, placing foxl1 upstream of the zebrafish pax6 gene. The zebrafish pax6a gene is a downstream effector *shh* signaling. Using both *in vivo* and *in vitro* techniques, the authors showed that foxl1 was able to repress transcription through the *shh* promoter. This implicates foxl1 as a negative regulator of the *shh* pathway in zebrafish. Also, expression of a zebrafish foxl1 protein fusion with the engrailed repression domain gives a similar phenotype to that induced by overexpression of foxl1 (Nakada *et al.*, 2006). Together, these

data suggests that foxl1 is a transcriptional repressor involved in retinal development by negatively regulating the shh pathway.

In summary, accumulating data demonstrates that a number of forkhead transcription factors are present in developing retinal tissue in vertebrates. The expression pattern data reveal forkhead transcription factors from multiple subfamilies are present in different subtypes of retinal cells. In addition, the animal model phenotypes exhibit various roles for forkhead proteins during eye development. They collectively demonstrate that several forkheads are important determinants of retinal cell fate. The data and results presented here underscore the enormous potential forkhead transcription factors holds for our understanding of the development and biology of retinal progenitor cells, certainly only the tip of the forkhead iceberg.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Milan Jamrich and Walter Knochel for providing FoxP2 plasmids. We also thank Andy Fischer for critical reading of this manuscript. This work was supported by NIH grant EY015480 to HME.

Abbreviations used in this paper:

CMZ	ciliary marginal zone
RGC	retinal ganglion cells
RPC	retinal progenitor cells

References

- ABBASI AR, GERILETOYA, IHARA N, KHALAJ M, SUGIMOTO Y and KUNIEDA T (2006). An integrated radiation hybrid map of bovine chromosome 18 that refines a critical region associated with multiple ocular defects in cattle. *Anim Genet* 37: 58–61. [PubMed: 16441298]
- AHLGREN S, VOGT P and BRONNER-FRASER M (2003). Excess FoxG1 causes overgrowth of the neural tube. *J Neurobiol* 57: 337–349. [PubMed: 14608667]
- AHMAD I, TANG L and PHAM H (2000). Identification of neural progenitors in the adult mammalian eye. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 270: 517–521. [PubMed: 10753656]
- BLIXT A, MAHLAPUU M, AITOLA M, PELTO-HUIKKO M, ENERBACK S and CARLSSON P (2000). A forkhead gene, FoxE3, is essential for lens epithelial proliferation and closure of the lens vesicle. *Genes Dev* 14: 245–254. [PubMed: 10652278]
- BONKOWSKY JL and CHIEN CB (2005). Molecular cloning and developmental expression of foxP2 in zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* 234: 740–746. [PubMed: 16028276]
- BOURGUIGNON C, LI J and PAPALOPULU N (1998). XBF-1, a winged helix transcription factor with dual activity, has a role in positioning neurogenesis in *Xenopus* competent ectoderm. *Development* 125: 4889–4900. [PubMed: 9811573]
- BROWNELL I, DIRKSEN M and JAMRICH M (2000). forkhead Foxe3 maps to the dysgenetic lens locus and is critical in lens development and differentiation. *Genesis* 27: 81–93. [PubMed: 10890982]
- DANILOVA N, VISEL A, WILLETT CE and STEINER LA (2004). Expression of the winged helix/forkhead gene, foxn4, during zebrafish development. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* 153: 115–119. [PubMed: 15464224]
- DEL RIO-TSONIS K and TSONIS PA (2003). Eye regeneration at the molecular age. *Dev Dyn* 226: 211–224. [PubMed: 12557200]

- DIRKSEN ML and JAMRICH M (1995). Differential expression of fork head genes during early *Xenopus* and zebrafish development. *Dev Genet* 17: 107–116. [PubMed: 7586752]
- DORSKY RI, RAPAPORT DH and HARRIS WA (1995). Xotch inhibits cell differentiation in the *Xenopus* retina. *Neuron* 14: 487–496. [PubMed: 7695895]
- FERLAND RJ, CHERRY TJ, PREWARE PO, MORRISEY EE and WALSH CA (2003). Characterization of Foxp2 and Foxp1 mRNA and protein in the developing and mature brain. *J Comp Neurol* 460: 266–279. [PubMed: 12687690]
- FUKUDA K, YOSHIDA H, SATO T, FURUMOTO TA, MIZUTANI-KOSEKI Y, SUZUKI Y, SAITO Y, TAKEMORI T, KIMURA M, SATO H et al. (2003). Mesenchymal expression of Foxl1, a winged helix transcriptional factor, regulates generation and maintenance of gut-associated lymphoid organs. *Dev Biol* 255: 278–289. [PubMed: 12648490]
- GOUGE A, HOLT J, HARDY AP, SOWDEN JC and SMITH HK (2001). Foxn4 - a new member of the forkhead gene family is expressed in the retina. *Mech Dev* 107: 203–206. [PubMed: 11520680]
- GRAY PA, FU H, LUO P, ZHAO Q, YU J, FERRARI A, TENZEN T, YUK DI, TSUNG EF, CAI Z et al. (2004). Mouse brain organization revealed through direct genome-scale TF expression analysis. *Science* 306: 2255–2257. [PubMed: 15618518]
- HARDCASTLE Z and PAPALOPULU N (2000). Distinct effects of XBF-1 in regulating the cell cycle inhibitor p27(XIC1) and imparting a neural fate. *Development* 127: 1303–1314. [PubMed: 10683182]
- HATINI V, TAO W and LAI E (1994). Expression of winged helix genes, BF-1 and BF-2, define adjacent domains within the developing forebrain and retina. *J Neurobiol* 25: 1293–1309. [PubMed: 7815060]
- HEGLIND M, CEDERBERG A, AQUINO J, LUCAS G, ERNFORS P and ENERBACK S (2005). Lack of the central nervous system- and neural crest-expressed forkhead gene Foxs1 affects motor function and body weight. *Mol Cell Biol* 25: 5616–5625. [PubMed: 15964817]
- HERRERA E, MARCUS R, LI S, WILLIAMS SE, ERSKINE L, LAI E and MASON C (2004). Foxd1 is required for proper formation of the optic chiasm. *Development* 131: 5727–5739. [PubMed: 15509772]
- HITCHCOCK PF and RAYMOND PA (1992). Retinal regeneration. *Trends Neurosci* 15: 103–108. [PubMed: 1373917]
- HUH S, HATINI V, MARCUS RC, LI SC and LAI E (1999). Dorsal-ventral patterning defects in the eye of BF-1-deficient mice associated with a restricted loss of shh expression. *Dev Biol* 211: 53–63. [PubMed: 10373304]
- JOHNS PR (1977). Growth of the adult goldfish eye. III. Source of the new retinal cells. *J Comp Neurol* 176: 343–357. [PubMed: 915042]
- JOHNS PR (1982). Formation of photoreceptors in larval and adult goldfish. *J Neurosci* 2: 178–98. [PubMed: 7062105]
- JULIAN D, ENNIS K and KORENBROT JI (1998). Birth and fate of proliferative cells in the inner nuclear layer of the mature fish retina. *J Comp Neurol* 394: 271–282. [PubMed: 9579393]
- KAESTNER KH, KNOCHEL W and MARTINEZ DE (2000). Unified nomenclature for the winged helix/forkhead transcription factors. *Genes Dev* 14: 142–146.
- KAESTNER KH, LEE KH, SCHLONDORFF J, HIEMISCH H, MONAGHAN AP and SCHUTZ G (1993). Six members of the mouse forkhead gene family are developmentally regulated. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 90: 7628–7631. [PubMed: 7689224]
- KAESTNER KH, SILBERG DG, TRABER PG and SCHUTZ G (1997). The mesenchymal winged helix transcription factor Fkh6 is required for the control of gastrointestinal proliferation and differentiation. *Genes Dev* 11: 1583–1595. [PubMed: 9203584]
- KALINICHENKO VV, GUSAROVA GA, SHIN B and COSTA RH (2003). The forkhead box F1 transcription factor is expressed in brain and head mesenchyme during mouse embryonic development. *Gene Expr Patterns* 3: 153–158. [PubMed: 12711542]
- KELLY LE, NEKKALAPUDI S and EL-HODIRI HM (2007). Expression of the forkhead transcription factor FoxN4 in progenitor cells in the developing *Xenopus laevis* retina and brain. *Gene Expr Patterns* 7: 233–238. [PubMed: 17110173]

- KORVER W, ROOSE J and CLEVERS H (1997a). The winged-helix transcription factor Trident is expressed in cycling cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25: 1715–1719. [PubMed: 9108152]
- KORVER W, ROOSE J, HEINEN K, WEGHUIS DO, DE BRUIJN D, VAN KESSEL AG and CLEVERS H (1997b). The human TRIDENT/HFH-11/ FKHL16 gene: structure, localization, and promoter characterization. *Genomics* 46: 435–442. [PubMed: 9441747]
- KOSTER M, DILLINGER K and KNOCHEL W (1999). Genomic structure and embryonic expression of the *Xenopus* winged helix factors XFD-13/13'. *Mech Dev* 88: 89–93. [PubMed: 10525191]
- LADURON S, DEPLUS R, ZHOU S, KHOLMANSKIKH O, GODELAINE D, DE SMET C, HAYWARD SD, FUKS F, BOON T and DE PLAEN E (2004). MAGE-A1 interacts with adaptor SKIP and the deacetylase HDAC1 to repress transcription. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32: 4340–4350. [PubMed: 15316101]
- LI H, TAO W and LAI E (1996). Characterization of the structure and function of the gene for transcription factor BF-1, an essential regulator of forebrain development. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 37: 96–104. [PubMed: 8738140]
- LI J, CHANG HW, LAI E, PARKER EJ and VOGT PK (1995). The oncogene qin codes for a transcriptional repressor. *Cancer Res* 55: 5540–5544. [PubMed: 7585630]
- LI S, MO Z, YANG X, PRICE SM, SHEN MM and XIANG M (2004). Foxn4 controls the genesis of amacrine and horizontal cells by retinal progenitors. *Neuron* 43: 795–807. [PubMed: 15363391]
- LU MM, LI S, YANG H and MORRISEY EE (2002). Foxp4: a novel member of the Foxp subfamily of winged-helix genes co-expressed with Foxp1 and Foxp2 in pulmonary and gut tissues. *Gene Expr Patterns* 2: 223–228. [PubMed: 12617805]
- MARCAL N, PATEL H, DONG Z, BELANGER-JASMIN S, HOFFMAN B, HELGASON CD, DANG J and STIFANI S (2005). Antagonistic effects of Grg6 and Groucho/TLE on the transcription repression activity of brain factor 1/FoxG1 and cortical neuron differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol* 25: 10916–10929. [PubMed: 16314515]
- MARIANI FV and HARLAND RM (1998). XBF-2 is a transcriptional repressor that converts ectoderm into neural tissue. *Development* 125: 5019–5031. [PubMed: 9811586]
- MEDINA-MARTINEZ O, BROWNELL I, AMAYA-MANZANARES F, HU Q, BEHRINGER RR and JAMRICH M (2005). Severe defects in proliferation and differentiation of lens cells in Foxe3 null mice. *Mol Cell Biol* 25: 8854–8863. [PubMed: 16199865]
- MEDINA-MARTINEZ O and JAMRICH M (2007). Foxe view of lens development and disease. *Development* 134: 1455–1463. [PubMed: 17344231]
- NAKADA C, SATOH S, TABATA Y, ARAI K and WATANABE S (2006). Transcriptional repressor foxl1 regulates central nervous system development by suppressing shh expression in zebra fish. *Mol Cell Biol* 26: 7246–7257. [PubMed: 16980626]
- ODENTHAL J and NUSSLEIN-VOLHARD C (1998). fork head domain genes in zebrafish. *Dev Genes Evol* 208: 245–258. [PubMed: 9683740]
- OTTESON DC, D'COSTA AR and HITCHCOCK PF (2001). Putative stem cells and the lineage of rod photoreceptors in the mature retina of the goldfish. *Dev Biol* 232: 62–76. [PubMed: 11254348]
- OTTESON DC and HITCHCOCK PF (2003). Stem cells in the teleost retina: persistent neurogenesis and injury-induced regeneration. *Vision Res* 43: 927–936. [PubMed: 12668062]
- PANI L, OVERDIER DG, PORCELLA A, QIAN X, LAI E and COSTA RH (1992). Hepatocyte nuclear factor 3 beta contains two transcriptional activation domains, one of which is novel and conserved with the Drosophila fork head protein. *Mol Cell Biol* 12: 3723–3732. [PubMed: 1324404]
- PEART MJ, TANTON KM, RUEFLI AA, DEAR AE, SEDELIES KA, O'REILLY LA, WATERHOUSE NJ, TRAPANI JA and JOHNSTONE RW (2003). Novel mechanisms of apoptosis induced by histone deacetylase inhibitors. *Cancer Res* 63: 4460–4471. [PubMed: 12907619]
- PERRON M and HARRIS WA (2000). Retinal stem cells in vertebrates. *Bioessays* 22: 685–8. [PubMed: 10918298]
- PERRON M, KANEKAR S, VETTER ML and HARRIS WA (1998). The genetic sequence of retinal development in the ciliary margin of the *Xenopus* eye. *Dev Biol* 199: 185–200. [PubMed: 9698439]

- POHL BS and KNOCHER W (2002). Temporal and spatial expression patterns of FoxD2 during the early development of *Xenopus laevis*. *Mech Dev* 111: 181–184. [PubMed: 11804794]
- POHL BS and KNOCHER W (2004). Isolation and developmental expression of *Xenopus* FoxJ1 and FoxK1. *Dev Genes Evol* 214: 200–205.
- POHL BS and KNOCHER W (2005). Of Fox and Frogs: Fox (fork head/winged helix) transcription factors in *Xenopus* development. *Gene* 344: 21–32. [PubMed: 15656969]
- POHL BS, ROSSNER A and KNOCHER W (2005). The Fox gene family in *Xenopus laevis*: FoxI2,, FoxM1 and FoxP1 in early development. *Int J Dev Biol* 49: 53–58. [PubMed: 15744668]
- POHL BS, SCHON C, ROSSNER A and KNOCHER W (2004). The FoxO-subclass in *Xenopus laevis* development. *Gene Expr Patterns* 5: 187–192. [PubMed: 15567714]
- PRATT T, TIAN NM, SIMPSON TI, MASON JO and PRICE DJ (2004). The winged helix transcription factor Foxg1 facilitates retinal ganglion cell axon crossing of the ventral midline in the mouse. *Development* 131: 3773–3784. [PubMed: 15240555]
- REH TA and FISCHER AJ (2001). Stem cells in the vertebrate retina. *Brain Behav Evol* 58: 296–305. [PubMed: 11978947]
- SCHON C, WOCHNIK A, ROSSNER A, DONOW C and KNOCHER W (2006). The FoxP subclass in *Xenopus laevis* development. *Dev Genes Evol* 216: 641–646. [PubMed: 16609867]
- SCHORPP M, HOFMANN M, DEAR TN and BOEHM T (1997). Characterization of mouse and human nude genes. *Immunogenetics* 46: 509–515. [PubMed: 9321431]
- SCHUFF M, ROSSNER A, DONOW C and KNOCHER W (2006). Temporal and spatial expression patterns of FoxN genes in *Xenopus laevis* embryos. *Int J Dev Biol* 50: 429–434. [PubMed: 16525939]
- SCHUFF M, ROSSNER A, WACKER SA, DONOW C, GESSERT S and KNOCHER W (2007). FoxN3 is required for craniofacial and eye development of *Xenopus laevis*. *Dev Dyn* 236: 226–239. [PubMed: 17089409]
- SEMINA EV, BROWNELL I, MINTZ-HITTNER HA, MURRAY JC and JAMRICH M (2001). Mutations in the human forkhead transcription factor FOXE3 associated with anterior segment ocular dysgenesis and cataracts. *Hum Mol Genet* 10: 231–236. [PubMed: 11159941]
- SHI X, LUO Y, HOWLEY S, DZIALO A, FOLEY S, HYDE DR and VIHTELIC TS (2006). Zebrafish foxe3: roles in ocular lens morphogenesis through interaction with pitx3. *Mech Dev* 123: 761–782. [PubMed: 16963235]
- SHU W, YANG H, ZHANG L, LU MM and MORRISEY EE (2001). Characterization of a new subfamily of winged-helix/forkhead (Fox) genes that are expressed in the lung and act as transcriptional repressors. *J Biol Chem* 276: 27488–27497. [PubMed: 11358962]
- SMITH RS, ZABALETA A, KUME T, SAVINOVA OV, KIDSON SH, MARTIN JE, NISHIMURA DY, ALWARD WL, HOGAN BL and JOHN SW (2000). Haploinsufficiency of the transcription factors FOXC1 and FOXC2 results in aberrant ocular development. *Hum Mol Genet* 9: 1021–1032. [PubMed: 10767326]
- SONNEMANN J, KUMAR KS, HEESCH S, MULLER C, HARTWIG C, MAASS M, BADER P and BECK JF (2006). Histone deacetylase inhibitors induce cell death and enhance the susceptibility to ionizing radiation, etoposide, and TRAIL in medulloblastoma cells. *Int J Oncol* 28: 755–766. [PubMed: 16465382]
- STRAZNICKY K and GAZE RM (1971). The growth of the retina in *Xenopus laevis*: an autoradiographic study. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 26: 67–79. [PubMed: 5565078]
- TAKAHASHI K, LIU FC, HIROKAWA K and TAKAHASHI H (2003). Expression of Foxp2, a gene involved in speech and language, in the developing and adult striatum. *J Neurosci Res* 73: 61–72. [PubMed: 12815709]
- TAMIMI Y, SKARIE JM, FOOTZ T, BERRY FB, LINK BA and WALTER MA (2006). FGF19 is a target for FOXC1 regulation in ciliary body-derived cells. *Hum Mol Genet* 15: 3229–3240. [PubMed: 17000708]
- TAMURA S, MORIKAWA Y, IWANISHI H, HISAOKA T and SENBA E (2003). Expression pattern of the winged-helix/forkhead transcription factor Foxp1 in the developing central nervous system. *Gene Expr Patterns* 3: 193–197. [PubMed: 12711548]

- TRIBIOLI C, ROBLEDO RF and LUFKIN T (2002). The murine fork head gene *Foxn2* is expressed in craniofacial, limb, CNS and somitic tissues during embryogenesis. *Mech Dev* 118: 161–163. [PubMed: 12351180]
- TROPEPE V, COLES BL, CHIASSEON BJ, HORSFORD DJ, ELIA AJ, MCINNES RR and VAN DER KOOY D (2000). Retinal stem cells in the adult mammalian eye. *Science* 287: 2032–2036. [PubMed: 10720333]
- TSENG HT, SHAH R and JAMRICH M (2004). Function and regulation of *FoxF1* during *Xenopus* gut development. *Development* 131: 3637–3647. [PubMed: 15229177]
- VALLEIX S, NIEL F, NEDELEC B, ALGROS MP, SCHWARTZ C, DELBOSC B, DELPECH M and KANTELIP B (2006). Homozygous nonsense mutation in the *FOXE3* gene as a cause of congenital primary aphakia in humans. *Am J Hum Genet* 79: 358–364. [PubMed: 16826526]
- XUAN S, BAPTISTA CA, BALAS G, TAO W, SOARES VC and LAI E (1995). Winged helix transcription factor *BF-1* is essential for the development of the cerebral hemispheres. *Neuron* 14: 1141–1152. [PubMed: 7605629]
- YAO J, LAI E and STIFANI S (2001). The winged-helix protein brain factor 1 interacts with groucho and *hes* proteins to repress transcription. *Mol Cell Biol* 21: 1962–1972. [PubMed: 11238932]
- YAO KM, SHA M, LU Z and WONG GG (1997). Molecular analysis of a novel winged helix protein, *WIN*. Expression pattern, DNA binding property, and alternative splicing within the DNA binding domain. *J Biol Chem* 272: 19827–19836. [PubMed: 9242644]
- YE H, KELLY TF, SAMADANI U, LIM L, RUBIO S, OVERDIER DG, ROEBUCK KA and COSTA RH (1997). Hepatocyte nuclear factor 3/fork head homolog 11 is expressed in proliferating epithelial and mesenchymal cells of embryonic and adult tissues. *Mol Cell Biol* 17: 1626–16241. [PubMed: 9032290]
- YUASA J, HIRANO S, YAMAGATA M and NODA M (1996). Visual projection map specified by topographic expression of transcription factors in the retina. *Nature* 382: 632–635. [PubMed: 8757134]
- ZHU CC, DYER MA, UCHIKAWA M, KONDOH H, LAGUTIN OV and OLIVER G (2002). Six3-mediated auto repression and eye development requires its interaction with members of the Groucho-related family of corepressors. *Development* 129: 2835–2849. [PubMed: 12050133]

Fig. 1. Expression of retinal *forkhead* genes in the ciliary marginal zone of the maturing neural retina.

In situ hybridization on sections of paraffin-embedded *X. laevis* embryos at stages 38, 41, and 45 using antisense riboprobes specific for *FoxG1*, *FoxN2*, *FoxN4*, *FoxM1* and *FoxP2*.

TABLE 1

FORKHEAD GENES EXPRESSED IN EYE STRUCTURES

	Forkhead Gene	Alternate Names				HomoloGene Entry
Anterior Structures	FOXC1	ARA	FKHL7	FREAC3	IRID1	20373
	FOXC2		MFH-1			21091
	FOXE3	ASMD	dyl	FKHL-12	FREAC-8	32145
	FOXP1		FKH-1B			13092
Retinal Progenitors	FOXN2		HTLF	FKH-19		31078
	FOXN4		whn			17526
	FOXM1	HFH-11	FKHL-16	MPP2	TRIDENT	7318
	FOXP2		SPCH1	TRNC10		33482
Neural Retina	FOXD1	BF-2	FKHL-8	FREAC-4		3290
	FOXF1	FREAC-1	HFH-8	XFD-13		1114
	FOXG1	BF-1	FKH-4	HFH-9		3843
	FOXK1		MNF			82414
	FOXL1		FKH-6	FKHL-11		48335
	FOXN3		Ches1			3809
	FOXO3		FKHRL-1			31039
			FKHR-2			
	FOXP1		FKH-1B			13092
	FOXP4		FKHL-A			12536
	FOXS1		FKH-3	FKHL-18	FREAC-10	14239*

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE 2

FORKHEAD GENE EXPRESSION IN THE RETINA

GENE	CMZ	NEURAL RETINA	REFERENCES
Retinal Progenitors	PE	Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 3 Zone 4 GCL INL OPL ONL PL AS	
FOXG1	+	+	Tao et al., 1992; Hatini et al., 1994; Dirksen et al., 1995; Picker et al., 2005
FOXN2	+	+	Schuff et al. 2006, Figure 1
FOXN4	+	+	Gouge et al., 2004; Danilova et al., 2004; Schuff et al., 2006; Kelly et al., 2007; Figure 1
FOXN1	+	+	Pohl et al., 2003 Figure 1
FOXP2	+	+	Bonkowsky et al., 2004; Schon et al., 2006; Figure 1
Neural Retina			
FOXD1	+		Hatini et al., 1994; Mariani et al., 1998; Odenthal et al., 1998
FOXF1	+	+	Kalinichenko et al., 2003
FOXK1	+		Pohl et al., 2004
FOXL1	+		Adult eye tissue, Nakada et al., 2006
FOXN3	+	+	Schuff et al. 2006
FOXO3	+	+	Pohl et al., 2004; Figure 2
FOXP1	+	+	Pohl et al., 2005; Schon et al., 2006
FOXP4	+	+	Schon et al., 2006
FOXS1	+	+	Heglin et al., 2005